COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RECEIVED

OCT 1 2 2005

PUBLIC SERVICE

In the Matter of:

-18 × 19 18

ADJUSTMENT OF RATES	)		
OF CUMBERLAND VALLEY	)	CASE NO.	2005-00187
ELECTRIC, INC.	)		

### ATTORNEY GENERAL'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

Comes now the intervenor, the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, by and through his Office of Rate Intervention, and submits the following Supplemental Requests for Information to Cumberland Valley Electric, Inc. to be answered by the date specified in the Commission's Order of Procedure, and in accord with the following:

- (1) In each case where a request seeks data provided in response to a staff request, reference to the appropriate request item will be deemed a satisfactory response.
- (2) Please identify the witness who will be prepared to answer questions concerning each request.
- (3) These requests shall be deemed continuing so as to require further and supplemental responses if the company receives or generates additional information

within the scope of these requests between the time of the response and the time of any hearing conducted hereon.

- (4) If any request appears confusing, please request clarification directly from the Office of Attorney General.
- (5) To the extent that the specific document, workpaper or information as requested does not exist, but a similar document, workpaper or information does exist, provide the similar document, workpaper, or information.
- (6) To the extent that any request may be answered by way of a computer printout, please identify each variable contained in the printout which would not be self-evident to a person not familiar with the printout.
- (7) If the company has objections to any request on the grounds that the requested information is proprietary in nature, or for any other reason, please notify the Office of the Attorney General as soon as possible.
- (8) For any document withheld on the basis of privilege, state the following: date; author; addressee; indicated or blind copies; all persons to whom distributed, shown, or explained; and, the nature and legal basis for the privilege asserted.
- (9) In the event any document called for has been destroyed or transferred beyond the control of the company, please state: the identity of the person by whom it was destroyed or transferred, and the person authorizing the destruction or transfer; the time, place, and method of destruction or transfer; and, the reason(s) for its destruction

or transfer. If destroyed or disposed of by operation of a retention policy, state the retention policy.

Respectfully submitted,

GREGORY D. STUMBO ATTORNEY GENERAL

Dennis G. Howard, II Elizabeth E. Blackford

Lawrence W. Cook

Assistant Attorneys General

1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 200

Frankfort, KY 40601-8204

502 696-5453

## Certificate of Service and Filing

Counsel certifies that an original and seven photocopies of the Attorney General's Supplemental Requests For Information were served and filed by hand delivery to Beth O'Donnell, Executive Director, Public Service Commission, 211 Sower Boulevard, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601; furthermore, it was served by mailing a true and correct copy of the same, first class postage prepaid, to Ted Hampton, Cumberland Valley Electric, Inc., P.O. Box 440, Gray, Kentucky 40734, all on this day of October, 2005.

Assistant Attorney General

 $N: \label{lem:normalize} N: \label{lem:normalize} N: \label{lem:normalize} ORI \label{lem:normalize} DHoward \label{lem:normalize} Public \label{lem:normalize} 2005-00187 \label{lem:normalize} AG\_DR2\_RE-DBK\_20052021. doc$ 

# Attorney General's Supplemental Requests for Information to Cumberland Valley Electric, Inc. Case Number 2005-00187

4 13 1

1. Page 9 of the independent auditors report included in the response to PSC-2-13 shows a total May 31, 2005 Long Term Debt balance of \$27,717,328. In the same format and detail as per Exhibit F, Schedule 5 part b, provide a breakout of this total Long Term Debt balance by Note Number; interest rate as of 5/31/05; 5/31/05 dollar balance; and annualized interest (5/31/05 dollar balance times 5/31/05 interest rate) as compared to actual per books interest for the 12-month period (the actual per books interest should add to \$992,611 as shown on page 4 of the independent auditors report).

In addition, provide a schedule showing the derivation of the actual long-term debt interest of \$992,611 for the 12-month period ended 5/31/05, showing a breakout of the outstanding debt issue by Note Number, the interest rate for each debt issue and the resulting annual interest expense adding to \$992,611.

