

LG&E Energy LLC 220 West Main Street (40202) P.O. Box 32030 Louisville, Kentucky 40232

June 18, 2004

RECEIVED
JUN 1 8 2004

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Elizabeth O'Donnell, Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Re: TARIFF FILING OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY
TO REVISE RATES FOR SMALL POWER PRODUCTION
AND COGENERATION – CASE NO. 2004-00200

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

Please find enclosed and accept for filing the original and ten (10) copies of the Response of Kentucky Utilities Company to the Information Requested in Appendix A of the Commission's Order Dated June 3, 2004, in the above-referenced matter.

Should you have any questions concerning the enclosed, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

John Wolfram

Manager, Regulatory Affairs



#### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

In the Matter of:

TARIFF FILING OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES )
COMPANY TO REVISE RATES FOR SMALL ) CASE NO. 2004-00200
POWER PRODUCTION AND COGENERATION )

RESPONSE TO
INFORMATION REQUESTED IN
APPENDIX A
TO AN ORDER OF THE
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
DATED JUNE 3, 2004

**FILED: JUNE 18, 2004** 

#### KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

# CASE NO. 2004-00200 Response to Information Requested in Appendix A to an Order of the Public Service Commission Dated June 3, 2004

#### Question No. 1

Responding Witness: B. Keith Yocum

- Q1. Refer to Attachments 1 and 2 of KU's May 14, 2004 filing. Attachment 1 lists proposed avoided cost rates for different transaction quantities during different time periods. Attachment 2 shows planned generation additions and the projected per-unit capacity costs and fuel costs of the different additions.
  - a. Provide a narrative description of how the per-unit capacity costs and energy costs shown in Attachment 2 were developed, along with the workpapers, calculations, spreadsheets, etc. that produce the cost levels shown therein.
  - b. Provide a narrative description of how the avoided cost rates shown in Attachment 1 were derived. The description should fully explain how the perunit costs in Attachment 2 are reflected in the avoided cost rates in Attachment 1. Include the workpapers, calculations, spreadsheets, etc. that show the derivation of these avoided cost rates.

#### A-1. a. Trimble Co. CT 7-10

 Capacity costs were developed using costs identified in Case No. 2002-00381 (CCN for TC 7-10) and expected unit net summer capacity.

Capacity Cost = 227,392,000 / 155,000 kW = 367/kW

 Fuel cost was obtained from the Prosym hourly production model output.

Fuel Cost = Avg. Heat Rate (btu/kWh) x Avg. Fuel Cost (cent/mmbtu) = (11,004 btu/kWh x 547.5 cent/mmbtu) / 1,000,000 = 6.02 cent/kWh

#### Trimble County 2

 The capacity cost was based on 75% of the most recent capital costs provided by Cummins & Barnard, Inc. (January 2004) and the Company's expected net summer capacity from the unit. The Cummins  & Barnard estimate has been slightly modified to reflect updated capital requirements from 2003 to 2006.

Capacity Cost = \$769,955,625 / 549,000 kW = \$1,402/kW

 The fuel cost was determined using its anticipated heat rate and coal prices for 2010.

Fuel Cost = Heat Rate (btu/kWh) x Fuel Cost (cent/mmbtu) = (8,703 btu/kWh x 132.8 cent/mmbtu) / 1,000,000 = 1.16 cent/kWh

#### Greenfield CT

• The capacity cost was taken from KU/LG&E's 2002 IRP (Case No. 2002-00367 Volume III Section VIII. Supply Side Analysis) for a Simple Cycle GE 7FA CT and escalated to 2013 at a rate of 2.3%.

Capacity Cost =  $425/kW \times 1.023(2013-2002) = 546/kW$ 

• The fuel cost was determined using the heat rate also identified in the 2002 IRP and estimated 2013 gas prices.

