COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

| CLOSURE OF PIPELINE SAFETY |) | CASE NO. |
|------------------------------------|---|------------|
| INVESTIGATION – LOUISVILLE GAS AND |) | 2020-00094 |
| ELECTRIC COMPANY |) | |

ORDER

The Commission, on its own motion, initiates this proceeding to close the investigation of the gas distribution system operated by Louisville Gas and Electric Company (LG&E) for alleged violations of minimum federal pipeline safety standards. Staff from the Commission's Division of Inspections (Staff) conducted a periodic inspection of LG&E's gas distribution system on April 1-5 and 18, 2019, and cited the company for two violations of federal pipeline safety standards. Staff prepared an inspection report dated May 14, 2019 (Report), setting forth its findings of violations.

Based upon the findings of violations, Staff issued LG&E a Demand for Remedial Measures and Penalty Assessment (Letter), a copy of which is attached to this Order as an Appendix, as a means to resolve all compliance and enforcement matters pertaining to the alleged pipeline safety violations. Staff noted in the Letter that LG&E is taking appropriate remedial measures to address the violations of federal pipeline safety standards identified in the Report. LG&E subsequently paid the proposed penalty.

The Commission finds that LG&E has addressed to the Commission's satisfaction the probable violations cited by Staff in the Report. The Commission further finds that the Commission's investigation of the incident should be closed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- 1. LG&E's payment of Staff's proposed penalty and completion of remedial measures is accepted and resolves any and all alleged violations of KRS 278.495, KAR Title 807, or 49 CFR Parts 191, 192 or 199, as well as all claims for any penalty that could be assessed under KRS 278.992(1), arising out of the pipeline safety violations cited in the Report.
- 2. LG&E's payment of Staff's proposed penalty is not an admission by LG&E that it willfully violated any provision of KRS 278.495, KAR Title 807, or 49 CFR Parts 191, 192 or 199.
- 3. The Commission's investigation of LG&E's gas distribution system is closed.
 - 4. This case is closed and removed from the Commission's docket.

By the Commission

ENTERED

MAR 27 2020 rcs

KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ATTEST:

Executive Director

APPENDIX

APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 2020-00094 DATED $_{\rm MAR~27~2020}$

SEVENTY PAGES TO FOLLOW

Andy Beshear Governor

Rebecca W. Goodman Secretary Energy and Environment Cabinet



Commonwealth of Kentucky

Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
P.O. Box 615

Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

Telephone: (502) 564-3940
Fax: (502) 564-3460
psc.ky.gov

Michael J. Schmitt Chairman

> Robert Cicero Vice Chairman

Talina R. Mathews Commissioner

January 17, 2020

Robert Conroy, VP State Regulation & Rates Louisville Gas and Electric Company 220 W. Main Street P.O. Box 32010 Louisville, KY 40232-2010

Re: Standard Inspection

DEMAND FOR REMEDIAL MEASURES AND PENALTY ASSESSMENT

Dear Mr. Conroy:

Commission Staff (Staff) performed a standard periodic inspection of the gas distribution system of Louisville Gas & Electric Company (LG&E) on April 1-5 and 18, 2019. Based on its review of LG&E's operations and management practices, Staff prepared the attached Inspection Report dated May 14, 2019 (Report).

As detailed in the Report, Staff identified the following violations of federal pipeline safety standards during its inspection of LG&E's gas distribution system:

- 1. **49 CFR § 192.614** Damage prevention program.
 - (a) Except as provided in paragraphs (d) and (e) of this section, each operator of a buried pipeline must carry out, in accordance with this section, a written program to prevent damage to that pipeline from excavation activities. For the purposes of this section, the term "excavation activities" includes excavation, blasting, boring, tunneling, backfilling, the removal of aboveground structures by either explosive or mechanical means, and other earthmoving operations.
 - (c) The damage prevention program required by paragraph (a) of this section must, at a minimum:



(5) Provide for temporary marking of buried pipelines in the area of excavation activity before, as far as practical, the activity begins.

<u>Finding</u>: LG&E failed to provide temporary marking of buried pipelines within 48 hours for approximately 131,698 facility locate requests received during the review period of 2016-2018. This constitutes a failure by LG&E to carry out a damage prevention program that provides for timely marking of buried pipelines as required by 49 CFR § 192.614(c)(5).

- 2. **49 CFR § 192.327** Cover.
 - (b) Except as provided in paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section, each buried main must be installed with at least 24 inches (610 millimeters) of cover.

<u>Finding</u>: Investigation of excavation damage to a 4-inch low pressure main that occurred on September 11, 2018, at 630 S. 4th Street in Louisville, Kentucky, established that the main was installed with approximately 7 inches of cover.

LG&E provided a response to the Report and its findings by letter dated June 20, 2019 (Response):

- 1. 49 CFR § 192.614 LG&E asserts that many of its late locates fell under a statutory exemption to the 48-hour deadline to provide temporary marking of underground pipelines. LG&E acknowledges, however, late locate requests that did not fall within any exemption as well as a persistent backlog of locate requests during the review period.
 - LG&E indicated that the problem was due to poor performance by its contractors that have provided line locate services. LG&E states that, as of December 14, 2018, it has hired two new companies to perform line locating services. LG&E stresses that it has taken appropriate measures to improve compliance with line locate requirements.
- 2. 49 CFR § 192.327 LG&E notes that, although 49 CFR § 192.327 requires each buried main to be installed with at least 24 inches of cover, less cover may be used where an underground structure prevents installation with the minimum cover if provided with additional protection to withstand anticipated external loads. LG&E states that it was not possible to install the 4-inch low pressure main at 630 S. 4th Street with 24 inches of cover because of the location of other utility facilities in the area, so LG&E planned to install the pipe with a steel plate to withstand external loads. LG&E asserts that, although the construction contractor represented that it had installed the steel plate, LG&E's investigation of the excavation damage revealed that the steel plate was not present.



LG&E states that, since the time of this installation, it has enhanced its training and certification program for pipeline inspectors. Additionally, if it is not possible to install with 24 inches of cover and an additional protective measure must be taken, LG&E states that it now requires its personnel to verify that the planned measure is, in fact, taken and that the verification is then noted on the main construction report.

While the information provided in LG&E's Response does not alter Staff's determination that LG&E violated 49 CFR § 192.614(c)(5) and § 192.327, the remedial measures that LG&E outlines in its Response have been appropriately considered in the calculation of a civil penalty.

REMEDIAL MEASURES

Staff finds that LG&E is taking appropriate remedial measures to address the violations identified in the Report. The Commission will continue to monitor the effectiveness of LG&E's damage prevention program for compliance with minimum federal pipeline safety standards.

In its Response to the Report, LG&E states that it has started an initiative to review other locations in downtown Louisville where mains were installed with less than minimum cover in order to confirm that additional protection was provided to withstand anticipated external loads. Within 15 days of the date of this letter, LG&E is directed to submit a report on the status of this initiative. The status report shall include the number of locations reviewed, identify any locations where LG&E determined that the planned additional protection was not provided, and outline LG&E's plan for bringing the locations where additional protection is needed into compliance, including the anticipated date when such work will be completed.

CIVIL PENALTY

KRS 278.992(1) provides that any person who violates any minimum pipeline safety standard adopted by the United States Department of Transportation or any regulation adopted by the Commission governing the safety of pipeline facilities shall be subject to a civil penalty not to exceed the maximum civil penalty contained in 49 CFR § 190.223, as amended. Currently, the maximum civil penalty is \$218,647 for each violation for each day the violation continues, with a maximum administrative civil penalty not to exceed \$2,186,465 for any related series of violations.¹

¹ Prior to July 14, 2018, the maximum civil penalty is \$100,000 for each violation for each day the violation continues, with a maximum administrative civil penalty not to exceed \$1,000,000 for any related series of violations. At the time of the September 11, 2018 excavation damage incident, the maximum civil penalty was \$209,002 for each



Robert Conroy January 17, 2020 Page 4

In determining the amount of the proposed penalty, Staff considers the assessment factors set forth in KRS 278.992(1): "the size of the business of the person charged, the gravity of the violation, and the good faith of the person charged in attempting to achieve compliance, after notification of the violation." Additionally, Staff considers the assessment factors applied under federal law by the Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety for PHMSA to determine the amount of the civil penalty for violation of a federal pipeline safety standard.²

Staff recognizes the steps LG&E has taken to address the deficiencies in its damage prevention program and to improve its compliance with line locate requirements. Staff also acknowledges LG&E's improvement in performing line locates in a timely manner. Staff recognizes the substantial investment LG&E has made to address the deficiencies in its damage prevention program. Finally, Staff recognizes the enhanced training LG&E now provides its pipeline inspectors and its initiative to review other locations in downtown Louisville where mains were installed with less than minimum cover to confirm that additional protection was provided to withstand anticipated external loads. Staff has taken these good faith efforts to achieve compliance into account in calculating the penalty to be assessed.

Based on its investigation of this matter and consideration of the penalty assessment factors discussed above, Staff concludes that LG&E should be assessed a civil penalty in the amount of \$150,000. Staff considers both of LG&E's violations to be serious because they were causal factors in pipeline safety incidents. The gravity of LG&E's failure to provide 24 inches of cover or additional protection to withstand external loads is heightened because it involved the defective installation of infrastructure. LG&E's failure to carry out an effective damage prevention program in accordance with federal pipeline safety standards was a long-standing violation that persisted throughout the review period and is subject to assessment of a civil penalty for each day the violation continued, up to the cap for a related series of violations.

² Federal law provides that PHMSA shall consider: (1) the nature, circumstances and gravity of the violation, including adverse impact on the environment; (2) the degree of the respondent's culpability; (3) the respondent's history of prior offenses; (4) any good faith by the respondent in attempting to achieve compliance; and (5) the effect on the respondent's ability to continue in business. The Associate Administrator also may consider: (1) the economic benefit gained from the violation, if readily ascertainable, without any reduction because of subsequent damages; and (2) such other matters as justice may require. See 49 CFR § 190.225.



violation for each day the violation continues, with a maximum administrative civil penalty not to exceed \$2,090,022 for any related series of violations.

Robert Conroy January 17, 2020 Page 5

If LG&E does not wish to contest the proposed civil penalty, it should mail or deliver a cashier's check or money order, made payable to the "**Kentucky State Treasurer**" in the amount of \$150,000 within 30 days of the date of this letter, to:

Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

Payment of the proposed civil penalty and completion of all remedial measures will satisfy and resolve any and all claims against LG&E for violation of KRS 278.495, KAR Title 807, or 49 CFR Parts 191, 192 or 199, as well as for any penalty that could be assessed under KRS 278.992(1), arising out of the pipeline safety violations cited herein. LG&E's payment of the proposed civil penalty will not be considered an admission by LG&E that it willfully violated any provision of KRS 278.495, KAR Title 807, or 49 CFR Parts 191, 192 or 199. Upon payment of the proposed penalty, the Commission will confirm the resolution of this matter by entry of an order. Payment of the penalty constitutes a waiver by LG&E of any right to a hearing in any proceeding initiated to close the investigation.

If LG&E does not pay the proposed civil penalty within 30 days of the date of this letter, the Commission will institute an administrative proceeding against LG&E and hold a formal hearing during which LG&E will have an opportunity to present evidence and show cause why it should not be subject to penalties under KRS 278.992(1) for the pipeline safety violations cited herein.

This demand letter addresses only those matters specifically referred to in this document. This demand letter does not waive or otherwise affect any obligations or liabilities that may result from other activities by LG&E. If you have any questions, please contact John Park at 502-782-2589.

Sincerely,

Gwen R. Pinson

Executive Director

Dive R. Riers

Attachment



INSPECTION REPORT

INSPECTION INFORMATION

| KY PSC Inspecto | r(s): | Michael Na | ntz | | Report Nu | ımber: | | 51420 | 5142019 | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|---|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Inspection Date(| s): | 4/1,2,3,4,5 | 5,18/2019 | | Report Da | ite: | | 5/14/2 | 2019 | |
| Inspection Type: | | | d nce Follow-up | | ntegrity Manaç onstruction | gement | ☐ Operate | or Quali | fication | |
| | OPERATOR INFORMATION | | | | | | | | | |
| Name of Operato | or: Lo | ouisville Gas | and Electric | | OP ID No.: (If no OP ID No., explain if an application has been submitted.) | | | | | |
| Type of Facility: | Pr | ivate Distrib | ution | | cation of Fa | | | | ille, Ken | tucky |
| Area of Operatio | n· | ouisville and nd Hardin co | surrounding areas unties. | s in Jeffer | son, Trimble, | Oldham | , Henry, Shelb | y, Bullit | t, Nelso | n, Meade, |
| Official Operator | | t and Addı | Cess: (Contact | <u>Ur</u> | nit Name an | ıd Addı | <u>ress</u> | | | |
| John Malloy, Vice Pre | | | | | | | | | | |
| P.O. Box 32010 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 220 West Main Stree | :t | | | | | | | | | |
| Louisville, Kentucky | Louisville, Kentucky 40232 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Phone # and Em | | lohn.Malloy@ | | | | | | | | |
| Records Location | 1: E | East Operation | ons Center, Louisy | ville, KY | | | | | | |
| Persons Interviewed | <u>Title</u> | | | Phone | No. | 1 | <u>Email</u> | | | |
| Dara Griggs | Coordina | ator | k Compliance | 502-62 | 7-2543 | | Dara.Griggs@l | lge-ku.c | om | |
| Tom Reith | | Gas Operati ction & Engir | | 502-62 | 7-3386 | - | Tom.Reith@lg | e-ku.cor | m | |
| Joe Ryan | Manager and Con | | oution Integrity | 502-36 | 7-5944 | | Joe.Ryan@lge | -ku.com | 1 | |
| Peter Clyde Manager, Gas Transmission 5 Integrity and Compliance | | 502-36 | 4-8715 | | Peter.Clyde@l | ge-ku.co | om | | | |
| Barry Walker | | , Gas Contro | | 502-62 | 7-3038 | 1 | Barry.Walker@ | ⊉lge-ku. | com | |
| Has the Operato | r provid | ed an upd | ated Emergen | cy Cont | act List? | х□ | Yes | | | No |
| Number of Custo | mers: | | Approximately 3 | 326,000 | | | | | | |
| Number of Gas E | Number of Gas Employees: Approximately 290 | | | 290 | | | | | | |

Tennessee Gas, Texas Gas and LGE Transmission

MAOP (within last year)

Commercial

1.43% (2018 Annual Report)

Residential

300,410 (All 1" to over 8")

Gas Supplier:

Services:

Unaccounted for Gas:

Operating Pressure(s):

Actual Operating Pressure (at time of

inspection)

Other

Industrial

| | Feeder: 1 | Pressure Pressure High Pres 350 psig | sure .05 psig; Elevated Distribution 3.0 psig; Medium Distribution 15 psig to 60 psig; sure Distribution 99 psig to | 5): | No |
|-----------|---|---|---|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| te of La | ast Inspection: 8/22/201 | 6 | | | |
| | of Deficiencies: 1 | | Deficiencies not Clea | red: | 0 |
| <u>PH</u> | HMSA Question Set Emergency Plan | umma ⊠ | Operations and Maintenance | <u></u> | Critical Valves Maintenance |
| | (PHMSA Form 2) Cathodic Protection | | Plan (PHMSA Form 2) Accidents - (PHMSA Form | \boxtimes | Inspections Leak Surveys |
| | (PHMSA Form 2) Odorization - (PHMSA Form 2) | | 11) Operator Qualification (PHMSA Form 15 – Protocol 9) | | Damage Prevention (PHMSA Form 2) |
| | Pipeline ROW Markers (PHMSA Form 2) | | Regulator Stations | \boxtimes | DIMP – (PHMSA Form 24) |
| | (PHMSA Form 15) | | NTSB Supplemental Questions | \boxtimes | PAPE – (PHMSA Form 2) |
| | Public Awareness (PHMSA Form 2) | | Other | | |
| | her: | | | | |
| | tate Question Set | | | | |
| | Cybersecurity | | Other | | |
| Oth | her: | | | | |

Summary

This standard inspection involved the review of Louisville Gas & Electric Company's operations and the company's compliance with 49 CFR Parts 191 and 192. The inspection covered the company's Operating and Maintenance, Emergency, Damage Prevention, Operator Qualifications, Distribution Integrity Management, Cathodic Protection, Odorization, and Leak Survey records. Records were reviewed at the company's East Operations Center in Louisville. Field inspections consisted of company's city gate station, district regulator stations and large customer metering locations in the area. A Protocol 9 was conducted regarding odorant checks and cathodic protection measurements.

Records reviewed were in good order and except for the deficiencies noted below regarding the company's Damage Prevention program and a Depth of Cover issue regarding a Low Pressure Main, the company's operations review were found to be compliant. Field inspections and the Protocol 9 performed on field personnel resulted in no compliance issues. Two positive areas to note were the company's Operation Qualification (OQ) Plan and the Distribution Integrity Management Plan (DIMP) which both provided evidence of the company's commitment to these important operational programs.

An exit interview was conducted in which the company was made aware of the Damage Prevention plan deficiency described in detail below. The second deficiency regarding the Depth of Cover was discussed with LG&E, but at the time of the interview it had not been determined if it would be investigated as a part of this report or as a separate investigation. The company was made aware of the inclusion of this deficiency in this inspection report at a later date. There were no other deficiencies noted during this inspection.

The inspection checklist contains in the "Notes" section provides cites to the company's Operation and Maintenance or Procedure Manuals or other company documents where applicable.

All previous deficiencies from the 2016 Inspection Report had been corrected.

Pipeline Safety staff appreciates the efforts and professionalism of the company's staff during the inspection process.

Probable Violations

1. CFR 192.614(c)(5) Provide for temporary marking of buried pipelines in the area of excavation activity before, as far as practical, the activity begins.

Records obtained from Louisville Gas & Electric Company during the Commission's Damage Prevention Enforcement investigations of KRS 367.4901-4917 (Dig Law) incidents of reported excavation damages from the company provided evidence of non-compliance with the cited regulation. During the performance years covered in this inspection, 2016, 2017, and 2018, the following data was provided to the Commission's Damage Prevention Enforcement and Pipeline Safety staff regarding the timeliness of the company's locating responsibility to locate request submitted through KY 811. As noted below in the company's data provided, the company failed to provide timely facility locates for approximately 131,698 requests received from KY 811 during the period covered by this inspection.

Louisville Gas & Electric (Gas)

| | <u>2016</u> | <u>2017</u> | <u>2018</u> |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| <u>Total Tickets</u> | <u>156,413</u> | <u>161,906</u> | 143,221 |
| <48 hours | 123,157 | 120,092 | 84,843 |
| >48 hours | 33,256 | 41,814 | 56,628 |
| %>48 hours | 21.26% | 25.83% | 39.54% |

2. 192.327(b) Except as provided in paragraphs(c) and(d) of this section, each buried main must be installed with at least 24 inches (610 millimeters) of cover.

At the time of this inspection, an investigation by the Commission's Damage Prevention Enforcement staff of a reported damage at 630 S. 4th Street Louisville, KY discovered that a 4 inch Low Pressure main was damaged. The incident occurred on 9/11/2018. Photographs and correspondence with LG&E staff confirmed the main to have approximately 7 inches of cover at the time of the damage. Damage Prevention Enforcement Staff informed the Pipeline Safety Staff of the incident for review under CFR 192. Documentation of the incident is contained in the company's Gas Excavation Damage Report Number 21036 and Enforcement Staff report number 3724.f

Areas of Concern

There were no Areas of Concern noted.

