2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy for Kentucky Utilities and Louisville Gas and Electric

November 2004

SO2 FGD Least Cost Analysis REDACTED_4-8-05_FINAL.doc

n na mana kapaga sakanda Managapa Jawa na Kata ka mata ka

2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy November 2004

Sulfur Dioxide Compliance Strategy TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	. 3
Background	. 4
Current SO ₂ Allowance Position	. 5
Projected Unit SO ₂ Emissions	. 6
Environmental Compliance Alternatives	. 7
Purchase Allowances. Allowance Availability Allowance Price Uncertainty	7 7 8
New Technology	9
FGD Alternatives	9
Fuel Switching Alternative	10
Cost of SO ₂ Control Alternatives	10
Incremental Brown Ash Pond Costs	11
Individual Alternatives	12
Using Alternatives to Develop Multiple Compliance Strategies	13
Discussion of Base Results	15
Sensitivities Evaluated	16
Market Price of SO ₂	16
Capital Cost of Alternatives	17
Market Price of SO ₂ Allowances and Capital Cost of Alternatives	17
Discussion of PRB Conversion	17
Fuel Gap Summary and Breakeven Point	20
Conclusion and Recommended Plan	22
Appendix 1: Discussion of Wet/Dry FGD Processes	24
Appendix 2: Alternative Cost and Operational Information	27
Appendix 3: O&M Cost Detail and Derate Information	31
Appendix 4: Subproject Annual Capital Cost Cash Flows	33
Appendix 5: Brown Ash Pond Life Assessment	35
Appendix 6: General Study Assumptions	37
Appendix 7: Comparison of Alternative SO ₂ Compliance Plans	40
Appendix 8: Projected Annual SO ₂ Emissions of Each Compliance Plan	62
*	
Appendix 9: PRB Coal File Memo	85

work and the second second

under anderen er sin er verster er

Executive Summary

The Companies' banked SO₂ allowances, reached a peak of 297,000 tons in 1999. However, by 2003 the bank had decreased to 202,000 allowances. By year-end 2004, the number of credits will decrease to just over 160,000, and before the end of 2007 the bank is expected to be fully depleted. Once depleted the Companies must either reduce SO₂ emissions, purchase SO₂ allowances from the SO₂ allowance market or a combination of both. The total number of allowances projected to be purchased through 2025, in absence of implementing additional SO₂ controls, would exceed 2.7 million tons.

As recently as November 15, 2004, SO_2 allowances were priced at \$697/ton, or almost 78% above the forecasted 2005 price of \$392/ton used in this analysis. The EPA has estimated the price of an allowance in 2010 to range from \$700 to \$900 per ton.

Construction of wet flue gas desulfurization ("FGD") systems on Ghent Units 2, 3 and 4 and E.W. Brown Units 1, 2 and 3 and the simultaneous switching of the units to high sulfur coal is the most reasonable least cost plan for continued environmental compliance. While the addition of the FGD systems do not eliminate the need to purchase SO_2 allowances, the installation of controls reduces the purchase of SO_2 allowances and are required for continued economical compliance with the SO_2 emission reduction requirements of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 ("CAAA").

Numerous strategies utilizing wet FGD processes, dry FGD processes and fuel switching were evaluated. The Ghent 2-4 and Brown 1-3 locations account for over 55% of the Companies' future SO_2 emissions and thus were selected for analysis. These are the only large coal-fired generating units on the Companies' generation system currently operating without FGD systems.

Compared to purchasing SO_2 allowances, the construction of the wet FGD systems and the simultaneous conversion of the units to high sulfur coal provide the following ratepayer benefits over the 20 year analysis period:

- (1) Decreases the cost of SO_2 compliance by more than \$110 million
- (2) Limits significant exposure to the volatile SO₂ allowance market by reducing the anticipated allowance shortfall from 2.7 million tons to approximately 690,000 tons over the next 20 years
- (3) Increase fuel procurement flexibility
- (4) Position the Companies for the SO₂ reduction requirements associated with the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR) and future regulations targeting fine particulates and mercury
- (5) Delay depletion of the Companies' SO_2 allowance bank.

Background

The Clean Air Act Amendments ("CAAA") of 1990 sought to reduce the effects of acid deposition through a reduction in SO₂ and NOx emissions from 1980 levels in the 48 contiguous states. Phase I (Jan 1995-Dec 1999) of the CAAA of 1990 affected all generating units greater than 100MW with SO₂ emissions greater than 2.5 lbs/mmBtu. Kentucky Utilities ("KU") began to analyze SO₂ emissions reduction options prior to the passage of the CAAA of 1990 and concluded that the installation of a flue gas desulfurization ("FGD" or "scrubber") system on Ghent 1, KU's single largest source of sulfur dioxide emissions, would be a cost effective component in any plan to meet Phase I reduction requirements. Thus on January 2, 1992, an application was filed with the Kentucky Public Service Commission ("KPSC") for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity ("CCN") to construct an FGD at Ghent 1. Louisville Gas and Electric ("LG&E") had no Phase I affected units under the CAAA of 1990 and thus no obligations to further reduce sulfur dioxide before 2000.

In 1999, KU and LG&E (the Companies) reviewed the SO_2 compliance plan and began implementation of an "over-scrubbing" management plan. Over-scrubbing was accomplished, where economically feasible, by increasing the SO_2 removal efficiency on the large coal-fired units with existing FGD systems in place (Ghent 1, Trimble Co 1, Mill Creek 1-4 and Cane Run 4-6). This action allowed LG&E to meet CAAA Phase II requirements as expected and also provided a bank of SO_2 allowances. Over-scrubbing continues to be an economic part of the Companies' overall SO_2 compliance plan and is economic in all forward projections when compared to purchasing allowances.

KU has met the CAAA Phase II requirements by relying on the allowances banked during CAAA Phase I. However, this bank is rapidly depleting and further SO_2 control measures are necessary. The Companies' joint planning process assumes that allowances banked by either utility can be utilized by either Company. The joint planning methodology utilizes the combined resources of both utilities to meet the regulatory requirements in a least cost manner. The current study reviewed all economically viable alternatives as part of the Companies' on-going development of a least cost SO_2 compliance strategy.

The Clean Air Interstate Rule ("CAIR", formerly called the Interstate Air Quality Rule-IAQR), was proposed by the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") in December of 2003 and is "scheduled" to become final before the end of 2004. The Companies do not anticipate any major change in the content of the final regulation.

This regulation will require significant additional reductions/limits for SO_2 and NO_x emissions beginning in 2010. The CAIR is expected to be implemented in phases. CAIR Phase I spans the years 2010-2014 and is expected to reduce the Companies' annual SO_2 allowance allocation by 50% during this period. In CAIR Phase II (2015 and beyond), a further reduction to 35% of the Companies' current allowance allocation is expected.

Current SO₂ Allowance Position

Allowances are a limited authorization for a utility's generating unit to emit, during a calendar year, one ton of SO_2 . All affected generating units are required to hold, and subsequently surrender to the EPA, sufficient allowances to cover their annual level of SO_2 emissions. Once allocated, allowances can be used to cover emissions, banked for future use or sold. Allowances may not be used prior to the calendar year for which they are allocated. Allowances retained for future use are commonly referred to as "banked" allowances.

Beginning in 2000, it became necessary for the Companies to begin using banked SO_2 allowances for compliance. The Companies' banked SO_2 allowances, once in excess of 297,000 tons (during 1999) was over 202,000 allowances by year end 2003. Projections are that by year end 2004 those credits will decrease to just over 160,000, and before the end of 2007 the bank is expected to be fully depleted. Once depleted the Companies must either reduce SO_2 emissions, purchase SO_2 allowances from the SO_2 allowance market



or a combination of both. The total number of allowances projected to be purchased in absence of implementing additional SO_2 controls would exceed 2.7 million tons. The following figure depicts the Companies' projected annual SO_2 emissions and the Companies' anticipated annual allowance allocations. The difference between SO_2 emissions and allowance allocations is currently being covered by banked allowances. The implementation of the CAIR in 2015 significantly widens the gap between the number of allowances allocated vs. the number of allowances needed. The following graphically illustrates the difference between allocated allowances and emissions.





Projected Unit SO₂ Emissions

Logically, SO₂ control technologies should be constructed on those units which are projected to be the major contributors to the Companies' SO₂ allowance shortfall.



Percent of Total Projected SO₂ Emissions By Station*

*Coal-fired units only

The most significant contributors to the Companies' SO₂ emissions are Ghent 2-4 and Brown 1-3. These units are the largest, coal-fired generating units on the Companies' generation system currently operating without scrubbers. These six generating units are projected to account for over 55% of the Companies' future SO₂ emissions. Any longterm compliance strategy must, at a minimum, address the emissions from these six generating units.

Environmental Compliance Alternatives

The Companies have considered five different categories of alternatives for achieving compliance. These five categories are:

- 1. Purchase Allowances
- 2. New Control Technology
- 3. Wet FGD
- 4. Dry FGD
- 5. Fuel Switching

Purchase Allowances:

Complete dependence on the SO_2 allowance market results in the Companies being exposed to a volatile allowance market with significant price risk and the possibility that there will be minimal volumes of allowances available at any price.

Allowance Availability

The long-term availability of allowances is questionable. Nationally, in the years 2000 to 2003, generating units emitted, on average, 1 million more tons of SO_2 than the EPAgranted number of SO_2 allowances for each year in that period. As a result, the nationwide SO_2 allowance bank, in general, decreased by 1 million tons each year through the period. Continuation of this trend will deplete the national allowance bank in 2010. While the EPA is still expected to make 250,000 allowances available for auction each year, the market depth would be greatly reduced as the primary source for allowance trades are eliminated. Companies would first utilize the allowances they own to cover emissions before investing additional monies in SO_2 reduction technologies.



In absence of implementing any additional SO_2 reduction techniques beyond those currently operating, the Companies are projected to require in excess of 2.7 million purchased allowances over the 2005 to 2025 time period. Annual SO_2 purchases are expected to increase to almost 190,000 allowances per year by the end of the study period. This large allowance need only serves to magnify the potential negative impacts of a shallow and volatile allowance market.

Allowance Price Uncertainty

In 2004, the market price of SO_2 allowances has ranged from \$250/ton to over \$697/ton, representing an increase of 278%. The graph below clearly displays the recent volatility associated with the spot SO_2 allowance market.



New Technology

In December 2001, the Companies' partnered with Airborne Pollution Control, Babcock and Wilcox, and US Filter's HPD Systems to build a small scale demonstration plant to test the "Airborne Process" technology at the Ghent Station. The Airborne Process was advertised as a groundbreaking, multi-pollutant control process that targets reduction in both SO_x and NO_x emissions while producing a high-quality granular fertilizer. While certain aspects of the process, such as control of SO_2 emissions showed promise, other areas such as NO_x control and power consumption, were unable to achieve their design expectations. As a result, by mid-2003 the Companies had ceased considering the process offered by Airborne Pollution Control for controlling emissions and began pursuing proven SO_2 control technologies.

FGD Alternatives

The large allowance shortfall expected by the Companies necessitates that commercially proven technologies capable of significant SO_2 reductions be considered. Alternatives 3 and 4, Wet and Dry FGD systems would fall into the commercially proven category. FGD systems utilize a process by which slurry is placed in contact with the flue gas stream of a generating unit to allow removal of specific pollutants contained within the flue gas stream. Wet and dry scrubbing are two types of FGD systems. Removal rates of 98% are possible in newly constructed wet FGD systems and there is often beneficial reuse of the byproduct of the scrubbing process. Dry FGD systems typically have less SO_2 removal capability and fewer byproduct beneficial reuse possibilities. Additionally, the wet FGD alternative increases fuel procurement flexibility as a wide range of coal qualities can be utilized.

The Companies have accumulated a great deal of experience in operating wet FGD systems as Cane Run 4-6, Mill Creek 1-4, Trimble County 1 and Ghent 1 are each currently operating with wet FGD systems.

While differences do exist when comparing specific dry FGD systems or wet FGD systems, detailed discussions of those processes are not included here, however, they can be found in **Appendix 1** of this document. The following table conveys some generalities of the wet and dry FGD processes.

Scrubber Generalities

FGD	Design Fuel	Achievable
Туре	Sulfur Content	SO ₂ Removal
Wet	Low, Medium, High	98%
Dry	Low, Medium	95%

Fuel Switching Alternative

The uncontrolled (i.e. no SO_2 reduction technology) SO_2 emission rate of a unit is directly proportional to the sulfur content of the fuel burned in the boiler. Varying levels of costs, depending on plant design and configuration, would be associated with switching to a lower sulfur fuel. The design of the boiler and the plant's fuel handling and delivery system dictate how much investment would be required to allow a fuel switch to occur.

Ghent 2-4 are currently burning eastern compliance coal with an SO₂ content of less than 1.2 lbs of SO₂ per mmbtu (#SO₂/mmbtu). The only fuel switching alternative at Ghent 2-4 is the burning of PRB fuel at 0.9# SO₂/mmbtu. Brown 1-3 are currently burning a medium sulfur (2.75# SO₂/mmbtu) coal, so the opportunity does exist to switch those units to either compliance or PRB coal.

Cost of SO₂ Control Alternatives

In cooperation with outside architects and engineering firms such as Flour and Riley Power, location specific cost estimates for the appropriate technologies from those listed above were developed. Specifically, cost estimates were developed for wet scrubbing at Ghent and Brown and dry scrubbing at Brown. The FGD alternatives at Ghent allow construction of the first scrubber as soon as possible recognizing the Companies' large SO_2 allowance need, while both a base and an accelerated construction timeline were developed for the scrubbing options at Brown. The table below enumerates the thirteen options considered and includes, as Option 0, an option which models the Companies' generation system as it exists today.

2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy November 2004

				In-Servi		Total Capital	
Option	Long Description	Short Description	Unit 1	Unit 2	Unit 3	Unit 4	Cash Flow (\$000)
0	Basecase with Environmental Dispatch	BASE CASE					
1	Install Wet Scrubber on Ghent Units 3-4	WFGD HS GH34			May-07	May-08	\$289,229
2	Install Wet Scrubber on Ghent Unit 3	WFGD HS GH3			May-07		\$130,757
3	Install Wet Scrubber on Ghent Units 2-4	WFGD HS GH234		May-08	May-07	May-09	\$424,743
4	Install a Wet Scrubber on E.W. Brown Units 1-3 (accelerated)	WFGD HS BR123x	Dec-08	Dec-08	Dec-08		\$227,368
5	Install a Wet Scrubber on E.W. Brown Units 1-3	WFGD HS BR123	May-09	May-09	May-09		\$234,189
6	Install a Dry Scrubber on E.W. Brown Units 1-3 (accelerated)	DFGD MS BR123x	Dec-08	Dec-08	Dec-08		\$197,887
7	Install a Dry Scrubber on E.W. Brown Units 1-3	DFGD MS BR123	May-10	May-10	May-09		\$206,319
8	Install a Dry Scrubber on E.W. Brown Unit 3 (accelerated)	DFGD MS BR3x			Dec-08		\$104,002
9	Install a Dry Scrubber on E.W. Brown Unit 3	DFGD MS BR3			May-09		\$107,024
10	Fuel Switch E.W. Brown Units 1-3 to Eastern Compliance Coal	FS E.COMP BR123	Dec-07	Dec-09	Dec-08		\$58,901
11	Install a Dry Scrubber on E.W. Brown Units 2-3	DFGD MS BR23		Dec-09	Dec-09		\$142,826
12	Install a Dry Scrubber on E.W. Brown Units 2-3 (accelerated)	DFGD MS BR23x		Dec-08	Dec-08		\$138,792

Individual SO₂ Control Alternatives

Notes: (1) "Total Capital Cash Flow (\$000)" represents the sum of annual construction costs.

(2) Costs exclude any E.W. Brown ash pond related expenses.

Note: Alternatives at the same location (i.e. Ghent, Brown) are mutually exclusive, meaning that implementing Option 1 and Option 2 simultaneously would not be valid. Summary capital cost and operational information of each of the above options can be found in **Appendix 2 and Appendix 3**. The information includes

- SO₂ Removal Percentage- See Appendix 2.
- Fuel Sulfur Content- See Appendix 2.
- SO₂ Emission Rate- See Appendix 2.
- Capacity Derate (MW) See Appendix 2.
- Total Incremental Variable O&M See Appendix 2 for a summary and Appendix 3 for a more detailed breakdown of the total incremental variable O&M for each option.
- Total Incremental Fixed O&M See Appendix 2 for a summary and Appendix 3 for a more detailed breakdown of the total incremental fixed O&M for each option.
- Total Annual Capital Construction Expenses The table above shows the total capital cost for each Option. Appendix 4 breaks out the annual capital cost cash flows of each major capital project comprising the option (i.e. scrubber) as well as the capital costs of balance of plant/common items.

Brown Ash Pond Costs

The engineering firm of Fuller, Mossbarger, Scott and May has indicated that the ash pond at Brown is currently being filled at an average rate of 138.5 acre-ft/year. At this rate, the pond will achieve its maximum volume in approximately 5.5 years (See Appendix 5). In absence of augmenting the capacity of the current ash pond, the existing site would not represent a long-term storage repository for the plant. Approximately \$19.3 million (PVRR) is necessary for increasing the capacity of the ash pond in absence of any SO₂ control being implemented. Should the rate of fill increase, as would occur with any of the SO₂ emission reduction alternatives considered for Brown, more than

\$19.3 million would be required. The cost estimates noted previously do not reflect any incremental charges for work required to increase the storage capacity of the ash pond for scrubber byproducts.

The appropriate ash pond cost, as outlined in the table below, has been added to accurately reflect the <u>total</u> cost of each alternative.

