

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 601 W. Chestnut Street Room 407 Louisville, KY 40203

Dorothy.Chambers@BellSouth.com

Dorothy J. Chambers General Counsel/Kentucky

502 582 8219 Fax 502 582 1573

July 13, 2004

RECEIVED

JUL 1 4 2004

PUBLIC BERVICE

Ms. Beth O'Donnell Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

> Re: Joint Petition for Arbitration of NewSouth Communications Corp., NuVox Communications, Inc., KMC Telecom V, Inc., KMC Telecom III LLC, and Xspedius Communications, LLC on Behalf of Its Operating Subsidiaries Xspedius Management Co. Switched Services, LLC, Xspedius Management Co. of Lexington, LLC, and Xspedius Management Co. of Louisville, LLC of an Interconnection Agreement With BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended PSC 2004-00044

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

Pursuant to the parties' agreement at the Informal Conference in this case held on June 17, 2004, enclosed for filing are the original and ten (10) copies of the Revised Issues Matrix filed with the Tennessee Regulatory Authority on June 25, 2004.

Very truly yours,

Dorothy J. Chambers

Enclosure

cc: Parties of Record

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was served on the individuals on the attached Service List by mailing a copy thereof, this 13th day of July 2004.

Dorothy J. Chambers

KMC / NEWSOUTH / NUVOX / XSPEDIUS - BELLSOUTH ARBITRATION JOINT PETITIONERS ISSUES/OPEN ITEMS MATRIX

r .

Tennessee Regulatory Authority Docket No. 04-00046

h.R.A. F.

. . . . •

دى	2	
G-3	G-2	G-1
10.2	1.7	8 1.6
Should the agreement contain a general provision providing that BellSouth shall take financial responsibility for its own actions in causing, or	How should "End User" be defined?	UNRESOLVED ISSUE What should be the effective date of future rate impacting amendments?
YES, BellSouth should be financially liable for causing, failing to prevent, or contributing to unbillable or uncollectible CLEC revenue. A general provision complements the specific provisions contained in Attachments 3 and 7.	The term "End User" should be defined as "the customer of a Party".	Future amendments incorporating Authority-approved rates should be effective as of the effective date of the Authority order, if an amendment is requested within 30 calendar days of that date. Otherwise, such amendments should be effective 10 calendar days after request.
NO. The Parties have negotiated specific provisions in Attachments 3 and 7 addressing responsibility for billing records deficiencies. Therefore, this additional provision is unnecessary.	The Parties have not discussed the definition for "End User" other than in the context of high-capacity EELs. Since the issue as stated by the CLECs and raised in the General Terms and Conditions of the Agreement has never been discussed by the Parties, the issue is not appropriate for arbitration. The term End User should be defined as it is customarily used in the industry; that is, the ultimate user of the telecommunications service.	Future amendments incorporating Authority-approved rates should be effective ten (10) calendar days after the date of the last signature executing the amendment.

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

ა	4	NO
G-S	G 4	
10.4.2	10.4.1	22
CLEC Issue Statement : To the extent that a Party does not or is unable to include specific limitation of liability terms in all of	What should be the limitation on each Party's liability in circumstances other than gross negligence or willful misconduct? misconduct?	Unput source build be contributing to unbillable or uncollectible CLEC revenue in addition to specific provisions set forth in Attachments 3 and 7?
NO, Petitioners cannot limit BellSouth's liability in contractual arrangements wherein BellSouth is not a party. Moreover, Petitioners will not indemnify BellSouth in any suit based on BellSouth's failure to perform its obligations under this	In cases other than gross negligence and willful misconduct by the other party, or other specified exemptions as set forth in CLECs' proposed language, liability should be limited to an aggregate amount over the entire term equal to 7.5% of the aggregate fees, charges or other amounts paid or payable for any and all services provided or to be provided pursuant to the Agreement as of the day immediately preceding the date of assertion or filing of the applicable claim or suit. CLECs' proposal represents a hybrid between limitation of liability provisions typically found in commercial contracts between sophisticated buyers and sellers, in the absence of overwhelming market dominance by one party, and the effective elimination of liability provision proposed by BellSouth.	E CLEC Postnov
If a CLEC elects not to limit its liability to its end users/customers in accordance with industry norms, the CLEC should bear the risk of loss arising from that business decision.	The industry standard limitation of liability should apply, which limits the liability of the provisioning party to a credit for the actual cost of the services or functions not performed or improperly performed.	BELLSOUTHPOSITION I

Updated 6/25/2004

Ν

. -

6	2
10.4.4	
CLEC Issue Statement: Should the Agreement expressly state that liability for claims or suits for damages incurred by CLEC's (or BellSouth's) customers/End Users	its tariffs and End User contracts (past, present and future), should it be obligated to indemnify the other Party for liabilities not eliminated? BellSouth Issue Statement: If the CLEC does not have in its contracts with end users and/or tariffs standard industry limitations of liability, who should bear the resulting risks?
YES, such an express statement is needed because the limitation of liability terms in the Agreement should in no way be read so as to preclude damages that CLECs' customers incur as a foreseeable result BellSouth's performance of its obligations under the Agreement inclusion	contract or to abide by applicable law. Finally, BellSouth should not be able to dictate the terms of service between Petitioners and their customers by, among other things, holding Petitioners liable for failing to mirror BellSouth's limitation of liability and indemnification provisions in CLEC's End User tariffs and/or contracts. To the extent that a CLEC does not, or is unable to, include specific elimination-of- liability terms in all of its tariffs and End User contracts (past, present and future), and provided that the non-inclusion of such terms is commercially reasonable in the particular circumstances, that CLEC should not be required to indemnify and reimburse BellSouth for that portion of the loss that would have been limited (as to the CLEC but not as to non-contracting parties such as BellSouth) had the CLEC included in its tariffs and contracts the elimination-of- liability terms that BellSouth was successful in including in its tariffs at the time of such loss.
What damages constitute indirect, incidental or consequential damages is a matter of state law at the time of the claim and should not be dictated by a party to an agreement.	

Updated 6/25/2004

ယ

G-7 10.5of the parties be under this indemnification obligations Agreement? What should the Agreement? purposes of the damages be defined for incidental or consequential How should indirect, Statement: **BellSouth Issue** consequential damages? indirect, incidental or of obligations set forth in the Agreement are not (or CLEC's) performance manner from BellSouth's reasonably foreseeable resulting directly and in a INRESOLVED ISSUE extent reasonably arising from: (1) the against any claims, loss or damage to the and held harmless by the provider Party communications. Additionally, customary and held harmless by the Party receiving Agreement should be indemnified, defended that the Party receiving services under the provisions should be included to specify Agreement should be indemnified, defended providing Party's failure to abide by content of the receiving Party's own or invasion of privacy arising from the services against any claim for libel, slander simple negligence or nonpertormance duties of mitigation with respect to such purposes. compensable under the Agreement for The Party providing service under the damage should be considered direct and manner in compliance with such Party's relevant times in a commercially reasonable CLEC's (or BellSouth's) failure to act at all otherwise caused by, or are the result of, a forth in the Agreement that were not CLEC's) performance of obligations set foreseeable manner from BellSouth's (or a proximately, and in a reasonably Damages to customers that result directly, provisioning of UNEs and other services. of the Agreement. communications, or (2) any claim, loss the Party receiving services arising out or damage claimed by the end user of content of the receiving party's own invasion of privacy arising from the from claims for libel, slander or indemnify the party providing services from (1) any claim loss or damages The Party receiving services should

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

G-9 င္-အ 13.1 11.1 concerning the should a party be allowed Statement: available for initial dispute to take a dispute Under what circumstances **BellSouth Issue** resolution? Should a court of law be included in the venues **CLEC Issue Statement:** trademarks? service marks, logo and the other Party's name, regarding a Party's use of included in the Agreement What language should be whether state commissions have jurisdiction FCC will engage in such enforcement. dispute that authority) and as to whether the to enforce agreements (CLECs do not Moreover, there is an ongoing debate as to efficient regional dispute resolution. has experienced difficulties in achieving be foreclosed to the Parties. The industry legitimate dispute resolution venue should court of law for resolution of a dispute. No the Authority, the FCC or, if appropriate, a agreed are best left to adjudication by courts YES, either Party should be able to petition of law (see, GTC, Sec. 11.5). BellSouth's insistence, the Parties have intellectual property law issues, which at accordance with Applicable Law. The proprietary right is licensed, granted or Authority should not attempt to prejudge service mark and trademark should be in otherwise transferred by the Agreement and that a Party's use of the other Party's name, patent, copyright, trademark or other Agreement should simply state that no intellectual property law, this nine-state Given the complexity of and variability in provider Party's negligence, gross Agreement to the extent cased by the arising out of or in connection with this Applicable Law, or (2) injuries or damages negligence or willful misconduct. **CLEC** Position administrative remedies. not be entitled to take such disputes to a concerning this Agreement, but should Court of law without first exhausting its made by the Authority or the FCC to seek judicial review of any ruling the proper implementation of the Agreement. A party should be entitled interpretation of the Agreement or as to initially resolve disputes as to the This Authority or the FCC should BellSouth name appears in standard reference is truthful and factual, and the comparative advertising so long as the service mark, logo or trademark. of repair technicians, CLECs should not type, non-logo format. CLECs may use the BellSouth name in be entitled to use BellSouth's name, of the underlying services or the identity potential customers regarding the source to direct inquiries from customers or BellSouth name as necessary to respond Except for factual references to the

