SULLIVAN, MOUNTJOY, STAINBACK & MILLER PSC ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Ronald M Sullivan
Jesse T. Mountjoy
Frank Stainback
James M Miller
Michael A. Fiorella
Allen W Holbrook
R. Michael Sullivan
Bryan R. Reynolds
Tyson A. Kamuf
Mark W. Starnes
C. Ellsworth Mountjoy

Susan Montalvo-Gesser

RECEIVED

JUN 3 0 2008

COMMISSION

PUBLIC SERVICE

June 27, 2008

Stephanie L. Stumbo
Executive Director
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

RE: Big Rivers Electric Corporation

Case No. 2005-00275 Periodic Report

Dear Ms. Stumbo:

Enclosed for filing are an original and ten copies of the "Pilot Program Assessment Report and Motion" in this matter. I certify that a copy of this letter and attachment has been served on the parties on the attached service list. Please feel free to contact me with any questions.

Sincerely yours,

James M. Miller

cc: David A. Spainhoward

Junes M. Miller

Telephone (270) 926-4000 Telecopier (270) 683-6694

> 100 St. Ann Building PO Box 727 Owensboro, Kentucky 42302-0727

SERVICE LIST CASE NO. 2005-00275

Frank N. King, Jr., Esq. Dorsey, King, Gary, Norment & Hopgood 318 Second Street Henderson, KY 42420

Counsel for Kenergy Corp. and Meade County RECC

W. David Denton, Esq. Denton & Kueler P. O. Box 929 Paducah, KY 42004-0929

Counsel for Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation

RECEIVED

1	COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY JUN 3 0 2008
2	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION PUBLIC SERVICE
4	In the Matter of:
5 6 7 8 9	THE TARIFF FILING OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION TO REVISE THE LARGE INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMER RATE SCHEDULE) (ASE NO. 2005-00275)
10 11 12	PILOT PROGRAM ASSESSMENT REPORT AND MOTION
13 14	Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers") files this periodic report on the operation
15	of its Rate Schedule 10, as required by the order dated February 25, 2000, in this matter. It
16	further moves that further reporting and reviews of this rate schedule be consolidated with the
17	reporting and review requirements required of Big Rivers in Case No. 2007-00164.
18	Background
19	1. Big Rivers' large industrial customer rate schedule (Rate Schedule 10) was approved by
20	the Public Service Commission ("Commission") in Case No. 99-360 on February 25, 2000 (the
21	"Original Order"). Big Rivers also sought, and the Commission granted, changes in Big Rivers'
22	tariffs that effectively closed its existing Rate Schedule 7 for certain new or expanded loads of
23	direct serve large industrial customers. Rate Schedule 10 contemplates that new or expanded
24	large industrial load will be served, in part, at market prices absent special, negotiated
25	arrangements approved by the Commission. Big Rivers filed a report assessing the first two
26	years of the pilot project on July 1, 2002, and moved the Commission to extend the pilot
27	program for another three years. By order dated October 1, 2002, the Commission accepted the
28	report, and ordered that the pilot program be extended through December 31, 2005, and that Big
29	Rivers file another report on the operation of the program no later than June 30, 2005.

- Big Rivers filed a second report on the operation of Rate Schedule 10 on June 29, 2005,
- 2 and moved to extend the pilot program another three years. The Commission assigned Case No.
- 3 2005-00275 to that Report and Motion, and entered an order on August 11, 2005, accepting the
- 4 report, ordering that the pilot program be extended through December 31, 2008, and directing
- 5 that Big Rivers file another report on the operation of the program no later than June 30, 2008.
- 6 3. Big Rivers and Kenergy Corp. ("Kenergy"), one of Big Rivers' three member-owner
- distribution cooperatives, jointly filed with the Commission on April 20, 2007, in Case No.
- 8 2007-00164, an application to implement a voluntary real-time pricing ("RTP") pilot program
- 9 for large commercial and industrial customers pursuant to the Commission's directive in
- Administrative Case No. 2006-00045. By order in Case No. 2007-00164 dated February 1,
- 11 2008 (the "RTP Order"), the Commission approved a RTP pilot program involving revisions to
- Big Rivers' Rate Schedule 10, and Kenergy's corresponding Rate Schedule 41. Among other
- things, the Commission ordered that Rate Schedule 10, as modified by the RTP provisions,
- 14 "shall remain in effect until otherwise ordered by the Commission," which will be at least
- another three years. RTP Order, page 7.

16

Report on Operation of Rate Schedule 10

- 4. The pilot program approved by the Commission in the Original Order continues to work
- well. Big Rivers and its distribution cooperatives have entered into negotiations with large
- industrial customers proposing potential new or expanded loads, but for reasons other than the
- 20 terms of Rate Schedule 10, no contracts requiring an increment of market-priced power have

¹ Administrative Case No. 2006-00045, Consideration of the Requirements of the Federal Energy Policy Act of 2005 Regarding Time-Based Metering, Demand Response, and Interconnection Service, final Order dated December 21, 2006.