Submitted By:

5/14/2019

Utility Regulatory and Safety Investigator

Procedures - Reporting *

* 1. Immediate Reporting: Incidents (detail) Is there a process to immediately report incidents to the National Response Center? (RPT.RR.IMMEDREPORT.P) (detail)

191.5(b) (191.7)

Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC

X

Notes: GEOP Section 5

* 2. Incident Reports (detail) Does the process require preparation and filing of an incident report as soon as practicable but no later than 30 days after discovery of a reportable incident? (RPT.RR.INCIDENTREPORT.P) (detail)

3. Supplemental Incident Reports (detail) Does the process require preparation and filing of supplemental incident reports? (RPT.RR.INCIDENTREPORTSUPP.P) (detail)

* 4. National Registry of Pipeline and LNG Operators (OPID) (detail) Does the process require the obtaining, and appropriate control, of Operator Identification Numbers (OPIDs)? (RPT.RR.OPID.P) (detail)

5. Safety Related Condition Reports (detail) Do the procedures require reporting of safety-related conditions? (RPT.RR.SRCR.P) (detail)

192.605(a) (191.23(a); 191.25(b); 191.25(b))

Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC

X

Notes: GEOP & I-GN-SR-001

6. Offshore Pipeline Condition Reports (detail) Does the process require reports to be submitted within 60 days after completing inspection of underwater pipelines in GOM and its inlets? (RPT.RR.OPCR.P) (detail)

191.27(a) (191.27(b); 192.612(a))

Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC

Notes

7. Safety Related Conditions (detail) Does the process include instructions enabling personnel who perform operation and maintenance activities to recognize conditions that may potentially be safety-related conditions? (MO.GO.SRC.P) (detail)

192.605(d)

Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC

X

Notes: OQ Plan Section B pages 13-15

Procedures - Customer and EFV Installation Notification

1. Customer Notification (detail) *Is a customer notification process in place that satisfies the requirements of 192.16?* (MO.GO.CUSTNOTIFY.P) (detail)

192.13(c) (192.16(a); 192.16(b); 192.16(c); 192.16(d))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: OMI GOM & I-PO-VM 002

Also, website offer customers option to install, email notifications referring customers to website for additional information, included in new customer packets.

2. EFV Installation (detail) Is there an adequate excess flow valve (EFV) installation and performance program in place? (MO.GO.EFVINSTALL.P) (detail)

192.383(b) (192.381(a); 192.381(b); 192.381(c); 192.381(d); 192.381(e); 192.383(a); 192.383(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Yes

Procedures - Normal Operating And Maintenance

1. Normal Maintenance and Operations (detail) Does the process include a requirement to review the manual at intervals not exceeding 15 months, but at least once each calendar year? (MO.GO.OMANNUALREVIEW.P) (detail)

192.605(a)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Annual reviews GOMI GN-004

2. Normal Operations and Maintenance Procedures - History (detail) Does the process include requirements for making construction records, maps and operating history available to appropriate operating personnel? (MO.GO.OMHISTORY.P) (detail)

192.605(a) (192.605(b)(3))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: GOMI-FM-003

3. Normal Operations and Maintenance Procedures (detail) Does the process include procedures for starting up and shutting down any part of the pipeline in a manner to assure operation with the MAOP limits, plus the build-up allowed for operation of pressure-limiting and control devices? (MO.GOMAOP.MAOPLIMIT.P) (detail)

192.605(a) (192.605(b)(5))

| Sa | t + | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|----|-----|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | Х | | | | |

Notes: GOM & I-SO-MA-001; GOM & I-PO-RS-001

4. Normal Operations and Maintenance Procedures - Review (detail) Does the process include requirements for periodically reviewing the work done by operator personnel to determine the effectiveness, and adequacy of the procedures used in normal operations and maintenance and modifying the procedures when deficiencies are found? (MO.GO.OMEFFECTREVIEW.P) (detail)

192.605(a) (192.605(b)(8))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: GOM & I-PO-EP-001

| 5. Safety While Making Repairs (detail) | Does the process ensure that repairs are made in a safe |
|--|---|
| manner and are made so as to prevent damage to per | sons and property? (AR.RMP.SAFETY.P) (detail) |

192.605(b)(9) (192.713(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | х | | | | |

Notes: GOM&I-PO-EP-001

6. Holders (detail) Does the process include systematic and routine testing and inspection of pipe-type or bottle-type holders? (MO.GM.HOLDER.P) (detail)

192.605(a) (192.605(b)(10))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | х | |

Notes

7. Gas Odor Response (detail) Does the process require prompt response to the report of a gas odor inside or near a building? (MO.GO.ODDOR.P) (detail)

192.605(a) (192.605(b)(11))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | |

Notes: GEOP Section 4

Procedures - Change In Class Location

1. Change in Class Location Required Study (detail) Does the process include a requirement that the operator conduct a study whenever an increase in population density indicates a change in the class location of a pipeline segment operating at a hoop stress that is more than 40% SMYS? (MO.GOCLASS.CLASSLOCATESTUDY.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(1) (192.609(a); 192.609(b); 192.609(c);

192.609(d); 192.609(e); 192.609(f))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | X |

Notes: To be reviewed in Transmission Inspection

* 2. Change in Class Location Confirmation or Revision of MAOP (detail) Does the process include a requirement that the MAOP of a pipeline segment be confirmed or revised within 24 months whenever the hoop stress corresponding to the established MAOP is determined not to be commensurate with the existing class location? (MO.GOCLASS.CLASSLOCATEREV.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(1) (192.611(a); 192.611(b); 192.611(c); 192.611(d))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | Х |

Notes: To be reviewed in Transmission Inspection

Procedures - Continuing Surveillance

1. Continuing Surveillance (detail) Does the process include procedures for performing continuing surveillance of pipeline facilities, and also for reconditioning, phasing out, or reducing the MAOP in a pipeline segment that is determined to be in unsatisfactory condition but on which no immediate hazard exists? (MO.GO.CONTSURVEILLANCE.P) (detail)

192.605(e) (192.613(a); 192.613(b); 192.703(b);

192.703(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | X | | | | |

Notes: GOM&I PO-CS-001 used as procedure

Procedures - Damage Prevention Program

1. Damage Prevention Program (detail) *Is a damage prevention program approved and in place?* (PD.OC.PDPROGRAM.P) (detail)

192.614(a)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: New Public Awareness Coordinators on staff to increase focus on excavator relations. New software in place to improve tracking/reporting and distribution of locate requests.

Procedures - Emergency

1. Receiving Notices (detail) Does the emergency plan include procedures for receiving, identifying, and classifying notices of events which need immediate response? (EP.ERG.NOTICES.P) (detail)

192.615(a)(1)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Emergency Plan Section 3

2. Emergency Response Communication (detail) Does the emergency plan include procedures for establishing and maintaining adequate means of communication with appropriate fire, police, and other public officials? (EP.ERG.COMMSYS.P) (detail)

192.615(a) (192.615(a)(2))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: NIMs standard includes a scalable response team

* 3. Emergency Response (detail) Does the emergency plan include procedures for making a prompt and effective response to a notice of each type of emergency, including gas detected inside or near a building, a fire or explosion near or directly involving a pipeline facility, or a natural disaster? (EP.ERG.RESPONSE.P) (detail)

192.615(a) (192.615(a)(3); 192.615(a)(11); 192.615(b)(1))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | х | | | | |

Notes: Emergency Plan Section 4

4. Emergency Response (detail) Does the process include procedures for ensuring the availability of personnel, equipment, tools, and materials as needed at the scene of an emergency? (EP.ERG.READINESS.P) (detail)

192.615(a) (192.615(a)(4))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | x | | | | |

Notes:

5. Emergency Response - Actions (detail) Does the emergency plan include procedures for taking actions directed toward protecting people first and then property? (EP.ERG.PUBLICPRIORITY.P) (detail)

192.615(a) (192.615(a)(5))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Emergency Plan Section 3.5 & 4.0

| shutdown or pressure reduction in any section property? (EP.ERG.PRESSREDUCESD.P) (det | | necessa | ary to minimiz | e hazards | to life o | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| 192.615(a) (192.615(a)(6)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | NC |
| | | х | | | | |
| Notes: Emergency Plan Section 7.0 | | | | | | |
| 7. Emergency Response - Hazar making safe any actual or potential hazard t | | | | | cedures | for |
| 192.605(a) (192.615(a)(7)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | NC |
| | | Х | | | | |
| Notes: Emergency Plan Section 4 | | | | | | |
| 8. Public Official Notification (de appropriate public officials of gas pipeline en actual responses during an emergency? (EP. | nergencies and coord | linating ı | with them bot | | | |
| 192.615(a) (192.615(a)(8)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| | | X | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Notes: Emergency Plan Section 10 (Lia | ison) Section 5 (N | otificati | on Procedur | es) | | |
| Notes: Emergency Plan Section 10 (Lia 9. Service Outage Restoration (crestoring any service outage? (EP.ERG.OUTA | detail) Does the e | mergend | | | es for sa | afely |
| 9. Service Outage Restoration (| detail) Does the e | mergend | | procedur | es for sa | afely NC |
| 9. Service Outage Restoration (crestoring any service outage? (EP.ERG.OUTA | detail) Does the ea GERESTORE.P) (deta | mergeno ail) | y plan include | procedur | | |
| 9. Service Outage Restoration (crestoring any service outage? (EP.ERG.OUTA | detail) Does the ea GERESTORE.P) (deta | mergeno ail) Sat | y plan include | procedur | | |
| 9. Service Outage Restoration (crestoring any service outage? (EP.ERG.OUTA 192.615(a) (192.615(a)(9)) | detail) Does the earning GERESTORE.P) (detail) Sat + | mergeno ail) Sat X | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| 9. Service Outage Restoration (crestoring any service outage? (EP.ERG.OUTA 192.615(a) (192.615(a)(9)) Notes: Emergency Plan Section 8 10. Incident Investigation Action action under §192.617, if applicable, as soon | detail) Does the earning GERESTORE.P) (detail) Sat + | mergeno ail) Sat X | Concern | Unsat Unsat rocedures le? | NA | NC |
| 9. Service Outage Restoration (crestoring any service outage? (EP.ERG.OUTA 192.615(a) (192.615(a)(9)) Notes: Emergency Plan Section 8 10. Incident Investigation Action action under §192.617, if applicable, as soon (EP.ERG.INCIDENTACTIONS.P) (detail) | detail) Does the ea GERESTORE.P) (detail Sat+ | mergence ail) Sat X the process emerge | Concern cess include pancy as possib | Unsat Unsat rocedures le? | NA | N C |
| 9. Service Outage Restoration (crestoring any service outage? (EP.ERG.OUTA 192.615(a) (192.615(a)(9)) Notes: Emergency Plan Section 8 10. Incident Investigation Action action under §192.617, if applicable, as soon (EP.ERG.INCIDENTACTIONS.P) (detail) | detail) Does the ea GERESTORE.P) (detail Sat+ | mergence ail) Sat X the proceedings | Concern cess include pancy as possib | Unsat Unsat rocedures le? | NA | N C |
| 9. Service Outage Restoration (crestoring any service outage? (EP.ERG.OUTA 192.615(a) (192.615(a)(9)) Notes: Emergency Plan Section 8 10. Incident Investigation Action action under §192.617, if applicable, as soon (EP.ERG.INCIDENTACTIONS.P) (detail) 192.615(a) (192.615(a)(10)) | detail) Does the each GERESTORE.P) (detail) Sat + ns (detail) Does in after the end of the Sat + Sat + | mergence ail) Sat X the proceedings Sat X | Concern cess include parcy as possib Concern | Unsat rocedures le? Unsat | N A for begin | N C inning N C |
| 9. Service Outage Restoration (crestoring any service outage? (EP.ERG.OUTA 192.615(a) (192.615(a)(9)) Notes: Emergency Plan Section 8 10. Incident Investigation Action action under §192.617, if applicable, as soon (EP.ERG.INCIDENTACTIONS.P) (detail) 192.615(a) (192.615(a)(10)) Notes: GOM&I-PO-IF-001 Section 6.1 11. Emergency Response Training operating personnel to assure they are known | detail) Does the each GERESTORE.P) (detail) Sat + ns (detail) Does in after the end of the Sat + Sat + | mergence ail) Sat X the proceedings Sat X | Concern cess include parcy as possib Concern | Unsat Unsat Cocedures Idea Containing of the condition of the conditio | N A for begin | N C inning N C |
| 9. Service Outage Restoration (crestoring any service outage? (EP.ERG.OUTA 192.615(a) (192.615(a)(9)) Notes: Emergency Plan Section 8 10. Incident Investigation Action action under §192.617, if applicable, as soon (EP.ERG.INCIDENTACTIONS.P) (detail) 192.615(a) (192.615(a)(10)) Notes: GOM&I-PO-IF-001 Section 6.1 11. Emergency Response Training operating personnel to assure they are know training is effective? (EP.ERG.TRAINING.P) (| detail) Does the earlier of the earl | mergence ail) Sat X the processor sat X the processor emergency | Concern Cess include particle as possib Concern Cess include traprocedures ar | Unsat Unsat Cocedures Idea Containing of the condition of the conditio | NA for beginning the appropriate that the second control of the se | N C inning N C opriate |

12. Emergency Response Performance (detail) Does the process include detailed steps for reviewing employee activities to determine whether the procedures were effectively followed in each emergency? (EP.ERG.POSTEVNTREVIEW.P) (detail)

| 192.615(b)(3) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|---------------|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | X | | | | |

Notes: Emergency Plan Section 9 GOMI PO-IF-001

13. Liaison with Public Officials (detail) Does the process include steps for establishing and maintaining liaison with appropriate fire, police and other public officials and utility owners? (EP.ERG.LIAISON.P) (detail)

192.615(c) (192.615(c)(1); 192.615(c)(2); 192.615(c)(3); 192.615(c)(4); ADB-05-03)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Emergency Plan Section 10

Procedures - Public Awareness Program

1. Public Education Program (detail) Has the continuing public education (awareness) program been established as required? (PD.PA.PROGRAM.P) (detail)

192.616(a) (192.616(h))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Public Awareness Plan (PAP)

2. Management Support of Public Awareness Program (detail) Does the operator's program documentation demonstrate management support? (PD.PA.MGMTSUPPORT.P) (detail)

192.616(a) (API RP 1162 Section 2.5; API RP 1162 Section 7.1)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: PAP page 3

3. Asset Identification (detail) Does the program clearly identify the specific pipeline systems and facilities to be included in the program, along with the unique attributes and characteristics of each? (PD.PA.ASSETS.P) (detail)

192.616(b) (API RP 1162 Section 2.7 Step 4)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: PAP page 6

4. Audience I dentification (detail) Does the program establish methods to identify the individual stakeholders in the four affected stakeholder audience groups: (1) affected public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and (4) excavators, as well as affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents? (PD.PA.AUDIENCEID.P) (detail)

192.616(d) (192.616(e); 192.616(f); API RP 1162 Section 2.2; API RP 1162 Section 3)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | x | | | | |

Notes: PAP pages 13-18

5. Messages, Delivery Methods, and Frequencies (detail) Does the program define the combination of messages, delivery methods, and delivery frequencies to comprehensively reach all affected stakeholder audiences in all areas where gas is transported? (PD.PA.MESSAGES.P) (detail)

192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 3; API RP 1162 Section 4; API RP 1162 Section 5)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | x | | | | |

Notes: PAP pages 21-23

| 6. Consideration of Supplemental Enhancements (detail) Were relevant factors considered |
|---|
| to determine the need for supplemental public awareness program enhancements for each stakeholder audience, |
| as described in API RP 1162? (PD.PA.SUPPLEMENTAL.P) (detail) |

192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 6.2)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: PAP page 24 section 3.6.2

7. Other Languages (detail) Does the program require that materials and messages be provided in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas? (PD.PA.LANGUAGE.P) (detail)

192.616(g) (API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Only if census data supports as described in API RP 1162. Discussed further study to determine if excavator community census would support non-English messaging.

8. Evaluation Plan (detail) Does the program include a process that specifies how program implementation and effectiveness will be periodically evaluated? (PD.PA.EVALPLAN.P) (detail)

192.616(i) (192.616(c); API RP 1162 Section 8; API RP 1162 Appendix E)

| RP | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|----|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | x | | | | |

Notes: PAP Section 4 page 27

9. Master Meter and Petroleum Gas Systems (detail) Does the master meter or petroleum gas system operator's process meet the requirements of 192.616(j)? (PD.PA.MSTRMETER.P) (detail)

192.616(j) (192.616(h))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | X | |

Notes

Procedures - Failure Investigationn

1. Incident Investigation (detail) Does the process include procedures for analyzing accidents and failures, including the selection of samples of the failed facility or equipment for laboratory examination, where appropriate, for the purpose of determining the causes of the failure and minimizing the possibility of recurrence? (EP.ERG.INCIDENTANALYSIS.P) (detail)

192.617

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | X | | | | |

Notes: GOM&I-PO-IF 001 Section 6.1

Procedures - MAOP

1. Maximum Allowable Operating pressure Determination (detail) Does the process include procedures for determining the maximum allowable operating pressure for a pipeline segment in accordance with 192.619? (MO.GOMAOP.MAOPDETERMINE.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(1) (192.619(a); 192.619(b); 192.621(a);

192.621(b); 192.623(a); 192.623(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: GOM&I PO-MA-001

Procedures - Pressure Test

1. Test Acceptance Criteria and Procedures (detail) Were test acceptance criteria and procedures sufficient to assure the basis for an acceptable pressure test? (AR.PTI.PRESSTESTACCEP.P) (detail)

| 192.503(a) (192.503(b); 192.503(c); 192.503(d); 192.505(a); 192.505(b); 192.505(c); 192.505(d); 192.505(e); 192.507(a); 192.507(b); 192.507(c)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | |
|---|------|-----|---------|-------|---|
| | | | | | Γ |

Notes: GOMI PO-TE-001

Procedures - Odorization Of Gas

1. Odorization of Gas (detail) Does the process ensure appropriate odorant levels are contained in its combustible gases in accordance with §192.625? (MO.GOODOR.ODORIZE.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(1) (192.625(a); 192.625(b); 192.625(c); 192.625(d); 192.625(e); 192.625(f))

| S | at+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|---|-----|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | X | | | | |

NΑ

NC

Notes: GOMI PO-OD-001 Injection sites located at City Gate and at Compressor stations relating to storage.

