CASE NUMBER: 99-096

INDEX FOR CASE: 99-096 NORTH SHELBY WATER COMPANY Complaints - Service

OF GARRY LEE AND MARY SUE RUTLEDGE

IN THE MATTER OF GARRY LEE AND MARY SUE RUTLEDGE VS. NORTH SHELBY WATER COMPANY

SEQ	ENTRY	
NBR	DATE	REMARKS
0001	03/17/99	Application.
0002	03/19/99	Acknowledgement letter.
0003	03/29/99	Order to Satisfy or Answer; info due 4/8
M0002	04/15/99	GARRY & MARY SUE RUTLEDGE CITIZEN-INFORMATION TO FILE WITH COMPLAINT
M0001	04/19/99	DONALD PRATHER NORTH SHELBY WATER-ANSWER TO COMPLAINT
0004	04/28/99	Data Request Order, response due 5/10/99.
M0003	05/10/99	DONALD PRATHER NORTH SHELBY WATER-EXTENSION OF TIME TO SATISFY INFORMATION REQUEST OF 4/28/
0005	05/19/99	Order granting extension until 6-1-99 to file response to 4-28-99 Order.
M0004	06/02/99	WARNER BROUGHMAN-RESPONSE TO ORDER OF APRIL 28,99
M0005	06/02/99	WARNER BROUGHMAN-RESPONSE TO ORDER OF APRIL 28,99
M0006	06/03/99	DONALD PRATHER NORTH SHELBY WATER-RESPONSE TO ORDER OF 4/28/99 AND PURSUANT TO EXTENSION GR
0006	07/29/99	Order scheduling 9/14 hearing; info due 8/30
M0007	08/05/99	GARY & MARY RUTLEDGE-REQUEST FOR COMPLAINT TO BE DROPPED
0007	08/17/99	FINAL ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT





COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY **PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION** 730 SCHENKEL LANE POST OFFICE BOX 615 FRANKFORT, KY. 40602 (502) 564-3940

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

RE: Case No. 99-096 NORTH SHELBY WATER COMPANY

I, Stephanie Bell, Secretary of the Public Service Commission, hereby certify that the enclosed attested copy of the Commission's Order in the above case was served upon the following by U.S. Mail on August 17, 1999.

See attached parties of record.

terhad Seco

Secretary of the Commission

SB/sa Enclosure Darrell Dees Manager North Shelby Water Company P. O. Box 97 Bagdad, KY. 40003

Garry Lee Rutledge Mary Sue Rutledge 1484 Anderson Lane Shelbyville, KY. 40065

Honorable Donald T. Prather Attorney for North Shelby Water Mathis, Riggs & Prather, P.S.C. 500 Main Street P.O. Box 1059 Shelbyville, KY. 40066 1059

Hon. Robert Myles Matthews, Myles & Smith 310 Main Street Shelbyville, KY. 40066

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

GARRY LEE AND MARY SUE RUTLEDGE)
COMPLAINANTS)
ν.) CASE NO. 99-096
NORTH SHELBY WATER COMPANY)
DEFENDANT)
<u>O R D E R</u>	

Complainants having advised the Commission in writing that the Defendant has satisfied the Complaint to their satisfaction and the Commission finding that no issues remain in dispute and that this proceeding should be closed,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The Complaint is dismissed.

2. This proceeding is closed and shall be removed from the Commission's docket.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 17th day of August, 1999.

By the Commission

ATTEST:

August 4, 1999

AUG C 5 1999

Ms. Helen Helton, Executive Director Public Service Commission P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

Re: 99-096

Dear Ms. Helton:

This is to request that the complaint with the above case number be dropped. The North Shelby Water District has installed our water line as agreed.

Thank you for your assistance in getting this water line installed.

Sincerely,

Gary and Mary Sue Rutledge

Dany he Ruthdye Mary Sue Futtedge



COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY **PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION** 730 SCHENKEL LANE POST OFFICE BOX 615 FRANKFORT, KY. 40602 (502) 564-3940

July 29, 1999

To: All parties of record

RE: Case No. 99-096

We enclose one attested copy of the Commission's Order in the above case.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Bell Secretary of the Commission

SB/sa Enclosure Darrell Dees Manager North Shelby Water Company P. O. Box 97 Bagdad, KY 40003

Garry Lee Rutledge Mary Sue Rutledge 1484 Anderson Lane Shelbyville, KY 40065

Honorable Donald T. Prather Attorney for North Shelby Water Mathis, Riggs & Prather, P.S.C. 500 Main Street P.O. Box 1059 Shelbyville, KY 40066 1059

Hon. Robert Myles Matthews, Myles & Smith 310 Main Street Shelbyville, KY 40066

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

GARRY LEE AND MARY SUE RUTLEDGE

COMPLAINANTS

CASE NO. 99-096

V.

NORTH SHELBY WATER COMPANY

DEFENDANT

ORDER

The Commission, on its own motion, HEREBY ORDERS that:

1. A formal hearing in this matter shall be held on September 14, 1999, at 9:00 a.m., Eastern Time, in Hearing Room 1 of the Commission's offices at 730 Schenkel Lane, Frankfort, Kentucky, and continuing until completed.

2. Within 30 days of the date of this Order, each party shall file an original and 8 copies of the following with the Commission and serve upon a copy upon all parties of record:

a. List of the names and addresses of all witnesses that it intends to call as a witness at the formal hearing.

b. A summary of the expected testimony of each witness.

c. A copy of all documents and exhibits that it intends to introduce into evidence at the scheduled hearing.

3. To be timely filed with the Commission, a document must be received by the Secretary of the Commission within the specified time for filing except that any document shall be deemed timely filed if it has been transmitted by United States express mail, or by other recognized mail carriers, with the date the transmitting agency received said document from the sender noted by the transmitting agency on the outside of the container used for transmitting, within the time allowed for filing.

4. Service of any document or pleading shall be made in accordance with Administrative Regulation 807 KAR 5:001, Section 3(7), and Kentucky Civil Rule 5.02.

5. As the Complainants bear the burden of proof in this matter, their failure to appear at the formal hearing and to present proof in support of their complaint may result in the dismissal of their complaint with prejudice.

6. The failure of Defendant to appear at the formal hearing may result in the entry of an Order granting the Complainants' requested relief.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 29th day of July, 1999.

By the Commission

ATTEST:

utive

MATHIS. RIGGS & PRATHER, P.S.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 500 MAIN STREET · P.O. BOX 1059 SHELBYVILLE, KENTUCKY 40066-1059

C. LEWIS MATHIS, JR. T. SHERMAN RIGGS DONALD T. PRATHER

HAROLD Y. SAUNDERS OF COUNSEL

TELEPHONE: (502) 633-5220 FAX: (502) 633-0667

June 1, 1999

RECEIVED

Public Service Commission 730 Schenkel Lane P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

JUN 0 3 1999

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

77-076

North Shelby Water Company/Anderson Lane (Rutledge) Re: Extension Application

Gentlepersons:

Enclosed please find the original and four copies of a Response to Public Service Commission's Order for filing in the above captioned matter.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

MATHIS, RIGGS & PRATHER, P.S.C.

Bv: Donald T. Prather

DTP/kr Enclosures Darrell Dees, Manager, North Shelby cc: Sandy Broughman, Engineer, North Shelby 2WTR\NS\PSC5.DTP

RECEIVED

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

JUN 03 1999

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In The Matter Of:

GARRY LEE AND MARY SUE RUTLEDGE

COMPLAINANTS

vs

NORTH SHELBY WATER COMPANY

DEFENDANT

CASE NO. 99-096

RESPONSE TO PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION'S ORDER

** ** ** **

Comes North Shelby Water Company (hereinafter "North Shelby"), by counsel, and files its Answer to the Commission's Order dated April 28, 1999, and pursuant to extension of time granted by P.S.C. Order dated May 19, 1999.