9

00

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

Ś

13		······	12	11	10		No
G-13			G-12	G-11	G-10		
32.3			32.2	19, 19.1	17.4		
How should the Parties deal with non-negotiated deviations from the state Authority- approved rates in the rate sheets attached	agreed to by the Parties?	existing state and federal laws, rules, regulations, and decisions apply unless otherwise specifically	Should the Agreement explicitly state that all	This issue has been resolved.	This issue has been resolved.		interconnection agreement to a Court of law for resolution first?
Any non-negotiated deviations from ordered rates should be corrected by retroactive true-up to the effective date of the Agreement within 30 calendar days of the date the error was identified by either Party.		a Party from obligations under Applicable Law, as defined in the Agreement, except in such cases where the Parties have explicitly agreed to a limitation or exemption. This is	YES, nothing in the Agreement should be construed to limit a Party's rights or exempt			instances, they may be better equipped to adjudicate a dispute and may provide a more efficient alternative to litigating before up to 9 different state commissions or to waiting for the FCC to decide whether it will or won't accept an enforcement role given the particular facts.	There is no question that courts of law have jurisdiction to entertain such disputes (see GTC, Sec. 11.5); indeed, in certain
Any non-negotiated deviations from ordered rates should be changed by amendment of the agreement upon discovery by a party and should be applied prospectively regardless of		each other and should not be subject to further negotiation subsequent to being fully negotiated and arbitrated.	No. This Agreement constitutes the contractual obligations of the Parties to				

Updated 6/25/2004

D
0.
20
9
Ô.
5
3
<u>y</u> ı
2
9
é

G-16	G-15	
45.3	45.2	
If a tariff is referenced in	If BellSouth changes a provision of one or more of its Guides that would cause CLEC to incur a material cost or expense to implement the change, should the CLEC notify BellSouth, in writing, if it does not agree to the change?	obligations under the Agreement, that the other Party adhere to any requirement other than those expressly stipulated in the Agreement or mandated by Applicable Law? Law?
When Petitioners order something under the	NO, if the contemplated change to one or more of BellSouth's Guides would cause CLEC to incur a material cost or expense to implement the change, BellSouth and CLEC should negotiate an amendment to the Agreement to incorporate such change.	mandated by Applicable Law. More specifically, neither Party should, as a condition or prerequisite to such Party's performance of its obligations under the Agreement, impose or insist upon the other Party's (or any of its End Users') adherence to any requirement or obligation other than as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.
If a service is purchased pursuant to a	YES. BellSouth's Guides apply to all CLEC's equally and are not frozen in time. CLECs should not have veto power over BellSouth's processes that affect the entire CLEC industry. If BellSouth allows a CLEC the right to opt out of the requirements of a Guide, the CLEC should notify BellSouth of its decision to do so, and such decision shall not impact BellSouth's ability to implement a Guides change.	that are not strictly required by the Agreement. The Joint Petitioners' language may prohibit BellSouth from abiding by its tariffs or may require BellSouth to comply with the express terms of the Agreement even if doing so would be unsafe, violate an Authority Rule, or result in civil liability. Further, the Joint Petitioners' language is unnecessary because the Joint Petitioners can seek enforcement of specific provisions of the Agreement that it contends BellSouth has refused to comply with or otherwise breached.

15

14

G-14

34.2

as a prerequisite to

NO, the Parties should not be permitted to hold performance hostage to terms not

circumstances, each Party should be

as a result of such amendment. YES. Under certain limited

able to require actions by the other Party

whether the rate increases or decreases

BEELSO

LEION X

included in the Agreement and not

performance of its

Can either Party require,

to the Agreement?

ニステレン

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

16

 $\overline{}$

•

	20		19			18		17			 																			No.
	2-2		2-1			1-2		1-1			 																			¥.*
	1.2		1.1			11.6.6		3.19					u ,										-							
resolved.	This issue has been	resolved.	This issue has been	NETWO	resolved.	This issue has been	resolved	This issue has been																		Agreement?	to the tariff have on the	should subsequent changes	the Agreement, what effect	
				NETWORK ELEMENTS (ATTACHMENT 2)					RESALE (ATTACHMENT 1)	provisions.	the Agreement and subject to change only	replaced with language included directly in	tariff references in the Agreement be	condition, Petitioners will insist that all	without such protection. Without this	have no way of reviewing at this point	reference future tariff provisions which they	Petitioners are innwilling to agree to	herome incornorated into the Agreement	discriminatory, they should not supersede or	Agreement, or are unreasonable or	inconsistent with the provisions of the	to the extent that tariff changes are	BellSouth only subject to the condition that,	various tariff references proposed by	Petitioners have agreed to incorporate	included in the Agreement for convenience.	service, even if a tariff reference has been	Agreement, they are not ordering a tariffed	
																	execution of an amendment.	Agreement via negotiation and			requirement that tariff revisions that	such revisions. There should be no	CLEC, or any other party, may object to	revise its tariffs, and pursuant to which a	place pursuant to which BellSouth may	Authority already has procedures in	time of the purchase should apply. This	Agreement, the terms of that tariff at the	tariff that is referenced in the	

00

23

22

N

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

2-7 2-6 1.6.1 1.5.1 circuits? re-termination, or physical and (e)(5)? rearrangements of the event of a termination, conditions should apply in 47 C.F.R. § 51.319(a)(8) conditions should apply for This issue has been Modifications pursuant to What rates, terms and resolved. Routine Network Network Modifications and performs them or re-terminated. applicable UNE or cross connect from of circuits to comply with the terms of the termination or other physical rearrangement should perform such Routine Network services that are being physically rearranged Agreement, non-recurring charges for the (C) For arrangements that require a re-Routine Network Modification and, as such BellSouth has not anticipated a requested or within its standard provisioning intervals. If Modifications at no additional charge and during normal operations, then BellSouth Disconnect charges should not apply to Exhibit A of Attachment 2 should apply If BellSouth has anticipated such Routine Network Modifications in the rates set forth has not recovered the costs of such Routine necessary network modification as being a comply with a tariff or separate nonrecurring charges for the applicable agreement, the applicable rates, terms rearrangement is required in order to Attachment will apply. To the extent rewith the terms of this Agreement, rearrangement of circuits to comply modifications through the rates set forth recovered the costs for performing such Network Modifications in accordance disconnect charges will apply to a agreement shall apply. Applicable and conditions of such tariff or separate termination or other physical termination or other physical (C) For arrangements that require a renotice in Exhibit A of Attachment 2, then them during normal operations and has Network Modifications and performs BellSouth has anticipated such Routine provided otherwise in Attachment 2, if (e)(5). Except to the extent expressly with FCC 47 C.F.R. 51.319(a)(8) and BellSouth will perform Routine disconnected. UNE/Combination that is rearranged or UNE(s) from Exhibit A of this

23

24

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

10

/HENDH/218473.8

26 2-8 1.7	
Should BellSouth be required to commingle UNEs or Combinations with any service, network element or other offering that it is obligated to make available pursuant to Section 271 of the Act?	
YES, BellSouth should be required to "commingle" UNEs or Combinations with any service, network element, or other offering that it is obligated to make available pursuant to Section 271 of the Act.	in Exhibit A of Attachment 2, then BellSouth should notify CLEC of the required Routine Network Modification and should request that CLEC submit a Service Inquiry to have the work performed. Each <i>unique</i> request should be handled as a project on an individual case basis. BellSouth should provide a TELRIC- compliant price quote for the request, and upon receipt of a firm order from CLEC, BellSouth should perform the Routine Network Modification within a reasonable and nondiscriminatory interval.
No, consistent with the FCC's errata to the Triennial Review Order, there is no requirement to commingle UNEs or combinations with services, network elements or other offerings made available only under Section 271 of the Act.	BellSouth shall perform such Routine Network Modifications at no additional charge. Routine Network Modifications shall be performed within the intervals established for the UNE and subject to the performance measurements and associated remedies set forth in Attachment 9 to the extent such Routine Network Modifications were anticipated in the setting of such intervals. If BellSouth has not anticipated a requested network modification as being a Routine Network Modifications in the rates set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2, then CLEC must pay for the cost to have the work performed. Each request will be handled as a project on an individual case basis. BellSouth will provide a price quote for the request, and upon receipt of payment from CLEC, BellSouth shall perform the Routine Network Modification.

11

Updated 6/25/2004

 2.4.4 apply to testing and dispatch performed by BellSouth in response to a CLEC trouble report when no trouble is ultimately found to exist? (B) What rate should apply when BellSouth is required to dispatch to an end user location more than once due to incorrect or incomplete information? (B) What substance to a clication more than once due to incorrect or incomplete information? (C) TELRIC -compliant rates to be approved (A) because to a CLEC trouble report when in response to a CLEC trouble report and in complete information? (A) I FLIKIC -compliant rates to be approved (A) because to confirm the working status of a incomplete information? 	2-15 2.2.3 Is unbundling relief NO, the unbundling relief provided under provided under FCC Rule 319(a)(3) applicable to FCC Rule 319(a)(3) is only applicable to Fiber-to-the-Home Loops Fiber-to-the-Home Loops after October 2, 2003 (the effective date of 2-16 2.3.3 This issue has been resolved. 2-17 2.4.2 Covern-to-the-Lott Covern-to-the-Lott	NO. # State By the CLEC 32 2-14 2.1.2, This issue has been to Section 25 2.1.2.1 resolved. resolved. to Section 25
rates to be approved (A) Because the trouble was not round orporated in Exhibit to be on BellSouth's network, the trouble determination charge from the applicable tariff should apply. ble report and in king status of a (B) Because multiple dispatches were whether the testing ble on the Loop. ble on the Loop. the trouble determination by the CLEC, the trouble determination charge from the applicable tariff should apply. rates to be approved orporated in Exhibit d apply to testing by BellSouth in ble report and in king status of a	Inder le to on or late of	by the CLECs that is not encompassed within BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