		Incremental	Total Capital
		Capital PVRR	PVRR Cost
Brown Option		Cost (\$000)	(\$000)
Option 0- Base Brown Ash Pond Cost	_		\$19.3
Options 4 & 5- Ash Pond est with Brown 1, 2, 3 Wet FGD	Base cost +	\$31.1 =	\$50.4
Options 6 & 7- Ash Pond est with Brown 1, 2, 3 Dry FGD	Base cost +	\$19.5 =	\$38.8
Options 8 & 9- Ash Pond est with Brown 3 Dry FGD	Base cost +	\$17.0 =	\$36.3
Options 11 & 12- Ash Pond est with Dry FGD on Brown 1, 2	Base cost +	\$18.7 =	\$37.9

Alternative Screening

Consistent with recent evaluations of this type, the Companies evaluated the above alternatives using the PROSYMTM detailed hourly production costing computer model and the Strategist Capital Expenditure and Recovery (CER) module. Used together, these tools have the capability of simulating the hourly production costs (fuel, fixed and variable operation and maintenance, emissions, etc) and quantifying the revenue requirements impact associated with each capital project. Appendix 6 contains economic and forward looking assumptions used in this analysis. Each alternative was independently evaluated within PROSYMTM using the above estimates for capital construction costs and the Companies' base price forecast for SO₂ and NO_x allowances.

In the screening portion of the analysis each case represents implementation of one and only one of the thirteen alternatives with any shortfall in SO_2 or NO_x allowances made up by purchasing the required number of allowances from the allowance market on an asneeded basis. The first year that the Companies would have to purchase any SO_2 or NO_x allowances and the volume of purchased allowances over the period can also be observed. Some cases rely heavily on SO_2 allowance purchases for compliance and presume an unlimited number of allowances are available at the projected allowance price. The total present value revenue requirement (PVRR) of each case has been categorized into four areas:

- 1. <u>Production Costs</u>: represent the revenue requirements associated with fuel, fixed and variable operation and maintenance expenses and purchased power expenses
- 2. <u>NO_x Allowance Costs</u>: represent the revenue requirements associated with the purchasing of any NO_x allowances. Note that NO_x emission levels are quantified because the retrofitting of an SO₂ control technology impacts how that unit is dispatched, which in turn, affect NO_x tonnage emissions.
- 3. <u>SO₂ Allowance Costs</u>: represent the revenue requirements associated with the purchasing of any SO₂ allowances.
- 4. <u>Capital Costs</u>: represent the revenue requirements associated with any capital expenditures for the case.

2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy November 2004

	Alternative Screening											
(Assuming: Base Capital Costs, Base SU, Porward Price Forecast)												
	(All Costs in 2005 PVRR \$1000)											
LL CASES COMPARED TO Case00: BASE CASE												
							First Year of	First Year of				
		NOx	\$02				SO2	NOx	Total SO2			
	Production	Allowance	Allowance		Total	Incremental	Allowance	Allowance	Allowances			
Case	Cost	Cost	Cost	Capital Cost	Cost	over Base	Purchase	Purchase	Purchased			
Case00- Option 0: BASE CASE W/ ENV DISP	14,027,565	145,818	711,926	25,444	14,910,754	Base	2007	2010	2,721,838			
Case03- Option 3: WFGD HS GH234	13,674,349	144,422	433,097	537,313	14,789,180	(121,574)	2008	2010	1,688,511			
Case01- Option 1: WFGD HS GH34	13,761,762	140,471	508,732	384,847	14,795,811	(114,942)	2008	2011	1,974,944			
Case02- Option 2: WFGD HS GH3	13,889,994	143,198	605,628	192,492	14,831,312	(79,442)	2008	2010	2,334,147			
Case05- Option 5: WFGD HS BR123	14,004,995	152,415	440,152	301,129	14,898,690	(12,064)	2007	2010	1,692,501			
Case04- Option 4: WFGD HS BR123x	14,005,792	152,434	432,394	313,194	14,903,814	(6,940)	2007	2010	1,667,779			
Case09- Option 9: DFGD MS BR3	14,067,907	147,929	551,439	141,281	14,908,556	(2,198)	2007	2010	2,113,444			
Case12- Option 12: DFGD MS BR23x	14,088,874	148,867	481,468	190,741	14,909,950	(803)	2007	2010	1,853,233			
Case08- Option 8: DFGD MS BR3x	14,072,240	147,942	546,669	146,909	14,913,760	3,006	2007	2010	2,098,295			
Case11- Option 11: DFGD MS BR23	14,083,383	148,748	497,711	183,997	14,913,838	3,085	2007	2010	1,905,654			
Case10- Option 10: FS E. COMP BR123	14,141,223	146,228	555,307	93,310	14,936,068	25,314	2007	2010	2,129,732			
Case07- Option 7: DFGD MS BR123	14,093,924	149,325	458,332	247,694	14,949,275	38,521	2007	2010	1,755,243			
Case06- Option 6: DFGD MS BR123x	14,099,335	149,456	442,748	262,550	14,954,089	43,335	2007	2010	1,706,944			
				I								

The PVRR of each Case is compared to that of the Base Case. The Base Case is the first case listed in the table. All other cases follow in increasing order of PVRR. As can be observed in the Alternative Screening, the addition of a wet FGD system on Ghent 2, 3 and 4 (Case03-Option 03) is the best single alternative of those evaluated and results in PVRR decreasing \$121.5 million from the Base Case. While the addition of only one or two scrubbers at Ghent (Option 1 and Option 2 respectfully) has less capital, the savings are not sufficient to offset the increased production and SO₂ allowance purchase costs. Each of the Ghent alternatives (Options 1-3) allows the postponement of the Companies' initial SO₂ allowance purchases. The least cost option at Brown is the addition of a wet FGD system on Brown 1, 2 and 3. No alternatives provide 100% of the SO₂ allowances required to comply without using the SO₂ allowance market; the market is still relied upon to provide at least 1.5 million allowances over the period. The table above is a summary of the annual data contained in **Appendices 7 and 8**. **Appendix 7** presents the annual results of all Cases evaluated in this evaluation and compares them to the Base Case while **Appendix 8** details the SO₂ emissions associated with each case.

Development of Compliance Strategies

In order to develop a least cost compliance strategy, individual alternatives were combined in an effort to further reduce the revenue requirements associated with SO_2 compliance. As such, the following SO_2 compliance strategies were developed based on the results of the individual alternative screening previously conducted.

- Case13 (Option 3 + Option 5): Combines the most economical alternative at Ghent (Option 03-construct three wet FGD systems on Ghent 2-4 in May '08, May '07 and May '09 respectively) with the most economical alternative at Brown (Option 5- construct three wet FGD systems on Brown 1-3 in May '09). Allowances are purchased on an as-needed basis and environmental dispatch continues.
- Case14 (Option 1 + Option 5): Combines the second least cost alternative at Ghent (Option 1- construct two wet FGD systems on Ghent 3-4 in May '07 and May '08 respectively) with the most economical alternative at Brown (Option 5-

construct three wet FGD systems on Brown 1-3 in May '09). Allowances are purchased on an as-needed basis and environmental dispatch continues.

- Case15 (Option 2 + Option 5): Combines the third least cost alternative at Ghent (Option 2- construct a single wet FGD system on Ghent 3 in May '07 with the most economical alternative at Brown (Option 5- construct three wet FGD systems on Brown 1-3 in May '09). Allowances are purchased on an as-needed basis and environmental dispatch continues.
- Case16 (Option 1 + Option 9): Combined the second least cost alternative at Ghent (Option 1- construct two wet FGD systems on Ghent 3-4 in May '07 and May '08 respectively) with the least cost dry FGD alternative on a single unit at Brown in May '09. Allowances are purchased on an as-needed basis and environmental dispatch continues.
- Case17 (Option 3 + Option 11): Combines the most economical alternative at Ghent (Option 03-construct three wet FGD systems on Ghent 2-4 in May '08, May '07 and May '09 respectively) with a dry FGD alternative on two units at Brown in Dec '09. Allowances are purchased on an as-needed basis and environmental dispatch continues.
- Case18 (Option 01 + Option 11): Combines the second least cost alternative at Ghent (Option 1- construct two wet FGD systems on Ghent 3-4 in May '07 and May '08 respectively) with the with a dry FGD alternative on two units at Brown in Dec '09. Allowances are purchased on an as-needed basis and environmental dispatch continues.

Case13 through Case18 were evaluated in the same manner as the individual alternatives (Case00-Case12) using the detailed hourly production costing computer model and Strategist's CER module. The following table summarizes the results of the detailed modeling of each compliance strategy and includes the stand-alone options.

Case Summary (Assuming: Base Capital Costs, Base SO 2 Forward Price Forecast) (All Costs in 2005 PVRR \$1000)											
Case	Production Cost	NÖx Allowance Cost	SO2 Allowance Cost	Capital	Total	Incremental over Base	First Year of SO2 Allowance Purchase	First Year of NOx Allowance Purchase	Total SO2 Allowances Purchased		
Case00- Option 0; BASE CASE W/ ENV DISP	14,027,565	145,818	711,926	25,444	14,910,754	Base	2007	2010	2,721,838		
Case03- Option 3: WFGD HS GH234	13,674,349	144,422	433,097	537,313	14,789,180	(121,574)	2008	2010	1,688,511		
Case14- Option 0105: WFGD HS GH34,WFGD HS BR123	13,751,217	142,628	240,719	660,531	14,795,095	(115,659)	2008	2011	961,930		
Case01- Option 1: WFGD HS GH34	13,761,762	140,471	508,732	384,847	14,795,811	(114,942)	2008	2011	1,974,944		
Case16- Option 0109: WFGD HS GH34, DFGD MS BR3	13,805,543	139,891	350,673	500,683	14,796,790	(113,964)	2008	2011	1,374,627		
Case 13- Option 0305: WFGD HS GH234, WFGD HS BR123	13,671,906	146,853	158,963	813,000	14,800,722	(110,031)	2008	2010	692,249		
Case17- Option 0311: WFGD HS GH234, DFGD MS BR23	13,736,871	144,055	226,198	695,868	14,802,992	(107,762)	2008	2010	897,58		
Case18- Option 0111: WFGD HS GH34, DFGD MS BR23	13,822,713	139,862	298,395	543,399	14,804,370	(106,384)	2008	2011	1,171.9		
Case15- Option 0205: WFGD HS GH3,WFGD HS BR123	13,873,066	147,754	336,243	468,179	14,825,242	(85,512)	2008	2010	1,313		
Case02- Option 2: WFGD HS GH3	13,889,994	143,198	605,628	192,492	14,831,312	(79,442)	2008	2010	2,335		
Case05- Option 5: WFGD HS BR123	14,004,995	152,415	440,152	301,129	14,898,690	(12,064)	2007	2010	1,69.		
Case04- Option 4: WFGD HS BR123x	14,005,792	152,434	432,394	313,194	14,903,814	(6,940)	2007	2010	1,66		
Case09- Option 9: DFGD MS BR3	14,067,907	147,929	551,439	141,281	14,908,556	(2,198)	2007	2010	2,113,444		
Case12- Option 12: DFGD MS BR23x	14,088,874	148,867	481,468	190,741	14,909,950	(803)	2007	2010	1,853,233		
Case08- Option 8: DFGD MS BR3x	14,072,240	147,942	546,669	146,909	14,913,760	3,006	2007	2010	2,098,295		
Case11- Option 11: DFGD MS BR23	14,083,383	148,748	497,711	183,997	14,913,838	3,085	2007	2010	1,905,654		
Case10- Option 10: FS E. COMP BR123	14,141,223	146,228	555,307	93,310	14,936,068	25,314	2007	2010	2,129,732		
Case07- Option 7: DFGD MS BR123	14,093,924	149,325	458,332	247,694	14,949,275	38,521	2007	2010	1,755,243		
Case06- Option 6: DFGD MS BR123x	14,099,335	149,456	442,748	262,550	14,954,089	43,335	2007	2010	1,706,944		

2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy November 2004

Discussion of Base Results

The stand-alone alternative of Case03- Option 3 (wet scrubbing of Ghent 2, 3 and 4 in May '08, May '07 and May '09, respectively, and purchasing allowances) continues to be, as in the results of the screening analysis, the lowest cost case with a \$121.5 million lower PVRR than the Base Case. Note the SO₂ allowance market is heavily relied upon requiring almost 1.7 million tons of SO₂ allowances purchased over the study period. Case13- the combination of the least cost alternative at Ghent (Option 3) and the least cost alternative at Brown (Option 5) - costs \$11.5 million more in PVRR than Case03, but significantly limits the exposure to the SO₂ allowance market. When compared to Case03, Case13 decreases the expected number of SO₂ allowances. The scrubbing of Ghent 2, 3 and 4 alone without reducing emission at Brown exposes the ratepayer to a significant number of allowances (1 million) not only whose availability is questionable but also whose price is highly volatile.

As recently as November 15, 2004, SO₂ allowance prices were 697/ton or almost 78% above the forecasted 2005 price of 392/ton used in this analysis. The EPA has estimated the price of an allowance in 2010 to range from 000 per ton. Relevant allowance cost information is provided in the figure below. The Phase I impact of CAIR is evident in the Companies' forecast in 2010 as a sharp upward move in the forecasted price of allowances.



Because of the wide variance in forecasted SO_2 prices, an SO_2 price sensitivity was conducted.

Sensitivities Evaluated

Sensitivity: Market Price of SO₂

The following table summarizes the impact to each Case of only a 10% increase in the SO₂ market price curve.

	Case Summary										
(Assun	nino: Base Ca	nital Costs.	1.10 x Base 3	SO2 Forw	ard Price F	orecast)					
	g	All Costs in	2005 PVP	P \$1000)						
ALL CASES COMPADED TO CREAM PAGE CASE											
ALL CASES COMPARED TO Caseou: BASE CAS	Production	NOx Allowance	SO2 Allowance	Capital	Total	Incremental	First Year of SO2 Allowance	First Year of NOx Allowance	Total SO2 Allowances		
CaseOD, Option 0: BASE CASE W/ ENV/ DISP	14 027 565	145 818	783 119	25 444	14 981 946	Raso	2007	2010	2 721 838		
Case 13- Option 0305: WEGD HS GH234, WEGD HS BR123	13.671.906	146.853	185,859	813.000	14,817,618	(164.328)	2008	2010	692,249		
Case14- Option 0105: WFGD HS GH34.WFGD HS BR123	13,751,217	142.628	264,791	660,531	14.819.167	(162,780)	2008	2011	961,930		
Case17- Option 0311: WFGD HS GH234, DFGD MS BR23	13,736,871	144,055	248,817	695,868	14,825,611	(156,335)	2008	2010	897,689		
Case16- Option 0109: WFGD HS GH34, DFGD MS BR3	13,805,543	139,891	385,740	500,683	14,831,857	(150,089)	2008	2011	1,374,627		
Case03- Option 3: WFGD HS GH234	13,674,349	144,422	476,406	537,313	14,832,490	(149,457)	2008	2010	1,688,511		
Case18- Option 0111: WFGD HS GH34, DFGD MS BR23	13,822,713	139,862	328,235	543,399	14,834,209	(147,737)	2008	2011	1,171,988		
Case01- Option 1: WFGD HS GH34	13,761,762	140,471	559,605	384,847	14,846,684	(135,262)	2008	2011	1,974,944		
Case15- Option 0205: WFGD HS GH3,WFGD HS BR123	13,873,066	147,754	369,867	468,179	14,858,866	(123,080)	2008	2010	1,313,173		
Case02- Option 2: WFGD H\$ GH3	13,889,994	143,198	666,190	192,492	14,891,874	(90,072)	2008	2010	2,334,147		
Case05- Option 5: WFGD HS BR123	14,004,995	152,415	484,167	301,129	14,942,705	(39,241)	2007	2010	1,692,501		
Case04- Option 4: WFGD HS BR123x	14,005,792	152,434	475,633	313,194	14,947,053	(34,893)	2007	2010	1,667,779		
Case12- Option 12: DFGD MS BR23x	14,088,874	148,867	529,615	190,741	14,958,097	(23,849)	2007	2010	1,853,233		
Case11- Option 11: DFGD MS BR23	14,083,383	148,748	547,482	183,997	14,963,609	(18,337)	2007	2010	1,905,654		
Case09- Option 9: DFGD MS BR3	14,067,907	147,929	606,583	141,281	14,963,700	(18,246)	2007	2010	2,113,444		
Case08- Option 8: DFGD MS BR3x	14,072,240	147,942	601,336	146,909	14,968,427	(13,519)	2007	2010	2,098,295		
Case10- Option 10: FS E. COMP BR123	14,141,223	146,228	610,837	93,310	14,991,598	9,652	2007	2010	2,129,732		
Case07- Option 7: DFGD MS BR123	14,093,924	149,325	504,165	247,694	14,995,108	13,162	2007	2010	1,755,243		
Case06- Option 6: DFGD MS BR123x	14,099,335	149,456	487,023	262,550	14,998,364	16,418	2007	2010	1,706,944		

The impact of market prices going up by only 10% over those used in this analysis is significant. Case13, which includes the scrubbing of both Ghent and Brown, is the least cost strategy and is \$164.3 million less than the Base Case.

The following figure graphically relates the sensitivity of each Case to the market price of SO_2 allowances. It is apparent that Case13 is the least cost plan for mitigating upward movements in the SO_2 allowance market. Note that the transition from Case03 being the less cost under the base market price assumptions to Case13 being the least cost case occurs when market prices exceed those used in this analysis by only 8%.



Page 16 of 91

Sensitivity: Capital Cost

Similar to the above sensitivity, a capital cost decrease of 10% was also evaluated. The results of that sensitivity are tabulated below.