1. A copy of the preliminary construction plans for the Anderson Lane extension are being filed with the Commission by separate cover letter from North Shelby's engineer, Warner A. Broughman, III. The Company did not perform a new estimate at the time the parties signed the extension application in August, 1998. The previous water line extension estimate, dated March 17, 1998 is attached hereto as "Exhibit A". Please note there is no cost included for highway crossings since at that time North Shelby's engineer mistakenly believed the water main was on the west side of Hebron Road, therefore not requiring a road bore under Hebron Road, and that the line would be run on the northeast side of Anderson Lane where the digging would be the cheapest and easiest, therefore eliminating the Anderson Lane road bore. North Shelby does not generally obtain a new estimate unless the old estimate is more than six months old or construction plans have significantly changed, neither of which is applicable to this case.

2. A full and complete answer to this question requires an understanding of the entire course of events of this extension.

(a) The initial inquiry for this service was prior to August 18, 1997. The matter was discussed at the August 18, 1997 Board Meeting, when it was concluded that the developer would have to pay the line enlargement charge for all lots fronting on the existing Anderson Lane line, and the developer would have to construct a minimum six inch line on Anderson Lane from the end of the existing line to mid-way through the last subdivision lot. Since this would be a dead-end line, and in view of the extensive growth which had occurred the four inch line along Hebron Road from which this line would extend, the Board directed the engineer to check the hydraulics of the project to determine whether or not the subdivider will be required to loop the system.

(b) This development was discussed again at the

September 15, 1997 Board meeting as follows:

"Broughman reported the hydraulics study indicated that, without completing the loop and assuming full development of all lots in area, each customer in area would experience a loss of 20 psi during peak use times - the customers would notice this pressure drop and the company would be burdened with significant numbers of customer complaints. With a completed loop, the pressure during peak time would only drop about three psi. In both cases the residual pressure would stay above PSC minimum of 30 psi.

Engineer recommended developer complete the loop. Extensive discussion ensued regarding how helpful it would be if the route for the new Louisville line was decided so that North Shelby would know whether to up size this line or not, but that the decision could not be made within the near future. The Board also discussed what requirements would be imposed for approving the The Board voted to require the line up size charge be paid plat. for the frontage (both sides) of the development on the existing line, and that the developer must construct a 6" water main from the existing line half way across the last lot (no. 8). Relving upon the fact the development will meet PSC minimum 30 psi. requirement, the Board voted not to require the developer to complete the loop at this time. If the development does not proceed immediately, developer looping might be required at a later The loop will need to be done eventually either by company time. or developer."

(c) The initial water line extension estimate was performed on March 17, 1998 showing a total construction cost estimate of \$31,400.00 plus \$4,300.00 non-construction costs for a total estimated project cost of \$35,700.00. The Rutledges were advised that their portion of this cost would be \$17,114.00 for their subdivision line. In addition, the Rutledges were advised that they would pay \$4,346.00 for the line enlargement charge.

(d) The Rutledges indicated they believed this cost to be outrageous. They discharged their realtor, Duncan LeCompte (who is President of North Shelby), stating they were in no hurry, and since they could not sell their land, they were going to farm it.

(e) By September 16, 1998, the Rutledges had hired a new realtor, were now in a big rush to get their line installed, signed the extension application attached hereto as "Exhibit B", and paid their money. The language in paragraph 4 thereof was underlined when the Rutledges signed same.

(f) The extension application was mailed to the Commission on October 13, 1998, was approved by the Commission on November 20, 1998, and was received by North Shelby on December 12, 1998. During the period from August, 1998 to December, 1998, North Shelby's Board discussed the wisdom of up sizing the subject line to either an eight inch or a twelve inch at North Shelby's expense, and looping this subdivision line at North Shelby's expense by extending the line northwest approximately 1000 feet to connect with another line.

(g) At the November, 16, 1998 Board meeting, following management and engineer recommendations, the Board voted as part of the Rutledge project to replace the existing Anderson Lane line, upsize the Rutledge line, and complete looping the Anderson Lane line by building the remaining line from the Rutledge Subdivision across the creek some 900 to 1000 feet, all to be eight-inch line. The Peters and Nethery easements became necessary.

(h) On December 1, 1998, another estimate was performed by Broughman, a copy of which is attached hereto as "Exhibit C". Since this estimate was performed for contractors, it does not contain any non-construction expenses. When these are added, the new total project estimate would have been more than \$45,400.00.

The December 1, 1998 estimate shows 900 additional feet of larger eight inch pipe and eight inch valves, the additional cost to be paid by the Company. The cost of concrete and crushed stone had increased by \$100.00 respectively, and the site videotaping of \$400.00 was added to protect the Company from complaints about construction clean-up. These additional costs could not be contemplated at the time of the original March, 1998 estimate since North Shelby did not know the Rutledges would take their property off the market, nor did it know the Rutledges would be litigationprone necessitating the site videotaping.

(i) At the January 18, 1999 Board meeting, it was reported that the road bore subcontractor had cut a telephone line and had not been seen since. Also, North Shelby's engineer had previously become aware that the existing main was on the west side of Hebron Road, thus necessitating the first road bore. Due to difficulty in obtaining the Nethery easement on the north side of Anderson Lane, the line had also been switched to partly on the south side of Anderson Lane, thus necessitating the second road bore. Decisions on which side of the road to run a water line are based upon minimizing road bores, running a line where easements can be obtained, and running the line where the digging will be the easiest, all designed to minimize construction costs.

(j) It was reported at the February 15, 1999 Board meeting that North Shelby was waiting on one easement (Peters) to begin construction. At this time North Shelby erroneously assumed

it had the required existing easements on the south side of Anderson Lane because of the existing water main.

(k) The following report was given at the Board's April19, 1999 meeting:

"The Rutledges have filed a formal P.S.C. Complaint. The Company's Answer has been filed. In order to complete loop, Company needs easements either from Paul Nethery on north side of road, or from Melvin Marsh and Harvey Scearce on south side of Although Company has an existing four-inch line on south road. side of Anderson Lane across Marsh and Scearce, former Manager Allen apparently never obtained easements. Nethery, on north side, has requested complete exemption of his property from any construction rebates, and also a free meter. Marsh is allegedly willing to grant an easement on south side of road - Scearce has not yet been approached. Road bore has been completed across Hebron Road ending on south side of Anderson Lane. Danny Hatton has been hired as substitute contractor since Flat Creek contractor will not finish Flat Creek in time to do this project. Company will purchase pipe in order to avoid sales tax which will help keep the project in budget. Contractor Hatton to begin construction as soon as he finishes North Country extension, which is an older extension request."

3. Engineer Broughman is filing with the Commission a copy of the map showing North Shelby's existing distribution system in the area surrounding and including the Rutledges' property on Anderson Lane. As detailed in North Shelby's Answer to Question 4, North Shelby essentially had no easements along Anderson Lane in the subject area.

4a. North Shelby's distribution system was of marginal capacity in this area prior to the Rutledges' subdivision. It was not adequate to handle the Rutledges' 15-lot subdivision, especially after existing lots in the area are built out. When the Rutledges initially inquired about service, the Board stated in its September 15, 1997 Minutes that the developer might be required to

pay for a loop if the development was not built immediately. The Rutledges did not sign their extension application with the Company until one year later. Several months later North Shelby decided looping was necessary, but the Company did not require the Rutledges to pay for the looping since they had not been initially required to pay for it. The Peters easement, required to construct the loop, was signed March 1, 1999.