38	37	36	ITEM No.
2-20	2-19	2-18	Issue 4
2.12.3, 2.12.4	2.12.2	2.12.1	
Under what rates, terms and conditions should BellSouth be required to perform Line Conditioning to remove bridged taps?	Should the Agreement contain specific provisions limiting the availability of load coil removal to copper loops of 18,000 feet or less?	 (A) How should line conditioning be defined in the Agreement? (B) What should BellSouth's obligations be with respect to line conditioning? 	UNRESOLVED ISSUE
Any copper loop being ordered by CLEC which has over 6,000 feet of combined bridged tap will be modified, upon request from CLEC, so that the loop will have a maximum of 6,000 feet of bridged tap. This modification will be performed at no	NO, the agreement should not contain specific provisions limiting the availability of Line Conditioning (in this case, load coil removal) to copper loops of 18,000 feet or less in length.	 (A) Line Conditioning should be defined in the Agreement as set forth in FCC Rule 47 CFR 51.319 (a)(1)(iii)(A). (B) BellSouth should perform line conditioning in accordance with FCC Rule 47 C.F.R. 51.319(a)(1)(iii). 	UNE Loop.
For any copper loop being ordered by CLEC which has over 6,000 feet of combined bridged tap will be modified, upon request from CLEC, so that the loop will have a maximum of 6,000 feet of bridged tap. This modification will	Yes, current industry technical standards require the placement of load coils on copper loops greater than 18,000 feet in length to support voice service and BellSouth does not remove them for BellSouth retail end users on copper loops of over 18,000 feet in length; therefore, such a modification would not constitute a routine network modification and is not required by the FCC.	 (A) Line Conditioning is defined as routine network modification that BellSouth regularly undertakes to provide xDSL services to its own customers. (B) BellSouth should perform line conditioning functions as defined in 47 C.F.R. 51.319(a)(1)(iii) to the extent the function is a routine network modification that BellSouth regularly undertakes to provide xDSL to its own customers. 	BELLISOUTH POSITION

UNRESOLVED ISSUE

of other bridged tap should be performed at conditioning orders that require the removal

require the removal of bridged tap that

serves no network design purpose on a

2,500 and 6,000 feet will be performed combined level of bridged tap between

at the rates set forth in Exhibit A of this

copper loop that will result in a

be performed at no additional charge to CLEC. Line conditioning orders that

EXCURPT POSITION

the rates set forth in Exhibit A of

Attachment 2.

additional charge to CLEC. Line

CLECROSTUDN

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

40

2-22

30

2-21

15

45	44	43	42	41 41
2-27	2-26	2-25	2-24	2-23
3.10.3	3.6.5	2.18.1.4	2.17.3.5	2.16.2.3.2
What should be CLEC's indemnification obligations under a line splitting arrangement?	This issue has been resolved.	Under what circumstances should BellSouth be required to provide CLEC with Loop Makeup information on a facility used or controlled by a carrier other than BellSouth?	This issue has been resolved.	INRESOLATED ISSUE Issues 41(A), 41(B), 41(D) and 41(E) have been resolved. (C) Under what circumstances, if any, should BellSouth be required to install new network terminating wire (UNTW) for the use of the CLEC? (2.16.2.3.2)
If a CLEC is purchasing line splitting, and it is not the data provider, the CLEC is willing to indemnify, defend and hold harmless BellSouth from and against any claims,		BellSouth should provide CLEC Loop Makeup information on a particular loop upon request by a Petitioner. Such access should not be contingent upon receipt of an LOA from a third party carrier.		(C) To the extent BellSouth would install new or additional UNTW beyond existing UNTW upon request from one of its own End Users, or is otherwise required to do so in order to comply with FCC or Authority rules and orders, BellSouth should be obligated to provide access to such new or additional UNTW beyond existing UNTW.
If CLEC is not the data provider, CLEC shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless BellSouth from and against any claims, losses, actions, causes of		Consistent with the policy crafted by the CLECs in the Shared Loop Collaborative, in conjunction with the CCP, BellSouth should provide CLEC Loop Makeup information on a facility used or controlled by another CLEC only upon receipt of an LOA authorizing the release of that information from the CLEC using the facility.		(C) No. Pursuant to applicable FCC rules, BellSouth is not obligated to build a network for CLECs and the installation of new UNTW for a CLEC constitutes creation of a CLEC network.

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

16

do so? customer via a UNE loop enable CLEC to serve a any respect, than the rates are no tess favorable in of this Agreement, rates, entitled to incorporate into BellSouth for the provision that may also be used by terms and conditions that terms and conditions that establishing a right for it to an Authority order to provide DSL services to of DSL services to the same third party that would BellSouth has with any the Agreement, for the term CLEC Issue Statement: (B) Should CLEC be CLEC's customers absent (A) May BellSouth refuse such time as it produces an amendment unless BellSouth has been expressly services to any other entity. BellSouth provides such transport and terms and conditions pursuant to which less favorable, in any respect, than the rates, Agreement to incorporate terms that are no transport/services to a CLEC and its End should not be permitted to refuse to provide purchases UNEs from BellSouth, BellSouth willful misconduct. BellSouth's negligence, gross negligence or arrangement, except to the extent caused by connection with the line splitting actions taken by the data provider in reasonably arising or resulting from the proposal and the Parties amend this the same for Petitioners without charge until Users, BellSouth should be required to do permitted to do so by the Authority. kind) to the Petitioner and its End Users, (including reasonable attorney fees) demands, damages, injury, and costs (A) NO, in cases where a Petitioner (B) YES, where BellSouth provides DSL DSL transport or DSL services (of any losses, actions, causes of action, suits, equipment used to transmit packetized when a CLEC is the voice provider obligated to provide its DSL service determined that BellSouth is not information." Additionally, in the access to any electronics or other incumbent LECs to provide unbundled specifically stated in paragraph 288 of are not subject to unbundling. The FCC required to provide DSL transport or appropriate for arbitration in this DeltaCom arbitration, the TRA recently its End Users as BellSouth's DSLAMs DSL services over UNEs to CLEC and (A) No. BellSouth should not be to Section 251 of the Act. within BellSouth's obligations pursuant by the CLECs that is not encompassed proceeding because it involves a request to the data provider. and costs including reasonable attorney action, suits, demands, damages, injury, the TRO that they would "not require fees, which arise out of actions related This issue (including all subparts) is not

46

2-28

3.10.4

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

17

VDH/218473.8

47 49 48 50 2-31 2-30 2-29 2-32 5.2.4 4.5.5 4.2.2 5.2.5.2.7 5.2.5.2.4 5.2.5.2.4 5.2.5.2.3 5.2.5.2.1 Supra interconnection Should the CLEC be Statement: customer? and 001305-TP, for the docket numbers 010098-TP agreements, respectively from the FDN and/or permitted to incorporate **BellSouth** Issue criteria rule be defined? This issue has been This issue has been term of this Agreement? FCC's EEL eligibility "customer" as used in the This issue has been the Fast Access language resolved. resolved. How should the term resolved. UNRESOLVED should be consistent with those set forth in FCC's EEL eligibility criteria rule. not limit its term "customer" to the EELs, as BellSouth proposes. The FCC did term "customer" should not be defined in a "customer", as used in the FCC's rules. The The USTA II decision did not vacate the restrictive definition of End User sought by manner that limits Petitioners' access to the FCC's rules and should use the term The high capacity EEL eligibility criteria 64 M (64 64 should not be subject to arbitration in negotiated commercial agreement offered pursuant to a separately Act. required pursuant to Section 251 of the between the parties or a tariff, and services to CLEC, they should be apply only to End User circuits since a defined as the end user of an EEL. The FCC's EEL eligibility criteria should be Assuming such rules were not vacated, established in the TRO, this issue is no certain FCC unbundling rules that were this proceeding as they are not services (B) If BellSouth elects to offer these The term "customer" as used in the BellSouth position would be as follows longer appropriate for arbitration. In light of the D.C. Circuit's vacatur of high capacity EEL eligibility criteria Tel transport will desire (e)

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

the	51 2-53 5.2.0, 5.2.6.1, wha 5.2.6.2, Bell 5.2.6.2.3 com elig elig Bell aud aud noti	
the audit and how should the audit be performed?	 (A) How Often, and under what circumstances, should BellSouth be able to audit CLEC's records to verify compliance with the high capacity EEL service eligibility criteria? (B) Should there be a notice requirement for BellSouth to conduct an audit and what should the notice include? (C) Who should conduct 	UNRESOLYED ISSUE
send a Notice of Audit to CLEC, identifying the particular circuits for which BellSouth alleges non-compliance and the cause upon which BellSouth rests its allegations. The Notice of Audit should also include all supporting documentation upon which BellSouth establishes the cause that forms the basis of BellSouth's allegations of noncompliance. Such Notice of Audit should be delivered to CLEC with all supporting documentation no less than	 Ine USIA II decision did not vacate the FCC's EEL eligibility criteria rule. (A) BellSouth may, no more frequently than on an annual basis, and only based upon cause, conduct a limited audit of CLEC's records in order to verify compliance with the high capacity EEL service eligibility criteria. (B) YES, to invoke its limited right to audit CLEC's records in order to verify compliance with the high capacity EEL service eligibility criteria BellSouth should service eligibility criteria 	BellSouth. Use of the term "End User" as defined by BellSouth may result in a deviation from the FCC rules to which CLECs are unwilling to agree.
independent auditor, and the auditor must perform its evaluation in accordance with the standards established by the American Institute for Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). The auditor will perform an "examination engagement" and issue an opinion regarding CLEC's compliance with the qualifying service eligibility criteria. The independent auditor's report will conclude whether CLEC has complied in all material respects with	 In light of the D.C. Circuit's vacatur of certain FCC unbundling rules that were established in the TRO, this issue is no longer appropriate for arbitration. Assuming such rules were not vacated, BellSouth position would be as follows: A) BellSouth may, on an annual basis, audit in order to verify compliance with the qualifying service eligibility criteria. (B) No, a notice requirement is not required by the FCC's TRO. 	loop is a component of the EEL and the FCC definition of a loop requires that it terminate to an "end-user" customer premises.

