CASE NO. 2005-00351

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Data Request Dated October 21, 2005

Question No. 7

Responding Witness: Valerie L. Scott

- Q-7. Refer to page 8 of the Scott Testimony and Reference Schedule 1.43 of Blake Exhibit 1 concerning the adjustment to annualize the administrative expenses associated with the Midwest Independent System Operator's ("MISO") "Day 2" market.
 - a. Provide the supporting workpapers for the proposed adjustment, including all calculations and assumptions. Identify the specific accounts in which the amounts were recorded.
 - b. The adjustment is based on annualizing the expenses incurred for the 5 months from April through August of 2005. Provide the expense incurred for the month of September 2005 and identify the specific accounts in which the amounts were recorded.
- A-7. a. Please see attached.
 - b. The amount booked to account #557202 for Schedule 16 charges was \$37,512.79 for September 2005. Schedule 17 charges booked to accounts #557203 and #557201 amounted to \$218,840.34 for September 2005.

.

MISO Summary						April -June
•	Apr-2005	May-2005	Jun-2005	Jul-2005	Aug-2005	
Sch 16 - FTR Admin Fee						
LG&E	37,402	49,359	8,645	22,090	48,206	95,406
KU	61,549	72,460	8,740	18,718	43,449	142,750
Total	98,951	121,819	17,385	40,808	91,655	238,155
Sch 17- DA/RT Admin Fee						
LG&E	180,249	220,700	235,570	291,431	287,897	636,519
KU	271,821	289,298	315,245	415,387	398,360	876,365
Total	452,070	509,998	550,815	706,818	686,257	1,512,883
Total Sch 16 & 17						
LG&E	217,650	270,058	244,216	313,521	336,103	731,924
KU	333,371	361,759	323,985	434,104	441,809	1,019,114
Total	551,021	631,817	568,200	747,625	777,912	1,751,038

NOTE:

Amount represents charges per the most recent settlement statements, or estimated amounts for days with no settlement statement, as of each month end allocated based upon an allocation methodology.

Schedule 16 administrative costs for native load are allocated between companies based on the percent of FTR volume.

Schedule 16 administrative costs are not allocated to OSS.

Schedule 17 administrative costs for native load are allocated between companies based on the percent of load.

Schedule 17 administrative costs for OSS are allocated between companies based on the percent of generation contributed.