Additionally, the existing water main from Hebron Road to the Rutledges' property is inadequate in size to serve a 15-lot subdivision, especially given the increasing requests for fire hydrants by Shelby County residents. North Shelby decided to install, as part of the Rutledge project but at North Shelby's expense, a larger water main on the north side of Anderson Lane in order to remedy this situation. This would also eliminate disruption of service to existing customers from the undersized main on the south side of Anderson Lane. In order for the Company to rebuild this line, it was necessary to either obtain an easement from Nethery on the north side of the road or from Scearce and Marsh on the south side of the road.

4b. It was not known that any easements would be required to serve the Rutledges' subdivision at the time the parties signed the extension application in August, 1998, and therefore no costs were included in the initial estimate. See preceding answer to Question 4a.

Additionally, North Shelby has seldom, if ever, had to

pay for any easements and therefore no cost is generally budgeted on the initial estimate for acquiring easements. North Shelby has always asked subdividers to attempt to obtain the easement themselves, in order to minimize easement acquisition costs. North Shelby has been fortunate that these initial efforts have generally worked. If the utility makes the initial contact, it has been North Shelby's experience that land owners want monetary or other compensation. North Shelby has been able to save its members and/or developers a great deal of money by utilizing this process. Of course, if the developers are unsuccessful in obtaining the easement, then North Shelby obtains the easement as required by Commission regulation.

4c. The Peters and Nethery easements were prepared by the engineer November 20, 1998. The Peters easement was obtained by the Company on March 1, 1999. Unlike the other easements, this easement made sense for the Company to obtain it directly since a water line was to be constructed across the Peters' property where no line existed and at no cost to the Peters. People generally sign such easements without resistance.

The Company did not pursue the Nethery easement at that time because the Manager was under the impression it was not needed because North Shelby would tie into its existing four inch line on the south side of Anderson Lane. It should be noted that the proposed route of this line has switched repeatedly from one side of Anderson Lane to the other.

During March or April of 1999, North Shelby's management and attorney were unable to locate existing easements for North Shelby's existing line on Anderson Lane. Former manager Allen apparently never obtained easements, or if he did obtain them, they were not recorded, both in violation of Company policy. One short easement across part of Scearce's property was found recorded.

At some point letters were sent to the Rutledges with the request that they contact their neighbors and see if they would sign easements, all in accordance with the Company's past practice and PSC regulations. The engineer also believed one or more were related to the Rutledges. The Rutledges apparently sent these easements on to Public Service Commission without bothering to try to get them signed.

North Shelby intensified its efforts to obtain the Marsh and Scearce easements on the south side of Anderson Lane. Management attempted to contact Scearce first and left a number of messages on Scearce's answering machine, but these calls were never returned. Management also made numerous visits to the Scearce residence but they did not answer the door. One time management passed by the house and noticed a car there, immediately turned around to return to the house, but the car was already gone and there was no answer at the door.

Efforts then shifted back to the north side of the road with attempts to get an easement from Nethery. Nethery declined to sign the easement unless he was granted complete exemption of his property from any construction rebates and also given a free meter.

North Shelby was not able to meet these demands since it is prohibited from giving a free meter to one customer and not another due to discrimination, and North Shelby would not be acting in the best interest of its members if it gave up rebate rights since North Shelby was contributing significant funds to construct this extension (completing the loop and rebuilding the existing line on Anderson Lane).

When Nethery failed to sign an easement, efforts shifted once again to the south side of Anderson Lane. Management mailed letters to Scearce and Marsh enclosing the easements and requesting that they be signed and returned to the Company. When these letters did not work, management approached Marsh in person first because it was believed Marsh was more agreeable and it would be easier to convince Scearce to sign an easement if Marsh had already done so. A special easement contract was necessary in order to obtain the Marsh easement, which requires North Shelby to install a fire hydrant. The cost of this fire hydrant will be between \$1,000.00 and \$3,000.00.

Once the Marsh easement was in hand, the Scearces were finally located and signed their easement.

5. The Commission should ignore the statement that North Shelby must submit the extension application to the Commission for approval. The existence of duplicate files and misfiling in the attorney's office led the undersigned to erroneously conclude the extension application had not been approved, when in fact the extension application was approved November 20, 1998, but merely

misfiled. A copy of the approved extension application is attached hereto as "Exhibit B".

Respectfully submitted,

Mathis, Riggs & Prather, P.S.C.

Donald T. Prather P.O. Box 1059 Shelbyville, Kentucky 40066-1059 Phone: (502) 633-5220 Fax: (502) 633-0667 Attorney for North Shelby Water Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

It is certified that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Response to Public Service Commission's Order was served by U.S. Mail, first class, postage prepaid, this <u>lat</u> day of June, 1999 upon the following:

Robert Myles, Esq. P.O. Box 1176 Shelbyville, Kentucky 40066-1176 Attorney for Garry Lee Rutledge and Mary Sue Rutledge

Garry Lee Rutledge Mary Sue Rutledge 1485 Anderson Lane Shelbyville, Kentucky 40065

Donald T. Prather

2wtr\ns\anderson\response

WATERLINE	EXTEN	SIOI	N ESTI	MATE		
Client Name GARRY & MARY SUE RUTLEDGE Date Prepared 3/17/98 Client Address 1405 ANDERSON LANE Client Phone SHEZYYVILLE, KY 40065 Water Utility NORTH SHEZBY Utility Phone 747-8942						
Location of Extension ANDERSON LANE Size of Main G-INCH Number of Tap-ons Length of New Waterline 4900 Feet Special Problems - (gas line, railroad, highway, etc.) DEAD GUD COULD THRU TO OTHER GUD OF ANDERSON LANE Construction Estimate Unit Cost						
	4900 IF	x	69	= 29,400-		
length of pipe		_x				
number of valves	3	X	400=			
number of blowoffs		x	400=	= 400-		
number of fire hydrants	NONE	x	, 	_=		
length of highway crossing		x	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
length of stream crossing	•	x				
tons of crushed stone	20	x	10	=		
tons of asphalt		x	<u></u>			
cubic yards of concrete	2	x x	100	= 200		
		x		_=		
Total Construction \$ 31,400 =						
Division of Water Processing Fee Engineering Inspection Legal \$100 per casement + 2%		\$ \$ \$ Total	150 = 3450 = 500 = 260 =	uction \$ _4300		
c:\WATFORM.CIIPIwalform Total Project \$ 35,700=						

- •

EXHIBIT A

. .

RECEIVED OCT 2 1 1998 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

P.01

EXTENSION APPLICATION FOR

ARNER BROUGHMAN & ASSOC 6062721020

NORTH SHELBY WATER COMPANY

APPLICANTS (8) : Garry and Mary Sue Rutledge ADDRESS: 1484 Anderson Lane, Shelbyville, KY 40065

NOTE: All Applicants must sign

AREA TO BE SERVED: new development along Anderson Lane

SERVICE APPLIED FOR: Distribution Line Extension: XXXXX Distribution Flush Hydrant:

Distribution Upgrading: Distribution Relocation: Other (describe:

ESTIMATES:

Estimated Water Line Size: 6-1ncn Project Cost: \$17,114.00 Estimated Footage: 2349 Other :

EXHIBITS: Map or plat showing streets, lots, buildings, proposed service route, and easements, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof, and marked "Exhibit A" for identification

Other (describe)			Cost Estimate	
CONSTRUCTION COMMENTS: N		North Shelby to	construct 2551 LF;	
		Rutledge to pay	for construction of	2349
		L.F.		

