

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

601 W. Chestnut Street Room 407 Louisville, KY 40203

Dorothy.Chambers@BellSouth.com

Dorothy J. Chambers General Counsel/Kentucky

502 582 8219 Fax 502 582 1573

March 8, 2004

RECEIVED

Mr. Thomas M. Dorman **Executive Director Public Service Commission** 211 Sower Boulevard P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

LELIC SERVICE

Re:

Joint Petition for Arbitration of NewSouth Communications Corp., NuVox Communications, Inc., KMC Telecom V, Inc., KMC Telecom III LLC, and Xspedius Communications, LLC on Behalf of Its Operating Subsidiaries Xspedius Management Co. Switched Services, LLC, Xspedius Management Co. of Lexington, LLC, and Xspedius Management Co. of Louisville, LLC of an Interconnection Agreement With BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended PSC 2004-00044

Dear Mr. Dorman:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned case are the original and ten (10) copies of BellSouth's Answer to the Petition for Arbitration of NewSouth, Nuvox, KMC and Xspedius. Since the agreements are voluminous (the four agreements total just under 4,000 pages), they are provided on CD. Eleven CDs are provided to the Commission. A copy of the entire filing is served on each party.

Very truly yours,

Enclosures

cc: Parties of Record

530282

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:	A. C.
JOINT PETITION FOR ARBITRATION OF NEWSOUTH COMMUNICATIONS CORP., NUVOX COMMUNICATIONS, INC. KMC TELECOM V, INC., KMC TELECOM III LLC, AND XSPEDIUS COMMUNICATIONS, LLC ON BEHALF OF ITS OPERATING SUBSIDIARIES XSPEDIUS MANAGEMENT CO. SWITCHED SERVICES, LLC, XSPEDIUS MANAGEMENT CO. OF LEXINGTON, LLC, AND XSPEDIUS MANAGEMENT CO. OF LEXINGTON, LLC, AND XSPEDIUS MANAGEMENT CO. OF AN INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT WITH BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. PURSUANT TO SECTION 252(B) OF THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934, AS AMENDED	MAR 0 5 2004))))))))) CASE NO. 2004-00044)))))

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S ANSWER TO THE PETITION FOR ARBITRATION OF NEWSOUTH COMMUNICATIONS CORP., NUVOX COMMUNICATIONS, INC., KMC TELECOM V, INC., KMC TELECOM III LLC, AND XSPEDIUS COMMUNICATIONS, LLC

Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(b)(3), BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth"), responds to the Petition for Arbitration ("Petition") filed by NewSouth Communications Corp., NuVox Communications, Inc., KMC Telecom V, Inc., KMC Telecom III, LLC, and Xspedius Communications, LLC ("CLECs" or "Petitioners") and states the following:

1. Sections 251 and 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("1996 Act") encourage negotiations between parties to reach local interconnection agreements. Section 251(c)(1) of the 1996 Act requires incumbent local exchange companies to negotiate the

particular terms and conditions of agreements to fulfill the duties described in Sections 251(b) and 251(c)(2)-(6).

- 2. As part of the negotiation process, the 1996 Act allows a party to petition a state commission for arbitration of unresolved issues.¹ The petition must identify the issues resulting from the negotiations that are resolved, as well as those that are unresolved.² The petitioning party must submit along with its petition "all relevant documentation concerning: (1) the unresolved issues; (2) the position of each of the parties with respect to those issues; and (3) any other issues discussed and resolved by the parties."³ A non-petitioning party to a negotiation under this section may respond to the other party's petition and provide such additional information as it wishes within 25 days after a commission receives the petition.⁴ The 1996 Act limits a commission's consideration of any petition (and any response thereto) to the unresolved issues set forth in the petition and in the response.⁵
- 3. Through the arbitration process, a commission must resolve the unresolved issues ensuring that the requirements of Sections 251 and 252 of the 1996 Act are met. The obligations contained in those sections of the 1996 Act are the obligations that form the basis for negotiation, and if negotiations are unsuccessful, then form the basis for arbitration. Issues or topics not specifically related to these areas are outside the scope of an arbitration proceeding. Once a commission has provided guidance on the unresolved issues, the parties must incorporate those resolutions into a final agreement to be submitted to a commission for approval.⁶

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 252(b)(2).

² See generally, 47 U.S.C. §§ 252 (b)(2)(A) and 252 (b)(4).

³ 47 U.S.C. § 252(b)(2).

⁴ 47 U.S.C. § 252(b)(3).

⁵ 47 U.S.C. § 252(b)(4).

⁶ 47 U.S.C. § 252(a).

- 4. BellSouth and CLECs previously entered into an Interconnection Agreement ("Agreement") in Kentucky that has now expired. Although BellSouth and CLECs negotiated in good faith as to the terms and conditions for a new Agreement, the parties have been unable to reach agreement on some issues and, as a result, CLECs filed their Petition. BellSouth responds below to each of the separately numbered paragraphs of the CLECs' Petition:
- 5. BellSouth lacks information sufficient to either admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 1 of the Petition. These allegations, therefore, are denied.
 - 6. The allegations in Paragraph 2 of the Petition require no response from BellSouth.
- 7. BellSouth admits the allegations in Paragraph 3 of the Petition, except the allegation that "BellSouth has, at relevant times been a dominant provider of telephone exchange service." CLECs do not define the term, "dominant carrier" and BellSouth does not know what significance is intended by this term in the context of Paragraph 3. Accordingly, this allegation is denied.
- 8. Paragraph 4 of the Petition sets forth the CLECs' rendition of BellSouth's legal obligations under the Act. BellSouth affirmatively states the Act speaks for itself and this paragraph contains no factual allegations to which a response is required.
- 9. BellSouth is without knowledge of the allegations of Paragraphs 5-8 of the Petition. Accordingly, they are denied.
 - 10. The allegations of Paragraphs 9, 10, and 11 are admitted.
- 11. BellSouth denies the allegations of Paragraph 12 of the Petition. Specifically, BellSouth states that it is improper for the CLECs to file a Joint Petition, and this filing should be rejected by the Commission. This issue is addressed at greater length in BellSouth's previously-filed Motion to Sever.

- 12. BellSouth states that the provisions of the 1996 Act referenced in Paragraph 13 speak for themselves and require no response from BellSouth. BellSouth admits the remaining allegations in Paragraph 13 of the Petition.
- 13. BellSouth admits that the pertinent statutory deadlines are accurately set forth in Paragraph 14 of the Petition. The remainder of this Paragraph references sections of the 1996 Act, which speaks for itself. Accordingly, no response from BellSouth is required.
- 14. Paragraphs 15 through 19 of the Petition contain no factual allegations to which a response is required by BellSouth. These paragraphs contain an extensive rendition of the CLECs' view of the pertinent federal law, all of which speaks for itself. Accordingly, no response is required by BellSouth.
- Paragraphs do not contain factual allegations to which a response is required, but rather are composed of a list of the issues as framed by the CLECs, along with the CLECs' positions on the issues and some of BellSouth's positions on the issues. Prior to the filing of the Petition, CLECs identified certain issues in a timely manner. In each such instance, BellSouth provided to the CLECs its position on the issue, which was included in the issues matrix attached to the Petition. The parties also negotiated an agreed issue statement for most of these issues. The CLECs, however, also raised a substantial number of issues when there was so little time remaining before the statutory filing deadline that BellSouth was not able to provide its positions on these issues for inclusion in the matrix attached to the Petition. BellSouth has attached to this Answer (as Exhibit A) a modified matrix that reflects the current status of the issues identified in the Petition and the positions of both BellSouth and the CLECs. Each statement of an issue contained in the matrix has been agreed upon by the parties unless otherwise indicated. When

the agreed upon statement reflects a revision to the statement contained in the Petition, this is noted in the Attached Matrix. In the instances in which the parties do not agree on the particular statement of an issue, the matrix lists both BellSouth's statement and the CLECs'. A number of issues identified in the Petition have been resolved since the filing of the Petition. In each such instance, the issue number remains in the attached matrix (so that it matches the numbering of the matrix attached to the Petition), but the statement of the issue and the parties' respective positions have been replaced by a notation that the issue has been resolved.

- 16. The resolved and unresolved provisions of the Interconnection Agreement are accurately reflected in Exhibit B hereto. This exhibit may not reflect language agreed to or other changes that occurred in the last three business days before the filing of this Answer.
- 17. In response to Paragraph 22, BellSouth objects to the CLECs' request for a "discovery schedule" and to the other procedural requests to the extent they may conflict with the standard procedural practices of the Commission. With respect to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 22, BellSouth affirmatively states the Act speaks for itself and requires no response from BellSouth.
- 18. In response to Paragraph 23, BellSouth admits that the remaining unresolved issues require arbitration by the Commission.
- 19. BellSouth denies that the CLECs are entitled to the relief requested in the "Wherefore" clause of the Petition. BellSouth also states that the Commission should reject the CLECS' positions on each and every one of the issues set forth in the Petition and, instead, should adopt BellSouth's positions on each and every issue.
- 20. BellSouth notes that national and state telecommunications law and policy is in a state of flux and could potentially impact even those provisions of the parties' Interconnection

Agreement that are not currently in dispute. In the event changes and/or clarifications of the law impact the disputed and/or undisputed provisions of the parties' Interconnection Agreement (and the parties are unable to agree on how any such changes and/or clarifications are to be incorporated into the parties' Interconnection Agreement), BellSouth reserves the right to seek further redress from the Commission on those issues.

21. BellSouth denies each and every allegation in the Petition not expressly admitted herein, and demands strict proof thereof.

Respectfully submitted, this 8th day of March 2004.

DOROTHY). CHAMBERS

601 W. Chestnut Street, Room 407

P. O. Box 32410

Louisville, KY 40232

(502) 582-8219

R. DOUGLAS LACKEY
J. PHILLIP CARVER
BellSouth Center – Suite 4300
675 West Peachtree Street, N.E.
Atlanta, GA 30375
(404) 335-0710

COUNSEL FOR BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

529686

MATRIX OF UNRESOLVED ISSUES AND POSITIONS

BELL SOUTH POSITION. By The Parties have not discussed the definition for "End User" other than in the context of high-capacity EELs. Since the issue as stated by the CLECs and raised in the General Terms and Conditions of the Agreement has never been discussed by the Parties, the issue is not appropriate for arbitration. The term End User should be defined as it is customarily used in the industry; that is, the ultimate user of the	.e.
	telecommunications service.
ETIRCS (MAIN) Future amendments incorporating Commission-approved rates should be effective as of the effective date of the Commission order, if an amendment is requested within 30 calendar days of that date. Otherwise, such amendments should be effective 10 calendar days after request. The term "End User" should be defined as "the customer of a Party".	te
What should be the effective date of future rate impacting amendments? BellSouth Issue Statement: How should "End User" be defined for purposes of attachment? Of this Agreement? CLEC Issue Statement: How should "End User" be defined?	
1.6	i
LEM LS. 1 1 G-1 2 G-2 G-2	

BELLSOUTH POSITION	specific provisions in Attachments 3 and 7 addressing responsibility for billing records deficiencies. Therefore, this additional provision is unnecessary.	The industry standard limitation of liability should apply, which limits the liability of the provisioning party to a credit for the actual cost of the services or functions not performed or improperly performed. In as as as as as a service of the services or functions and the services or functions are as as as a service or functions as as a service or functions are as a service or functions and the services or functions are a service or functions and the services or functions are a services or functions and services or functions are a services or functions and services or functions are a services or functions
CLECPOSITION	YES, BellSouth should be financially liable for causing, failing to prevent, or contributing to unbillable or uncollectible CLEC revenue. A general provision complements the specific provisions contained in Attachments 3 and 7.	In cases other than gross negligence and willful misconduct by the other party, or other specified exemptions as set forth in CLECs' proposed language, liability should be limited to an aggregate amount over the entire term equal to 7.5% of the aggregate fees, charges or other amounts paid or payable for any and all services provided or to be provided pursuant to the Agreement as of the day immediately preceding the date of assertion or filing of the applicable claim or suit. CLECs' proposal represents a hybrid between limitation of liability provisions typically found in commercial contracts between sophisticated buyers and sellers, in the absence of overwhelming market dominance by one party, and the effective elimination of liability provision proposed by BellSouth.
UNRESOLVED ISSUE	Should the agreement contain a general provision providing that BellSouth shall take financial responsibility for its own actions in causing, or contributing to unbillable or uncollectible CLEC revenue in addition to specific provisions set forth in Attachments 3 and 7?	What should be the limitation on each Party's liability in circumstances other than gross negligence or willful misconduct?
8	10.2	10.4.1
frem Jesur No. #	3 G-3	4 Q-4

LIEM. NO.	ISSUE.	加州上条 中国	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLECPOSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
ς.	G-5	10.4.2	BellSouth Issue	NO, BellSouth should not be able to dictate	If a CLEC elects not to limit its liability
			Statement: If the CLEC	the terms of service between CLEC and its	to its end users/customers in accordance
			elects not to place in its	End Users by, among other things, holding	with industry norms, the CLEC should
			contracts with end users	CLEC liable for failing to mirror	bear the risk of loss arising from that
			and/or tariffs standard	BellSouth's limitation of liability and	business decision.
			industry limitations of	indemnification provisions in CLEC's End	
			liability, who should bear	User tariffs and/or contracts. To the extent	
			the risks that result from	that a Party does not, or is unable to, include	
			this business decision?	specific elimination-of-liability terms in all	
				of its tariffs and End User contracts (past,	
			CLEC Issue Statement:	present and future), and provided that the	
			Should each Party be	non-inclusion of such terms is commercially	
			required to include specific	reasonable, that Party should not be	
			liability-eliminating terms	required to indemnify and reimburse the	
			in all of its tariffs and End	other Party for that portion of the loss that	
	,		User contracts (past,	would have been limited had the first Party	
	-		present and future), and, to	included in its tariffs and contracts the	
			the extent that a Party does	elimination-of-liability terms that such other	
			not or is unable to do so,	Party included in its tariffs at the time of	
			should it be obligated to	such loss.	
			indemnify the other Party		
			for liabilities not		
			eliminated?		
9	9-5	1044	Roll Couth Issua	NO the Agreement by its	111.1.1.1
	,		Statement: How should	contemplates that End Users will be served	What damages constitute indirect, incidental or consequential damages is a
	<u>, </u>		indirect, incidental or	via the exchange of traffic through	matter of state law at the time of the
			consequential damages be	interconnection arrangements and through	claim and should not be dictated by a
			defined for purposes of the	the use of UNEs and Other Services	party to an agreement.
			Agreement?	purchased. Damages to End Users that	
				result directly and in a reasonably	
			CLEC Issue Statement:	foreseeable manner from BellSouth's (or	

BELLSOUTH POSTUON	The Party receiving services should indemnify the party providing services from (1) any claim loss or damages from claims for libel, slander or invasion of privacy arising from the content of the receiving party's own communications, or (2) any claim, loss or damage claimed by the end user of the Party receiving services arising out of the Agreement.
CLEC's) performance of obligations set forth in the Agreement that were not and are not directly and proximately caused by or are the result of CLEC's (or BellSouth's) failure to act at all relevant times in a commercially reasonable manner in compliance with CLEC's (or BellSouth's) duties of mitigation with respect to such damage should be considered direct under the Agreement for simple negligence purposes.	The Party providing service under the Agreement should be indemnified, defended and held harmless by the Party receiving services against any claim for libel, slander or invasion of privacy arising from the content of the receiving Party's own communications. Similarly, the Party receiving services under the Agreement should be indemnified, defended and held harmless by the Party providing services against any claims, loss or damage to the extent arising from: (1) the providing Party's failure to abide by Applicable Law, or (2) injuries or damages arising out of or in connection with this Agreement to the extent cased by the providing Party's
Should limitation on liability for indirect, incidental or consequential damages be construed to preclude liability for claims or suits for damages incurred by CLEC's (or BellSouth's) End Users to the extent such damages result directly and in a reasonably foreseeable manner from BellSouth's (or CLEC's) performance obligations set forth in the Agreement?	What should the indemnification obligations of the parties be under this Agreement?
	G-7 10.5
E d	