2005 YTD	Dollars (2.112,113.48) (56,865.19) (89,900.21) 599,607.02 7,059.77 7,059.77 (1,603,489.91)	(2,701,318,44) (108,080,45) (286,203,42) 3,031,784,30 57,925,15 775,573,03 759,680,17	(10,041,813 91) (8,497,588 49) (12,05,194,31 20,244 60 (7,102,913 94) (17,251,894,49) (17,251,894,49) (17,251,894,49) (19,252,594,45)	28,655,365 88 264,916 52 1,661,869 37 (28,627 92) 6,501,231 52 8,466,489 08 (2782,714 89) 42,689,529 59 (28,655,365 89) 14,033,180 88	(1,129,031,64) 387,501 63 (741,530,01) 1,875,846,21 10,571,974,72	12,447,820 93
13	3,470	13,234	(201,827) (141,915) 9,182 121,975 (212,865) 198,638 2,495 (11,432)	354,792 354,792 (354,792)	5,272	16,704
81	Dollura (1,963.01) (78.28) (94.83) (162,056.29) (110.48) 17,886.23	32,788.64 (14,485.29) (43,702.40) 412,621.63 8,360.42 283,193.03 678,766.03	(4,272,698,15) (1,822,103,69) (1,203,120,75 (1,482,254,71) 5,652,48 (4,252,16) (4,883,300,04) 2,633,268,1 2,633,2	12,303,731,02 43,448,36 388,618,96 24,033,77 2,198,570,80 2,316,853,84 (1,318,863,83) 15,856,475,64 (12,303,731,02) 3,652,744,62	(88,944 88) (60,109 16) (149,054 04) 1,692,021 64 2,344,018 31	4,036,039.95
Aug.2005	1,009	3,002	(75,540) (34,507) 8,710 28,201 (73,076) 74,479 1,022 2,425	127,569	0 0	4,011
Jul-2005	Dollare (3.289.60) (157.73) (259.73) 3.448.26 323.00 3.458.95 3.521.15	(435,635 87) (13,272 69) (41,648.14) 437,667.98 7,834.16 81,985.00	(1,364,860.69) (1623,500.14) 6,500.00 1,286,814.20 10,900.697 (2,566,514.96) 10,844.46 (4,669.01) (8,276,975.08) 94,818.64 94,818.64 (4,229,895.08)	8,654,999.07 18,717.56 404,478.69 (17,23.71) 1,572,799.76 (1,153,065,59) 12,771,978.80 (8,654,999.07)	(88.340.43) 98.405.18 10.064.75 (332.610.75) 4.229.896.92	3,897,286.17
in the	2,249	3,114	(77,005) (26,280) 69 21,048 21,048 75,628 1,216 (5,303)	102,415	60.38	5,363
Jun-2005	Dollars (220.03) (6.72) (2.85) 55.34 20.35 5,068.00 4,913.99	(359,997,02) (11,913,36) (35,686,78) 383,659.06 6,487,04 61,985,00 44,613.94	(1,594,507,32) (1,239,913,69) 878,579,94 4,557,89 (2,913,04,78) 2,218,59 3,070,17 (1,227,35) (4,857,593,05) (4,857,593,05) (4,857,593,05) (4,857,593,05)	3,856,706,79 8,739,80 310,687,12 682,606,40 1,564,536,35 2,340,949,26 (451,200,11) 8,313,025,61 (3,856,706,79)	(49,912,67) 71,606,20 21,693,53 1,235,469,69 3,292,270,59	4,527,740.28
-unf	MWH 142	2,763	(32,469) (29,210) (3) 24,404 (37,278) 32,268 257 (4,723)	48,781	(1,818.38)	2,905
May-2005	Dollars (1,155.17) (37.59) (17.45) 115.16 11.55 5,068.00	(341,080,14) (12,957,63) (40,016,70) 347,282,73 5,498.84 73,682.00	(471,544.65) (1,098.492.15) 12,164.40 879,688.99 1,877.70 (77,928.86) (1754,655.62) 344,323.98	914 871 90 72,460.33 27,460.52 (281,401.50) 633,529.38 (724,981.59) 2,017,439.28 (914,871.90) 1,102,587.38	(80,974,53) 43,264,62 (37,709,91) 690,919,43 410,331.64	1,101,251.07
Wey	MWH 68	2,409	(9,732) (31,229) 253 29,396 29,396 - (11,310) 9,335	22,631 22,631 (22,631)	502.07	2,477
Apr-2005	Dollars 26,834,84 725,87 1,119,81 (9,876,83) (13,100,63) 5,088,00	(301,723.18) (11,782.58) (33,507.10) 267,544.24 7,395.76 73,682.00	(317,003,10) (712,579,85) 8,871,49 575,797,89 1,161,37 (35,910,65) 87,31 (479,440,72) 183,893,46	2,725,657.10 61,549.47 270,660.06 (435,590.82) 531,795.43 (134,603.78) 3679,607.13 3679,607.13 954,550.03	(95,922.69) 43,735.07 (52,187.62) 619,285.35 295,457.26	914,742.61
Ā	MWH 2	1,945	(7,081) (20,709) 153 18,863 18,863 (8,774) (8,774) 6,918	53,416	91.44	1,947
Mar-2005	MWH Dollars (705,566.21) (18,125.41) (29,317.10) 320,155.35 14,137.73 5,088.00 (414,647.64)	(642,668 90) (14,915,68) (34,296,23) 427,304,36 6,652.98 73,682.00 - (184,241,67)			(181,233,77) 164,064,60 (17,169,17) (616,059,48)	(616,058.48)
Feb-2005	Dollars (554,287,58) (14,876,83) (22,603,78) 444,788,03 (8,352,03) 5,068,00 (150,284,17)	239,077,69 (14,110,41) (32,507,53) (32,507,63) (32,507,14,61 8,118,76 73,682,00 600,435,12			(\$43,702,67) 26,535,12 (\$17,167,55) (67,016,60)	(67,016.60)
Jan-2005	Dollars MAVH (872,466.72) (23,338.50) (38,724,20) 14,129.28 5,058.00 (915,332.14)	(892,079,66) (14,632,61) (34,838,54) 429,239,69 7,807,19 73,682,00			(1,346,164.07)	(1,346,164.07)
Jar.	HWM				A TOTAL COLUMN TO THE STATE OF	
	Acct # 456051 456051 456053 565006 566102 566104	456002 456020 456021 566101 566109 568103	447109 447109 555006 447209 557201 557205 557205 557205	555007 557202 557203 557204 557204 557204 557204	456002 566117	
	.18 - OSS S s er OSS - MISO 1010 - OSS 0SS	1,18 - NL 1,10 - NL 1,10 - NL 1,18 - NL 1,18 - NL 1,18 - NL	Pagidar Sales-CSS Parchert Sales-CSS Parchert Sales-CSS Parchert Sales-CSS Rose Farch - COSS Rose Farch - COSS ROS Make Whose Parch - CSS ROS Make Whose Parch - CSS ROS Make Whose Parch - CSS ROS Parchardor Amount - CSS Rowers Neutrality Lpff - CSS Dar 2 CSS Generation foel for MISO sales Generation foel for MISO sales Soliolod Day 2 CSS	Puchases NI, Sch 1-FTR Admin Fee-NI, Sch 17- DART Admin Fee-NI, Sch 17- DART Admin Fee-NI, RSO Editablion Administry RSO Editablion Administry Observative Neutrality Upitil - NI, Observative Neutrality Upitil - NI, Dobervative Neutrality Upitil - NI, Sch Teerway (100% of NI, pucch) Subbolal Day 2 NI,	MISCO Schedule 2) - NL MISCO Schedule 22 - NL Schools Day 3 NL KU Scholoul per General Ledger Less Scholoul Day 2 OSS	KU Total MISO less Day 2 OSS Profit
	Schedule 78,14,16 - OSS Schedule 1 - OSS Schedule 1 - OSS Trensmission Elec OSS - MSO MSO Schedule 10 - OSS MSO FRRC Fees - OSS Subtotal Day 1 OSS	Schedule 7,8,14,18 - NI Schedule 1 - NL Schedule 2 - NL MISO Schedule 10 - NL MISO Schedule 18 - NL MISO FERC Fees - NL Sublotal Day 1 NL	Regular Sales-OSS Purchasse-OSS Purchasse-OSS Purchasse-OSS Purchasse-OSS Brokered Purch -OSS Sch 17 - DART Admin RSG Make Whose Park RSG Make Whose Park RSG Destablished Amol Revenue Neutrility Lib Day - OSS Day - OSS Generation Neal for MS Internal replacement in Sucholval Day 2 OSS	Purchases-NL Sch 16 - FTR Admin Sch 17 - DART Adm RSG Make Whole P RSG Distribution Am Rsverue Neutrality L Ober-NL Day 2 NL FAC Reverue (1009) Subtotal Day 2 NL	MISO Schedule 21 - NI. MISO Schedule 22 - NI. Sublotal Day 3 NI. KU Sublotal per Genere Cass Sublotal Day 2 OS	KU Total A

NOTE: Positive values represent Expenses and Negative values represent Revenues.

CASE NO. 2005-00351

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Data Request Dated October 21, 2005

Question No. 8

Responding Witnesses: Martyn Gallus / Valerie L. Scott

- Q-8. Refer to pages 8-9 of the Scott Testimony and Reference Schedule 1.44 of Blake Exhibit 1 concerning the adjustment to annualize the MISO revenue neutrality uplift charges associated with the operation of its "Day 2" market.
 - a. Provide the supporting workpapers for the proposed adjustment, including all calculations and assumptions. Identify the specific accounts in which the amounts were recorded.
 - b. The adjustment is based on annualizing the expenses incurred for the 5 months from April through August of 2005. Provide the expense incurred for the month of September 2005 and identify the specific accounts in which the amounts were recorded.
- A-8. a. Please see the attached.
 - b. The amount of the revenue neutrality uplift booked to accounts #557204 and #557205 for September 2005 was (\$ 2,638,231.22). Typically the revenue neutrality uplift is an expense. However, for the month of September it was a revenue. This was caused by MISO recalculating the Company's share of over-collected losses from the inception of Day 2 using a different methodology. This change in methodology resulted in a one-time reduction of revenue neutrality uplift charges in September for the cumulative effect of the change and was offset by corresponding changes to other line items on the MISO settlement statement which in turn impact the Company's cost of providing service.

MISO Summary April -June Apr-2005 May-2005 Jun-2005 Jul-2005 Aug-2005 Revenue Neutrality Uplift LG&E 395.233 732,797 1,632,156 2,104,768 1,608,726 2,760,186 KU 661.848 1,125,841 2,344,019 3,052,319 2,324,457 4,131,708 Total 3,976,175 1,057,082 1,858,637 5,157,087 3,933,183 6,891,894

NOTE:

Amount represents charges per the most recent settlement statements, or estimated amounts for days with no settlement statement, as of each month end allocated based upon an allocation methodology.