Case Summary											
(Assu	mina: Capita	l Costs less 1	0%. Base S	0 - Forwa	rd Price Fo	recast)					
(All Costs in 2005 PVRR \$1000)											
ALL CASES COMPARED TO Case On BASE CASE											
Case	Production Cost	NOx Allowance Cost	SO2 Allowance Cost	Capital	Total	incremental over Base	First Year of SO2 Allowance Purchase	First Year of NOx Allowance Purchase	Total SO2 Aliowances Purchased		
Case00- Option 0: BASE CASE W/ ENV DISP	14,027,585	145,818	711,926	22,898	14,908,208	Base	2007	2010	2,721,838		
Case13- Option 0305: WFGD HS GH234, WFGD HS BR123	13,671,906	146,853	168,963	731,699	14,719,421	(188,786)	2008	2010	692,249		
Case14- Option 0105: WFGD HS GH34,WFGD HS BR123	13,751,217	142,628	240,719	594,479	14,729,043	(179,165)	2008	2011	961,930		
Case17- Option 0311: WFGD HS GH234, DFGD MS BR23	13,/36,8/1	144,055	226,198	626,279	14,733,403	(174,805)	2008	2010	897,689		
Caselos- Option 3, WEGD HS GH234	13,074,349	144,422	433,097	483,580	14,735,447	(172,761)	2008	2010	1,688,511		
Case 18, Option 0109, WEGD HS GR34, DEGD MS BR3	13,000,043	139,091	300,673	450,613	14,746,720	(161,488)	2008	2011	1,374,627		
Case 1- Option 1: WEGD HS GH34, DEGD MS BR23	13,022,713	139,002	296,395	469,058	14,750,029	(158,179)	2008	2011	1,171,988		
Case15- Option 0205: WECD HS CH3 WECD HS BB123	13,701,702	140,477	300,732	340,300	14,/3/,324	(150,683)	2008	2011	1,974,944		
Case02- Option 2: WEGD HS GH3, WEGD HS BR123	13,880,004	147,734	530,243	421,300	14,770,423	(129,785)	2008	2010	1,313,1/3		
Case05- Option 5: WEGD HS BR123	14 004 995	143,130	440 152	271 016	14,012,004	(90,144)	2008	2010	2,334,147		
Case04- Ontion 4: WEGD HS BR123v	14 005 792	152,434	432 304	271,010	14,000,077	(35,031)	2007	2010	1,092,001		
Case12- Ontion 12: DEGD MS BR23y	14 088 874	148 867	491 469	171 662	14,072,490	(35,713)	2007	2010	1,007,779		
Case09- Option 9: DEGD MS BR3	14 067 907	147 929	551 439	127 156	14 894 431	(13,777)	2007	2010	2 112 444		
Case11- Option 11: DEGD MS BR23	14 083 383	148 748	497 711	165 595	14,004,401	(12,771)	2007	2010	2,113,444		
Case08- Option 8: DEGD MS BR3x	14.072.240	147 942	546 669	132 218	14 899 069	(9 139)	2007	2010	2,008,004		
Case07- Option 7: DEGD MS BR123	14.093.924	149 325	458 332	222 922	14 924 503	16 295	2007	2010	1 755 243		
Case 10- Option 10: FS E. COMP BR123	14,141,223	146 228	555 307	83 977	14 926 735	18 527	2007	2010	2 129 732		
Case06- Option 6: DFGD MS BR123x	14,099,335	149,456	442,748	236,298	14,927,837	19,629	2007	2010	1,706,944		

Sensitivity: Market Price and Capital Cost

One final sensitivity combines both the market and the capital cost sensitivities.

Case Summary

(Assuming: Capital Costs less 10%, 1.10 x Base SO₂ Forward Price Forecast) (All Costs in 2005 PVRR \$1000)

ALL CASES COMPARED TO Case00: BASE CAS	E					_				
							First Year of	First Year of		
		NOx	SO2				SO2	NOx	Total SO2	
	Production	Allowance	Allowance			Incremental	Allowance	Allowance	Allowances	
Case	Cost	Cost	Cost	Capital	Total	over Base	Purchase	Purchase	Purchased	
Case00- Option 0: BASE CASE W/ ENV DISP	14,027,565	145,818	783,119	22,898	14,979,400	Base	2007	2010	2,721,838	
Case 13- Option 0305: WFGD HS GH234, WFGD HS BR123	13,671,906	146,853	185,859	731,699	14,736,317	(243,083)	2008	2010	692,249	
Case14- Option 0105: WFGD HS GH34,WFGD HS BR123	13,751,217	142,628	264,791	594,479	14,753,115	(226,286)	2008	2011	961,930	
Case17- Option 0311: WFGD HS GH234, DFGD MS BR23	13,736,871	144,055	248,817	626,279	14,756,022	(223,378)	2008	2010	897,689	
Case03- Option 3: WFGD HS GH234	13,674,349	144,422	476,406	483,580	14,778,757	(200,644)	2008	2010	1,688,511	
Case18- Option 0111: WFGD HS GH34, DFGD MS BR23	13,822,713	139,862	328,235	489,058	14,779,868	(199,532)	2008	2011	1,171,988	
Case16- Option 0109: WFGD HS GH34, DFGD MS BR3	13,805,543	139,891	385,740	450,613	14,781,787	(197,613)	2008	2011	1,374,627	
Case01- Option 1: WFGD HS GH34	13,761,762	140,471	559,605	346,360	14,808,197	(171,203)	2008	2011	1,974,944	
Case15- Option 0205; WFGD HS GH3, WFGD HS BR123	13,873,066	147,754	369,867	421,360	14,812,047	(167,353)	2008	2010	1,313,173	
Case02- Option 2: WFGD HS GH3	13,889,994	143,198	666,190	173,244	14,872,626	(106,774)	2008	2010	2,334,147	
Case05- Option 5: WFGD HS BR123	14,004,995	152,415	484,167	271,016	14,912,592	(66,808)	2007	2010	1,692,501	
Case04- Option 4: WFGD HS BR123x	14,005,792	152,434	475,633	281,875	14,915,734	(63,666)	2007	2010	1,667,779	
Case12- Option 12: DFGD MS BR23x	14,088,874	148,867	529,615	171,663	14,939,019	(40,381)	2007	2010	1,853,233	
Case11- Option 11: DFGD MS BR23	14,083,383	148,748	547,482	165,595	14,945,207	(34,193)	2007	2010	1,905,654	
Case09- Option 9: DFGD MS BR3	14,067,907	147,929	606,583	127,156	14,949,575	(29,825)	2007	2010	2,113,444	
Case08- Option 8: DFGD MS BR3x	14,072,240	147,942	601,336	132,218	14,953,736	(25,664)	2007	2010	2,098,295	
Case07- Option 7: DFGD MS BR123	14,093,924	149,325	504,165	222,922	14,970,336	(9,064)	2007	2010	1,755,243	
Case06- Option 6: DFGD MS BR123x	14,099,335	149,456	487,023	236,298	14,972,112	(7.288)	2007	2010	1,706,944	
Case10- Option 10: FS E. COMP BR123	14,141,223	146,228	610,837	83,977	14,982,265	2.865	2007	2010	2,129,732	

Discussion of 100% Powder River Basin Fuel

Fuel switching to 100% Powder River Basin (PRB) fuel was not considered a viable SO_2 compliance alternative for the following reasons. See **Appendix 9** for a more thorough discussion of the impacts of PRB fuel.

<u>Insufficient SO₂ Reduction</u>: Switching to PRB coal is not an SO₂ compliance option, it is a fuel choice. Negligible reductions in SO₂ emissions result from switching to PRB coal. To control SO₂, FGD systems would still be required even after converting to burn PRB fuel. As an example, consider Ghent 2-4 currently burning 1.2 # SO₂/mmbtu fuel. Switching to PRB (a 0.7-0.9# SO₂/mmbtu fuel) would reduce emissions by only 5,000 tons annually on each



unit while an FGD would reduce SO_2 emissions by over 18,000 tons annually per unit. Ultimately, the Companies would still be exposed to a large cost (estimated at over \$454 million) associated with the purchasing of 1,754,000 allowances if both Ghent and Brown were fuel switched to PRB coal.

- 2. <u>Fuel Flexibility:</u> Fuel switching to PRB coal would reduce flexibility in subsequent fuel sourcing options while providing no opportunity to consume coal mined in Kentucky. FGD systems will be able to accommodate any Eastern bituminous fuel and would retain the option to blend PRB fuel in the future.
- 3. <u>Fuel Delivery Issues</u>: Converting to burn PRB coal would force dependence on fuel transported thousands of miles on a rail system currently experiencing constraints on shipping coal from the PRB to existing PRB coal users (in the east). This is in contrast to the hundreds of miles current fuel deliveries travel from multiple locations including Kentucky, southern Indiana, West Virginia and western Pennsylvania.
- 4. <u>Fuel Inventory Issues</u>: To compensate for the transportation risk and insure adequate supply, coal inventory levels would need to increase.
- 5. <u>Fuel Handling Issues</u>: An additional 35% of coal by volume/weight (above that required to mitigate transportation risk) would have to be contracted, delivered and unloaded to compensate for the lower heating value of PRB fuel.
- 6. <u>*Plant Logistics:*</u> Coal yard layout for belt systems, transfer points and stacker/reclaim areas would undergo major revisions at a significant expense.
- 7. *Future Environmental Compliance:* Retrofitting a unit to 100% PRB is not selfcompliant and would be poorly positioned to address the challenges emanating from the CAIR in 2010.

In light of the above limitations, however, options for converting Ghent 2-4 and Brown 1-3 to burn 100% PRB coal were explored. Cost estimates for two PRB coal alternatives were determined and evaluated. As with Options 1 through 12, more detailed information on the PRB alternatives may be found within the Appendices.

100% PRB Fuel Alternatives

			In-Service Date				Total Capital
Option	Long Description	Short Description	<u>Unit 1</u>	Unit 2	Unit 3	<u>Unit 4</u>	Cash Flow (\$000)
13	Fuel Switch Ghent Units 2-4 to PRB Coal	FS PRB GH234		May-08	May-07	Dec-07	\$400,903
14	Fuel Switch E.W. Brown Units 1-3 to PRB Coal	FS PRB BR123	Dec-08	Dec-08	Dec-08		\$200,168

Notes: (1) "Total Capital Cash Flow (\$000)" represents the sum of annual construction costs. (2) Costs exclude any E.W. Brown ash pond related expenses.

As with the non-PRB alternatives, incremental ash pond expenses would be expected at Brown if the units were converted to burn 100% PRB coal. The cost would be dependant on the volume of byproduct expected to be produced. The following table shows the projected cost (present value) of the ash pond work necessary at Brown under several different SO_2 control plans at Brown. The total capital costs of the PRB alternatives above were adjusted by the appropriate present value cost of the necessary Brown ash pond work.

2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy November 2004

Brown Option		Incremental Capital PVRR Cost (\$000)	Total Capital PVRR Cost (\$000)
Option 0- Base Brown Ash Pond Cost	-		\$19.3
Options 13- Ash Pond est with Brown123 100% PRB	Base cost +	\$18.2 =	\$37.5

We can now define the following three Cases and evaluated each against the sensitivities previously established.

- Case19 (Option 13): Fuel switches Ghent 2 through 4 to PRB fuel in May '08, May '07 and Dec '07, respectively. Allowances are purchased on an as-needed basis and environmental dispatch continues.
- Case20 (Option 14): Fuel switches Brown 1 through 3 to PRB fuel in Dec '08. Allowances are purchased on an as-needed basis and environmental dispatch continues.
- Case21 (Option 13 + Option 14): Fuel switches Ghent 2 through 4 and Brown 1 through 3 to PRB coal. Ghent 2-4 in May '08, May '07 and Dec '07 respectively and Brown 1-3 all are converted in Dec '08. Allowances are purchased on an asneeded basis and environmental dispatch continues.

The tables below summarize the results of the PRB sensitivity.

Case Summary- PRB Comparison (Assuming: Base Capital Costs, Base SO 2 Forward Price Forecast) (All Costs in 2005 PVRR \$1000)										
ALL CASES COMPARED TO Case13- Option 03+	05: WFGD HS	<u>5 GH234, WF</u>	GD HS BR12	23						
Case	Production Cost	NOx Allowance Cost	SO2 Allowance Cost	Capital	Total	incremental over Base	First Year of SO2 Allowance Purchase	Hirst Year of NOx Allowance Purchase	Total SO2 Allowances Purchased	
Case 13- Option 0305: WFGD HS GH234, WFGD HS BR123	13,671,906	146,853	168,963	813,000	14,800,722	Base	2008	2010	692,249	
Case19- Option 13: FS PRB GH234	13,531,875	118,048	639,280	498,319	14,787,523	(13,200)	2007	2013	2,453,369	
Case21- Option 1314: FS PRB GH234,FS PRB BR123	13,552,040	89,523	454,766	723,444	14,819,773	19,051	2007	2015	1,754,737	
Case00- Option 0: BASE CASE W/ ENV DISP	14,027,565	145,818	711,926	25,444	14,910,754	110,031	2007	2010	2,721,838	
Case20- Option 14: FS PRB BR123	14,032,395	119,743	523,986	250,567	14,926,691	125,969	2007	2012	2,011,221	

	Case S	Summar	y- PRB	Comp	arison				
(Assun	ning: Base Ca	apital Costs,	1.10 x Base :	SO , Forw	ard Price F	orecast)			
	(All Costs in	2005 PVR	R \$1000)				
ALL CASES COMPARED TO Case13- Option 03+	05: WFGD HS	GH234, WF	GD HS BR12	23					
Саве	Production Cost	NOx Allowance Cost	SO2 Altowance Çost	Capitai	Total	incremental over Base	First Year of SO2 Allowance Purchase	First Year of NOx Allowance Purchase	Total SO2 Allowances Purchased
Case 13- Option 0305: WFGD HS GH234, WFGD HS BR123	13,671,908	146,853	185,859	813,000	14,817,618	Base	2008	2010	692.249
Case 19- Option 13: FS PRB GH234	13,531,875	118,048	703,208	498,319	14,851,451	33,832	2007	2013	2,453,369
Case21- Option 1314: FS PRB GH234, FS PRB BR123	13,552,040	89,523	500,242	723,444	14,865,249	47,631	2007	2015	1,754,737
Case20- Option 14: FS PRB BR123	14,032,395	119,743	576,384	250,567	14,979,090	161,471	2007	2012	2,011,221
Case00- Option 0: BASE CASE W/ ENV DISP	14,027,565	145,818	783,119	25,444	14,981,946	164,328	2007	2010	2,721,838



Page 19 of 91

	Case S	Summar	y- PRB	Comp	arison				
(Assu	ming: Capita	I Costs less 1	0%, Base S	0 , Forwa	rd Price Fo	recast)			
	(All Costs in	2005 PVR	R \$1000)				
ALL CASES COMPARED TO Case13- Option 03+	05: WFGD HS	6 GH234, WF	GD HS BR12	23					
							First year or	First year or	
		NQX	502				\$02	NOx	Total SO2
	Production	Allowance	Allowance			Incremental	Allowance	Allowance	Allowances
Case	Cost	Cost	Cost	Capital	Total	over Base	Purchase	Purchase	Purchased
Case13- Option 0305: WFGD HS GH234, WFGD HS BR123	13,671,906	146,853	168,963	731,699	14,719,421	Base	2008	2010	692,249
Case19- Option 13: FS PRB GH234	13,531,875	118,048	639,280	448,489	14,737,693	18,271	2007	2013	2,453,369
Case21- Option 1314: FS PRB GH234, FS PRB BR123	13,552,040	89,523	454,766	651,099	14,747,428	28,007	2007	2015	1,754,737
Case20- Option 14: FS PRB BR123	14,032,395	119,743	523,986	225,511	14,901,635	182,214	2007	2012	2,011,221
Case00- Option 0: BASE CASE W/ ENV DISP	14,027,565	145,818	711,926	22,898	14,908,208	188,786	2007	2010	2,721,838

	Case S	Summar	y- PRB	Comp	arison				
(Assumii	ng: Capital Co	osts less 10%	, 1.10 x Bas	e SO ₂ Foi	ward Price	Forecast)			
		All Costs In	2005 PVR	K \$1000	L		·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ··		
ALL CASES COMPARED TO Case13- Option 03+	05: WFGD HS	GH234, WF	GD HS BR12	23					
	Production	NOx Allowance	SO2 Allowance			Incremental	First Year of SO2 Allowance	NOx Allowance	Total SO2 Allowances
Case	Cost	Cost	Cost	Capital	Total	over Base	Purchase	Purchase	Purchased
Case13- Option 0305: WEGD HS GH234, WEGD HS BR123	13,671,906	146,853	185,859	731.699	14,730,317	Base	2006	2010	692,249
Case21- Option 1314: FS PRB GH234,FS PRB BR123	13,552,040	89,523	500,242	651,099	14,792,904	56,587	2007	2015	1,754,737
Case19- Option 13: FS PRB GH234	13,531,875	118,048	703,208	448,489	14,801,621	65,303	2007	2013	2,453,369
Case20- Option 14: FS PRB BR123	14,032,395	119,743	576,384	225,511	14,954,034	217,716	2007	2012	2,011,221
Case00- Option 0: BASE CASE W/ ENV D/SP	14,027,565	145,818	783,119	22,898	14,979,400	243,083	2007	2010	2,721,838

Implementation of the PRB alternative is not the most reasonable least cost approach to SO_2 compliance because it does not provide significant benefits in the way of SO_2 reductions. In the first PRB Comparison table (above) the PRB alternative is marginally less expensive (\$13.2 million NPVRR) than the construction of wet scrubbing of Ghent and Brown while only minimally decreasing the volume of SO_2 allowance purchases. Significant SO_2 market exposure remains under the PRB coal option. Furthermore, a small increase (+10%) in the SO_2 market price forecast or decrease (-10%) in the capital costs of the scrubbers would not favor the PRB alternative as can be seen in the 2nd through 4th PRB Comparison Tables (above).