ليبيبهم

1. Applicant applies for a water line extension upon the terms and conditions set forth in this contract.

2. Applicant agrees to provide without cost to the Company any properly signed recordable easements required by the Company for the installation and maintenance of the Company's water transmission or distribution lines, existing and future; provided such lines cross Applicant's real estate and are either adjacent and parallel to the right of way for a public roadway or are adjacent and parallel to applicant's property boundary.

3. The water facilities constructed hereunder shall at all times be owned and maintained by the Company. The Company shall have the right to extend the facilities without compensation to Applicant or the consent of Applicant. The Company shall have the right to make service connections thereto without the consent of the Applicant, and subject to the Company's construction rebate agreement as hereinafter provided.

4. Upon approval by the Company's engineer, manager, and board of directors, the manager and engineer will design and make a cost estimate of the project. The Applicant will, prior to construction, make a deposit to the Company's escrow construction account of the entire estimated project cost. If the actual construction cost exceeds the estimate, Applicant will promptly pay the difference. If the actual construction cost is less than the

estimate, the Company will refund to Applicant any overpayment plus interest at the rate of 6% per annum on the amount of the excess deposit for the period beginning 90 days after completion of all construction, installation and servicing work in connection with the project and ending with the date of payment of refund PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

5. Applicant acknowledges that the project cost Kellick include the Company's reasonable supervision, engineering, lagal and accounting charges attributable to this project.

NOV 20 1998



and a second statement of the second statement of the second statement of the second statement of the second st

1

PURSUANT TO CULTUME LOUT SECTION 9 (1) BY Stephand But SECRETARY OF YES WAS ALDED

6. All projects having estimated construction costs exceeding \$10,000.00, shall be bid unless waived by the Applicant. All smaller projects, and all projects where bidding is waived by the Applicant, will be constructed by the Company or the Company's contractor.

. .

Waives the right to have this project bid If the estimated cost exceeds \$10,000.00, desires that this project be bid

7. Applicant grants Company a perpetual easement over Applicant's land to survey, plan, install, construct, maintain, repair, and remove existing and future water pipelines, appurtenant facilities, and meters, the right to read those meters, and the right of ingress and egress for these purposes over Applicant's property.

8. All construction, labor and materials must be in accordance with the Company's specifications.

9. The facilities will be constructed in accordance with "Exhibit A." If there is no "Exhibit A" to this contract, the extension will be constructed within the Company's easements upon Applicant's property. Under no circumstances will construction begin on Applicant's property until Applicant has granted Company all necessary and proper recorded easements.

10. The Company agrees that it will perform its obligations under this contract with reasonable diligence, and that construction will begin as soon as reasonably possible. In the event that the construction called for by this contract cannot begin within 6 months from the date of this contract, then either the Company or the Applicant may terminate this agreement by written notice to the other at which time the Company will refund the deposit less any cost incurred.

11. This agreement shall be valid and binding on the Company only when executed by its President. Any deposit made with this application prior to such signature shall not be construed as an acceptance hereof.

12. The Company shall determine the total cost of the water main extension (exclusive of the tap on fee). The cost shall be contributed equally by those Applicants desiring service on the main extension. Each Applicant (customer) will also be required to pay the Company's approved "Tap-on fee" for a meter connection to the main extension.

For a period of ten years after the project has been completed and placed in service, each additional customer directly connected to that portion of the water main constructed under this agreement (not including customer connections to further extensions or branches thereof) will be required to contribute to the cost of that extension based on a recomputation of each customer's contribution as set out above. The Company must refund to those customers that have previously contributed to the cost of each main extension that amount necessary to reduce their contribution to the currently calculated amount for each customer connected to that extension, provided, however, that the total amount returned shall not exceed the original construction cost, without interest. All customers directly connected to each main extension for a ten year period after it is placed in service are to contribute equally to the cost of the construction of the water main extension. In addition, each customer must pay the approved tap on fee applicable at the time of their application for the meter connection, which is not refundable and may be changed during the refund period. After the ten year refund period expires, any additional customer applying for service on each main extension must be connected for the amount of the approved tap on fee only, and all or any part of the construction cost not refunded within said ten/(yearMiseriod shall become the property of the Company. All refundsCrehall be made on an annual basis and without interest.

2

contraction and provide a contraction of the second second

NOV 20 1998

PURSUANT TO 607 KAN JULT SECTION 9 (1) BY: Stephan Bult SECRETARY OF THE COMPLESSION

- 54

13. If this application is for extension of water service to a subdivision (a subdivision is presumed by the existence of a plat), the Applicant agrees that no refund will be paid for any customer located within that subdivision, it being expected that the subdivider will recoup the cost of this extension in the sale of the subdivision lots. The Applicant further agrees that the construction contribution for each additional customer not located within said subdivision, will be computed using the number of planned customers in that subdivision and a new customer across the road but not in the subdivision applies for service from the water main extension, the construction contribution from that new customer will be calculated by dividing the original construction cost by 20.)

14. If the Company has contributed company funds to assist in this extension, each Applicant hereby assigns to the Company that Applicant's construction rebate refund to the extent necessary to first repay the Company's construction contribution.

15. If Applicant's account becomes delinquent, Applicant agrees to pay the Company's attorney face and costs incurred in collecting that account. Any delinquent account will accrue Twelve Percent (12%) interest. Venue for any action filed on this contract shall be in Shelby County, Kentucky.

16. Any notice given hereunder shall be deemed sufficient if in writing and sent by certified mail to Company at P.O. Box 97, Bagdad, Kentucky 40003, and to Applicant at 1484 Anderson Lane Shelbyville, KY 40065

17. By signature hareon, Applicant acknowledges that he/she has read the foregoing, received a copy thereof, agrees to be bound by same, and acknowledges that this is the entire agreement between the parties and that there are no oral agreements between the parties. / /

Date: 9

APPLICANT	: Garry	& Mary	Sue, Ru	ut ledge
By: Xan	. F. Ret	luc	Mary	Su Ruttely
Title: La	hdowner	J	τŗ	

Additional Applicants, if any (sign on back if necessary)

Date:

NORTH CHELBY WATER COMPANY BY: <u>Juncan</u> <u>Le compte</u> Duncan LeCompte, President

FOR COMPANY USE ONLY:

Received this:	day of:	,19:
Erom Applicant	for Escrow Construction	<u>م</u>
Completed Cost	of Project om (to) Applicant	
Barance due ite	M (CO) Appricanc	

3

Completed Footage of Project Company Contribution (if any)

extapp3.wdb

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY EFFECTIVE

NOV 20 1998

PURSUANT TO BUT HAR OUT I SECTION 9 (1) BY Stephand Bull SECRETARY OF THE COMMESSION

BIDDER agrees to perform all the work described in the CONTRACT DOCUMENTS for the following unit prices or lump sum: NOTE: BIDS shall include cales tax and all other applicable taxes and fees

· · · ·

,

BID SCHEDULE

NORTH SHELBY WATER COMPANY Anderson Lane Extension						
Item	ayan an ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an			Unit	Total	
No.	Description	Amount	Unit	Price	Price	
1.	8-inch PVC Pipe, Complete in Place	5,800	L.F.	\$ 650	\$ 37,700	
2.	8-inch Gate Valve & Box Complete in Place	4	EACH	\$ 50000	* 2000-	
3.	Blowoff, Complete in Place	1	EACH	\$ 400-	\$ 400=	
1.	Class C Concrete, Complete in Place	%	C.Y.	\$ 15000	\$ 300	
5.	Crushed Stone, Complete in Place	20	TONS	\$ 15	s 300°°	
6.	Site Videotaping	1	ЕАСН	s 400 -	5 400-	
Respectfully submitted.						
Respectfully sublitted, Type or Print Name and Title: <u>Engineers</u> Estimitie Signature: Date: 12/1/92 Address:						
ATTE	ATTEST: WHYP Employer ID Number:					



D Mather/CONSULTIN97-29 Anderson Lane/BID SCHEDULE.doc

P-2



(i

١,



Warner A. Broughman III

3161 Custer Dr.

Lexington, Kentucky 40517

May 28, 1999



Public Service Commission P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

re: Rutledge vs. North Shelby Case No. 99-096

To the COMMISSION:

The North Shelby Water Company has asked me to respond to items 1 & 3 of your Order dated April 28, 1999.