NO.	lssur #	\$	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLECPOSITION	BELL-SOUTH POSITION
				negligence, gross negligence or willful misconduct.	
∞	&-Q -8	11.1	What language should be included in the Agreement	Given the complexity of and variability in intellectual property law, this nine-state	Except for factual references to the BellSouth name as necessary to respond
			regarding a Party's use of	Agreement should simply state that no	to direct inquiries from customers or
			the other Party's name, service marks, logo and	patent, copyright, trademark or other proprietary right is licensed, granted or	potential customers regarding the source of the underlying services or the identity
			trademarks?	otherwise transferred by the Agreement and	of repair technicians, CLECs should not
				lilar a rarry's use of the other party's name, service mark and trademark should be in	be entitled to use BellSouth's name,
				accordance with Applicable Law. The	service mark, 10go or naucinars.
				Commission should not attempt to prejudge	
				intellectual property law issues, which at	
				BellSouth's insistence, the Parties have	
				agreed are best left to adjudication by courts	
				of law (see, GTC, Sec. 11.5).	
6	G-9	13.1	BellSouth Issue	YES, either Party should be able to petition	This Commission or the FCC should
			Statement: Should a party	the Commission, the FCC or a court of law	resolve disputes as to the interpretation
			be allowed to take a	for resolution of a dispute. Given the	of the Agreement or as to the proper
			dispute concerning the	difficulties experienced in achieving	implementation of the Agreement. A
			interpretation or	efficient regional dispute resolution, and the	party should be entitled to seek judicial
			implementation of any	ongoing debate as to whether state	review of any ruling made by the
			provision of the agreement	commissions have jurisdiction to enforce	Commission or the FCC concerning this
		,	to a Court of law for	agreements (CLECs do not dispute that	Agreement, but should not be entitled to
		1	resolution without first	authority) and as to whether the FCC will	take such disputes to a Court of law
			exhausting its	engage in such enforcement (or not), no	without first exhausting its
			administrative remedies?	legitimate dispute resolution venue should	administrative remedies.
				be foreclosed. There is no question that	
				courts of law have jurisdiction to entertain	
			CLEC Issue Statement:	such disputes (see GTC, Sec. 11.5); indeed,	
			Should a court of law be	in certain instances, they may be better	

HEM.	## #	\$.70 E	HI UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELL SOUTH POSITION
			included among the venues at which a Party may seek dispute resolution under the Agreement?	equipped to adjudicate a dispute and may provide a more efficient alternative to litigating in up to 9 different jurisdictions or to waiting for the FCC to decide whether it will or won't accept an enforcement role given the particular facts.	
10	G-10	17.4	This issue has been resolved.		
11	G-11	19, 19.1	This issue has been resolved.		
1		1	explicitly state that all existing state and federal laws, rules, regulations, and decisions apply unless otherwise specifically agreed to by the Parties?	construed to limit a Party's rights or exempt a Party from obligations under Applicable Law, as defined in the Agreement, except in such cases where the Parties have explicitly agreed to a limitation or exemption. This is a basic legal tenet and is consistent with	No. This Agreement constitutes the contractual obligations of the Parties to each other and should not be subject to further negotiation subsequent to being fully negotiated and arbitrated.
				both federal and Georgia law (agreed to by the parties), and it should be explicitly stated in the Agreement in order to avoid unnecessary disputes and litigation that has plagued the Parties in the past.	
13	G-13	32.3	How should the Parties deal with non-negotiated deviations from the state	Any non-negotiated deviations from ordered rates should be corrected by retroactive true-up to the effective date of the	Any non-negotiated deviations from ordered rates should be changed by amendment of the agreement upon
			Commission-approved rates in the rate sheets attached to the Agreement?	Agreement within 30 calendar days of the date the error was identified by either Party.	discovery by a party and should be applied prospectively regardless of whether the rate increases or decreases
14	G-14	34.2	Can either Party require,	NO, the Parties should not be permitted to	as a result of such amendment. YES. The Parties are free to negotiate

15 G-15 45.2 15 60.00	as a prerequisite to performance of its		
G-15 45.2 G-16 45.3	performance of its	hold performance hostage to terms not	with each other as they may with third
G-15 45.2 G-16 45.3		included in the Agreement and not	parties. Neither Party should use this
G-15 45.2 G-16 45.3	obligations under the	mandated by Applicable Law. More	agreement to interfere with a third
G-15 45.2 G-16 45.3	Agreement, that the other	specifically, neither Party should, as a	party's contractual rights and
G-15 45.2 G-16 45.3	Party adhere to any	condition or prerequisite to such Party's	obligations.
G-15 45.2 G-16 45.3	requirement other than	performance of its obligations under the	
G-15 45.2 G-16 45.3	those expressly stipulated	Agreement, impose or insist upon the other	
G-15 45.2 G-16 45.3	in the Agreement or	Party's (or any of its End Users') adherence	
G-15 45.2 G-16 45.3	mandated by Applicable	to any requirement or obligation other than	
G-15 45.2 G-16 45.3	Law?	as expressly stipulated in this Agreement or	
G-15 45.2 G-16 45.3		as otherwise mandated by Applicable Law.	
G-16 45.3	If BellSouth changes a	NO, if the contemplated change to one or	YES. BellSouth's Guides apply to all
G-16 45.3	provision of one or more of	more of BellSouth's Guides would cause	CLEC's equally. If BellSouth allows a
G-16 45.3	its Guides that would cause	CLEC to incur a material cost or expense to	CLEC the right to opt out of the
G-16 45.3	CLEC to incur a material	implement the change, BellSouth and CLEC	requirements of a Guide, the CLEC
G-16 45.3	cost or expense to	should negotiate an amendment to the	should notify BellSouth of its decision
G-16 45.3	implement the change,	Agreement to incorporate such change.	to do so.
G-16 45.3	should the CLEC notify		
G-16 45.3	BellSouth, in writing, if it		
G-16 45.3	does not agree to the		
G-16 45.3	change?		
Stat refer Agra Agra shov	BellSouth Issue	NO, unreasonable and/or discriminatory	If a service is purchased pursuant to a
refer Agri Shov to th	Statement: If a tariff is	revisions to BellSouth's tariffs should not	tariff that is referenced in the
Agra show to the Agra Agra	referenced in the	affect the obligations set forth in the	Agreement, the terms of that tariff at the
shou to the Agree	Agreement, what effect	Agreement. Specifically, to the extent that	time of the purchase should apply. This
to th	should subsequent changes	tariff changes are inconsistent with the	Commission already has procedures in
Agre	to the tariff have on the	provisions of the Agreement, or are	place pursuant to which BellSouth may
	Agreement?	unreasonable or discriminatory, they should	revise its tariffs, and pursuant to which a
		not supersede the Agreement. Such	CLEC, or any other party, may object to
	CLEC Issue Statement:	changes may only become part of the	such revisions. There should be no
Shoo	Should the obligations set	Agreement by written amendment	require-ment that tariff revisions that

ITEM NO.	# PSSITE	(7), 1	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLECPOSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
		,,,	forth in the Agreement be impacted by unreasonable and/or discriminatory revisions to BellSouth tariffs?	negotiated and/or arbitrated by the Parties.	occur after the Agreement becomes effective be incorporated into the Agreement by amendment.
				RESALE (ATTACHMENT 1)	
17	1-1	3.19	How much advance notice must BellSouth give CLEC before discontinuing a service or increasing the price of a resold service?	BellSouth must provide electronically to CLEC forty-five (45) days advance notice of changes to the prices, terms or conditions of services available for Resale, including but not limited to, discontinuances and price increases.	If a CLEC is under a commission requirement to provide notice to its end users of price increases or discontinuance of services, BellSouth should provide 10 days notice prior to the CLEC's obligation to provide notice to its end users
18	1-2	11.6.6	This issue has been resolved.		
			NETWO	VORK ELEMENTS (ATTACHMENT 2)	
19	2-1	1.1	This Issue has been resolved.		
20	2-2	1.2	This Issue has been resolved.		
21	2-3	1.4.2	This issue has been resolved.		
22	2-4	1.4.3	(A) Should CLEC be required to submit a	(A) NO, CLEC should be allowed to submit an LSR or ASR, as appropriate.	(A) No. A CLEC should be allowed to submit a spreadsheet consisting of

ITEM ISSUE No. #	8	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION.
		BFR/NBR to convert a		information that identifies the requested
·F.		UNE or Combination (or	(B) For such conversion, the non-recurring	circuits to be converted from a UNE or a
		part thereof) to other	charges should be as set forth in Exhibit A	UNE combination to a wholesale
		services or tariffed	of Attachment 2 or the relevant tariff, as	tariffed service. BellSouth should
		Bellsouth access services?	appropriate. In addition, such charges	accept a spreadsheet (and a
v		,	should be commensurate with the work	commingling ordered document that
	Ţ.,	(B) In the event of such	required to effectuate the conversion (cross	indicates which part is to be filled as a
		conversion, what rates	connect only, billing change/records update	UNE, if applicable) and convert the
		suoum uppiy:	omy, etc.).	transport from a UNE or UNE
				combination to wholesale tariffed
•				services in total or in part.
				(B) There should be no charge for the
		-		conversion itself, but other applicable
				charges should apply.
23 2-5	1.5	(A) In the event UNEs or	(A) In the event UNEs or Combinations are	(A) In the even UNEs or Combinations
		Combinations are no	no longer offered pursuant to, or are not in	are no longer offered pursuant to, or are
		longer offered pursuant to,	compliance with, the terms set forth in the	not in compliance with, the terms set
		or are not in compliance	Agreement, it should be BellSouth's	forth in the Agreement, it should be
		with, the terms set forth in	obligation to identify the specific service	CLEC's obligation to identify the
		this Agreement, which	arrangements that it insists be transitioned	specific service arrangements that must
		Party should bear the	to other services pursuant to Attachment 2.	be transitioned to other services
		obligation of identifying		pursuant to Attachment 2. CLEC
		those service	(B) If CLEC does not submit a rearrange or	should be responsible for ensuring it is
		arrangements?	disconnect order within 30 days, BellSouth	not violating the agreement.
			may disconnect such arrangements or	•
		(B) What recourse may	services without further notice, provided	(B) If orders to rearrange or disconnect
		BellSouth take if CLEC	that CLEC has not notified BellSouth of a	those arrangements or services are not
		does not submit a	dispute regarding the identification of	received by the thirty-first (31st)
		rearrange or disconnect	specific service arrangements as being no	calendar day after the Effective Date of
		order within 30 days?	longer offered pursuant to, or are not in	this Agreement, BellSouth may
			compliance with, the terms set forth in the	disconnect those arrangements or

Iren Issur No. #"	\$ 11 1 B	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLECPOSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
		(C) What rates, terms and conditions should apply in	Agreement.	services without further notice.
		the event of a termination,	(C) For arrangements that require a re-	(C) For arrangements that require a re-
	***	re-termination, or physical rearrangements of	termination or other physical rearrangement of circuits to comply with the terms of the	termination or other physical
		circuits?	Agreement, non-recurring charges for the	with the terms of this Agreement,
			applicable UNE or cross connect from Exhibit A of Attachment 2 charles confu	nonrecurring charges for the applicable
			Disconnect charges should not apply to	Attachment will apply. To the extent re-
		~	services that are being physically rearranged	termination or other physical
			or re-terminated.	rearrangement is required in order to comply with a tariff or separate
				agreement, the applicable rates, terms
		·		and conditions of such tariff or separate
				agreement shall apply. Applicable
				disconnect charges will apply to a
				UNE/Combination that is rearranged or disconnected.
\dashv	,			
9-7 +7	1.5.1	BellSouth Issue Statement: Should CLECs	NO, unless permitted under Applicable Law, BellSouth may not impose limitations	Yes, CLECs should follow applicable industry standards and BellSouth
		be required to follow	on CLEC's ability to access and use UNEs.	technical references in accessing UNEs
		applicable industry		For example, the FCC does not
		standards and BellSouth		prescribe the particular grounding
		Technical References when using UNEs?		requirements for a NID but rather leaves it to BellSouth and CLEC to follow
				industry technical standards.
		Should BellSouth be		
	•	entitled to impose		
		limitations on CLEC use of		
		United by		

ITEM ISSUE	18 mm	LINKESOLVED ISSUE	CLECPOSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
		Applicable Law?		
25 2-7	7 1.6.1	What rates, terms and conditions should apply for Routine Network Modifications pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 51.319(a)(8) and (e)(5)?	If BellSouth has anticipated such Routine Network Modifications and performs them during normal operations, then BellSouth should perform such Routine Network Modifications at no additional charge. If BellSouth has not anticipated a requested or necessary network modification as being a Routine Network Modification and, as such, has not recovered the costs of such Routine Network Modifications in the rates set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2, then BellSouth should notify CLEC of the required Routine Network Modification and should request that CLEC submit a Service Inquiry to have the work performed. Each unique request should be handled as a project on an individual case basis. BellSouth should provide a TELRIC-compliant price quote for the request, and upon receipt of a firm order from CLEC, BellSouth should perform the Routine Network Modification.	BellSouth will perform Routine Network Modifications in accordance with FCC 47 C.F.R. 51.319(a)(8) and (e)(5). Except to the extent expressly provided otherwise in Attachment 2, if BellSouth has anticipated such Routine Network Modifications and performs them during normal operations and has recovered the costs for performing such modifications through the rates set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2, then BellSouth shall perform such Routine Network Modifications at no additional charge. Routine Network Modifications shall be performed within the intervals established for the UNE and subject to the performance measurements and associated remedies set forth in Attachment 9 to the extent such Routine Network Modifications were anticipated in the setting of such intervals. If BellSouth has not anticipated a requested network modification as being a Routine Network Modification and has not recovered the costs of such Routine Network Modifications in the rates set forth in Exhibit A of this
				Attachment, then CLEC must submit a

FEEM NO.	ISSUE #	18 mm	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLECPOSITION.	BELL SOUTH POSITION
					service inquiry (SI) to have the work performed. Each request will be handled as a project on an individual case basis. BellSouth will provide a price quote for the request, and upon receipt of payment from CLEC, BellSouth shall perform the Routine Network Modification.
56	2-8	1.7	Should BellSouth be required to commingle UNEs or Combinations with any service, network element or other offering that it is obligated to make available pursuant to Section 271 of the Act?	YES, BellSouth should be required to commingle UNEs or Combinations with any service, network element, or other offering that it is obligated to make available pursuant to Section 271 of the Act.	No, consistent with the FCC's errata to the Triennial Review Order, there is no requirement to commingle UNEs or combinations with services, network elements or other offerings under Section 271 of the Act.
27	2-9	1.8.3	When multiplexing equipment is attached to a commingled circuit, should the multiplexing equipment be billed per the jurisdictional authorization (Agreement or tariff) of the lower or higher bandwidth service?	When multiplexing equipment is attached to a commingled circuit, the multiplexing equipment should be billed from the same jurisdictional authorization (Agreement or tariff) as the lower bandwidth service.	When multiplexing equipment is attached to a commingled circuit, the multiplexing equipment should be billed from the same jurisdictional authorization (Agreement or tariff) as the higher bandwidth service. The central office Channel Interface should be billed from the same jurisdictional authorization as the lower-level jurisdiction.
28	2-10	1.9.4	Should the recurring charges for UNEs, Combinations and Other	YES, the recurring charges for UNEs, Combinations, and Other Services should be prorated based upon the number of days that	No, the recurring charges for UNEs, Combinations, and Other Services should be prorated based upon the