- been concluded. Rate Schedule 10 continues to allow Big Rivers the flexibility in managing the
- 2 business risk and potential adverse rate impact to its Members associated with a large load
- 3 demanding service under Rate Schedule 7, as was contemplated by the Commission in its
- 4 Original Order.
- 5 Since the last report on the Rate Schedule 10 pilot program, Big Rivers' Members have
- 6 had several inquiries regarding service and rates. Those inquiries have ranged from a 16 MW
- 7 load to a potential 240 MW load. A partial listing of those inquiries follows:

Industry or Type	Max. Demand	<u>Date</u>
Melissa Project	20 MW	October, 2005
Washington Project	100 MW	August, 2006
Kyle Project	50 MW	October, 2006
Ethanol Project	16 MW	October, 2006
Industrial Project	45 MW	November, 2006
Coal Processing	40 MW	January, 2007
Auto Project	25 MW	January, 2007
Steel Project	22 MW	July, 2007
Amber Project	65 MW	July, 2007
Gas Project	20 MW	October, 2007
Industrial Project	180-240 MW	October, 2007
Industrial Project	80 MW	October, 2005
Coal Project	120 MW	February, 2008

Tech Project	30 MW	February, 2008
Industrial Project	50 MW	February, 2008
Industrial Project	80 MW	April, 2008

5. The use by Big Rivers and its member distribution cooperatives continues to follow the predicted procedure. In most cases (all cases, to date) negotiations will take place with a new or expanding load, and the pricing for its requirements will include some existing system power as well as market power. As the Commission knows from prior proceedings in this case, Big Rivers made the negotiated contract option a part of Rate Schedule 10 to give Big Rivers the opportunity to leverage its low cost system resources with market products, selected solely by Big Rivers, to ultimately deliver an attractive package for a large industrial consumer without adversely affecting the retail customers who have expectations that they will enjoy the benefits of the 1998 power supply arrangements negotiated by Big Rivers for an extended period into the future. Big Rivers has made proposals to its Members for those industries listed above using a combination of Base Power and market power. Without Rate Schedule 10, Big Rivers will not have sufficient leverage to negotiate with new or expanding industrial loads. Without this leverage, other rates charged by Big Rivers to its Members could be adversely affected.

. .

6. Big Rivers 2007 load forecast indicates that Big Rivers has surplus power through 2015.

See attached chart. Should one or two of the industries listed above decide to locate in Big

Rivers' Members service territory the surplus could be eliminated.

1 Motion

7. Big Rivers moves that the Commission enter an order (i) finding that the RTP Order has
implicitly eliminated any need for Big Rivers to seek an extension of the Rate Schedule 10 pilot
program, and (ii) merging the periodic (three-year) reporting requirements regarding Rate
Schedule 10 with the annual reporting requirements imposed in connection with the RTP Order.
In support of this motion, Big Rivers states that, as noted above in paragraph number 3, the RTP
Order continues the existence of Rate Schedule 10 as amended by the RTP language until further
orders of the Commission. As both a practical and legal matter, that directive in the RTP Order
renders moot the December 31, 2008, expiration date for Rate Schedule 10 that was established
in the August 11, 2005 order of the Commission in Case No. 2005-00275. Big Rivers
accordingly proposes that the historic reporting requirements related to the Rate Schedule 10
pilot program simply be added to the annual reporting requirements established in the RTP Order
to be considered by the Commission, as and when it takes up continuation of the RTP pilot
program.
WHEREFORE, Big Rivers requests (i) that its assessment report be accepted, (ii) that the
Commission grant its motion for an order finding that the RTP Order has implicitly eliminated
any need for Big Rivers to seek an extension of the Rate Schedule 10 pilot program, and merging
the three-year reporting requirements regarding Rate Schedule 10 with the annual reporting
requirements imposed in connection with the RTP Order, and (iii) all other relief to which it may
appear entitled.

1	
2	Respectfully submitted, this the 27th day of June, 2008.
3	
4	BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION
5	
6	No indicate the second of the
7	David A. Spainhoward
8	
9	Vice President, External Relations and Interim
10	Chief Production Officer
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	SULLIVAN, MOUNTJOY, STAINBACK
16	& MILLER, P.S.C.
17	
18	Ω ,
19	Johns M. Mille
20	Sames M. Miller
21	100 St. Ann Street, P.O. Box 727
22 23	Owensboro, Kentucky 42302-0727
23	(270) 926-4000
24	Counsel for Big Rivers Electric Corporation

Big Rivers Capacity vs Load (2007 Load Forecast)