- 2. The response to PSC-2-6, pages 1 and 2 show total 12/31/04 RUS debt (including the \$2 million debt amount that was drawn down in January 2005) of \$24,104,440 and total 12/31/04 CFC and Other Debt of \$5,155,464 for a grand total long term debt balance as of January 2005 of \$29,259,904. However, the independent auditors report in the response to PSC-2-13 shows a May 31, 2005 total long term debt balance of \$27,717,328. Please reconcile these two total balances of \$29,259,904 and \$27,717,328 in total and as broken down by long term debt Note Number.
- 3. With regard to the response to PSC-2-6, pages 1 and 2, please provide the following information:
  - a) For each of the long term debt Note Numbers shown, provide the actual interest rate as of 5/31/05 as compared to the 9/26/05 interest rate currently shown.
  - b) When the interest rates in the "9/26/05 current interest rate %" column are applied to the 12/31/04 long term debt balances, this results in a total annualized interest expense of \$1,287,499. Please explain why this is so much larger than the total annual interest expense of \$992,611 for the 12-month period ended 5/31/05 shown on page 4 of the May 2005 independent auditors report.

4. Please reconcile the "current" variable long term debt interest rates for RUS debt shown in the response to PSC-2-18, page 2 to the corresponding current variable RUS debt interest rates shown in the response to PSC-2-6, page 1.

\*1 1 5 6

The response to PSC-2-6 also shows a change in interest rate (2.75% vs. 1.62%) for Note Number 1B300. Is this also a variable rate? If not, explain the rate change.

The response to PSC-2-6 also shows a change in interest rate for CFC Note Numbers 9010 (5.75% vs. 5.70%) and 9012 (5.90% vs. 5.70%). Are these also variable rates? If not explain the rate changes.

- 5. The response to AG-1-7 shows that the property taxes charged to operating expenses increased by \$10,118 from 2001 to 2002 and by \$18,985 from 2002 to 2003. In this regard, provide the following information:
  - a) Are the equivalent property taxes charged to operating expenses for 2004 and for the pro forma period \$395,156 and \$419,909, respectively? If not, provide the correct equivalent amounts.
  - b) If so, this means that the property taxes from 2003 to 2004 increased by \$35,140 and from 2004 to the pro forma period increased by another 24,753. Please explain these large annual property tax increases given the more moderate increases in the prior two years.
  - c) Explain exactly what represents the pro forma property taxes of \$419,909. Are these the actual property taxes for 2005? Do they represent actual tax levels? How were these tax levels determined?
- 6. Please provide the same labor/non-labor breakout for all of the actual 2004 test year O&M expenses in the same format and detail as per the response to AG-1-11. Also, provide a reconciliation if the total 2004 O&M labor expense amount to be provided is different from the 2004 test year O&M payroll amount of \$1,436,254 shown on Exhibit F, Schedule 6, part b, page 3.
- 7. \$216,602 of the Exhibit F, Schedule 1 revenue normalization adjustment relates to the removal of revenues booked in the 2004 test year that relate to "the sale of energy in previous years." In this regard, please provide the following information:
  - a) Does the \$216,602 represent the revenues associated with sales made in 2003 that, as a result of billing cycle timing differences, was booked by CVE in 2004? If so, in what month(s) of 2003 was this energy sale made? If not, explain the exact reasons for the \$216,602 revenue booking and explain whether these revenues relate to the sale of energy made in years other than in 2003 and, if so, explain why.

b) In what month(s) of 2004 were these prior period revenues of \$216,602 booked?

4 1 3

- c) Is there a time lag between the sale of energy in one particular month and the billing and booking of the revenues associated with this energy sale in a later period? If so, what is the normal time lag? If not, why not?
- d) Are the revenues from the sale of energy at the end of the 2004 test year (say, in the months of November and December 2004) booked in 2005? If so, what level of revenues was booked in 2005 associated with the sale of energy made in 2004? If not (i.e., if the revenues associated with the sale of energy in a particular month are booked in the same month), how is this possible given that it takes time to read the meters and prepare the bills?
- 8. Please reconcile the actual 2004 revenues from rates of \$29,278,194 shown in the response to AG-1-15, page 3 to the corresponding actual 2004 revenues from rates of \$28,510,045 shown on Exhibit F, Schedule 1, part b, page 1 and the response to PSC-1-9, page 5 of 7.
- 9. With regard to the response to AG-1-15, page 4, please provide the following information:
  - a) In the same format and detail as currently shown, provide the kWhs and revenues from rates for the 12 months ended June 30, 2005 (as originally requested).
  - b) In addition, provide the actual Other Revenues, broken out in accounts 450, 451, 454 and 456 for the same 12-month period ended June 30, 2005.
  - c) The internal audit report, page 4 in the response to PSC-2-13 shows total operating revenues of \$31,072,145 for the 12-month period ended May 31, 2005. Assuming that the revenues from the 12-month period ended June 30, 2005 (to be provided in response to parts a and b above) are at least that high, explain in detail the reasons for this large revenue increase over the total revenues of \$29,379,691 for the 2004 test year.
- 10. Please provide all calculations in support of the "Increase in Wholesale Power Costs" shown for each of the Rate Schedules in the response to PSC-2-5a.
- 11. Provide a schedule reconciling the payroll expense adjustment amount of \$160,627 shown on Exhibit F, Schedule 6, part b, page 3 to the payroll expense adjustment total of \$134,053 on Exhibit T, Schedule 1, page 1.
- 12. With regard to the response to AG-1-29c and Exhibit F, Schedule 6, part b, page 2, please provide the following information:

a) Employee 0542, who started employment at 6/28/04, had associated 2004 salary expenses of \$33,061 which would indicate total salary expenses of approximately \$66,000 on an annualized basis. Yet, the pro forma annualized salary amount claimed is \$75,155. Please reconcile this.

45 1 1 2

- b) Employee 7017, who started employment at 10/27/04, had associated 2004 salary expenses of \$4,268 which would indicate total salary expenses of approximately \$24,000 on an annualized basis. Yet, the pro forma annualized salary amount claimed is \$28,030. Please reconcile this.
- 13. Exhibit F, Schedule 6, part a and part b, page 2 show that the pro forma normalized overtime expenses of \$458,190 are based on the actual 2004 overtime expenses of \$408,530. In this regard, please provide the following additional information:
  - a) Confirm that the actual 2004 overtime expenses of \$408,530 are based on 14,054 overtime hours, as shown on Item 23a, page 1.
  - b) From the response to AG-1-23 it can be derived that the actual average number of employees in 2004 was 42.3 full time and 1 part time employees. Please confirm this. If you don't agree, explain your disagreement.
  - c) Exhibit F, Schedule 6, part b shows that the pro forma normalized wages and salaries are calculated based on 45 full time and 1 part time employees and, in addition, includes \$458,190 for normalized overtime expenses. Please confirm this. If you don't agree, explain your disagreement.
- 14. With regard to the response to PSC-1-25 and Exhibit F, Schedule 12, part a b, please provide the following information:
  - a) Where are the Outside Services Metering Situation expenses of \$4,066 shown on Exhibit F, Schedule 12 reflected in the response to PSC-1-25?
  - b) Is the \$2,500 legal charge for the Horizon Preference Claim (see AG-1-43) a recurring annual type of legal expense? If not, why has it been included in the test year expense?
- 15. With regard to the Kentucky Living Magazine, please provide the following information:
  - a) Provide a copy of the latest available KLM magazine and indicate whether this is a weekly or monthly magazine.
  - b) What is the nature and purpose of the magazine?
  - c) Is the KLM content directly related to, and informative of, CVE's operations, service territory and members? If so, to what extent?

d) Please justify the cost of almost \$100,000 per year (\$8,100 per month) for this type of magazine and explain how the members receive at least \$100,000 worth of annual benefits from this magazine.

3.1. 2

- e) Provide the actual KLM expenses booked by CVE in each of the last 5 years.
- 16. With regard to the response to AG-1-34, provide a detailed breakout and description of the \$7,179 Items to Give to Schools and the \$402 for Candy for Consumers.
- 17. What is the nature and purpose of the \$1,271 expense for the NRUCFC Fund Contribution?
- 18. With regard to the response to AG-1-37, please provide the following information:
  - a) Does the response to part a mean that the test year above-the-line expenses include \$3,589.40 of prize and giveaway expenses? If so, reconcile this with the response to PSC-1-31, page 3.
  - b) Do the responses to parts b and c mean that the test year above-the-line expenses include \$607.77 of flower fund expenses and \$452.01 of coffee fund expenses? If not, explain the response.
  - c) Are the gift expenses of \$918.46 referenced in part d of the response included in the test year adjusted above-the-line expenses or were they removed for ratemaking purposes?
- 19. With regard to the Account 597 information in the response to PSC-2-21d, please provide the following information:
  - a) Provide the actual Account 597 expenses for each of the most recent 12 months from October 2004 through September 2005. Provide this information in total and as broken out by labor and non-labor components.
  - b) When did CVE first start testing and retrofitting for Turtle II technology?
- 20. Please provide the exact same information provided for Account 593.01 ROW Cutting in the response to AG-1-41, but for Accounts 593.02, 593.03 and 593.04.
- 21. With regard to the response to AG-1-42, please provide the following information:
  - a) Since what date (month and year) has CVE used Lay Tree and Brush Company for its ROW cutting contracting work?