Procedures - Tapping Pipelines Under Pressure

1. Tapping Pipelines Under Pressure (detail) *Is the process adequate for tapping pipelines under pressure?* (AR.RMP.HOTTAP.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(1) (192.627)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | x | | | | |

Notes: GOM&I PO-TA-001 Procedure 6

2. Qualification of Personnel Tapping Pipelines under Pressure (detail) Does the process require taps on a pipeline under pressure (hot taps) to be performed by qualified personnel? (TQ.QU.HOTTAPQUAL.P) (detail)

192.627 (192.805(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: OQ Plan Section B Pages 13-14

Procedures - Pipeline Purging

1. Pipeline Purging (detail) Does the process include requirements for purging of pipelines in accordance with 192.629? (MO.GOODOR.PURGE.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(1) (192.629(a); 192.629(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | X | | | | |

Notes: GOM&I PO-PU-001 Section 6 Procedures

Procedures – Control Room Management

See separate Control Room Management question set. (Not performed during this inspection)

Procedures - Transmission Lines - Patrolling & Leakage Survey

1. Patrolling Requirements (detail) Does the process adequately cover the requirements for patrolling the ROW and conditions reported? (PD.RW.PATROL.P) (detail)

192.705(a) (192.705(b); 192.705(c))

Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC

X

Notes: To be inspected during 2019 Transmission inspection

2. Leakage Surveys (detail) Does the process require leakage surveys to be conducted? (PD.RW.LEAKAGE.P) (detail)

192.706 (192.706(a); 192.706(b))

Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC

X

Notes: To be inspected during 2019 Transmission inspection

Procedures - Distribution System Patrolling & Leakage Survey

1. Distribution System Leakage Surveys (detail) Does the process require distribution system patrolling and leakage surveys to be conducted? (PD.RW.DISTLEAKAGE.P) (detail)

192.721 (192.721(a); 192.721(b); 192.723(a); 192.723(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: GOM&I PO-PA-001 and 007

Procedures - Line Marker

1. ROW Markers Requirements (detail) Does the process adequately cover the requirements for placement of ROW markers? (PD.RW.ROWMARKER.P) (detail)

192.707(a) (192.707(b); 192.707(c); 192.707(d); CGA Best Practices, v4.0, Practice 2-5; CGA Best Practices, v4.0, Practice 4-20)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | X | | | | |

Notes: GOMI-PO-005 Section 6.8

Procedures - Transmission Record Keeping

1. Transmission Lines Record Keeping (detail) Does the process include a requirement that the operator maintain a record of each pipe/"other than pipe" repair, NDT required record, and (as required by subparts L or M) patrol, survey, inspection or test? (MO.GM.RECORDS.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(1) (192.709(a); 192.709(b); 192.709(c); 192.743(f))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | Х |

Notes: To be inspected during 2019 Transmission inspection

Procedures - Transmission Field Repair

1. Transmission Lines Permanent Field Repair of Defects (detail) Is the process adequate for the permanent field repair of defects in transmission lines? (AR.RMP.FIELDREPAIRDEFECT.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(1) (192.713(a); 192.713(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | х |

Notes: To be inspected during 2019 Transmission inspection

2. Transmission Lines Permanent Field Repair of Welds (detail) Is the process adequate for the permanent field repair of welds? (AR.RMP.FIELDREPAIRWELDS.P) (detail)

192.605(b) (192.715(a); 192.715(b); 192.715(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | Х |

Notes: To be inspected during 2019 Transmission inspection

3. Transmission Lines Permanent Field Repair of Leaks (detail) Is there an adequate process for the permanent field repair of leaks on transmission lines? (AR.RMP.FIELDREPAIRLEAK.P) (detail)

192.605(b) (192.717(a); 192.717(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | х |

Notes: To be inspected during 2019 Transmission inspection

4. Transmission Lines Testing of Repairs (detail) *Is the process adequate for the testing of replacement pipe and repairs made by welding on transmission lines?* (AR.RMP.WELDTEST.P) (detail)

192.605(b) (197.719(a); 197.719(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | X |

Notes: To be inspected during 2019 Transmission inspection

Procedures - Test Requirements For Reinstating Service Lines

1. Test Reinstated Service Lines (detail) *Is the process adequate for the testing of disconnected service lines?* (AR.RMP.TESTREINSTATE.P) (detail)

192.605(b) (197.725(a); 197.725(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | X | | | | |

Notes: GOM&I PO-TE-001 Section 6.11 Construction Standards GCS 20-10-005

Procedures - Abandonment Or Deactivation Of Facilities

1. Abandonment or Deactivation of Pipe and Facilities (detail) Does the process include procedures for the abandonment and deactivation of pipelines that are in accordance with 192.727? (MO.GM.ABANDONPIPE.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(1) (192.727(a); 192.727(b); 192.727(c); 192.727(d); 192.727(e); 192.727(f); 192.727(g))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | x | | | | |

Notes: GOM&I PO-AB-001

Procedures - Pressure Limiting And Regulating Station

1. Pressure Limiting and Regulating Stations Inspection and Testing (detail) Does the process include procedures for inspecting and testing each pressure limiting station, relief device, and pressure regulating station and their equipment at intervals not exceeding 15 months, but at least once each calendar year as required? (MO.GMOPP.PRESSREGTEST.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(1) (192.739(a); 192.739(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: GOM&I PO-RS-001 Section 4.2.1 Procedures as outlined in 192.730

2. Pressure Telemetering or Recording Gauges (detail) Does the process require telemetering or recording gauges be utilized as required for distribution systems? (MO.GMOPP.PRESSREGMETER.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(1) (192.741(a); 192.741(b); 192.741(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | X | | | | |

Notes: GOM&I PO-RS-001 Section 6.3

3. Pressure Limiting and Regulating Stations Capacity of Relief Devices (detail)

Does the process include procedures for ensuring, either by testing or a review of calculations, at intervals not exceeding 15 months, but at least once each calendar year, that the capacity of each pressure relief device at pressure limiting stations and pressure regulating stations has sufficient capacity, and for installing a new or additional device if a relief device is determined to have insufficient capacity? (MO.GMOPP.PRESSREGCAP.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(1) (192.743(a); 192.743(b); 192.743(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | x | | | | |

Notes: GOM&I PO-RS-001 Section 6.2.3

Procedures - Valve And Vault Maintenance

1. Valve Maintenance Transmission Lines (detail) Does the process include procedures for inspecting and partially operating each transmission line valve that might be required in an emergency at intervals not exceeding 15 months, but at least once each calendar year and for taking prompt remedial action to correct any valve found inoperable? (MO.GM.VALVEINSPECT.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(1) (192.745(a); 192.745(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | Х |

Notes: To be inspected during 2019 Transmission inspection

2. Valve Maintenance Distribution Lines (detail) Does the process include procedures for inspecting and partially operating each distribution system valve that might be required in an emergency at intervals not exceeding 15 months, but at least once each calendar year and for taking prompt remedial action to correct any valve found inoperable? (MO.GM.DISTVALVEINSPECT.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(1) (192.747(a); 192.747(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | x | | | | |

Notes: GOM&I PO-VM-002 Section 6.1

Procedures - Vault Inspection

1. Vault Inspection (detail) Does the process provide adequate direction for inspecting vaults having a volumetric internal content of 200 cubic feet (5.66 cubic meters) or more that house pressure regulating/limiting equipment and are inspections to be performed at the required interval? (FS.FG.VAULTINSPECTFAC.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(1) (192.749(a); 192.749(b); 192.749(c); 192.749(d)) Sat+

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | Х | |

Notes: All vaults are below code 192.749(a) size requirement (internal content < 200 cubic feet) for inspections.

Procedures - Prevention Of Accidental Ignition

1. Prevention of Accidental Ignition (detail) Does the manual include procedures for minimizing the danger of accidental ignition where gas constitutes a hazard of fire or explosion? (MO.GM.IGNITION.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(1) (192.751(a); 192.751(b); 192.751(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: GOM&I PO-AI-001

Procedures - Caulked Bell And Spigot Joints

1. Bell and Spigot Joints (detail) Does the process require that caulked bell and spigot joints be correctly sealed? (MO.GM.BELLSPIGOTJOINT.P) (detail)

192.753(a) (192.753(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | х | |

Notes: Cast iron effectively removed. If found on system the B&S Joints will be removed from service.

Procedures - Protecting Cast-Iron Pipeline

1. Protecting Cast-Iron Pipeline (detail) Does the process require adequate protection for segments of a buried cast-iron pipeline for which support has been disturbed? (MO.GM.CASTIRONPROTECT.P) (detail)

192.755(a) (192.755(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | x | |

Notes: No known cast iron pipeline in system.

Procedures - Welding And Weld Defect Repair/Removal

1. Welding Procedures (detail) Does the process require welding to be performed by qualified welders using qualified welding procedures and are welding procedures and qualifying tests required to be recorded in detail? (DC.WELDPROCEDURE.WELD.P) (detail)

| 192.225(a) (192.225(b)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|-------------------------|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | Х | | | | |

Notes: OQ Plan Section B pages 13-14 Welding Manual 4.1.1

| Notes: OQ Plan Section B | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| 3. Qualification of Welders for Low Str who perform welding on low stress pipe on lines that Appendix C to Part 192, and are welders who perform be qualified under Section II of Appendix C to Part 1 | t operate at · m welding or | < 20% S service | SMYS to be qui line connection | alified und on to a ma | Ier Secti nin requi | on I of red to |
| 192.227(b) (192.225(a); 192.225(b); 192.805(b)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | NC |
| | | X | | | | |
| Notes: per Appendix C, all are requiredWeld | ing Manual | | | | | |
| 4. Limitations on Welders (detail) Does welders? (DC.WELDERQUAL.WELDERLIMITNDT.P) (d | | require | certain limita | tions be pl | laced on | |
| 192.303 (192.229(a); 192.229(b); 192.229(c); 192.229(d)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | NC |
| | | Х | | | | |
| Notes: Welding Manual Section 4.1.1 | | | | | | |
| 5. Welding Weather (detail) Does the proconditions that would impair the quality of the comp (detail) | | | | | | P) |
| 100 202 (102 221) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | NC |
| 192.303 (192.231) | Sal+ | Jat | | | | _ |
| 192.303 (192.231) | 341+ | X | | | | |
| Notes: Inspection Guidelines Section 6.2.7 W | | Х | ion 10.1 | | | |
| Notes: Inspection Guidelines Section 6.2.7 W 6. Miter joints (detail) Does the process pro | elding Manı | X ual Sect | | s? | | |
| Notes: Inspection Guidelines Section 6.2.7 W 6. Miter joints (detail) Does the process pro (DC.WELDPROCEDURE.MITERJOINT.P) (detail) | elding Manı | X ual Sect | | | N A | NC |
| Notes: Inspection Guidelines Section 6.2.7 W 6. Miter joints (detail) Does the process pro (DC.WELDPROCEDURE.MITERJOINT.P) (detail) | elding Manu | X ual Sect | ain miter joints | | NA | NC |
| Notes: Inspection Guidelines Section 6.2.7 W 6. Miter joints (detail) Does the process pro (DC.WELDPROCEDURE.MITERJOINT.P) (detail) | elding Manu phibit the use Sat+ | X ual Sect of certa | ain miter joints | | NA | NC |
| Notes: Inspection Guidelines Section 6.2.7 W 6. Miter joints (detail) Does the process pro (DC.WELDPROCEDURE.MITERJOINT.P) (detail) 192.303 (192.233(a); 192.233(b); 192.233(c)) Notes: Welding Manual Section 7.0 (Weld Join 7. Preparation for Welding (detail) Does | elding Manuahibit the use Sat+ Int Fit Up) Ses the proces | X ual Sect of certa Sat X | Concern | Unsat | | |
| Notes: Inspection Guidelines Section 6.2.7 W 6. Miter joints (detail) Does the process pro (DC.WELDPROCEDURE.MITERJOINT.P) (detail) 192.303 (192.233(a); 192.233(b); 192.233(c)) Notes: Welding Manual Section 7.0 (Weld Join 7. Preparation for Welding (detail) Does accordance with §192.235? (DC.WELDPROCEDURE.) | elding Manuahibit the use Sat+ Int Fit Up) Ses the proces | X ual Sect of certa Sat X | Concern | Unsat | | |
| Notes: Inspection Guidelines Section 6.2.7 W 6. Miter joints (detail) Does the process pro (DC.WELDPROCEDURE.MITERJOINT.P) (detail) 192.303 (192.233(a); 192.233(b); 192.233(c)) Notes: Welding Manual Section 7.0 (Weld Join 7. Preparation for Welding (detail) Does accordance with §192.235? (DC.WELDPROCEDURE.) | sat+ This is the process the process VELDPREP.P) | X Jal Sect of certa Sat X as require (detail) | Concern e certain prep | Unsat | or weldir | ng, in |
| Notes: Inspection Guidelines Section 6.2.7 W 6. Miter joints (detail) Does the process pro (DC.WELDPROCEDURE.MITERJOINT.P) (detail) 192.303 (192.233(a); 192.233(b); 192.233(c)) Notes: Welding Manual Section 7.0 (Weld Join 7. Preparation for Welding (detail) Does accordance with §192.235? (DC.WELDPROCEDURE.) | sat+ This is the process the process VELDPREP.P) | X ual Sect of certa Sat X ss require (detail) Sat | Concern e certain prep | Unsat | or weldir | ng, in |
| Notes: Inspection Guidelines Section 6.2.7 W 6. Miter joints (detail) Does the process production (DC.WELDPROCEDURE.MITERJOINT.P) (detail) 192.303 (192.233(a); 192.233(b); 192.233(c)) Notes: Welding Manual Section 7.0 (Weld Joint 7. Preparation for Welding (detail) Does accordance with §192.235? (DC.WELDPROCEDURE.W 192.303 (192.235) Notes 8. Inspection and Test of Welds (detail) | sat + Sat + Int Fit Up) Sat + Sat + Sat + Des the process VELDPREP.P) Sat + | X Jal Sect of certa Sat X ss require (detail) Sat X | Concern c certain prep Concern | Unsat arations fo | or weldir N A | ng, in |
| Notes: Inspection Guidelines Section 6.2.7 W 6. Miter joints (detail) Does the process production (DC.WELDPROCEDURE.MITERJOINT.P) (detail) 192.303 (192.233(a); 192.233(b); 192.233(c)) Notes: Welding Manual Section 7.0 (Weld Joint 7. Preparation for Welding (detail) Does accordance with §192.235? (DC.WELDPROCEDURE.W. 192.303 (192.235) Notes 8. Inspection and Test of Welds (details be conducted by qualified inspectors? (DC.WELDINS) | sat + Sat + Int Fit Up) Sat + Sat + Sat + Des the process VELDPREP.P) Sat + | X Jal Sect of certa Sat X ss require (detail) Sat X | Concern c certain prep Concern | arations for Unsat | or weldir N A | ng, in |
| Notes: Inspection Guidelines Section 6.2.7 W 6. Miter joints (detail) Does the process pro (DC.WELDPROCEDURE.MITERJOINT.P) (detail) 192.303 (192.233(a); 192.233(b); 192.233(c)) Notes: Welding Manual Section 7.0 (Weld Joint 7. Preparation for Welding (detail) Does accordance with \$192.235? (DC.WELDPROCEDURE.W 192.303 (192.235) | sat + Sat + Int Fit Up) Sat + Sat + Sat + Des the process VELDPREP.P) Sat + | X ual Sect of certa Sat X ss require (detail) Sat X process ALQUAL | Concern c certain prep Concern c require visual | arations for Unsat | or weldir NA | ng, in NC |

* 2. Qualification of Welders (detail) Does the process require welders to be qualified in accordance with API 1104 or the ASME Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code? (TQ.QUOMCONST.WELDER.P) (detail)

Sat+

Sat

Χ

Concern Unsat

NC

192.227(a) (192.225(a); 192.225(b); 192.328(a); 192.328(b))

9. Repair or Removal of Weld Defects (detail) Does the process require welds that are unacceptable to be removed and/or repaired as specified by 192.245? (DC.WELDINSP.WELDREPAIR.P) (detail)

192.303 (192.245(a); 192.245(b); 192.245(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Welding Inspection Section 6.5

Procedures - Nondestructive Testing

1. Nondestructive Test and Interpretation Procedures (detail) Is there a process for nondestructive testing and interpretation? (DC.WELDINSP.WELDNDT.P) (detail)

192.243(a) (192.243(b); 192.243(c); 192.243(d); 192.243(e).)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Welding Manual Section 5 page 2

Procedures - Joining Of Pipeline Materials

1. Plastic Pipe Joints (detail) Does the process require plastic pipe joints to be designed and installed in accordance with 192.281? (DC.CO.PLASTICJOINT.P) (detail)

192.303 (192.273(b); 192.281(a); 192.281(b);

192.281(c); 192.281(d); 192.281(e))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Plastic Welding Manual (Fusion) Section 1.0

2. Plastic pipe - Qualifying Joining Procedures (detail) Does the process require plastic pipe joining procedures to be qualified in accordance with §192.283, prior to making plastic pipe joints? (DC.CO.PLASTICJOINTPROCEDURE.P) (detail)

192.273(b) (192.283(a); 192.283(b); 192.283(c); 192.283(d))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Plastic Welding Manual (Fusion) Section 6.0

3. Plastic pipe - Qualifying Joining Procedures (detail) Is a process in place to ensure that personnel making joints in plastic pipelines are qualified? (DC.CO.PLASTICJOINTQUAL.P) (detail)

192.285(d) (192.285(a); 192.285(b); 192.285(c); 192.805)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | х | | | | |

Notes: OQ Plan Section B pages 13-14

4. Qualification of Personnel Inspecting Joints in Plastic Pipelines (detail) Is a process in place to assure that persons who inspect joints in plastic pipes are qualified? (DC.CO.PLASTICJOINTINSP.P) (detail)

192.287 (192.805(h))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | x | | | | |

Notes: OQ Plan Section A pages 22-23; Section B pages 13-15

Procedures - Corrosion Control

| 1. Corrosion Control Personnel Qualification (detail) Does the process require corrosion |
|---|
| control procedures to be carried out by, or under the direction of, qualified personnel? (TQ.QU.CORROSION.I |
| (detail) |

192.453 (192.805(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: OM&I - CC-AC-001; OQ Plan Section B Pages 12-15

2. New Buried Pipe Coating (detail) Does the process require that each buried or submerged pipeline installed after July 31, 1971, be protected against external corrosion with an adequate coating unless exempted by §192.455(b)? (TD.COAT.NEWPIPE.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(2) (192.455(a); 192.461; 192.463;

192.483(a))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | х | | | | |

Notes: GOMI-CC-EC-001 and 002

3. Conversion to Service - Pipe Coating (detail) Does the process require that each buried or submerged pipeline that has been converted to gas service and was installed after July 31, 1971, be protected against external corrosion with an adequate coating unless exempted by 192.455(b)? (TD.COAT.CONVERTPIPE.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(2) (192.452(a); 192.455(a); 192.455(b);

192.461(a))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | x | | | | |

Notes: GOMI-CC-EC-002

4. Cathodic Protection post July 1971 (detail) Does the process require that each buried or submerged pipeline installed after July 31, 1971, be protected against external corrosion with a cathodic protection system within 1 year after completion of construction, conversion to service, or becoming jurisdictional onshore gathering? (TD.CP.POST1971.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(2) (192.455(a); 192.457(a); 192.452(a);

192.452(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | х | | | | |

Notes: GOMI Section 6.1.3

5. Use of Aluminum (detail) Does the process give adequate guidance for the installation of aluminum in a submerged or buried pipeline? (TD.CP.ALUMINUM.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(2) (192.455(e))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | Х | |

Notes: Discussed in GOMI 6.1.2

6. Cathodic Protection pre August 1971 (detail) Does the process require that pipelines installed before August 1, 1971 (except for cast and ductile iron lines) which are 1) bare or ineffectively coated transmission lines or 2) bare or coated pipes in compressor, regulator or meter stations must be cathodically protected in areas where active corrosion is found in accordance with Subpart I or Part 192? (TD.CP.PRE1971.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(2) (192.457(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | x | | | | |