Now	3 *	南非常多等的	UNRESOLVED INSUE	CLECPOSITION	BELLSOUTHPOSITION
4			Services be prorated based upon the number of days that the UNEs are in service?	the UNEs, Combinations, and Other Services are in service.	number of days that the UNEs, Combinations, and Other Services are in service after a minimum period of service has expired.
29	2-11	2.1.1	This issue has been resolved.		
30	2-12	2.1.1.1	Should the Agreement include a provision declaring that facilities that terminate to another carrier's switch or premises, a cell cite, Mobile Switching Center or base station do not constitute loops?	NO, the Agreement should not include a provision declaring that facilities that terminate to another carrier's switch or premises, a cell site, Mobile Switching Center, or base station do not constitute loops. Such a provision would be inconsistent with the FCC's Triennial Review Order.	Yes. By the FCC's definition, a loop terminates at the End User's customer premises, not a cell site, carrier's switch/premises, mobile switching center or base station.
31	2-13	2.1.1.2	BellSouth Issue Statement: Should BellSouth be required to unbundled the low frequency portion of the loop? CLEC Issue Statement: Should the Agreement require CLEC to purchase the entire bandwidth of a Loop, even in cases where	NO, CLEC should not be required to purchase the entire bandwidth of a Loop, except where required by Applicable Law.	Yes. CLEC should be required to purchase the entire bandwidth of a Loop. In paragraph 270 of the TRO, the FCC specifically denied an effort to separate the bandwidth into upper and lower bands. Moreover, this issue is not appropriate for arbitration in this proceeding because it involves a request by the CLECs that is not encompassed within BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.

		such purchase is not required by Applicable		
		Law?		
32 2-14	2.1.2,	(A) Should the Agreement	(A) YES, the Agreement should contain	(A) No, the Agreement should recognize
	2.1.2.1,	contain provisions	provisions categorizing loops as either mass	that the FCC in the TRO identified two
	2.1.2.2	categorizing loops as	market loops or enterprise market loops.	categories of markets that would use
		or enterprise market	(B) Such provisions should state that there	coops at different bandwidths and auantities. It is not necessary to
		loops?	are two categories of UNE loops, namely,	categorize loops into those that are
			Mass Market Loops and Enterprise Loops.	available in the "mass market" and those
		(B) If so, what should such	The provisions should further define Mass	that are available in the "enterprise
		provisions say?	Market Loops as loops that deliver narrow-	market" and indeed to do so simply adds
			band service, such as POTS, facsimile	ambiguity to the Agreement. Pursuant
			services and DS0 level services as well as	to the Agreement, and in accordance
			broadband services such as DSL services to	with the FCC's rules, (which do not
			residential and very small business	make reference to the "mass market"
-11			customers. In addition, there should be a	and the "enterprise market"), BellSouth
			provision listing the three types of Mass	will offer the loops that the FCC has
			Market Loops: copper loops, fiber-to-the-	ordered.
			home loops, and hybrid fiber/copper loops.	
			i	(B) There are two (2) markets within
			The provision should define Enterprise	which Loops are provisioned: Mass
			Market Loops as loops that deliver narrow-	Markets and Enterprise Markets.
			band and broadband services to small,	
			medium and large-sized businesses.	
			Similarly, there should be a provision	
	•		setting forth that Enterprise Loops,	
			including DS1, DS-3/STS loops, and dark	
		•	fiber loops are not subject to any of the	
			restrictions applicable to Mass Market	
			Loops, regardless of the transmission	

Men.	## #	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
				medium over which they are provided.	
33	2-15	2.2.3	Is unbundling relief provided under FCC Rule 319(a)(3) applicable to Fiber-to-the-Home Loops	NO, the unbundling relief provided under FCC Rule 319(a)(3) is only applicable to Fiber-to-the-Home Loops deployed on or after October 2, 2003 (the effective date of	Yes, the FCC found that for Fiber-to- the-Home (FTTH) there is no impairment on a national basis and did not make this decision contingent upon
			deployed prior to October 2, 2003?	the FCC's Triennial Review Order).	a deployment date.
34	2-16	2.3.3	This Issue has been resolved.		
35	2-17	2.4.3,	(A) What rates should	TELRIC-compliant rates to be approved by	(A) The trouble determination charge
		7. 4.	apply to testing and dispatch performed by	the Commission and incorporated in Exhibit A of Attachment 2 should apply to testing	from the applicable tariff should apply.
			BellSouth in response to a CLEC trouble report when	and dispatch performed by BellSouth in response to a CLEC trouble report and in	(B) The trouble determination charge from the applicable tariff should apply.
	— «.		no trouble is ultimately found to exist?	order to confirm the working status of a UNE Loop.	
			(B) What rate should apply when BellSouth is required to disparch to and user		
		***************************************	location more than once due to incorrect or		
			incomplete information?		
			[Issue restated by agreement of the parties 3/8/04]		
36	2-18	2.12.1	(A) How should line	(A) Line Conditioning should be defined in	(A) Line Conditioning is defined as

No.	######################################	usi	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION.
			conditioning be defined in	the Agreement as set forth in FCC Rule 47	routine network modification that
			the Agreement?	CFR 51.319 (a)(1)(iii)(A).	BellSouth regularly undertakes to provide xDSL services to its own
			(B) What should	(B) BellSouth should perform line	customers.
			BellSouth's obligations be	conditioning in accordance with FCC Rule	
			with respect to line	47 C.F.R. 51.319(a)(1)(iii). Insofar as it is	(B) BellSouth should perform line
			conditioning?	technically feasible, BellSouth should test	conditioning functions as defined in 47
				and report troubles for all the features,	C.F.R. $51.319(a)(1)(iii)$ to the extent the
				functions, and capabilities of conditioned	function is a routine network
				copper lines, and may not restrict its testing	modification that BellSouth regularly
				to voice transmission only.	undertakes to provide xDSL to its own
27	2 10	000	D HC O T		customers.
'n	61-7	7.17.7	Beusouth Issue	NO, the agreement should not contain	Yes, current industry technical standards
			Statement: Should the	specific provisions limiting the availability	require the placement of load coils on
			Agreement contain specific	of Line Conditioning to copper loops of	copper loops greater than 18,000 feet in
			provisions limiting the	18,000 feet or less in length.	length to support voice service and
			availability of load coil		BellSouth does not remove them for
		74	removal to copper loops of		BellSouth retail end users on copper
			18,000 feet or less?		loops of over 18,000 feet in length;
			1		therefore, such a modification would not
			CLEC Issue Statement:		constitute a routine network
			Should the Agreement		modification and is not required by the
			contain specific provisions		FCC.
			limiting the availability of		
		·-	Line Conditioning to		
			copper loops of 18,000 feet		
			or less?		
38	2-20	2.12.3,	Under what rates, terms	Any copper loop being ordered by CLEC	For any copper loop being ordered by
		2.12.4	and conditions should	which has over 6,000 feet of combined	CLEC which has over 6,000 feet of
			BellSouth be required to	bridged tap will be modified, upon request	combined bridged tap will be modified,

ITEM NO.	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	X	LINESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELL SOUTH POSITION
			perform Line Conditioning	from CLEC, so that the loop will have a	upon request from CLEC, so that the
		 	to remove bridged taps?	maximum of 6,000 feet of bridged tap. This	loop will have a maximum of 6,000 feet
				modification will be performed at no	of bridged tap. This modification will
				additional charge to CLEC. Line	be performed at no additional charge to
				conditioning orders that require the removal	CLEC. Line conditioning orders that
				of other bridged tap should be performed at	require the removal of bridged tap that
				the rates set forth in Exhibit A of	serves no network design purpose on a
				Attachment 2.	copper loop that will result in a
					combined level of bridged tap between
					2,500 and 6,000 feet will be performed
					at the rates set forth in Exhibit A of this
-		-11			Attachment. CLEC may request
					removal of any unnecessary and non-
<u> </u>		,,,			excessive bridged tap (bridged tap
					between 0 and 2,500 feet which serves
					no network design purpose), at rates
	•				pursuant to BellSouth's Special
					Construction Process contained in
					BellSouth's FCC No. 2 as mutually
					agreed to by the Parties. BellSouth is
					only required to perform line
· .					conditioning that it performs for its own
					xDSL customers and is not required to
		-			create a superior network for CLECs.
					Moreover, this issue is not appropriate
					for arbitration in this proceeding
-					because it involves a request by the
					CLECs that is not encompassed within
					BellSouth's obligations pursuant to
ć		,		The state of the s	Section 251 of the Act.
36	2-21	2.12.6	Issue	(A) NO, CLEC should not be barred from	(A) No, modification of a Loop in such
			Statement:	requesting Line Conditioning that would	a way that it no longer meets the

N S	IN THE		- UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
			(A) Should BellSouth be	result in the modification of a Loop in such	technical parameters of the original
			required to modify a loop	a way that it no longer meets the technical	Loop is against industry technical
			in such a way that it no	parameters of the original Loop.	standards and since BellSouth would not
			longer meets the technical		do this for BellSouth retail End Users
			parameters of the original	(B) YES, the resulting modified Loop	this Line Conditioning would not fit the
			Loop?	should be maintained as a non-service-	FCC's definition described in paragraph
<u>-</u>			,	specific Unbundled Copper Loop.	643 of the TRO. BellSouth is only
			(B) If so, should the		required to perform line conditioning
			resulting modified Loop be		that it performs for its own xDSL
			maintained as a non-		customers and is not required to create a
			service -specific		superior network for CLECs.
			Unbundled Copper Loop?		•
					(B) Not applicable as modification of
			CLEC Issue Statement:		the Loop to this extent does not meet the
			(A) Should the Agreement		FCC's definition of Line Conditioning.
			contain a provision barring		Moreover, this issue is not appropriate
			Line Conditioning that		for arbitration in this proceeding
		,	would result in the		because it involves a request by the
			modification of a Loop in		CLECs that is not encompassed within
,			such a way that it no		BellSouth's obligations mirsuant to
			longer meets technical		Section 251 of the Act
			parameters of the original		
			Loop?		
			17 F1 1- 70 31 (Q)		
			(D) If not, should the		
			maintained as a non		
			mannana as a non-		
			service -specific		
			Unbundled Copper Loop?		
40	2-22	2.14.3.1.1	Should BellSouth be	YES, the Commission should order	To the extent a State Commission has
					to the earth a drain Commission has

<u> 1</u>	₽ 2 *	8.5	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLECPOSITION	BULLSOUTH POSITION
			required to allow CLEC to	BellSouth to allow CLEC to connect its	ruled on this issue, BellSouth will, of
			connect its Loops directly	Loops directly to BellSouth's multi-line	course, comply with that ruling.
			residential NID enclosures	residential NID enclosures that have spare ferminations available	Otherwise, no, BellSouth should only be
			that have inactive loops		Loops directly to BellSouth's multi-line
			attached?		residential NID enclosures that have
			[Issue restated by agreement of		spare terminations available.
			the parties. 3/8/04]		
41	2-23	2.16.2.2,	Issue 41(A) has been	(B) YES, BellSouth's legal obligation to	(B) No. BellSouth will not control
		2.16.2.3.1-	resolved.	provide UNTW applies even where the	UNTW in every case in which it leases
		5,		premises wiring is leased.	UNTW; however, to the extent
		2.16.2.3.7-			BellSouth does control the UNTW as a
		12	to provide UNTW apply	(C) NO, to the extent BellSouth would	result of the lease, BellSouth will be
		·-	when such premise wiring	install new or additional UNTW beyond	obligated to provide access due to its
			is leased? (2.16.2.2,	existing UNTW upon request from one of	control of the UNTW.
			2.16.2.3.1)	its own End Users, or is otherwise required	
				to do so in order to comply with FCC or	(C) No. BellSouth is not obligated to
			BellSouth Issue Statement	Commission rules and orders, BellSouth	build a network for CLECs. Moreover.
			(C-E):	should be obligated to provide access to	the FCC's definition of routine network
			(C) Should BellSouth be	such new or additional UNTW beyond	modifications does not include the
			required to install new	existing UNTW.	construction of a network.
			network terminating wire		
			for the use of the CLEC?	(D) CLEC should not be required to	(D) Yes. CLEC should ensure that the
			(2.16.2.3.2)	"ensure" that a customer that has asked to	pair it intends to use is not active:
				switch service to CLEC is no longer using	otherwise it will disconnect the End
	-		(D) Should the CLEC be	another carrier's service on a particular pair.	User's service.
			responsible for ensuring	Rather, a provision obligating CLEC to use	
			that a customer that has	commercially reasonable efforts to access	(E) No. BellSouth is installing the
			asked to switch service to	only an "available pair" should be	terminal at the request of, and upon the
			the CLEC is no longer	sufficient.	authorization obtained by, the CLEC.

BELLSOUTH POSITION	ability to recover the costs of removal of the terminal which it would otherwise	be unable to recover. Alternatively, BellSouth should be entitled to bill for the costs of removal upon installation of	the terminal.														
CLECPOSITION	(E) YES, there should be a time limit on reimbursement obligations. Specifically,	CLEC should be responsible for costs associated with removing access terminals and restoring the property to its original	state only when the property owner objects to and demands removal of access terminal installations that are in progress or within	thirty (30) calendar days of completion.													
CIRM ISSUE	service, or another carrier's service on that	pair? (E) Should a time limit be	placed on the CLEC's obligation to reimburse costs associated with	removing access terminals and restoring the property	to its original state (upon request of property	owner)? (2.16.2.3.7)	CLEC Issue Statement (C-E):	(C) Should the obligation	to provide access to UNTW be limited to existing	UNTW? (2.16.2.3.2)	(D) Should CLECs have to	agree to language that reauires them to "ensure"	that a customer that has	asked to switch service to	CLEC is already no longer	using another carrier's	service on that pair – or – will language obligating

	Subsequent to CLEC acceptance of Dark Fiber, BellSouth should allow the CLEC access to the Dark Fiber at its end points for testing. If a Dark Fiber trouble occurs thereafter, the CLEC should report the trouble to BellSouth and BellSouth will isolate and correct the trouble.
	Subsequent to Dark Fiber, Be CLEC access t points for testi trouble occurs should report th and BellSouth the trouble.
	YES, BellSouth should be required to provide access to Dark Fiber Loops for test access and testing at any technically feasible point, the termination point within a serving wire center, and CLEC's End User's premises.
CLEC to use commercially reasonable efforts to access only an "available pair" suffice? (2.16.2.3.5) (E) Should a time limit be placed on the obligation to reimburse costs associated with removing access terminals and restoring the property to its original state (per request of property owner)? (2.16.2.3.7)	Should BellSouth be required to provide access to Dark Fiber Loops for test access and testing at any technically feasible point?
	2.17.3.5
	2-24
	42

A CO	Z *	电影影響	KERM KSUB-LUT STATE UNRESOLVED ISSUE.	CLECTOR TON	BELLSOUTH POSITION
43	2-25	2.18.1.4	BellSouth Issue Statement: Under what circumstances should BellSouth be required to provide CLEC with Loop Makeup information on a facility used or controlled by another CLEC? CLEC Issue Statement: Under what circumstances should BellSouth provide CLEC Loop Makeup information?	BellSouth should provide CLEC Loop Makeup information on a particular loop upon request by CLEC. Such access should not be contingent upon receipt of an LOA from a third party carrier.	BellSouth should provide CLEC Loop Makeup information on a facility used or controlled by another CLEC only upon receipt of an LOA authorizing the release of that information from the CLEC using the facility.
4	2-26	3.6.5	This Issue has been resolved.		
45	2-27	3.10.3	What should be CLEC's indemnification obligations under a line splitting arrangement?	If CLEC is purchasing line splitting, and it is not the data provider, CLEC should indemnify, defend and hold harmless BellSouth from and against any claims, losses, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, damages, injury, and costs (including reasonable attorney fees) reasonably arising or resulting from the actions taken by the data provider in connection with the line splitting arrangement, except to the extent caused by BellSouth's gross negligence or willful misconduct.	If CLEC is not the data provider, CLEC shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless BellSouth from and against any claims, losses, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, damages, injury, and costs including reasonable attorney fees, which arise out of actions related to the data provider.
46	2-28	3.10.4	BellSouth Issue	(A) YES, in cases where CLEC purchases	This issue (including all subparts) is not

ITEM ISSUE	LE UNRESOLVED ISSUE	The State of the Postmon	BELLSOUTH POSITION
	Statement:	UNEs from BellSouth, BellSouth should not	appropriate for arbitration in this
	(A) In cases where in	refuse to provide DSL transport or DSL	
	which a CLEC purchases	services (of any kind) to CLEC and its End	by the CLECs that is not encompassed
	UNEs from BellSouth,	Users, unless BellSouth has been expressly	within BellSouth's obligations pursuant
	should BellSouth be	permitted to do so by the Commission.	to Section 251 of the Act.
	required to provide DSL		
	transport or DSL services	(B) YES, where BellSouth provides such	(A) No. BellSouth should not be
	(of any kind) to CLEC and	transport or services to CLEC and its End	required to provide DSL transport or
	its End Users?	Users, BellSouth should be required to do	DSL services over UNEs to CLEC and
		so without charge until such time as it	its End Users as BellSouth's DSLAMs
	(B) If so, what rates, terms	produces an amendment proposal and the	are not subject to unbundling. The FCC
	and conditions should	Parties amend this Agreement to	specifically stated in paragraph 288 of
	apply?	incorporate terms that are no less favorable,	the TRO that they would "not require
		in any respect, than the rates, terms and	incumbent LECs to provide unbundled
-	(C) To the extent the	conditions pursuant to which BellSouth	access to any electronics or other
	obligation to provide DSL	provides such transport and services to any	equipment used to transmit packetized
-	does not arise pursuant to	other entity.	information."
	§ 251 of the Act and	•	
	BellSouth is willing to offer		(B) BellSouth elects to offer these
	these services in		services to CLEC, they should be
•	compliance with		pursuant to a separately negotiated
	Commission requirements		commercial agreement between the
	pursuant to a separate		parties or a tariff, and should not be
	agreement or tariff, should		subject to arbitration in this proceeding
	the obligations of the		as they are not services required
	parties be included in this		pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.
	agreement?		
***			(C) No. This agreement is an agreement
	CLEC Issue Statement:		pursuant to Section 251 of the Act and it
	(A) In cases where CLEC		is not appropriate to require services,
	purchases UNEs from		not mandated pursuant to Section 251,
	BellSouth, should		to be included in this Agreement.