- b) What is the name and address of the owner of Lay Tree and Brush Company?
- c) Re. the response to AG-1-42b: When did CVE change contractors? Who was the old contractor and who became the new contractor?
- d) Re. the response to AG-1-42b: Explain in detail why CVE believes that the hourly basis compensation method is a better method than the unit construction compensation method for the area of Kentucky served by CVE.
- e) Re. the response to AG-1-42d: Please explain the following items:
  - 1) Explain in detail why CVE pays for the ROW cutting equipment and material given that it pays an outside contractor \$600,000 \$700,000 per year for all of the ROW cutting activities.
  - 2) Explain whether is a generally accepted practice in the industry to have the utility pay for the ROW cutting equipment and materials while contracting out all ROW cutting work. Also, provide the basis for your opinion.
  - 3) What is the total amount of ROW cutting equipment and materials incurred and booked by CVE during each of the last 5 years?

#### 22. Follow-up to Question 51a.

1 1 2

- a) Isn't it true that a transformer is necessary for power to be delivered in an ETS unit?
- b) Since the purpose of an embedded cost of service study is to allocate the cost of a particular item between rate classes when multiple classes are using the same item, why wouldn't the ETS class be assigned part of the cost of the transformers they are using?

#### 23. Follow-up to Question 52a.

- a) Isn't it true that a Service is necessary for power to be delivered in an ETS unit?
- b) Since the purpose of an embedded cost of service study is to allocate the cost of a particular item between rate classes when multiple classes are using the same item, why wouldn't the ETS class be assigned part of the cost of the Services they are using?
- 24. Follow-up to Question 55. In this response, Cumberland Valley states that both the appropriate EKPC tariffs and actual bills for the test year are attached, but those copies were not attached. Please provide these attachments.
- 25. Follow-up to Question 56a.

a) The response states that Cumberland Valley assumes that ETS units are only being used off-peak.

1.1 2

- i) Is there anything that prevents these units from being used in onpeak times?
- ii) Provide any documentation that backs up your assumption that ETS units are only used off-peak.
- b) Please provide any documentation that EKPC is not running peaking units during off-peak periods.
- 26. Follow-up to Question 63. This response states that the 60% ETS marketing rate was approved in the 1980's. Considering that EKPC no longer provides the Coops an ETS discount:
  - a) Please explain why a marketing rate is relevant today and should still be offered.
  - b) Please explain why the ETS should be set at a rate of 60% based on calculations from 20 years ago, instead of being based on current costs.
  - c) Please provide what the ETS rate should be, based on the Cost of Service Study filed in this case.
  - d) Please explain why in Exhibit S, Schedule 1, page 2 of 2, ETS sales are included in the calculation of the increase in the Energy Rate of \$0.00446 per Kwh, yet in the response to Question 63, the ETS increase is instead based on 60% of the Schedule I rate, and is thus only calculated to be \$0.00268 per Kwh.
- 27. Please state whether any relative, by blood or marriage, of Ted or Elmer Hampton holds, or will hold any type or sort of position, whether as employee, officer, board member, contractor or consultant, with Cumberland Valley Electric ("CVE").
- 28. Does CVE anticipate any changes in any existing contracts as a result of the rate increase (e.g., engineering, information technology, maintenance, etc.)?
- 29. Does CVE maintain any contracts with vendors whose principals are in any manner related, by blood or marriage, to CVE's officers, members of its Board, its employees, its independent contractors or consultants? If yes:
  - a) Please provide copies of any such contract, and a breakdown of how much money was spent per contract per year for the last ten (10) calendar years; and
  - b) Please state whether the contracts were awarded pursuant to a bid process, and if so, provide specifics of that bid process.