Revenue neutrality uplift charges for native load are allocated between companies based on the percent of load.

Revenue neutrality uplift charges for OSS are allocated between companies based on the percent of generation contributed.

<u>!</u>			Jā	n-2005	Feb-2005		Mar-2005	Apr	r-2005	May	-2005	nut	-2005	الال	-2005	Ava-	2005	20	05 YTD
Y1 S S S T	schedule 7,8,14,18 - OSS schedule 1 - OSS schedule 2 - OSS 'ransmission Elec OSS - MISO MSO Schedule 10 - OSS	Acct # 456051 456052 456053 565006 566102	MWH	Dollars (872,466.72) (23,338.50) (38,724.20)	(554,2 (14,8 (22,6 444,7 (8,3	87.58) 76.83) 03.76) 68.03 52.03)	(705,566.21) (705,566.21) (19,125.41) (29,317.10) 320,155.35 14,137.73	<u>MWH</u> 2	Dollars 26,834.84 725.87 1,119.81 (9,876.83) (13,100.63)	<u>мwн</u> 68	Dollars (1,155.17) (37.59) (17.45) 115.16 11.55	<u>MWH</u> 142	Dollars (220.03) (6.72) (2.95) 55.34 20.35	<u>MWH</u> 2,249	Pollars (3,289,60) (157,73) (259,73) 3,446,26 323,00	MWH - - 1,009	<u>Pollars</u> (1,963.01) (78.28) (94.83) (162,056.29) (110.48)	MWH - - - 3,470	2,112,113 (56,895 (89,900 596,607 7,058
	AISO FERC Fees - OSS Subtotal Day 1 OSS	566104		5,068.00 (915,332.14)		68.00 84.17)	5,068.00 (414,647.64)	2	5,068,00 10,771.06	68	5,068.00 3,984.50	142	5,068.00 4,913.99	2,249	3,458,95 3,521.15	1,009	17,886.23 (146,416.66)	3,470	51,75 (1,603,48
s	Schedule 7,8,14,18 - NL Schedule 1 - NL Schedule 2 - NL	456002 456020 456021		(892,079.66) (14,632.61) (34,838.54)	(14,1	77.69 (10.41) (07.53)	(642,668.90) (14,915.88) (34,296.23)		(301,723.18) (11,782.58) (33,507.10)		(341,080.14) (12,957.63) (40,016.70)		(359,997.02) (11,913.36) (35,686.78)		(435,635.87) (13,272.69) (41,648.14)	•	32,788.64 (14,495.29) (43,702.40)		(2,701,31 (108,08 (296,20
M	MISO Schedule 10 - NL MISO Schedule 18 - NL MISO FERC Fees - NL Subtotal Day 1 NL	566101 566109 566103		429,229.69 7,807.19 73,682.00 (430,831.93)	8,1 73,6	74.61 18.76 82.00 35.12	427,304.36 6,652.98 73,682.00 - (184,241.67)	1,945	267,544.24 7,395.76 73,682.00 1,609.14	2,409	347,282.73 5,498.84 73,682.00 32,409.10	2,763	383,959.06 6,467.04 61,985.00 44,813.94	3,114	437,667.98 7,624.16 61,985.00 16,720.44	3,002 - - - 3,002	412,621,63 8,360,42 283,193,03 678,766.03	13,234 - - 13,234	3,031,78 57,92 775,57 759,68
Y2 R	Regular Sales-OSS	447016	-	-	-	-	-	(7,081)	(317,003.10)	(9,732)	(471,544.65)	(32,469)	(1,594,507.32)	(77,005)	(3,384,860.69)	(75,540)	(4,273,698.15)	(201,827)	(10,041,6
P B	Brokered Sales-OSS Purchases-OSS Brokered PurchOSS	447109 555006 447209	-	:		-		(20,709) 153 18,863	(712,579.85) 8,871.49 575,797.89	(31,229) 253 29,398	(1,099,492.15) 12,164.40 879,988.99	(29,210) (3) 24,404	(1,239,913.66) (66.41) 878,579.94	(26,260) 69 21,049	(1,623,500.14) 6,509.09 1,298,814.20	(34,507) 8,710 28,261	(1,822,103.69) 1,203,120.75 1,473,013.29	(141,915) 9,182 121,975	(6,497,5 1,230,5 5,106,1 28,2
R	RSG Distribution Amount - OSS	557201 557205/456025 557205	-	- - -	•	:		:	1,161.37 (35,910.65) 87.31	:	1,877.70 (77,928.86) 187.14	:	4,557.89 (2,910,304.76) 2,218.50		10,906.97 (2,596,514.96) 5,425.02	:	9,740.75 (1,482,254.71) 5,652.48	-	(7,102,9 13,5
C	Revenue Neutrality Uplift - OSS Other-OSS Day 2 OSS	557205 557205	-	<u>.</u>	-	-	· ·	(8,774)	108.68 26.14 (479,440.72)	(11,310)	320.29 (228.48) (754,655.62)	(37,278)	3,070.12 (1,227.35) (4,857,593.05)	(82,147)	10,914.46 (4,669.01) (6,276,975.06)	(73,076)	7,581.42 (4,352.18) (4,883,300.04)		21,9 (10,4 (17,251,9
lr.	Generation fuel for MISO sales nternal replacement purch from LGE-fuel Subtotal Day 2 OSS		-	-	-	-	<u> </u>	6,918 - (1,856)	183,983.46 - (295,457.26)	9,335 - (1,975)	344,323.98 - (410,331.64)	32,298 257 (4,723)	1,549,009.04 16,313.42 (3,292,270.59)	75,628 1,216 (5,303)	1,952,159.26 94,918.88 (4,229,896.92)	74,479 1,022 2,425	2,453,268.71 86,013.02 (2,344,018.31)	198,658 2,495 (11,432)	6,482,7 197,2 (10,571,9
	Purchases-NL Sch 16 - FTR Admin Fee-NL	555007 557202	:	-				53,416	2,725,057.10 61,549.47	22,631	914,871.90 72,460.33	48,761 -	3,856,706.79 8,739.80	102,415	8,854,999.07 18,717.56	127,569	12,303,731.02 43,449.36	354,792 -	28,655,3 204,9
R	Sch 17- DA/RT Admin Fee-NL RSG Make Whole Payment-NL RSG Distribution Amount - NL	557203 557204 557204				-		-	270,660.06 (436,590.82) 531,795.43	*	287,420.52 (281,401.50) 633,529.38	•	310,687,12 682,606.40 1,564,536,35		404,479.69 (17,335.77) 1,572,799.76		388,618.98 24,093.77 2,198,570.60	:	1,661,8 (28,6 6,501,2
R	Revenue Neutrality Uplift - NL Other-NL Day 2 NL	557204 557204	-	-	-	-	<u>: :</u>	53,416	661,739.67 (134,603.78) 3,679,607,13	22,631	1,125,520.24 (734,961.59) 2,017,439.28	48,761	2,340,949.26 (451,200.11) 8,313,025.61	102,415	3,041,404.17 (1,153,085.58) 12,721,978.90	127,569	2,316,875.74 (1,318,863.83) 15,956,475.64	354,792	9,486,4 (3,792,7 42,688,5
	FAC Revenue (100% of NL purch) Subtotal Day 2 NL				-	-	<u> </u>	(53,416)	(2,725,057.10) 954,550.03	(22,631)	(914,871.90) 1,102,567.38	(48,761)	(3,856,706.79) 4,456,318.82	(102,415)	(8,854,999.07) 3,866,979.83		(12,303,731.02) 3,652,744.62	(354,792)	
N	MISO Schedule 21 - NL MISO Schedule 22 - NL Subtotal Day 3 NL	456002 566117	-		26,5	702.67) 535.12 167.55)	(181,233.77) 164,064.60 - (17,169.17)		(95,922.69) 43,735.07 (52,187.62)		(80,974.53) 43,264.62 (37,709.91)	-	(49,912.67) 71,606.20 21,693.53	_	(88,340.43) 98,405.18 10,064.75	0 0	(88,944.88) (60,109.16) (149,054.04)		(1,129,0 387,5 (741,5
к	KU Subtotal per General Ledger			(1,346,164.07)	- (67,0	016.60)	- (616,058.48)	91.44	619,285.35	502.07	690,919.43	(1,818.36)	1,235,469.69	60.38	(332,610.75)	6,436.04	1,692,021.64	5,272	1,875,8
	ess Subtotal Day 2 OSS		-	•	*	-	•	1,856	295,457.26 914,742.61	1,975	410,331.64 1,101,251.07	4,723 2,905	3,292,270.59 4,527,740.28	5,303	4,229,896.92	(2,425)	2,344,018.31 4,036,039.95	11,432	10,571,9
К	KU Total MISO less Day 2 OSS Profit			(1,346,164.07)		16.60)	- (616,058.48)	1,947						5,363	3,897,286.17	4,011		16,704	