1. The preliminary estimate was prepared in March of 1998. No plans were prepared as part of the estimate, but rather an aerial photo and a copy of the Complainant's Preliminary Draft of Deerfield Farms were used to prepare the estimate. Five copies of the estimate, aerial photo and draft are attached.

3. Five copies of North Shelby's distribution system map are enclosed. No lines of the existing system were present on the Complainant's property at the beginning of this process.

If I can provide additional information, please give me a call.

Yours truly,

Warner A. Broughman, III, P.E.

Encl.

Cc: Don Prather, Attorney Duncan LeCompte, President Gerry & Mary Rutledge

D:\Zother\CONSULTI\97-29 Anderson Lane\LETTERS\L-PSC E99.doc

WATERLINE	EXTENS	SION EST	MATE
Client Name GARRY & MARY SUR Client Address 1485 ANDER	E KUTLEDG ison LANE	<u>E</u> Date F Client	repared <u>3/17/98</u> Phone
SHEZIGYUILLE		*	
Water Utility NORTH SHELB	A	Utility	Phone <u>747-8942</u>
Location of Extension AND Exes	0.0 0000	Numbe	r of Tap-ons_wowe
Longth of New Waterline 4900		Feet	
Special Problems - (gas line, railroa THRU TO OTHER ER	d, highway, etc.) DEAD END 260N LANE	
Construction Estimate	Unit	Cost	Totals
length of pipe 6-west	4900 2F	x 6º	= 29,400
length of pipe		X	==
number of valves	3	_x_400=	= 1200
number of blowoffs	<u> </u>	_x_400=	= 400 -
number of fire hydrants	NONE	X	==
length of highway crossing		X	=
length of stream crossing		x	
tons of crushed stone	20	_x10	= 200-
tons of asphalt	<u></u>	x	==
cubic yards of concrete	2	x 100	= 200-
		x	
		x	
		Total Cons	struction \$ 31,400 °
		\$ 150	e
Division of Water Processing Fee Engineering	· .	\$ 3450	~
Inspection	-	\$ 500	
Legal \$100 per easement + 2 %		\$ 2005	
	-	Total Non-Cons	struction \$_4300
c:\WATFORM.CHP/walform		Tota	Project \$ 35,700 =







6961 936M31435 031M84

;



COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY **PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION** 730 SCHENKEL LANE POST OFFICE BOX 615 FRANKFORT, KY. 40602 (502) 564-3940

May 19, 1999

To: All parties of record

RE: Case No. 99-096

We enclose one attested copy of the Commission's Order in the above case.

Stylow bee

Stephanie Bell Secretary of the Commission

SB/sh Enclosure Darrell Dees Manager North Shelby Water Company P. O. Box 97 Bagdad, KY 40003

Garry Lee Rutledge Mary Sue Rutledge 1484 Anderson Lane Shelbyville, KY 40065

Honorable Donald T. Prather Attorney for North Shelby Water Mathis, Riggs & Prather, P.S.C. P.O. Box 1059 Shelbyville, KY 40066 1059

Hon. Robert Myles Matthews, Myles & Smith 310 Main Street Shelbyville, KY 40066

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

GERRY LEE AND MARY SUE RUTLEDGE

COMPLAINANTS

۷.

NORTH SHELBY WATER COMPANY

DEFENDANT

CASE NO. 99-096

<u>ORDER</u>

North Shelby Water Company ("NSWC") has moved for an extension of time in which to submit its response to the Commission's April 28, 1999 Order. The Commission finds that the motion should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that NSWC's motion is granted and its response to the Commission's April 28, 1999 Order is now due June 1, 1999.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 19th day of May, 1999.

By the Commission

ATTEST:
MATHIS, RIGGS & PRATHER, P.S.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 500 MAIN STREET · P.O. BOX 1059 SHELBYVILLE, KENTUCKY 40066-1059

C. LEWIS MATHIS, JR. T. SHERMAN RIGGS DONALD T. PRATHER

HAROLD Y. SAUNDERS OF COUNSEL TELEPHONE: (502) 633-5220

FAX: (502) 633-0667

May 7, 1999

MAY 1 0 1999

RECEIVED

Public Service Commission 730 Schenkel Lane P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Re: North Shelby Water Company/Anderson Lane (Rutledge) Extension Application

99-096

Gentlepersons:

Enclosed please find the original and four copies of a Motion for Continuance for filing in the above captioned matter.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

MATHIS, RIGGS & PRATHER, P.S.C.

Donald T. Prather

DTP/kr Enclosures cc: Robert Myles, Esq. Darrell Dees, Manager, North Shelby Sandy Broughman, Engineer, North Shelby 2WTR\NS\PSC4.DTP



MAY 1 0 1999

PUBLIC SERVICE

COMMISSION

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In The Matter Of:

GARRY LEE AND MARY SUE RUTLEDGE

COMPLAINANTS

vs

CASE NO. 99-096

NORTH SHELBY WATER COMPANY

DEFENDANT

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

** ** ** **

Comes North Shelby Water Company (hereinafter "North Shelby"), by counsel, and moves the Commission for a twenty day extension of time to satisfy the information request contained in the Commission's Order dated April 28, 1999.

The grounds for this motion are that compliance with the Order in the original short time frame will be unduly burdensome upon North Shelby Water Company and constitute an unnecessary expense upon the members, in light of the progress which has been made towards installation of the water line. North Shelby has made progress towards completing this project. The immediate service need for Elite Homes has been satisfied by setting a meter on April 29, 1999 which is temporarily connected to an existing four-inch water line. A new contractor has been obtained (the old contractor finally agreed he could not timely construct the project and has stepped aside), which

contractor is scheduled to begin construction as soon as he finishes a small extension in North Country Subdivision (which pre-dates the Rutledge Application). Pipe is scheduled to be dropped on site during the week of April 12, 1999 and Mr. Rutledge today provided the lot number where he would prefer the pipe be dropped. One of the three easements has been acquired. The second of the three easements is expected to be acquired today. The third easement has not been acquired, however, the property owner verbally advised Gary Rutledge that he would sign the easement and the only hold up is that the property owner, Mr. Scearce, has failed to return phone calls from North Shelby requesting an opportunity to meet with him. Finally. the schedule of the undersigned makes it impossible to respond sooner. any

Respectfully submitted,

Mathis, Riggs & Prather, P.S.C.

Βv

Donald T. Prather P.O. Box 1059 Shelbyville, Kentucky 40066-1059 Phone: (502) 633-5220 (502) 633-0667 Fax: Attorney for North Shelby Water Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

It is certified that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Motion for Extension of Time was served by U.S. Mail, first class, postage prepaid, this day of May, 1999 upon the following:

Robert Myles, Esq. P.O. Box 1176 Shelbyville, Kentucky 40066-1176 Attorney for Garry Lee Rutledge and Mary Sue Rutledge

Donald T. Prather

2wtr\ns\anderson\jntmot.ext



COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY **PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION** 730 SCHENKEL LANE POST OFFICE BOX 615 FRANKFORT, KY. 40602 (502) 564-3940

April 28, 1999

To: All parties of record

RE: Case No. 99-096

We enclose one attested copy of the Commission's Order in the above case.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Bell Secretary of the Commission

SB/hv Enclosure Darrell Dees Manager North Shelby Water Company P. O. Box 97 Bagdad, KY 40003

-, 1

Garry Lee Rutledge Mary Sue Rutledge 1484 Anderson Lane Shelbyville, KY 40065

Honorable Donald T. Prather Attorney for North Shelby Water Mathis, Riggs & Prather, P.S.C. P.O. Box 1059 Shelbyville, KY 40066 1059

Hon. Robert Myles Matthews, Myles & Smith 310 Main Street Shelbyville, KY 40066

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

GERRY LEE AND MARY SUE RUTLEDGE

COMPLAINANTS

V.

