MO

November 22, 2005

Dan Gibson 91 CedarEdge Lane Bronston, Ky 42518

Beth O'Donnell Executive Director's Office Public Service Commission of KY PO Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

Re: Case Number 2005-00449

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

In accordance with:

KRS 278.650: "Procedures for proposals to construct antenna towers in an area outside the jurisdiction of a planning commission – Hearing – Building permit fee"

And,

As owner of property adjacent to and within 500 feet of the proposed tower site,

And.

With the below signed owners of property within Cedar Hill Heights Subdivision, which is also adjacent to and within 500 feet of the proposed construction site,

We do hereby oppose the construction of this tower in the proposed location because we were not consulted or offered any compensation for the adverse affects we perceive will be inflicted on us and on both the monetary value as well as the enjoyment and use of our homes and property because of the presence of said tower. We believe there are possible health related risks to living within such close proximity to such a tower, and we also believe the aesthetic value of our homes and neighborhood will be considerably decreased.

Further, we object to the owner of the proposed site, John K. Gover, allowing this tower to be constructed in this location, because he was the original owner/developer of our subdivision, and personally placed restrictions on all the lots he sold in this subdivision, to protect us as homeowners against devaluation of our property by unsavory use of properties in close proximity to our homes. These restrictions include the prohibition of any commercial use for our properties, and that any property in the subdivision is to be used for residential purposes only. We feel that for Mr. Gover to do this is a breach of faith.

We realize this is not the first cell tower on this hill. We objected to the first cell tower, as your records should indicate (re: Case No 95-430)

RECEIVED

NOV 2 8 2005

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

However, we were rejected in our effort because, the commission stated, we did not oppose it in a timely fashion. We still object to the first cell tower, with the same complaints.

We would like for the commission to examine the last cell tower's permits and subsequent operation, and if Ramcell (Cellular Phone of Kentucky, Inc.) has not complied with all regulations, we would like that tower removed also.

We are not lawyers or legislators, and therefore are not well acquainted with past precedent on these issues. We do not have a working knowledge of all regulations and procedures. Therefore we ask that we be informed of any and all procedures that would assist us in our quest. We hope that the PSC will not reject or ignore our plea because of our ignorance of protocol, as happened with the first cell tower which is now located on this same property.

Sincere	ĺν.
Omicore.	. , ,

Dan Gibson

91 Cedar Edge Lane

Bronston Ky

Bunda Casada

Address: 94 Walnut Dr.

Bronston, Ky 42518

Address: 37/ SUN/CET DR

BRONSTON KY 42518

Holden Jallie

Address: 371 SUNSCT DR

BRONSTON KY 412.518

RE: Case # 2005.00449