

LG&E Energy LLC 220 West Main Street (40202) P.O. Box 32030 Louisville, Kentucky 40232

April 30, 2004

RECEIVED

APR 3 0 2004

Mr. Thomas Dorman, Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

Jan Com

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S ANNUAL EARNINGS SHARING MECHANISM FILING FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2003

<u>CASE NO. 2004-00069</u>

Dear Mr. Dorman:

Please find enclosed and accept for filing the original and seven (7) copies of the Response of Louisville Gas and Electric Company ("LG&E") to the First Data Request of Commission Staff dated April 15, 2004, in the above-referenced matter.

Should you have any questions concerning the enclosed, please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Robert M. Conroy Manager, Rates

Enclosures

cc: Parties of Record

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S ANNUAL EARNINGS SHARING MECHANISM FILING FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2003)))	CASE NO. 2004-00069
FILING FOR CALENDAR 1 EAR 2003		

RESPONSE OF LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY TO THE COMMISSION'S FIRST DATA REQEUST DATED: APRIL 15, 2004

FILED: APRIL 30, 2004

-

CASE NO. 2004-00069

Response to the Commission's First Data Request Dated: April 15, 2004

Question No. 1

Responding Witness: Valerie L. Scott

- Q-1. Refer to the March 1, 2004 Annual Earnings Sharing Mechanism Filing ("2004 ESM Filing"), Form 1. Does LG&E know why the net operating income for 2003 was \$19,388,059 below the lower equity limit? If yes, provide the reason(s) for the lower net operating income and include any documentation, calculations, or assumptions supporting the reason(s). If no, explain why LG&E has not attempted to determine why the 2003 net operating income was below the lower equity limit.
- A-1. Yes. A comparison was made between 2003 and the latest year LG&E was in the deadband, 2001. The reasons are stated below. Also, see response to Question No. 2.

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 2003 VS 2001 ELECTRIC OPERATING INCOME CHANGES (\$ 000)

			Increase/
	2001	2003	(Decrease)
Total Operating Revenues	672,866	745,401	72,535
Fuel	172,227	200,884	28,657
Power Purchased	49,322	79,621	30,299
O&M	204,785	250,993	46,208
Depreciation & Amortization	82,946	95,007	12,061
Taxes	57,842	42,880	(14,962)
Net Operating Income	105,744	76,016	(29,728)
Amount Under Deadband			(19,388)

CASE NO. 2004-00069

Response to the Commission's First Data Request Dated: April 15, 2004

Question No. 2

Responding Witness: Valerie L. Scott

- Q-2. Refer to the 2004 ESM Filing, Form 2, Column 6 Adjusted Electric and the February 28, 2003 Annual Earnings Sharing Mechanism Filing, Form 2, Column 6 Adjusted Electric filed in Case No. 2003-00076. For each account listed below, describe the reason(s) for the change in the account balance shown in Column 6 between December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2003.
 - a. Total Sales to Ultimate Customers.
 - b. Sales for Resale.
 - c. Operation Expenses.
 - d. Depreciation Expense.
- A-2. a. Total sales to ultimate consumers decreased \$5.3 million in 2003 compared to 2002 primarily because of reduced sales volumes due to milder summer weather, partially offset by increased recoveries of base fuel from the May 1, 2003 roll-in.
 - b. Sales for resale increased \$52.1 million in 2003 compared to 2002 primarily because of a 20.1% increase in volume, and a 20.7% increase in pricing.
 - c. Operation and maintenance expenses increased \$45.6 million in 2003 over 2002. The increase resulted from an increase in fuel burned of \$18.8 million due to an increase in generation and cost of fuel burned and an increase in power purchased of \$17.7 million to meet off-system sales requirements. Other operations and maintenance expenses increased \$9.1 million primarily due to an increase in MISO expenses of \$6.9 million, and an increase in demand side management programs of \$2.5 million.
 - d. Depreciation expense increased \$7.6 million in 2003 due to a 4.3% increase in utility plant in service. There have been no changes to underlying depreciation rates or practices. The most significant increases in utility plant were related to Steam Production, Electric Distribution and Gas Distribution plant, which increased 5.12%, 5.25% and 8.79%, respectively. Steam production projects included the Mill Creek 2 control upgrade, and Trimble

¹ Case No. 2003-00076, Louisville Gas and Electric Company's Annual Earnings Sharing Mechanism Filing for Calendar Year 2002.

County boiler modifications. Increases in electric and gas distribution were related to the normal replacement and installation of electric distribution lines and gas distribution mains.

			Ċ.