CASE NO. 2005-00351

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Data Request Dated October 21, 2005

Question No. 9

Responding Witness: Valerie L. Scott

- Q-9. Refer to pages 9-10 of the Scott Testimony and Reference Schedule 1.45 of Blake Exhibit 1 concerning the adjustment to annualize the Revenue Sufficiency Guarantee make-whole payments and incurred charges associated with MISO's "Day 2" operations.
 - a. Provide the supporting workpapers for the proposed adjustment, including all calculations and assumptions. Identify the specific accounts in which the amounts were recorded.
 - b. The adjustment is based on annualizing the amounts recorded during the 5 months from April through August of 2005. Provide the amounts recorded during the month of September 2005 and identify the specific accounts in which the amounts were recorded.
- A-9. a. Please see the attached.
 - b. The amount booked to account #456025 for Revenue Sufficiency Guarantee Make Whole Payment ("RSG") was (\$4,015,670.22). The amount booked to accounts #557204 and #557205 for Revenue Sufficiency Distribution Amount (incurred charges) was \$2,299,171.66. The amount of production cost for RSG payments is calculated as a ratio of RSG revenues to total revenues times the production expense for making off-system sales. For the month of September 2005 this amount is calculated as \$2,267,849. Production expense is charged to the following FERC accounts: 501, 547 and 555.

MISO Summary						April -June
,	Apr-2005	May-2005	Jun-2005	Jul-2005	Aug-2005	
RSG Make Whole Payment	·					
RSG Reclassification	1,061,595	1,357,464	4,308,900	262,689		
LG&E	183,445	1,359,466	4,274,369	2,787,935	954,529	5,817,281
KU	1,534,096	1,716,795	6,536,598	2,876,540	1,458,161	9,787,489
Total	1,717,542	3,076,261	10,810,967	5,664,475	2,412,690	15,604,770
RSG Distribution Amount						
LG&E	317,622	415,546	1,105,768	1.083,018	1,508,474	1,838,937
KU	531,883	633,717	1,566,755	1,578,225	2,204,223	2,732,354
Total	849,505	1,049,262	2,672,523	2,661,243	3,712,697	4,571,291

Amount represents charges per the most recent settlement statements, or estimated amounts for days with no settlement statement, as of each month end allocated based upon an allocation methodology.

Revenue Sufficiency Guarantee make-whole payment is not allocated to native load.

Revenue Sufficiency Guarantee make-whole payments for OSS are allocated between companies based on the percent of unit ownership.

RSG Distribution charges for native load are allocated between companies based on the percent of load.

RSG Distribution charges for OSS are allocated between companies based on the percent of generation contributed.

Determination of RSG Make Whole Payment production cost using simple ratio of OSS production expense

		Apr-2005	May-2005	Jun-2005	Jul-2005	Aug-2005	April -June
LG&E Sales							
OSS Revenues 1		14,425,519	19.501.205	16,273,168	6,380,374	13,312,090	50,199,892
RSG Make Whole Payment	(a)	183,445	1,359,466	4,274,369	2,787,935.16	954,529.38	5,817,281
Total	(b)	14,608,964	20,860,672	20,547,537	9,168,310	14,266,620	56,017,173
RSG Percentage	(a) / (b)	1.26%	6.52%	20.80%	30.41%	6.69%	
Cost of Total Sales 2	(c)	11,776,239	18,804,666	15,869,688	5,818,491	10.898,916	46,450,593
Cost Attributable to RSG	(a)/(b) * (c)	147,875	1,225,479	3,301,267	1,769,309	729,208	4,674,621
RSG Revenues RSG Expenses	(d)	183,445	1,359,466	4,274,369	2,787,935.16	954,529.38	5,817,280.90
Distribution Amount	(e)	317,622	415,546	1,105,768	1.083.018.34	1,508,473.78	1,838,937
Cost of Sales	(f)	147,875	1,225,479	3,301,267	1,769,309.29	729,208.15	4,674,621
RSG Net	(d) - (e) - (f)	(282,052)	(281,558)	(132,666)	(64,392)	(1,283,153)	(696,276)
KU Sales							
Revenue from Foreign Sales 1		5,157,811	8,553,721	7,692,007	7,192,285	10.018.698	21,403,540
RSG Make Whole Payment	(a)	1.534,096	1,716,795	6.536.598	2,876,540	1,458,161	9,787,489
Total	(b)	6.691,907	10,270,516	14,228,605	10,068,825	11,476,859	31,191,029
RSG Percentage	(a) / (b)	22.92%	16.72%	45.94%	28.57%	12.71%	0.1,.0.1,
Cost of Total Sales 2	(c)	4,182,007	6,913,024	6.795.836	4,430,050	7,749,109	17,890,867
Cost Attributable to RSG	(a)/(b) * (c)	958,711	1,155,565	3,121,996	1,265,611	984,542	5,236,271
RSG Revenues RSG Expenses	(d)	1,534,096	1,716,795	6,536,598	2,876,539.91	1,458,160.94	9,787,488.96
Distribution Amount	(e)	531,883	633,717	1,566,755	1,578,224.78	2,204,223.08	2,732,354.11
Cost of Sales	(f)	958,711	1,155,565	3,121,996	1,265,611.17	984,541.91	5,236,270.87
RSG Net	(d) - (e) - (f)	43,503	(72,486)	1,847,847	32,704	(1,730,604)	1,818,864