19

_
P
<u>a</u>
22
-
2
يلدع
9
N
- Çñ
1
×.
X
T T
-

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

55	54	53	52 52
2-37	2-36	2-35	2-34 2-34
6.4.2	6.1.1.1	6.1.1	5.2.6.2.3
What terms should govern CLEC access to test and splice Dark Fiber Transport? Transport?	This issue has been resolved.	This issue has been resolved.	Under what circumstances should CLEC be required to reimburse BellSouth for the cost of the independent auditor?
The USTA II decision did not eliminate BellSouth's obligation to provide access to dark fiber UNEs under Section 251(c)(3) of the 1996 Act. CLEC should be able to splice and test Dark Fiber Transport obtained from BellSouth at any technically feasible point, using CLEC or CLEC-designated personnel. BellSouth			CLEOPOSITION The USTA II decision did not vacate the FCC's EEL eligibility criteria rule. As expressly set forth in the FCC's Triennial Review Order, in the event the auditor's report concludes that CLEC did not comply in all material respects with the service eligibility criteria, CLEC shall reimburse BellSouth for the cost of the independent auditor.
In light of the D.C. Circuit's vacatur of certain FCC unbundling rules that were established in the TRO, this issue is no longer appropriate for arbitration. Assuming such rules were not vacated, BellSouth position would be as follows BellSouth shall provide appropriate interfaces to allow testing of Dark Fiber.			In light of the D.C. Circuit's vacatur of certain FCC unbundling rules that were established in the TRO, this issue is no longer appropriate for arbitration. Assuming such rules were not vacated, BellSouth position would be as follows: As expressly set forth in the FCC's Triennial Review Order, in the event the auditor's report concludes that CLEC failed to comply in all material respects with the service eligibility criteria (meaning that CLEC must have complied with each and every one of the service eligibility criteria and actually be entitled to the EEL), CLEC shall reimburse BellSouth for the cost of the independent auditor.

Updated 6/25/2004

D
<u>0</u>
2
Ō.
a
9
2
Ū.
G
ē
ó

	r		······································	
60		59	58	N N
3-1		2-41	2-40	
3.3.4 (KMC,		14.1	9.3.5	
This issue has been resolved.	INTER	This issue has been resolved.	Should LIDB charges be subject to application of jurisdictional factors?	would require the party providing the information to query a third party database provider? (B) If so, which party should bear the cost?
	INTERCONNECTION (ATTACHMENT 3)		NO, LIDB charges should not be subject to application of jurisdictional factors.	(B) Each Party should bear its own costs associated with dipping CNAM providers.
			 Yes. Access to LIDB "supports carrier provision of such services as Originating Line Number Screening, Calling Card Validation, Billing Number Screening, Calling Card Fraud and Public Telephone Check. These services are provided in conjunction with local exchange, toll and other telecommunications services." (Footnote 1692 TRO). Only through jurisdictional factors would the proper rates be applied to the various call volumes. 	 (A) BellSouth is only legally obligated to provide access to its CNAM database as required by the FCC. There is no legal obligation on either Party's part to query other such databases. (B) If BellSouth elects to perform this function for the CLECs, it should be pursuant to separately negotiated rates, terms and conditions and is not appropriately raised as an issue in a Section 251 arbitration.

Z
8
5
H.
Ż
D
5
2
2
3
- .

62		61	NO
ىب ئ		3-2	testur *
10.7.4 (NSC), 10.7.4 (NVX), 10.12.4 (XSP)		9.6 (KMC), 9.6 (NSC), 9.6 (NVX, XSP)	NSC, NVX) 3.3.3 XSP)
What provisions should apply regarding failure to provide accurate and detailed usage data necessary for the billing and collection of access	in a 60 day period, a written root cause analysis report? (C)(1) What target interval should apply for the delivery of such reports? (C) (2) What target interval should apply for reports related to global outages?	 (A) What is the definition of a global outage? (B) Should BellSouth be required to provide upon request, for any trunk group outage that has occurred 3 or more times 	Elynisor ver tssue
In the event that either Party fails to provide accurate and detailed switched access usage data to the other Party <i>within 90 days</i> after the recording date and the receiving Party is unable to bill and/or collect access revenues due to the sending Party's failure to provide	 group outage that has occurred 3 or more times in a 60 day period. (C)(1) BellSouth should use best efforts to provide global outage and trunk group outage root cause analysis reports within five (5) business days of request. (C)(2) BellSouth should use best efforts to provide global outage and trunk group outage root cause analysis reports within five (5) business days of request. 	 (A) Global outages include outages that impact an entire market or all traffic between two carriers or an entire trunk group. (B) YES, upon request, BellSouth should provide a written root cause analysis report for all global outages, and for any trunk 	CLECPOSITION AND A
In the event that either Party was provided the accurate switched access detailed usage data in a manner that allowed that Party to generate and provide such data to the other Party in a reasonable timeframe and the other	 (C)(1) No reports should be required for outages other than global outages. (C)(2) The target interval for root cause analysis on global outages should be 10-30 days. 	(A) BellSouth's definition of global outage is a customer-impacting outage for an entire trunk group.(B) BellSouth should provide a written root cause analysis for global outages, but not for other outages.	Bun Sourth Postmon

ς. γ 3-4 (NSC), 10.5.6.2 (NVX), (NVX) (KMC), 10.5.5.2 (XSP) (NSC), (KMC), 10.10.6 10.13.5 10.10.6 10.8.6 10.7.4.2 10.8.6 traffic? should apply in the pending, what factors jurisdictional factors is While a dispute over amounts BellSouth pays to revenues. interim? transited/CLEC originated Under what terms should terminate BellSouth reimburse BellSouth for CLEC be obligated to third party carriers that originated by CLEC, CLEC should obligated to pay. BellSouth should costs for the delivery of Transit Traffic should be liable to the other Party in an unless the Parties mutually agree otherwise. originating Party should remain in place, reimbursement provision applies. invoices (or equivalent) when no similar reviewing, disputing and paying such diligently review, dispute and pay such third carrier imposes on BellSouth any charges or amount equal to the unbillable or Party failing to send the specified data such data within said time period, then the factors is pending, factors reported by the While such a dispute over jurisdiction party invoices (or equivalent) in a manner BellSouth, which BellSouth is contractually reimburse BellSouth for all charges paid by uncollectible revenues. that is at parity with its own practices for In the event that a terminating third party factor, either Party may seek Dispute audits fail to resolve disputes between party carrier imposes on BellSouth any within said time period, then the sending the Parties regarding the appropriate No, in the event that negotiations and all charges paid by BellSouth. CLEC should reimburse BellSouth for charges or costs for the delivery of In the event that a terminating third such unbillable or uncollectible the other to substantiate any claim of will provide complete documentation to uncollectible revenues. Each company an amount equal to the unbillable or access revenues due to the sending Party is unable to bill and/or collect dispute is pending, factors calculated by Resolution as set forth in the General Transit Traffic originated by CLEC, Party shall be liable to the other Party in Party's failure to provide such data Terms and Conditions. While such a revenues.

63

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

64

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

67	66	65	25
			0.
3- 8	3-7	3-6	ISSUE #
10.2, 10.3 (XSP)	10.1 (KMC),10 .1 (XSP)	10.10. 1 (KMC), 10.8.1 (NSC) 10.13 (XSP) (XSP)	(XSP)
Should compensation for the transport and termination of ISP-bound Traffic be subject to a cap?	This issue has been resolved.	Should BellSouth be allowed to charge the CLEC a Tandem Intermediary Charge for the transport and termination of Local Transit Traffic and ISP- Bound Transit Traffic? Bound Transit Traffic?	E UNRESOLVEDISSUE
NO, compensation caps set in the FCC's remanded ISP Order on Remand do not extend beyond 2003. However, to the extent that CLECs have negotiated a compensation cap for ISP-Bound Traffic, the issue then becomes how such caps will be combined in the event of a merger or		NO, BellSouth should not be permitted to impose upon CLEC a Tandem Intermediary Charge ("TIC") for the transport and termination of Local Transit Traffic and ISP-Bound Transit Traffic. The TIC is a non-TELRIC based additive charge which exploits BellSouth's market power and is discriminatory.	CLEC Position
Yes, pursuant to the FCC's ISP Order on Remand, the compensation regime including rate and growth caps shall remain in place until the FCC issues a subsequent order.		Yes, BellSouth is not obligated to provide the transit function and the CLEC has the right pursuant to the Act to request direct interconnection to other carriers. Additionally, BellSouth incurs costs beyond those for which the Authority ordered rates were designed to address, such as the costs of sending records to the CLECs identifying the originating carrier. BellSouth does not charge the CLEC for these records and does not recover those costs in any other form. Moreover, this issue is not appropriate for arbitration in this proceeding because it involves a request by the CLECs that is not encompassed within BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.	the terminating Party should be utilized, unless the Parties mutually agree otherwise.