Discussion of Fuel Gap

The addition of the scrubbers at Ghent and Brown are economic, in part, due to the fuel cost savings associated with scrubber operation. The tables below show the fuel savings between the forecasted price of the current fuel and the forecasted price of the fuel burned at each location once scrubbed. This difference or "fuel gap" varies by year. For example, in 2007, Eastern compliance coal at Ghent is 66 cents/mmBtu more expensive than high sulfur fuel. Scrubbing the units with the fuel gaps as shown (the base gap) reduce the PVRR associated with SO₂ compliance by \$121.6 million at Ghent (\$12.1 million at Brown) over the BaseCase.

2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy November 2004

Ghent/Brown Fuel Gap Summary (cents/mmBtu) Ghent Brown Year Base Gan* Base Gap** No FGD No FGD Delta PVRR (\$000) -\$121,574 -\$12,064 *Ghent Gap = Eastern Compliance Coal - High Sulfur Coal **Brown Gap = Medium Sulfur Coal - High Sulfur Coal

The required fuel gap to allow the scrubbing alternative to be economically equivalent to the purchasing of SO₂ allowances alternative can be found through linear extrapolation. See **Appendix 10** for details surrounding this process. Results indicate that at Ghent, the gap between Eastern compliance coal and high sulfur coal would have to be reduced by approximately 17 cents/mmBtu each year, or 26% of the average annual forecasted gap. At Brown, the gap between medium sulfur coal and high sulfur coal would have to be reduced by approximately 4 cents/mmBtu, or 12% of the average annual forecasted gap. It is important to remember that significant SO₂ market exposure would remain if the breakeven fuel gap was realized and FGD systems were not added at both Brown and Ghent. Also, the construction of wet FGD systems would not limit the ability of the units to burn lower sulfur fuels should the fuel gap dissipate.

Conclusions and Recommendation

The Companies face a significant SO_2 allowance shortfall totaling over 2.7 million tons for the period 2005-2025. While wet FGD technology allows 2.1 million tons of allowance shortfall to be economically mitigated, future allowance purchases of 690,000 are still expected.



Construction of wet FGD systems at Ghent and at Brown and the simultaneous conversion of these units to high sulfur coal provides the most reasonable least cost plan with the following benefits over the 20 year analysis period:

- (1) Decreases the cost of SO_2 compliance by more than \$110 million
- (2) Significantly limits exposure to the volatile SO₂ allowance market by reducing the anticipated allowance shortfall to approximately 690,000 tons
- (3) Increases fuel procurement flexibility
- (4) Positions the Companies for the SO₂ reduction requirements associated with the CAIR and future regulations targeting fine particulates and mercury
- (5) Delays the depletion of the Companies' SO₂ allowance bank.



It is recommended that the Companies proceed with the construction/implementation of Case13. Construct wet FGD systems at Ghent 2, 3 and 4 (May '08, May '07, May '09) and Brown 1, 2 and 3 (May '09), purchase allowances on an as needed basis and continue the practice of environmental dispatching.

Appendix 1

en en a Grademander en het de Kertel kan Herrich en verste set statet de Kerte eine ministerie konstand, europe

Wet Scrubbing

The wet scrubbing process is applicable to low, medium or high sulfur coals and typically achieves relatively high removal efficiency for SO_2 . Limestone is the alkali most often used to react with the dissolved sulfur dioxide. Limestone slurry is re-circulated from a tank and is continually sprayed into an "absorber module" with the SO_2 laden gas stream. The direction of spray is counter to the direction of the flue gas flow. The flue gas enters the absorber from the boiler and exits to the chimney via "inlet" and "outlet" ductwork. The chemistry of the re-circulating limestone slurry must be carefully controlled in order to maintain the desired sulfur dioxide removal efficiency. Removal rates of 98% are possible in newly constructed wet FGD systems and there is often a high likelihood of beneficial re-use of the byproduct of the scrubbing process.



Dry Scrubbing

While wet FGD technology can be applied to a wide variety of fuels, the dry scrubbing process is applicable to low to medium sulfur coals. The term "dry scrubber" refers to the condition of the dried particles approaching the particulate control system. Fabric filters or electrostatic precipitators are often used for high efficiency particulate control. The system shown below has a fabric filter.

Dry scrubbers generate a waste stream that is dry. Spray-dryer-type absorption systems operate at higher gas temperatures than wet scrubbers and are less effective for the removal of other pollutants in the gas stream such as condensable particulate matter (PM2.5). This makes them less flexible for addressing future environmental challenges.



Source: www.epa.gov

Page 26 of 91

2004 SO2 Compliance Strategy November 2004

Appendix 2

Detailed Cost and Operational Info on SO₂ Compliance Alternatives

				Affecte	ed Units			
Option	Short Description		Unit 1	Unit 2	Unit 3	Unit 4		
1	WFGD HS GH34		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Control Tech Installed Ye	ar			May-07	May-08		
	SO2 Removal (%)				98%	98%		
	Euel Sulfur Content (#SO	2/mmBtu)			6.10	6 10		
	SO2 Emisson Rate (#SO	2/mmBtu)			0 122	0 122		
	Variable O&M (\$/MWH)	nominal vr \$			0.172	0.175		
	Derate MW	nominal ji v			15	15		
	Eived O&M (\$000/vr) nor	minal vr \$			\$1 804	\$1.840		
		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total
	Cash Flow (\$000)	\$68 964	\$115.691	\$81 209	\$23.364	<u>2000</u> \$0	\$0	\$289.229
2	WEGD HS GH3		\$110,001		¥20,004			
2	Control Tech Installed Ve	ar			May-07			
	SO2 Removal (%)	a			08%			
	SU2 Removal (%)	2/mmBtu)			90 <i>7</i> 6			
	Fuel Sullur Coment (#SO				0.10			
	SUZ Emission Rate (#SU	2/mmBlu)			0.122			
	Variable O&M (\$/MVVH),	nominai yr ş			0.172			
	Derate, MW				15			
	Fixed O&M (\$000/yr), nor	ninal yr \$			\$2,122			<u>-</u>
		2005	<u>2006</u>	2007	2008	2009	<u>2010</u>	<u>l otal</u>
	Cash Flow (\$000)	\$52,303	\$52,303	\$26,151	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$130,757
3	WFGD HS GH234							
	Control Tech Installed Ye	ar		May-08	May-07	May-09		
	SO2 Removal (%)			98%	98%	9 8%		
	Fuel Sulfur Content (#SO	2/mmBtu)		6.10	6.10	6.10		
	SO2 Emisson Rate (#SO	2/mmBtu)		0.122	0.122	0.122		
	Variable O&M (\$/MWH),	nominal yr \$		0.175	0.172	0.179		
	Derate, MW			15	15	15		
	Fixed O&M (\$000/yr), nor	minal yr \$		\$1,732	\$1,698	\$1,767		
		<u>2005</u>	2006	2007	2008	2009	<u>2010</u>	Total
	Cash Flow (\$000)**	\$72,417	\$121,841	\$133,689	\$72,769	\$24,028	\$0	\$424,743
4	WFGD HS BR123x							
	Control Tech Installed Ye	ear	Dec-08	Dec-08	Dec-08			
	SO2 Removal (%)		98%	98%	98%			
	Fuel Sulfur Content (#SC	2/mmBtu)	6.36	6.36	6.36			
	SO2 Emisson Rate (#SO	2/mmBtu)	0.127	0.127	0.127			
	Variable O&M (\$/MWH),	nominal yr \$	0.293	0.293	0.293			
	Derate, MW	-	7	7	7			
	Fixed O&M (\$000/vr), not	minal yr \$	\$1,135	\$1,135	\$1,135			
		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	<u>2010</u>	Total
	Cash Flow (\$000)**	\$0	\$90,947	\$90,947	\$45,474	\$0	\$0	\$227,368
5	WFGD HS BR123							
-	Control Tech Installed Ye	ear	Mav-09	Mav-09	Mav-09			
	SO2 Removal (%)		98%	98%	98%			
	Evel Sulfur Content (#SC)2/mmBtu)	6.36	6.36	6.36			
	SO2 Emission Rate (#SO	2/mmBtu)	0.127	0.127	0,127			
		nominal vr \$	0 298	0.298	0.298			
	Derate MW	поннасу ф	7	7	7			
	Fixed O&M (\$000/w) po	minal vr \$	\$1 158	\$1 158	\$1,158			
	·	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total
		<u>2000</u>	\$0	\$93.676	\$93.676	\$46 838	\$0	\$234 189

the second s

ale tampation - second second management - second second second and a manimum second second second second second

2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy Appendix 2-Detailed Cost and Operations Information

		_	Affecte	ed Units			
Option	Short Description	Unit 1	Unit 2	Unit 3	Unit 4	-	
6	DFGD MS BR123x					·····	
	Control Tech Installed Year	Dec-08	Dec-08	Dec-08			
	SO2 Removal (%)	93%	93%	93%			
	Fuel Sulfur Content (#SO2/mmBtu)	2.75	2 75	2 75			
	SO2 Emisson Pate (#SO2/mmBtu)	0 103	0.103	0.102			
	Variable O&M (\$/MW(H) nominal vr \$	1 050	1.050	1.050			
	Derete MM/	1.059	1.059	1.059			
		1		4			
	Pixed O&Mi (\$000/yr), nominal yr \$	\$790	\$790	\$790			
	2005	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	2008	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	Tota
	Cash Flow (\$000)** \$0	\$79,155	\$79,155	\$39,577	\$0	\$0	\$197,8
7	DFGD MS BR123						
	Control Tech Installed Year	May-10	May-10	May-09			
	SO2 Removal (%)	93%	93%	93%			
	Fuel Sulfur Content (#SO2/mmBtu)	2.75	2.75	2.75			
	SO2 Emisson Rate (#SO2/mmBtu)	0.193	0.193	0.193			
	Variable O&M (\$/MWH), nominal vr \$	1.102	1,102	1.080			
	Derate, MW	1	1	4			
	Fixed O&M (\$000/yr) nominal yr \$	\$822	\$822	\$806			
	2005	2006	2007	2009	2000	2040	Totol
	Cash Flaw (2000)##	2000	<u>2007</u>	2000	2003	2010	1014
		<u>\$0</u>	\$44,587	\$82,528	\$60,234	\$18,970	\$206,3
0	DEGD MS BR3X						
	Control Tech Installed Year			Dec-08			
	SO2 Removal (%)			93%			
	Fuel Sulfur Content (#SO2/mmBtu)			2.75			
	SO2 Emisson Rate (#SO2/mmBtu)			0.193			
	Variable O&M (\$/MWH), nominal yr \$			1.059			
	Derate, MW			4			
	Fixed O&M (\$000/yr), nominal yr \$			\$1,396			
	2005	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	2008	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>Tota</u>
	Cash Flow (\$000)** \$0	\$41,601	\$41,601	\$20,800	\$0	\$0	\$104,0
9	DFGD MS BR3						
	Control Tech Installed Year			May-09			
	SO2 Removal (%)			93%			
	SO2 Emission Pate (#SO2/mmBtu)			2.75			
	Variable O&M (\$/MWH) nominal vr \$			1 080			
	Derate, MW			4			
	Fixed O&M (\$000/yr), nominal yr \$			\$1,424			
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	Total
	Cash Flow (\$000)** \$0	\$0	\$42,810	\$42,810	\$21,405	\$0	\$107,02
10	FS E.COMP BR123						
	Control Tech Installed Year	Dec-07	Dec-09	Dec-08			
	SUZ Removal (%)	0%	0%	0%			
	SO2 Emisson Rate (#SO2/mmBtu)	1.20	1.20	1.20			
	Variable O&M (\$/MWH), nominal vr \$	0.000	0.000	0,000			
	Derate, MW	0	0	0			
	Fixed O&M (\$000/yr), nominal yr \$	\$ 0	\$0	\$0			
	<u>2005</u>	2006	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	Totai
	Cash Flow (\$000)** \$3,258	\$10.921	\$22,543	\$11.776	\$10.402	\$0	\$58.00

Detailed 605t and Operational into on 602 compliance Alternatives (cont.
--

			Affecte	ed Units			
Option	Short Description	Unit 1	Unit 2	Unit 3	Unit 4		
11	DFGD MS BR23						
	Control Tech Installed Year		Dec-09	Dec-09			
	SO2 Removal (%)		93%	93%			
	Fuel Sulfur Content (#SO2/mmBtu)		2.75	2.75			
	SO2 Emisson Rate (#SO2/mmBtu)		0.193	0.193			
	Variable O&M (\$/MWH), nominal yr \$		1.080	1.080			
	Derate, MW		1	4			
	Fixed O&M (\$000/yr), nominal yr \$		\$1,043	\$1,043			
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	2007	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>Total</u>
	Cash Flow (\$000)** \$0	\$0	\$57,130	\$57,130	\$28,565	\$0	\$142,826
12	DFGD MS BR23x						
	Control Tech Installed Year		Dec-08	Dec-08			
	SO2 Removal (%)		93%	93%			
	Fuel Sulfur Content (#SO2/mmBtu)		2.75	2.75			
	SO2 Emisson Rate (#SO2/mmBtu)		0.193	0.193			
	Variable O&M (\$/MWH), nominal yr \$		1.059	1.059			
	Derate, MW		1	4			
	Fixed O&M (\$000/yr), nominal yr \$		\$1,023	\$1,023			
	2005	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>Total</u>
	Cash Flow (\$000)** \$0	\$55,517	\$55,517	\$27,758	\$0	\$0	\$138,792
13	FS PRB_GH234						
	Control Tech Installed Year		May-08	May-07	Dec-07		
	SO2 Removal (%)		0%	0%	0%		
	Fuel Sulfur Content (#SO2/mmBtu)		0.90	0.90	0.90		
	SO2 Emisson Rate (#SO2/mmBtu)		0.900	0.900	0.900		
	Variable O&M (\$/MWH), nominal yr \$		0.000	0.000	0.000		
	Derate, MW*		8	8	8		
	Fixed O&M (\$000/yr), nominal yr \$		\$1,353	\$1,327	\$1,327		
	2005	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	Total
	Cash Flow (\$000)** \$30,510	\$101,110	\$205,854	\$63,429	\$0	\$0	\$400,903
14	FS PRB BR123						
	Control Tech Installed Year	Dec-08	Dec-08	Dec-08			
	SO2 Removal (%)	0%	0%	0%			
	Fuel Sulfur Content (#SO2/mmBtu)	0.90	0.90	0.90			
	SO2 Emisson Rate (#SO2/mmBtu)	0.900	0.900	0.900			
	Variable O&M (\$/MWH), nominal yr \$	0.000	0.000	0.000			
	Derate, MW*	1	6	28			
	Fixed O&M (\$000/yr), nominal yr \$	\$941	\$941	\$941			
	<u>2005</u>	2006	2007	2008	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	Total
	Cash Flow (\$000)** \$10,008	\$20,017	\$50,042	\$116,038	\$4,063	\$0	\$200,168

Notes:

• PRB fuel increases heat rate (1% @ Ghent, 6% @ Brown) and decreases availability at full load.