3 O 5 Z	NO. THE	新维斯多	CORRESOLVED INSULA	CLECPOSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
		_	BellSouth be required not		
			to refuse to provide DSL		
			transport or DSL services		
			(of any kind) to CLEC and		
			its End Users, unless		
			BellSouth has been		
			expressly permitted to do		
			so by the Commission?		
			(B) Where BellSouth		
	-		provides such transport or		
			services to CLEC and its		
			End Users, should		
			BellSouth be required to do		
	, <u></u>		so without charge until		
		-	such time as it produces an		
			amendment proposal and		
			the Parties amend this		
			Agreement to incorporate		
			terms that are no less		
			favorable, in any respect,		
			than the rates, terms and		
		-nn	conditions pursuant to		
-			which BellSouth provides		
			such transport and services		
		<u></u>	to any other entity?		
47	2-29	4.2.2	This Issue has been		
			resolved.		
48	2-30	4.5.5	This Issue has been		

ITEM NO. 1	EST.		LONRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELL SOUTH POSITION
			resolved.		
49	2-31	5.2.4	Under what conditions, if any, may BellSouth deny or delay a CLEC request to convert a circuit to a high capacity EEL?	BellSouth may not deny or delay CLEC's request for a high-capacity EEL based upon its own assessment of compliance with eligibility criteria. However, BellSouth may notify CLEC when it detects an order that it does not believe complies with the eligibility criteria. CLEC will then have the option of proceeding with, modifying or canceling such order.	BellSouth should have the right to clarify the order back to CLEC rather than processing the order should the BellSouth representative identify that a service eligibility criteria has been violated.
50	2-32	5.2.5.2.1-7	BellSouth Issue Statement: Should the service eligibility criteria for high capacity EELs apply only to circuits provided to end users or to any CLEC customer? CLEC Issue Statement: Should the high capacity EEL eligibility criteria use the term "customer", as used in the FCC's rules, or "End User"?	The high capacity EEL eligibility criteria should be consistent with those set forth in the FCC's rules and should use the term "customer", as used in the FCC's rules. Use of the term "End User" may result in a deviation from the FCC rules to which CLECs are unwilling to agree.	The high capacity EEL eligibility criteria apply only to End User circuits since a loop is a component of the EEL and the FCC definition of a loop requires that it terminate to an "enduser" customer premises.
51	2-33	5.2.6, 5.2.6.1, 5.2.6.2, 5.2.6.2,	(A) How often, and under what circumstances, should BellSouth be able to audit CLEC's records to verify	(A) BellSouth may, no more frequently than on an annual basis, and only based upon cause, conduct a limited audit of CLEC's records in order to verify	(A) BellSouth may, on an annual basis, audit in order to verify compliance with the qualifying service eligibility criteria.

ITEM ISSUE No. #	E	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
	5.2.6.2.3	compliance with the high	compliance with the high capacity EEL	(B) No, a notice requirement is not
1	·-	capacity EEL service eligibility criteria?	service eligibility criteria.	required by the FCC's TRO.
			(B) YES, to invoke its limited right to	(C) The audit shall be conducted by an
		(B) Should there be a	audit, BellSouth should send a Notice of	independent auditor, and the auditor
		notice requirement for	Audit to CLEC, identifying the particular	must perform its evaluation in
~		BellSouth to conduct an	circuits for which BellSouth alleges non-	accordance with the standards
		audit and what should the	compliance and the cause upon which	established by the American Institute for
		notice include?	BellSouth rests its allegations. The Notice	Certified Public Accountants (AICPA).
			of Audit should also include all supporting	The auditor will perform an
		(C) Who should conduct	documentation upon which BellSouth	"examination engagement" and issue an
		the audit and how should	establishes the cause that forms the basis of	opinion regarding CLEC's compliance
		the audit be performed?	BellSouth's allegations of noncompliance.	with the qualifying service eligibility
			Such Notice of Audit should be delivered to	criteria. The independent auditor's
			CLEC with all supporting documentation no	report will conclude whether CLEC has
-			less than thirty (30) days prior to the date	complied in all material respects with
			upon which BellSouth seeks to commence	the applicable service eligibility criteria.
			an audit.	Consistent with standard auditing
				practices, such audits require
			(C) The audit should be conducted by a	compliance testing designed by the
			third party independent auditor mutually	independent auditor, which typically
			agreed-upon by the Parties and retained and	include an examination of a sample
			paid for by BellSouth. The audit should	selected in accordance with the
			commence at a mutually agreeable location	independent auditor's judgment.
			(or locations) no sooner than thirty (30)	
			days after the parties have reached	
			agreement on the auditor. In addition, the	
			audit should be performed in accordance	
			with the standards established by the	
			American Institute for Certified Public	
*			Accountants (AICPA) which will require	
			the auditor to perform an "examination	

E S	ITEM LESTEN		UNRESOLVED ISSUE	THE TENED TO STATE OF THE PARTY	BELLSOUTH POSITION AND PARTY
				engagement" and issue an opinion regarding CLEC's compliance with the high capacity EEL eligibility criteria. AICPA standards and other requirements related to determining the independence of an auditor will govern the audit of requesting carrier compliance. The concept of materiality should govern this audit; the independent auditor's report should conclude whether or the extent to which CLEC complied in all material respects with the applicable service eligibility criteria. Consistent with standard auditing practices, such audits should require compliance testing designed by the independent auditor, which typically includes an examination of a sample selected in accordance with the independent auditor's judgment.	
22	2-34	5.2.8	Under what circumstances should CLEC be required to reimburse BellSouth for the cost of the independent auditor? [Issue restated by agreement of the Parties. 3/8/04]	As expressly set forth in the FCC's Triennial Review Order, in the event the auditor's report concludes that CLEC did not comply in all material respects with the service eligibility criteria, CLEC shall reimburse BellSouth for the cost of the independent auditor.	As expressly set forth in the FCC's Triennial Review Order, in the event the auditor's report concludes that CLEC failed to comply in all material respects with the service eligibility criteria (meaning that CLEC must have complied with each and every one of the service eligibility criteria and actually be entitled to the EEL), CLEC shall reimburse BellSouth for the cost of the independent auditor.
53	2-35	6.1.1	This issue has been resolved.		

May.	DSG:	対象を	The Control of the Court of the	CLECPOSITION	BELL-SOUTH POSITION
54	2-36	6.1.1.1	This issue has been resolved.		
55	2-37	6.4.2	What terms should govern CLEC access to test and splice Dark Fiber Transport?	CLEC should be able to splice and test Dark Fiber Transport obtained from BellSouth at any technically feasible point, using CLEC or CLEC-designated personnel. BellSouth must provide appropriate interfaces to allow splicing and testing of Dark Fiber.	BellSouth shall provide appropriate interfaces to allow testing of Dark Fiber. The FCC in its TRO has defined splicing of cable as a routine network modification that is required to be performed by BellSouth, not the CLEC. Subsequent to CLEC acceptance of Dark Fiber, BellSouth should allow the CLEC access to the Dark Fiber at its end points for testing. If a Dark Fiber trouble occurs thereafter, the CLEC should report the trouble to BellSouth and BellSouth will isolate and correct the trouble.
56	2-38	7.2,	Should BellSouth's obligation to provide signaling link transport and SS7 interconnection at TELRIC-based rates be limited to circumstances in which BellSouth is required to provide and is providing to CLEC unbundled access to Local Circuit Switching?	NO, BellSouth's obligation to provide signaling link transport and SS7 interconnection at TELRIC-based rates should not be limited to circumstances in which BellSouth is required to provide and is providing to CLEC unbundled access to Local Circuit Switching.	Yes. The FCC in its TRO clearly stated that this should be the case in that "competitive LECs are no longer impaired without access to the incumbent LECs' signaling network as a UNE."
57	2-39	7.4	BellSouth Issue Statement:	YES, the Parties should be obligated to perform CNAM queries and pass such	This issue (including all subparts) is not appropriate for arbitration in this

No.	HSSI #	* THE STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TW	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
<u></u>					services are provided in conjunction
					with local exchange, toll and other
					telecommunications services."
			•		(Footnote 1692 TRO). Only through
					jurisdictional factors would the proper
					rates be applied to the various call
ì		,		The state of the s	volumes.
66	7-4	14.1	What terms should govern	BellSouth must provide CLEC with	BellSouth must provide CLEC with
			BellSouth's obligation to	nondiscriminatory access to operations	nondiscriminatory access to operations
			provide access to OSS?	support systems on an unbundled basis, in	support systems on an unbundled basis,
				accordance with 47 CFR 51.319(g) and as	in accordance with 47 CFR 51.319(g) as
				set forth in Attachment 6. Operations	such obligations have been negotiated
				support system ("OSS") functions consist of	by the parties and memorialized in
				pre-ordering, ordering, provisioning,	Attachment 6 and elsewhere in the
		***		maintenance and repair, and billing	agreement. Operations support systems
				functions supported by BellSouth's	("OSS") functions consist of pre-
				databases and information. BellSouth, as	ordering, ordering, provisioning,
<u></u> -				part of its duty to provide access to the pre-	maintenance and repair, and billing
	-			ordering function, must provide CLEC with	functions supported by BellSouth's
				nondiscriminatory access to the same	database and information. BellSouth, as
				detailed information about the loop that is	part of its duty to provide access to the
				available to BellSouth.	pre-ordering function, must provide
					CLEC with non-discriminatory access to
					the same detailed information about the
					loop that is available to BellSouth.
			INTER	RCONNECTION (ATTACHMENT3)	
09	3-1	3.3.4	BellSouth Issue	YES, in the event that a Party's Point of	Yes. Pursuant to the language that the
		(KMC,	Statement: How should	Presence is located within any serving wire	Parties have agreed to in Section 3.2 of
		NSC,	the CLEC be permitted to	center (i.e., switch location), such Party may	Attachment 3, BellSouth will permit the
		NVX)	connect to BellSouth's	interconnect to the other Party's switch via	CLEC to interconnect to BellSouth's
		3.3.3	switch?	a Cross Connect or any other technically	network at any technically feasible point

BELLSOUTH POSITION.	as defined by applicable FCC and Commission rules and orders. A Cross Connect may not always be technically feasible, such as in the instance that the CLEC's switch and the BellSouth switch are located in two different office separated by many miles.	(A) BellSouth's definition of global outage is an outage consisting of an entire trunk group.(B) BellSouth should provide a written root cause analysis for global outages, but not for other outages.	(C)(1) No reports should be required for outages other than global outages.	(C)(2) The target interval for root cause analysis on global outages should be 10-30 days.		
CLEC POSITION	feasible means of interconnection.	 (B) YES, upon request, BellSouth should provide a written root cause analysis report for all global outages, and for any trunk group outage that has occurred 3 or more times in a 60 day period. (C) BellSouth should use best efforts to provide global outage and trunk group 	outage root cause analysis reports within five (5) business days of request.			
UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC Issue Statement: Should CLEC be permitted to connect to BellSouth's switch via a Cross Connect or any other technically feasible means of interconnection?	BellSouth Issue Statement [Issue 61(A) only]: (A) What is the definition of a global outage? (B) Should BellSouth be required to provide upon request, for any trunk	group outage that has occurred 3 or more times in a 60 day period, a	written root cause analysis report? (C)(1) What target	interval should apply for the delivery of such reports?	(C) (2) What target interval should apply for reports related to global
近の地震を	XSP)	9.6 (KMC), 9.6 (NSC), 9.6 (NVX, XSP)				
ITEM SSUE No. #		9-2				

THE STATE OF THE SOLVED ISSUE TO THE CLECTOSITION TO THE BOSITION	Untages? [The CLECs agree to the restated issues 61(B),		Issue restated by agreement of the parties, 3/8/04] such data within said time period, then the Party failing to send the specified data should be liable to the other Party in an amount equal to the unbillable or uncollectible revenues	10.10.6 BellSouth Issue In the event that a terminating third party (KMC), Statement: Under what terms should CLEC be costs for the delivery of Transit Traffic originated by CLEC, CLEC should climburse BellSouth for amounts (NSC), BellSouth pays to third BellSouth pays to third Statement: Under what carrier imposes on BellSouth any charges or costs for the delivery of Transit Traffic originated by CLEC, CLEC should reimburse BellSouth for all charges paid by BellSouth for third In the event that a terminating third In the event that a terminating third In the event that a terminating third Charges or costs for the delivery of Transit Traffic CLEC should reimburse BellSouth for all charges paid by BellSouth. BellSouth pays to third In the event that a terminating third Charges or costs for the delivery of Transit Traffic Transit Traffic originated by CLEC, CLEC should reimburse BellSouth for all charges paid by BellSouth.
			10.12.4 (XSP)	10.10.6 (KMC), 10.8.6 (NSC), 10.8.6 (NVX),
LITEM LISSEN		62 3-3	,	63 3-4

BELL SOUTH POSITION	No, in the event that negotiations and audits fail to resolve disputes between the Parties regarding the appropriate factor, either Party may seek Dispute Resolution as set forth in the General Terms and Conditions. While such a dispute is pending, factors calculated by the terminating Party should be utilized, unless the Parties mutually agree otherwise.	Yes, BellSouth is not obligated to provide the transit function and the CLEC has the right pursuant to the Act to request direct interconnection to other carriers. Additionally, BellSouth incurs costs beyond those for which the
BellSouth should diligently review, dispute and pay such third party invoices (or equivalent) in a manner that is at parity with its own practices for reviewing, disputing and paying such invoices (or equivalent) when no similar reimbursement provision applies.	While such a dispute over jurisdiction factors is pending, factors reported by the originating Party should remain in place, unless the Parties mutually agree otherwise. [This position statement was changed at the request of the CLECs]	NO, BellSouth should not be permitted to impose upon CLEC a Tandem Intermediary Charge ("TIC") for the transport and termination of Local Transit Traffic and ISP-Bound Transit Traffic. The TIC is a non-TELRIC based additive charge which
CLEC Issue Statement: Under what terms should CLEC be obligated to reimburse BellSouth for amounts BellSouth pays to third party carriers that terminate BellSouth transited/CLEC originated traffic?	While a dispute over jurisdictional factors is pending, what factors should apply in the interim? [Issue restated by agreement of the parties 3/8/04]	Should BellSouth be allowed to charge the CLEC a Tandem Intermediary Charge for the transport and
7584 4	3-5 10.7.4.2 (KMC), 10.5.5.2 (NSC), 10.5.6.2 (NVX)	3-6 10.10.1 (KMC), 10.8.1 (NSC)
à Q	49	65