¹ Equal to the summation of the External and Intercompany OSS Revenues from the OSS Margn Detail sheet.

² Cost of Total Sales is equal to the summation of the Purchase Power, Generation for I/C Sales, and OSS Generation Expense from the OSS Margin Detail sheet.

DAY 1 Schedule 1 - OSS 456052 (23,38.50) (14,476.83) (19,254.75) (705,566.21) 26,84.84 (1,155.17) (220.03) (3,28.50) - (1,933.01) (1,93	MWH (2.112,113 - (2.112,113 - (56,895, 6.95, 6
Schedule 1 - NL	13,234 3,031,784 57,925 775,573 13,234 759,680 01,827) (10,041,613 41,915) (6,497,589 9,182 1,230,599 21,975 5,106,194 6,47,102,913 13,570 13,570
Brokered Sales-OSS 447109 (20,709) (712,579,85) (31,229) (1,099,492,15) (29,210) (1,239,913,66) (26,260) (1,623,500,14) (34,507) (1,822,103,69) (14,624,500) (1,822,103,69) (14,624,500) (1,822,103,69) (14,624,500) (1,822,103,69) (14,624,500) (1,822,103,69) (14,624,500) (1,822,103,69) (14,624,500) (1,822,103,69) (14,624,500) (1,822,103,69) (14,624,500) (1,822,103,69) (14,624,500) (1,822,103,69) (14,624,500) (1,822,103,69) (14,624,500) (1,822,103,69) (14,624,500) (1,822,103,69) (14,624,500) (1,822,103,69) (14,624,500) (1,822,103,69) (14,624,500) (1,822,103,69) (14,624,500) (14,624,5	41,915) (6,497,589 9,182 1,230,599 21,975 5,106,194 - 28,244 - (7,102,913 - 13,570
	- (10,450 12,585) (17,251,964 98,658 6,482,744 2,495 197,245 11,432) (10,571,974
Purchases-NL 555007 53.416 2,725,057.10 22,631 914,871.90 48,761 3,856,706.79 102,415 8,854,999.07 127,569 12,303,731.02 35 Sch 16 - FTR Admin Fee-NL 557202 61,549.47 72,460.33 - 8,739.80 18,717.56 - 43,449.36 Sch 17 - DA/RT Admin Fee-NL 557203 - 270,660.06 287,420.52 310,687.12 404,479.69 - 388,618.98 RSG Make Whole Payment-NL 557204 (435,590.82) (281,401.50) 662,606.40 (17,335.77) 24,093.77 RSG Distribution Amount - NL 557204 - 531,795.43 - 633,529.38 - 1,564,556.35 1,577,799.76 - 2,198,570.60 Revenue Neutrality Uplift - NL 557204 661,739.67 - 1,125,520.24 - 2,340,949.26 3,041,404.17 - 2,316,875.74 Other-NL 557204 534,463 3,679,607.13 22,631 2,077,439.28 48,761 8,313,025.61 102,415 12,721,978.90 127,569 15,966,475.64 35	54,792 28,655,365 - 204,916 - 1,651,866 (28,627 - 6,501,231 - 9,486,489 (3,792,714 54,792 42,688,526 54,792) (28,655,365 - 14,033,160
73 MISO Schedule 21 - NL 456002 (543,702.67) (181,233.77) (95,922.69) (80,974.53) (49,912.67) (88,340.43) 0 (88,944.88) MISO Schedule 22 - NL 566117 26,535.12 164,064.60 43,735.07 43,264.62 71,606.20 98,405.18 0 (60,109.16) Subbtal Day 3 NL (517,167.55) - (17,169.17) - (52,187.62) - (37,709.91) - 21,693.53 - 10,064.75 - (149,054.04)	- (1,129,031 - 387,501 - (741,530
	5,272 1,875,846 11,432 10,571,974
	16,704 12,447,820

OSS Margin Detail July 2004 through June 2005 \$000s

	A	pr-05	May-05	Jun-05	Jul-05	Aug-05	Totals
LG&E						•	
External OSS Revenues	8	3,663	11,873	8,705	4,122	8,487	41,851
Intercompany OSS Revenues	5	,762	7,628	7,568	2,259	4,825	28,041
Transmission Revenues		(5)	1	0	2	1	(1)
MISO Day 2 Revenues	1	,213	2,550	8,929	3,037	995	16,724
Subtotal		,633	22,052	25,203	9,419	14,308	86,615
Purchased Power	5	5,099	8,383	5,752	2,449	4,521	26,203
Generation for I/C Sales		5,763	7,646	7,556	2,316	4,648	27,928
OSS Generation Expense		915	2,776	2,562	1,054	1,730	9,037
Transmission Expense		(213)	29	18	18	(597)	(746)
Subtotal	11	1,563	18,833	15,887	5,836	10,302	62,422
LG&E OSS Margin	4	4,070	3,219	9,315	3,583	4,006	
KU							
External OSS Revenues		330	654	1,794	4,340	5,265	12,383
Intercompany OSS Revenues	4	4,828	7,900	5,898	2,852	4,754	26,232
Transmission Revenues		(29)	1	0	4	2	(21)
MISO Day 2 Revenues		36	78	2,910	2,597	1,557	7,177
Subtotal		5,165	8,633	10,603	9,793	11,578	45,771
Purchased Power		15	15	19	106	1,528	1,683
Generation for I/C Sales	:	3,929	6,383	6,349	2,119	3,367	22,146
OSS Generation Expense		238	516	428	2,205	2,854	6,241
Transmission Expense		(18)	(5)	15	7	(144)	(145)
Subtotal		4,164	6,908	6,811	4,437	7,605	29,925
KU OSS Margin		1,001	1,725	3,792	5,355	3,973	