Notes: GOMI-CC Section 6.1.4

| exposed portions of buried pipeline must be exam (TD.CPEXPOSED.EXPOSEINSPECT.P) (detail) | nined for externa | al corros | ion? | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| 192.605(b)(2) (192.459) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| | | х | | | | |
| Notes: GOMI-CC Section 6.4 (3 feet in both | directions of | expose | d corroded p | ipe) | | |
| 8. Further Examination of Exposed I require further examination of exposed buried pip (detail) | | | | | | |
| 192.605(b)(2) (192.459) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | NC |
| | | Х | | | | |
| Notes; GOMI-CC Section 6.4.4 | | | | | | |
| 9. Cathodic Protection Monitoring C criteria to be used that is acceptable? (TD.CPEXPO | | | | s require (| CP monit | toring |
| 192.605(b)(2) (192.463(a); 192.463(c)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | NC |
| | | Х | | | | |
| Notes: GOMI-CC Section 6.5.2 | | | | | | |
| 10. Cathodic Protection of Amphote be used for cathodic protection of amphoteric me (TD.CP.AMPHOTERIC.P) (detail) | | | | | | teria to |
| 192.605(b)(2) (192.463(b); 192.463(c)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | NC |
| | | Х | | | | |
| Notes: GOMI-CC Section 6.1. and 6.2.7 (No | ne know to be | in the | system wou | ld be rep | laced if | found) |
| 11. Cathodic Protection Monitoring monitor CP that has been applied to pipelines? (T | | | | ely descrii | be how t | to |
| 192.605(b)(2) (192.465(a)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | NC |
| | | Х | | | | |
| Notes: GOMI-CC Section 6.3 | | | | | | |
| 12. Rectifiers or other Impressed Codetails for making electrical checks of rectifiers or (detail) | | | | | | |
| 192.605(b)(2) (192.465(b)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | NC |
| | | Х | | | | |
| Notes: GOMI-CC Section 6.3.2 (38 total on | system includ | ing Tra | nsmission) | | | |
| 13. Bonds, Diodes and Reverse Curr details for making electrical checks of interference (TD.CPMONITOR.REVCURRENTTEST.P) (detail) | | | | | s give su | ıfficient |
| 192.605(b)(2) (192.465(c)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| | | Х | | | | |

Notes: GOMI-CC Section 6.3.2

| 14. Correction of Corrosion Control Defic | iencies (detail) Does the process require that the |
|--|--|
| operator correct any identified deficiencies in corrosion of | control? (TD.CPMONITOR.DEFICIENCY.P) (detail) |

192.605(b)(2) (192.465(d))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | х | | | | |

Notes: GOMI-CC Section 6.3.3

15. Unprotected Buried Pipelines (typically bare pipelines) (detail) Does the process give sufficient direction for the monitoring of external corrosion on buried pipelines that are not protected by cathodic protection? (TD.CP.UNPROTECT.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(2) (192.465(e))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | х | | | | |

Notes: GOMI-CC Section 6.3.3 (Monitors but addressing bare areas through replacement program)

16. Isolation from Other Metallic Structures (detail) Does the process give adequate guidance for electrically isolating each buried or submerged pipeline from other metallic structures unless they electrically interconnect and cathodically protect the pipeline and the other structures as a single unit? (TD.CP.ELECISOLATE.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(2) (192.467(a); 192.467(b); 192.467(c);

192.467(d); 192.467(e))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | x | | | | |

Notes: GOMI-CC EC-003 Section 6

17. Test Leads Installation (detail) Does the process provide adequate instructions for the installation of test leads? (TD.CPMONITOR.TESTLEAD.P) (detail)

102 605 (6) (2) (102 471(a) 102 471(b) 102 471(c)

| 192.605(b)(2) (1 | 92.4/T(a); | 192.4/1(b); | 192.47 | I(C); |
|------------------|------------|-------------|--------|-------|
| 192.469) | | | | |

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: GOMI-CC-EC-001 Section 6.5.2

18. Interference Currents (detail) Does the process give sufficient guidance and detail for identifying areas of potential stray current so the detrimental effects of stray currents can be minimized through a continuing program? (TD.CPMONITOR.INTFRCURRENT.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(2) (192.473(a))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: GOMI-CC-EC-001 Section 6.6.1; 6.6.2; 6.6.3

19. Internal Corrosion (detail) If the process does not preclude corrosive gas to be transported by pipeline, does the process also require that the corrosive effect of the gas on the pipeline be investigated and steps be taken to minimize internal corrosion? (TD.ICP.CORRGAS.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(2) (192.475(a))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC | |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|--|
| | Х | | | | | |

Notes: GOMI-CC IC-001 (All transmission gas is monitored at the take station and potential issues are addressed prior to entering the Distribution system)

20. Internal Corrosion in Cutout Pipe (detail) Does the process direct personnel to examine removed pipe for evidence of internal corrosion? (TD.ICP.EXAMINE.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(2) (192.475(a); 192.475(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: GOMI-CC-EC-001 Section 6.5 and 6.6

| 21. Internal Corrosion Control: Design and Construction (192.476) (detail) Does |
|---|
| the process require that the transmission line project has features incorporated into its design and construction |
| to reduce the risk of internal corrosion, as required of §192.476? (DC.DPC.INTCORRODE.P) (detail) |

192.453 (192.476(a); 192.476(b); 192.476(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | x |

Notes: To be inspected during 2019 Transmission inspection

22. Internal Corrosion Corrosive Gas Actions (detail) Does the process give adequate direction for actions to be taken if corrosive gas is being transported by pipeline? (TD.ICP.CORRGASACTION.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(2) (192.477)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | X |

Notes: To be inspected during 2019 Transmission inspection

23. Atmospheric Corrosion (detail) Does the process give adequate guidance for protecting above ground pipe from atmospheric corrosion? (TD.ATM.ATMCORRODE.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(2) (192.479(a); 192.479(b); 192.479(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: GOMI-CC-AC-001 Section 6.1 and for bridge crossings Section 6.2.4

24. Atmospheric Corrosion Monitoring (detail) Does the process give adequate instruction for the inspection of aboveground pipeline segments for atmospheric corrosion? (TD.ATM.ATMCORRODEINSP.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(2) (192.481(a); 192.481(b); 192.481(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | X | | | | |

Notes: GOMI-CC-AC-001 Section 6.2

25. Repair of Corroded Pipe (detail) Does the process give sufficient guidance for personnel to repair or replace pipe that has corroded to an extent that there is no longer sufficient remaining strength in the pipe wall? (AR.RCOM.REPAIR.P) (detail)

192.491(c) (192.485(a); 192.485(b); 192.487(a); 192.487(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | X | | | | |

Notes: GOMI-CC-RM-001 Section 6.3

26. Evaluation of Internally Corroded Pipe (detail) Does the process give sufficient guidance for personnel to evaluate the remaining strength of pipe that has been internally corroded? (TD.ICP.EVALUATE.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(2) (192.485(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | x | | | | |

Notes: GOMI-CC-RM-001 Section 6.7.2

27. Graphitization of Cast Iron and Ductile Iron (detail) Does the process give adequate guidance for remediation of graphitization of cast iron or ductile iron pipe? (TD.CP.GRAPHITIZE.P) (detail)

192.605(b)(2) (192.489(a); 192.489(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | X | |

Notes: No known cast or Ductile Iron. If found would be replaced

| 28. Corrosion Control Records (detail) | Does the process include records requirements for the |
|---|---|
| corrosion control activities listed in 192.491? (TD.CP. | RECORDS.P) (detail) |

| 192.605(b)(2) (192.491(a); 192.491(b); 192.491(c)) | | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|--|--|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | Х | | | | |

Notes: GOMI-CC-RM-001 Section 11

Field Review - Pipeline Inspection (Field)

1. Transmission Line Valve Spacing (detail) Are transmission line valves being installed as required of 192.179? (DC.DPC.VALVESPACE.O) (detail)

192.141 (192.179(a); 192.179(b); 192.179(c); 192.179(d))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | Х |

Notes: To be inspected during 2019 Transmission inspection

2. Cathodic Protection Monitoring Criteria (detail) Are methods used for taking CP monitoring readings that allow for the application of appropriate CP monitoring criteria? (TD.CPMONITOR.MONITORCRITERIA.O) (detail)

192.463(a)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | X | | | | |

Notes

3. Rectifier or other Impressed Current Sources (detail) Are impressed current sources properly maintained and are they functioning properly? (TD.CPMONITOR.CURRENTTEST.O) (detail)

192.465(b)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes

4. Internal Corrosion Control: Design and Construction (192.476) (detail) Does the transmission project's design and construction comply with 192.476? (DC.DPC.INTCORRODE.O) (detail)

192.476(a) (192.476(b); 192.476(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | Х |

Notes: To be inspected during 2019 Transmission inspection

5. Atmospheric Corrosion Monitoring (detail) *Is pipe that is exposed to atmospheric corrosion protected?* (TD.ATM.ATMCORRODEINSP.O) (detail)

192.481(b) (192.481(c); 192.479(a); 192.479(b); 192.479(c))

| : | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|---|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | x | | | | |

Notes

6. Normal Operations and Maintenance Procedures - Review (detail) *Are operator personnel knowledgeable of the procedures used in normal operations?* (MO.GO.OMEFFECTREVIEW.O) (detail)

| | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|-------|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | X | | | | |
| Notes | | | | | | |

| Notes 9. Transmission Lines Testing of Repair pipe and repairs made by welding on transmission line | s (detai | | | | | |
|---|------------|-----|----------------|------------|-----------|---------|
| | s (detai | | | | | |
| | s? (AR.RMI | | | | test repl | acement |
| 192.719(a) (192.719(b)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | NC |
| | | | | | | Х |
| Notes: To be inspected during 2019 Transmission | n inspect | ion | | | | |
| 10. Pressure Telemetering or Recording gauges properly utilized as required for distribution sys | | | | | | ding |
| 192.741(a) (192.741(b); 192.741(c)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| | | Х | | | | |
| Notes | | | | | | |
| 11. Pressure Limiting and Regulating Stifield or bench tests or inspections of regulating station. (MO.GMOPP.PRESSREGTEST.O) (detail) | | | | | | |
| 192.739(a) (192.739(b); 192.743) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| | | Х | | | | |
| Notes | | | | | | |
| 12. Valve Maintenance Transmission Lir of transmission line valves adequate? (MO.GM.VALVEII | | | e field inspec | tion and p | artial op | eration |
| 192.745(a) (192.745(b)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| | | | | | | Х |
| Notes: To be inspected during 2019 Transmission | n inspect | ion | | | | |
| 13. Prevention of Accidental Ignition (diverify that adequate steps have been taken by the oper (AR.RMP.IGNITION.O) (detail) | | | | | | |
| 192.751(a) (192.751(b); 192.751(c)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| | | Х | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

7. Placement of ROW Markers (detail) Are line markers placed and maintained as required?

8. Placement of ROW Markers (detail) Are line markers placed and maintained as required for

Sat+

Sat+

Sat

Χ

Sat

Concern Unsat

Concern Unsat

NΑ

NΑ

NC

NC

(PD.RW.ROWMARKER.O) (detail)

Notes

CGA Best Practices, v4.0, Practice 4-20)

CGA Best Practices, v4.0, Practice 4-20)

192.707(a) (CGA Best Practices, v4.0, Practice 2-5;

192.707(c) (CGA Best Practices, v4.0, Practice 2-5;

above ground pipelines? (PD.RW.ROWMARKERABOVE.O) (detail)

Records - Regulatory Reporting Performance

| 1. Immediate Reporting: Incidents (deta | 111 <i>) Do n</i> | ecoras in | aicate immed | лате потігі | cations (| OΓ |
|---|-------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|-----------|-----|
| incidents were made in accordance with 191.5? (RPT.RR | .IMMEDR | EPORT.R | detail) | | | |
| 101 5(a) (101 7(a)) | Sat. | S a t | Concern | Uncat | NI A | NI. |

| 191.5(a) (191.7(a)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|---------------------|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | X | | | | |
| Notes | | | | | | |

2. Incident Reports (detail) Do records indicate reportable incidents were identified and reports were submitted to DOT on Form 7100.2 (01-2002) within the required timeframe? (RPT.RR.INCIDENTREPORT.R) (detail)

191.15(a)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | х | | | | |

Notes: Example—Hurstborne/Taylorsville Road damage

3. Supplemental Incident Reports (detail) Do records indicate accurate supplemental incident reports were filed and within the required timeframe? (RPT.RR.INCIDENTREPORTSUPP.R) (detail)

| 191.15(c) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|-----------|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | X | | | | |
| Notes | | | | | | |

4. Annual Report Records (detail) Have complete and accurate Annual Reports been submitted? (RPT.RR.ANNUALREPORT.R) (detail)

191.17(a)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | X | | | | |

Notes

5. Safety Related Condition Reports (detail) Do records indicate safety-related condition reports were filed as required? (RPT.RR.SRCR.R) (detail)

191.23(a) (191.25(a); 191.25(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | х | | | | |

Notes: None reported

6. Customer Notification (detail) Do records indicate the customer notification process satisfies the requirements of 192.16? (MO.GO.CUSTNOTIFY.R) (detail)

192.16(d) (192.16(a); 192.16(b); 192.16(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | X | | | | |

Notes

7. NPMS: Abandoned Underwater Facility Reports (detail) Do records indicate reports were filed for abandoned offshore pipeline facilities or abandoned onshore pipeline facilities that crosses over, under or through a commercially navigable waterway? (RPT.RR.NPMSABANDONWATER.R) (detail)

192.727(g)

Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC
X

Notes

Records - Construction Performance

| 1. Welding Procedures (detail) Do records in | ndicate weld | procedures | are being | g qualified | d in acc | ordance |
|--|--------------|------------|-----------|-------------|----------|---------|
| with 192.225? (DC.WELDPROCEDURE.WELD.R) (detail) | | | | | | |
| The state of the s | | | | | | |

192.225(a) (192.225(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed. WPS-GMAW-DH-ER 705-6

2. Qualification of Welders (detail) Do records indicate adequate qualification of welders? (TQ.QUOMCONST.WELDER.R) (detail)

192.227(a) (192.227(b); 192.229(a); 192.229(b); 192.229(c); 192.229(d); 192.328(a); 192.328(b);

192.807(a); 192.807(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed. Welder Certification required twice annually then proceed to OQ.

3. Inspection and Test of Welds (detail) Do records indicate that individuals who perform visual inspection of welding are qualified by appropriate training and experience, as required by §192.241(a)? (DC.WELDINSP.WELDVISUALQUAL.R) (detail)

192.241(a) (192.241(b); 192.241(c); 192.807(a); 192.807(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed. OQ Plan Section J page 27

4. Qualification of Nondestructive Testing Personnel (detail) Do records indicate the qualification of nondestructive testing personnel? (TQ.QUOMCONST.NDT.R) (detail)

192.243(b)(2) (192.807(a); 192.807(b); 192.328(a); 192.328(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed. OQ Section J page 27

5. Nondestructive Test and Interpretation Procedures (detail) *Do records indicate that NDT implementation is adequate?* (DC.WELDINSP.WELDNDT.R) (detail)

192.243(a) (192.243(b)(1); 192.243(b)(2); 192.243(c); 192.243(a))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes

6. Transmission Lines Record Keeping (detail) Do records indicate that records are maintained of each pipe/"other than pipe" repair, NDT required record, and (as required by subparts L or M) patrol, survey, inspection or test? (MO.GM.RECORDS.R) (detail)

192.605(b)(1) (192.243(f); 192.709(a); 192.709(b); 192.709(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | X |

Notes: To be inspected during 2019 Transmission inspection

7. Plastic pipe - Qualifying Joining Procedures (detail) Have plastic pipe joining procedures been qualified in accordance with 192.283? (DC.CO.PLASTICJOINTPROCEDURE.R) (detail)

192.273(b) (192.283(a); 192.283(b); 192.283(c); 192.283(d))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes

| 8. Plastic pipe - Qualifying Joining Procedures | (detail) Do records indicate persons making |
|---|---|
| ioints in plastic pipelines are qualified in accordance with 192.28 | 35? (DC.CO.PLASTICJOINTQUAL.R) (detail) |

192.285(d) (192.285(a); 192.285(b); 192.285(c);

192.807(a); 192.807(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes; Records Reviewed

9. Qualification of Personnel Inspecting Joints in Plastic Pipelines (detail) Do records indicate persons inspecting the making of plastic pipe joints have been qualified? (DC.CO.PLASTICJOINTINSP.R) (detail)

192.287 (192.807(a); 192.807(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | х | | | | |

Notes: Records Reviewed

10. Underground Clearance (detail) Do records indicate pipe is installed with clearances in accordance with 192.325, and (if plastic) installed as to prevent heat damage to the pipe? (DC.CO.CLEAR.R) (detail)

192.325(a) (192.325(b); 192.325(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | x | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed. GCS 1040100 Section 9.0

11. Depth of Cover - Onshore (detail) Is onshore piping minimum cover as specified in 192.327? (DC.CO.COVER.R) (detail)

192.327(a) (192.327(b); 192.327(c), 192.327(d);

192.327(e))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | Х | | |

Notes: This requirement is covered in GCS 1040100 Section 9.4. However, the Commission's Dig Law Enforcement staff reported to Pipeline Safety staff a reported facility damage incident at 630 South Main Street in Louisville at which a 4 inch Low Pressure main under approximately 7 inches of cover was damaged. This is listed as a Probable Violation in the report.

12. EFV Installation (detail) Do records indicate the EFV program satisfies the requirements for installation and performance? (MO.GO.EFVINSTALL.R) (detail)

192.383(b) (192.381(a); 192.381(b); 192.381(c); 192.381(d); 192.381(e); 192.383(a); 192.383(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed including 2018 Annual Report

13. Cathodic Protection post July 1971 (detail) Do records document that each buried or submerged pipeline installed after July 31, 1971, has been protected against external corrosion with a cathodic protection system within 1 year after completion of construction, conversion to service, or becoming jurisdictional onshore gathering? (TD.CP.POST1971.R) (detail)

192.491(c) (192.455(a); 192.457(a); 192.452(a); 192.452(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed

Records - Operations And Maintenance Performance

| 1. Strength | Test Requi | irements fo | or SMYS > | 30%. | (detail) | Is pressure | testing | conducted in |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------|------------|------------|----------|-------------|---------|--------------|
| accordance with | 192.505? (DC. | .PT.PRESSTEST | HIGHSTRESS | S.R) (deta | il) | | | |

192.517(a) (192.505(a); 192.505(b); 192.50 192.505(d); 192.505(e))

|)5 | (c) | ; | |
|----|-----|---|--|
| | | | |

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes

2. Strength Test Duration Requirements for SMYS < 30% (detail) Do records indicate that pressure testing is conducted in accordance with 192.507? (DC.PTLOWPRESS.PRESSTESTLOWSTRESS.R) (detail)

192.517(a) (192.507(a); 192.507(b); 192.507(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed

3. Strength Test Requirements for Operations < 100 psig (detail) Do records indicate that pressure testing is conducted in accordance with 192.509(a)? (DC.PTLOWPRESS.PRESSTEST100PSIG.R) (detail)

192.517(a) (192.509(a); 192.509(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed

4. Test Requirements for Plastic Pipe (detail) Do records indicate that pressure testing is conducted in accordance with 192.513? (DC.PT.PRESSTESTPLASTIC.R) (detail)

192.517(a) (192.513(a); 192.513(b); 192.513(c);

192.513(d))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed

5. Normal Maintenance and Operations (detail) Has the operator conducted annual reviews of the written procedures in the manual as required? (MO.GO.OMANNUALREVIEW.R) (detail)

192.605(a)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | x | | | | |

Notes

6. Normal Operations and Maintenance Procedures - History (detail) Are construction records, maps and operating history available to appropriate operating personnel? (MO.GO.OMHISTORY.R) (detail)

192.605(a) (192.605(b)(3))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | X | | | | |

Notes

7. Normal Operations and Maintenance Procedures - Review (detail) Do records indicate periodic review of the work done by operator personnel to determine the effectiveness, and adequacy of the procedures used in normal operations and maintenance and modifying the procedures when deficiencies are found? (MO.GO.OMEFFECTREVIEW.R) (detail)

192.605(a) (192.605(b)(8))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed

| the failure and minimize the possibility of recurrence, in (EP.ERG.INCIDENTANALYSIS.R) (detail) 192.605(a) (192.617) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | 1 | NΑ | NC |
|---|-------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | |
| 14. Incident Investigation (detail) Do reco failures, including the collection of appropriate samples | for labora | itory exa | amination to a | letermine | | |
| Notes: Records reviewed. | | | | | | |
| | | Х | | | | |
| 192.615(c)(3); 192.615(c)(4); ADB-05-03) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| 13. Liaison with Public Officials (detail) with appropriate fire, police and other public officials an (EP.ERG.LIAISON.R) (detail) 192.605(a) (192.615(c)(1); 192.615(c)(2); | d utility o | | accordance v | vith proce | dures? | |
| Notes | | | | | | |
| | | Х | | | | |
| 192.605(a) (192.615(b)(2)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| 12. Emergency Response Training (detail personnel on emergency procedures and verified that the procedures? (EP.ERG.TRAINING.R) (detail) | | | | | | rating |
| Notes: Records reviewed | | Α | | | | |
| 192.605(a) (192.615(b)(1); 192.615(b)(3)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| 11. Emergency Response Performance (activities to determine whether the procedures were efficience. (EP.ERG.POSTEVNTREVIEW.R) (detail) | ectively fo | ollowed i | in each emerg | ency? | | |
| Notes: To be inspected during 2019 Transmission | n inspect | ion. | | | | |
| | | | | | | х |
| 192.605(b)(1) (192.609(a); 192.609(b); 192.609(c); 192.609(d); 192.609(e); 192.609(f)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| 10. Change in Class Location Required S required study whenever the population along a pipeline stress was not commensurate with the present class loc | e increase | d or the | re was an ind | ication tha | at the pi | pe hoop |
| Notes: Records indicate non-compliance in respo Summary and Probable Violations included in this | | locate | requests. M | ore expla | anation | in |
| | | | | Х | | |
| 192.614(c) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| 9. Damage Prevention Program (detail) requirements specified in 192.614(c)? (PD.OC.PDPROGR | | | prevention p | rogram m | eet mini | imum |
| Notes: Records reviewed | | | | | | |
| 192.605(a) (192.605(c)(4)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | unsat | NA | NC |
| | | | A | | BI A | N. O. |

Notes: Records reviewed.