CASE NO. 2004-00069

Response to the Commission's First Data Request Dated: April 15, 2004

Question No. 3

Responding Witness: Doug Leichty

- Q-3. Refer to the 2004 ESM Filing, Form 3(b). Explain why it is necessary to adjust the determination of the cost of long-term debt for the "Environmental Compliance Rate Base" in Column 7 and the "Environmental Interest Expense" in Column 8. Include with the explanation the reason(s) supporting the environmental-related adjustments.
- A-3. The environmental-related adjustments on Form 3(b) to the determination of the cost of long-term debt in the ESM Filing for Calendar Year 2003 are consistent with the ESM Filings for Calendar Years' 2000, 2001, and 2002. The environmental-related adjustments made in the determination of the cost of long-term debt are pursuant to the Commission's Order in Case No. 98-426 issued June 1, 2000, on pages 4 and 5 where it states, "The Commission has considered the arguments made by LG&E and agrees that the blended interest rate calculations should have recognized the assignment of the environmental surcharge adjustment to long-term debt, at an interest rate equal to the rate of return provided in the environmental surcharge mechanism." The ESM reporting requirements provide that the cost rates for debt shall be presented in a manner consistent with that adopted by the Commission in Case No. 98-426.

4

CASE NO. 2004-00069

Response to the Commission's First Data Request Dated: April 15, 2004

Question No. 4

Responding Witness: Carol A. Foxworthy / Doug Leichty

- Q-4. Refer to the 2004 ESM Filing, Form 3(f), pages 2 and 3 of 3.
 - a. On page 2 of 3, in the "Post-1995 Plan" section, Column D, the amounts shown for April and May 2003 for Accumulated Depreciation do not agree with the corresponding amounts included in LG&E's monthly environmental surcharge reports. Indicate which amounts for the April and May 2003 Accumulated Depreciation are correct. If the amounts shown on page 2 of 3 are incorrect, resubmit all schedules impacted by the correction of the Accumulated Depreciation amounts.
 - b. On page 3 of 3, reference is made to Exhibit CAF-2 from Case Nos. 2002-00193² and 2003-00236.³ Provide copies of Exhibit CAF-2 as submitted in the referenced cases.
- A-4. a. LG&E filed revised ES Form 2.11 for April and May 2003 with the June 2003 monthly environmental surcharge report. The accumulated depreciation amounts on ESM Filing Form 3(f), page 2 agree with the revised accumulated depreciation amounts submitted on July 24, 2003.
 - b. Please see the attached Exhibit CAF-2 from Case No. 2003-00236 (Page 1 of 2) and Case No. 2003-00193 (Page 2 of 2).

² Case No. 2002-00193, An Examination by the Public Service Commission of the Environmental Surcharge Mechanism of Louisville Gas and Electric Company for the Six-Month Billing Periods Ending April 30, 2000, October 31, 2000, October 31, 2001, and April 30, 2002 and for the Two-Year Billing Period Ending April 30, 2001

³ Case No. 2003-00236, An Examination by the Public Service Commission of the Environmental Surcharge Mechanism of Louisville Gas and electric Company for the Two-Year Billing Period Ending April 30, 2003.

Calculation of Revenue Requirement for Roll-In:

ourseless of Actoride Requirement for Roll-III.			400E Dia-	D 4005 DI	
	47		1995 Plan	Post-1995 Plan	Post-1995 Plan average over
Environmental Compliance Rate Base				at Feb. 28, 2003	Review Period
Pollution Control Plant in Service		ES Form 2.0, February 2003	78,836,562	48,298,015	37,142,118
Pollution Control CWIP Excluding AFUDC		ES Form 2.0, February 2003	-	139,632,848	104,557,213
	Subtotal	,	78,836,562	187,930,863	141,699,331
Additions:					
Cash Working Capital Allowance		ES Form 2.0 F-b 2002			
Supriar Mortano	Subtotal	ES Form 2.0, February 2003		12,296	11,705
			 -	12,296	11,705
Deductions:					
Accumulated Depreciation on Pollution Control Plant		ES Form 2.0, February 2003	25,379,814	1,048,106	496,035
Pollution Control Deferred Income Taxes		ES Form 2.0, February 2003	4,910,473	193,089	108,071
Pollution Control Deferred Investment Tax Credit		ES Form 2.0, February 2003	-		100,071
	Subtotal	·	30,290,287	1,241,195	604,107
Environmental Compliance Rate Base					
Environmental Compilance Nate Base			48,546,275	186,701,964	141,106,929
Rate of Return Environmental Compliance Rate Base		ES Form 1.1, February 2003	5.28%	11.86%	44.000/
			5.20%	11.00%	11.86%
Return on Environmental Compliance Rate Base			2,563,243	22,142,853	16,735,282
Dellation Control Construction 5					
Pollution Control Operating Expenses					
12 Month Depreciation and Amortization Expense		See Support Schedule A	2,754,848	463,018	463,018
12 Month Taxes Other than Income Taxes		See Support Schedule A	76,676	134,706	134,706
12 Month Insurance Expense		See Support Schedule A	19,824	-	-
12 Month Environmental Permitting Fees		See Support Schedule A	810,680	-	_
12 Month Operating and Maintenance Expense		See Support Schedule A	<u> </u>	74,086	74,086
Total Pollution Control Operating Expenses		•	3,662,028	674.040	
		:	3,002,026	671,810	671,810
Gross Proceeds from By-Product & Allowance Sales		See Support Schedule B	216,947		
Total Company Environmental Surphorae Gross Bourney	···•	. =			
Total Company Environmental Surcharge Gross Revenue R	equiremen	it Roll in Amount			
Return on Environmental Compliance Rate Base			2,563,243	22 442 052	40 305 000
Pollution Control Operating Expenses			3,662,028	22,142,853	16,735,282
Less Gross Proceeds from By-Product & Allowance Sales			(216,947)	671,810	671,810
		-	(210,541)		-
Roll in Amount			6,008,324	22,814,663	17,407,092
Jurisdictional Aflocation Ratio Roll In					
Parisalchonal Anocation Ratio - Roll III		See Support Schedule C	82.4013%	82.4013%	82.4013%
Jurisdictional Revenues for 12 Months for Roll In		See Support Schedule C	569,970,904	560 070 00 <i>4</i>	ECO 070 004
		=	309,970,904	569,970,904	569,970,904
Roll In Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Factor:					
Total Company Environmental Surebarra Come Barray					
Total Company Environmental Surcharge Gross Revenue Requ	ıırement I	Roll In Amount	6,008,324	22,814,663	17,407,092
Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio - Roll In			82.4013%	82.4013%	82,4013%
hadadada IE i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		_		<u> </u>	02.401076
Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Gross Revenue Require	ement – Gro	oss Roll in Amount	4,950,935	18,799,569	14,343,662
Less Jurisdictional Environmental Revenue Previously Rolle	ed In (Case	No. 2002-193)	4,077,800		
Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Gross Revenue Require	ement Ne	t Roll In Amount	873,135		14,343,662
		BESF	0.1532%	2 000000	D E4000'
			0.1332%	3.2983%	2.5166%
Total Combined BESF using Average Rate Base for Post 1995 Plan 2.6698%					
Total Combined RESS value 5:1					
Total Combined BESF using February 2	o, 2003 Rat	e Base for Post 1995 Plan		3.4515%	

Louisville Gas and Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Periodic Review Case No. 2002-00193

Calculation of Revenue Requirement for Roll-In:

Environmental Compliance Rate Base		
Pollution Control Plant in Service Pollution Control CWIP Excluding AFUDC		53,800,579
•	Subtotal	53,800,579
Deductions:		
Accumulated Depreciation on Pollution Control Plant		40 400 0
Pollution Control Deferred Income Taxes		12,196,917
Pollution Control Deferred Investment Tax Credit		3,761,652
The state of the s	Subtotal	45.050.500
	COSIDIEI	15,958,569
Environmental Compliance Rate Base		37,842,010
Rate of Return - Environmental Compliance Rate Base		5.60%
Return on Environmental Compliance Rate Base		
Retain on Environmental compliance Rate Base		2,119,153
Pollution Control Operating Expenses		
12 Month Depreciation and Amortization Expense		
12 Month Taxes Other than Income Taxes		2,638,281
12 Month Insurance Expense		80,935
12 Month Emission Allowance Expense		16,060
12 Month Permitting Fees		-
Less O&M Expenses Already Included in Base Rates		764,483
and the state of t		(454,490)
Total Pollution Control Operating Expenses		3,045,269
Gross Proceeds from By-Product & Allowance Sales		173,491
Total Company Environmental Surcharge Gross Revenue Req	uirement Roll in Amount	
Return on Environmental Compliance Rate Base		0.440.450
Pollution Control Operating Expenses		2,119,153
Less Gross Proceeds from By-Product & Allowance Sales	•	3,045,269
·		(173,491)
Roll In Amount		4,990,931
•		4,000,301
Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio - Roll In		81.7042%
Jurisdictional Revenues for 12 Months for Roll In		\$ 519,739,967
Roll In Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Factor:		·
Total Company Environmental Surcharge Revenue Requirement -	Roll In Amount	\$ 4,990,931
Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio Roll In		81.7042%
Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Revenue Requirement	Partition of	
	Koli in Amount	<u>\$ 4,077,800</u>