Fuel Cost = Heat Rate (btu/kWh) x Fuel Cost (cent/mmbtu) = (11,500 btu/kWh x 631.1 cent/mmbtu) / 1,000,000 = 7.26 cent/kWh

b. The avoided cost rates shown in Attachment 1 are taken from Prosym hourly production model results. Avoided costs are determined via Prosym by looking at the last specified increments of load (100 MW in this case) and the cost of serving that load. Model results consist of fuel, O&M, and emission costs to serve the specified load - or costs avoided in not serving the load. Avoided fuel costs relating to Trimble Co. CT 7-10 will be included in the rates shown in Attachment 1 for all hours where their generation is in the specified MW increments (i.e. the last 100, 200, or 300 MW of power necessary to meet load requirements). A capacity component is not included in the costs identified in Attachment 1.

#### Attachment 1

## 2004 Avoided Energy Cost Filing (cents/kWh)

Year	: 2004				
	Decremental	Summer	Winter	Off	
	MW	Peak	Peak	Peak	Average
	Transaction	Period	Period	Period	Day
	100	3.124	1.922	1.802	1.987
	200	2.966	1.859	1.710	1.890
	300	2.556	1.674	1.562	1.704
<b>3</b> 7	400=				
Year					
	Decremental	Summer	Winter	Off	
	MW	Peak	Peak	Peak	Average
	Transaction	Period	Period	Period	Day
	100	3.121	1.795	1.887	2.038
	200	2.863	1.980	1.769	1.935
	300	2.586	1.684	1.624	1.756
Year	2006				
1041	Decremental	Summer	Winter	Off	
	MW	Peak	Peak	Off	<b>A</b>
	Transaction	Period	Period	Peak	Average
	100	3.472	1.910	Period	Day
	200	3.259		1.863	2.076
	300	2.848	1.974	1.716	1.943
	300	2.040	1.813	1.638	1.813
Year:	2007				
	Decremental	Summer	Winter	Off	
	MW	Peak	Peak	Peak	Average
	Transaction	Period	Period	Period	Day
	100	3.837	2.225	2.048	2.296
	200	3.502	1.936	1.904	2.112
	300	3.102	1.745	1.768	1.936
Year:	2008				
	Decremental	Summer	Winter	Off	
	MW	Peak	Peak	Peak	Average
	Transaction	Period	Period	Period	Day
	100	3.918	2.277	2.140	2.385
	200	3.761	2.152	2.021	2.260
	300	3.347	1.990	1.859	2.066
Year:	2009				
	Decremental	Summer	Winter	O#	
	MW	Peak	Peak	Off Book	Avoross
	Transaction	Period	Period	Peak Period	Average
	100	4.342	2.947	2.499	Day
	200	4.089	2.750	2.499	2.790
	300	3.690	2.700	2.336	2.626
		0.000	4.004	2.107	2.336

Attachment 2

### 2004 Avoided Energy Cost Filing

Plans for and Cost of Additional Capacity

}		Summer Rating		Capacity Cost	Fuel Cost
Year	Unit Added	(MW)	_ Unit Type	(\$/kW)	(cent/kWh)
2004	Trimble Co CT 7	155	Combustion Turbine	367	6.02
1	Trimble Co CT 8	155	Combustion Turbine	367	6.02
Į.	Trimble Co CT 9	155	Combustion Turbine	367	6.02
	Trimble Co CT 10	155	Combustion Turbine	367	6.02
2005					0.02
2006					
2007					
2008					
2009		-			
2010	Baseload Unit	549	Baseload	1402	140
2011				1402	1.16
2012					
2013	Greenfield CT 1	148	Combustion Turbine	546	7.26

PeriodNam [v3] SummerPk WinterPk OffPeak

### 2004 Avoided Cost By Period For Total System

Seq	Resource	Period tota	1	2	3
1 VIRTU	IAL PURCH	1			
	(GWh)	878.4	114.4	97.5	666.5
	(000 \$)	17457.08	3574.03	1874.07	12008.98
	(\$/ <b>MW</b> h)	19.87	31.24	19.22	18.02
2 VIRTU	AL PURCH	2			
	(GWh)	1756.8	228.8	195	1333
	(000 \$)	33198.39	6785.91	3624.33	22788.16
	(\$/MWh)	18.9	29.66	18.59	17.1
3 VIRTU	AL PURCH	3			
	(GWh)	2635.2	343.2	292.5	1999.5
	(000 \$)	44900.66	8772.57	4895.19	31232.89
	(\$/MWh)	17.04	25.56	16.74	15.62