69 73 71 89 い 20 3-12 3-11 3 - 103-9 3-14 3-13 4. 5 3.3.2, 3.4.5, 2.1.12 10.10.4, 10.10.5, 10.10.6, 10.10.7 4.6 (XSP) 3.3.1, (XSP) Ex. A 3.2 (XSP), (XSP) (XSP) 10.10.2 (XSP) (XSP) This issue has been This issue has been should CLEC be permitted measurements, in lieu of actual traffic to bill BellSouth based on resolved. resolved. resolved. This issue has been jurisdictional factors? **BellSouth-reported** This issue has been This issue has been resolved. Under what conditions resolved. combined entity. In the event that one combined and should accrue to the such compensation caps should be of using that information to bill BellSouth technology that identifies the jurisdiction of entity is not subject to a compensation cap, asset acquisition. Xspedius' position is that jurisdictionalization, in lieu of factors based upon actual measurements and Agreement, CLEC should have the option traffic terminated as defined in the the new entity. what compensation cap, if any, will apply to the Parties should negotiate with respect to in the event of a merger or asset acquisition, reported by BellSouth. Where a CLEC has message recording contractual Authority. Prior to the measurements for services that the BellSouth based on its own actual traffic interconnection agreements or other BellSouth in the form of tariffs, CLEC has valid authorization to bill CLEC may have the option to bill CLEC implementing billing based on its

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

d.
<u>d</u>
2
ē
d,
6/
N
ŪŇ,
\sim
õ
ð
4

75	74	!									ITEM
4-2	4 		:	<u> </u>							A RACE
5.21.1,	3.9										S.S.
In circumstances not	What definition of "Cross Connect" should be included in the Agreement?	COI									A UNRESOLVED ISSUE
Provisions should be included to cover the	The following definition of "Cross Connect" should be included in the Agreement: "A cross-connection (Cross Connect) is a cabling scheme between cabling runs subsystems, and equipment using patch cords or jumper wires that attach to connection hardware on each end, as defined and described by the FCC in its applicable rules and orders."	COLLOCATION (ATTACHMENT 4)									CIEC Dosinion
Provisions should be included in this	 (A) The following definition of "Cross Connect" should be included in the Agreement: "A cross connect is a jumper on a frame (Main Distribution or Intermediate Distribution) or panel (DSX or LGX) that is used to connect equipment and/or facility terminations together." (B) BellSouth does not agree with the additional language that CLEC proposes because the cross connect required for the provision of a particular service, not associated with a collocation arrangement, may not be included in the cost of the service, but may have to be ordered in addition to the service 		measurement system periodically.	FCC rules. BellSouth shall have, at its option, the right to audit the CLEC	jurisdiction in accordance with the Agreement and applicable underlying	measures traffic and assigns the correct	direction of the CLEC, accurately	system employed by the CLEC, or at the	CLEC and BellSouth will mutually	own traffic measurements, however, the	BED SOUTH POSITION -

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							NG. 44 5.21.2
						equipment/facilities when such use impacts others?	collocation space or collocated	the CLEC's use of	Services equipment) what	FCC Rule 51.233 (which	covered by the scope of the
The Agreement also should state that, with the exception of instances which pose an immediate and substantial threat of physical damage to property or injury or death to any person, disputes regarding the source of the	measures within twenty-four (24) hours and to exercise reasonable diligence to complete such measures as soon as possible thereafter.	the Petitioner requesting that the Petitioner cure the violation within forty-eight (48) hours of actual receipt of written notice or,	equipment or facilities of a Petitioner violates the provisions of Section 5.21, BellSouth should provide written notice to	The Agreement also should provide that if BellSouth reasonably determines that any	unreasonable risk of injury or death to any individual or to the public.	privacy of communications routed through the Premises; and (4) creates an	carrier collocated in the Premises; or (3) knowingly and unlawfully compromises the	facilities of any other telecommunications	("significantly degrades" is as in the FUC rule applicable to Advanced Services); (2)	or services that (1) significantly degrades	installation and operation of any equipment
written notice or, it such cure is not feasible, at a minimum, to commence curative measures within twenty-four (24) hours and to exercise reasonable diligence to complete such measures as soon as possible thereafter.	violates the provisions of Section 5.21.1, BellSouth should provide written notice to the CLEC directing that the CLEC cure the violation within forty-eight (48) hours of CLEC's actual receipt of	The Agreement should also provide that if BellSouth reasonably determines that any equipment or facilities of the CLEC	Premises; or (4) creates an unreasonable risk of injury or death to any individual or to the public.	any communications routed through the	facilities or any other property of BellSouth or of any other entity or	telecommunications services; (2) endangers or damages the equipment,	service provided by BellSouth or by any other entity or any person's use of its	impairs a service from a user's perspective), interferes with or impairs	(defined as an action that noticeably	operation of any equipment, facilities or	Agreement to cover the installation and

29

Updated 6/25/2004	30		DC01/11ENDH/218473.8	DC01/11E
When rates have been "grandfathered," the rates that would apply are those rates	When a CLEC previously has paid for space preparation and power on a non-recurring	To the extent the CLECs paid for space preparation	3 8.1, 8.6	76 4-3
threat.				
reasonable doubt is the cause of such				
BellSouth has determined beyond a				
limitation, the interruption of electrical				
substantial threat, including, without			<u></u>	
necessary to eliminate any immediate or				
permitted to take such action as it deems				
entity's service. In regard to the above				
impairment of BellSouth's or another				
significant degradation, interference or				
death to any person, or any other				
threat of damage to property or injury or				
poses an immediate and substantial				
or if the violation is of a character that				
complete such action as soon as possible				
exercise reasonable diligence to				
within twenty-four (24) hours and				
CLEC fails to commence curative action				
or traditional voice band services, if the				
performance of other advanced services				
which significantly degrades the				
the deployment of an advanced service				
to the Authority, except in the case of				
impairment, interference, or degradation				
regarding the source of the risk,	in the General Terms and Conditions.			
either party may submit any disputes	the Dispute Resolution provisions set forth			
The Agreement should provide that	degradation should be resolved pursuant to			
	risk, impairment, interference, or			
BELL SOUTH POSITION	CLEC POSITION 4.4.	E UNRESOLVED ISSUE	S. S. S. anisar.	No.
			ñ	

1		NO
4		- ISSUE
8.4 .4		
When should BellSouth commence billing of recurring charges for power? power?	and power on a non- recurring basis, how should those payments be accounted for in light of the current collocation rate structure? structure?	Autorio anticorda a
Unless the power usage metering option is selected for the particular collocation, billing for recurring charges for power provided by BellSouth should commence on the date upon which the primary and redundant connections from the Petitioner's equipment in the Collocation Space to the BellSouth power board or Battery Distribution Fuse Bays ("BDFB") are installed.	basis, that CLEC should not have to pay rates established under the current rate structure which folds these formerly non- recurring charge elements into monthly recurring charges. The rates that should apply to those collocations provisioned under the old rate structure should be those rates that were in effect prior to the Effective Date of the Agreement, unless such rates included recovery for space preparation and power already paid for by a CLEC via non-recurring charges of one form or another. In that case, the Authority should derive, from its current rate, a TELRIC compliant rate that does not include recovery for space preparation and power infrastructure.	AT A CLAC POSITION & A
If the CLEC has met the applicable fifteen (15) calendar day walkthrough interval specified in Section 4.3 of the Agreement, billing for recurring power charges should commence upon the Space Acceptance Date. If the CLEC fails to complete an acceptance walkthrough within the applicable fifteen (15) calendar day interval, billing for recurring power charges should commence on the Space Ready Date. If the CLEC occupies the space prior to the Space Ready Date, then the date the CLEC occupies the space should be deemed the new Space Acceptance Date	that were in effect prior to the Effective Date of the Agreement or as otherwise specified within the Agreement. There should be no other exceptions allowed for the application of "grandfathered" rates.	Brin Sourn Posmon Se

~

Updated 6/25/2004

9 5	SE 2017-2017-2017-2017-2017-2017-2017-2017-	8.6
5 00	4-5	8.6
79		8.11, 8.11.1, 8.11.2

Updated 6/25/2004

																					·····							No the second se
	rate for the AC usage based on the most recent Authority approved rate.	Petitioner should only be billed a recurring	arrangement power installation, the	recurring rate schedule for the collocation	result of the Petitioner having paid	certain arrangements are grandfathered as a	have been set by the Authority. However, if	made by BellSouth that these rates already	understanding based on representations	(based on DC amps consumed). It is my	component and an AC usage component	subdivided into a power infrastructure	recurring charges for DC power are	Under the power usage metering option,		infrastructure component should apply.	approved rate that does not include an	Agreement, the most recent Authority	incorporated into the Parties' most recent	infrastructure component had not been	Agreement, or, if rates that excluded the	prior to the Effective Date of this	recurring rate for the DC power in effect	installation, CLEC should only be billed the	for the collocation arrangement power	under an ICB or non-recurring rate schedule	of CLEC having paid installation costs	Issue 2 CIECPOSITION
AC usage and ongoing maintenance, replacement and upgrades to the central	Exhibit B of the Attachment, which reflect only that portion of the monthly	recent Agreement, the rates contained in	incorporated in to the Parties' most	Effective Date of the Agreement, or, if such grandfathered rates had not been	the DC power in effect prior to the			collocation arrangement power	non-recurring rate structure for the	paid installation costs under an ICB or	BellSouth demonstrating that the CLEC	having provided documentation to	grandfathered as a result of the CLEC	arrangements or such arrangements are	agreed to "grandfather" such	However, if the Parties either previously	fused amp recurring rate for DC power.	Authority's most recently approved	CLEC should be billed at the	which is applicable to all states, the	Under the fused amp billing option,		recovered via recurring charges.				non-recurring charge arrangement and	N See Bali Squay Position

3 3



					No
					CLECPOSITION AND
spower min as a work e component associated with ongoing maintenance, replacement and upgrades to the central office, which will directly benefit the CLEC in the future.	Authority approved rate and the DC power infrastructure component that excludes those costs previously paid through the ICB or NRC pricing structure. Thus, the CLEC should be required to pay that portion of the DC	installation costs under an ICB or non- recurring rate structure for the collocation arrangement power installation, then the CLEC should only be billed the monthly recurring rate for the AC usage based on the most recent	amps consumed). However, if the Parties either previously agreed to "grandfather" such arrangements or such arrangements are grandfathered as a result of the CLEC having provided documentation to BellSouth demonstrating that the CLEC paid	In Tennessee, under the power usage metering option, recurring charges for DC power will be subdivided into a power infrastructure component and an	The neuron infrastructure which will