KERAL ISSUE No. #	E 非国际多级部分	ST UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION.	BELLSOUTH POSITION
		termination of Local	exploits BellSouth's market power and is	Commission ordered rates were
		Transit Traffic and ISP-	discriminatory.	designed to address, such as the costs of
		Bound Iransit Iraffic?		sending records to the CLECs
				identifying the originating carrier.
		Issue restated by	-	BellSouth does not charge the CLEC for
	-,- <u>1</u> -	agreement of the Parties		these records and does not recover those
		3/8/04.]		costs in any other form. Moreover, this
				issue is not appropriate for arbitration in
				this proceeding because it involves a
•••				request by the CLECs that is not
				encompassed within BellSouth's
				obligations pursuant to Section 251 of
	,			the Act.
66 3-7	10.1	BellSouth Issue	YES, CLEC should be entitled to bill, and	(A) No. Common transport is a separate
	(KMC),10	Statement:	BellSouth should be obligated to pay,	rate element and is not included in the
	.1 (XSP)		reciprocal compensation for the transport	tandem interconnection rate element.
		(A) Does the tandem	and termination of Local Traffic to CLEC at	
		interconnection rate	a symmetrical tandem interconnection rate,	(B) CLEC should be entitled to bill, and
		include common transport?	inclusive of end office switching, tandem	BellSouth should be obligated to pay,
			switching, and transport.	reciprocal compensation for the
•		(B) What information must		transport and termination of Local
		CLEC provide to establish		Traffic to CLEC at a symmetrical
		entitlement to symmetrical		tandem interconnection rate, inclusive
		reciprocal compensation		of end office switching and tandem
		for the transport and		switching, upon the CLEC's verification
		termination of Local		that it meets the requirement of
		Traffic at the tandem		geographic comparability pursuant to
		interconnection rate?		the Act.
		The second secon		
		CLEC Issue Statement:		
		Should CLEC be entitled to		
		symmetrical reciprocal		

BELLSOHTHE POSITION	Yes, pursuant to the FCC's ISP Order on Remand, the compensation regime including rate and growth caps shall remain in place until the FCC issues a subsequent order.	Local Traffic should be defined as any telephone call that originates in one exchange and terminates in either the same exchange, or other local calling area associated with the originating exchange as defined and specified in Section A3 of BellSouth's General Subscriber Service Tariff. Local Traffic includes any cross boundary, intrastate, interLATA or interstate, interLATA calls established as a local call by the ruling regulatory body.	(A) No. It is not technically feasible to
CLECPOSITION	NO, compensation caps set in the FCC's remanded ISP Order on Remand do not extend beyond 2003.	Local Traffic should be defined as any telephone call that originates in one exchange and is terminated in either the same exchange, or other mandatory local calling area associated with the originating exchange (e.g., mandatory Extended Area Service) as defined and specified in Section A3 of BellSouth's GSST. Designation of Local Traffic should not be dependent on the type of switching technology used to switch and terminate such Local Traffic, including use of frame switching. Local Traffic includes any cross boundary, interLATA or interstate, interLATA or interstate, interLATA or interstate, interLATA calls established as a local call by the ruling regulatory body.	(A) YES, OCn level interconnection is
UNRESOLVED LESUR compensation for the transport and termination of Local Traffic at the tandem interconnection rate?	Should compensation for the transport and termination of ISP-bound Traffic be subject to a cap?	How should Local Traffic be defined?	3.2 (XSP), (A) Should BellSouth be
**************************************	8 10.2, 10.2.1 (KMC), 10.2, 10.3 (XSP)	9 2.1.12 (XSP)	
₩ .o	67 3-8	3-9	69 3-10

MEN.	ESUR #	のである。	LINESOLVED ISSUE	GEGPOSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
		Ex. A (XSP)	required to provide CLEC with OCn level	technically feasible and must be made available at TELRIC-compliant rates.	interconnect at the OCn level.
			interconnection at TELRIC-compliant rates?	(B) TELRIC compliant rates for OCn	(B) OCn level interconnection is not technically feasible and should not be
			(B) What should those rates be?	interconnection trunks and facilities should be set by the Commission.	required for this reason. Therefore, no rate should be set.
70	3-11	3.3.1,	BellSouth Issue Statement: Should	NO, cost-based interconnection should not be limited to the percentage of facilities	Yes, the CLEC is not entitled to cost-based rates for facilities utilized for
		10.10.2 (XSP)	jactivites usea for toti traffic be offered at TELRIC rates?	used for Tocal" traffic ("PLF"). CLEC is entitled to cost based interconnection for telephone exchange and exchange access	interexchange traffic.
		- 1.	CLEC Issue Statement: Should cost-based	traffic.	
			interconnection (i.e., TELRIC), be limited to the		
			percentage of facilities used for "local" traffic?		
71	3-12	4.5 (XSP)	What rate should apply for interconnection trumbs and	To the extent a rate associated with	All applicable cost-based rates ordered
		(TOW)	facilities in the event that a	set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 3, and	by the Commission are set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 3. If either
			rate is not set forth in Exhibit A?	no Commission-approved rate has been set, the rate should be negotiated by the Parties.	Party orders an element for which there is not a cost based rate, then such
					element will be as set forth in the
			[issue residied by agreement of the parites]		applicable party's FCC or Commission filed and effective tariff. If either Party
					believes that a cost-based rate should be established for any element, then such

THEM NO.	ITEM ISSUE.	S	i. Unresolved Issue	CLECPOSITION	BELL-SOUTH POSITION
					Party may submit a request via a BFR
7.0	5 5	A C CASE	73 11 1		for cost-based rates.
7/	3-13	4.6 (XSP)	Should the costs of two-	For two-way trunk groups that carry only	No, this assumes that all minutes
			way interconnection trunks	both Parties' non-transit and non-	exchanged by the parties traverse two-
			and facilities used for both	interLATA Switched Access Traffic, each	way trunks and facilities when either
			parties' traffic be split	Party should pay its proportionate share of	Party may establish one-ways, thus
			proportionally based on	the recurring charges for trunks and	inappropriately distorting the
			the percentage of traffic	associated facilities and nonrecurring	proportional use. This is a technically
		₩.	originated by each Party	charges for additional trunks and associated	infeasible request. The Parties should
			or in half?	facilities based on the percentage of the	only use two-ways where the traffic is
				total traffic originated by that Party. The	balanced in such a way that a two-way
			[Issue restated by	Parties should determine the applicable	facility is appropriate. In such an
			agreement of the Parties]	percentages twice per year based on the	instance, the Parties should split the cost
				previous six months minutes of use billed	of such two-ways in half.
				by each Party. Each Party should pay its	
				proportionate share of initial facilities based	
-				on the joint forecasts for circuits required by	
-				each Party.	
73	3-14	10.10.4,	BellSouth Issue	YES, where CLEC has message recording	CLEC may have the option to bill
-		10.10.5,	Statement: Under what	technology that identifies the jurisdiction of	BellSouth based on its own actual traffic
		10.10.6,	conditions should CLEC be	traffic terminated as defined in the	measurements for services that the
		10.10.7	permitted to bill BellSouth	Agreement, CLEC should have the option	CLEC has valid authorization to bill
		(XSP)	based on actual traffic	of using that information to bill BellSouth	BellSouth in the form of tariffs,
			measurements, in lieu of	based upon actual measurements and	interconnection agreements or other
			BellSouth-reported	jurisdictionalization, in lieu of factors	contractual authority. Prior to the
	"		jurisdictional factors?	reported by BellSouth.	CLEC implementing billing based on its
					own traffic measurements, however, the
			CLEC Issue Statement:		CLEC and BellSouth will mutually
			Should CLEC be permitted		agree that the traffic measurement
	.,		to bill BellSouth based on		system employed by the CLEC, or at the
			action of affic		alrection of the CLEC, accurately

LTM. No.	# #	S ALL	L. UNRESOLMED ISSUE	CLECPOSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
			measurements, in lieu of		measures traffic and assigns the correct
·			BellSouth-reported		jurisdiction in accordance with the
			Jurisaictional factors?		Agreement and applicable underlying
					FCC rules. BellSouth shall have, at its
					option, the right to audit the CLEC
					measurement system periodically.
				COLLOCATION (ATTACHMENT 4)	
4/	4-1	3.9	(A) What definition of	The following definition of "Cross	(A) The following definition of "Cross
 .			"Cross Connect" should	Connect" should be included in the	Connect" should be included in the
			be included in the	Agreement: "A cross-connection (Cross	Agreement: "A cross connect is a
			Agreement?	Connect) is a cabling scheme between	jumper on a frame (Main Distribution or
		-		cabling runs subsystems, and equipment	Intermediate Distribution) or panel
			(B) When no collocation	using patch cords or jumper wires that	(DSX or LGX) that is used to connect
			arrangement is involved,	attach to connection hardware on each end,	equipment and/or facility terminations
			does BellSouth recover the	as defined and described by the FCC in its	together."
-			cost of a cross connect	applicable rules and orders."	
			through the price of the		(B) BellSouth does not agree with the
-			service ordered by CLEC	[This position statement was modified at	additional language that CLEC proposes
			when provisioning such	the request of the CLECs]	because the cross connect required for
			cross connect?		the provision of a particular service, not
			,		associated with a collocation
			Issue restated by		arrangement, may not be included in the
			agreement of Parties		cost of the service, but may have to be
			3/8/04.]		ordered in addition to the service
ļ		,			requested.
C	2-4	5.21.1,	BellSouth Issue	Provisions should be included to cover the	Provisions should be included in this
		5.21.2	Statement: What	installation and operation of any equipment	Agreement to cover the installation and
			restrictions should apply to	or services that (1) significantly degrades	operation of any equipment, facilities or
			the CLEC's use of	("significantly degrades" is as in the FCC	services that (1) significantly degrades
			collocation space or	rule applicable to Advanced Services); (2)	(defined as an action that noticeably
			conocarea	endangers or damages the equipment or	impairs a service from a user's

No.	E. Brikesonven Issue	CLECPOSITION.	BELLSOUTH POSITION
	equipmentsfacilities that	facilities of any other telecommunications	perspective), interferes with or impairs
	impact others?	carrier collocated in the Premises; or (3)	service provided by BellSouth or by any
		knowingly and unlawfully compromises the	other entity or any person's use of its
	CLEC Issue Statement:	privacy of communications routed through	telecommunications services; (2)
	With respect to	the Premises; and (4) creates an	endangers or damages the equipment,
	interference and	unreasonable risk of injury or death to any	facilities or any other property of
	impairment issues raised	individual or to the public.	BellSouth or of any other entity or
	outside of the scope of the		person; (3) compromises the privacy of
	FCC Rule 51.233 (which	The Agreement also should provide that if	any communications routed through the
	relates to the deployment	BellSouth reasonably determines that any	Premises; or (4) creates an
	of Advanced Services	equipment or facilities of CLEC violates the	unreasonable risk of injury or death to
	equipment) what	provisions of Section 5.21, BellSouth	any individual or to the public.
	provisions should be	should provide written notice to CLEC	
	included in the Agreement?	requesting that CLEC cure the violation	The Agreement should also provide that
		within forty-eight (48) hours of actual	if BellSouth reasonably determines that
		receipt of written notice or, at a minimum,	any equipment or facilities of the CLEC
		to commence curative measures within	violates the provisions of Section 5.21.1,
		twenty-four (24) hours and to exercise	BellSouth should provide written notice
		reasonable diligence to complete such	to the CLEC directing that the CLEC
		measures as soon as possible thereafter.	cure the violation within forty-eight (48)
			hours of CLEC's actual receipt of
		The Agreement also should state that, with	written notice or, if such cure is not
		the exception of instances which pose an	feasible, at a minimum, to commence
		immediate and substantial threat of physical	curative measures within twenty-four
		damage to property or injury or death to any	(24) hours and to exercise reasonable
		person, disputes regarding the source of the	diligence to complete such measures as
		risk, impairment, interference, or	soon as possible thereafter.
		degradation should be resolved pursuant to	
		the Dispute Resolution provisions set forth	The Agreement should provide that
		in the General Terms and Conditions.	either party may submit any disputes
			regarding the source of the risk,
			impairment, interference, or degradation

t e u t	
to the Commission, except in the case of the deployment of an advanced service which significantly degrades the performance of other advanced services or traditional voice band services, if the CLEC fails to commence curative action within twenty-four (24) hours and exercise reasonable diligence to complete such action as soon as possible or if the violation is of a character that poses an immediate and substantial threat of damage to property or injury or death to any person, or any other significant degradation, interference or impairment of BellSouth's or another entity's service. In regard to the above exception, BellSouth should be permitted to take such action as it deems necessary to eliminate any immediate or substantial threat, including, without limitation, the interruption of electrical power to the CLEC's equipment which BellSouth has determined beyond a reasonable doubt is the cause of such threat.	When rates have been "grandfathered," the rates that would apply are those rates that were in effect prior to the Effective Date of the Agreement or as otherwise specified within the Agreement. There should be no other exceptions allowed
	When rates have been "grandfathered," the rates that will apply are those rates that were in effect prior to the Effective Date of the Agreement, unless application of such rates would be inconsistent with the underlying purpose for grandfathering.
	BellSouth Issue Statement: How should grandfathered rates apply? CLEC Issue Statement: Where grandfathering is
	
	£-4

A S	H24	8	4. UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
			should apply?		rates.
77	4-4	8.4	When should BellSouth commence billing of recurring charges for power?	Billing for recurring charges for power provided by BellSouth should commence on the date upon which the primary and redundant connections from CLEC's equipment in the Collocation Space to the BellSouth power board or BDFB are installed.	If the CLEC has met the applicable fifteen (15) calendar day walkthrough interval specified in Section 4.3 of the Agreement, billing for recurring power charges should commence upon the Space Acceptance Date. If the CLEC fails to complete an acceptance walkthrough within the applicable fifteen (15) calendar day interval, billing for recurring power charges should commence on the Space Ready Date. If the CLEC occupies the space prior to the Space Ready Date, then the date the CLEC occupies the space should be deemed the new Space Acceptance Date and billing for recurring power charges should begin on that date.
78	5- 4	8.6	BellSouth Issue Statement: Should CLEC be required to pay additional space preparation fees and charges for costs related to functions that have not already been recovered through previous ICB or NCR charges?	NO, space preparation fees should not apply when CLEC already has paid space preparation charges through previously billed ICB or non-recurring space preparation charges.	Yes. A CLEC should be required to pay that portion of the monthly recurring charges associated with ongoing maintenance, replacement and upgrades to the central office, which will directly benefit the CLEC in the future. The space preparation fees that were billed to and paid by the CLEC under an ICB or NCR pricing structure at the time the CLEC occupied the assigned collocation space should not be assessed to the

CLEC. As stated above, only that portion of the monthly recurring charges associated with ongoing maintenance, replacement and upgrade activities in the central office should be assessed to the CLEC on a monthly recurring basis.	For all states except Tennessee, recurring charges for -48V DC power should be assessed on a "per fused amp" der basis, based upon the CLEC"s BellSouth Certified Supplier engineered and installed power feed fused ampere capacity. In Tennessee, the CLEC should be permitted to choose to be billed on a "per fused amp" basis, by electing to remain (or install new collocations or augments) under the traditional collocation power billing method that BellSouth uses for all of the other states (including Tennessee), or on a "per used amp" basis, by electing to convert collocations to (or install new collocations or augments under) the Tennessee power usage metering option set forth in the Agreement. Under either the "per fused amp" billing
CLEC POSITION	Applicable rates should vary depending on whether_CLEC elects to be billed on a "fused amp" basis, by electing to remain (or install new collocations or augments) under the traditional collocation power billing method, or on a "used amp" basis, by electing to convert collocations to (or install new collocations or augments under) the power usage metering option set forth in Section 9 of Attachment 4. Under either billing method, there will be rates applicable to grandfathered collocations for which power plant infrastructure costs have been prepaid under an ICB pricing or non-recurring charge arrangement, and there will be rates applicable where such grandfathering does not apply and power plant infrastructure is instead recovered via recurring charges, as currently set by the Commission.
CLEC Issue Statement: Should CLEC be required to pay space preparation fees and charges with respect to collocations when it already has paid space preparation charges through ICB or NRC pricing?	What rates should apply for BellSouth-supplied DC power?
## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	8.11, 8.12.2 8.12.2
NO.	79 4-6