** The cash flows for these alternatives at E.W. Brown exclude ash pond related expenses.

and the second design of the second design of the second second second second second second second second second

2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy November 2004

Appendix 3

Fixed and Variable O&M and Derate Estimates

		All costs are in 2004 yr \$					
					Variable O& Fixed O&	M esc rate: M esc rate:	2.00%
GHENT - Wet FGD install		BROWN - WET FGD install					
Variable:		Variable:					
Reactant Prep, \$/ton	2.7	Reactant Prep, \$/ton		2.7			
Limestone Use Rate, tons/MWH 0	0.06	Limestone Use Rate, tons/MWH	0	.06			
Reactant Prep, \$/MWH 0.	162	Reactant Prep, \$/MWH	0.	162			
Disposal cost, S/MWH		Disposal cost, 5/M wH		0.11	not inclusive o	fash	
Total Variable cost, S/MWH Q.	.162	I otal Variable cost, S/MWH	0.	. Z 70			
Fixed:	1	Fixed:					
Additional Unit O&M Cost, \$000 \$4,2	200	Additional Unit O&M Cost, \$000	\$2,	846	based on cost	for 3 units	
Dewatering Plant, \$000 \$6	600	Dewatering Plant, \$000	\$3	300			
Total Fixed O&M, \$000 (for 3 WFGDs) \$4,5	800	Total Fixed O&M, \$000 (for 3 WFGDs)	\$3,	146			
Fixed O.& M. \$900 each WECD (given 3 WECDs)	600	Fixed O&M \$000 each WECD (given 3 WECDs)	e t 1	040			
Fixed O&M \$000 each WFGD (given 3 WFGDs) \$1.4	700	Fixed Oath, 5000 cach WEGD (given 5 WEGDS)	\$1.5	1463			
Fixed O&M, \$000 (given 1 WFGD) \$2.4	000						
Aux Power Use, MW (each WFGD)	15	Aux Power Use, MW (each WFGD)		7			
<u>GHENT - PRB</u>		BROWN - PRB					
Variable: Total Variable cost S(MWH	6	Total Variable cost S/MWH		6			
i dai vallable cost, anvivili	Ň			v			
Fixed:		Fixed:					
Total Fixed O&M, \$000 (convert 3 units) \$3,	750	Total Fixed O&M, \$000 (convert 3 units)	\$2,	608			
Fixed O&M, \$000 each convert (given 3 conversions) \$1,2	250	Fixed O&M, \$000 each convert (given 3 conversions)	S	869			
Fixed O&M, \$000 each convert (given 2 conversions) \$1,4	550						
			Brown	<u>1 1</u>	Brown 2	Brown 3	
Aux Power Use, MW (each conversion)	8	Aux Power Use, MW		1	3	8	
Milling/Coal thru-put detate, MW	0	Milling/Coal thru-put detate, MW	-	0	3	20	
Total Derate (MW), each conversion**	8	Total Derate (MW)		1	6	28	
Heatrate Impact (%), each Doller	1%	Heatrate Impact (%), each Doner		0%	0%	170	
* PRB @ Ghent climinates spare mill. As a result it is estimated that unit generation @ Ghent will decrease by 23040 MWh.							
Impact of loss of single mill (MW)	80						
Erequency of event (x /month)	24						
MWh Reduction (Annual), each unit 23.	.040						
		BROWN - DRY FGD					
		Variable:	Brown	123	Brown 3	Brown 12	Brown 23
		Dry Lime Cost (\$/ton)		\$45	\$45	\$45	\$45
		Lime Use Rate (tons/MWH)	0.	021	0.021	0.021	0.021
		Reactant Prep S/MWH	\$0.9	450	\$0.9450	\$0.9450	50.9450 50.0336
		Total Variable cast S/MWH	50.0	330 794	\$0.0550 \$0.0796	50.0330	50 0350
		1 otal V ariable cost, Silvi w H	\$ 0.9	/80	30.9780	3U.¥/80	30,9780
		<u>Fixed:</u>					
		Additional Unit O&M Cost, \$000	\$1,	900	\$1,000	\$900	\$1,600
		Reactant Prep O&M Cost, \$000	-	\$90	\$90	\$90	\$90
		Fixed O&M \$000		190	\$1 290	\$1 190	\$1,890
		LINER OBINI, BUU	32,	0	¥1,270	<i>41,19</i> 0	91,090
	ļ	Fixed O&M, \$000 each Unit	5	730	\$1,290	\$595	\$945
		Aux Power Use, MW					
		Brow	vn l	1		1	
		Brow	vn Z	1		1	1
		Brov	N11 3	- 4	•		

en an all sea and search and encoder the transformed and the

n an a state of the second termination of the state of the state of the state of the second se

Page 32 of 91

. . Som nomening grand devices were a set of

2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy Novemher 2004

Appendix 4

2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy Appendix 4- Subproject Annual Capital Cost Cash Flows

				De	tailed Cash	Flow (\$ x 1	000)			In Service
	Ontion Name	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total	In Service
	Gh34WEGD	2004		2000	2007	2000	2003	2010	iotai	Dale
1-	Common EGD	\$0	\$27.882	\$27 882	\$13 941	\$0	\$0		\$69 706	May 07
1 E	Unit 3	\$0	\$41 082	\$41.082	\$20 541	ŝ	\$0 \$0		\$102,705	May 07
lĕ	Unit 4	\$0	\$0	\$46 727	\$46 727	\$23 364	\$0		\$116.818	May OP
õ		=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====		=====	Way-00
	Total	\$0	\$68,964	\$115,691	\$81,209	\$23,364	\$0		\$289,229	
~	Gh3WFGD									
1 g	Common FGD	\$0	\$10,507	\$10,507	\$5,253	\$0	\$0		\$26,267	May-07
lĕ	Unit 3	\$0	\$41,796	\$41,796	\$20,898	\$0	\$0		\$104,490	May-07
Ιō	Total	\$0	\$52 303	\$52 303	\$26 151	 \$0	 ¢0		\$130 757	
	Gh234WEGD	Ψ0	402,000	4 52,000	φ20,101				\$130,737	
	Common EGD	\$0	\$31 214	\$31 214	\$15 607	\$0	\$0		\$78.036	May-07
13	Unit 3	\$0	\$41,202	\$41,202	\$20,601	\$0	\$0		\$103,006	May-07
t;	Unit 1 & 2	\$0	\$0	\$49,424	\$49,424	\$24,712	\$0		\$123,560	May-08
lô	Unit 4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$48,056	\$48,056	\$24,028		\$120,141	May-09
	Total	=====	EEEEE		====== \$400.000			=====		
-	I UIAI	30 Jorotod	\$72,417	\$121,841	\$133,689	\$72,769	\$24,028		\$424,743	
4	BITZSWEGD ACCE	ierateu	•							
15	Common - FGD	\$0	\$0	\$22,366	\$22,366	\$11,183	\$0		\$55,914	Dec-08
đ	Unit 1,2,3	\$0	\$0 	\$68,582	\$68,582	\$34,291	\$0		\$171,454	Dec-08
0	Total	\$0		\$90 947	\$90 947	\$45 474	 \$0		\$227 369	+Ash Dond
1	Br123WFGD			400,047	400,047	<u></u>			****,000	- nan rond
15	Common - FGD	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$23.037	\$23.037	\$11,518		\$57 592	Mav-09
Ē	Unit 1,2,3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$70,639	\$70,639	\$35,319		\$176.597	May-09
lõ		====	55555	====	=====	=====		=====	=====	-,
F	Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$93,676	\$93,676	\$46,838	<u> </u>	\$234,189	+Ash Pond
	Br123DFGD Accel	lerated	.		.	.	_			
16	Common	\$0	\$0	\$8,981	\$8,981	\$4,491	\$0		\$22,453	Dec-08
<u>i</u>	Unit 3	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$34,346 \$25,927	\$34,346	\$17,173	\$0 \$0		\$85,866	Dec-08
8	01111112		-0€ ====≈	\$35,627	\$30,627 =====	\$17,913 			209,567	Dec-08
[Total	\$0	\$0	\$79 155	\$79 155	\$39 577	\$0		\$197 887	+Ach Road
	Br123DFGD				\$10,100	400,077	Ψ0		4101,001	TRail Fullu
~	Common	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9.242	\$9.242	\$4 621	\$0	\$23 106	May-09
15	Unit 3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$35,345	\$35,345	\$17.672	\$0	\$88,362	May-09
Ť	Unit 1/2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$37,941	\$37,941	\$18,970	\$94,852	May-10
0		=====	=====	=====	=====		z===z	=====	=====	
	Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$44,587	\$82,528	\$60,234	\$18,970	\$206,319	+Ash Pond
0	Br3DFGD Acceler	ated								
18	Common	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$7,254	\$7,254	\$3,627	\$0		\$18,136	Dec-08
1ª	Unit 3	\$U =====	\$0 =====	\$34,346 =====	\$34,346 =====	\$17,173	\$0	=====	\$85,866	Dec-08
0	Total	\$0	\$0	\$41.601	\$41.601	\$20.800	\$0		\$104.002	+Ash Pond
	Br3DFGD								••••••	
16	Common	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,465	\$7,465	\$3,733		\$18,663	May-09
19	Unit 3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$35,345	\$35,345	\$17,672		\$88,362	May-09
18		=====		92222	=====			=====	=====	
	l otal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$42,810	\$42,810	\$21,405		\$107,024	+Ash Pond
	Brizsecomp	.		.	<u>.</u>	<u> </u>			-	
19	Common	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	Jan-00
15	Unit 1	\$0	\$1,769	\$7,078	\$2,949	\$0	\$0		\$11,796	Dec-07
Ť	Unit 2	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$86/ \$2077	\$1,/34 \$17 004	\$4,334 \$7.440	\$10,402		\$17,337	Dec-09
ō	ionii s	22222 DQ		\$2,977 =====	917,001 =====	φ/,442 =====	==≡== ⊅0		⊅ ∠9,/08 =====	Dec-08
	Total	\$0	\$3,258	\$10,921	\$22,543	\$11,776	\$10,402		\$58,901	
	Br23DFGD						(
E	Common	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,633	\$8,633	\$4,317		\$21,583	Dec-09
ō	Unit 2&3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$48,497	\$48,497	\$24,249		\$121,243	Dec-09
a		33232	*****	=====			=====	=====		
Ĕ	Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$57,130	\$57,130	\$28,565		\$142,826	+Ash Pond
2	Br23DFGD Accele	rated	.				.		Acc	
Ē	Common	\$0	\$ 0	\$8,389	\$8,389	\$4,195	\$O		\$20,973	Dec-08
ĮÄ	Unit 263	⊅0 ======	≎∪ ≖==≈=	⊅47,128 ≖====	φ47,128 =====	ə∠3,304 =====	a=====	==522	⇒i1/,819 =====	Dec-08
Ő	Total	\$0	\$0	\$55,517	\$55,517	\$27,758	\$0		\$138,792	+Ash Pond
	Gh234PRB Accele	erated			<u> </u>					
6	Common	\$0	\$6,433	\$19,298	\$38,595	\$0			\$64,325	May-07
12	Unit 2	\$0	\$0	\$9,580	\$28,741	\$57,482			\$95,803	May-08
5	Unit 3	\$0	\$12,183	\$36,550	\$73,101	\$0			\$121,834	May-07
lo	Unit 4	\$0	\$11,894	\$35,682	\$65,417	\$5,947			\$118,940	Dec-07
1	Total	 \$0	\$30.510	\$101 110	\$205 854	\$63 429	\$0	2	\$400 903	
\vdash	Br123PRB Accele	rated	400,010	w.v., 10	+200,00 4		ψU			
-	Common	\$0	\$1.633	\$3,266	\$8,166	\$19,599	\$0		\$32,665	Dec-08
4	Unit 1	\$0	\$1.907	\$3.814	\$9.534	\$20.975	\$1.907		\$38.137	Dec-08
5	Unit 2	\$0	\$2,156	\$4,312	\$10,779	\$23,714	\$2,156		\$43,116	Dec-08
đ	Unit 3	\$0	\$4,312	\$8,625	\$21,562	\$51,750	\$0		\$86,249	Dec-08
					=====	=====		=====	=====	
0	_	====								

1. All cash flows exclude any costs for wet ESP completion and AFUDC
 2. For PV purposes, all cash flows occurring in 2004 were moved into 2005.
 3. Total Cash flows associated with Brown acrubbing have NOT been adjusted for incremental Brown ash pond related expenses

Page 34 of 91

2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy November 2004

Appendix 5

July 26, 2004 LX2004114

Mr. Bob Webb E.W. Brown Generating Station 815 Dix Dam Road Harrodsburg, Kentucky 40330

Re: Hydrographic Survey Report Ash Pond E.W. Brown Generating Station Burgin, Kentucky

Dear Mr. Webb:

Fuller, Mossbarger, Scott and May Engineers, Inc. (FMSM) surveyed the Ash Pond using a combination of GPS and Sonar as described in our proposal of June 25, 2004. The results have been placed in an ESRI ArcGIS project along with data from our previous survey of the Ash Pond of January 28, 2002. Attached please find two drawings showing the measured surface and underwater contours of all items within the reservoir boundary at elevation 900 feet or below. Additionally, the contours have been underlain by Aerial Photography obtained from Kentucky Utilities and the US Geological Survey. The photograph provided by Kentucky Utilities plainly shows the ash above the water level along the northern side of the pond. Furthermore, a blue contour on the map shows the water's edge at the time of the survey. It should be noted that the water level in the pond was raised to facilitate hydrographic surveying in shallow areas, thereby creating a greater area of water coverage than is visible in the photograph.

On January 28, 2002, the available design volume of the pond (level ash fill to elevation 890 feet) was 1,106.5 acre-ft. On July 16, 2004, the available volume was 765.1 acre-ft. Thus, 341.4 acre-ft were filled in 900 days indicating an annual filling rate of 138.5 acre-ft/year. Therefore, the remaining design life of the pond is 5.5 years.

FMSM appreciates the opportunity to submit this information. If needed, the data obtained from this survey can be provided in other digital formats. Finally, if you have any questions or need additional information, please call.

Respectfully submitted,

FULLER, MOSSBARGER, SCOTT AND MAY ENGINEERS, INC.

KOH /rws/bjb

Page 36 of 91

2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy November 2004

Appendix 6

General Assumptions

• Study Period: 20-year period for Production Cost impacts (2005-2025) 30-year period for Capital Costs impacts (2005-through book life of project)

The production costs include items such as fuel, O&M, purchase power etc and are estimated using the PROSYM production model. This model was run for the 2005-2025 time period.

The revenue requirements associated with capital costs are determined via the Capital Expenditure and Recovery module of the Strategist production and capital costing software. Capital projects with a 20 year book/tax life and an in service date after 2005 would have the last years of their life excluded from the revenue requirement calculation if capital costs impacts were halted at 2025. Doing so would have the affect of underestimating the capital cost of alternatives and would favor construction of new projects. Therefore, to completely account for capital projects were extended through the end of their book life.

- KU/LGE continues as a regulated entity subject to the oversight of the Kentucky Public Service Commission and that the Commission continues the requirement of the Companies implementing the least cost strategy to the benefit of the native load ratepayers.
- The capital costs, O&M costs and the costs of increased emissions (both NO_x and SO₂) associated with the addition of new environmental projects will be subject to recovery through the Environmental Cost Recovery mechanism.
- Financial Data

Jul		
≻	Discount Rate (%):	7.26 %
≻	Federal Income Tax Rate (%)	40.36 %
≻	AFUDC Rate (%):	7.26 %
\triangleright	Insurance Rate (%):	7.00 %
\triangleright	Property Tax Rate (%):	18.0 %
≻	Percentage of Debt in Capital Structure (%):	46.06 %
\triangleright	Debt Interest Rate/Weighted Cost of Debt (%):	3.16 %
≻	Desired Return on Rate base (%):	7.26 %
\triangleright	Capitalized Interest Debt Rate (%):	3.16 %
\triangleright	Environmental Projects Book Life (years):	20 years
\triangleright	Environmental Projects Tax Life (years):	20 years
\triangleright	Annual capital cost escalation rate (%):	3.0%
≻	Annual Fixed O&M escalation rate (%):	2.0% (prorated for mid-year installs)
\triangleright	Annual Variable O&M escalation rate (%):	2.0%

• No unit retirements occur on the Companies' generating system within the study period.

2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy Appendix 6- General Study Assumptions **Confidential Information Redacted**

 SO₂ and NO_x Emission Costs (Base Assumptions) Note that the effects of CAIR can be seen in the forecast price of SO₂ in 2010 and beyond.



- Fuel Forecast (Base Assumptions)
 - Any and all fuel cost savings associated with serving native load will be returned to the ratepayer though the Fuel Adjustment Clause mechanism.

Annual Average Fuel Forecast (cents/mmBtu)

		Br	own		Ghent 1		Ghent 2-4	۱	Gr River	Tyrone 3	Cane Run	Mill Creek	Trimble			HAEF
SO ₂ content																
>	0.9 #	1.20#	2.75 #	6.36#	6.10#	0.9#	1.20#	6.10#	4.56#	1.80#	6.05#	6.09#	6.50#	Oil	Gas	Gas
2005																
2006																
2007																
2008																
2009																
2010																
2012																
2013																
2014																
2015																
2016																
2017																
2018																
2019																
2020																
2021																
2023																
2024																
2025																

Appendix 7

Page 41 of 91

				U	Cost Co	mparis	on of A	Iternat in 2005	tive S PVRR	O ₂ Com \$ x1000	pliance	Plans				
Case01	1- Optier	a t: WFC	SD HS GH34					Case00-	Option	0: BASE CA	SE W/ ENV	DISP				
			Fuel Forecast. Load Forecast	Base	Capital Cos	st Sensitivity:				Fuel Forecast:	Base	Capital Co	st Sensitivity:		SO ₂ Price CI	urve Multiplier
		S S S	2 Price Forecast: c Price Forecast: her Description:	Base Base SO2 Dispatch, WFGD HS GH3	TC2 2010, No B	II3 SCR			SO2 I NOX I	Load Forecast: Price Forecast: Price Forecast: er Description:	Base Base SO2 Dispatch, T	C2 2010, No E	ara SCR			
	ଥ	¹ Environn	nental Controls:	D				ő	Environm	ental Controis						
		Unit Brown 1	SO2 Tech	<u>502 Rem %</u> %	Cost (MS)	<u>in-Service</u> 0			Unit	SO2 Tech	<u>SO2 Rem %</u>	Cost (MS)	In-Service			
		Brown 2 Brown 3	n/a tv/a	కే కే	• •	• • •			Brown 2 Brown 3	8/1 8/1	కి రి రి	\$ 6 6	000			
So, A	llowances P	Ghent 2 Ghent 3 Ghent 4 Nurchasad	rva FSHS+Wet FGD FSHS+Wet FGD 1 974 044	* * * * * 88	0 289MS GH34 289MS GH34 289MS GH34	0 2007 2008			Ghent 2 Ghent 3 Ghent 4	eV eV eV	666					
QN	linearcae D		Largest An	nual SO ₂ Purcha	se (as a % of EP	A Allocation):	283%	w)	iO ₂ Allowan	ces Purchased: Largest Anr	<u>2,721,838</u> Nual SO ₂ Purchas	SO ₂ e (as a % of Ef	Tons Emitted: A Allocation):	4,545,227	DIFFEI	RENCE
	Allowanc	e Price		Combined	Company	Ions Emitted:	298,859	Z	O, Allowan	ces Purchased:	150,964	ŇOx	Tons Emitted:	603,779	CALCUL	ATIONS
Year	(\$Nomin NOX	al/ton) SO2	Production \$	Allow. Pu NOx \$	company irchases SO2 \$	Capital \$	NPV Total \$	(\$Nomin: NOx	a Price al/ton) SO2	Production \$	Combined (Allow. Pur NOX 5	chases		NPV		Cumulative
2005	3146	392		-		9,502		3146	392				2,225	• ID01	7.277	1 OTAL \$
2006	3063	405		,	•	21,040		3063	405		•	•	2,873		18,167	25.444
2008	2350	412		,		33,205		2599	412			3,822	2,566		15,970	41,414
2009	2308	407			0.67's	36,439		2349	419		ı	22,343	2,291		5,621	47,035
2010	1874	536			34 549	1 2 6 0 6 8		8062	407 526			21,311	2,044		3,149	50,184
2011	1666	547		1,931	30,645	28,564		1666	547		3.095	49,224	1,823		(4,287)	45,897
2012	1752	558		2,695	29,251	25,461		1752	558		3,333	43,099	1.448		(106.0)	00,930 90,968
2013	11211	569 560		3,690	29,739	22,679		1731	569		4,235	42,880	1,287		(8,892)	21,976
2015	2400	592		5,796 11.413	29,821	20,184		2344	580		6,280	42,415	1,141		(10,160)	11,816
2016	2596	604		12,136	34,588	15,901		2596	592 604		11,//2	47,599	1,010		(11,057)	159
2017	2656	616		12,440	33,741	14,059		2656	616		12,742	44,246	783		(10,188) (10,580)	(9,429) (20.008)
2010	2674	870		11,852	32,749	12,394		2668	628		12,112	43,338	685		(12,266)	(32,275)
2020	2713	053		11.383	30,596	9 532	-	2674	641 663		11,411	41,055	598		(12,547)	(44,822)
2021	2807	666		11,955	29,960	8.309		2807	003 666		11,583	30,240	519		(12,706)	(57,529)
2022	2833	680		11,386	28,764	7,207	-	2833	680		11.466	37 635	384		(13,071)	(70,600)
2023	2861	693		11,007	27,512	6,217		2861	693		11,077	35,515	327		(12 011)	(83,/44)
2024	2918	707		10,918	26,450	5,327		2918	707		10,996	34,106	276		(11.988)	(102 743)
2026	//62	121		10,651	25,604	4,500		2977	721		10,695	33,297	201		(12,778)	(120,521)
2027					• •	0,020 1 703					•	•	•		3,626	(116,895)
2028						250			_		• •				1,703	(115,192)
2029 2030				. ,		•		·			,		,		10.7	(114,942)
Totale			Cor tor						Ţ		·		•		•	(114,942)
- Cuara	1		13,/01,/02	140,471	508,732	384,847	14,795,811		-	14.027,565	145,818	711,926	25,444	14,910,754	(114,942)	[