NORTH SHELBY WATER COMPANY

DEFENDANT

CASE NO. 99-096

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that North Shelby Water Company ("NSWC") shall file the original and four (4) copies of the following information with the Commission with a copy to Complainants no later than 10 days from the date of this Order:

1. Provide a copy of the preliminary construction plans for the extension of service to Complainants and the estimated costs of the construction plan at the time the parties signed the Extension Application in August 1998.

2. Refer to NSWC's Answer at paragraph 4 in which NSWC states that the extension may cost more to install than originally estimated. Provide an itemized list of any additional costs that NSWC believes may be incurred in providing the extension. Explain the bases for these additional costs and why the costs were unforeseen at the time the parties signed the Extension Application.

3. Provide a copy of the map or plans showing NSWC's existing distribution system in the area surrounding and including Complainants' property on Anderson Lane. Identify existing easements owned by NSWC as part of its distribution system in this area.

4. Refer to NSWC's Answer at paragraph 5.

a. Explain the necessity of any easements that are required to serve Complainants.

b. Was it known that these easements would be required to serve Complainants at the time the parties signed the Extension Application? If not, explain why the necessity of these easements was unforeseen and their costs not included in the original estimate for providing service.

c. Describe all attempts made by NSWC to obtain these easements as required by Commission regulation.

5. Refer to NSWC's Answer at paragraph 1. Provide a copy of the approved Extension Application. Clarify NSWC's statement that it must submit the Extension Application to the Commission for approval.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 28th day of April, 1999.

By the Commission

ATTEST:

Executive Direct

EXPENSION APPLICATION	19
NORTH SHELBY WATER COMPANY	
APPLICANTS (8): Garry and Mary Sue Rutledge	
ADDRESS: 1484 Anderson Lane, Shelbyville, KY 40065	
NOTE: All Applicants must sign	
AREA TO BE SERVED: new development along Anderson Lane	
SERVICE APPLIED FOR: Distribution Line Extension: XXXXX () () Distribution Flush Hydrant: Distribution Upgrading: Distribution Relocation: Other (describe:	
ESTIMATES: Estimated Water Line Size: 6-inch Estimated Project Cost: \$17,114.00 Estimated Footage: 2349 Other :	
EXHIBITS: Map or plat showing streets, lots, buildings, proposed service route, and easements, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof, and marked "Exhibit A" for identification	
Other (describe) Cost Estimate	
CONSTRUCTION COMMENTS: North Shelby to construct 2551 LF; Rutledge to pay for construction of 2349	
AGREEMENT:	
AGREEMENT;	

0K

1. Applicant applies for a water line extension upon the terms and conditions set forth in this contract.

1.66

2. Applicant agrees to provide without cost to the Company any properly signed recordable easements required by the Company for the installation and maintenance of the Company's water transmission or distribution lines, existing and future; provided such lines cross Applicant's real estate and are either adjacent and parallel to the right of way for a public roadway or are adjacent and parallel to applicant's property boundary.

3. The water facilities constructed hereunder shall at all times be owned and maintained by the Company. The Company shall have the right to extend the facilities without compensation to Applicant or the consent of Applicant. The Company shall have the right to make service connections thereto without the consent of the Applicant, and subject to the Company's construction rebate agreement as hereinafter provided.

4. Upon approval by the Company's engineer, manager, and board of directors, the manager and engineer will design and make a cost estimate of the project. The Applicant will, prior to construction, make a deposit to the Company's escrow construction account of the entire estimated project cost. If the actual construction cost exceeds the estimate, Applicant will promptly pay the difference. If the actual construction cost is less than the

estimate, the Company will refund to Applicant any overpayment plus interest at the rate of 6% per annum on the amount of the excess deposit for the period beginning 90 days after completion of all construction, installation and servicing work in connection with the project and ending with the date of payment of refund.

5. Applicant acknowledges that the project cost will include the Company's reasonable supervision, engineering, legal and accounting charges attributable to this project.

1

at the time of their application for the meter connection, which is

WARNER OUGHMAN & ASSOC 606272102

6. All projects having estimated construction costs exceeding \$10,000.00, shall be bid unless waived by the Applicant. All smaller projects, and all projects where bidding is waived by the Applicant, will be constructed by the Company or the Company's contractor.

7. Applicant grants Company a perpetual easement over Applicant's land to survey, plan, install, construct, maintain, repair, and remove existing and future water pipelines, appurtenant facilities, and meters, the right to read those meters, and the right of ingress and egress for these purposes over Applicant's property.

8. All construction, labor and materials must be in accordance with the Company's specifications.

9. The facilities will be constructed in accordance with "Exhibit A." If there is no "Exhibit A" to this contract, the extension will be constructed within the Company's easements upon Applicant's property. Under no circumstances will construction begin on Applicant's property until Applicant has granted Company all necessary and proper recorded easements.

10. The Company agrees that it will perform its obligations under this contract with reasonable diligence, and that construction will begin as soon as reasonably possible. In the event that the construction called for by this contract cannot begin within 6 months from the date of this contract, then either the Company or the Applicant may terminate this agreement by written notice to the other at which time the Company will refund the deposit less any cost incurred.

11. This agreement shall be valid and binding on the Company only when executed by its President. Any deposit made with this application prior to such signature shall not be construed as an acceptance hereof.

12. The Company shall determine the total cost of the water main extension (exclusive of the tap on fee). The cost shall be contributed equally by those Applicants desiring service on the main extension. Each Applicant (customer) will also be required to pay the Company's approved "Tap-on fee" for a meter connection to the main extension.

For a period of ten years after the project has been completed and placed in service, each additional customer directly connected to that portion of the water main constructed under this agreement (not including customer connections to further extensions or branches thereof) will be required to contribute to the cost of that extension based on a recomputation of each customer's contribution as set out above. The Company must refund to those customers that have previously contributed to the cost of each main extension that amount necessary to reduce their contribution to the currently calculated amount for each customer connected to that extension, provided, however, that the total amount returned shall not exceed the original construction cost, without interest. All customers directly connected to each main extension for a ten year period after it is placed in service are to contribute equally to the cost of the customer must pay the approved tap on fee applicable at the time of their application for the mater connection, which is not refundable and may be changed during the refund period. After the ten year refund period expires, any additional customer applying for service on each main extension must be connected for the amount of the approved tap on fee only, and all or any part of the construction cost not refunded within said ten year period shall become the property of the Company. All refunds shall be made on an annual basis and without interest.

13. If this application is for extension of water service to a subdivision (a subdivision is presumed by the existence of a plat), the Applicant agrees that no refund will be paid for any customer located within that subdivision, it being expected that the subdivider will recoup the cost of this extension in the sale of the subdivision lots. The Applicant further agrees that the construction contribution for each additional customer not located within said subdivision, will be computed using the number of planned customers in that subdivision. (For example, if there are 19 single-family lots in the subdivision and a new customer across the road but not in the subdivision applies for service from the water main extension, the construction contribution from that new customer will be calculated by dividing the original construction cost by 20.)