Iren issue S	Unresolved Issue	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
			states, or the "per used amp" billing
		Under the fused amp billing option, CLEC	option, which applies to Tennessee only,
		Will be billed at the Commission's most	there will be rates applicable to
		recently approved fused amp recurring rate	grandfathered collocations for which
		for DC power. However, if certain	power plant infrastructure costs have
-		arrangements are grandfathered as a result	been prepaid under an ICB pricing or
		of CLEC having paid installation costs	non-recurring charge arrangement and
		under an ICB or non-recurring rate schedule	there will be rates applicable where such
		for the collocation arrangement power	grandfathering does not apply and
		installation, CLEC should only be billed the	power plant infrastructure is instead
		recurring rate for the DC power in effect	recovered via recurring charges.
		prior to the Effective Date of this	
		Agreement, or, if rates that excluded the	Under the fused amp billing option,
-		infrastructure component had not been	which is applicable to all states, the
		incorporated into the Parties' most recent	CLEC should be billed at the
		Agreement, the most recent Commission	Commission's most recently approved
		approved rate that does not include an	fused amp recurring rate for DC power.
		infrastructure component should apply.	However, if the Parties either previously
		,	agreed to "grandfather" such
		Under the power usage metering option,	arrangements or such arrangements are
		recurring charges for DC power are	grandfathered as a result of the CLEC
		subdivided into a power infrastructure	having provided documentation to
		component and an AC usage component	BellSouth demonstrating that the CLEC
		(based on DC amps consumed). However,	paid installation costs under an ICB or
		if certain arrangements are grandfathered as	non-recurring rate structure for the
		a result of CLEC having paid installation	collocation arrangement power
		costs under an ICB or non-recurring rate	installation, then the CLEC should only
		schedule for the collocation arrangement	be billed the monthly recurring rate for
		power installation, CLEC should only be	the DC power in effect prior to the
		billed a recurring rate for the AC usage	Effective Date of the Agreement, or, if
		based on the most recent Commission	such grandfathered rates had not been
		approved rate exclusive of an infrastructure	incorporated in to the Parties' most

BELLSOUTH POSITION	recent Agreement, the rates contained in Exhibit B of the Attachment, which reflect only that portion of the monthly	recurring charges associated with the AC usage and ongoing maintenance,	replacement and upgrades to the central office power infrastructure, which will	directly benefit the CLEC in the future.	In Tennessee, under the power usage	metering option, recurring charges for	DC power will be subdivided into a	power infrastructure component and an	AC usage component (based on DC	amps consumed). However, if the	Parties either previously agreed to	"grandfather" such arrangements or	such arrangements are grandfathered as	a result of the CLEC having provided	documentation to BellSouth	demonstrating that the CLEC paid	mstallation costs under an ICB of non-	recurring rate structure for the	installation, then the CLEC should only	be billed the monthly recurring rate for	the AC usage based on the most recent	Commission approved rate and the DC	power infrastructure component that	excludes those costs previously paid	through the ICB or NRC pricing	structure. Thus, the CLEC should be
CLECPOSITION	component (as set by the Commission).																									
§ UNRESOLVED ISSUE														-			-									
ITEM ISSUE				7														-								

9.1.1

ITEM ISSUE NO. #	§ . UNRESOLVED ESSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION	
	usage metering option?	metering option, monthly recurring charges	power usage metering option are Florida	
		for -48V DC power will be assessed based	and Georgia, but the Commissions in	
	(B) If power usage	on a consumption component and, if	these states have not determined the	
	metering is allowed, how	applicable, an infrastructure component, as	appropriate power metering rate	
	will recurring and non-	set forth in Section 8 of Attachment 4 (see	structure and the associated rates that	
	recurring charges be	Issue 4-6 above). The Commission should	would be assessed to CLECs that elect	
	applied and what should	ensure that its most recently approved	this option. Therefore, BellSouth	
	those charges be?	recurring rates are apportioned	cannot offer a power usage metering	
		appropriately into the consumption and	option in Florida and Georgia until these	
	-	infrastructure components.	issues have been resolved. In regard to	_
	CLEC Issue Statement:		the other states, BellSouth should be	
	(A) Should CLEC be	Non-recurring charges for -48V DC power	permitted to continue assessing monthly	
	permitted to choose	distribution should be as prescribed by the	recurring DC power charges on a "ner	
	between a fused amp	Commission.	fused amp" basis.	
	billing option and a power			
	usage metering option in		(B) In Tennessee, if the CLEC selects	—-
	states other than and in		the power usage metering ontion the	
	addition to Tennessee		monthly recurring charges for -48V DC	
	(where the choice already		power should be assessed hased on the	_
	is available)?		AC usage component of the DC power	
			consumed by the CLEC and an	
	(B) Under the power		infrastructure component, associated	
	usage metering option,		with the DC power plant and the	
	now will recurring and		associated equipment required to	
•	non-recurring charges be		convert AC power to DC power, as set	
	applied and what should		forth in Exhibit B of Attachment 4.	
	those charges be?		BellSouth has taken the Commission's	
			current approved monthly recurring DC	
			power rate (which is a fused amp rate)	
			and apportioned it appropriately into	
			these two components based upon the	
			cost study inputs used initially to	

BELL SOUTH POSITION	develop the ordered rate.	Recurring charges for the AC usage component, the infrastructure	component associated with the DC nower plant and the associated	equipment required to convert AC	power to DC power, and the Meter Reading expense will be assessed	pursuant to Section 8.4 of Attachment 4.	Issue 4-4 above)	The non-recurring charge account	with the submission of a Subsequent	Application, to convert existing	collocation arrangements to the power	metering option in Tennessee or to	remove or install telecommunications	equipment in the CLEC's space, will be killed on the date that Ball South	provides an Application Response to the	Subsequent Application. If the CLEC	requests that an unscheduled (prior to	the next scheduled quarterly power	reading date) power usage reading be	taken or if the CLEC fails to provide	access to its caged collocation space or	fails to provide BellSouth and/or a	BellSouth Certified Supplier with	sufficient notification of the necessity to	cancel and/or reschedule the initial
CLEC POSITION																									
THE BINGS OF MEDITAL PROPERTY.																									
Irra Issue Hilliam St. E.																						-			

No. #	30	CNRESOLVED ISSUE	AND THE PRINTING	BELLSOUTH POSITION
				agreed-upon appointment, then the
				CLEC will be responsible for paying
				each "Additional Meter Reading Trip
				Charge," which will be reflected on the
				CLEC's next month's billing statement.
				In addition, there will be a non-recurring
				fee associated with the modifications
				that BellSouth must make to its billing
				systems in order to accept the power
				usage measurement data. This fee will
				be reflected on the CLEC's next billing
				statement immediately following the
				completion of the required
\top				modifications.
6-4 7-8	9.3	For BellSouth-supplied AC	YES, where CLEC elects to install its own	No. If the CLEC elects to install its own
		power, should CLEC be	DC Power Plant, and BellSouth provides	DC Power Plant, BellSouth is willing to
		entitled to choose between	Alternating Current (AC) power to feed	provide Alternating Current (AC) power
		a fused amp billing option	CLEC's DC Power Plant, CLEC should	to feed the CLEC's DC Power Plant.
		and a power usage	have the option of choosing between fused	Charges for AC power should be
		metering option?	amp billing and power usage metering	assessed per breaker ampere based on
			options.	the appropriate allocation of AC power
				delivered to the central office fuse panel
				by the commercial electric provider.
				BellSouth anticipates that if a CLEC
				requests AC power from BellSouth to
				feed its own Power Plant, BellSouth
				would have to install and dedicate a
				circuit breaker to the CLEC at its fuse
				panel where the commercial electric
				power enters the central office. It
				would, therefore, be appropriate for
				BellSouth to pro-rate the AC power to

Unresolved Issue - CLECPosition - Bell-South-Position	each of the circuit breakers in	BellSouth's fuse panel based on the	fused amperage that each circuit breaker	is designed to carry in relation to the	total amount of fused amperage for all	of the circuit breakers contained in	BellSouth's fuse panel, which serve the		iat employee is found interfering with the	property or personnel of BellSouth or	to another telecommunications carrier in a	request that a CLEC significant and material way should does not wish to grant access to its	BellSouth be entitled to request prompt	BellSouth's premises in the removal and suspension of access from conducted by BellSouth or prior to the	absence of a formal BellSouth's Premises for any employee of initiation of an investigation if an		grant access pursuant to an investigation to interfering with the property or	be conducted by BellSouth.	iave	(B) YES, in instances where interference	uth's caused by CLEC employee has not been	found to have interfered with the property	EC or personnel of BellSouth or another	employee has not been telecommunications carrier in a significant	red	with the property or required to cooperate and communicate, to	th or	another that the Parties may take appropriate	telecommunications carrier remedial measures and so that CLEC	in a cianificant and
§ UNRESOLVED IS								13.6 BellSouth Issue	Statement: Under v	circumstances shoul	BellSouth be entitled	\mid request that a CLEC	employee be remove	BellSouth's premise	absence of a formal	investigation?		CLEC Issue Statem	(A) Should BellSout	the right to request t	removal from BellSo	Premises of a CLEC	employee where the	employee has not be	found to have interfe	with the property or	personnel of BellSou	another	telecommunications	in a significant and

No.	i.	ji t	1. 中华 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	以為一方所以外所以為一次為一分為一次不可以以及一方面以及一次	
			(B) In instances where interference caused by CLEC employee has not been found to have interfered with the property or personnel of BellSouth or another in a significant and material way, should the Parties be required to cooperate to ensure that appropriate remedial measures are taken that are less likely to have a significant impact on CLEC's daily operations?	impact and that would be more suitably addressed through disciplinary measures less likely to have a significant impact on CLEC's daily operations.	
				ORDERING (ATTACHMENT 6)	
84	6-1	2.5.1	Should payment history be included in the CSR?	YES, the subscribers' payment history should be included in the CSR to the extent authorized or required by the FCC, Commission or End User.	NO, payment history should be maintained as confidential information and is not necessary in order for a CLEC to provision service to an end user. BellSouth's systems will not permit this
					information to be shared on an end user by end user or CLEC by CLEC basis.
85	6-2	2.5.5	Should CLEC have to provide BellSouth with access to CSRs within firm	NO, CLEC is not required by law to commit to specific intervals, and does not have any automated system in place to handle CSR	YES, BellSouth is required to provide CSRs to CLEC in intervals prescribed by this Commission which, if not met,

May. No.	HST.	\$	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTHPOSITION
			intervals?	requests. Moreover, BellSouth refuses to commit to deliver CSRs within a firm interval. CLEC, however, will commit to use its best efforts to provide CSRs within an average of 5 business days of a valid request, subject to the same exclusions applicable to BST's delivery of CSRs.	require BellSouth to remit SEEMs penalties. If CLEC is not held to the same standard, the End User customer is impaired by being unable to receive the same service interval from all local service providers.
98	6-3	2.5.6.3	(A) What procedures should apply when one Party alleges, via written notice, that the other Party has engaged in unauthorized access to CSR information? (B) How should disputes over alleged unauthorized access to CSR information be handled under the Agreement?	(A) Either Party, in the event it suspects that the other Party has accessed CSR information without having obtained the proper End User authorization, should send written notice to the other Party specifying the alleged noncompliance. The Party receiving the notice should be obligated to acknowledge receipt of the notice as soon as practicable, and provide appropriate proof of authorization within seven (7) days or provide notice that appropriate corrective measures have been taken or will be taken as soon as practicable. (B) If one Party disputes the other Party's assertion of non-compliance, that Party should notify the other Party in writing of the basis for its assertion of compliance. If the basis for its assertion of compliance. If the receiving Party fails to provide the other Party with notice that appropriate corrective	 (A) The Party receiving such notice should provide documentation within seven (7) business days to prove authorization. (B) The Party providing notice of such impropriety should provide notice to the offending Party that additional applications for service may be refused, that any pending orders for service may not be completed, and/or that access to ordering systems may be suspended if such use is not corrected or ceased by the fifth (5th) calendar day following the date of the notice. In addition, the alleging Party may, at the same time, provide written notice to the person(s) designated by the other Party to receive notices of noncompliance that the alleging Party may terminate the provision of access to ordering systems
				measures have been taken within a reasonable time or provide the other Party with proof sufficient to persuade the other Party that it erred in asserting the non-	to the other Party and may discontinue the provisioning of existing services if such use is not corrected or ceased by the tenth (10 th) calendar day following

TTEM NO:	Essur #	oo:	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
				compliance, the requesting Party should	the date of the initial notice. If the other
				proceed pursuant to the Dispute Resolution	Party disagrees with the alleging Party's
				provisions set forth in the General Terms	allegations of unauthorized use, the
				and Conditions and the Parties should	other Party shall proceed pursuant to the
				cooperatively seek expedited resolution of	dispute resolution provisions set forth in
.				the dispute. "Self help", in the form of	the General Terms and Conditions.
				suspension of access to ordering systems	
				and discontinuance of service, is	
				inappropriate and coercive. Moreover, it	
				effectively denies one Party the ability to	
				avail itself to the Dispute Resolution	
				process otherwise agreed to by the Parties.	
87	6-4	2.6	Should BellSouth be	NO, if, at any time, electronic interfaces are	YES, BellSouth is not required to
			allowed to assess manual	not available to make placement of an	provide electronic ordering canability
			service order charges on	electronic LSR possible, CLEC must use	for every product or service BellSouth
			CLEC orders for which	the manual LSR process for the ordering of	has implemented the Change Control
			BellSouth does not provide	TINEs and Combinations In such cases	Decorate for CI EC secretaries to the second
			an alcotatorio andomina	who are Community. In Such Cases	Flocess for CLEC requests to change
			un electronic oraering	where CLEC does not willfully choose to	BellSouth's OSS capabilities if CLEC is
			option.	use the manual LSR process, CLEC should	not satisfied with existing ordering
				be assessed the lower electronic LSR OSS	capabilities.
G	ı			rate.	
8 8 	9-9	2.6.5	What rate should apply for	Rates for Service Date Advancement (a/k/a	BellSouth is not required to provide
			Service Date Advancement	service expedites) related to UNEs,	expedited service pursuant to The Act.
			(a/k/a service expedites)?	interconnection or collocation should be set	If BellSouth elects to offer expedite
-			~	consistent with TELRIC pricing principles.	capability as an enhancement to a
					CLEC, BellSouth's tariffed rates for
			Tables		service date advancement should apply.
					Moreover, this issue is not appropriate
					for arbitration in this proceeding
					because it involves a request by the
					CLECs that is not encompassed within

MEN No.	####		UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLECPOSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
					BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.
9-9 68		2.6.25	Should CLEC be required to deliver a FOC to	NO, CLEC is not required by law to commit to specific intervals, and does not have the	YES, BellSouth is required to provide FOCs to CLEC in intervals prescribed
	w		BellSouth for purposes of	necessary automated system in place to	by this Commission, which if not met
	.		porting a number within a firm interval?	meet such requirements. Moreover, BellSouth refuses to commit to deliver	require BellSouth to remit SEEMs nenalties If CLEC is not held to the
			,	FOCs within a firm interval. CLEC,	same standard, the End User customer is
	<u> </u>			however, subject to the same exclusions that apply to BellSouth's delivery of a FOC is	impaired by being unable to receive the
				willing to commit to use best efforts to	service providers.
	,			return a FOC to BellSouth, for purposes of	1
				porting a number, within an average of 5	
				business days, for noncomplex orders, after	
				CLEC's receipt from BellSouth of a valid	
	+	,0,		LSK.	1790/4.5
/-0 		7.0.20	Should CLEC be required	NO, CLEC is not required by law to commit	YES, BellSouth is required to provide
			to provide Reject	to specific intervals, and does not have the	FOC Reject Responses to CLEC in
			Responses to BellSouth	necessary automated system in place to	intervals prescribed by this Commission
			within a firm interval?	meet such requirements. Moreover,	which if not met require BellSouth to
				BellSouth refuses to commit to deliver	remit SEEMs penalties. If CLEC is not
, <u></u>				Reject Responses within a firm interval.	held to the same standard, the End User
		***		CLEC, however, subject to the same	customer is impaired by being unable to
				exclusions that apply to BellSouth's	receive the same service interval from
-				delivery of Reject Responses, is willing to	all Local service providers.
				commit to use best efforts to return Reject	
				Responses to BellSouth, for purposes of	
				porting a number, within an average of 5	
				business days, for noncomplex orders, after	
				CLEC's receipt from BellSouth of a valid	
				LOIN	