15. General - Testing Requirements (detail) Do records indicate that pressure testing is conducted in accordance with 192.503? (DC.PT.PRESSTEST.R) (detail)

192.503(a) (192.503(b); 192.503(c); 192.503(d))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed.

16. Audience I dentification Records (detail) Do records identify the individual stakeholders in the four affected stakeholder audience groups: (1) affected public, (2) emergency officials, (3) local public officials, and (4) excavators, as well as affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents to which it sends public awareness materials and messages? (PD.PA.AUDIENCEID.R) (detail)

192.616(d) (192.616(e); 192.616(f); API RP 1162

Section 2.2; API RP 1162 Section 3)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | X | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed.

17. Educational Provisions (detail) Did delivered messages specifically include provisions to educate the public, emergency officials, local public officials, and excavators on: (1) Use of a one-call notification system prior to excavation and other damage prevention activities; (2) Possible hazards associated with unintended releases from a gas pipeline facility; (3) Physical indications of a possible release; (4) Steps to be taken for public safety in the event of a gas pipeline release; and (5) Procedures to report such an event? (PD.PA.EDUCATE.R) (detail)

192.616(d) (192.616(f))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | x | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed.

18. Maximum Allowable Operating pressure (detail) Do records indicate determination of the MAOP of pipeline segments in accordance with 192.619 and limiting of the operating pressure as required? (MO.GOMAOP.MAOPDETERMINE.R) (detail)

192.709 (192.619; 192.621; 192.623)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | x | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed.

19. Messages on Pipeline Facility Locations (detail) Were messages developed and delivered to advise affected municipalities, school districts, businesses, and residents of pipeline facility locations? (PD.PA.LOCATIONMESSAGE.R) (detail)

192.616(e) (192.616(f))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed.

20. Odorization of Gas (detail) Do records indicate appropriate odorization of its combustible gases in accordance with its procedures and conduct of the required testing to verify odorant levels met requirements? (MO.GOODOR.ODORIZE.R) (detail)

192.709(c) (192.625(a); 192.625(b); 192.625(c);

192.625(d); 192.625(e); 192.625(f))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed.

21. Baseline Message Delivery Frequency (detail) Did the delivery of materials and messages meet or exceed the baseline delivery frequencies specified in API RP 1162, Table 2-1 through Table 2.3? (PD.PA.MESSAGEFREQUENCY.R) (detail)

192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Table 2-1; API RP 1162 Table 2-2; API RP 1162 Table 2-3)

| Э | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|---|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | Х | | | | |

Notes: Records Reviewed.

22. Patrolling Requirements (detail) Do records indicate that ROW surface conditions have been patrolled as required? (PD.RW.PATROL.R) (detail)

192.709(c) (192.705(a); 192.705(b); 192.705(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | x | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed.

23. Liaison with Emergency and Other Public Officials (detail) Have liaisons been established and maintained with appropriate fire, police, and other public officials? (PD.PA.LIAISON.R) (detail)

192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 4.4)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed.

24. Leakage Surveys (detail) Do records indicate leakage surveys conducted as required? (PD.RW.LEAKAGE.R) (detail)

192.709(c) (192.706; 192.706(a); 192.706(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Records Reviewed.

25. Other Languages (detail) Were materials and messages developed and delivered in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of non-English speaking populations in the operator's areas? (PD.PA.LANGUAGE.R) (detail)

192.616(g) (API RP 1162 Section 2.3.1)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | X | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Notes: Census data did not meet the threshold for supplying materials in other non-english languages.

26. Distribution Leakage Surveys (detail) Do records indicate distribution leakage surveys were conducted as required? (PD.RW.DISTLEAKAGE.R) (detail)

192.603(b) (192.721(a); 192.721(b); 192.723(a); 192.723(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed. First main was in Business District requiring annual surveys. Other 2 outside of BD (3yr)

Used this record review to inspect OQ personnel records.

Main #445703 surveyed '16 by KP; '17 by RL;'18 by ML. Each meet required survey date. All personnel OQ current.

Main #261271 surveyed '17 by ML;--previous '14 survey; verified OQ current Main #346463 surveyed '17 by SW—previous '14 survey; verified OQ current

| 27 . | Test Reinstated Services | vice Lines (detail) | Fror | m the review of | f records, | did the | operator | properly |
|-------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------------|------------|---------|----------|----------|
| test | disconnected service lines? (| (AR.RMP.TESTREINSTATE | .R) (| detail) | | | | |

192.603(b) (192.725(a), 192.725(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Work orders reviewed. Used this record review to inspect OQ personnel records.

5012 Lively Ct. Louisville 100psi/10 minutes by HS; verified OQ current

1207 N. 3rd Street Bardstown 100 psi/10 minutes by CB; verified OQ current

4139 Bardstown Rd Louisville 100psi/10 minutes by JL; verified OQ current

28. Evaluate Program Implementation (detail) Has an audit or review of the operator's program implementation been performed annually since the program was developed? (PD.PA.EVALIMPL.R) (detail)

192.616(c) (192.616(i); API RP 1162 Section 8.3)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed.

29. Acceptable Methods for Program Implementation Audits (detail) Was one or more of the three acceptable methods (i.e., internal assessment, 3rd-party contractor review, or regulatory inspections) used to complete the annual audit or review of program implementation? (PD.PA.AUDITMETHODS.R) (detail)

192.616(c) (192.616(i); API RP 1162 Section 8.3)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed.

30. Abandonment or Deactivation of Pipeline and Facilities (detail) Do records indicate pipelines were abandoned or deactivated as required? (MO.GM.ABANDONPIPE.R) (detail)

192.709(c) (192.727(a); 192.727(b); 192.727(c); 192.727(d); 192.727(e); 192.727(f); 192.727(g))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed

31. Program Changes and Improvements (detail) *Were changes made to improve the program and/or the implementation process based on the results and findings of the annual audit(s)?* (PD.PA.PROGRAMIMPROVE.R) (detail)

192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.3)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | X | | | | |

Notes

32. Pressure Limiting and Regulating Stations Inspection and Testing (detail) *Do records indicate inspection and testing of pressure limiting, relief devices, and pressure regulating stations as required and at the specified intervals?* (MO.GMOPP.PRESSREGTEST.R) (detail)

192.709(c) (192.739(a); 192.739(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: The Westport Road and Bardstown Road station records were reviewed. Inspections of facilities for '16, '17 and '18 confirmed compliance with inspection dates and testing performed. Records for LG&E staff conducting the inspections confirmed OQ compliance at the time of the inspection.

| 33. | Evaluating Program Effectiveness (detail) Have effectiveness evaluation(s) of the program |
|-------|--|
| beer | performed for all stakeholder groups in all notification areas along all systems covered by the program? |
| (PD.I | PA.EVALEFFECTIVENESS.R) (detail) |

192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes

34. Pressure Limiting and Regulating Stations Capacity of Relief Devices (detail)

Do records indicate testing or review of the capacity of each pressure relief device at each pressure limiting station and pressure regulating station as required and a new or additional device installed if determined to have insufficient capacity? (MO.GMOPP.PRESSREGCAP.R) (detail)

192.709(c) (192.743(a); 192.743(b); 192.743(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed.

35. Measure Program Outreach (detail) *In evaluating effectiveness, was actual program outreach for each stakeholder audience tracked?* (PD.PA.MEASUREOUTREACH.R) (detail)

192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.1)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | x | | | | |

Notes

36. Valve Maintenance Transmission Lines (detail) Do records indicate proper inspection and partial operation of transmission line valves that may be required during an emergency as required and prompt remedial actions taken if necessary? (MO.GM.VALVEINSPECT.R) (detail)

192.709(c) (192.745(a); 192.745(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | Х |

Notes: Records to be reviewed during 2019 Transmission inspection.

37. Measure Understandability of Message Content (detail) *In evaluating program effectiveness, was the percentage of each stakeholder audience that understood and retained the key information from the messages determined?* (PD.PA.MEASUREUNDERSTANDABILITY.R) (detail)

192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.2)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | х | | | | |

Notes

38. Valve Maintenance Distribution Lines (detail) Do records indicate proper inspection and partial operation of each distribution system valve that might be required in an emergency at intervals not exceeding 15 months, but at least once each calendar year, and prompt remedial action to correct any valve found inoperable? (MO.GM.DISTVALVEINSPECT.R) (detail)

192.603(b) (192.747)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | х | | | | |

Notes: Critical valves designated as 129:11; 42:10; and 13:02 records were reviewed. Records indicated compliance with inspection dates for years 2016, 2017, and 2018. OQ records for Tech KT were reviewed and in compliance.

39. Vault Inspection (detail) Do records document inspections at the required interval of all vaults having a volumetric internal content of 200 cubic feet (5.66 cubic meters) or more that house pressure regulating/limiting equipment? (FS.FG.VAULTINSPECTFAC.R) (detail)

192.709(c) (192.749(a); 192.749(b); 192.749(c);

192.749(d))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | X | |

Notes: No vaults meeting the required capacity for inspection.

| likely to be exhibited? (PD.PA.MEASUREBEHAVIOR.R) (| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Uncat | NA | NC |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|-------------------|
| 192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.3) | 341+ | | Concern | Ulisat | IVA | NC |
| | | Х | | | | |
| Notes | | | | | | |
| 41. Prevention of Accidental Ignition (d procedures for minimizing the danger of accidental igni or explosion? (MO.GM.IGNITION.R) (detail) | • | | | | | erd of fil |
| 192.709 (192.751(a); 192.751(b); 192.751(c)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| | | Х | | | | |
| Notes: Records reviewed. | | | | | | |
| tracking third-party incidents and consequences includi | ng: (1) nε | ar misse | | tion dama | ages resi | ulting ir |
| pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not re | ng: (1) nε | ar misse | es, (2) excava | tion dama | ages resi | ulting ir |
| pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not re (detail) | ng: (1) ne esult in pip | ear misse peline fa | es, (2) excava ilures? (PD.PA | tion dama MEASURE | ages resu EBOTTOI | ulting ir M.R) |
| pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not re | ng: (1) nε | ear misse peline fa | es, (2) excava | tion dama MEASURE | ages resi | ulting ir |
| pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not re (detail) | ng: (1) ne esult in pip | ear misse peline fa | es, (2) excava ilures? (PD.PA | tion dama MEASURE | ages resu EBOTTOI | ulting ir M.R) |
| pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not re (detail) 192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.4) Notes 43. Bell and Spigot Joints (detail) Do reco | ng: (1) nesult in pip Sat+ | ear misse peline fa Sat X | es, (2) excava illures? (PD.PA Concern | ution dama .MEASURE Unsat | nges resu EBOTTOI NA | ulting ir M.R) |
| pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not re (detail) 192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.4) Notes 43. Bell and Spigot Joints (detail) Do reco correctly sealed? (MO.GM.BELLSPIGOTJOINT.R) (detail) | ng: (1) nesult in pip Sat+ | ear misse peline fa Sat X | es, (2) excava illures? (PD.PA Concern | unsat Unsat | nges resu EBOTTOI NA | ulting ir M.R) |
| pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not re (detail) 192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.4) Notes 43. Bell and Spigot Joints (detail) Do reco correctly sealed? (MO.GM.BELLSPIGOTJOINT.R) (detail) | ng: (1) ne sult in pip Sat+ | ear missoneline fail Sat X | es, (2) excava ilures? (PD.PA Concern caulked bell ar | unsat Unsat | eges rest EBOTTOI NA | N C |
| pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not re (detail) 192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.4) Notes 43. Bell and Spigot Joints (detail) Do reco | ng: (1) ne sult in pip Sat+ | ear missoneline fail Sat X | es, (2) excava ilures? (PD.PA Concern caulked bell ar | unsat Unsat | eges resu EBOTTON NA oints we | N C |
| pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not re (detail) 192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.4) Notes 43. Bell and Spigot Joints (detail) Do reco correctly sealed? (MO.GM.BELLSPIGOTJOINT.R) (detail) 192.603(b) (192.753(a); 192.753(b)) Notes: No known cast in system. 44. Program Changes (detail) Were needed | ng: (1) ne sult in pip Sat+ rds indica Sat+ | sar misse peline far Sat X te that of Sat | es, (2) excava ilures? (PD.PA Concern caulked bell ar Concern | unsat Unsat Unsat unsat | nges resu EBOTTON NA oints we | NC NC |
| pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not re (detail) 192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.4) Notes 43. Bell and Spigot Joints (detail) Do reco correctly sealed? (MO.GM.BELLSPIGOTJOINT.R) (detail), 192.603(b) (192.753(a); 192.753(b)) Notes: No known cast in system. 44. Program Changes (detail) Were needed and documented based on the results and findings of the (PD.PA.CHANGES.R) (detail) 192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 2.7 (Step 12); API RE | sat + Sat + Sat + changes are program | sar misse peline far Sat X te that of Sat | es, (2) excava ilures? (PD.PA Concern caulked bell ar Concern | unsat Unsat Unsat Unsat of the progettions? | nges resu EBOTTON NA oints we | NC NC |
| pipeline failures, (3) excavation damages that do not re (detail) 192.616(c) (API RP 1162 Section 8.4.4) Notes 43. Bell and Spigot Joints (detail) Do reco correctly sealed? (MO.GM.BELLSPIGOTJOINT.R) (detail) 192.603(b) (192.753(a); 192.753(b)) Notes: No known cast in system. 44. Program Changes (detail) Were needed and documented based on the results and findings of the (PD.PA.CHANGES.R) (detail) | sat+ Sat+ Sat+ changes are program | sar misse peline far Sat X te that of Sat | es, (2) excava ilures? (PD.PA Concern caulked bell ar Concern modifications to the example of the example o | unsat Unsat Unsat Unsat of the progettions? | nges resu EBOTTOI NA oints we NA X | NC NC NC |

45. Master Meter and Petroleum Gas Systems (detail) Do records indicate the master meter or petroleum gas system operator has met the requirements of 192.616(j)? (PD.PA.MSTRMETER.R) (detail)

| 192.616(j) (192.616(h); API RP 1162 Section 2.7 (Step 12); API RP 1162 Section 8.5) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|---|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | X | |

Notes

Records - Operator Qualification

1. Qualification Records for Personnel Performing Covered Tasks (detail) Do records document the evaluation and qualifications of individuals performing covered tasks, and can the qualification of individuals performing covered tasks be verified? (TQ.OQ.RECORDS.R) (detail)

192.807(b) Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC

Notes: As noted previously, records regarding OQ compliance were reviewed during various inspection areas and all were found to be current and in compliance with the company's OQ Plan.

2. Contractor and Other Entity Qualification (detail) Are adequate records maintained for contractor personnel qualifications that contain the required elements? (TQ.OQ.OQCONTRACTOR.R) (detail)

192.807(a) (192.807(b))

Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC

X

Notes: Yes, contractor records were reviewed and in compliance with the company's OQ Plan.

Records - Corrosion Control Performance

1. Corrosion Control Records (detail) Do records indicate the location of all items listed in 192.491(a)? (TD.CP.RECORDS.R) (detail)

192.491(a)

Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC

X

Notes: Records reviewed.

2. Examination of Exposed Portions of Buried Pipe (detail) *Do records adequately document that exposed buried piping was examined for corrosion?* (TD.CPEXPOSED.EXPOSEINSPECT.R) (detail)

192.491(c) (192.459)

Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC

X

Notes: Records reviewed.

3. Cathodic Protection Monitoring (detail) Do records adequately document cathodic protection monitoring tests have occurred as required? (TD.CPMONITOR.TEST.R) (detail)

192.491(c) (192.465(a))

Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC

X

Notes: 2016, 2017, and 2018 records of monitoring were reviewed at the following locations and found to be in compliance. Also OQ records of AG, DL, and ND (Techs conducting the monitoring) were reviewed and found to be in compliance.

1099966, Glenview Ave., Harwood Road, Woodmont Drive, 10395114, 10186235, Commander Drive, and Herb Lane.

| 4. Rectifier or other Impressed Current Sources (detail) Do records document details of |
|---|
| electrical checks of sources of rectifiers or other impressed current sources? (TD.CPMONITOR.CURRENTTEST.R) |
| (detail) |

192.491(c) (192.465(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | |

Notes: Remote reads are conducted on some rectifier locations and these records were reviewed. Also, the following rectifier records were reviewed and found to be in compliance along with the Tech's OQ records conducting the checks:

20171261 @ English Station City Gate DL

20171304 @ Salt River LW

20171028 @ St Andrew's Church Road LW

5. Bonds, Diodes and Reverse Current Switches (detail) Do records document details of electrical checks interference bonds, diodes, and reverse current switches? (TD.CPMONITOR.REVCURRENTTEST.R) (detail)

192.491(c) (192.465(c))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Records reviewed.

Campground and Sandusky area checked by AG. OQ was in compliance.

6. Correction of Corrosion Control Deficiencies (detail) Do records adequately document actions taken to correct any identified deficiencies in corrosion control? (TD.CPMONITOR.DEFICIENCY.R) (detail)

192.491(c) (192.465(d))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Reviewed record (10999966) of a remediated isolation at various meter sets which indicated repairs made to correct low readings and indicating CP reading returning back into normal ranges.