2005 Avoided Cost By Period For Total System

Seq	Resource	Period tota	1	2	3
1 VIRTU	AL PURCH	1			
	(GWh)	876	114.4	94.5	667.1
	(000 \$)	17852.66	3570.7	1695.89	12586.06
	(\$/MWh)	20.38	31.21	17.95	18.87
2 VIRTU	AL PURCH	2			
	(GWh)	1752	228.8	189	1334.2
	(000 \$)	33895.62	6551.42	3742.68	23601.52
	(\$/MWh)	19.35	28.63	19.8	17.69
3 VIRTU	AL PURCH	3			77.00
	(GWh)	2628	343.2	283.5	2001.3
	(000 \$)	46146.84	8875.25	4774.42	32497.16
	(\$/MWh)	17.56	25.86	16.84	16.24

## 2006 Avoided Cost By Period For Total System

Seq	Resource	Period total	3	1	2	3

1 VIRTUA	AL PURCH	1			
	(GWh)	876	113.1	94.5	668.4
	(000 \$)	18185.14	3926.56	1804.55	12454.03
	(\$/MWh)	20.76	34.72	19.1	18.63
2 VIRTUA	L PURCH	2			10.00
	(GWh)	1752	226.2	189	1336.8
	(000 \$)	34047.05	7370.79	3730.51	22945.74
	(\$/MWh)	19.43	32.59	19.74	17.16
3 VIRTUA	L PURCH	3		, , ,	17.10
	(GWh)	2628	339.3	283.5	2005.2
	(\$ 000	47644.34	9664.83	5141.07	32838.44
(	\$/MWh)	18.13	28.48	18.13	16.38

2007 Avoided Cost By Period For Total System

Seq	Resource	Period tota	1	2	3
1 VIRTU	AL PURCH	1			
	(GWh)	876	111.8	96	668.2
	(000 \$)	20110.36	4289.23	2135.9	13685.23
	(\$/MWh)	22.96	38.37	22.25	20.48
2 VIRTU	AL PURCH	2			_0.10
	(GWh)	1752	223.6	192	1336.4
	(000 \$)	36997.31	7830.12	3717.02	25450.18
	(\$/MWh)	21.12	35.02	19.36	19.04
3 VIRTU	AL PURCH	3			10.04
	(GWh)	2628	335.4	288	2004.6
	(000 \$)	50870.07	10403.75	5025.19	35441.13
	(\$/MWh)	19.36	31.02	17.45	17.68

2008
Avoided Cost By Period For Total System

Seq  1 VIRTU	Resource  AL PURCH	Period tota		2	3
2 VIRTU	(GWh) (000 \$) (\$/MWh) AL PURCH	878.4 20948.13 23.85 2	113.1 4431.77 39.18	100.5 2288.52 22.77	664.8 14227.84 21.4
	(GWh) (000 \$) (\$/MWh)	1756.8 39709.71 22.6	226.2 8508.43 37.61	201 4325.73 21.52	1329.6 26875.54 20.21

#### 3 VIRTUAL PURCH 3

(GWh)	2635.2	339.3	301.5	1994,4
(000 \$)	54440.59	11354.81	6000.37	37085.41
(\$/MWh)	20.66	33.47	19.9	18.59

2009 Avoided Cost By Period For Total System

Seq	Resource	Period tota	1	2	3
1 VIRTU	AL PURCH	1			
	(GWh)	876	114.4	97.5	664.1
	(000 \$)	24439.32	4967.64	2873.05	16598.63
	(\$/MWh)	27.9	43.42	29.47	24,99
2 VIRTU	AL PURCH	2			
	(GWh)	1752	228.8	195	1328.2
	(000 \$)	46003.56	9354.79	5362.68	31286.08
	(\$/MWh)	26.26	40.89	27.5	23.56
3 VIRTU	AL PURCH	3			20.00
	(GWh)	2628	343.2	292.5	1992.3
	(000 \$)	61384.92	12665.27	6740.46	41979.2
	(\$/MWh)	23.36	36.9	23.04	21.07

21.07