× 1	08	NO.
4-8	4-7 7	
9.1.2, 9.1.3	9.1.1	1 S
 (A) Should CLEC be permitted to choose between a fused amp billing option and a power usage metering option? (B) If power usage metering is allowed, how 	 (A) Under the fused amp billing option, how should recurring and non- recurring charges be applied? (B) What should the charges be? 	A AND SOLVED ISSUE
(A) YES, Petitioners should be permitted to choose between a fused amp billing option and a power usage metering option. It is our understanding, based on negotiations, the contract language submitted by both parties and BellSouth's position statement included in the Issues Matrix, that this sub- issue is not and arbitration issue in	 (A) Under the fused amp billing option, monthly recurring charges for -48V DC power should be assessed per fused amp per month in a manner consistent with Authority orders and as set forth in Section 8 of Attachment 4 (see Issue 4-6 above). It is our understanding that non-recurring charges for -48V DC power distribution, are not applicable and therefore, subject to agreement on appropriate language to reflect this, this aspect of the issue appears to be settled. (B) Monthly recurring charges should be at the rates established by the Authority, except in those cases where a Petitioner has paid for power plant installation on a nonrecurring or individual case basis. As explained with respect to Item 76 / Issue 4-3, application of the current Authority-approved rate power plant infrastructure rate would result in double payment by Petitioners and over-recovery by BellSouth in such instances. 	Clecebounov
 (A) No. CLECs should not be permitted to choose between a fused amp billing option and a power usage metering option in states other than Tennessee, where BellSouth was ordered to do so. The only other states that have ordered a power usage metering option are Florida and Georgia, but the Commissions in 	 (A) Under the regional fused amp billing option, which applies to all states, monthly recurring charges for -48V DC power should be assessed per fused amp per month based upon the CLEC's BellSouth Certified Supplier engineered and installed power feed fused amperage capacity in a manner consistent with Authority orders and as set forth in Section 8 of Attachment 4 (See Issue 4-6 above). (B) Non-recurring charges for -48V DC power distribution should be based on the costs associated with collocation power plant investment and the associated infrastructure. 	BiniSoumPosition

35

		TRM ISSUE A TO
	will recurring and non- recurring charges be applied and what should those charges be?	UNRESOLVED SSUR
(B) If the Petitioner chooses the power usage metering option, monthly recurring charges for -48V DC power will be assessed based on a consumption component and, if applicable, an infrastructure component, as set forth in Section 8 of Attachment 4 (see Item 79 / Issue 4-6 above). The Authority should ensure that its most recently approved recurring rates are apportioned appropriately into the consumption and infrastructure components. Any additional rate elements that the Parties have agreed are applicable should be at rates approved by the Authority.	Tennessee and that BellSouth will allow Petitioners to choose between a fused amp billing option and a power usage metering option on a collocation-by-collocation basis. It seems that this sub-issue appears in the Petition and the Issues Matrix largely as a product of this being a 9-state arbitration. Joint Petitioners are awaiting BellSouth's confirmation that this issue is indeed settled with respect to Tennessee. If some unforeseen aspect of this sub-issue remains, Petitioners reserve the right to address it completely in rebuttal testimony.	CIPC DAIMAN CONTRACT
(B) In Tennessee, if the CLEC selects the power usage metering option, the monthly recurring charges for -48V DC power should be assessed based on the AC usage component of the DC power consumed by the CLEC and an infrastructure component, associated with the DC power plant and the associated equipment required to convert AC power to DC power, as set forth in Exhibit B of Attachment 4. BellSouth has taken the Authority's current approved monthly recurring DC power rate (which is a fused amp rate) and apportioned it appropriately into these two components based upon the cost study inputs used initially to develop the ordered rate.	these states have not determined the appropriate power metering rate structure and the associated rates that would be assessed to CLECs that elect this option. Therefore, BellSouth cannot offer a power usage metering option in Florida and Georgia until these issues have been resolved. In regard to the other states, BellSouth should be permitted to continue assessing monthly recurring DC power charges on a "per fused amp" basis.	The second s

36

CLEC will be responsible for paying		
BellSouth Certified Supplier with sufficient notification of the necessity to cancel and/or reschedule the initial agreed-upon appointment, then the		
reading date) power usage reading be taken or if the CLEC fails to provide access to its caged collocation space or fails to provide BellSouth and/or a		
billed on the date that BellSouth provides an Application Response to the Subsequent Application. If the CLEC requests that an unscheduled (prior to the next scheduled quarterly nower		
Application, to convert existing collocation arrangements to the power metering option in Tennessee or to remove or install telecommunications		
Issue 4-4 above) The non-recurring charge associated with the submission of a Subsequent		
Reading expense will be assessed pursuant to Section 8.4 of Attachment 4. (See BST's Position as stated under		 <u> </u>
power plant and the associated equipment required to convert AC power to DC power, and the Meter	7	
component associated with the DC		 <u></u>
Recurring charges for the AC usage		

C
2
B
Ē
6
3
5
ē
4

9.3 9.3	
For BellSouth-supplied AC power, should CLEC be entitled to choose between a fused amp billing option and a power usage metering option? metering option?	States of Astronomy States
YES, where CLEC elects to install its own DC Power Plant, and BellSouth provides Alternating Current (AC) power to feed CLEC's DC Power Plant, CLEC should have the option of choosing between fused amp billing and power usage metering options.	TIBC POSITION
No. If the CLEC elects to install its own DC Power Plant, BellSouth is willing to provide Alternating Current (AC) power to feed the CLEC's DC Power Plant. Charges for AC power should be assessed per breaker ampere based on the appropriate allocation of AC power delivered to the central office fuse panel by the commercial electric provider. BellSouth anticipates that if a CLEC requests AC power from BellSouth to feed its own Power Plant, BellSouth would have to install and dedicate a circuit breaker to the CLEC at its fuse panel where the commercial electric power enters the central office. It would, therefore, be appropriate for BellSouth to pro-rate the AC power to each of the circuit breakers in BellSouth's fuse panel based on the	each "Additional Meter Reading Trip Charge," which will be reflected on the CLEC's next month's billing statement. In addition, there will be a non-recurring fee associated with the modifications that BellSouth must make to its billing systems in order to accept the power usage measurement data. This fee will be reflected on the CLEC's next billing statement immediately following the completion of the required modifications.

68	85	84 4	83	No
6-3	6-2	6-1	4-10	
2.5.6.2, 2.5.6.3	2.5.5	2.5.1	13.6	
 (A) This issue has been resolved. (B) How should disputes 	Should CLEC have to provide BellSouth with access to CSRs within firm intervals?	Should payment history be included in the CSR?	This issue has been resolved.	WARASOLVER SSOL
(B) If one Party disputes the other Party's assertion of non-compliance, that Party should notify the other Party in writing of the basis for its assertion of compliance. If	NO, CLEC is not required by law to commit to specific intervals, and does not have any automated system in place to handle CSR requests. Moreover, BellSouth refuses to commit to deliver CSRs within a firm interval. CLEC, however, will commit to use its best efforts to provide CSRs within an average of 5 business days of a valid request, subject to the same exclusions applicable to BST's delivery of CSRs.	YES, the subscribers' payment history should be included in the CSR to the extent authorized or required by the FCC, Authority or End User.		CEERC POSITION
(B) The Party providing notice of such impropriety should provide notice to the offending Party that additional applications for service may be refused,	YES, BellSouth is required to provide CSRs to CLEC in intervals prescribed by this Authority which, if not met, require BellSouth to remit SEEMs penalties. If CLEC is not held to the same standard, the End User customer is impaired by being unable to receive the same service interval from all local service providers.	NO, payment history should be maintained as confidential information and is not necessary in order for a CLEC to provision service to an end user. BellSouth's systems will not permit this information to be shared on an end user by end user or CLEC by CLEC basis.		fused amperage that each circuit breaker is designed to carry in relation to the total amount of fused amperage for all of the circuit breakers contained in BellSouth's fuse panel, which serve the central office.

39

87 6-4 2.6		No. 1841
Should BellSouth be allowed to assess manual service order charges on CLEC orders for which BellSouth does not provide an electronic ordering option?	over alleged unauthorized access to CSR information be handled under the Agreement?	UNRASOSVED ISSUE
NO, if, at any time, electronic interfaces are not available to make placement of an electronic LSR possible, CLEC must use the manual LSR process for the ordering of UNEs and Combinations. In such cases where CLEC does not willfully choose to use the manual LSR process, CLEC should be assessed the lower electronic LSR OSS rate.	the receiving Party fails to provide the other Party with notice that appropriate corrective measures have been taken within a reasonable time or provide the other Party with proof sufficient to persuade the other Party that it erred in asserting the non- compliance, the requesting Party should proceed pursuant to the Dispute Resolution provisions set forth in the General Terms and Conditions and the Parties should cooperatively seek expedited resolution of the dispute. "Self help", in the form of suspension of access to ordering systems and discontinuance of service, is inappropriate and coercive. Moreover, it effectively denies one Party the ability to avail itself to the Dispute Resolution process otherwise agreed to by the Parties.	CE PC POSITION
YES, BellSouth is not required to provide electronic ordering capability for every product or service. BellSouth has implemented the Change Control Process for CLEC requests to change BellSouth's OSS capabilities if CLEC is not satisfied with existing ordering capabilities.	that any pending orders for service may not be completed, and/or that access to ordering systems may be suspended if such use is not corrected or ceased by the fifth (5 th) calendar day following the date of the notice. In addition, the alleging Party may, at the same time, provide written notice to the person(s) designated by the other Party to receive notices of noncompliance that the alleging Party may terminate the provision of access to ordering systems to the other Party and may discontinue the provisioning of existing services if such use is not corrected or ceased by the tenth (10 th) calendar day following the date of the initial notice. If the other Party disagrees with the alleging Party's allegations of unauthorized use, the other Party shall proceed pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions set forth in the General Terms and Conditions.	BELISOUTH POSITION