7. Unprotected Buried Pipelines (typically bare pipelines) (detail) Do records adequately document the re-evaluation of buried pipelines with no cathodic protection for areas of active corrosion? (TD.CP.UNPROTECT.R) (detail)

192.491(c) (192.465(e))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes

8. Isolation from Other Metallic Structures (detail) Do records adequately document electrical isolation of each buried or submerged pipeline from other metallic structures unless they electrically interconnect and cathodically protect the pipeline and the other structures as a single unit? (TD.CP.ELECISOLATE.R) (detail)

192.491(c) (192.467(a); 192.467(b); 192.467(c);

192.467(d); 192.467(e))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | х | | | | |

Notes

9. Test Leads Installation (detail) Do records document that pipelines with cathodic protection have electrical test leads installed in accordance with requirements of Subpart I? (TD.CPMONITOR.TESTLEAD.R) (detail)

192.491(c) (192.471(a); 192.471(b); 192.471(c); 192.469)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | Х | | | | |

Notes: Reviewed records of the installation of anodes or test station replacement or addition which indicated compliance with company's procedures.

| 11. Internal Corrosion (detail) Do records of including the investigation of the corrosive effect of the minimize internal corrosion? (TD.ICP.CORRGAS.R) (detail) | gas on th | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|------------|
| 192.491(c) (192.475(a)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | NC |
| | | Х | | | | |
| Notes: Monitoring occurs at take stations prior to | o distribu | ition sy | stem. | | | |
| 12. Internal Corrosion in Cutout Pipe (do pipe for evidence of internal corrosion? (TD.ICP.EXAMIN | | | ls document e | examinatio | n of ren | noved |
| 192.491(c) (192.475(a); 192.475(b)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | NC |
| | | Х | | | | |
| Notes | | | | | | |
| 13. Internal Corrosion Control: Design a records demonstrate the transmission line project has freduce the risk of internal corrosion, as required of 192 | eatures in .476? (DC | corpora DPC.IN | ted into its de TCORRODE.R | sign and c) (detail) | onstruc | tion to |
| | C ~ + . | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | NC |
| 192.476(a) (192.476(b); 192.476(c); .476(d)) | Sat+ | Jat | | | | |
| | | | | | | X |
| Notes: To be reviewed during 2019 Transmission | | | | | | X |
| | n inspecti | on. detail) | Do records o | document | | |
| Notes: To be reviewed during 2019 Transmission 14. Internal Corrosion Corrosive Gas Act | n inspecti | on. detail) | Do records o | document i | | |
| Notes: To be reviewed during 2019 Transmission 14. Internal Corrosion Corrosive Gas Act when corrosive gas is being transported by pipeline? (The | inspectitions (c | on. detail) RRGASA | Do records o | document i | the actio | ons tak |
| Notes: To be reviewed during 2019 Transmission 14. Internal Corrosion Corrosive Gas Act when corrosive gas is being transported by pipeline? (The | inspectitions (c | on. detail) RRGASA | Do records o | document i | the actio | ons tak |
| Notes: To be reviewed during 2019 Transmission 14. Internal Corrosion Corrosive Gas Act when corrosive gas is being transported by pipeline? (TI 192.491(c) (192.477) Notes 15. Atmospheric Corrosion Monitoring (a | n inspectitions (continued to the continued to the contin | detail) RRGASA | Do records of CTION.R) (det | document tail) | N A | ons tak |
| Notes: To be reviewed during 2019 Transmission 14. Internal Corrosion Corrosive Gas Act when corrosive gas is being transported by pipeline? (The second of the second of | n inspectitions (continued to the continued to the contin | detail) RRGASA | Do records of CTION.R) (det | document itail) Unsat | N A | ons tak |
| Notes: To be reviewed during 2019 Transmission 14. Internal Corrosion Corrosive Gas Act when corrosive gas is being transported by pipeline? (TI 192.491(c) (192.477) | n inspectitions (c D.ICP.COF Sat+ | detail) RRGASAI Sat X Do recoidetail) | Do records of CTION.R) (det Concern | document itail) Unsat | NA N a | NC |
| Notes: To be reviewed during 2019 Transmission 14. Internal Corrosion Corrosive Gas Act when corrosive gas is being transported by pipeline? (The second of the second of | n inspectitions (c D.ICP.COF Sat+ | detail) RRGASA Sat X Do recordetail) Sat | Do records of CTION.R) (det Concern | document itail) Unsat | NA N a | NC |
| Notes: To be reviewed during 2019 Transmission 14. Internal Corrosion Corrosive Gas Act when corrosive gas is being transported by pipeline? (The 192.491(c) (192.477) Notes 15. Atmospheric Corrosion Monitoring (Corrosion for atmospheric corrosion? (TD.ATM.ATMCORRODE 192.491(c) (192.481(a); 192.481(b); 192.481(c)) Notes 16. New Buried Pipe Coating (detail) Documentally and steep protected agains | inspectitions (continued to the state of the | detail) RRGASA Sat X Do recordetail) Sat X | Do records of CTION.R) (det Concern rds document Concern | inspection Unsat | n of abo | NC NC NC |
| Notes: To be reviewed during 2019 Transmission 14. Internal Corrosion Corrosive Gas Act when corrosive gas is being transported by pipeline? (The 192.491(c) (192.477) Notes 15. Atmospheric Corrosion Monitoring (Corrosion for atmospheric corrosion? (TD.ATM.ATMCORRODE 192.491(c) (192.481(a); 192.481(b); 192.481(c)) Notes 16. New Buried Pipe Coating (detail) Do | inspectitions (continued to the state of the | detail) RRGASA Sat X Do recordetail) Sat X | Do records of CTION.R) (det Concern rds document Concern | inspection Unsat Unsat inspection Unsat | n of abo | NC NC NC |
| Notes: To be reviewed during 2019 Transmission 14. Internal Corrosion Corrosive Gas Act when corrosive gas is being transported by pipeline? (The 192.491(c) (192.477) Notes 15. Atmospheric Corrosion Monitoring (Corrosion Monitoring (Corros | sat + detail) EINSP.R) (Sat + | detail) RRGASA Sat X Do recordetail) Sat X | Do records of CTION.R) (det Concern The Concern Con with an addition with an addition Concern | inspection Unsat Unsat inspection Unsat | n of abo NA man of abo NA comergedating un | NC NC NC |

10. Interference Currents (detail) Do records document that the operator has minimized the detrimental effects of stray currents when found? (TD.CPMONITOR.INTFRCURRENT.R) (detail)

Sat+

Sat

Concern Unsat

192.491(c) (192.473(a))

| Compressor Station Design/Constructhave sufficient detail for maintaining compressor station pipe and for purging before returning to service? (FS.C.) | ns, includi | ng provi | sions for isola | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 192.605(b)(6) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | NC |
| | | | | | Х | |
| Notes: No Compressor stations on company's dis | stribution | ١. | | | | |
| Does the process for start-up and shut-down have suffice compressor units in a manner designed to assure operative build-up allowed for operation of pressure-limiting and the sufficient of the | ation within and contro | n the MA of device: | NOP limits press? (FS.CS.CMI | scribed by PSUSD.P) | <i>this pai</i> (detail) | · |
| 192.605(b)(5) (192.605(b)(7)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| | | | | | Х | |
| Notes | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| process provide adequate detail for inspection and test | ing of com | pressor | | | | |
| process provide adequate detail for inspection and test exception of rupture disks? (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPRELIE | ing of com | pressor | | ure relief d | | vith th |
| process provide adequate detail for inspection and test exception of rupture disks? (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPRELIE | ing of com F.P) (detai | pressor I) | station pressi | ure relief d | levices v | vith th |
| process provide adequate detail for inspection and test exception of rupture disks? (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPRELIE | ing of com F.P) (detai | pressor I) | station pressi | ure relief d | N A | |
| 3. Compressor Station Design/Construct process provide adequate detail for inspection and test exception of rupture disks? (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPRELIER 192.605(b)(1) (192.731(a); 192.731(b); 192.731(c)) Notes 4. Compressor stations - Storage of Confined the include requirements for the storage of flammable/compassoline storage tanks being installed at compressor strequired of §192.735(b)? (DC.COCMP.CMPCOMBUSTIB) | Sat+ Substible in ations be j | Sat le Mat naterials protected | Concern erials (de and specify to | Unsat tail) Doe hat above | NA X | NO NO rocess |
| Process provide adequate detail for inspection and test exception of rupture disks? (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPRELIER 192.605(b)(1) (192.731(a); 192.731(b); 192.731(c)) Notes 4. Compressor stations - Storage of Control of the Include requirements for the storage of flammable/compassoline storage tanks being installed at compressor strequired of §192.735(b)? (DC.COCMP.CMPCOMBUSTIBLE) | Sat+ Substible in ations be j | Sat le Mat naterials protected | Concern erials (de and specify to | Unsat tail) Doe hat above ce with NF | NA X | NO NO rocess |
| Process provide adequate detail for inspection and test exception of rupture disks? (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPRELIEF 192.605(b)(1) (192.731(a); 192.731(b); 192.731(c)) Notes 4. Compressor stations - Storage of Control of the storage of flammable/compassor include requirements for the storage of flammable/compassoline storage tanks being installed at compressor st | Sat+ bustib bustible n ations be j LE.P) (detai | Sat le Mat paterials protected | Concern Concern erials (de and specify to do in accordance | Unsat tail) Doe hat above ce with NF | N A X es the pi | N (rocess oil or 30, as |

17. Repair of Internally Corroded Pipe (detail) Do records document the repair or replacement of pipe that has been internally corroded to an extent that there is not sufficient remaining strength in the pipe

18. Evaluation of Internally Corroded Pipe (detail) Do records document adequate evaluation

Sat+

Sat+

Sat

Sat

Χ

Concern Unsat

Concern Unsat

NC

Χ

NC

NΑ

NΑ

wall? (TD.ICP.REPAIR.R) (detail)

of internally corroded pipe? (TD.ICP.EVALUATE.R) (detail)

192.485(a) (192.485(b))

192.491(c) (192.485(c))

Notes

Notes

5. Compressor Station Design/Construction - Permanent Gas Detection (detail)

Does the process adequately detail requirements of permanent gas detectors and alarms at compressor buildings? (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASDETREQ.P) (detail)

192.605(b) (192.736(b))

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC | |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|--|
| | | | | x | | |

Notes

Field Review (Distribution Compressor Station) - Compressor Stations Inspection (Field)

1. Compressor Station Design/Construction - Exits (detail) Does each main compressor building operating floor have at least two separated, easily accessed and unobstructed exits to a place of safety, main compressor building exits that have door latches that can be readily opened without a key, and main compressor building exit doors mounted to swing outward? (FS.CS.BLDGEXITS.O) (detail)

| 192.163(c) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------------|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | Х | |
| Notes | | | | | | |

2. Compressor Station Design/Construction - Fence Gates (detail) Do fenced areas around compressor stations have at least two gates that provide for easy escape to place of safety, and do gates located within 200 feet of any compressor plant open outward and able to be opened from the inside without a key when the station is occupied? (FS.CS.FENCEGATES.O) (detail)

| 192.163(d) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------------|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | X | |
| Notes | | | | | | |

3. Compressor Station Design/Construction - NFPA 70 (detail) Are the proper permits and approvals authorized under NFPA 70 posted or otherwise located at the compressor station? (FS.CS.CMPNFPA70.O) (detail)

| 192.163(e) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------------|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | X | |
| Notes | | | | | | |

4. Compressor stations Liquid Removal (detail) Are compressors protected from liquids and, as applicable, liquid separators for compressors installed, in accordance with 192.165? (DC.DPCCMP.CMPLIQPROT.O) (detail)

192.141 (192.165(a); 192.615(b))

Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC

Notes

5. Compressor Station Design/Construction - ESD Gas Discharge (detail) Does each compressor station have an emergency shutdown system that is capable of safely discharging blowdown gas from the blowdown piping at a location where the gas will not create a hazard? (FS.CSSYSPROT.ESDGASDISCH.O) (detail)

| 192.167(a)(2) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|---------------|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | X | |
| Notes | | | | | | |

| 92.167(a)(3) | | Sat | Concern | Uncat | NA | NC |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Ulisat | X | NC |
| Votes | | | | | | |
| B. Compressor Station Design/Constrompressor station have an emergency shutdown sy except emergency and equipment protection circuit FS.CSSYSPROT.ESDELECSD.O) (detail) | ystem that is | capable | of shutting do | own electr | ical facil | ities |
| 92.167(a)(3)(i) (192.167(a)(3)(ii)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | NC |
| | | | | | X | |
| Votes | | | | | | |
| ear emergency exits, if not fenced, 3) And not mor FS.CSSYSPROT.ESDLOCATION.O) (detail) 92.167(a)(4) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | | N A | N C |
| | Satı | Sat | Concern | llneat | NΙΛ | NC |
| | | | | | Х | |
| Notes | | | | | | |
| O. Compressor Station Design/Const poes each compressor station that supplies gas dire of gas available) have an emergency shutdown syst conintended outages? (FS.CSSYSPROT.ESDDISTSD.C | ectly to a distr em that will n | ibution : | system (with i | no other a | dequate | t ail) source |
| 92.167(b) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| | | | | | X | |
| Notes | | | | | | |
| 1. Compressor Station Design/Constant of the state of the | located offsho matically in th | ore or in e event | inland naviga of the followir | ible water: ng occurre | s have a ences? 1, | n) Wher |
| | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | NC |
| 92.167(c)(1) | | | | | | |
| 92.167(c)(1) | | | | | X | |

6. Compressor Station Design/Construction - ESD Gas Block (detail) Does each

192.167(a)(1)

Notes

compressor station have an emergency shutdown system that is capable of blocking gas out of the station and blow down the station piping? NOTE: Not required for field compressor stations of 1,000 horsepower (746 kilowatts) or less. (FS.CSSYSPROT.ESDGASBLK.O) (detail)

Sat+

Sat

Concern Unsat

NC

| 192.171(a) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | NC |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--------------------------------|
| .,, | | | | | X | |
| Notes | | | | | | |
| | | 0 | C I D | ! | (-1 - 4 - | :1\ 5 |
| 13. Compressor Station Design/Co compressor stations' prime movers other than a shutdown devices that will prevent over-speed (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPOVSPD.O) (detail) | electrical induction | or sync | chronous moto | ors have a | - | - |
| 192.171(b) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| | | | | | Х | |
| Notes | | | | | | |
| 14. Compressor Station Design/Co units have shutdown or alarm devices that will (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPLUBPROT.O) (detail) | | | | | | |
| 192.171(c) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| | | | | | Х | |
| Notes | | | | | | |
| compressor station gas engines that operate wo will result in the fuel being automatically shut o | th pressure gas in | jection e | | | | e engir |
| 15. Compressor Station Design/Cocompressor station gas engines that operate would result in the fuel being automatically shut of (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGSD.O) (detail) 192.171(d) | th pressure gas in | jection e | | being vent | | e engir |
| compressor station gas engines that operate w will result in the fuel being automatically shut o (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGSD.O) (detail) | th pressure gas ir ff and the engine | njection e distribut | tion manifold l | being vent | ed? | |
| compressor station gas engines that operate w will result in the fuel being automatically shut o (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGSD.O) (detail) | th pressure gas ir ff and the engine | njection e distribut | tion manifold l | being vent | NA | |
| compressor station gas engines that operate will result in the fuel being automatically shut of (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGSD.O) (detail) 192.171(d) Notes 16. Compressor Station Design/Coengines in compressor stations equipped with results. | sth pressure gas in ff and the engine Sat+ | gection of distribute Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA X etail) | N C |
| compressor station gas engines that operate will result in the fuel being automatically shut of (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGSD.O) (detail) 192.171(d) Notes 16. Compressor Station Design/Coengines in compressor stations equipped with ref(FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGMFL.O) (detail) | sth pressure gas in ff and the engine Sat+ | gection of distribute Sat | Concern | Unsat flers (d pped in th | NA X etail) | N C |
| compressor station gas engines that operate will result in the fuel being automatically shut of (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGSD.O) (detail) 192.171(d) Notes 16. Compressor Station Design/Coengines in compressor stations equipped with ref(FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGMFL.O) (detail) | Sat+ Onstruction - nufflers that preven | Sat Gas E | Concern Concern ngine Muf | Unsat flers (d pped in th | NA X etail) | NC Are ga |
| compressor station gas engines that operate will result in the fuel being automatically shut of (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGSD.O) (detail) 192.171(d) Notes 16. Compressor Station Design/Coengines in compressor stations equipped with ref(FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGMFL.O) (detail) | Sat+ Onstruction - nufflers that preven | Sat Gas E | Concern Concern ngine Muf | Unsat flers (d pped in th | NA X etail) e muffle | NC Are ga |
| compressor station gas engines that operate will result in the fuel being automatically shut of (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGSD.O) (detail) 192.171(d) Notes 16. Compressor Station Design/Coengines in compressor stations equipped with reference (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGMFL.O) (detail) 192.171(e) Notes 17. Compressor Station Design/Coestation buildings ventilated to ensure employees | Sat+ Onstruction - Sat+ Onstruction - nufflers that prevenues the prevenues the prevenues that prevenues the prevenue the prevenues the prevenue the prevenue the prevenue the prevenue the | Gas Entragas for Sat | Concern ngine Muf from being tra Concern | Unsat flers (d pped in th Unsat | ed? NA X etail) e muffle NA X compres | Are ga |
| compressor station gas engines that operate will result in the fuel being automatically shut of (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGSD.O) (detail) 192.171(d) Notes 16. Compressor Station Design/Companies in compressor stations equipped with reference in compressor stations (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGMFL.O) (detail) 192.171(e) Notes 17. Compressor Station Design/Companies in companies in com | Sat+ Onstruction - Sat+ Onstruction - nufflers that prevenues the prevenues the prevenues that prevenues the prevenue the prevenues the prevenue the prevenue the prevenue the prevenue the | Gas Entragas for Sat | Concern ngine Muf from being tra Concern | Unsat flers (d pped in th Unsat | ed? NA X etail) e muffle NA X compres | Are ga |
| compressor station gas engines that operate will result in the fuel being automatically shut of (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGSD.O) (detail) 192.171(d) Notes 16. Compressor Station Design/Coengines in compressor stations equipped with reference (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGMFL.O) (detail) 192.171(e) Notes 17. Compressor Station Design/Coestation buildings ventilated to ensure employee (FS.CS.CMPBLDGVENT.O) (detail) | Sat+ Onstruction - nufflers that prevenues are not endanged. | Sat Gas Elent gas for Sat Ventile Pered by a | Concern ngine Muf rom being tra Concern ation (det | Unsat flers (d pped in th Unsat ail) Are of gas in e | ed? NA X etail) e muffle NA X compres | Are ga |
| compressor station gas engines that operate will result in the fuel being automatically shut of (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGSD.O) (detail) 192.171(d) Notes 16. Compressor Station Design/Companies in compressor stations equipped with reference in compressor stations (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGMFL.O) (detail) 192.171(e) Notes 17. Compressor Station Design/Companies in companies in com | Sat+ Onstruction - nufflers that prevenues are not endanged. | Sat Gas Elent gas for Sat Ventile Pered by a | Concern ngine Muf rom being tra Concern ation (det | Unsat flers (d pped in th Unsat ail) Are of gas in e | ed? NA X etail) e muffle NA X compres nclosed NA | Are ga |
| compressor station gas engines that operate will result in the fuel being automatically shut of (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGSD.O) (detail) 192.171(d) Notes 16. Compressor Station Design/Coengines in compressor stations equipped with reference (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGMFL.O) (detail) 192.171(e) Notes 17. Compressor Station Design/Coestation buildings ventilated to ensure employee (FS.CS.CMPBLDGVENT.O) (detail) 192.173 Notes 18. Cathodic Protection of Undergo compressor, regulator or meter stations installed cathodically protected in areas where active coefficients. | Sat + Construction - nufflers that prevenues are not endanged Sat + | Gas Elent gas for Sat Ventile ered by a sat (deta | concern Concern Concern Concern Concern Concern Concern Concern | flers (d pped in th Unsat ail) Are of gas in e Unsat | ed? NA X etail) e muffle NA X compres nclosed NA X | Are gaer? NC Ssor areas? NC |
| compressor station gas engines that operate will result in the fuel being automatically shut of (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGSD.O) (detail) 192.171 (d) Notes 16. Compressor Station Design/Compressor stations equipped with reference in compressor stations equipped with reference (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASENGMFL.O) (detail) 192.171 (e) Notes 17. Compressor Station Design/Compressor Stati | Sat + Construction - nufflers that prevenues are not endanged Sat + | Gas Elent gas for Sat Ventile ered by a sat (deta | concern Concern Concern Concern Concern Concern Concern Concern | flers (dipped in the Unsat ail) Are of gas in e | ed? NA X etail) e muffle NA X compres nclosed NA X | Are gaer? NC Ssor areas? NC |