14. If the Company has contributed company funds to assist in this extension, each Applicant hereby assigns to the Company that Applicant's construction rebate refund to the extent necessary to first repay the Company's construction contribution.

15. If Applicant's account becomes delinquent, Applicant agrees to pay the Company's attorney fees and costs incurred in collecting that account. Any delinquent account will accrue Twelve Percent (12%) interest. Venue for any action filed on this contract shall be in Shelby County, Kentucky.

16. Any notice given hereunder shall be deemed sufficient if in writing and sent by certified mail to Company at P.O. Box 97, Bagdad, Kentucky 40003, and to Applicant at 1484 Anderson Lane Shelbyville, KY 40065

17. By signature hereon, Applicant acknowledges that he/she has read the foregoing, received a copy thereof, agrees to be bound by same, and acknowledges that this is the entire agreement between the parties and that there are no oral agreements between the parties. / /

Date: 9/16/98	APPLICANT: Garry & Mary Sue Rutledge By: Long Le Hulledge Mary Sue Autledge Title: Landowner
	Additional Applicants, if any (sign on back if necessary)
	9/16/98 Warelle es ma
Date:	NORTH SHELBY WATER COMPANY
	BY: Duncan LeCompte, President
*	* *
FOR COMPANY USE ONI	·Y :
Received this: from Applicant for Completed Cost of F Balance due from (t	Escrow Construction
Completed Footage of Company Contribution	
. •	3

extapp3.wdb

P.01

1. 1. 200

....

AH. G. Smith

P.01

NORTH SHELBY WATER

P.O. BOX 97 HIGHWAY 395 BAGDAD, KENTUCKY 40003 TELEPHONE (502) 747-8942

4-6-99

While REVIEWING The Anderson have project for the Public Service Commission, Our A HURNey feels we uten AN Additional Gasement before construction boying. Enclosed ARE Two EASENERTS, WE will NEED For you to get one of the two signed and petercos to us so This project can get storters.

Spicencely Less

DARAF // DEES MGR NSW

Post-it" Fax Note 767	Date 4-13-99 pages 3
"GINNY Smith	From GARRy Putterly
Concept, Servicy	C20.
Phone #	Phone # 502-633-475
1212-564-1582	Fax



KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

That in consideration of One Dollar (\$1.00) and other good and valuable consideration paid to Harvey Scearce and Geraldine Scearce (hereinafter 'GRANTOR'), by North Shelby Water Company (hereinafter 'GRANTEE'), the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the GRANTOR does hereby grant, bargain, sell, transfer, and convey unto the GRANTEE, its successor and assigns, a perpetual casement with the right to erect, construct, and install, and thereafter use, operate, inspect, repair, maintain, replace and remove pipelines with necessary and convenient appertenances for the transportation of water over, across, and through the land of the GRANTOR, which land was acquired by GRANTOR by (circle one) Deed, Will, Inheritance, or Grant from _______ and which land is more particularly described in that last recorded deed(s) in the chain of title recorded in Deed Book ______, Page ______, in the Shelby County Clerk's Office, together with the right of ingress and egress over the adjacent lands of the GRANTOR, his successors and assigns, for the purpose of this easement.

This easement shall be <u>30</u> feet in width. The centerline of the easement shall be the water line as actually genstructed, which centerline is tentatively described as follows:

Beginning at a point in GRANTOR'S east property line common with Hebron Road, said point being <u>45</u> feet south of the centerline of Anderson Lane; thence <u>45</u> feet from and parallel to the centerline of said road, a distance of approximately 1,150 feet to a point in GRANTOR'S west property line common with Rutledge, said point being <u>45</u> feet south of the centerline of said road.

The GRANTEE covenants to maintain the easement in good repair so that no unreasonable damage will result from its use to the adjacent land of the GRANTOR, his successors and assigns. The GRANTOR reserves the full right to use and enjoy the property except as will interfare with the stated purpose of this easement.

The grant and other provisions of this easement shall constitute a covenant running with the land for the benefit of the GRANTEE, its successors and assigns.

Harvey Scearce, GRANTOR

STATE OF ______ SCT. COUNTY OF ______

Geraldine Scearce, GRANTOR

I, _______, a Notary Public in and for the County and State aforesaid, do hereby certify that on the ______ day of _______, personally appeared before me <u>Harvey</u> Scearce and <u>Geraldine</u> <u>Scearce</u> the GRANTOR in the foregoing grant, and acknowledge the signing thereof to be their voluntary act for the uses and purposes set forth.

My Commission Expires:_____

This instrument was prepared by Mathis, Riggs, & Prother, P.S.C. P.O. Box 1059 Shelby ville, KY 400566-1059 By: Donald T. Prather Project.97-29

DNZolher*CONSULTE97-29 Auterson Lane/Easements/Scearce easement 97-29.doc



Project 97-29	Post-It [®] Fax Note	7671	Date Dages -
	TO : ARRELL	PERS	From STANDY B
	Co./Dept.		Ου
D.ZothersCIDNSULT197-29 Anderson Lane	Filene #		Phone 4

5.0

PHONE NO. : 502 633 1234

Case # 99-096 Garry/Mary Sue Rutledge Att: Jonett Kinney

RUTLEDGE FARMS 04-97	21-131 202	586
GARRY LEE OR MARY SUE RUTLEDGE	830 51 1082 16	
PH, 502-633-4757 1484 ANDERSON LN.	8/28 109	8
SHELBYVILLE/KY 40065		<u>v</u>
	III-t. Da	. 51/
Pay to the worth Shelling	Walk co- \$	4329 7100
The a total	J. J. J. Ture + 2	1.11 Sty
four tours and dru	2 Readred and Wolling - Ne	Lalling ollers
REPUBLIC	CP	
		~
DAN Company		
Shelby Hile. Kennuchy Microsoft FOR	The X X	-th-
Membly- Atorie # 12 Lotte	- para the 14	mag
1:08 300 13 14: 51 108		
		the second s

RUTLEDGE FARMS 04-97 GARRY LEE OR MARY SUE RUTLEDGE PH. 502-633-4757 1484 ANDERSON LN.	21-131 839 51/08216 28 19 78 19 78
SHELBYVILLE AV 40065 Pay to the forth Shelley Water Co.	\$17112 910
Seventer thousand - One purched and t	twelse dellers and How
REPUBLIC BANK & Frisk Selevite, Kerneky Member Fill Member Fill Member Fill	See fathely
	+0001711200+

PHONE NO. : 502 633 1234

Garry / Mary Sne Rutledge

Apr. 16 1999 12:46PM P3

Case#99-096 Att: Jonett Kinney

. Ckiner





MATHIS, RIGGS & PRATHER, P.S.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW 500 MAIN STREET · P.O. BOX 1059 SHELBYVILLE, KENTUCKY 40066-1059

C. LEWIS MATHIS, JR. T. SHERMAN RIGGS DONALD T. PRATHER

.

HAROLD Y. SAUNDERS OF COUNSEL TELEPHONE: (502) 633-5220 FAX: (502) 633-0667

* * je ... toč

;

April 16, 1999

JEWED. APR 1 9 1999

PUBLIC SERVICE

COMMISSION

Ms. Helen Helton, Executive Director Public Service Commission 730 Schenkel Lane P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

> Garry Lee and Mary Sue Rutledge vs North Shelby Water Re: Company Administrative Case No. 99-096

Dear Ms. Helton:

Enclosed for filing of record is the original and ten copies of North Shelby Water Company's Answer in the captioned case.

Sincerely,

MATHIS, RIGGS & PRATHER, P.S.C.