Confidential Information Redacted

Appendix 7- Comparison of Various SO₂ Compliance Plans (Base Capital Casts, Base SO₂ Market Prices)

2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy Appendix 7- Comparison of Various SO₂ Compliance Plans (Base Capital Costs, Base SO₂ Market Prices) Confidential Information Redacted

				0	ost Co	mparis(A	on of Al Il Costs i	ternal n 2005	tive S PVRR	\$O ₂ Com	pliance	Plans				
Case02-	Option	2: WFG	ID HS GH3				-	Case00-	Option	0: BASE CA	SE WI ENV	DISP				
			Fuel Forecast:	Base	Capital Cos	t Sensitivity:				Fuel Forecast: F	Base	Capital Cos	t Sensitivity:		SO ₂ Price Cur	ve Multiplier
		SO2 NOx	Load Forecast: Price Forecast: Price Forecast:	Base Base					SO2 NOX	Load Forecast: Price Forecast: Price Forecast: 1	Base Base					241
		ō	her Description:	SO2 Dispatch, 1 WFGD HS GH3	IC2 2010, No B	r3 SCR			5	her Description:	SO2 Dispatch, T 0	C2 2010, No BI	13 SCR			
	SO	s Environn	nental Controls;					SO	, Environm	nental Controls:	5					
		Unit Brown 1	<u>502 Tech</u>	<u>502 Rem %</u> 0%	Cost (M\$)	In-Service			<u>Unit</u> Prown 1	SO2 Tech	SO2 Rem %	Cost (MS)	In-Service			
		Brown 2 Brown 3	e/u e/u	88	00	00			Brown 2	8 J.	55					
		Ghent 2 Ghent 3	n/a FS HS+Wal FGD	%685	0 131MS GH3	0			Gheril 2 Gheril 3	8,2 8,7	88					
SO, Alk	owances P	Ghent 4 urchased:	7/8 2,334,147	đ	0 \$0,	0 Tons Emitted:	4,157,537		Ghent 4 SO, Allowa	n/a Inces Purchased:	0% 2.721.838	so.1	ons Emitted:	4.545.227		
			Largest An	nual SO ₂ Purcha	se (as a % of EP	A Allocation):	325%			Largest And	nual SO ₂ Purchas	e (as a % of EP	A Allocation):	368%	DIFFER	ENCE
IIV YON	Allowand	a Brice	200'DE	Combined	Company 1	I OIIS CITIKIDU.	2011/100	Allowed	A Drine	Inces Fulcingsed.	Poridad C	- TOP	I OUS EINITIBU	a l'eno	CALCUL	CHOIL
	(\$Nomin	al/ton)	Bradian C	Allow. Pu	company irchases	1	NPV	(\$Nomin	al/ton)	e control of	Allow. Put	company chases		VAN		Cumulative
1000							1 0101	5	-					• 1710 1	* IPD0	4 IP10 -
2006	3140 3063	405				13,164		3063	405 405				272,2		5,519	5,519 15,810
2007	2599	412		•	•	19,301		2599	412		•	3,822	2,566		2,274	18,084
2008	2351	419		'	13,769	19,134		2349	419		,	22,343	2,291		(2.447)	15,637
2009	2309	407		•	14,720	17,077		2309	407		•	21,311	2,044		(1,283)	14,354
2010	1874	536		23	42,196	15,234		1874	536		526	49,224	1,823		(2,950)	11,404
2011	1666	547		2,780	37,779	13,584		1666	547		3,095	44,970	1,625		(4,594)	6,810
2102	724/1	358		3,002	30,144 36.761	GU1,21		26/1	556 560		3,333	43,099	1,448		(5,327)	1,482
2014	2344	085		6.071	36.059	9.588		2344	50C		6,280	42.415	1 141		(5,040)	(4,132)
2015	2400	592		11,591	41,509	8,511		2400	592		11,772	47,599	1,010		(6,318)	(16,499)
2016	2596	604		12,266	39,722	7,536		2596	604		12,409	45,578	890		(6,598)	(23,097)
2017	2656	616		12,606	39,242	6,654		2656	616		12,742	44,246	783		(5,194)	(28,291)
2018	2668	628		11,979	38,002	5,856		2668	628		12,112	43,338	685		(6,730)	(35,021)
2019	2674	641 5		11,311	35,938	5,137		2674	641 CE2		11,411	41,055	598		(6,772)	(41,794)
0707		200		101'11		104°4		21/7	3 8		500°11	01000	2		(0,7,0)	(0/0'0+)
2021	2807	000		12,018	10C.45	3,903		1082	900		12,085	39,240	448		(6,860)	(55,430)
	2000	200			001,000			1007	8		001-1-1	1.	100		(+00.0)	(+1 6'70)
2024	1007	202		11,040	012.10	2,304		1007	202		110,01	010'00 941 MG	327		(127)	(140,983)
47N2	01R7	è è		10,930	30,400	6/4/3		1167	ē ē		10.50	34,100	0/7		(07./°C)	(/4,/6/)
9202	1187	17/				1.570		1167	171		CROIN	33,291	102		(b,544) 1 570	(81,312)
2002						000										(24/6/)
2028						} '										(79.442)
2029					•	•					•				,	(79,442)
2030				·	·	·					·					(79,442)
Totals			13,869,994	143,198	605,628	192,492	14,831,312			14,027,565	145,818	711,926	25,444	14,910,754	(79,442)	

Page 42 of 91

Confidential Information Redacted

2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy Appendix 7- Comparison of Various SO₂ Compliance Plans (Base Capital Costs, Base SO₂ Market Prices)

				-	Cost Cc	omparis	ion of A	Iterna	ative (SO ₂ Con	pliance	Plans				
							All COSIS		2 LAK	K \$ X1000						
Case03	- Option	a 3: WF(GD HS GH2:	¥				Case00	- Option	1 0: BASE CA	ASE W/ ENV	DISP				
			Fuel Forecast	1: Base	Capital Co	st Sensitivity:				Fuel Forecast:	Base	Canital Co	et Sancitivitur		SO ₂ Price Cu	Irve Multiplier
		\$05	2 Price Forecast	t Base : Base					č	Load Forecast	Base		er densiuvity.			00 1
		ộ ŏ	k Price Forecast ther Description	: Base : SO2 Dispatch	TC2 2010 No1	ans of		'	5 ố č	r Price Forecast	Base					
			•	WFGD HS GH	234				5	uner Description.	: SUZ UISPATCH, 0	I CZ 2010, No E	Sr3 SCR			
	ŝ	<u>, Environ</u>	mental Controls	•••				2	2. Environr	mental Controls:	0					
		Unit Brown 1	SO2 Tech	502 Rem % %	Cost (MS)	In-Service			팬	SO2 Tech	<u>SO2 Rem %</u>	Cost (MS)	In-Service			
		Brown 2 Brown 3	e)/I	Š	00				Brown 2	ev.	6 6		00			
		Ghent 2	FS HS+Wel FGD	*86 *86	425MS Gh234	2008			Brown 3 Ghent 2	ria Na	80	• •	• •			
SO ₂ All	owances Pu	Ghent 4 burchased:	FS HS+WelFGD 1,688,511	*98 *88	425M5 Gh234 425M5 Gh234 SO.	2007 2009 Tons Emitted	3 511 901		Ghent 3 Ghent 4 CO Allours	Na Na	0% 0% 734 920	00	• •			
			Largest A	nnual SO ₂ Purchi	ase (as a % of El	A Allocation):	246%		Monte Topo	Largest An	inual SO ₂ Purchas	50 ₂ 5e (as 2 % of EF	Tons Emitted: A Allocation):	4,545,227	DIFFE	RENCE
	Allowance	a Prine	140		Ň	Tons Emitted:	602,563		NO _x Allowa	ances Purchased:	150,964	NO.	Tons Emitted:	603,779	CALCUL	ATIONS
Year	(\$Nomina NOx	al/ton)	Draditation 6	Allow. P.	urchases	:	NPV	(\$Norm	nal/ton)		Combined Allow. Pu	Company rchases		VPV		Cumulative
2005	3146	200		e XON	\$706	Capital 5	Total \$	õ	S02	Production \$	\$ XON	\$02 \$	Capital \$	Total \$	Total \$	Total \$
2006	3063	405			•	9,867		3146	392		•		2,225		7,642	7,642
2007	2599	412			• •	30 212		3003	404				2,873		19,111	26,753
2008	2352	419			9.513	48.575		SEC2	410		,	3,822	2,566		21,908	48,661
2009	2309	407			4.764	51.063		2309	407			24,343	162,2		15.293	63,954
2010	1874	536		256	28,462	47,087		1874	536		576	11012	440,2		1,738	71,693
2011	1666	547		2,859	25,095	42,003		1666	547		3.095	477'54	1 825 1		(612,2)	69,413
2012	1752	558		3,121	24,420	37,450		1752	558		3,333	43.099	1.448		(1,00/.)	04./20
2013	1731	569		4,069	24,467	33,368		1731	569		4,235	42,880	1,287		(8.813)	50.014
2014	2344	580		6,107	24,722	29,710		2344	580		6,280	42,415	1,141		(11,286)	38.727
2012	2400	260		11,734	30,629	26,417		2400	592		11.772	47,599	1,010		(12,824)	25,904
2017	0607	004 616		12,352	29,831	23,446		2596	604		12,409	45,578	069		(12,608)	13,296
2018	2668	628		12,034	29,119	20,757		2656	616 ene		12,742	44,246	783		(13,296)	(0)
2019	2674	641		11.376	27.074	16.127		10002	070		211,21	43,338	685		(15,294)	(15,295)
2020	2713	653		11,512	26,525	14,142		2713	653		11583.1	000'1 #	220		(15,151)	(30,446)
2021	2807	666		12,070	25,990	12,352		2807	666		12.085	39.240	8446		(10,430)	(40,884)
2022	2833	680		11,496	24,969	10,740		2833	680		11,466	37,635	384		(000,11)	(04,109)
2023	2861	693		11,093	23,853	9,289		2861	693		11,077	35,515	327		(16 561)	(106 30)
2024	2918	707		10,988	22,974	7,986		2918	707		10,996	34,106	276		(16,503)	(192,05)
2025	2977	721		10,726	22,276	6,787		2977	721		10,695	33,297	201		(17 406)	(Tel.FI)
2026				,	•	5,579					. •		,		5.579	(126.621)
2027				,	•	3,290					•				3.290	(123.331)
2028				1	•	1,518									1.518	(121.813)
2029				•	•	239		-			•				239	(121,574)
2002				•		•		Ţ			'					(121,574)
Totais			13,674,349	144,422	433,097	537,313	14,789,180			14,027,565	145,818	711,926	25,444	14,910,754	(121,574)	

Page 43 of 91

2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy Appendix 7- Comparison of Various SO₂ Compliance Plans (Base Capital Costs, Base SO₂ Market Prices) **Confidential Information Redacted**

			C	ost Col	mparisc	IN of A	terna	tive S	O2 Com	pliance I	Plans				
					A	Il Costs i	n 2005	5 PVRR	\$ ×1000						
Case04- Op	ition 4: WI	FGD HS BR12	3х				Case00	- Option	0: BASE CA	SE WI ENV L	JISP			SO ₂ Price Cur	ve Multiplier
		Fuel Forecast:	: Base	Capital Cos	t Sensitivity:				Fuel Forecast: E	Base	Capital Cost	Sensitivity:		•	1.00
	κ y	Load Forecast: 02 Price Forecast: 04 Price Forecast:	: Base : Base : Base		I			SO2	Load Forecast: Price Forecast: { Price Forecast: 6	Base Base Base					
		Other Description:	: SO2 Dispatch, 1 WFGD HS BR1;	FC2 2010, No Bi 23x	ាន SCR	in .		ō	ver Description:	SO2 Dispatch, T(0	C2 2010, No Bi	3 SCR			
	SO, Enviro	onmental Controls:					SC	2. Environm	ental Controls:	5					
	1107 T	SO2 Tech	SO2 Rem %	Cost (MS)	In-Service			jun.	SO2 Tech	SO2 Rem %	Cost (MS)	in-Service			_
	Brow	mi FSHS+WetFGU m2 FSHS+WetFGD	1	227MS Br123	2008			Brown 2 Brown 2	e/2	668					
	Gher	nti FSHS+WetFul nt2 n/a	100 100	0	0			Ghent 2	1	58					
	80	nt3 n/a nt4 n/a	88		••	101 100		Gheni 4	22			0	A 645 027		
SO ₂ Allowa	nces Purchase	ed: 1,667,779	Durcha	50 ₂ •• ise s % of FP	Tons Emitted: A Allocation):	3,491,168		SO ₂ Allowa	inces Purchased: Larcest Ann	2,121,030 Juai SO, Purchas	s (as a % of EP.	A Allocation):	368%	DIFFER	ENCE
NO, Allowa	nces Purchase	ed: 157,339		. "ON	Tons Emitted:	610,155		NO _x Allowa	nces Purchased:	150,964	NO	Tons Emitted:	603,779	CALCUL	ATIONS
	owance Price Vominal/ton)		Combined Allow. Pu	Company Irchases		ΛdΝ	Allowar (\$Nomi	Ice Price Inal/ton)		Combined (Allow. Pur	Company chases		NPV	Ŭ	Jumulative
Year NC	0X SO2	Production \$	s xon	S02 \$	Capital \$	Total \$	ŏ	\$02	Production \$	\$ XON	S02 \$	Capital \$	Total \$	Total \$	Total \$
2005	3146 392		•	•	3,592		3146	392		•	,	2,225		1,367	1,367
2006	3063 405		•	,	13,585		3063	405		,		2,8/3		10,/12	610,21
2007	2599 412		•	3,822	20,826		9667	412			270'5	100.2		21 ADD	51 730
2008	2349 419		• •	160'07	31 159		2309	407			21.311	2.044		12,535	64,274
2010	1874 536		1.364	27,249	27,818		1874	536		526	49,224	1,823		7,008	71,282
2011	1666 547		3,579	24,820	24,827		1666	547		3,095	44,970	1,625		5,341	76,623
2012	1752 558		3,765	24,860	22,147		1752	558		3,333	43,099	1,448		4,216	80,839
2013	1731 569		4,658	24,412	19,742		1731	569		4,235	42,880	1,287		(428)	80,410
2014	2344 580		6,761	24,079	17,585		2344	280		6,280	42,415	1,141		(3,061)	945,77
2015	2400 592		12,258	30,357	15,649		2400	760		10,112	45.578	0.0		(5.359)	68.369
2012	2656 616		13.144	27.613	12,311		2656	616		12,742	44,246	783		(6.758)	61,611
2018	2668 628		12,512	27,520	10,876		2668	628		12,112	43,338	685		(1,571)	54,040
2019	2674 641		11,727	26,598	9,579		2674	54		11,411	41,055	598		(6,881)	47,159
2020	2713 653		11,909	25.776	8,407		2713	663		11,583	40,253	519		(B, 145)	39,014
2021	2807 666		12,399	24,905	7,350		2807	999		12,085	39,240	448 204		(9,695)	10 761
2022	2833 680		11,768	24,172	6,398		2830			11,400	31,033	204		(100.5)	10/21
2023	2861 693		7/5/11	22,308	0,041		007	202		10.06	34.106	776		(11,909)	(2.895)
2024	/0/ 8462		216,11	610,12			2077	2.2		10,605	792.55	201		(002,11)	(14 686)
6202	17/ 1/67		'ne'ni		3.154					-				3,154	(11,532)
2027			•		2,658							,		2,658	(8,874)
2028				•	1,934					•		•		1,934	(6,940)
2029			,	•	•						•				(6,940)
2030			,	÷	•				í	•		-			(6,940)
Totals		14,005,792	2 152,434	432,394	313,194	14,903,814			14,027,565	145,818	711,926	25,444	14,910,754	(6,940)	