	*	
	•	
	•	
•		
,		

CASE NO. 2005-00351

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Data Request Dated October 21, 2005

Question No. 10

Responding Witnesses: S. Bradford Rives / Kent W. Blake

- Q-10. Refer to page 6 of the Testimony of S. Bradford Rives ("Rives Testimony") concerning how rating agencies require that purchased power agreements be treated as fixed obligations equivalent to debt.
 - a. The table on page 6 shows \$111,709,200 as the total amount of the imputed jurisdictional debt for KU's purchase power agreements with Owensboro Municipal Utility ("OMU"), Electric Energy Inc. ("EEI"), and Ohio Valley Electric Corporation. Provide, on a jurisdictional basis, the dollar amounts of each of the 3 individual agreements.
 - b. Provide the current status of the OMU and EEI agreements and explain whether KU anticipates continuing to purchase power under these agreements for the foreseeable future.
- A-10. a. The imputed jurisdictional debt for KU's purchased power agreements with EEI, OMU, and OVEC are allocated by the rating agency as follows:

b. Although KU is in litigation with the City of Owensboro and the Owensboro City Utility Commission related to the OMU Purchase Power Agreement, that purchase power agreement remains in effect. The litigation is pending in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky. On July 22, 2005, the Court issued an order in response to the summary judgment motions of the parties, holding that the City of Owensboro has the right to unilaterally terminate the Purchase Power Agreement on four years notice. Neither the City of Owensboro nor the Owensboro City Utility Commission have issued a termination notice to KU. The case continues to be in the early discovery stages. The trial date has not yet been set. KU anticipates continuing to purchase power under this agreement for the foreseeable future.

Response to Question No. 10 Page 2 of 2 Rives / Blake

The current Power Supply Agreement ("PSA") with EEI expires on December 31, 2005. KU is continuing negotiations with EEI to achieve favorable terms for a new PSA such that the PSA will remain a least cost option to serve native load. However, based on negotiations to date, KU cannot affirmatively state that it expects the agreement to be extended beyond December 31, 2005.

,				

CASE NO. 2005-00351

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Data Request Dated October 21, 2005

Question No. 11

Responding Witness: Kent W. Blake

- Q-11. The Value Delivery Surcredit Rider reflects the costs and savings related to the Workforce Separation Program ("WSP"). Are the actual savings and benefits from the WSP reflected in the current rates of KU? Explain the response.
- A-11. The Company believes that base rates are not set to recover specific costs or return specific savings. Rather, they are set at a level expected to produce a reasonable return on a prospective basis.

The Company did demonstrate in the rebuttal testimony of Mr. S. Bradford Rives filed April 26, 2004, in Case No. 2003-00434 that the estimated savings from this initiative had more than been achieved. The guaranteed savings have been and will continue to be shared for five years as agreed between the shareholder and customers with the shareholder receiving 60% via a pro-forma operating expense adjustment initially in its annual ESM filing and subsequently in Case No. 2003-00433 and the customers receiving their 40% share via the surcredit. To the extent actual savings have exceeded the guaranteed level, those additional savings were reflected in test year earnings.

Upon expiration of the Value Delivery Surcredit Rider on March 31, 2006, the Company's Plan as filed on September 30, 2005, includes the provision that 100% of the savings will be provided to customers in subsequent base rate cases. Blake Exhibits 1-5 demonstrate that after applying the required adjustments to the year ended June 30, 2005, to reflect expiration of the surcredit on March 31, 2006, a base rate case would not be appropriate. The resulting return for the Company remains reasonable. Achievement of the guaranteed savings from this initiative have served to offset other increases in the cost of providing utility service. Of course, upon the actual expiration of the Value Delivery Surcredit Rider, revenues and costs of the Company will likely be different than they are at this time.

		•	
•			
•			

CASE NO. 2005-00351

Response to the Commission Staff's Initial Data Request Dated October 21, 2005

Question No. 12

Responding Witnesses: S. Bradford Rives / Kent W. Blake

- Q-12. Refer to Rives Testimony pages 8 and 9, concerning the need to adjust capitalization for the Asset Retirement Obligation ("ARO").
 - a. Prepare a revised Blake Exhibit 2 to reflect an ARO adjustment to capitalization consistent with the approach used by the Commission in KU's last rate case. Include all supporting workpapers and calculations.
 - b. Using the results from subpart (a) above, prepare a revised Blake Exhibit 4 reflecting the results from the revised Blake Exhibit 2. Include all supporting workpapers and calculations.
- A-12. As indicated in Rives testimony, KU believes that an adjustment is not needed for capitalization because the accounting for the AROs, consistent with the Commission's December 23, 2003 Order in Case No. 2003-00427, effectively removes all impacts of ARO accounting from the income statement and net assets in the balance sheet, accordingly, there is no impact on common equity or other capitalization accounts. The recorded regulatory assets, liabilities and credits offset the effects of the ARO accounting. KU removed the AROs from rate base in Blake Exhibit 3, in accordance with the December 23, 2003 Order.
 - a. Please see the attached.
 - b. Please see the attached.