INM ISSUE NO. **	###	\$ 17.	- UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLECPOSITION	BELLSOUTHPOSITION
91	8-9	2.7.10.4	Should BellSouth be required to provide performance and maintenance history for circuits with chronic problems?	YES, upon request from CLEC, BellSouth should disclose all available performance and maintenance history regarding the network element, service or facility subject to the chronic trouble ticket.	NO, network performance and maintenance history is BellSouth's proprietary information.
92	6-9	2.9.1	Should charges for substantially similar OSS functions performed by the parties be reciprocal?	YES, the Parties should bill each other OSS rates pursuant to the terms, conditions and rates for OSS as set forth in Exhibit A of Attachment 2 of the Agreement, for substantially similar OSS functions performed by the Parties.	YES, but only for those functions that CLEC performs that are substantially similar to those performed by BellSouth and only if the CLEC performs the same OSS functions pursuant to the terms and conditions under which BellSouth bills CLEC for OSS, including FOC reject turnaround times the same as BellSouth's, due date intervals the same as BellSouth's and CSRs handled under the same terms and conditions under which BellSouth provides the CSRs to CLEC.
93	6-10	3.1.1	(A) Can Bellsouth make the porting of an End User to the CLEC contingent on either the CLEC having an operating, billing and/or collection arrangement with any third party carrier, including BellSouth Long Distance or the End User changing its PIC?	(A) NO, BellSouth is required by law to port a customer once the customer requests to be switched to another local service provider, regardless of any arrangement or agreement (or lack thereof) between CLEC and BellSouth Long Distance or another third party carrier. BellSouth's practice represents an anticompetitive leveraging of its ILEC status in favor of, and in collusion with, its Section 272 affiliate. More specifically, BellSouth may not condition its compliance with these obligations under the	(A) YES. If another carrier restricts the conditions under which that carrier's end user can retain a PIC, CLEC should be required to either comply with that carriers requirements or transfer the end-user with another PIC. (B) NO, liquidated damages provisions are inappropriate.

y Bell-South Position.		This issue (including all subparts) is not appropriate for arbitration in this proceeding because it involves a request by the CLECs that is not encompassed within BellSouth's obligations pursuant to Section 251 of the Act.
Agreement upon CLEC's or its End-Users' entry into any billing and/or collection arrangement, operational understanding, relationship or other arrangement with one or more of BellSouth's Affiliates, and/or any third party carrier.	(B) YES, liquidated damages are appropriate in this instance because it would be impossible or commercially impracticable to ascertain and fix the actual amount of damages as would be sustained by CLEC as a result of such action by BellSouth. A liquidated damage amount of \$1,000 per occurrence per day is a reasonable approximation of the damages likely to be sustained by CLEC, upon the occurrence and during the continuance of any such breach. Liquidated damages should be in addition to and without prejudice to or limitation upon any other rights or remedies CLEC and/or any of its End Users may have under this Agreement and/or other applicable documents against BellSouth.	(A) YES, mass migration of customer service arrangements (e.g., UNEs, Combinations, resale) should be accomplished pursuant to submission of electronic LSR or, if mutually agreed to by the Parties, by submission of a spreadsheet in a mutually agreed-upon format. Until
(B) If not, should BellSouth be subject to liquidated damages for imposing such conditions?		3.1.2, 3.1.2.1 migration of customer service arrangements resulting from mergers, acquisitions and asset transfers be accomplished by the submission of an
No	2	0-11

	## #	18 C	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLECTPOSITION SERVICES	BELLSOUTH POSITION FIRE
			electronic LSR or	such time as an electronic LSR process is	(A) No, each and every Merger,
			spreadsheet?	available, a spreadsheet containing all	Acquisition and Asset Transfer is
				relevant information should be used.	unique and requires project management
			(B) If so, what rates	(B) A 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	and planning to ascertain the appropriate
			snound approx.	(b) An electronic USS charge should be	manner in which to accomplish the
			,	assessed per service arrangement migrated.	transfer, including how orders should be
			(C) What should be the	In addition, BellSouth should only charge	submitted. The vast array of services
			interval for such mass	CLEC a TELRIC-based records change	that may be the subject of such a
			migrations of services?	charge, as set forth in Exhibit A of	transfer, under the agreement and both
				Attachment 2, for migrations of customers	state and federal tariffs, necessitates that
				for which no physical re-termination of	various forms of documentation may be
				circuits must be performed. Similarly,	required.
***		***		BellSouth should only charge CLEC a	
				TELRIC-based charge, as set forth in	(B) The rates by necessity must be
				Exhibit A of Attachment 2, for migrations	negotiated between the Parties based
		••		of customers for which physical re-	upon the particular services to be
				termination of circuits is required.	transferred and the work involved.
		···.			
				(C) Migrations should be completed within	(C) No finite interval can be set to cover
				ten (10) calendar days of an LSR or	all potential situations. While shorter
				spreadsheet submission.	intervals can be committed to and met
-					for small, simple projects, larger and
					more complex projects require much
					longer intervals and prioritization and
				The state of the s	cooperation between the Parties.
				BIELING (ATTACHMENT 7)	
95	7-1	1.1.3	BellSouth Issue	YES, bills for service should not be	All charges incurred under the
			Statement: What	rendered more than ninety (90) calendar	agreement should be subject to the
			limitations period should	days have passed since the bill date on	state's statute of limitations or
			apply to charges under the	which those charges ordinarily would have	applicable Commission rules. Back-
			agreement and should such	been billed. Billed amounts for services	billing alone should not be subject to a

Light Issu		UNRESOLVED INCE	CLEC POSITION	** BELL SOUTH POSITION
-		limitations period apply to	rendered more than one (1) billing period	shorter limitations period than any other
		all issue related to billing	prior to the Bill Date should be invalid	claims related to billing under the
		under the agreement?	unless the billing Party identifies such	agreement.
			billing as "back-billing" on a line-item	
		CLEC Issue Statement:	basis. Billing beyond (90) calendar days	
		Should there be a time limit	and up to a limit of six (6) months after the	
		on the parties' ability to	date upon which the bill ordinarily would	
		engage in backbilling?	have been issued may be allowed under the	
			following conditions: (1) charges connected	
			with jointly provided services whereby meet	
			point billing guidelines require either Party	
			to rely on records provided by a third party	
			and such records have not been provided in	
			a timely manner; and (2) charges incorrectly	
	-		billed due to erroneous information supplied	
			by the non-billing Party.	
96 7-2	2 1.2.2	(A) What charges, if any,	(A) A Party should be entitled to make one	This issue (including all subparts) is not
-,-		should be imposed for	(1) "LEC Change" (i.e, corporate name	appropriate for arbitration in this
-11		records changes made by	change, OCN, CC, CIC, ACNA change) per	proceeding because it involves a request
		the Parties to reflect	state in any twelve (12) month period	by the CLECs that is not encompassed
		changes in corporate	without charge by the other Party for	within BellSouth's obligations pursuant
-1-		names or other LEC	updating its databases, systems and records	to Section 251 of the Act.
		identifiers such as OCN,	solely to reflect such change. For any	
		CC, CIC and ACNA?	additional LEC Changes, TELRIC	(A) BellSouth is permitted to recover its
			compliant rates should be charged.	costs and CLEC should be charged a
		(B) What intervals should		reasonable records change charge.
		apply to such changes?	(B) "LEC Changes" should be	Requests for this type of change should
			accomplished in thirty (30) calendar days	be submitted to the BFR/NBR process.
		BellSouth will either concur in	and should result in no delay or suspension	
		provide an alternative statement	of ordering or provisioning of any element	(B) The Interval of any such project
		with its Response	or service provided pursuant to this	would be determined by the BFR/NBR
			Agreement, or access to any pre-order,	process based upon the complexity of

LTEM INGUE NO. #	9133	un un un	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLECPOSITION.	BELLSOUTH POSITION
				order, provisioning, maintenance or repair interfaces. At the request of a Party, the other Party should establish a new BAN within ten (10) calendar days.	the project.
76	7-3	4.1	When should payment of charges for service be due?	Payment of charges for services rendered should be due thirty (30) calendar days from receipt or website posting of a complete and fully readable bill or within thirty (30) calendar days from receipt or website posting of a corrected or retransmitted bill in those cases where correction or retransmission is necessary for processing.	Payment for services should be due on or before the next bill date (Payment Due Date) in immediately available funds.
86	7-4	1.6	(A) What interest rate should apply for late payments?(B) What fee should be assessed for returned checks?	 (A) The interest rate that should apply for late payments is a uniform region-wide (1) percent per month. (B) In addition to any applicable late payment charges, a uniform region-wide \$20 fee for all returned checks should apply. 	 (A) The applicable interest rate approved by each state Commission in BellSouth's tariffs should apply. (B) The Commission approved rate from the GSST should apply or, in the absence of such, the amount permitted
66	7-5	1.7.1	What recourse should a Party have if it believes the other Party is engaging in prohibited, unlawful or improper use of its facilities or services, abuse of the facilities or noncompliance with the Agreement or applicable tariffs?	Each Party should have the right to suspend access to ordering systems for and to terminate particular services or access to facilities that are being used in an unlawful, improper or abusive manner. However, such remedial action should be limited to the services or facilities in question and such suspension or termination should not be imposed unilaterally by one Party over the other's written objections to or denial of	by state law. Each Party should have the right to suspend or terminate service in the event it believes the other party is engaging in one of these practices.

FELL SOUTH POSITION Tent of such a not supplant the set forth in the	rom BellSouth suspension or termination from BellSouth as a result of CLEC's failure to pay timely, CLEC should be required to pay timely, CLEC should be required to pay all amounts that are past due as of the date of the pending suspension or termination and timing and timing	ould not exceed The average of two (2) months of actual billing for existing customers or month's actual estimated billing for new customers, which is consistent with the worst recent telecommunications industry's standard one and one-half and BellSouth's practice with its end
such accusations. In the event of such a dispute, "self help" should not supplant the Dispute Resolution process set forth in the Agreement.	NO. If CLEC receives a notice of suspension or termination from BellSouth with a limited time to pay nondisputed past due amounts, CLEC should, in order to avoid suspension or termination, be required to pay only the amount past due as of the date of the notice and as expressly and plainly indicated on the notice. Otherwise, CLEC will risk suspension or termination due to possible calculation and timing errors.	The amount of a deposit should not exceed two month's estimated billing for new CLECs or one and one-half month's actual billing for existing CLECs (based on average monthly billings for the most recent six (6) month period). The one and one-half
UNRESOLVED ISSUE	BellSouth Issue Statement: To avoid suspension or termination, should CLEC be required to pay additional amounts that become past due after the Notice of Suspension or Termination for Nonpayment is sent? CLEC Issue Statement: Should CLEC be required to calculate and pay past due amounts in addition to those specified in BellSouth's notice of suspension or termination for nonpayment in order to avoid suspension or	How many months of billing should be used to determine the maximum amount of the deposit?
S ₁ de la constant d	1.7.2	1.8.3
and the second s	7-6	7-7
Lien No.	100	101

