Larry D. Callison State Manager Regulatory Affairs & Tariffs DELIC SERVICE



P.O. Box 1650 Lexington, KY 40588-1650

Phone 859.245.1389 Fax 859.245.1721 larry.callison@verizon.com

December 28, 2000

Mr. Thomas M. Dorman Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

00118-AM Ø2-

RE: 252(i) Adoption Letter Between Verizon South Inc. and DSLnet Communications, L.L.C.

Dear Mr. Dorman:

Enclosed for joint filing by the parties with the Kentucky Public Service Commission (Commission) are six copies of a second generation 252(i) Adoption Letter that replaces an existing agreement, which is scheduled to expire on December 23, 2000. That Adoption Letter was approved by the Commission on December 14, 1999 in Case No. 99-398.

The enclosed 252(i) Adoption Letter recently executed between Verizon South Inc. (formerly GTE South Incorporated) and DSLnet Communications, L.L.C., now adopts the terms of the Interconnection Agreement between New Edge Network, Inc. d/b/a New Edge Networks ("New Edge") and Verizon South Inc. That agreement was approved by the Commission on June 8, 2000 – Reference No. 00053.

Also enclosed is an electronic copy of the Adoption Letter in Microsoft Word 97 format on a 3.5 floppy diskette.

This Adoption Letter is being provided to the Commission for its review and approval. Please bring this filing to the attention of the Commission, and if there are any questions, please contact me at your convenience.

Yours truly,

Phyllin C. Mosters

For Larry D. Callison

Enclosures

c: Ms. Wendy Bluemling - DSLnet Communications, LLC

Steven J. Pitterle Director - Negotiations Interconnection Services



Network Services 600 Hidden Ridge HQE03B67 P.O. Box 152092 Irving, Texas 75038

Phone 972-718-1333 Fax 972-718-1279 steve.pitterle@verizon.com

December 9, 2000

Ms. Wendy Bluemling Director, Regulatory Affairs DSLnet Communications, LLC 545 Long Wharf Drive, 5th Floor New Haven, CT 06511

Dear Ms. Bluemling:

Verizon South Inc., f/k/a GTE South Incorporated ("Verizon"), has received your letter stating that, under Section 252(i) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "Act"), DSLnet Communications, LLC ("DSLnet") wishes to adopt the terms of the Interconnection Agreement between New Edge Network, Inc. d/b/a New Edge Networks ("New Edge") and Verizon that was approved by the Kentucky Public Service Commission (the "Commission") as an effective agreement in the Commonwealth of Kentucky in Docket No. 00053 (the "Terms").¹ I understand DSLnet has a copy of the Terms.

- 1. By DSLnet's countersignature on this letter, DSLnet hereby represents and agrees to the following three points:
 - (A) DSLnet adopts (and agrees to be bound by) the Terms of the New Edge agreement for interconnection with Verizon as it is in effect on the date hereof after giving effect to operation of law, and in applying the Terms, agrees that DSLnet shall be substituted in place of New Edge Network, Inc. d/b/a New Edge Networks and New Edge in the Terms wherever appropriate.
 - (B) DSLnet requests that notice to DSLnet as may be required under the Terms shall be provided as follows:
 - To : DSLnet Communications, LLC Attention: Ms. Wendy Bluemling 545 Long Wharf Drive, 5th Floor New Haven, CT 06511 Telephone number: 203/782-7440 FAX number: 203/624-3612
 - (C) DSLnet represents and warrants that it is a certified provider of local telecommunications service in the Commonwealth of Kentucky, and that its adoption of the Terms will cover services in the Commonwealth of Kentucky only.

¹ These "agreements" are not agreements in the generally accepted understanding of that term. Verizon was required to accept these agreements, which were required to reflect then-effective FCC rules and other applicable law.