Page 44 of 91

Page 45 of 91

							VII Costs	in 2005	PVRF	t \$ x1000	pilalice	rians				
Case05-	Option	5: WFG	3D HS BR12:	3				Case00-	- Option	0: BASE CA	ISE WI ENV	DISP				
			Fuel Forecast:	Base	Capital Cos	t Sensitivity:				Fuel Forecast:	Base	Capital Cos	t Sensitivity [.]		SO ₂ Price Cu	irve Multiplier
		SO2 NOX	Load Forecast: Price Forecast: Price Forecast:	Base Base Base		-		r	SO2 NOx	Load Forecast: Price Forecast: Price Forecast:	Base Base Base					<u>8</u>
		ē	her Description:	SO2 Dispatch, ' WFGD HS BR1	TC2 2010, No Bi 23	r3 SCR			đ	her Description:	SO2 Dispatch, 1 0	IC2 2010, No E	sr3 SCR			
	50.1	Environn	nental Controls:					ŝ	2 Environn	iental Controls:	0					
		Unit Brown 1	SO2 Tech FS HS+Wet FGD	<u>502 Rem %</u> 98%	Cost (MS) 234MS Br123	In-Service 2009			Unit Brown 1	<u>SO2 Tech</u> Na	SO2 Rem % 0%	Cost (MS)	<u>In-Service</u> 0			
		Brown 3 Chont 2	FS HS+Wet FGD	1 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	234M5 Br123 234M5 Br123	2009 2009			Brown 2 Brown 3	a∿n Ab	*0	• •	• •			
		Ghent 3 Chent 3		588					Ghent 2 Ghent 3	rva rva	% 0	00	c o			
SO ₂ Allo	wances Pu.	Irchased:	1,692,501		\$0,1	ons Emitted:	3,515,891		Gheni 4 SO2 Allowal	n/a nces Purchased:	0% 2,721,838	°os o	0 Tons Emitted:	4,545,227		
NO, Allo	vances Pui	Irchased:	157,324	nual au ₂ Furcha	NO _x T	A Allocation): ons Emitted:	610,140	_	NO, Allowal	Largest An nces Purchased:	nual SO ₂ Purchai 150,964	se (as a % of EF NO. 1	A Allocation): Tons Emitted:	<u>368%</u> 603.779	DIFFEF CALCIN	RENCE
< ~	SNominal	Price I/ton)		Combined	Company			Allowanc	ce Price		Combined	Company				
Year	ğ	S 02	Production \$	NOX 5	rcnases SO2 \$	Capital \$	Total \$	(\$Nomir NOx	SO2	Production \$	Allow. Pu NOx \$	rchases SO2 \$	Capital \$	NPV Total \$	Total \$	Cumulative Totaí \$
2005	3146	392		•		3,592		3146	392				2,225		1,367	1,367
2002	2400	405			• •	4,637		3063	405		•		2,873		1,764	3,131
2008	2349	419			220,6	10 7 10		2599	412			3,822	2,566		10,168	13,299
2009	2310	407		,	7.877	29.353		2300	407		,	22,343	162'2		17.428	30,727
2010	1874	536		1,345	27,248	29,165		1874	536		526	P22 64	1 823		16,053	46,780 66 443
2011	1666	547		3,579	24,820	26,026		1666	547		3,095	44,970	1,625		6,537	61.651
2012	1752	558		3,765	24,860	23,215		1752	558		3,333	43,099	1,448		5,282	66,933
2014	1611	600		4,658	24,412	20,694		1731	569		4,235	42,880	1,287		521	67,454
2015	2400	1000		10/10	24,079	18,434		2344	580		6,280	42,415	1,141		(2,214)	65,240
2016	2596	604		12,919	28.550	14 587		2400	ZRC		11.772	47,599	1,010		(2,866)	62,374
2017	2656	616		13,144	27.613	12.941		2656	616		12,409	47,C,C4	890		(4.671)	57,703
2018	2668	628		12,512	27,520	11,452		2668	628		12.112	43.338	/ 03 FA5	.t a	(6,130) (6,007)	51,573 44 E7E
2019	2674	641		11,727	26,598	10,105		2674	641		11,411	41.055	598		(196,0)	38.210
2020	2713	653		11,909	25,776	8,888		2713	653		11,583	40,253	519		(1.666)	30.554
2021	2807	666		12,399	24,905	7,789		2807	666		12,085	39,240	448		(9,258)	21,295
2022	2833	680		11,768	24,172	6,798		2833	680		11,466	37,635	384		(9,158)	12,137
2023	2861	693		11,372	22,368	5,906		2861	693		11,077	35,515	327		(10,384)	1,753
2024	8167	10/		11,312	21,579	5,104		2918	707		10,996	34,106	276		(11,577)	(9,824)
2028	1167	12/		10,987	21,252	4,335		2977	721		10,695	33,297	201		(11,489)	(21,313)
2027					•	9.4.5					•	•	•		3,430	(17,883)
2028						2.443					•	•	•		2,909	(14,974)
2029	_					467					. ,		, ,		2,443	(12,531)
2030	┨			·		·					•	•	,			(12,064)
Totals			14,004,995	152,415	440,152	301,129	14,898,690			14,027,565	145,818	711,926	25,444	14,910,754	(12,064)	

Appendix 7- Comparison of Various SO₂ Compliance Plans (Base Capital Costs, Base SO₂ Market Prices) Confidential Information Redacted 2004 SO2 Compliance Strategy

2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy Appendix 7- Comparison of Various SO₂ Compliance Plans (Base Capital Costs, Base SO₂ Market Prices) Confidential Information Redacted

			U	ost Col	mparisc Al	n of Al I Costs i	ternat n 2005	ive SI PVRR	0 ₂ Com \$ x1000	pliance	Plans				
Case06- Opti	on 6: DF(GD MS BR123	×				Case00-1	Option (D: BASE CA	SE W/ ENV I	JISP			SO ₂ Price Cun	ve Multiplier
		Fuel Forecast:	Base	Capital Cosi	Sensitivity:				Fuel Forecast: E	Base	Capital Cos	t Sensitivity:		•	1.00
	0020	Load Forecast: 22 Price Forecast: 2X Price Forecast: 2ther Description:	Base Base Base SO2 Dispatch, T DFGD MS BR12	C2 2010, No Br 3x	3 SCR	<u></u>		SO2F NOXF Othe	Load Forecast: [Price Forecast: E Price Forecast: E ar Description: 5	Base Base Base SO2 Dispatch, T(22 2010, No Bi	13 SCR			
	<u>SO, Enviror</u> Unit ^{Brown}	nmentat Controls: SO2 Tech	<u>502 Rem %</u> ^{93%}	Cost (MS) 196M5 Br123	In-Service 2008		ŝ	Environm Unit Brown 1	ental Controls: <u>SO2 Tech</u> ™a	0 <u>\$02 Rem %</u> 0%	Cost (M\$)	<u>In-Service</u> 0			
	Brown Brown Ghend Chend	12 FS MS+Dry FGD 13 FS MS+Dry FGD 12 rva 13 rva 13 rva	93% 93% 93% 93%	196M S Br123 198M S Br123 0 0	2008 2009 0 0 8			Brown 2 Brown 3 Ghent 2 Ghent 3	28 78 73 73 73	కరకరక					
SO ₂ Allowand	es Purchase	d: 1,706,944 Largest An	inual SO ₂ Purcha	SO ₂ Be (as a % of EP	Fons Emitted: A Allocation): Fone Emitted:	3,530,333 239% 607 294	vn 2	SO ₂ Allowan	ices Purchased: Largest Ann	2,721,838 Nual SO ₂ Purchas	SO ₁ e (as a % of EP NO. 1	Tons Emitted: A Allocation): Fons Emitted:	4,545,227 368% 603.779	DIFFER	ENCE
(\$No	ance Price minal/ton)		Combined Allow. Pu NOv. 6	Company rchases	etter tetter	NPV Total 6	Allowanci (\$Nomini NOv	e Price al/ton) SO2	Production \$	Combined (Allow. Pur NOX 5	Company chases SO2 \$	Canital 5	NPV Total S	Totat S	umulative Total \$
2005 31	146 392			·	2,249		3146	392		-		2,225		24	24
2006 30	963 405		1		10,691		3063	405		•	-	2,873		7,818	7,842
2007 25	599 412 149 419			3,822 20,752	21,165		2349	419			22,343	2,291		17,819	40,209
2009	307 407		,	2,408	26,312		2309	407		•	21,311	2,044		11,875	52,084
2010 11	974 536		1,029	27,942	23,492		1874	536		526	49,224	1,823		6,187	58,271 52 478
2011 11 2012 17	547 558 547		3,408 3,579	25,499 25,493	20,967		1566	558 558		3,333	44,970	1,448		4,261	67,439
2013 15	731 569		4,467	25,109	16,675		1731	569		4,235	42,880	1,287		2,408	69,847 70 706
2014 21 2015 24	344 580 100 592		6,527 12,000	24,849 31,073	14,855		2400	592 592		11,772	47,599	1,010		302	21,098
2016 2!	596 604		12,652	29,259	11,744		2596	604		12,409	45,578	068		(961)	70,137
2017 21 2018 26	556 616 368 528		12,958	28,271 28,146	10,406 9,195		2656 2668	616 628		12,742	44,246 43,338	685		(1,897) (2,447)	68,240 65,792
2019 21	574 641		11,576	27,136	8,101		2674	641		11,411	41,055	598	·	(2,484)	63,308 50 070
2020 2	713 003 307 666		12,755	25,449	6.221		2807	666 666		12.085	39.240	448		(4,179)	55,799
2022	333 680		11,630	24,663	5,417		2833	680		11,466	37,635	384		(4,285)	51,514
2023 21	961 693		11,240	22,850	4,694		2861	693		11.077	35,515	327		(4,766)	46,748
2024 27	918 707		11,184	22,027	4,043		2918	707		10,995	33,297	201		(5,163)	36.594
2026					2,745		i							2,745	39,339
2027			,		2,313					•	•	•		2,313	41,652
2028					1,005					• •				, ,	43,335
2030				·	·					·				•	43,335
Totals		14,099,335	149,456	442,748	262,550	14,954,089			14,027,565	145,818	711,926	25,444	14,910,754	43,335	