existing CLECs is reasonable accuracy and that significant portions of services are billed in advance. 102 7-8 1.8.3.1 Should the amount of the existing CLEC by BellSouth is an amount of posts due amounts owed by the reduced by post due amounts owed by thiny (30) calculated acts. BellSouth may capture amounts owed by thiny (30) calculated acts. BellSouth and amounts owed by thiny (30) calculated acts. BellSouth and amount request additional security in an amount charges similar to BellSouth's remedy equal to such reduction once BellSouth and amount request additional security in an amount that a defined in the deposit provision is appropriate appropriate given that the Agreement's deposit provision is appropriate provisions are not reciprocal and that BellSouth be NO. BellSouth's bould have a right to commercially reasonable time amount to the process for terminate services to CLEC agrees that such a commercially reasonable time amount of remit any deposit required by the Agreement is often poor. 104 7-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be reduced by making of the Parties are unable to agree on the resolution of the deposits are of the process for authority of a reasonable deposit, either Party of an amount making of the process for authority of a reasonable deposit, either Party of a mount of the dispute and both parties of the process of the parties are unable to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties and the party of the process of the parties are unable to agree on the resolution of the dispute on the resolution of the dispute and both parties and the parties of the procession of the dispute and both parties and the dispute and both parties and the parties are propagated by the parties are purpled to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties are propagated by the parties are purpled to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties are propagated by the parties are propagated by the parties are propagated by the parties are partied to the process to the propagated by the	Line No.	ESSUE #	:0°	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION:
7-8 1.8.3.1 Should the amount of the accuery and that significant portions of services are billed in advance. 7-8 1.8.3.1 Should the amount of the experience with requires past due amounts owed by from CLEC be reduced by thirty (30) calendar days. BellSouth may bellSouth to CLEC? request additional security in an amount equal to such eduction once BellSouth may demonstrates a good payment history, as defined in the deposit provisions of Attachment 7. This provisions of Attachment 7. This provision is appropriate given that the Agreement's deposit provisions are not reciprocal and that BellSouth be service to CLEC pursuant to remitted to terminate the process for terminate services to CLEC for failure to terminate to terminate services to CLEC registers to terminate services to CLEC registers to the Commission has ordered payment of remit any deposit required by BellSouth within 30 deposit sequired by BellSouth within 30 deposit sequired by the Agreement, or (b) by BellSouth within 30 deposit sequired by the Agreement of and not through "self-help". 7-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be and not through "self-help". 7-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be available to either Party when the Parties are wable to file a petition for through be a pertition for through be a pertition of the deposit of the dispute and both parties.					existing CLECs is reasonable given that	
7-8 1.8.3.1 Should the amount of the existing CLEC should be reduced by from CLEC be reduced by from CLEC be reduced by thirty (30) calendar days. BellSouth and requires a didtional security in an amount equal to such reduction once BellSouth may request additional security in an amount equal to such reduction once BellSouth and required to such reduction once BellSouth demonstrates a good payment history, as defined in the deposit provisions of Attachment 7. This provisions of Attachment 7. This provisions of Attachment 7. This provision is appropriate given that the Agreement's deposit provisions are not reciprocal and that BellSouth be entitled to terminate services to CLEC for failure to service to CLEC pursuant to the process for terminate services to CLEC for failure to terminate services to CLEC for failure to termination due to non-termination due to no					balances can be predicted with reasonable accuracy and that significant nortions of	
4.8 1.8.3.1 Should the amount of the amounts owed by from CLEC be reduced by thirty (30) calendar days. BellSouth may equest additional security in an amount equal to such reduction once BellSouth may request additional security in an amount equal to such reduction once BellSouth may request additional security in an amount equal to such reduction once BellSouth may request additional security in an amount equal to such reduction once BellSouth may request additional security in an amount equal to such reduction once BellSouth may request additional security in an amount equal to such reduction once BellSouth had bentlSouth be a farminate a good payment history, as defined in the deposit provisions of Attachment? This provisions of Attachment? This provisions of Attachment? This provisions are not reciprocal and that BellSouth be a coffen poor. 1.86 Should BellSouth be reminate terminate services to CLEC for failure to service to CLEC pursuant in cases where (a) CLEC agrees that such a termination due to non-payment if CLEC refuses to the Commission has ordered payment of remit any deposit required by the Agreement, or (b) payment if CLEC refuses to the Commission has ordered payment of remit any deposit required deposit should be addressed via the calendar days? 1-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be such deposit. A dispute over a requested deposit by the Parties are unable to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties.					services are billed in advance.	
deposit BellSouth requires past due amounts owed by past due amounts owed by BellSouth to CLEC? Past due amounts owed by BellSouth to CLEC? Past due amounts owed by BellSouth to CLEC? Top 1.86 Should BellSouth be centified to terminate Particle of the process for termination due to non-payment if CLEC pursuant to the process for remit any deposit required by the Agreement, or (b) payment if CLEC refuses to the Commission has ordered payment of remit any deposit required by the Agreement, or (b) the payment if CLEC refuses to the Commission has ordered payment of a required by the Parties are unable to either Party when the Parties are resolution of the dispute and both parties are mable to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties are	102	7-8	1.8.3.1	Should the amount of the	YES, the amount of security due from an	NO, CLEC's remedy for addressing late
from CLEC be reduced by thirty (30) calendar days. BellSouth age over past due amounts owed by thirty (30) calendar days. BellSouth may request additional security in an amount equal to such reduction once BellSouth and the demonstrates a good payment history, as defined in the deposit provisions of Attachment 7. This provision of Agreement 6. This provision of Agreement 8. This provision of Agreement 8. This provision of Agreement 9. T				deposit BellSouth requires	existing CLEC should be reduced by	payment by BellSouth should be
pust due amounts owed by Puirty (30) calendar days. BellSouth may request additional security in an amount equal to such reduction once BellSouth demonstrates a good payment history, as defined in the deposit provisions of Attachment 7. This provisions agiven that the Agreement's deposit provisions are not reciprocal and that BellSouth be Should BellSouth be Offen poor. NO, BellSouth spayment history with CLECs is offen poor. NO, BellSouth spayment history with CLECs is offen poor. Termit a deposit requested by BellSouth only in cases where (a) CLEC agrees that such a deposit required by BellSouth within 30 deposit is required by the Agreement, of by BellSouth within 30 deposit is required by deposit required by BellSouth within 30 deposit is required by BellSouth within 30 deposit sequired by BellSouth with 30 deposit sequired by 30 deposit sequired				from CLEC be reduced by	amounts due CLEC by BellSouth aged over	suspension/termination of service or
PellSouth to CLEC? request additional security in an amount equal to such reduction once BellSouth demonstrates a good payment history, as defined in the deposit provisions of Attachment 7. This provision is appropriate given that the Agreement's deposit provisions are not reciprocal and that BellSouth be Should BellSouth be NO, BellSouth should have a right to entitled to terminate provisions are not reciprocal and that BellSouth be NO, BellSouth should have a right to terminate to service to CLEC pursuant remit a deposit requested by BellSouth only in the process for terminate services to CLEC agrees that such a termination due to non-deposit is required by the Agreement, or (b) payment if CLEC refuses to the Commission has ordered payment of remit any deposit required by BellSouth within 30 deposit should be addressed via the calendar days? 7-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be If the Parties are unable to agree on the need awailable to either Party should be able to file a petition for unable to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties				past due amounts owed by	thirty (30) calendar days. BellSouth may	application of interest/late payment
demonstrates a good payment history, as defined in the deposit provisions of Attachment 7. This provisions of Attachment 7. This provision is appropriate given that the Agreement's deposit provisions are not reciprocal and that BellSouth be Should BellSouth be NO, BellSouth should have a right to entitled to terminate to remit at the process for terminate services to CLEC for failure to service to CLEC pursuant terminate services to CLEC for failure to remit any deposit required by the Agreement, or (b) payment if CLEC refuses to the Commission has ordered payment of remit any deposit required by the Agreement, or (b) payment if CLEC refuses to the Commission has ordered payment of remit any deposit required deposit should be addressed via the calendar days? 7-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be Agreement's Dispute Resolution provisions and not through "self-help". If the Parties are unable to agree on the need for or amount of a reasonable deposit, either when the Parties are resolution of the dispute and both parties				BellSouth to CLEC?	request additional security in an amount	charges similar to BellSouth's remedy
demonstrates a good payment history, as defined in the deposit provisions of Attachment 7. This provisions of Attachment 7. This provisions of Attachment 7. This provision of appropriate given that the Agreement's deposit provisions are not reciprocal and that BellSouth be often poor. 7-9 1.8.6 Should BellSouth be NO, BellSouth should have a right to entitled to terminate to reminate services to CLEC for failure to service to CLEC pursuant remit a deposit requested by BellSouth only in cases where (a) CLEC agrees that such a termination due to non-payment if CLEC refuses to the Commission has ordered payment of remit any deposit required by the Agreement, or (b) payment if CLEC refuses to the Commission has ordered payment of remit any deposit required by BellSouth within 30 about the Commission has ordered payment of a reasonable deposit, either when the Parties are unable to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties				-	equal to such reduction once BellSouth	for addressing late payment by CLEC.
4efined in the deposit provisions of Attachment 7. This provisions of Attachment 7. This provisions of Attachment 7. This provision is appropriate given that the Agreement's deposit provisions are not reciprocal and that BellSouth's payment history with CLECs is often poor. NO, BellSouth should have a right to terminate services to CLEC for failure to service to CLEC pursuant to the process for terminate services to CLEC of failure to remit a deposit requested by BellSouth only in cases where (a) CLEC agrees that such a deposit required by the Agreement, or (b) payment if CLEC refuses to the Commission has ordered payment of such deposit required deposit. A dispute over a requested by BellSouth within 30 calendar days? Agreement's Dispute Resolution provisions and not through "self-help". If the Parties are unable to agree on the need for or amount of a reasonable deposit, either when the Parties are resolution of the dispute and both parties			-,.		demonstrates a good payment history, as	
Attachment 7. This provision is appropriate given that the Agreement's deposit provisions are not reciprocal and that BellSouth be often poor. 7-9 1.8.6 Should BellSouth be entitled to terminate services to CLEC for failure to termination due to non-payment for the process for remit any deposit required by the Agreement, or (b) payment for LEC refuses to the Commission has ordered payment of such deposit should be addressed via the calendar days? 7-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be deposit should be addressed via the deposit should be addressed via the for or amount of a reasonable deposit, either when the Parties are unable to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties					defined in the deposit provisions of	
given that the Agreement's deposit provisions are not reciprocal and that BellSouth be Should BellSouth be NO, BellSouth should have a right to entitled to terminate to terminate to the process for termination due to non-payment if CLEC refuses to remit any deposit required by the Agreement, or (b) payment if CLEC refuses to remit any deposit required by the Agreement, or (b) payment if CLEC refuses to the Commission has ordered payment of remit any deposit required deposit should be addressed via the calendar days? 7-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be reconsistent of the Parties are unable to agree on the need averaged to agree on the need for or amount of a reasonable deposit, either when the Parties are resolution of the dispute and both parties					Attachment 7. This provision is appropriate	
7-9 1.8.6 Should BellSouth be often poor. 7-9 1.8.6 Should BellSouth be entitled to terminate 7-9 1.8.6 Should BellSouth be or often poor. 8-1.8.6 Should BellSouth be often poor. 9-2 1.8.6 Should BellSouth be often poor. 10-3 1.8.6 Should BellSouth be often poor. 10-4 1.8.6 Should BellSouth be often poor. 10-5 1.8.6 Should BellSouth be often poor. 10-6 entitled to terminate 10-7 1.8.6 Should BellSouth be often poor. 10-8 1.8.6 Should BellSouth be process for the need payment of the parties are unable to agree on the need available to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties					given that the Agreement's deposit	
2-9 1.8.6 Should BellSouth be notitled to terminate to entitled to terminate to terminate services to CLEC pursuant to the process for termination due to non-payment if CLEC refuses to remit any deposit required by BellSouth within 30 remin any deposit required by BellSouth within 30 remember to agree on the need available to either Party when the Parties are unable to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties					provisions are not reciprocal and that	
7-9 1.8.6 Should BellSouth be NO, BellSouth should have a right to entitled to terminate terminate services to CLEC for failure to service to CLEC pursuant termina deposit requested by BellSouth only in cases where (a) CLEC agrees that such a termination due to non-payment if CLEC refuses to the Commission has ordered payment of remit any deposit required by the Agreement, or (b) payment if CLEC refuses to the Commission has ordered payment of remit any deposit required by BellSouth within 30 deposit should be addressed via the Agreement's Dispute Resolution provisions and not through "self-help". 7-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be If the Parties are unable to agree on the need available to either Party For or amount of a reasonable deposit, either when the Parties are Farty should be able to file a petition for unable to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties			-		BellSouth's payment history with CLECs is	
7-9 1.8.6 Should BellSouth be NO, BellSouth should have a right to entitled to terminate services to CLEC for failure to service to CLEC pursuant to the process for termination due to non-payment if CLEC refuses to the Commission has ordered payment of remit any deposit required by BellSouth within 30 deposit should be addressed via the calendar days? 7-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be addressed a petition for unable to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties					often poor.	
entitled to terminate service to CLEC pursuant to the process for termination due to non- payment if CLEC refuses to remit any deposit required by BellSouth within 30 7-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be available to either Party when the Parties are unable to agree to CLEC for failure to remit a deposit requested by BellSouth only in cases where (a) CLEC agrees that such a deposit requested by BellSouth only in cases where (a) CLEC agrees that such a deposit required by the Agreement, or (b) the Commission has ordered payment of such deposit. A dispute over a requested deposit should be addressed via the Agreement's Dispute Resolution provisions and not through "self-help". If the Parties are unable to agree on the need for or amount of a reasonable deposit, either when the Parties are unable to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties	103	7-9	1.8.6	Should BellSouth be	NO, BellSouth should have a right to	Yes, thirty (30) calendar days is a
service to CLEC pursuant to the process for to the process for termination due to non-payment if CLEC refuses to remit any deposit required by BellSouth within 30 deposit should be addressed via the calendar days? 7-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be available to either Parties are unable to agree on the need available to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties				entitled to terminate	terminate services to CLEC for failure to	commercially reasonable time period
termination due to non-payment if CLEC refuses to remit any deposit required by the Agreement, or (b) payment if CLEC refuses to remit any deposit required by BellSouth within 30 calendar days? 7-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be available to either Party when the Parties are unable to agree on the need unable to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties				service to CLEC pursuant	remit a deposit requested by BellSouth only	within which CLEC should have met its
termination due to non-payment if CLEC refuses to remit any deposit required by the Commission has ordered payment of remit any deposit required by BellSouth within 30 deposit should be addressed via the calendar days? 7-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be arries are unable to agree on the need available to either Party when the Parties are unable to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties				to the process for	in cases where (a) CLEC agrees that such a	fiscal responsibilities.
remit any deposit required by BellSouth within 30 deposit should be addressed via the calendar days? 7-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be available to either Party when the Parties are unable to agree on the unable to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties				termination due to non-	deposit is required by the Agreement, or (b)	
remit any deposit required by BellSouth within 30 calendar days? 7-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be are unable to agree on the need available to either Party when the Parties are unable to agree on the resolution for amount of a reasonable deposit, either when the Parties are unable to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties				payment if CLEC refuses to	the Commission has ordered payment of	
by BellSouth within 30 deposit should be addressed via the calendar days? Agreement's Dispute Resolution provisions and not through "self-help". T-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be available to either Party when the Parties are when the Parties are unable to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties				remit any deposit required	such deposit. A dispute over a requested	
7-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be available to either Party when the Parties are unable to for or amount of a reasonable deposit, either party when the Parties are unable to for or amount of a reasonable deposit, either parties are unable to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties				by BellSouth within 30	deposit should be addressed via the	
7-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be available to either Party when the Parties are unable to file a petition for unable to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties				calendar days?	Agreement's Dispute Resolution provisions	
7-10 1.8.7 What recourse should be available to either Party for or amount of a reasonable deposit, either when the Parties are unable to agree on the resolution of the dispute and both parties		1			and not through "self-help".	
for or amount of a reasonable deposit, either Party should be able to file a petition for resolution of the dispute and both parties	40	7-10	1.8.7	What recourse should be	If the Parties are unable to agree on the need	If CLEC does not agree with the amount
Party should be able to file a petition for resolution of the dispute and both parties				available to either Party	for or amount of a reasonable deposit, either	or need for a deposit requested by
resolution of the dispute and both parties				when the Parties are	Party should be able to file a petition for	BellSouth, CLEC may file a petition
				unable to agree on the	resolution of the dispute and both parties	with the Commission for resolution of

ITEM No.	ESIE #	io.	UNRESOLVED ISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELL SOUTH POSITION
			need for or amount of a	should cooperatively seek expedited	the dispute and BellSouth would
			reasonable deposit?	resolution of such dispute.	cooperatively seek expedited resolution
					of such dispute. BellSouth shall not
		7.			terminate service during the pendency
					of such a proceeding provided that
		.,,			CLEC posts a payment bond for the
•					amount of the requested deposit during
1				OH.	the pendency of the proceeding.
) ()	/-III	1.8.9	Under what conditions may	Subject to a standard of commercial	BellSouth may seek additional security,
			BellSouth seek additional	reasonableness and the standards for	subject to a standard of commercial
			security deposit from	deposits requirements set forth in	reasonableness, if a material change in
			CLEC?	Attachment 7, BellSouth may seek an	the circumstances of CLEC so warrants
				additional deposit if a material change in the	and/or gross monthly billing has
				circumstances of CLEC so warrants and/or	increased beyond the level most recently
				gross monthly billing has increased more	used to determine the level of security
	-			than 25% beyond the level most recently	deposit.
				used to determine the level of deposit.	
				BellSouth should not be entitled to make	
				such additional requests based solely on	
				increased billing more frequently than once	
7				in any six (6) month period.	
106 7	7-12	1.9.1	BellSouth Issue	Notice of suspension for additional	The 15-day computer-generated notice
			Statement: To whom	applications for service, pending	stating that BellSouth may suspend
			should BellSouth be	applications for service, and access to	access to BellSouth's ordering systems
			required to send the 15 day	BellSouth's ordering systems should be sent	should go to the individual(s) that CLEC
	•		notice of suspension of	pursuant to the requirements of Attachment	has identified as its Billing Contact(s),
			access to LENS?	7 and also should be sent via certified mail	Notices, not system generated, of
				to the individual(s) listed in the Notices	security deposits and suspension or
	-		CLEC Issue Statement:	provision of the General Terms and	termination of services shall be sent via
		-	To whom should BellSouth	Conditions.	certified mail to the individual(s) listed
			be required to send notice		in the Notices provision of the General

Mal.	E #		UNRESOLVEDISSUE	CLEC POSITION	BELLSOUTH POSITION
			of suspension for		Terms and Conditions of the Agreement
			additional applications for		in addition to the CLEC's designed
			service, pending		billing contact.
		•	applications for service		1
			and access to BellSouth's		
			ordering systems?		
				BFR/NBR (ATTACHMENT 11)	
107	11-1	107 11-1 1.5, 1.8.1,	(A) Should BellSouth be	(A) NO, charges associated with the	(A) YES, BellSouth is entitled to
		1.9,	permitted to charge CLEC	development of a BFR should be	recover its costs in provisioning services
		1.10	the full development costs	apportioned among CLECs who may	to CLEC. Since this is a unique request
•			associated with a BFR?	benefit from the UNE(s).	that CLEC is making, CLEC should
		•			bear the full development costs.
			(B) If so, how should these	(B) To the extent BellSouth can charge	•
			costs be recovered?	CLEC for the development costs associated	(B) CLEC should be obligated to pay
				with a BFR, such costs should be assessed	these costs upon request that BellSouth
				through non-recurring and recurring rates.	proceed.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was served on the individuals on the attached Service List by mailing a copy thereof, this 8th day of March 2004.

Cheryl Fl. Winn

<u>SERVICE LIST - PSC 2004-00044</u>

Jake E. Jennings NewSouth Two North Main Street Greenville, SC 29601

Bo Russell NuVox 301 North Main Street, Suite 5000 Greenville, SC 29601

Marva Brown Johnson KMC Telecom 1755 North Brown Road Lawrenceville, GA 30043

James C. Falvey Xspedius Suite 200 7125 Columbia Gateway Drive Columbia, MD 21046

John J. Heitmann Enrico C. Soriano Heather T. Hendrickson Kelley Drye & Warren LLP 1200 19th Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036

John E. Selent Dinsmore & Shohl LLP 1400 PNC Plaza 500 W. Jefferson Street Louisville, KY 40202