
1483 US 60 West P.O. Box 123 Ledbetter, KY 42058

Phone: 270-898-3236 Fax: 270-898-3496









To:	Jeff Cline	From:	Bill Downs	
Fax:	502-564-3460	Pages:	3	
Phone:	502-564-3940	Date:	3/20/2006	
Re:	Case 2005-00384	CC:	Jerry Wuetcher	
□ Urge	nt 🗆 For Review	☐ Please Comment	☐ Please Reply	☐ Please Recycle

LEDBETTER WATER DISTRICT
1483 U. S. 60 West
P. O. Box 123
Ledbetter, Kentucky 42058

Phone: 270-898-3236 Fax: 270-898-3496 MAR 2 0 2006 X

RECEIVED

March 14, 2006

Beth O'Donnell Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602-0615

RE: Case 2005-00384

Dear Ms O'Donnell,

In reviewing the documents before the PSC in this case I noted that the reasons given by Judge Lasher for not reappointing Arnic Puckett to the board of Ledbetter Water District were based not on facts but on the judge executive's personal characterization of Mr. Puckett. These documents are public records, easily accessible to anyone with internet service. Furthermore these documents will help form the basis of an important decision by the PSC in this case. Therefore it seems important that the documents be factual and accurate.

Mr. Puckett had stated that he had missed 6 out of 12 meetings in 2004, and had given an account of the circumstances that required his absences. In response, Judge Lasher said that he believed the number of missed meetings was greater than that number. Mr. Puckett's statement about his attendance record was factual and accurate, and was based on records on file at the water district office. This information would have been provided to the judge executive upon request. Instead he made a statement to you based on a belief that was false.

Judge Lasher went on to characterize Arnie Puckett as unprofessional, basing his characterization not on facts or experience but on his personal feelings. I have served as water district manager for five and a half years and, based on my experience, I would characterize Mr. Puckett as highly professional. He has devoted himself to conducting water district business in a conscientious manner, with diligent attention to the public good. To this end he has consistently displayed a willingness to step up and take a firm stand for making the right decision. And he has shown a skill for standing his ground without sacrificing courtesy or civility.

During the period when Mr. Puckett had to miss several meetings he was very diligent in staying apprised of developments regarding the sanitation district. He made numerous phone calls and visits with water district staff in order to stay on top of the situation. He stood firmly against political pressure to accept a quick resolution of the sanitation district's situation, and helped take the lead in holding out for a better deal for the community. In the midst of crisis in his family business, Arnie Puckett never failed to fulfill his duty to Ledbetter Water District.

On a personal note, I have spent nineteen years working under a number of different boards. Many of the boards have been hindered, to differing degrees, by personal agendas, personality conflicts, personal differences, petty politics, spitefulness or other disruptive influences. I periodically go to continuing education sessions and seminars with operators from other water and sewer systems, and the most common subject of conversation among those operators is the difficulty they experience in dealing with their boards. They invariably describe situations in which they feel they are not allowed to adequately do their jobs because of board actions and attitudes. A neighboring municipal water and sewer system is currently under criminal investigation for actions by some of the operators, and the situation has been aggravated by personal conflicts between some of their commissioners and some of the operators.

Ledbetter Water District is blessed by having an exceptional board of commissioners. They all still work, so they remain exposed to the realities of the business world. They make business-like decisions instead of letting petty personal conflicts influence them. These qualities have allowed this board to successfully see Ledbetter Water District trough some very trying times. A good professional board of such exceptional quality is a valuable asset to the community and the county.

It is disturbing to see the judge executive taking disruptive action toward the board because of a personal difference with the wife of a commissioner. I have hoped that he would act professionally and reconsider reappointing Arnie Puckett to the board. If he does not, then I urge the Public Service Commission to act in the best interest of Ledbetter and make the reappointment.