- 30. Please explain CVE's policies and procedures for awarding contracts to outside vendors. Provide copies of any such written policies, or any memoranda describing or discussing such policies.
- 31. For what CVE projects does CVE receive funding from the RUS? Please provide detailed descriptions of each project for the past ten (10) years, including but not limited to:
  - a) the nature of the contract;
  - b) the amount of funding;
  - c) the physical location of each project; and
  - d) scheduled loan payments.
- 32. Did CVE follow all RUS regulations regarding new construction projects in the past ten (10) years for which CVE received RUS funding?
  - a) With regard to all such projects, describe whether CVE followed RUS regulations regarding:
    - 1) RUS contract approval;
    - 2) Procurement of bids for all construction associated with the project, including right of way clearing; also provide copies of any and all documents regarding the procurement and approval of such bids.
- 33. Please state whether CVE's contractors, in the course of performing their contractual duties to CVE, use and operate their own motor vehicles and/or equipment, or whether they use and operate motor vehicles and/or equipment owned by CVE? If yes, please state how that use is reflected in the contract price, and provide a copy of an actual contract in this regard where such use is so reflected.
- 34. Please state whether CVE's contractors, in the course of performing their contractual duties to CVE, purchase their own gasoline for operation of their vehicles. If not, describe what means the contractors employ to reimburse CVE for using its gasoline, and provide copies of all documentation of that reimbursement for the past five (5) years.
- 35. Please state whether CVE bulk purchases its own gasoline for use in company owned vehicles. If so, provide the following records:
  - a) names of bulk vendors and their owners / operators;
  - b) records of purchases and deliveries for the past 10 years;

- c) state whether the owners/operators of any such bulk fuel provider are in any way related, by blood or marriage, to CVE's officers, members of its Board, its employees, its independent contractors or consultants.
- 36. Please state whether CVE owns any construction equipment that has never been used by CVE for company projects. If yes:
  - a) Where is such equipment stored?
  - b) Does CVE allow anyone other than CVE staff to operate and use such construction equipment?
- 37. Does CVE have any anti-nepotism policies in place? If so, provide copies of any and all such policies, and/or memoranda referring to such policies.
- 38. Does CVE employ the relatives of:
  - a) any CVE board member;
  - b) any CVE officer;
  - c) any CVE consultant;
  - d) any CVE stockholder;
  - e) any other CVE employee?
- 39. Please identify who at CVE oversees the work of outside vendors.
- 40. Please provide a list of all contractors who in the past ten (10) years have ceased performing any work for CVE. In each case, explain why the contract with CVE was terminated.
- 41. Please state whether any member of the Board has ever resigned. If so, provide details as to why.
- 42. With regard to CVE's response to the AG's initial data request number 66, please state why CVE does not retain copies of older editions of its bylaws.
- 43. Please identify and provide copies of CVE's written policies regarding document retention.
- 44. With regard to CVE's response to the AG's initial data request number 67, please clarify whether CVE maintains any written policies regarding management in the following functional areas: personnel, management qualifications, contract bidding and procurement, oversight of contractors, accounting policies and procedures, purchasing, and cash disbursements.
  - a) If the answer is "yes", provide copies of same.

- b) If the answer is "no, state, precisely, why not.
- 45. Has any member of CVE's Board ever served on the Board of any other business entity? If so, please state:
  - a) the name and address of each such entity;

er e e e

- b) the length of time they served on the other entity's board; and
- c) whether their relationship with the other entity's board was terminated, and if so, why.
- 46. Please identify Ted Hampton's interest in Southeast Transport ("ST"). In what type of business activity does ST engage?
  - a) From what location are ST's operations and affairs conducted?
  - b) Is ST in any way affiliated with CVE?
  - c) Does any other CVE officer, board member, consultant, stockholder, or employee hold any interest in ST?
  - d) Is any CVE officer, board member, consultant, stockholder, or employee employed by ST, or have they have contracted to perform any services for ST?
  - e) If ST is involved in the trucking business, where are its trucks stored/parked? Are they ever parked on CVE property? Have any CVE employees ever performed maintenance on ST trucks?
  - f) Who performs bookkeeping and accounting operations for ST, and in what locations are those functions performed?
  - g) Where are ST's records maintained?
- 47. Please identify Ted Hampton's interest in Jackson Cumberland Energy, Inc. ("JCE"). In what type of business activity does JCE engage?
  - a) From what location are JCE's operations and affairs conducted?
  - b) Is JCE in any way affiliated with CVE?
  - c) Does any other CVE officer, board member, consultant, stockholder, or employee hold any interest in JCE?
  - d) Is any CVE officer, board member, consultant, stockholder, or employee employed by JCE, or have they have contracted to perform any services for JCE?
  - e) Is any property owned, operated or maintained by JCE stored/parked on CVE property? Have any CVE employees ever used any property owned, operated or maintained by JCE?
  - f) Who performs bookkeeping and accounting operations for JCE, and in what locations are those functions performed?
  - g) Where are JCE's records maintained?