| 192.605(b)(5) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| 172.000(0)(0) | | Jul | 001100111 | • maar | X | |
| Notes | | | | | | |
| 21. Normal Operations and Maintenanc construction records, maps and operating history avail (MO.GO.OMHISTORY.O) (detail) | | | | | Are | |
| 192.605(b)(3) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | N C |
| | | | | | X | |
| Notes | | | | | | |
| 22. Compressor Station - Emergency Replans for selected compressor stations kept on site? (F | | | | e emerger | ncy resp | onse |
| 192.605(a) (192.615(b)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NΑ | N C |
| | | | | | X | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | that ma | ximun |
| 23. MAOP Recording (detail) Do pressure rallowable operating pressure limits have been maintai (MO.GOMAOP.MAOPRECORDING.O) (detail) | | | | • | that ma | n C |
| 23. MAOP Recording (detail) Do pressure rallowable operating pressure limits have been maintai (MO.GOMAOP.MAOPRECORDING.O) (detail) | ned in acco | rdance | with 192.619? | • | | |
| 23. MAOP Recording (detail) Do pressure rallowable operating pressure limits have been maintai (MO.GOMAOP.MAOPRECORDING.O) (detail) | ned in acco | rdance | with 192.619? | • | NA | |
| 23. MAOP Recording (detail) Do pressure rallowable operating pressure limits have been maintai (MO.GOMAOP.MAOPRECORDING.O) (detail) 192.605(b)(1) (192.619(a); 192.619(c)) Notes 24. Placement of ROW Markers (detail) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | N A X | N C |
| 23. MAOP Recording (detail) Do pressure rallowable operating pressure limits have been maintai (MO.GOMAOP.MAOPRECORDING.O) (detail) 192.605(b)(1) (192.619(a); 192.619(c)) Notes 24. Placement of ROW Markers (detail) (PD.RW.ROWMARKER.O) (detail) 192.707(a) (CGA Best Practices, v4.0, Practice 2-5; | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | N A X | N C |
| 23. MAOP Recording (detail) Do pressure rallowable operating pressure limits have been maintai (MO.GOMAOP.MAOPRECORDING.O) (detail) 192.605(b)(1) (192.619(a); 192.619(c)) Notes 24. Placement of ROW Markers (detail) (PD.RW.ROWMARKER.O) (detail) 192.707(a) (CGA Best Practices, v4.0, Practice 2-5; | Sat+ Are line i | Sat | Concern placed and m | Unsat | N A X as requi | N C |
| 23. MAOP Recording (detail) Do pressure rallowable operating pressure limits have been maintai (MO.GOMAOP.MAOPRECORDING.O) (detail) 192.605(b)(1) (192.619(a); 192.619(c)) Notes 24. Placement of ROW Markers (detail) (PD.RW.ROWMARKER.O) (detail) 192.707(a) (CGA Best Practices, v4.0, Practice 2-5; | Sat+ Are line i | Sat | Concern placed and m | Unsat | NA X as requi | N C |
| 23. MAOP Recording (detail) Do pressure rallowable operating pressure limits have been maintai (MO.GOMAOP.MAOPRECORDING.O) (detail) 192.605(b)(1) (192.619(a); 192.619(c)) Notes 24. Placement of ROW Markers (detail) (PD.RW.ROWMARKER.O) (detail) 192.707(a) (CGA Best Practices, v4.0, Practice 2-5; CGA Best Practices, v4.0, Practice 4-20) Notes 25. Placement of ROW Markers (detail) | Sat+ Are line I Sat+ | Sat markers Sat | Concern placed and m Concern | Unsat aintained Unsat | NA X as requi NA X | n C |
| 23. MAOP Recording (detail) Do pressure rallowable operating pressure limits have been maintai (MO.GOMAOP.MAOPRECORDING.O) (detail) 192.605(b)(1) (192.619(a); 192.619(c)) Notes 24. Placement of ROW Markers (detail) (PD.RW.ROWMARKER.O) (detail) 192.707(a) (CGA Best Practices, v4.0, Practice 2-5; CGA Best Practices, v4.0, Practice 4-20) | Sat+ Are line I Sat+ | Sat markers Sat | Concern placed and m Concern | Unsat aintained Unsat aintained | NA X as requi NA X | NC |

19. Atmospheric Corrosion Monitoring (detail) *Is pipe that is exposed to atmospheric corrosion protected?* (TD.ATM.ATMCORRODEINSP.O) (detail)

Sat+

Sat

Concern Unsat

NΑ

Х

NC

192.481(b) (192.481(c); 192.479(a); 192.479(b); 192.479(c))

Notes

| 26. Compressor Station Design/Construc | ction - | Pressu | ıre Relief | (detail) |) Are pr | essure |
|--|------------|-----------|--------------|----------|-----------------|--------|
| relief/limiting devices inside a compressor station design (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPRELIEF.O) (detail) | ned, insta | lled, and | inspected pr | operly? | | |
| 192.199 (192.731(a); 192.731(b); 192.731(c)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |

| 172.177 | (172.731(a), | 172.731(0), | 172.731(6)) |
|---------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | X | |

Notes

27. Compressor stations - Storage of Combustible Materials (detail) Are

flammable/combustible materials stored as required and aboveground oil or gasoline storage tanks installed at compressor stations protected in accordance with NFPA No. 30, as required by 192.735(b)? (DC.COCMP.CMPCOMBUSTIBLE.O) (detail)

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | Х | |

Notes

28. Compressor Station Gas Detection (detail) Have adequate gas detection and alarm systems been installed in selected applicable compressor buildings? (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASDET.O) (detail)

| 192.736(a) | (192.736(b)) |
|------------|--------------|
|------------|--------------|

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | | |

Notes

Records (Distribution Compressor Station) - Compressor Station O&M Performance

1. Compressor Station Design/Construction - Pressure Relief (detail) Do records document with adequate detail that all inspection and testing of compressor station pressure relief devices with the exception of rupture disks have occurred at the required interval? (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPRELIEF.R) (detail)

| 192.709(b) | (192.709(c); | 192.731(a); | 192.731(b) |
|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| 192.731(c)) | | | |

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | X | |

Notes

2. Compressor Station Design/Construction - Gas Detection (detail) Do records document that all compressor station gas detection and alarm systems are being maintained and tested as required? (FS.CSSYSPROT.CMPGASDETOM.R) (detail)

| 172.707(6) (172.730(6)) | 192.709(| (c) (| 192. | 736(| (c)) | ١ |
|-------------------------|----------|-------|------|------|------|---|
|-------------------------|----------|-------|------|------|------|---|

| Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | | | Х | |

Notes

Instructions (See staff report on D&A in separate inspection report # 3667 conducted on 3/25/2019)

- 1. Use in conjunction with Unit inspections
- 2. Interview the primary operator contact for the Unit inspection you are conducting and enter their responses.
- Do not request the operator substance abuse expert to provide responses to these questions.
- 3. Send completed form to stanley.kastanas@dot.gov

| Name of Operator | | Louisville Gas & Electr | ic Company | Op ID# | 11824 |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------|--------------|
| Inspector | | David Nash | | Unit # | |
| Date of Inspection | | 3/25/2019 | | | |
| Inspection Loca | ation City & State | Louisville, KY | | | |
| Operator Emplo | yee Interviewed | Tanya D. Levine | | Phone # | 502-627-3150 |
| Position/Title | | | | | |
| Operator Designated Employer Repres (a.k.a. Substance Abuse Program Man | | | Tanya D. Levine | | |
| DER Phone # | 502-627-3150 | | | | |

| §199 | Pipeline Safety Regulations Drug and Alcohol Testing | Yes | No | Does Not Know |
|---|---|-----|----|---------------------|
| .3, .101 .201, .245 | 1. Does the company have a plan for drug and alcohol testing of employees and contractors performing, or ready to perform, covered functions of operations, maintenance, and emergency response? | x | | |
| Comments | | | | |
| .3 .105(c) .225(b) | 2. Does the company perform random drug testing and reasonable suspicion drug and alcohol testing of employees performing covered functions? For random drug testing, enter the number of times per year employees are selected and the number of employees in each selection in Comments below. | х | | |
| Comments | | | | |
| .3 .105(b) | 3. Does the company conduct post-accident/incident drug and alcohol testing for employees who have caused or contributed to the consequences of an accident/incident? Enter the position/title of the employee who would make the decision to conduct post-accident/incident testing in Comments below. | x | | |
| Comments | | | | |
| .113(c) .117(a)(4) .227(b)(2) .241 | 4. Does the company provide training for supervisors on the detection of potential drug abuse (minimum 60 minutes) and alcohol misuse (minimum 60 minutes)? | х | | |
| Comments | | | | |
| .3 .113(b) .117(a)(4) .239(b)(11) | 5. Does the company give covered employees an explanation of the drug & alcohol policies and distribute information about the Employee Assistance Program, including a hotline number? Provide details in Comments below. | x | | |
| Comments | | | | |

Training and Qualification - Operator Qualification

1. Operator Qualification Plan and Covered Tasks (detail) Is there an OQ plan that includes covered tasks, and the basis used for identifying covered tasks? (TQ.OQ.OQPLAN.P) (detail)

192.805(a) (192.801(b))

Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC

X

Notes: OQ Plan Section A

2. Reevaluation Intervals for Covered Tasks (detail) Does the process establish and justify requirements for reevaluation intervals for each covered task? (TQ.OQ.REEVALINTERVAL.P) (detail)

192.805(g) Sat+ Sat Concern Unsat NA NC X

Notes: OQ Plan Section B pages 13-15

3. Contractors Adhering to OQ Plan (detail) Does the process require the OQ plan to be communicated to contractors and ensure that contractors are following the plan? (TQ.OQ.OQPLANCONTRACTOR.P) (detail)

192.805(b) (192.805(f); 192.805(c))

Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC

X

Notes: OQ Plan Scope page 6 and Appendix I

4. Contractor and Other Entity Qualification (detail) Does the process require contractor organizations or other entities that perform covered tasks on behalf of the operator to be qualified? (TQ.OQ.OQCONTRACTOR.P) (detail)

192.805(b) (192.805(c); 192.855(d); 192.805(e); 192.805(f))

Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC

Notes: OQ Plan Scope page 6 and Appendix I

6. Contractor and Other Entity Qualification (detail) Are adequate records maintained for contractor personnel qualifications that contain the required elements? (TQ.OQ.OQCONTRACTOR.R) (detail)

192.807(a) (192.807(b))

Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC
X

Notes: OQ Plan Section J page 27 and Appendix I Records reviewed.

7. Management of Other Entities Performing Covered Tasks (detail) Do records document evaluation of the other entity (ies) performing covered task(s) on behalf of the operator (e.g., through mutual assistance agreements) prior to performing task? (TQ.OQ.OTHERENTITY.R) (detail)

192.805(b) (192.805(c); 192.803)

Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC
X

Notes: OQ Plan Appendix K pages 116-117. Records reviewed.

8. Evaluation Methods (detail) Are evaluation methods established and documented appropriate to each covered task? (TQ.OQ.EVALMETHOD.P) (detail)

192.805(b) (192.803; 192.809(d); 192.809(e))

Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC

X

Notes: OQ Plan Section B pages 13-15

9. Evaluation Methods (detail) Do records indicate evaluation methods are documented for covered tasks and consistent with personnel qualification records? (TQ.OQ.EVALMETHOD.R) (detail)

192.805(b) (192.803; 192.809(d); 192.809(e))

Sat + Sat Concern Unsat NA NC
X

Notes: OQ Plan Section J page 27 Records reviewed.

| established provisions for communicating AOCs (detail) | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| 192.803 | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| | | X | | | | |
| Notes: OQ Plan Section A pages 10-12 | | | | | | |
| 11. Abnormal Operating Conditions (detail) recognition and reaction to AOCs? (TQ.OQ.ABNO | | | aluation of o | qualified | individu | als for |
| 192.807(a) (192.807(b); 192.803) | Sat+ | Sat X | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| Notes: OQ Plan Section B pages 13-16. Re | cords reviewed | d. | | | | |
| 12. Qualification Records for Personnel Perevaluation and qualifications of individuals performing covered tasks be verified? (TQ.OQ.F. | orming covered t | asks, and | | | | |
| 192.807 | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| | | X | | | | |
| Notes: OQ Plan Section J page 27. Record | s reviewed | | | | | |
| 13. Planning for Mergers and Acquisitions Does the process adequately manage qualificati integration following a merger or acquisition? (1 | ons of individual | s perforn | ning covered | | | , , |
| | | | | | 1 | |
| 192.805(b) (192.803) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| 192.805(b) (192.803) | Sat+ | Sat X | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
| Notes: OQ Plan Appendix K pages 116-117 | , Appendix A, a | X and I | | | | |
| Notes: OQ Plan Appendix K pages 116-117 14. Training Requirements (Initial, Retrain for initial qualification, retraining and reevaluati (detail) | , Appendix A, a ing, and Reeva on of individuals | X and I luation) | (detail) Do | es the C asks? (T | Q progr Q.OQ.TF | am prov RAINING |
| Notes: OQ Plan Appendix K pages 116-117 14. Training Requirements (Initial, Retrain for initial qualification, retraining and reevaluati (detail) | , Appendix A, a | X and I luation) performa | (detail) Do | es the C asks? (T | Q progr | am prov |
| Notes: OQ Plan Appendix K pages 116-117 14. Training Requirements (Initial, Retrain for initial qualification, retraining and reevaluati (detail) 192.805(h) | , Appendix A, a ing, and Reeva on of individuals | X and I luation) | (detail) Do | es the C asks? (T | Q progr Q.OQ.TF | am prov RAINING |
| Notes: OQ Plan Appendix K pages 116-117 14. Training Requirements (Initial, Retrain for initial qualification, retraining and reevaluati (detail) 192.805(h) Notes: OQ Plan Section B 16. Covered Task Performed by Non-Qualification individuals to perform covered tasks while being | , Appendix A, a ing, and Reeva on of individuals Sat+ ied Individual g directed and of | X and I lluation) performa Sat X (detail) | (detail) Do ing covered t Concern Are there pro | es the C asks? (T Unsat ovisions individu | Q progra Q.OQ.TE NA | am prov RAINING NC |
| Notes: OQ Plan Appendix K pages 116-117 14. Training Requirements (Initial, Retrain for initial qualification, retraining and reevaluati (detail) 192.805(h) Notes: OQ Plan Section B 16. Covered Task Performed by Non-Qualification individuals to perform covered tasks while being restrictions and limitations placed on such activities. | , Appendix A, a ing, and Reeva on of individuals Sat+ ied Individual g directed and of | X and I lluation) perform. Sat X (detail) beserved be DNQUALIF | (detail) Do ing covered t Concern Are there pro | es the Casks? (TUnsat | Q progra Q.OQ.TE NA | am prov RAINING NC |
| Notes: OQ Plan Appendix K pages 116-117 14. Training Requirements (Initial, Retrain for initial qualification, retraining and reevaluati (detail) 192.805(h) Notes: OQ Plan Section B 16. Covered Task Performed by Non-Qualify individuals to perform covered tasks while being restrictions and limitations placed on such activity 192.805(c) | , Appendix A, a ing, and Reeva on of individuals Sat+ ied Individual g directed and of ities? (TQ.OQ.NO | X and I lluation) perform. Sat X (detail) to served to DNQUALIF | (detail) Doing covered t Concern Are there property a qualified FIED.P) (detail) | es the Casks? (TUnsat | NA for non-al, and a | am prov RAINING NC qualified |
| Notes: OQ Plan Appendix K pages 116-117 14. Training Requirements (Initial, Retrain for initial qualification, retraining and reevaluati (detail) 192.805(h) Notes: OQ Plan Section B 16. Covered Task Performed by Non-Qualification individuals to perform covered tasks while being restrictions and limitations placed on such activity 192.805(c) | , Appendix A, a ing, and Reeva on of individuals Sat+ ied Individual g directed and of ities? (TQ.OQ.NO | X and I lluation) perform. Sat X (detail) beserved be DNQUALIF | (detail) Doing covered t Concern Are there property a qualified FIED.P) (detail) | es the Casks? (TUnsat | NA for non-al, and a | am prov RAINING NC qualified |
| Notes: OQ Plan Appendix K pages 116-117 14. Training Requirements (Initial, Retrain for initial qualification, retraining and reevaluati (detail) 192.805(h) Notes: OQ Plan Section B 16. Covered Task Performed by Non-Qualification individuals to perform covered tasks while being restrictions and limitations placed on such activity 192.805(c) Notes: OQ Plan Section C page 16 17. Personnel Performance Monitoring (defindividual if there is reason to believe the indivition covered task performance by an individual continuous contents. | , Appendix A, a ing, and Reeva on of individuals Sat+ ied Individual g directed and of ities? (TQ.OQ.NO Sat+ tail) Does the padual is no longer ributed to an ince | X and I sluation) perform Sat X (detail) served be DNQUALIF Sat X | (detail) Doing covered to Concern Are there proya qualified FIED.P) (detail Concern colude provise to perform to concern to perform to concern | es the Casks? (Tunsat Divisions individual) Unsat Unsat | NA for non-al, and a NA valuate d task b | am prov RAINING NC qualified are there NC an assed on. |
| Notes: OQ Plan Appendix K pages 116-117 14. Training Requirements (Initial, Retrain for initial qualification, retraining and reevaluating (detail) 192.805(h) Notes: OQ Plan Section B 16. Covered Task Performed by Non-Qualifications and limitations placed on such active (192.805(c)) Notes: OQ Plan Section C page 16 17. Personnel Performance Monitoring (detail of the covered task performance by an individual contraction of covered tasks? (TQ.OQ.PERFMO) | , Appendix A, a ing, and Reeva on of individuals Sat+ ied Individual g directed and of ities? (TQ.OQ.NO Sat+ tail) Does the padual is no longer ributed to an ince | X and I sluation) perform Sat X (detail) served be DNQUALIF Sat X | (detail) Doing covered to Concern Are there proya qualified FIED.P) (detail Concern colude provise to perform to concern to perform to concern | es the Clasks? (T Unsat Divisions individuali) Unsat ions to ea covere | NA for non-al, and a NA valuate d task b | am prov RAINING NC qualified are there NC an assed on. |
| Notes: OQ Plan Appendix K pages 116-117 14. Training Requirements (Initial, Retrain for initial qualification, retraining and reevaluati (detail) 192.805(h) Notes: OQ Plan Section B 16. Covered Task Performed by Non-Qualifications and limitations placed on such activity 192.805(c) Notes: OQ Plan Section C page 16 17. Personnel Performance Monitoring (definitional if there is reason to believe the indivitorer task performance by an individual contractor of covered tasks? (TQ.OQ.PERFMO | , Appendix A, a ing, and Reeva on of individuals Sat+ ied Individual g directed and oil ities? (TQ.OQ.NO Sat+ tail) Does the padual is no longer ributed to an inco NITOR.P) (detai | X and I lluation) perform Sat X (detail) Served & DNQUALIF Sat X | (detail) Doing covered to Concern Are there property a qualified Concern | es the Clasks? (T Unsat Divisions individuali) Unsat ions to ea covere | NA for non- al, and a NA valuate of task best affections | am proventing NC qualified are there NC an assed oning the |
| Notes: OQ Plan Appendix K pages 116-117 14. Training Requirements (Initial, Retrainfor initial qualification, retraining and reevaluating (detail) 192.805(h) Notes: OQ Plan Section B 16. Covered Task Performed by Non-Qualification and limitations placed on such activity (192.805(c)) Notes: OQ Plan Section C page 16 17. Personnel Performance Monitoring (definitividual if there is reason to believe the indivity covered task performance by an individual conting performance of covered tasks? (TQ.OQ.PERFMON) 192.805(d) (192.805(e)) | , Appendix A, a ing, and Reeva on of individuals Sat+ ied Individual g directed and oil ities? (TQ.OQ.NO Sat+ tail) Does the padual is no longer ributed to an inco NITOR.P) (detai | x and I lluation) perform. Sat X (detail) beserved be DNQUALIF at X rogram ir qualified ident or a li) Sat | (detail) Doing covered to Concern Are there property a qualified Concern | es the Clasks? (T Unsat Divisions individuali) Unsat ions to ea covere | NA for non- al, and a NA valuate of task best affections | am proventing NC qualified are there NC an assed oning the |
| Notes: OQ Plan Appendix K pages 116-117 14. Training Requirements (Initial, Retrain for initial qualification, retraining and reevaluating (detail) 192.805(h) Notes: OQ Plan Section B 16. Covered Task Performed by Non-Qualifications and limitations placed on such activity (192.805(c)) Notes: OQ Plan Section C page 16 17. Personnel Performance Monitoring (desinguire desired tasks performance by an individual control covered task performance by an individual control (192.805(d)) (192.805(e)) Notes: OQ Plan Section C page 16 19. Program Performance and Improvements program and implementation of improvements | , Appendix A, a ing, and Reeva on of individuals Sat+ ied Individual g directed and olities? (TQ.OQ.NC Sat+ tail) Does the padual is no longer ributed to an inconstructed to an incon | X and I lluation) perform Sat X (detail) Served & DNQUALIF Sat X (detail) Sat X (detail) Sat X (detail) Sat X (detail) Sat X | (detail) Do ing covered to Concern Are there property a qualified FIED.P) (detail Concern Include provise to perform a caccident; oth | es the Clasks? (T Unsat Divisions individuali) Unsat ions to ea covere er factor Unsat | NA For non-al, and a valuate d task b is affecti | am provents and pr |
| Notes: OQ Plan Appendix K pages 116-117 14. Training Requirements (Initial, Retrain for initial qualification, retraining and reevaluati (detail) 192.805(h) Notes: OQ Plan Section B 16. Covered Task Performed by Non-Qualification and limitations placed on such activity (series for the series of the series | , Appendix A, a ing, and Reeva on of individuals Sat+ ied Individual g directed and olities? (TQ.OQ.NC Sat+ tail) Does the padual is no longer ributed to an inconstructed to an incon | X and I lluation) perform Sat X (detail) Served & DNQUALIF Sat X (detail) Sat X (detail) Sat X (detail) Sat X (detail) Sat X | (detail) Do ing covered to Concern Are there property a qualified FIED.P) (detail Concern Include provise to perform a caccident; oth | es the Crasks? (Tunsat Ovisions individual) Unsat ions to ea covere er factor Unsat Evaluation or | NA For non-al, and a valuate d task b is affecti | am provents and pr |