KENTUCKY UTILITIES

Capitalization at June 30, 2005

		Per Books 6-30-05 (1)	Capital Structure (2)	Undistributed Subsidiary Earnings (3)	Investment in EEI (Col 2 x Col 4 Line 5) (4)	Investments in OVEC and Other (Col 2 x Col 5 Line 5) (5)	Adjustments to Total Co. Capitalization (6)	Adjusted Total Company Capitalization (7)	Jurisdictional Rate Base Percentage (Exhibit 3 Line 23) (8)	Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization (Col 7 x Col 8) (9)
1.	Short Term Debt	\$ 93,130,000	5.17%	\$ -	\$ (749,117)	\$ (35,743)	\$ (784,860)	\$ 92,345,140	87.96%	\$ 81,226,785
2.	Long Term Debt	671,522,700	37.29%	•	(5,403,202)	(257,806)	(5,661,008)	665,861,692	87.96%	585,691,944
3.	Preferred Stock	39,726,895	2.21%	-	(320,222)	(15,279)	(335,501)	39,391,394	87.96%	34,648,670
4.	Common Equity	996,344,174	55.33%	(13,193,882)	(8,017,141)	(382,527)	(21,593,550)	974,750,624	87.96%	857,390,649
5.	Total Capitalization	\$ 1,800,723,769	100.00%	\$ (13,193,882)	\$ (14,489,682)	\$ (691,355)	\$ (28,374,919)	\$ 1,772,348,850		\$1,558,958,048
		Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization (10)	Capital Structure (11)	Environmental Surcharge Post '94 Plan (Col 11 x Col 12 Line 5) (12)	SFAS No. 143 ARO (Exhibit 3 Line 8) (Col 11 x Col 13 Line 5) (13)	Adjusted Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization (14)	Adjusted Capital Structure (15)	Annual Cost Rate (16)	Cost of Capital (Col 16 x Col 15) (17)	
1.	Short Term Debt	\$ 81,226,785	5.21%	\$ (9,946,521)	\$ (294,502)	\$ 70,985,762	5.21%	3.060%	0.16%	
2.	Long Term Debt	585,691,944	37.57%	(71,725,677)	(2,123,696)	511,842,571	37.57%	3.957%	1.49%	Ì
3.	Preferred Stock	34,648,670	2.22%	(4,238,249)	(125,489)	30,284,932	2.22%	5.679%	0.13%	• •
4.	Common Equity	857,390,649	55.00%	(105,001,655)	(3,108,950)	749,280,044	55.00%	10.000% - 10.500% - 11.000%	5.50% - 5.78% - 6.05%	(
5.	Total Capitalization	\$ 1,558,958,048	100.00%	\$ (190,912,102)	\$ (5,652,637)	\$ 1,362,393,309	100.00%		7.28% - 7.56% - 7.83%	

Blake Exhibit 3
Sponsoring Witness: Kent Blake

Page 1 of 2

KENTUCKY UTILITIES

Net Original Cost Kentucky Jurisdictional Rate Base <u>At June 30, 2005</u>

	Title of Account (1)	 Kentucky Jurisdictional Rate Base at June 30, 2005 (2)		Other furisdictional Rate Base at une 30, 2005 (3)	TO A TO B TO A TO A	Total Company Rate Base at June 30, 2005 (4)
1.	Utility Plant at Original Cost	\$ 3,269,705,216	\$	486,359,003	\$	3,756,064,219
2.	Deduct:					
3.	Reserve for Depreciation	1,496,503,901		239,956,062		1,736,459,963
4.	Net Utility Plant	 1,773,201,315		246,402,941		2,019,604,256
5.	Deduct:					
6.	Customer Advances for Construction	1,536,470		15,105		1,551,575
7.	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	265,911,069		40,161,335		306,072,404
8.	Asset Retirement Obligation-Net Assets	5,652,637		914,078		6,566,715
9.	Asset Retirement Obligation-Liabilities	(18,540,716)		(2,998,187)		(21,538,903)
10.	Asset Retirement Obligation-Regulatory Assets	11,748,452		1,899,822		13,648,274
11.	Asset Retirement Obligation-Regulatory Liabilities	(1,331,127)		(215,254)		(1,546,381)
12.	Reclassification of Accumulated Depreciation associated					
	with Cost of Removal for underlying ARO Assets	2,337,238		377,951		2,715,189
13.	Investment Tax Credit	2,472,147		483,204		2,955,351
14.	Total Deductions	 269,786,171	***************************************	40,638,053	***************************************	310,424,224
15.	Net Plant Deductions	 1,503,415,144	***************************************	205,764,888		1,709,180,032
16.	Add:					
17.	Materials and Supplies (a)	63,198,224		10,119,919		73,318,143
18.	Prepayments (a)(b)	1,661,011		242,356		1,903,367
19.	Emission Allowances	2,356,627		381,087		2,737,714
20.	Cash Working Capital	59,630,561		6,597,745		66,228,306
21.	Total Additions	 126,846,423	-	17,341,107	-	144,187,530
22.	Total Net Original Cost Rate Base	\$ 1,630,261,567	 \$	223,105,995	\$	1,853,367,562

⁽a) Average for 13 months.

23. Percentage of KY Jurisdictional Rate Base to Total Company Rate Base

87.96%

⁽b) Includes prepayments for property insurance only.

Blake Exhibit 3 Sponsoring Witness: Kent Blake Page 2 of 2

KENTUCKY UTILITIES

Calculation of Cash Working Capital At June 30, 2005

Title of Account	Kentucky Jurisdictional Rate Base at June 30, 2005 (2)		Other Jurisdictional Rate Base at June 30, 2005 (3)		Total Company Rate Base at June 30, 2005 (4)	
Operating and maintenance expense for the						
12 months ended June 30, 2005	\$	622,319,076	\$	92,848,845	\$	715,167,921
2. Deduct:						
3. Electric Power Purchased	-	145,274,584		23,305,571		168,580,155
4. Total Deductions	\$	145,274,584	\$	23,305,571	\$	168,580,155
5. Remainder (Line 1 - Line 5)	\$	477,044,492	\$	69,543,275	\$	546,587,766
6. Cash Working Capital	\$	59,630,561	\$	6,597,745	\$	66,228,306
V				-		

Kentucky Jurisdictional (12 1/2% of Line 5)
Other Jurisdictional comprised of FERC, Tennessee, and Virginia Jurisdictional methodologies.

KENTUCKY UTILITIES

Calculation of Overall Revenue Deficiency/(Sufficiency) at June 30, 2005

	***************************************	(1)	
SECTION I - VALUE DELIVERY SURCREDIT EFFECTIVE	10.00%	ROE RANGE - 10.50% -	11.00%
1. Adjusted Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization (Exhibit 2, Col 14)	\$1,362,393,309	\$1,362,393,309	\$1,362,393,309
2. Total Cost of Capital (Exhibit 2, Col 17)	7.28%	7.56% -	7.83%
3. Net Operating Income Found Reasonable (Line 1 x Line 2)	\$ 99,182,233 -	\$ 102,996,934 -	\$ 106,675,396
4. Pro-forma Net Operating Income prior to Value Delivery Surcredit expiration	88,185,975	88,185,975	88,185,975
5. Net Operating Income Deficiency/(Sufficiency) prior to Value Delivery Surcredit expiration6. Gross Up Revenue Factor - Blake Exhibit 1, Reference Schedule 1.74	\$ 10,996,258 - 0.60252327	\$ 14,810,959 - 0.60252327	\$ 18,489,421 0.60252327
7. Overall Revenue Deficiency/(Sufficiency) prior to Value Delivery Surcredit expiration	\$ 18,250,346 -	\$ 24,581,555 -	\$ 30,686,651
SECTION II - VALUE DELIVERY SURCREDIT EXPIRED			
1. Adjusted Kentucky Jurisdictional Capitalization (Exhibit 2, Col 14)	\$1,362,393,309	\$1,362,393,309	\$1,362,393,309
2. Total Cost of Capital (Exhibit 2, Col 17)	7.28% -	7.56% -	7.83%
3. Net Operating Income Found Reasonable (Line 1 x Line 2)	\$ 99,182,233 -	\$ 102,996,934 -	\$ 106,675,396
4. Pro-forma Net Operating Income for expiration of Value Delivery Surcredit	100,070,823	100,070,823	100,070,823
 Net Operating Income Deficiency/(Sufficiency) for expiration of Value Delivery Surcredit Gross Up Revenue Factor - Blake Exhibit 1, Reference Schedule 1.74 	\$ (888,590) - 0.60252327	2,926,111 - 0.60252327	\$ 6,604,573 0.60252327
7. Overall Revenue Deficiency/(Sufficiency) for expiration of Value Delivery Surcredit	\$ (1,474,781) -	\$ 4,856,428	\$ 10,961,524