Page 46 of 91

Page 47 of 91

All Costs in 2005 PURF 3 x1000 All Costs in 2005 PURF 3 x1000 Not optimize the section is an interval in a section in a section is an interval in a section in a section is an interval in a section					-	Cost Co	mparis	son of A	Iterna	tive S	O2 Com	pliance	Plans				
Cataol: Option 7, DFCO MS 2013 Cataol: Option 7, DFCO MS 2014 Cataol: Option 7, DFC MS 2014 Cataol: DFC MS 2014 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>All Costs</th> <th>in 2005</th> <th>PVRR</th> <th>\$ ×1000</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>								All Costs	in 2005	PVRR	\$ ×1000						
Image: constrained by the sector is a constrained by the sector is constrained by the sector is a constrained by the sector is a con	Case0	7- Option	a 7: DFG	SD MS BR12	~				Case00-	· Option	0: BASE CA	NE WI ENV	DISP			s) Price (
Normality Normality <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Fuel Forecast: Load Forecast:</th><th>Base Base</th><th>Capital Cos</th><th>st Sensitivity:</th><th></th><th>·</th><th></th><th>Fuel Forecast: Load Forecast:</th><th>Base</th><th>Capital Co</th><th>st Sensitivity:</th><th></th><th></th><th>1.00</th></t<>				Fuel Forecast: Load Forecast:	Base Base	Capital Cos	st Sensitivity:		·		Fuel Forecast: Load Forecast:	Base	Capital Co	st Sensitivity:			1.00
Figure metalities Consistent of the metality of the me			ồ ố ố s	2 Price Forecast: T Price Forecast: ther Description:	Base Base SO2 Dispatch ⁻	C2 2010 No B	40% P			SO2 NOX	Price Forecast:	Base Base					
St. Intermediation for the sectors and sect				•	DFGD MS BR1	23				5	ler vescription:	SUZ UISPATCH.	rcz 2010, No E	3r3 SCR			
Matrix Statuted interview Statuted interview<		ଥ	2 Environ	nental Controls:					Š	<u>, Environm</u>	ental Controls:	0					
Protect Protect <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Brown 1</td><td>SO2 Tech FS MS+Dry FGD</td><td><u>502 Rem %</u> 83%</td><td>Cost (MS) 206M5 Br123</td><td>In-Service 2010</td><td></td><td></td><td>Unit Brown</td><td>SO2 Tech</td><td><u>502 Rem %</u></td><td>Cost (MS)</td><td>In-Service</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			Brown 1	SO2 Tech FS MS+Dry FGD	<u>502 Rem %</u> 83%	Cost (MS) 206M5 Br123	In-Service 2010			Unit Brown	SO2 Tech	<u>502 Rem %</u>	Cost (MS)	In-Service			
Tube Tube <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>Brown 2 Brown 3</th><th>FS MS+Dry FGD FS MS+Dry FGD</th><th>83% 83%</th><th>206MS Br123 206MS Br123</th><th>2010</th><th></th><th></th><th>Brown 2</th><th>2/2</th><th>55</th><th>• •</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>			Brown 2 Brown 3	FS MS+Dry FGD FS MS+Dry FGD	83% 83%	206MS Br123 206MS Br123	2010			Brown 2	2/2	55	• •				
S. A. Mananas Particiana Constrained (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a)			Gheril 2 Gheril 3	n/a	88	0.0	8° 0 4			Gheni 2	2a 7a	కి కి	c e	00			
Normalization Software interval <	Ş		Ghent 4	178 178 178	55	00	00			Ghent 3 Ghent 4	8/U 8/V	కి కి	• •	00			
No. Test And anticipation of the sector of the	- Soe	VIOWARCES P	urcnased:	1,/35,243	redoring OS letter	SO ₂	Tons Emitted:	3,578,633		SO ₂ Allowar	Ices Purchased:	2,721,838	so,	Tons Emitted:	4,545,227		
Allowater Pite Contained Company Allowater Pite Company Allowater Pite Company Allowater Pite Company Allowater Pite Contained Company Allowater Pite Allowater Pite Contained Company Allowater P	Ň	Viowances F	urchased:	154,379			r Autocation): Fons Emitted:	607,194	-	NO, Allowar	Largest An Ices Purchased:	nual SO ₂ Purcha 150.964	se (as a % of EF NO	² A Allocation): Tons Emitted ¹	368% 603.779	DIFFE	RENCE
Year No. SO2 Productions Wort Total Mort No. SO2 Conductions Wort Total Total Total 2000 310 302 310 302 310 302 2230 411 7041		Allowanc (SNomin	te Price		Combined Allow Du	Company			Allowand	ce Price		Combined	Company				CHOINE
200 314 302 32.40 31.4 302 2.2.50 3.0.5 2.2.50 3.0.5 2.2.50 2.2.50 2.2.50 2.2.50 2.2.50 2.0.1 3.0.5 3	Year	Ň	S 02	Production \$	S XON	SO2 \$	Capital \$	Total \$		so2	Production \$	Allow. Pu NOX \$	rchases S02 \$	Capital \$	NPV Total \$	Total \$	Cumulative Total 5
2000 2000 410 410 2003 405 410 411 4111	2005	3146	392		,		2,249		3146	392				2,225		24	24
2000 2000 410 410 410 410 410 410 2000 2000 410 410 2004 410 2004 410 2004 410 2004 410 2004 410 410 500 2004 410 500 2004 410 500 2004 410 500 2004 500 2004 410 500 2004 500 2004 410 500 2004 500 2004 500 2004 500 2004 500 2004 500 2004 500 2004 500 2004 500 2004 2004 500 2004 500 2004	2002	2500	410		'		2,903		3063	405		•		2,873		30	54
200 200 40 200 410 200 410 200 410 200	2008	2349	419			3,822	0,083		2599	412		1	3,822	2,566		4,117	4,171
2010 1074 556 2004 1076 57.163 2044 12.816 27.963 57.963 2011 1760 547 25.460 547 3.066 547 12.816 9.033 56.90 2012 1760 556 3.075 2.460 2.566 547 3.036 4.970 1.672 9.033 56.90 2013 1750 556 3.076 54.06 17.72 55.69 3.733 3.096 4.970 1.672 9.033 57.95 4.936 5.795 4.936 5.795 5.93 3.779 5.594 5.795 <td>2009</td> <td>2309</td> <td>407</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>13 267</td> <td>201,61</td> <td></td> <td>8467</td> <td>419</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>22,343</td> <td>2,291</td> <td></td> <td>10,894</td> <td>15,065</td>	2009	2309	407			13 267	201,61		8467	419		1	22,343	2,291		10,894	15,065
2011 1066 547 3,407 25,469 22,603 4,907 1,023 9,093 4,907 1,023 9,093 5,907 2013 256 3,579 22,37 1722 566 4,370 1,575 5,796 4,396 5,796 4,396 5,796 4,396 5,796 4,396 5,796 4,396 5,796 5,796 5,373 3,373 5,309 1,446 5,796 5,374	2010	1874	536		668	31.078	24.162		1874	536		- 576	115,12	2,044		12,818	27,883
2012 1752 558 3.373 57.06 3.373 5.706 4.3000 2013 1731 569 4.467 25.109 10.045 1731 569 4.3009 1.448 5.706 4.3000 2015 2540 55.108 10.045 1731 569 4.256 4.286 1.441 5.796 4.3000 2015 2500 31.074 14.319 2.406 522 1.141 2.175 5.746 5.746 2016 2506 616 2.406 520 1.177 4.266 780 1.287 3.375 5.346 2019 2516 616 12.712 4.266 780 4.090 1.441 5.718 5.736 2019 2513 28.146 0.666 10.11 12.172 4.266 780 780 780 5.745 2019 2513 28.146 616 12.141 12.400 1.141 5.715 5.746 2011	2011	1666	547		3,407	25,499	22,683		1666	547		3.095	44 970	1625		9'0A3	36,976
2013 1731 560 4,467 25,108 18,046 17,11 560 4,2460 1,261 3,779 55,749 55,749 <	2012	1752	558		3,579	25,493	20,237		1752	558		3,333	43.099	1.448		470'n	40,000
2014 2344 560 6.23 2.445 6.000 3.744 6.000 3.744 5.349 5.74	2013	1731	569		4,467	25,109	18,045		1731	569		4,235	42,880	1,287		3.779	53 174
2013 2200 532 10.10 31.074 14.316 2400 582 11.172 47.599 10.10 1.399 56.748 2014 2586 604 11.315 2566 604 11.315 2567 61 1.399 56.748 2014 2566 616 11.315 2566 616 12.149 45.758 690 34 54.190 2016 2566 616 11.315 2566 616 12.149 45.778 690 34 2016 2616 27.136 8.66 28 12.141 41.055 599 61.1723 54.49 64.18 2017 2616 27.136 6.66 283.4 7607 26.64 52.43 793 60 11.411 41.055 55.49 45.73 54.49 45.73 54.49 45.75 55.44 45.75 55.44 45.75 55.44 45.75 55.44 45.75 55.44 45.75 55.44 45.75 <td>2014</td> <td>2344</td> <td>580</td> <td></td> <td>6,527</td> <td>24,849</td> <td>16,080</td> <td></td> <td>2344</td> <td>580</td> <td></td> <td>6,280</td> <td>42,415</td> <td>1,141</td> <td></td> <td>2,175</td> <td>55.349</td>	2014	2344	580		6,527	24,849	16,080		2344	580		6,280	42,415	1,141		2,175	55.349
4/10 2556 604 12,502 29259 12,730 2556 604 12,400 45,578 890 34 56,734 2010 2666 616 12,302 28,146 11,315 2653 616 12,112 43,338 685 (1614) 54,190 55,794 2010 2666 616 11,576 27,136 8901 25,443 641 11,141 41,055 598 (1614) 54,190 55,453 54,96 54,190 55,453 56,430 6,866 26,440 6,866 12,105 392,401 48,273 52,437 55,453 56,99 (17,23) 52,457 55,457 55,457 56,55 56,40 6,866 12,1065 392,401 48,273 53,457 56,457 <t< td=""><td>CL02</td><td>2400</td><td>592</td><td></td><td>12,000</td><td>31,074</td><td>14,318</td><td></td><td>2400</td><td>592</td><td></td><td>11,772</td><td>47,599</td><td>1,010</td><td></td><td>1,399</td><td>56,748</td></t<>	CL02	2400	592		12,000	31,074	14,318		2400	592		11,772	47,599	1,010		1,399	56,748
010 2000 010 12,742 44,246 783 (987) 55,744 2016 2576 61 12,142 44,246 783 (987) 55,744 2016 2574 641 11,573 22,457 643 11,723 54,733 54,733 2020 2713 653 11,573 55,34 7,007 2766 643 11,411 41,055 599 (1,723) 55,457 2021 2307 666 7,83 40,253 519 7,133 54,73 54,73 2022 2333 680 11,610 27,13 653 11,615 37,635 364 7,014 42,57 2023 2918 707 11,077 35,515 327 42,57 33,333 2024 7,017 25,516 5,233 680 11,077 35,515 327 (4,257) 33,333 2024 11,164 2,273 2807 666 37,616 37,617	2102	9507	604 646		12,652	29,259	12,738		2596	604		12,409	45,578	890		34	56,782
2019 2014 0.1021 2016 0.1021 2006 0.26 11,152 6.133 6.65 6.14 6.133 6.65 6.14 6.133 6.14 6.133 6.14 6.14 6.14 6.14 6.14 6.14 6.14 6.14 6.14 6.133 6.14 6.14 6.14 6.133 6.14 6.14 6.14 6.133 6.14 6.133 6.14 6.14 6.163 6.14 6.133 6.14 6.133 6.14 6.17 6.133 6.24 7.11 7.11 7.12 7.13 6.3 7.11 7.13 6.3 7.13 6.3 7.14 7.13 6.3 7.14 7.23 7.45 7.24 7.24 7.24 7.23 7.24 7.23 7.24 7.23 7.24 7.23 7.24 7.23 7.24 7.23 7.24 7.23 7.24 7.23 7.24 7.23 7.24 7.23 7.24 7.23 7.24 <th7.23< th=""> <th7.23< th=""> <th7.23< th=""></th7.23<></th7.23<></th7.23<>	2018	2602	010 678		RCR'71	28,271	11,315		2656	616		12,742	44,246	783		(286)	55,794
2020 2113 653 11,111 41,055 598 (1,123) 52,457 2021 2807 666 11,583 40,253 599 7,033 52,457 2021 2807 666 11,583 40,253 519 7,034 49,233 2021 2807 666 11,683 40,253 519 7,043 45,273 2022 2833 680 11,650 2,463 5,997 666 17,646 37,635 364 (3,704) 45,575 2023 2918 707 11,077 36,515 327 (4,508) 33,333 2024 2918 707 10,077 36,515 327 (4,508) 33,333 2024 2917 721 2917 721 10,076 276 (4,508) 33,433 2024 2917 721 2917 721 10,076 277 (4,508) 33,433 2024 29147 721 291,47	2019	2674	641		11 576	20,140	120,01		2668	628		12,112	43,338	685		(1,614)	54,180
2021 2007 66 12.255 25.449 6.966 2007 666 12.063 997 667 12.063 997 667 12.063 997 667 12.063 997 667 12.063 997 667 12.063 997 667 12.063 997 45.77 45.73 6907 666 17.065 39.240 44.8 (2.054) 45.27 2023 2061 693 11.077 35.515 327 44.08 (3.704) 45.27 2024 2918 707 11.077 35.515 327 44.337 33.333 2024 2918 707 10.076 37.05 32.77 (4.505) 33.833 2024 2917 721 2917 721 2917 721 10.0595 3277 (4.505) 33.833 2024 2.1050 2.107 2.11 721 2.11 (4.505) 33.833 2024 2.1166 2.1660 2.1660	2020	2713	653		11,752	26.324	7.807		2713	- 1 9		11,411	41,055	598		(1,723)	52,457
2022 2833 680 11,650 5,997 2833 680 11,466 3,053 384 (3,704) 42,575 2023 2861 693 11,077 35,15.5 384 (3,704) 42,575 2024 2916 707 11,077 35,15.5 384 (3,704) 42,575 2024 2916 707 11,077 35,15.5 384 (3,704) 42,575 2024 2916 707 11,077 35,15.5 384 (3,704) 42,575 2024 2916 707 11,077 35,15.3 384 (3,704) 42,575 2025 2977 721 10,965 34,106 276 (4,507) 33,833 2025 2917 721 10,695 33,207 201 (4,721) 32,917 32,917 32,917 32,917 32,917 32,917 32,917 33,833 2028 2028 2960 21,615 276 (4,509) 34,936 <td>2021</td> <td>2807</td> <td>666</td> <td></td> <td>12,255</td> <td>25,449</td> <td>6,856</td> <td></td> <td>2807</td> <td>666</td> <td></td> <td>12 085</td> <td>20.240</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(460,24)</td> <td>49,823</td>	2021	2807	666		12,255	25,449	6,856		2807	666		12 085	20.240			(460,24)	49,823
2023 2861 693 11,077 35,515 327 4.237 33,335 2024 2918 707 11,077 35,515 327 (4,237) 33,333 2024 2918 707 11,077 35,515 327 (4,237) 33,333 2024 2918 707 707 10,996 34,106 276 (4,503) 33,333 2025 2977 721 10,996 34,106 276 (4,503) 33,333 2026 2977 721 10,695 33,297 201 (4,721) 23,133 2027 2 2 2 2 2 3,147 22,07 3,333 2028 2027 2 2 2 2 2 3,347 32,297 2028 2029 2 2 2 2 2 3,147 32,297 2 3,147 32,297 2 3,147 32,298 3,147 32,937 2 3,147 32,298 2 3,147 32,298 2 3,147 32,298 <	2022	2833	680		11,630	24,663	5,997		2833	680		11,466	37.635	384		(3,544)	46,279 40 E7E
2024 2918 707 11,164 22,027 4,527 2918 707 10,996 34,106 276 (4,501) 33,833 2025 2977 721 10,996 34,106 276 (4,501) 33,833 2026 2977 721 10,695 33,297 201 (4,21) 23,133 2026 2977 721 10,695 33,297 201 (4,21) 23,133 2027 2028 2,680 2,680 2,262 3,147 32,253 2610 34,393 2028 2028 2 2 2 2 2 2 3,147 32,253 2021 2 2 2 2 2 3,147 32,253 32,97 201 (4,121) 32,353 2028 2 2 2 2 2 2 3,477 32,253 2029 2 2 2 2 2 2 34,305 2029 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 34,305 2029 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2029 2 2 2 <td>2023</td> <td>2861</td> <td>693</td> <td></td> <td>11,240</td> <td>22,850</td> <td>5,223</td> <td></td> <td>2861</td> <td>693</td> <td></td> <td>11.077</td> <td>35.515</td> <td>327</td> <td></td> <td>(TO1,0)</td> <td>28 320</td>	2023	2861	693		11,240	22,850	5,223		2861	693		11.077	35.515	327		(TO1,0)	28 320
2025 2977 721 10,695 33.297 201 (4.721) 23.147 2026 29.7 721 10,695 33.297 201 (4.721) 23.147 2026 3,147 2,600 3,147 2,261 3,147 3,225 2028 - - 2,660 3,147 3,225 3,147 3,225 2028 - - 2,660 3,146 - 2,680 3,393 2028 - - 2,680 3,147 3,2263 3,393 2028 - - 2,680 3,493 2029 - - 2,262 3,720 2039 - - 1,145 3,9,36 2039 - - 1,145 3,9,36 2034 - - 1,145 3,9,36 2034 - - - - 1,145 2034 - - - - - 1,145 2034 - - - - - 1,145 2034 - - - - - 1,145 2034 - - - - - 1,14	2024	2918	707		11,184	22,027	4,527		2918	707		10,996	34,106	276		(4 50B)	33,823
2028 3,147 3,147 3,147 32,258 2027 2 680 3,147 32,258 2028 2 680 3,147 32,258 2028 2 2 260 3,193 32,258 2028 2 2 2 2 34,303 32,326 2028 2 2 2 2 2 34,303 32,326 2029 2 2 2 2 2 2 34,303 2029 2 1,145 2 2 2 2 39,345 2039 2 1 176 2 2 2 38,345 2034 2 2 2 2 2 3 30,345 2034 2 2 2 2 2 3 30,345 2034 2 2 2 2 2 3 30,345 2034 2 2 <	2025	2977	721		10,868	21,675	3,871		2977	721		10,695	33,297	201		(4.721)	29,111
2028 2.680 34,930 2028 2.680 34,930 2028 2.660 34,930 2039 1,145 2.262 2030 1,145 1,145	20202				•	•	3,147					•	•	•		3,147	32,258
2020 2.262 37,200 2.262 37,200 2.262 37,200 2.262 37,200 2.262 37,200 2.262 37,200 7.145 38,345 7.1145 38,345 7.1145 38,345 7.1155 7.1145 7.1155 7.1155 7.1155 7.1155 7.1155 7.1155 7.11	2028				•		2,680					•	•	•		2,680	34,938
2030 1145 38,345 1145 1145 1145 1145 1145 1145 1145 1	2029					• •	1145						•	•		2,262	37,200
	2030						176					•	,	'		1,145	38,345
	- Mais			14 003 004	140.305	150.000			_				·	•		1/1	38,521

2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy Appendix 7- Comparison of Various SO₂ Compliance Plans (Base Capital Costs, Base SO₂ Market Prices) **Confidential Information Redacted**

2004 SO₂ Compliance Strategy Appendix 7- Comparison of Various SO₂ Compliance Plans (Base Capital Costs, Base SO₂ Market Prices) **Confidential Information Redacted**

			U	ost Col	mparis(A	In of Al	ternat n 2005	ive S PVRR	O ₂ Com \$ x1000	pliance	Plans				
ase08- Optio	n 8: DFG	3D MS BR3x					Case00-	Option	0: BASE CA	SE WI ENV	DISP			SO, Price Cu	rve Multiplier
		Fuel Forecast:	Base	Capital Cos	t Sensitivity:				Fuel Forecast:	Base	Capital Cos	t Sensitivity:		4	1 0
	οğδ Ο	Load Forecast: 2 Price Forecast: x Price Forecast: ther Description:	Base Base Base SO2 Dispatch, T	C2 2010, No B	13 SCR			NOX I Oth	Load Forecast: Price Forecast: Price Forecast: ar Description:	Base Base Base SO2 Dispatch, T	C2 2010, No B	13 SCR			
			DFGD MS BR3x							00					
Ø	O, Environ	mental Controls:					Ş	Environm	ental Controls:	>					
I	<u>Unit</u>	SO2 Tech	502 Rem ½	Cost (MS)	In-Service			an Tal	SO2 Tech	SO2 Rem %	Cost (MS)	In-Service			
	Brown 2	1 2 2/3	55	00	0 0			Brown 1 Brown 2	6 A	*0	00				
	Brown	3 FS MS+Dry FGD	93% 9	104M\$ Br3	2008			Brown 3 Ghard 2	e de contra	%0 %0	0 C	• •			
	Cherlen C	4 N/a 1/a	588					Ghent 3 Ghent 3	8 A Z	66		00			
SO ₂ Allowances	s Purchased	t: 2,098,295 Laroest An	mual SO, Purchas	SO ₂ He (as a % of EP	Tons Emitted: A Allocation):	3,921,685 289%	•,	SO ₂ Allowar	Ices Purchased: Largest Ani	2,721,838 nual SO ₃ Purchas	50, se (as a % of EP	Tons Emitted: A Allocation):	369%	DIFFEI	RENCE
NO, Allowances	t Purchased	152,990	•	ŐN	Tons Emitted:	605,806	•	VO, Allowar	ices Purchased:	150,964	"ON	Yons Emitted:	603,779	CALCUL	ATIONS
Allowal (\$Nom	nce Price inal/ton)		Combined Allow. Pu NOv. 6	Company rchases		NPV Total 6	Allowanc (\$Nomin NOx I	e Price al/ton) SO2	Production \$	Combined Allow. Pu NOX 5	Company rchases SO2 \$	Canital \$	NPV Total \$	Total \$	Cumulative Total \$
2005 314	392	Froduction a	· •		1.962		3146	392				2,225		(263)	(263
2006 306	3 405		•	1	6,626		3063	405		,	•	2,873		3,753	3,490
2007 259	9 412		•	3,822	9,894		2599	412		,	3,822	2,566		7,328	10,818
2008 234	9 419		•	21,163	11,927		2349	419		•	22,343	2,291		8,816	19,634
2009 230	8 407			9,677	14,546		2309	407		•	21,311	2.044		4,706	24,340
2010 187	4 536		856	36,256	12,986		1874	536		526	49,224	1,823		1,775	26,114
2011 166	6 547		3,296	33,000	11,589		1666	547		3,095	44,970	1,625		1,151	27,266
2012 175.	2 558		3,484	32,860	10,338		1752	558		3,333	43,099	1,448		1,361	28,626
2013 173	1 569		4,403	31,795	9,215		1731	569		4,235	42,880	1,287		(120)	28,506
2014 234	4 580		6,424	31,598	8,207		2344	580		6,280	42,415	1,141		(685)	27,821
2015 240	0 592		11,906	37,268	7,303		2400	592		11,772	47,599	010,1		(721,1)	20,094
2016 259	604		12,550	35,561	6,484		2596	604 242		12,409	910'04 1 9 1 0 1 0 1	060		(110,1)	120 CC
2017 265	6 616		12,862	54,418	3,743		0007	010		10 110	047'44 13 338	Co /		(2,1,2)	20.689
2018 200	870 87		11 503		0,0/0 A A67		2674	641 641		11.411	41.055	598		(1.901)	18.788
2020 271	- e		11.677	31.577	3,920		2713	653		11,583	40,253	519		(2.725)	16,064
2021 280	7 666		12,162	30,732	3,426		2807	666		12,085	39,240	448		(3,113)	12,950
2022 283	3 680		11,542	29,437	2,982		2833	680		11,466	37,635	384		(3,285)	9,665
2023 286	1 693		11,152	27,715	2,582		2861	693		11,077	35,515	327		(3,330)	6,335
2024 291	8 707		11,102	26,691	2,222		2918	707		10,996	34,106	276		(3,416)	2,919
2025 297	7 721		10,783	26,131	1,873		2977	721		10,695	33,297	201		(3,457)	(538
2026			,		1,443					•				1,443	905
2027			'	•	1.216							•		1,216	2,121
2028			•	•	885					•	ı			885	3,006
2029				•	,						•	•		•	3,006
2030			-		•									-	3,000
Totals		14,072,240	147,942	546,669	146,909	14,913,760	_		14,027,565	145,818	711,926	25,444	14,910,754	3,006	

Page 48 of 91