40

NO	80									68										3	90		
A RUE	6-5									6-6											6- /		
	2.6.5									2.6.25											2.6.26		
A LUNRESOLUTED ISSUE OF	What rate should apply for Service Date Advancement	(a/k/a service expedites)?								Should CLEC be required	b deliver a FOC to	porting a number within a	firm interval?								Should CLEC be required to provide Reject	Responses to BellSouth	within a firm interval?
A AN CLECROSING T	Rates for Service Date Advancement (a/k/a service expedites) related to UNEs.	interconnection or collocation should be set	consistent with TELRIC pricing principles.							NO, CLEC is not required by law to commit	to specific intervals, and does not have the	meet such requirements. Moreover	BellSouth refuses to commit to deliver	FOCs within a firm interval. CLEC,	however, subject to the same exclusions that apply to BellSouth's delivery of a FOC. is	willing to commit to use best efforts to	return a FOC to BellSouth, for purposes of	burning a number, within an average of o	CLEC's receipt from BellSouth of a valid	LSR.	NO, CLEC is not required by law to commit to specific intervals and does not have the	necessary automated system in place to	meet such requirements. Moreover,
BELLSOUTH ROSITIONS	BellSouth is not required to provide exnedited service pursuant to The Act.	If BellSouth elects to offer expedite	capability as an enhancement to a	CLEC, BellSouth's tariffed rates for	Moreover, this issue is not appropriate	for arbitration in this proceeding	because it involves a request by the	CLECs that is not encompassed within	Section 251 of the Act.	YES, BellSouth is required to provide	FOCs to CLEC in intervals prescribed	require BellSouth to remit SEFMs	penalties. If CLEC is not held to the	same standard, the End User customer is	impaired by being unable to receive the same service interval from all I ocal	service providers.					YES, BellSouth is required to provide	intervals prescribed by this Authority	which if not met require BellSouth to

Updated 6/25/2004

	93 6-10 3.1.1	92	N	NO.
	(A) Can Bellsouth make the porting of an End User	d by the cal?	TRACTO	TINARSOLVED ISSUE Reject CLEC, comm Responsion husin
CP	(A) NO, BellSouth is required by law to port a customer once the customer requests	rates for USS as sort the Agreement, for Attachment 2 of the Agreement, substantially similar OSS functions performed by the Parties.	CLEC's receipt from DEUDOCT	Reject Responses within a firm interval. Reject Responses within a firm interval. CLEC, however, subject to the same CLEC, however, subject to BellSouth's exclusions that apply to BellSouth's delivery of Reject Responses, is willing to delivery of Reject Responses, is willing to rommit to use best efforts to return Reject commit to use best efforts to return Reject Responses to BellSouth, for purposes of Responses to BellSouth, for purposes of porting a number, within an average of 5 husiness days, for noncomplex orders, after
	uests the conditions under which was used to be conditioned by Updated 6/25/2004	THE RECESS	D B Z	ABELIS OUTH POSITION held to the same standard, the End User customer is impaired by being unable to receive the same service interval from all Local service providets.

Updated 6/25/2004

imposing such conditions? liquidated damages for its PIC? or the End User changing **BellSouth Long Distance** carrier, including with any third party collection arrangement operating, billing and/or BellSouth be subject to to the CLEC contingent on (B) If not, should either the CLEC having an should be in addition to and without any such breach. Liquidated damages occurrence and during the continuance of \$1,000 per occurrence per day is a rights or remedies CLEC and/or any of its prejudice to or limitation upon any other reasonable approximation of the damages BellSouth. A liquidated damage amount of amount of damages as would be sustained would be impossible or commercially appropriate in this instance because it likely to be sustained by CLEC, upon the by CLEC as a result of such action by impracticable to ascertain and fix the actual third party carrier. or more of BellSouth's Affiliates, and/or any relationship or other arrangement with one arrangement, operational understanding, entry into any billing and/or collection specifically, BellSouth may not condition its with, its Section 272 affiliate. More agreement (or lack thereof) between CLEC provider, regardless of any arrangement or to be switched to another local service (B) YES, liquidated damages are Agreement upon CLEC's or its End-Users' compliance with these obligations under the represents an anticompetitive leveraging of and BellSouth Long Distance or another its ILEC status in favor of, and in collusion third party carrier. BellSouth's practice ₿ end-user with another PIC. carriers requirements or transfer the be required to either comply with that provisions are inappropriate. end user can retain a PIC, CLEC should NO, liquidated damages POSITION ST

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

43

Upda
ated 6,
125/2
004

4	No
6-11	
3.1.2, 3.1.2.1	22
 (A) Should the mass migration of customer service arrangements resulting from mergers, acquisitions and asset transfers be accomplished by the submission of an electronic LSR or spreadsheet? (B) If so, what rates should apply? (C) What should be the interval for such mass migrations of services? 	
 (A) YES, mass migration of customer service arrangements (e.g., UNEs, Combinations, resale) should be accomplished pursuant to submission of electronic LSR or, if mutually agreed to by the Parties, by submission of a spreadsheet in a mutually agreed-upon format. Until such time as an electronic LSR process is available, a spreadsheet containing all relevant information should be used. (B) An electronic OSS charge should be assessed per service arrangement migrated. In addition, BellSouth should only charge CLEC a TELRIC-based records change charge, as set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2, for migrations of customers for which no physical re-termination of circuits must be performed. Similarly, BellSouth should only charge CLEC a TELRIC-based charge, as set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2, for migrations of customers for which physical retermination of circuits is required. (C) Migrations should be completed within ten (10) calendar days of an LSR or spreadsheet submission. 	End Users may have under this Agreement and/or other applicable documents against BellSouth.
 This issue (including all subparts) is not appropriate for arbitration in this proceeding because it involves a request by the CLECs that is not encompassed within BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act. (A) No, each and every Merger, Acquisition and Asset Transfer is unique and requires project management and planning to ascertain the appropriate manner in which to accomplish the transfer, including how orders should be submitted. The vast array of services that may be the subject of such a transfer, under the agreement and both state and federal tariffs, necessitates that various forms of documentation may be required. (B) The rates by necessity must be negotiated between the Parties based upon the particular services to be transferred and the work involved. (C) No finite interval can be set to cover all potential situations. While shorter intervals can be committed to and met for small, simple projects, larger and 	

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

	2	NO
	-	
5	- - -	
w nat time timus should apply to backbilling, over- billing, and under-billing issues?		
There should be an explicit, uniform limitation on a Party's ability to engage in backbilling under this Agreement. The Authority should adopt the CLEC proposed language, which would limit a Party's ability to bill for services rendered no more than ninety (90) calendar days after the bill date on which those charges ordinarily would have been billed. For purposes of ensuring that a party could reconcile backbilled amounts, the CLEC proposed language provides that billed amounts for services that are rendered more than one (1) billing period prior to the bill date should be invalid unless the billing Party identifies such billing as "backbilling" on a line-item basis. Finally, the CLEC proposed language provides an exemption to the ninety (90) day limit whereby backbilling beyond ninety (90) calendar days and up to a limit of six (6) months after the date upon which the bill ordinarily would have been issued may be invoiced under the following conditions: (1) charges connected with jointly provided services whereby meet point biling guidelines require either Party to relv on records provided by a third party	BILLING (ATTACHMENT 7)	A DEPARTMENT OF A DEPARTMENT
All charges incurred under the agreement should be subject to the state's statute of limitations or alone should not be subject to a shorter limitations period than any other claims related to billing under the agreement.		more complex projects require much longer intervals and prioritization and cooperation between the Parties.

45

Updated 6/25/2004

96 within ten (10) calendar days. t

of orde or serv Agreer order, j interfau other P	(B) What intervals should apply to such changes? (B) "I and should apply to such changes (B) "I	¥ ¥	
	app (B) CC,		
	What intervals should by to such changes?	What charges, if any, uld be imposed for ords changes made by Parties to reflect nges in corporate nes or other LEC wiffers such as OCN	
of ordering or provisioning of any element or service provided pursuant to this Agreement, or access to any pre-order, order, provisioning, maintenance or repair interfaces. At the request of a Party, the other Party should establish a new BAN	solely to reflect such change. For any additional LEC Changes, TELRIC compliant rates should be charged. (B) "LEC Changes" should be accomplished in thirty (30) calendar days and should result in no delay or suspension	 (A) A Party should be entitled to make one (1) "LEC Change" (<i>i.e.</i>, corporate name change, OCN, CC, CIC, ACNA change) per state in any twelve (12) month period without charge by the other Party for updating its databases, systems and records 	and such records have not been provided in a timely manner; and (2) charges incorrectly billed due to erroneous information supplied by the non-billing Party. With respect to over-billing, the Parties have negotiated and separately agreed to a 2-year limit on filing billing disputes (thus, Petitioners do not believe that BellSouth properly has inserted this as a sub-issue here). With respect to under-billing, Petitioners believe that the subissue is covered by any provisions that address backbilling.
(B) The Interval of any such project would be determined by the BFR/NBR process based upon the complexity of the project.	 (A) BellSouth is permitted to recover its costs and CLEC should be charged a reasonable records change charge. Requests for this type of change should be submitted to the BFR/NBR process. 	This issue (including all subparts) is not appropriate for arbitration in this proceeding because it involves a request by the CLECs that is not encompassed within BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.	

