



Kent W. Blake
Director
State Regulation and Rates

LG&E Energy LLC
220 West Main Street
Louisville, Kentucky 40202
502-627-2573
502-217-2442 FAX
kent.blake@lgeenergy.com

RECEIVED

December 19, 2005

DEC 20 2005

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

Ms. Elizabeth O'Donnell
Executive Director
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

**RE: The Plan of Kentucky Utilities Company for the Value Delivery Surcredit Mechanism
Case No. 2005-00351 [Updates to Supplemental Data Request Question No. 5]**

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

Pursuant to the directive in the Commission Staff's Supplemental Data Request dated November 14, 2005 and originally filed November 23, 2005, KU hereby files an original and five (5) copies of updates to Question No. 5, the (1) administrative expenses related to the Midwest Independent System Operator's ("MISO") "Day 2" operations; (2) revenue neutrality uplift charges associated with MISO's "Day 2" operations; and (3) revenue sufficiency guarantee make-whole payments and the related charges associated with MISO's "Day 2" operations.

Please confirm your receipt of these documents by placing the File Stamp of your Office on the enclosed additional copy.

Should you have any questions concerning the enclosed, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Kent W. Blake

cc: Elizabeth E. Blackford
Michael L. Kurtz
David F. Boehm

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY**CASE NO. 2005-00351****Updated Monthly Response to the Commission Staff's Supplemental Data Request
Dated November 14, 2005****Updated Response Filed December 20, 2005****Question No. 5****Responding Witness: Valerie L. Scott**

- Q-5. Refer to the responses to Items 7, 8, and 9 of Staff's initial request in which KU provided amounts for September 2005 to update the information through August 2005, contained in its application, for (1) administrative expenses related to the Midwest Independent System Operator's ("MISO") "Day 2" operations; (2) revenue neutrality uplift charges associated with MISO's "Day 2" operations; and (3) revenue sufficiency guarantee make-whole payments and the related charges associated with MISO's "Day 2" operations.
- a. Provide the amounts for each of the three items listed above for the month of October 2005.
- b. Consider this a continuing request. Provide on a monthly basis as they become available, the amounts for each of the three items listed above, for the remainder of this proceeding until directed otherwise.
- A-5. a. The requested information for the month of October 2005 is shown below.

Schedule 16 – expense	\$24,828.19
Schedule 17 – expense	\$173,112.17
Revenue Neutrality Uplift – expense	\$1,487,199.46
RSG Make Whole Payment – revenue	\$1,765,757.65
RSG Distribution Amount – expense	\$1,689,560.27
Production cost for RSG payment – expense	\$1,108,170.88

As the Company indicated in its response to Item 8 of the Staff's initial data request, MISO changed its methodology for determining over-collected losses which impacted the revenue neutrality uplift charge. This change was retroactive to the inception of Day 2 and its impact on the revenue neutrality uplift charge and corresponding offset to other line items continues to flow through the MISO settlement statements. These corresponding changes to other line items on the MISO settlement statement continue to impact the Company's cost of providing service.

- b. The Company will provide monthly updates as requested.

The requested information for the month of November 2005 is shown below.

Schedule 16 – expense	\$26,512.42
Schedule 17 – expense	\$268,671.59
Revenue Neutrality Uplift – expense	\$943,780.37
RSG Make Whole Payment – revenue	\$2,185,289.78
RSG Distribution Amount – expense	\$1,477,031.39
Production cost for RSG payment – expense	\$1,417,641.96