- 48. Please identify Bainey Hampton, and his relationship, by blood or marriage, to Ted Hampton.
  - a) Has CVE ever purchased any goods or contracted for any of his services?
  - b) Has CVE ever purchased any goods or contracted for any services of a business which Bainey Hampton owns, operates or controls? If so, provide details of:
    - (1) the transaction, including name of company;

1 1 2

- (2) Bainey Hampton's position in reference to that company;
- (3) goods purchased and/or services contracted;
- (4) price, date, quantity, and whether the goods were purchased or services contracted pursuant to a bid process; and
- (5) please produce records of any such transactions. Has Bainey Hampton ever been employed by CVE in any capacity?
- 49. Please identify Ronnie Corey and his relationship, by blood or marriage, to Ted Hampton.
  - a) Has CVE ever purchased any goods or contracted for any of his services?
  - b) Has CVE ever purchased any goods or contracted for any services of a business which Ronnie Corey owns, operates or controls? If so, provide details of:
    - (1) the transaction, including name of company;
    - (2) Ronnie Corey's position in reference to that company;
    - (3) goods purchased and/or services contracted;
    - (4) price, date, quantity, and whether the goods were purchased or services contracted pursuant to a bid process; and
    - (5) please produce records of any such transactions.
  - c) Has Ronnie Corey ever been employed by CVE in any capacity?
- 50. Please identify Estrich Shelton and his relationship, by blood or marriage, to Ted Hampton.
  - a) Has CVE ever purchased any goods or contracted for any of his services?
  - b) Has CVE ever purchased any goods or contracted for any services of a business which Estrich Shelton owns, operates or controls? If so, provide details of:
    - 1) the transaction, including name of company;
    - 2) Estrich Shelton's position in reference to that company;
    - 3) goods purchased and/or services contracted;
    - 4) price, date, quantity, and whether the goods were purchased or services contracted pursuant to a bid process; and

- 5) please produce records of any such transactions.
- c) Has Estrich Shelton ever been employed by CVE in any capacity?
- 51. Please identify Ken Lay, and his relationship, by blood or marriage, to Ted Hampton.
  - a) Has CVE ever purchased any goods or contracted for any of his services?
  - b) Has CVE ever purchased any goods or contracted for any services of a business which Ken Lay owns, operates or controls? If so, provide details of:
    - 1) the transaction, including name of company;
    - 2) Ken Lay's position in reference to that company;
    - 3) goods purchased and/or services contracted;
    - 4) price, date, quantity, and whether the goods were purchased or services contracted pursuant to a bid process; and
    - 5) please produce records of any such transactions.
  - c) Has Ken Lay ever been employed by CVE in any capacity?
- 52. Please state CVE's policy with reference to retirement plans for all officers, board members and employees. Please provide copies of the policy and specifics of any plan implemented pursuant to that policy, for the past ten (10) years.
- 53. Please state whether Ted Hampton has any retirement fund created by CVE, or any entity acting under its authority? If so:
  - a) By whom is the fund administered?
  - b) Identify the plan's accountant.

1 1 7

- c) Provide a prospectus / statement of earnings for the plan, including a breakdown of any and all CVE contributions over the past 20 years, as compared with any contributions that may have been made by Mr. Hampton.
- d) Identify where any CVE contributions to Ted Hampton's retirement fund are reported in CVE's accounting. Identify also from what accounts those funds were drawn. Provide copies of any and all accounting documents showing all such contributions;
- e) Where are the plan funds invested?
- f) Have any other CVE board members, officers, employees, or relatives thereof ever been a participant in any such plan? If so, provide names, dates and amounts. Provide any and all rules for participating in such plan(s).

**Organization Number** 0307522

Name NEW COMMONWEALTH NATURAL GAS CO.,

INC.

**Profit or Non-Profit** P - Profit

**Company Type** KCO - Kentucky Corporation

StatusA - ActiveStandingG - Good

State KY

 File Date
 11/16/1992

 Organization Date
 11/16/1992

 Last Annual Report
 5/3/2005

Principal Office P. O. BOX 590

WICKLIFFE, KY 42087

Registered Agent CAROL DRAPER

311 COURT STREET

WICKLIFFE, KY 42087

**Common No Par** 

**Shares** 

100

**Current Officers** 

**President** Carol Draper **Vice President** Brenda Grief Brenda Grief Secretary **Treasurer** Carol Draper Director Syl Mayola Director Charlie Trice Director Joe Whipple **Director Charles Burton** Director Joe Whipple

**Incorporators and Initial Directors** 

Incorporator CAROL DRAPER

Incorporator J. D. SMITH

Incorporator CHARLES BURNLEY

## This organization has no assumed names