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

Z
a
- E
<u>_</u>
5
3
2
3

§	86	97 97
7-5	7-4	7-3
1.7.1	1.6	1.4
What recourse should a Party have if it believes the other Party is engaging in prohibited, unlawful or improper use of its facilities or services, abuse of the facilities or noncompliance with the Agreement or applicable tariffs?	 (A) What interest rate should apply for late payments? (B) What fee should be assessed for returned checks? 	When should payment of charges for service be due?
Each Party should have the right to suspend access to ordering systems for and to terminate particular services or access to facilities that are being used in an unlawful, improper or abusive manner. However, such remedial action should be limited to the services or facilities in question and such suspension or termination should not be imposed unilaterally by one Party over the other's written objections to or denial of such accusations. In the event of such a dispute, "self help" should not supplant the Dispute Resolution process set forth in the Agreement.	 (A) The interest rate that should apply for late payments is a uniform region-wide (1) percent per month. (B) In addition to any applicable late payment charges, a uniform region-wide \$20 fee for all returned checks should apply. 	Payment of charges for services rendered should be due thirty (30) calendar days from receipt or website posting of a complete and fully readable bill or within thirty (30) calendar days from receipt or website posting of a corrected or retransmitted bill in those cases where correction or retransmission is necessary for processing.
Each Party should have the right to suspend or terminate service in the event it believes the other party is engaging in one of these practices.	 (A) The applicable interest rate approved by each state Authority in BellSouth's tariffs should apply. (B) The Authority approved rate from the GSST should apply or, in the absence of such, the amount permitted by state law 	Payment for services should be due on or before the next bill date (Payment Due Date) in immediately available funds.

Updated 6/25/2004

102	101	100 100
7-8	7-7	1.5sup 7-6
1.8.3.1	1 	1.7.2
Should the amount of the deposit BellSouth requires from CLEC be reduced by past due amounts owed by BellSouth to CLEC?	How many months of billing should be used to determine the maximum amount of the deposit?	Should CLEC be required to pay past due amounts in addition to those specified in BellSouth's notice of suspension or termination for nonpayment in order to avoid suspension or termination?
YES, the amount of security due from an existing CLEC should be reduced by amounts due CLEC by BellSouth aged over thirty (30) calendar days. BellSouth may request additional security in an amount equal to such reduction once BellSouth	The amount of a deposit should not exceed two month's estimated billing for new CLECs or one and one-half month's actual billing for existing CLECs (based on average monthly billings for the most recent six (6) month period). The one and one-half month's actual billing deposit limit for existing CLECs is reasonable given that balances can be predicted with reasonable accuracy and that significant portions of services are billed in advance.	NO, CLECs should not be required to calculate and pay past due amounts in addition to those specified in BellSouth's notice of suspension or termination for nonpayment in order to avoid suspension or termination. Rather, if a Petitioner receives a notice of suspension or termination from BellSouth, with a limited time to pay non- disputed past due amounts, Petitioner should be required to pay only those amount past due as of the date of the notice and as expressly and plainly indicated on the notice, in order to avoid suspension or termination.
NO, CLEC's remedy for addressing late payment by BellSouth should be suspension/termination of service or application of interest/late payment charges similar to BellSouth's remedy for addressing late payment by CLEC.	The average of two (2) months of actual billing for existing customers or estimated billing for new customers, which is consistent with the telecommunications industry's standard and BellSouth's practice with its end users.	Yes, if CLEC receives a notice of suspension or termination from BellSouth as a result of CLEC's failure to pay timely, CLEC should be required to pay all amounts that are past due as of the date of the pending suspension or termination action.

DC01/HENDH/218473.8

	COL	104	103	No
	11-/	7-10	7-9	
	1.8.9	1.8.7	1.8.6	20
-		77870-		
resolved.	This issue has been	What recourse should be available to either Party when the Parties are unable to agree on the need for or amount of a reasonable deposit? reasonable deposit?	Should BellSouth be entitled to terminate service to CLEC pursuant to the process for termination due to non- payment if CLEC refuses to remit any deposit required by BellSouth within 30 calendar days?	
d.	sue h	ecour ble to he Pa to ag ar or c able c	i Belli d to te proces nt if C any de South	IRESO
	as bee	se sha either rties o ree on timoun teposi	South South LEC _F LEC _F Dosit With with	UNRUSOLVED
	п	Party Party re t? t?	be ute vursuc refuse requise in 30	Issu
				通られ、
		If the Parties are unable to agree on the need for or amount of a reasonable deposit, either Party should be able to file a petition for resolution of the dispute and both parties should cooperatively seek expedited resolution of such dispute.	demonstrates a good payment history, as defined in the deposit provisions of Attachment 7. This provision is appropriate given that the Agreement's deposit provisions are not reciprocal and that BellSouth's payment history with CLECs is often poor. NO, BellSouth should have a right to terminate services to CLEC for failure to remit a deposit requested by BellSouth only in cases where (a) CLEC agrees that such a deposit is required by the Agreement, or (b) the Authority has ordered payment of such deposit. A dispute over a requested deposit should be addressed via the Agreement's Dispute Resolution provisions and not through "self-help".	
		If the Parties are unable to agree on the need for or amount of a reasonable deposit, either Party should be able to file a petition for resolution of the dispute and both parties should cooperatively seek expedited resolution of such dispute.	demonstrates a good payment history, as defined in the deposit provisions of Attachment 7. This provision is appropriate given that the Agreement's deposit provisions are not reciprocal and that BellSouth's payment history with CLECs is often poor. NO, BellSouth should have a right to terminate services to CLEC for failure to remit a deposit requested by BellSouth only in cases where (a) CLEC agrees that such a deposit is required by the Agreement, or (b) the Authority has ordered payment of such deposit. A dispute over a requested deposit should be addressed via the Agreement's Dispute Resolution provisions and not through "self-help".	
		as are d be a of the of sucl	the definition of the second s	
		unabl a reas ble tc dispu vely s h disp	pood p posit nent l nent l nent l nent l nent l cs to C cs to	CEEC POSITION
		e to a sonabl file a eek ey ute.	have red by a the proca	OSITE
		e dep both	nt his sions on is a deposed of and a right a right a right for fa Bell? rees the rees the rees the rees the rees the rees the rees the rest the re	ON.
		on the ion fo partie	tory, a of of appropriate that that that that submathed by the other of the other o	
		or sither	vopriate vopriate ECs is ECs is E such a such a suc	
		If Cl or ng Bell: with disp coop of su term of su CLE	Yes, with fisca	
		If CLEC does not agree with th or need for a deposit requested BellSouth, CLEC may file a pe with the Authority for resolutio dispute and BellSouth would cooperatively seek expedited re of such dispute. BellSouth shal terminate service during the per of such a proceeding provided to CLEC posts a payment bond fo amount of the requested deposit the pendency of the proceeding	Yes, thirty (30) calen commercially reasona within which CLEC s fiscal responsibilities.	
		r a des n , CLE d Bell d Bell ely se ely se ely se spute. servic servic ts a p; ts a p;	7 (30) ally r ich C	BEELS.
		ot agr C ma South South Bella ding ding aymer	calen easona LEC s	e uno
		ee wit reques y file resol resol woul t woul t woul t woul t pedite provid at bon at bon	able ti	Posi
		If CLEC does not agree with the amou or need for a deposit requested by BellSouth, CLEC may file a petition with the Authority for resolution of the dispute and BellSouth would cooperatively seek expedited resolutio of such dispute. BellSouth shall not terminate service during the pendency of such a proceeding provided that CLEC posts a payment bond for the amount of the requested deposit during the pendency of the proceeding.	Yes, thirty (30) calendar days is a commercially reasonable time period within which CLEC should have met fiscal responsibilities.	NOIL
		If CLEC does not agree with the amount or need for a deposit requested by BellSouth, CLEC may file a petition with the Authority for resolution of the dispute and BellSouth would cooperatively seek expedited resolution of such dispute. BellSouth shall not terminate service during the pendency of such a proceeding provided that CLEC posts a payment bond for the amount of the requested deposit during the pendency of the proceeding.	Yes, thirty (30) calendar days is a commercially reasonable time period within which CLEC should have met its fiscal responsibilities.	たた
-				

Updated 6/25/2004

107		106
11		7-12
1.5, 1.8.1, 1.9, 1.10		1.9.1
 (A) Should BellSouth be permitted to charge CLEC the full development costs associated with a BFR? (B) If so, how should these costs be recovered? 		To whom should BellSouth be required to send the 15- day notice of suspension for additional applications for service, pending applications for service and access to BellSouth's ordering systems?
 (A) NO, charges associated with the development of a BFR should be apportioned among CLECs who may benefit from the UNE(s). (B) To the extent BellSouth can charge CLEC for the development costs associated with a BFR, such costs should be assessed through non-recurring and recurring rates. 	BFR/NRR (ATTACHMENT 11)	The 15-day notice of suspension for additional applications for service, pending applications for service, and access to BellSouth's ordering systems should be sent to CLECs pursuant to the requirements of Attachment 7 and also should be sent via certified mail to the individual(s) listed in the Notices provision of the General Terms and Conditions.
 (A) YES, BellSouth is entitled to recover its costs in provisioning services to CLEC. Since this is a unique request that CLEC is making, CLEC should bear the full development costs. (B) CLEC should be obligated to pay these costs upon request that BellSouth proceed. 		The 15-day computer-generated notice stating that BellSouth may suspend access to BellSouth's ordering systems should go to the individual(s) that CLEC has identified as its Billing Contact(s), Notices, not system generated, of security deposits and suspension or termination of services shall be sent via certified mail to the individual(s) listed in the Notices provision of the General Terms and Conditions of the Agreement in addition to the CLEC's designed billing contact.

٠