CASE NO. 2004-00069

Response to the Commission's First Data Request Dated: April 15, 2004

Question No. 5

Responding Witness: Valerie L. Scott

- Q-5. Refer to the 2004 ESM Filing, Appendix B.
 - a. For both employee labor costs and contractor costs, provide the capitalized amounts, the expensed amounts, and the total amounts (capitalized plus expensed) for 2002 and 2003. Also provide the capitalization ratios for employee labor and contractor costs for 2002 and 2003.
 - b. The employee headcount was 1,272 at December 31, 2002 and 1,245 at December 31, 2003, a decrease of 2.12 percent. Explain why employee labor costs increased from \$94,498,537 in 2002 to \$100,590,877 in 2003, an increase of 6.45 percent.
 - c. Identify the types of costs included in the burdened labor costs and provide the specific percentage increases in the various components of burdened labor costs between 2002 and 2003.
 - d. Identify whether changes in the ratios of capitalized costs and expensed costs impacted the percentage increase for employee labor.
 - e. Contractor costs decreased from \$64,494,415 in 2002 to \$58,483,807 in 2003, a decrease of 9.46 percent.
 - (1) Provide a schedule summarizing the increases or decreases in operation and maintenance contractor costs by functional areas.
 - (2) Provide a detailed explanation for the decrease in contractor costs between 2002 and 2003, including the extent to which changes in the capitalization ratios impacted the magnitude of the decrease.
- A-5. a. Employee labor cost and contractor cost capitalized and O&M amounts and the labor total for 2002 and 2003:

	2002	2003	Difference
EMPLOYEE LABOR (includes burdens)			
Capital O&M	\$14,738,416 \$94,498,537	\$14,623,821 100,590,877	(\$114,595) 6,092,340
Total	\$109,236,953	\$115,214,698	\$5,977,745
CONTRACTOR			
Capital O&M	\$143,753,426 64,595,415	\$115,849,071 58,483,807	(\$27,904,355) (6,111,608)
Total	\$208,348,841	\$174,332,878	(\$34,015,963)

Employee and contract labor costs are not allocated to capital and O&M based on ratios but charged directly based upon the actual work performed.

- b. Direct employee labor cost had a net increase in 2003 of approximately \$3.5 million due to wage increases and other employee changes (i.e., changes between labor charged to capital versus expense). The remaining O&M labor increase is from the overall increase in burdens of \$2,600,494 in 2003 as identified in response to c.
- c. Employee burden cost by type for 2002 and 2003:

_	2002	2003	Difference	Percent
Vacation and Holiday	\$6,981,676	\$6,325,949	(\$655,727)	(9.4)
Hospitalization	6,031,845	4,499,830	` ' /	(25.4)
Thrift Plan	1,735,942	1,783,046	,	2.7
Off Duty and Sick	1,314,411	1,354,745	40,334	3.1
Workers Compensation	995,597	768,328	(227,269)	(22.8)
Pensions, Dental, Post Retirement, Group		,	(1,)	()
Life Insurance, and Post Employment				
Benefits	14,050,504	17,233,040	3,182,536	22.7
Team Incentive Award	3,296,600	5,042,131	, ,	52.9
Total	\$34,406,575	\$37,007,069	\$2,600,494	7.6

d. Employee and contract labor costs are not allocated to capital based on ratios but upon the actual work projects performed.

e. (1) Contractor costs decreased from \$64,595,415 in 2002 to \$58,483,807 in 2003. Listed below is the summary of the decrease in O&M contractor costs for functional areas.

FUNCTION	2003 vs. 2002 Contractor O&M Increase/(Decrease)
Steam Hydraulic Other Power Generation Other Power Supply Electric Transmission Electric Distribution Other Gas Supply Underground Storage Gas Transmission Gas Distribution Customer Accounts Customer Service and Info Sales Expenses A&G Total	(\$4,277,039) (223,702) (138,971) 8,446 99,484 (303,624) (67,609) (102,180) 188,060 426,524 (246,466) 388,943 88,088 (1,951,562)
Total	(\$6,111,608)

e. (2) Steam generation contractor O&M expenses decreased in 2003 versus 2002 due to a decrease in outage repairs at Cane Run in 2003.

Administrative and general expenses decreased due to the reclassification of charges for field services contractors and contractors used for customer satisfaction surveys. The field services were charged to administrative and general in 2002 and electric distribution in 2003. The customer satisfaction surveys and related items were charged to administrative and general in 2002 and customer service and informational expenses in 2003. The remaining decrease was due to decreased legal expenses incurred in 2003 as compared to 2002 for legal expenses associated with the E. W. Brown combustion turbine litigation.

The contractor charges are directly assigned to O&M and capital based on work performed. Allocations are not used to charge capital or O&M.