By:

Donald T. Prather

DTP/kr Enclosures Garry L. and Mary Sue Rutledge cc: w/enc: Duncan LeCompte, President Darrell Dees Sandy Broughman

2WTR\NS\PSC2.DTP

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In The Matter Of:

GARRY LEE AND MARY SUE RUTLEDGE

COMPLAINANTS

vs

CASE NO. 99-096

APR 1 9 1999

NORTH SHELBY WATER COMPANY

DEFENDANT

ANSWER

** **

The above-named Defendant for its Answer to the Complaint in the proceeding, respectfully states:

1. This Defendant admits that the Complainants paid the estimate for their water line installation in August of 1998, but denies that they were told that the line would be installed within three weeks thereafter. Such a time frame is impossible due to the need to obtain and record proper easements, bid the project if required, design the project, have the plans approved by the Division of Water, and submit the Extension Application to Public Service Commission for approval. The approved Extension Application was not received by Defendant until December 14, 1998.

2. Defendant did not receive a copy of the Order to Satisfy or Answer until same was faxed to the undersigned on April 13,

1999. This Defendant intends to satisfy the Complaint, but cannot do so within the ten day time frame set forth in the Order, for reasons more fully set forth herein.

3. The Defendant is proceeding to begin construction on the northwest portion of the line where the Defendant obtained the required easement in March. It is anticipated construction will begin on or about April 16, 1999 or the week of April 19, 1999. The Contractor is Danny Hatton. The Company will be purchasing the pipe and delivering it to the scene within the same time frame. The road bores were completed some time ago.

4. It appears that the line may cost more to install than estimated, and pursuant to Paragraph 4 of the Extension Application the Complainants may be required to pay this additional cost. It is too early to tell how much or whether in fact such cost overruns will be incurred. The Company is purchasing the pipe itself to avoid sales tax in the hope of keeping the project within original budget.

5. The required easements on the south-east end of the line have not been obtained and therefore the project cannot be completely constructed until they are obtained. Although Defendant is required by law to obtain the easements, Complainants are also required to pay for the entire cost of such easements. It has been the historical experience of the Defendant that applicants are much more likely to be able to obtain easements quickly and without cost than the water company, since neighbors will tend to sign easements to help their neighbors, but when the water company asks for the

easement, people often ask for compensation. The undersigned has discussed this matter with Gary Rutledge and he has cooperated by making an important contact with Paul Nethery. The Defendant is now following up on that contact by visiting Mr. Nethery to discuss the exaction location of the line. If Mr. and Mrs. Nethery sign their easement no other easements will be needed.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant prays the Complaint be dismissed as satisfied once construction has been completed.

Mathis, Riggs & Prather, P.S.C.

By:

Donald T. Prather P.O. Box 1059 Shelbyville, Kentucky 40066-1059 Phone: (502) 633-5220 Fax: (502) 633-0667 Attorney for North Shelby Water Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

It is certified that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Answer was served by U.S. Mail, first class, postage prepaid, this <u>16^{rt}</u> day of April, 1999 upon the following:

Garry Lee Rutledge Mary Sue Rutledge 1485 Anderson Lane Shelbyville, Kentucky 40065

Donald T. Prather

2wtr\ns\anderson\answer.psc

. 3



COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY **PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION** 730 SCHENKEL LANE POST OFFICE BOX 615 FRANKFORT, KY. 40602 (502) 564-3940

March 29, 1999

Darrell Dees Manager North Shelby Water Company P. O. Box 97 Bagdad, KY. 40003

Garry Lee Rutledge & Mary Sue Rutledge 1484 Anderson Lane Shelbyville, KY. 40065

RE: Case No. 99-096

We enclose one attested copy of the Commission's Order in the above case.

Sincerely, Stephal Bus

Stephanie Bell Secretary of the Commission

SB/sa Enclosure

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

GERRY LEE AND MARY SUE RUTLEDGE

COMPLAINANTS

۷.

CASE NO. 99-096

NORTH SHELBY WATER COMPANY

DEFENDANT

ORDER TO SATISFY OR ANSWER

North Shelby Water Company ("NSWC") is hereby notified that it has been named as defendant in a formal complaint filed on March 17, 1999, a copy of which is attached hereto.

Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 12, NSWC is HEREBY ORDERED to satisfy the matters complained of or file a written answer to the complaint within 10 days from the date of service of this Order.

Should documents of any kind be filed with the Commission in the course of this proceeding, the documents shall also be served on all parties of record.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 29th day of March, 1999.

By the Commission

ATTEST:

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	~
In the matter	er of:	MAR 17 100
<u>Carry 160 A</u> 1 (Your F	and Mary Sue Rutledge	Midra 1 7 1999 Midra 1 7 1999 Communication
	COMPLAINANT)	CALL CE
VS.	j colo	-
North SI	of Utility)	7-096
(Name o	of Utility)) DEFENDANT)	
	COMPLAINT	
	(Your Full Name)	ully shows:
(a)	Mary Sue Ruttedge	
J	1484 Anderson In. Shelbyille, Ky. 40065 (Your Address)	·
(b)	North Shilb, Water Co. P. O. (Marme of Utility)	
	Braded Kij - (Address of Utility)	
(c)	That: <u>Paid for Water line Installation</u> (Describe here, attaching additional sheets if necessary,	in August
	1998, We were told lines would be in the specific act, fully and clearly, or facts that are the reason	stalletin
	3 weeks	
	 and basis for the complaint.) 	

Continued on Next Page

:

Formal Complaint VS. Page 2 of 2 line. Wherefore, complainant asks Instalk how of wake (Specifically state the relief desired.) Dated at <u>Shulbyr</u>, Ile , Kentucky, this <u>I</u> day (Your City) of <u>March</u> (Month) _____, 19<u>_99</u>

(Name and address of attorney, if any)



COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 730 SCHENKEL LANE POST OFFICE BOX 615 FRANKFORT, KY. 40602 (502) 564-3940

March 19, 1999

Darrell Dees Manager North Shelby Water Company P. O. Box 97 Bagdad, KY. 40003

Garry Lee Rutledge & Mary Sue Rutledge 1484 Anderson Lane Shelbyville, KY. 40065

RE: Case No. 99-096 NORTH SHELBY WATER COMPANY (Complaints - Service) OF GARRY LEE AND MARY SUE RUTLEDGE

This letter is to acknowledge receipt of initial application in the above case. The application was date-stamped received March 17, 1999 and has been assigned Case No. 99-096. In all future correspondence or filings in connection with this case, please reference the above case number.

If you need further assistance, please contact my staff at 502/564-3940.

Sincerely, Stonal Deel

Stephanie Bell Secretary of the Commission

SB/jc

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter of:

Garry Lee and Mary Sue Rutledge. (Your Full Name) COMPLAINANT VS. North Shelby Water Co. (Name of Utility) DEFENDANT COMPLAINT The complaint of <u>Carry Lee Ruttedge</u> (Your Full Name) ____ respectfully shows: (a) Mary Sue Ruttedge 1484 Anderson Ln. Shelbyville, Ky. 40065 (Your Address) North Shilby Water Co. P.O. (Marme of Utility) Bagdad, Ky. (Address of Utility) (b) That: <u>Paid for water line installation in August</u> (Describe here, attaching additional sheets if necessary, (c) 1998, We were told lines would be installed in the specific act, fully and clearly, or facts that are the reason 3 weeks and basis for the complaint.)

Continued on Next Page

Formal Complaint _vs. Page 2 of 2 Wherefore, complainant asks Installation of water ine. (Specifically state the relief desired.) Dated at <u>Shulburi IIc</u> (Your City) ___, Kentucky, this __/6___day _____, 19<u>_99</u> of M(Month) (Name and address of attorney, if any)

?