Please make this letter a part of the case file. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill Downs

District Manager

1483 US 60 West P.O. Box 123 Ledbetter, KY 42058

Phone: 270-898-3236 Fax: 270-898-3496







PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

To:	Jerr	y Wuetcher	From:	Bill Downs	
Fax:	502	-564-3460	Pages:	3	
Phone:	502	-564-3940	Date:	3/20/2006	
Re:	Case 2005-00384		CC:		
□ Urge	int	☐ For Review	☐ Please Comment	☐ Please Reply	☐ Please Recycle

[•] Comments: Please make this letter a part of the permanent case file. A copy is also in the mail.

LEDBETTER WATER DISTRICT 1483 U. S. 60 West P. O. Box 123 Ledbetter, Kentucky 42058

March 14, 2006

Beth O'Donnell Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602-0615

RE: Case 2005-00384

Dear Ms O'Donnell,

Phone: 270-898-3236
Fax: 270-898-3496

RECEIVED

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MAR 2 0 2006 \$

In reviewing the documents before the PSC in this case I noted that the reasons given by Judge Lasher for not reappointing Arnie Puckett to the board of Ledbetter Water District were based not on facts but on the judge executive's personal characterization of Mr. Puckett. These documents are public records, easily accessible to anyone with internet service. Furthermore these documents will help form the basis of an important decision by the PSC in this case. Therefore it seems important that the documents be factual and accurate.

Mr. Puckett had stated that he had missed 6 out of 12 meetings in 2004, and had given an account of the circumstances that required his absences. In response, Judge Lasher said that he believed the number of missed meetings was greater than that number. Mr. Puckett's statement about his attendance record was factual and accurate, and was based on records on file at the water district office. This information would have been provided to the judge executive upon request. Instead he made a statement to you based on a belief that was false.

Judge Lasher went on to characterize Arnie Puckett as unprofessional, basing his characterization not on facts or experience but on his personal feelings. I have served as water district manager for five and a half years and, based on my experience, I would characterize Mr. Puckett as highly professional. He has devoted himself to conducting water district business in a conscientious manner, with diligent attention to the public good. To this end he has consistently displayed a willingness to step up and take a firm stand for making the right decision. And he has shown a skill for standing his ground without sacrificing courtesy or civility.

During the period when Mr. Puckett had to miss several meetings he was very diligent in staying apprised of developments regarding the sanitation district. He made numerous phone calls and visits with water district staff in order to stay on top of the situation. He stood firmly against political pressure to accept a quick resolution of the sanitation district's situation, and helped take the lead in holding out for a better deal for the community. In the midst of crisis in his family business, Arnie Puckett never failed to fulfill his duty to Ledbetter Water District.

On a personal note, I have spent nineteen years working under a number of different boards. Many of the boards have been hindered, to differing degrees, by personal agendas, personality conflicts, personal differences, petty politics, spitefulness or other disruptive influences. I periodically go to continuing education sessions and seminars with operators from other water and sewer systems, and the most common subject of conversation among those operators is the difficulty they experience in dealing with their boards. They invariably describe situations in which they feel they are not allowed to adequately do their jobs because of board actions and attitudes. A neighboring municipal water and sewer system is currently under criminal investigation for actions by some of the operators, and the situation has been aggravated by personal conflicts between some of their commissioners and some of the operators.

Ledbetter Water District is blessed by having an exceptional board of commissioners. They all still work, so they remain exposed to the realities of the business world. They make business-like decisions instead of letting petty personal conflicts influence them. These qualities have allowed this board to successfully see Ledbetter Water District trough some very trying times. A good professional board of such exceptional quality is a valuable asset to the community and the county.

It is disturbing to see the judge executive taking disruptive action toward the board because of a personal difference with the wife of a commissioner. I have hoped that he would act professionally and reconsider reappointing Arnie Puckett to the board. If he does not, then I urge the Public Service Commission to act in the best interest of Ledbetter and make the reappointment.

Please make this letter a part of the case file. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bill Downs

District Manager