Revised Blake Exhibit 1 Sponsoring Witness: Kent Blake Page 1 of 3

KENTUCKY UTILITIES

Adjustments to Operating Revenues, Operating Expenses and Net Operating Income For the Twelve Months Ended June 30, 2005

	Reference Schedule (1)	Operating Revenues (2)	Operating Expenses (3)	Net Operating Income (4)
1. Jurisdictional amount per books		933,078,332	802,331,440	\$ 130,746,892
Adjustments for known changes and to eliminate unrepresentative conditions:				
3. Adjustment to eliminate environmental surcharge revenues and expenses	1.11	(21,777,415)	(8,896,292)	(12,881,123)
4. Eliminate DSM revenue and expenses	1.12	(3,982,650)	(3,874,591)	(108,059)
5. To eliminate ECR and FAC accruals	1.13	(22,528,436)	-	(22,528,436)
6. Adjustment for merger savings	1.14	1,739,220	18,968,825	(17,229,605)
7. To adjust mismatch in fuel cost recovery	1.15	(43,439,216)	(61,956,490)	18,517,274
8. Off-system sales revenue adjustment for the ECR calculation	1.16	(857,672)	-	(857,672)
9. Adjustment to eliminate unbilled revenues	1.20	(6,460,000)	-	(6,460,000)
10. To eliminate electric brokered sales revenues and expenses	1.21	277,850	(91,492)	369,342
11. Adjustment to eliminate advertising expenses pursuant to Commission Rule 807 KAR 5:016	1.22	-	(169,974)	169,974
12. Adjustment to reflect normalized storm damage expense	1.30	•	(559,863)	559,863
13. Adjustment for injuries and damages FERC account 925	1.31	-	1,209,867	(1,209,867)
14. To reflect representative level of off-system sales margins	1.32	(10,335,215)	-	(10,335,215)
15. Adjustment to annualize year-end customers	1.40	2,524,868	1,385,900	1,138,968
16. Adjustment to reflect annualized depreciation expenses under current rates	1.41	-	(1,319,539)	1,319,539

KENTUCKY UTILITIES

Adjustments to Operating Revenues, Operating Expenses and Net Operating Income For the Twelve Months Ended June 30, 2005

	Reference Schedule	Operating Revenues (2)	Operating Expenses (3)	Net Operating Income (4)
17. Adjustment to reflect increases in labor and labor related costs	1.42	-	744,930	(744,930)
18. Adjustment to annualize MISO schedules 16 and 17	1.43	-	3,037,717	(3,037,717)
19. Adjustment to annualize MISO revenue neutrality uplift	1.44	-	16,087,195	(16,087,195)
20. Adjustment to annualize RSG revenues and expenses	1.45	20,750,244	22,066,018	(1,315,774)
21. Adjustment for reclassification of RSG	1.50	5,791,428	-	5,791,428
22. Adjustment to remove non-recurring EKPC transmission refund	1.51	708,301	-	708,301
23. Adjustment to reflect VDT net shareholder savings	1.60	-	4,680,000	(4,680,000)
24. Total of above adjustments prior to Value Delivery Surcredit expiration		(77,588,693)	(8,687,789)	\$ (68,900,904)
25. Federal and state income taxes corresponding to base revenue and expense adjustments and above adjustments - 39.5500 %	6 1.70		(27,250,308)	27,250,308
26. Federal and state income taxes corresponding to annualization and adjustment of year-end interest expense	1.71		48,294	(48,294)
27. Prior income tax true-ups and adjustments	1.72		1,202,473	(1,202,473)
28. Tax deduction for manufacturing activities (TDMA) adjustment	1.73		(340,446)	340,446
29. Total adjustments prior to Value Delivery Surcredit expiration		(77,588,693)	(35,027,776)	\$ (42,560,917)
30. Adjusted Net Operating Income prior to Value Delivery Surcredit expiration		855,489,639	767,303,664	\$ 88,185,975

Revised Blake Exhibit 1 Sponsoring Witness: Kent Blake

Page 3 of 3

KENTUCKY UTILITIES

Adjustments to Operating Revenues, Operating Expenses and Net Operating Income For the Twelve Months Ended June 30, 2005

	Reference Schedule (1)	Operating Revenues (2)	Operating Expenses (3)	Net Operating Income (4)
31. Adjustments for expiration of Value Delivery Surcredit:				
32. Adjustment to remove VDT net shareholder savings	1.60	-	(4,680,000)	4,680,000
33. Adjustment to remove Value Delivery Surcredit and cost amortization	1.61	3,227,105	(11,753,520)	14,980,625
34. Total of above adjustments for expiration of Value Delivery Surcredit		3,227,105	(16,433,520)	\$ 19,660,625
35. Federal and state income taxes corresponding to base revenue and expense adjustments and above adjustments - 39.5500 %	5 1.70		7,775,777	(7,775,777)
36. Total adjustments for expiration of Value Delivery Surcredit		3,227,105	(8,657,743)	\$ 11,884,848
37. Adjusted Net Operating Income for expiration of Value Delivery Surcredit		858,716,744	758,645,921	\$ 100,070,823

Revised Blake Exhibit 1
Reference Schedule 1.71
Sponsoring Witness: Valerie Scott

KENTUCKY UTILITIES

Calculation of Current Tax Adjustment Resulting <a href="From" Interest Synchronization" "From" Interest Synchronization"

1. Adjusted Jurisdictional Capitalization - Exhibit 2	\$	1,362,393,309
2. Weighted Cost of Debt - Exhibit 2	W	1.65%
3. "Interest Synchronization"		22,479,490
4. Kentucky Jurisdictional Interest per books (excluding other interest)		22,601,598
5. "Interest Synchronization" adjustment	\$	122,108
6. Composite Federal and State tax rate		39.5500%
7. Current tax adjustment from "Interest Synchronization"	_\$_	48,294