- 2. DSLnet's adoption of the New Edge agreement Terms shall become effective upon the date of filing of this adoption letter with the Commission (which filing Verizon will promptly make upon receipt of an original of this letter countersigned by DSLnet) and remain in effect no longer than the date the New Edge agreement Terms are terminated or expire. The New Edge agreement is currently scheduled to expire on December 31, 2002.
- 3. As the Terms are being adopted by you pursuant to your statutory rights under section 252(i), Verizon does not provide the Terms to you as either a voluntary or negotiated agreement. The filing and performance by Verizon of the Terms does not in any way constitute a waiver by Verizon of any position as to the Terms or a portion thereof, nor does it constitute a waiver by Verizon of all rights and remedies it may have to seek review of the Terms, or to seek review in any way of any provisions included in these Terms as a result of DSLnet's 252(i) election.
- 4. On January 25, 1999, the Supreme Court of the United States ("Court") issued its decision on the appeals of the Eighth Circuit's decision in *Iowa Utilities Board*. Specifically, the Supreme Court modified several of the FCC's and the Eighth Circuit's rulings regarding unbundled network elements and pricing requirements under the Act. *AT&T Corp. v. Iowa Utilities Board*, 119 S. Ct. 721 (1999). Certain provisions of the Terms may be void or unenforceable as a result of the Court's decision in Docket No. 96-3321 regarding the FCC's pricing rules, and the current appeal before the U.S. Supreme Court regarding the FCC's new UNE rules. Moreover, nothing herein shall be construed as or is intended to be a concession or admission by Verizon that any provision in the Terms complies with the rights and duties imposed by the Act, the decisions of the FCC and the Commissions, the decisions of the courts, or other law, and Verizon expressly reserves its full right to assert and pursue claims arising from or related to the Terms.
- 5. Verizon reserves the right to deny DSLnet's adoption and/or application of the Terms, in whole or in part, at any time:
 - (a) when the costs of providing the Terms to DSLnet are greater than the costs of providing them to New Edge;
 - (b) if the provision of the Terms to DSLnet is not technically feasible; and/or
 - (c) to the extent that Verizon otherwise is not required to make the Terms available to DSLnet under applicable law.
- 6. As noted above, pursuant to Rule 809, the FCC gave ILECs the ability to deny 252(i) adoptions in those instances where the cost of providing the service to the requesting carrier is higher than that incurred to serve the initial carrier or there is a technical incompatibility issue. The issue of reciprocal compensation for traffic destined for the Internet falls within this exception. Verizon never intended for Internet traffic passing through a telecommunications carrier to be included within the definition of local traffic and subject to the corresponding obligation of reciprocal compensation. Whatever doubt any party may have had with respect to this issue was removed by the Declaratory Ruling that the Federal Communications Commission (the "FCC") released on February 26, 1999 which, among other things, "conclude[d] . . . that ISP-bound traffic is non-local interstate traffic."² The FCC also reaffirmed that "section 251(b)(5) of the Act and [the FCC] rules promulgated pursuant to that provision concern inter-carrier compensation for interconnected *local* telecommunications traffic."³ Based on the FCC's Declaratory

² Declaratory Ruling in FCC CC Docket No. 96-98 and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in CC Docket No. 99-68 (rel. February 26, 1999), fn. 87. The D.C. Circuit Court has recently asked the FCC to explain more fully it's reasoning in arriving at this conclusion in the Declaratory Ruling, but it has not rejected the conclusion. The FCC, moreover, has publicly since reiterated the correctness of its conclusion. ³ Id. (emphasis in original).

Ruling (among other things), it is clear that Internet traffic is not local traffic. Despite the foregoing, some forums have required reciprocal compensation to be paid. This produces the situation where the cost of providing the service is not cost based. With this in mind, Verizon opposes, and reserves the right to deny, the adoption and/or the application of the provisions of the Terms that might be interpreted to characterize traffic destined for Internet as local traffic or requiring the payment of reciprocal compensation. However, Verizon shall, in any case, comply with the requirement of applicable law with respect to this issue.

7. Should DSLnet attempt to apply the Terms in a manner that conflicts with paragraphs 3-6 above, Verizon reserves its rights to seek appropriate legal and/or equitable relief.

Please sign this letter on the space provided below.

Sincerely,

VERIZON SOUTH INC., f/k/a GTE SOUTH INCORPORATED

Steven J. Puterle Director – Negotiations Interconnection Services

Reviewed and countersigned as to points A, B, and C of paragraph 1:

DSLNET COMMUNICATIONS, LLC

(SIGNATUR

(PRINT NAME

c: R. Ragsdale - Verizon