10. Abnormal Operating Conditions (detail) Does the process require: 1) individuals performing covered tasks be qualified to recognize and react to abnormal operating conditions (AOCs), 2) evaluation and qualification

21. Management of Changes (detail) Does the OQ program identify how changes to procedures, tools standards and other elements used by individuals in performing covered tasks are communicated to the individuals, including contractor individuals, and how these changes are implemented in the evaluation method(s)? (TQ.OQ.MOC.P) (detail)

| 192.805(f) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|--|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | X | | | | |
| Notes: OQ Plan Appendix I pages 97-108 | | | | | | |

22. Notification of Significant Plan Changes (detail) Does the process require significant OQ program changes to be identified and the Administrator or State agency notified? (TQ.OQ.CHANGENOTIFY.P) (detail)

| 192.805(i) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|------------|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | X | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Notes: OQ Plan Appendix I

Training and Qualification - OQ Protocol 9

1. Covered Task Performance (detail) Verify the qualified individuals performed the observed covered tasks in accordance with the operator's procedures or operator approved contractor procedures. (TQ.PROT9.TASKPERFORMANCE.O) (detail)

| 192.801(a) (192.809(a)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|-------------------------|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | X | | | | |
| Notes: | | | | | | |

2. Qualification Status (detail) Verify the individuals performing the observed covered tasks are currently qualified to perform the covered tasks. (TQ.PROT9.QUALIFICATIONSTATUS.O) (detail)

| 192.801(a) (192.809(a)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC | |
|-------------------------|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|--|
| | | X | | | | | |
| Notes | | | | | | | |

3. Abnormal Operating Condition Recognition and Reaction (detail) Verify the individuals performing covered tasks are cognizant of the AOCs that are applicable to the tasks observed. (TQ.PROT9.AOCRECOG.O) (detail)

| 192.801(a) (192.809(a)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|-------------------------|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | X | | | | |
| Notes | | | | | | |

4. Verification of Qualification (detail) Verify the qualification records are current, and ensure the personal identification of all individuals performing covered tasks are checked, prior to task performance. (TQ.PROT9.VERIFYQUAL.O) (detail)

| 192.801(a) (192.809(a)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|-------------------------|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | x | | | | |
| Notes | | | | | | |

5. Program Inspection Deficiencies (detail) Have potential issues identified by the headquarters inspection process been corrected at the operational level? (TQ.PROT9.CORRECTION.O) (detail)

| 192.801(a) (192.809(a)) | Sat+ | Sat | Concern | Unsat | NA | NC |
|-------------------------|------|-----|---------|-------|----|----|
| | | X | | | | |
| Notes | | | | | | |

Distribution Integrity Management Program Implementation Inspection Form

This inspection form is for the evaluation of an operator's implementation of its gas distribution integrity management program (DIMP) through a review of its records and actions performed on pipeline facilities. This inspection form is applicable to operators, other than Master Meter and Small LPG operators, that have developed and implemented a DIMP under §192.1005. The form asks inspectors to review records and perform field observations regarding the implementation of the DIMP required elements. Following a review of the operator's DIMP plan, inspectors will observe actions taken by the operator to ensure that procedures have been followed. There are instances when actions by an operator could be deemed satisfactory by an inspector for an implementation question while still not meeting the procedural requirements in the DIMP plan resulting in an unsatisfactory rating for a corresponding procedural question.

Questions with code references beside them are enforceable. "S/Y" stands for "satisfactory" or "yes"; "U/N" stands for "unsatisfactory" or "no"; "N/A" stands for "not applicable"; and "N/C" stands for "not checked". If an item is marked U/N, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in the comments section. Due to the unique characteristics of some operator's system, there are instances where an operator is not required to perform an action, and some of the questions requesting a review of documents may not apply and would be rated as "N/A" (rather than rating "U/N"). For instance, in Question #8, if the operator has NOT acquired any new information relevant to threat identification, rate as "N/A". Correspondingly, if the operator had acquired new information that needed to be included in the threat identification and had not, then the rating would be "U/N".

This inspection form includes two types of activities – records review and field observation activities:

- The Records Review questions are to be performed on records used by an operator for implementing its DIMP plan. Not all parts of this form may be applicable to a specific Records Review Inspection, and only those applicable portions of this form need to be completed.
- The Field Observation questions are to be used on field activities being performed by an operator in support of its DIMP plan. Field Observation inspection activities may also include review of data, environmental conditions, and assumptions being used by an operator in support of its DIMP plan. Not all parts of this form may be applicable to a specific Field Observation Inspection, and only those applicable portions of this form need to be completed.

A review of applicable Operations and Maintenance (O&M) and DIMP processes and procedures applicable to the field activity being inspected should be considered by the inspector to ensure the operator is implementing its O&M Manuals and DIMP in a consistent manner.

Operator Contact and System Information

Operator Information:

| Louisville Gas & Electric Company |
|--------------------------------------|
| |
| 11824 |
| wned I Municipal Private LPG |
| ntify - e.g., cooperative) |
| Kentucky |
| 220 West Main Street, P>O> Box 32010 |
| Joe Ryan |
| 502-376-5944 |
| Joe.Ryan@lge-ku |
| 4/03/2019 |
| 5/13/2019 |
| December 31, 2018 |
| |

Persons Interviewed:

| Persons Interviewed (list primary contact first) | Title | Phone Number | Email |
|--|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Joe Ryan | Manager, Gas Distribution Integrity and Compliance | 502-376- 5944 | Joe.Ryan@lge-ku.com |
| | | | |

State/Federal Representatives:

| Inspector Name and Agency | Phone Number | Email |
|---------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Michael Nantz | 502-782-2602 | Michael.Nantz@ky.gov |
| | | |

System Description Narrative:

PHMSA Form 24 - Gas Distribution System DIMP Implementation Inspection, July 7, 2014, Rev 0

| Are data collection forms used in conjunction with the operator's DIMP plan being fully and accurately completed? Note: This question can be answered by office review of records and/or comparison of field conditions to information in the reviewed records. 7 | Question Number | Rule § | Description | S/Y | U/N | N/A | N/C |
|--|--------------------|-----------|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Toward If new Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) input is incorporated into the DIMP plan, do SMEs have the necessary knowledge and/or experience (skills sets) regarding the areas of expertise for which the SME provided knowledge or supplemental information for input into the DIMP plan? Inspector Comments | | .1007 (a) | conjunction with the operator's DIMP plan being fully and accurately completed? Note: This question can be answered by office review of records and/or comparison of field conditions to information in the | | | | |
| is incorporated into the DIMP plan, do SMEs have the necessary knowledge and/or experience (skills sets) regarding the areas of expertise for which the SME provided knowledge or supplemental information for input into the DIMP plan? Inspector Comments 8 .1007 (a) Do operator personnel in the field understand their responsibilities under DIMP plan? (Below are possible questions for field personnel) • Would you explain what DIMP training you have received? • What instructions have you received to address the discovery of pipe or components not documented in the company records? • What instructions have you received if you find a possible issue? (ex: corrosion, dented pipe, poor fusion joints, missing coating, excavation damage, mechanical fitting failures) • If you find situations where the facilities examined (e.g., size of the pipe, coating) are different than records indicate, what documentation do you prepare? • If you are repairing a leak and find that a fitting was improperly installed, what documentation do | Inspector Con | nments | | | | | |
| 81007 (a) Do operator personnel in the field understand their responsibilities under DIMP plan? (Below are possible questions for field personnel) • Would you explain what DIMP training you have received? • What instructions have you received to address the discovery of pipe or components not documented in the company records? • What instructions have you received if you find a possible issue? (ex: corrosion, dented pipe, poor fusion joints, missing coating, excavation damage, mechanical fitting failures) • If you find situations where the facilities examined (e.g., size of the pipe, coating) are different than records indicate, what documentation do you prepare? • If you are repairing a leak and find that a fitting was improperly installed, what documentation do | 7 | .1007 (a) | is incorporated into the DIMP plan, do SMEs have the necessary knowledge and/or experience (skills sets) regarding the areas of expertise for which the SME provided knowledge or supplemental information for | | | | |
| understand their responsibilities under DIMP plan? (Below are possible questions for field personnel) • Would you explain what DIMP training you have received? • What instructions have you received to address the discovery of pipe or components not documented in the company records? • What instructions have you received if you find a possible issue? (ex: corrosion, dented pipe, poor fusion joints, missing coating, excavation damage, mechanical fitting failures) • If you find situations where the facilities examined (e.g., size of the pipe, coating) are different than records indicate, what documentation do you prepare? • If you are repairing a leak and find that a fitting was improperly installed, what documentation do | Inspector Con | nments | | | | | |
| you prepare? Inspector Comments | | | understand their responsibilities under DIMP plan? (Below are possible questions for field personnel) • Would you explain what DIMP training you have received? • What instructions have you received to address the discovery of pipe or components not documented in the company records? • What instructions have you received if you find a possible issue? (ex: corrosion, dented pipe, poor fusion joints, missing coating, excavation damage, mechanical fitting failures) • If you find situations where the facilities examined (e.g., size of the pipe, coating) are different than records indicate, what documentation do you prepare? • If you are repairing a leak and find that a fitting was improperly | | | | |

| Question Number | Rule § | Description | S/Y | U/N | N/A | N/C |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Question Number | Rule § | Description | S/Y | U/N | N/A | N/C |
| | 192.1007 (b) and (c) | Identify Threats; Evaluate and Rank Risk | | | | |
| 9 | .1007(b) | Has the operator acquired any new information relevant to system knowledge that may affect its threat identification? | \boxtimes | | | |
| Inspector Cor | mments | | | | | |
| 10 | .1007 (b) | Have any changes occurred that require reevaluation of threats and risks? Examples include, but are not limited to, the following: | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | mments | | | | | |
| 11 | .1007 (b) | Has the operator identified information or data from external sources (e.g. trade associations, operator's consultants, government agencies, other operators, manufacturers, etc.) that may require reevaluation of threats and risks? | | | | |
| Inspector | Comments | | | i | l | |
| 12 | .1007 (c) | Since the last DIMP plan review by the regulatory agency, has the operator updated its threat identification and risk assessment based on newly acquired information or data (see Questions 9, 10, and 11) relevant to system knowledge? | | | | |
| Inspector | Comments | | | | | |

| Question Number | Rule § | Description | S/Y | U/N | N/A | N/C |
|--------------------|-----------|---|-------------|----------|-----|-----|
| 13 | .1007 (c) | If the operator has modified its threat identification and risk evaluation and ranking, were the revisions made in accordance with the procedure in the operator's DIMP plan? | | | | |
| Inspector Co | mments | | | | | |
| 14 | .1007 (c) | Does the operator's current subdivision process (grouping of materials, geographic areas, etc.) adequately meet the need to properly evaluate and rank the existing and potential threats to the integrity of its system? | | | | |
| Inspector | Comments | | | | | |
| 15 | .1007 (c) | Has the operator added or modified system subdivisions within its risk evaluation and ranking since the last plan review by the regulatory agency? | \boxtimes | | | |
| Inspector Co | mments | | | <u>'</u> | " | |
| 16 | .1007 (c) | If the operator has added or modified system subdivisions, was it done in accordance with the procedures described in the operator's DIMP plan? | | | | |
| Inspector Co | mments | | | | | |
| 17 | .1007 (c) | If the operator has added or modified system subdivisions, did the new system subdivision result in modifications to the risk evaluation and ranking? | | | | |
| Inspector Co | mments | | | | | |

| Question Number | Rule § | Description | S/Y | U/N | N/A | N/C |
|--------------------|-------------|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | 192.1007(d) | Identify and implement measures to address risks | | | | |
| 18 | .1007 (d) | Does the documentation reviewed demonstrate the operator is implementing the measures to reduce risks per the DIMP plan? | | | | |
| 19 | .1007 (d) | Has the operator completed any measures to reduce risks resulting in the elimination/mitigation of the associated identified threat? (e.g., pipe replacement program completed, etc.) | | | | |
| Inspector Co | mments | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| Inspector Co | mments | | | | | |
| 21 | .1007 (d) | Does each implemented risk reduction measure identified in the DIMP plan address a specific risk? | | | | |
| Inspector Co | mments | | | | | |
| Inspector Col | .1007 (d) | Can the operator provide documentation to demonstrate that an effective leak management program is being implemented? Important components in an effective program include, but are not limited to, the following: Locate the leaks in the distribution system; Evaluate the actual or potential hazards associated with these leaks; Act appropriately to mitigate these hazards; Keep records; and Self-assess to determine if additional actions are necessary to keep people and property safe. Answer "N/A" if operator repairs all leaks when found. | | | | |

| Question Number | Rule § | Description | S/Y | U/N | N/A | N/C |
|--------------------|-------------|---|-------------|-----|-----|-----|
| | 192.1007(e) | Measure performance, monitor results, and evaluate effectiveness | | | | |
| 23 | .1007 (e) | Is the operator collecting data for the required performance measures in §192.1007(e)? | | | | |
| | | i) Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause? | \boxtimes | | | |
| | | ii) Number of excavation damages?iii) Number of excavation tickets?iv) Total number of leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause? | | | | |
| | | v) Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by material? (Note: Not required in PHMSA | | | | |
| | | Distribution Annual Report Form 7100.1-1) vi) Any additional measures the operator determines are needed to evaluate the effectiveness of the DIMP plan in controlling each identified threat? (Note: Not required in PHMSA Distribution Annual Report Form 7100.1-1) | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | mments | | | | | |
| 24 .1007 (e) | | Based on field observations and/or record reviews, is the operator accurately collecting the data used to measure performance in accordance with the procedures in its DIMP plan? | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | mments | | | I | I | |
| 25 .1007 (e) | | Is the operator monitoring each performance measure from an established baseline? | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | mments | | | | | |
| 26 | .1007 (e) | Is each performance measure added since the DIMP plan was last updated tied to a specific risk reduction measure or group of measures? | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | mments | | | 1 | | |

| Question Number | Rule § | Description | S/Y | U/N | N/A | N/C |
|--------------------|-------------|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | 192.1007(f) | Periodic Evaluation and Improvement | | | | |
| 27 | .1007 (f) | Has the operator performed a periodic evaluation of its DIMP plan on the frequency specified in the plan? If a periodic evaluation has not been required since plan implementation or the last inspection, mark questions 27-32 as "N/A". | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | mments | | | | | |
| 28 | .1007 (f) | Did the periodic evaluation include the following: Verification of general system information (e.g., contact information; form names; action schedules, etc.)? New information acquired since the previous evaluation? Review of threats and risks? Was the risk model re-run? Review of performance measures? Review of measures to reduce risks? Evaluation of the effectiveness of measures to reduce risks? Modification of measures to reduce | | | | |
| | | risks, if necessary? | | | | |
| 29 | .1007 (e) | If any established performance measures indicated an increase in risk beyond an acceptable level (as established in the DIMP plan), did the operator implement new risk reduction measures along with their associated performance measures? | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | mments | | | | | |
| 30 | .1007 (f) | If the periodic evaluation indicates that implemented measures to reduce risks are NOT effective, were risk reduction measures modified, deleted or added? | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | mments | | | | | |

| Question Number | Rule § | Description | S/Y | U/N | N/A | N/C |
|--------------------|-----------|--|-----------|------------|-------|-----|
| 31 | .1007 (f) | Did the periodic evaluation indicate that the | | | | |
| | .1007 (1) | selected performance measures are | | | | |
| | | assessing the effectiveness of risk reduction | | | | |
| | | measures? | | | | |
| | | measures: | | | | |
| | | If not, were performance measures | | | | |
| | | modified, deleted or added? (describe in | | | | |
| | | Inspector comments) | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | mments | | | | | |
| 32 | .1007 (f) | Did the operator follow its procedures in | | | | |
| | , | conducting periodic evaluation and program | | | | |
| | | improvement? | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | mments | | | | | |
| | 192.1007 | Report results | | | | |
| | (g) | | | | | |
| 33 | .1007(g) | Did the operator complete Parts C and D of | | | | |
| | | the PHMSA Distribution Annual Report | | | | |
| | | (Form 7100.1-1) in its submission to PHMSA | | | | |
| | | and the state regulatory authority having | | | | |
| | | jurisdiction, if required, for each year since | | | | |
| | | the last inspection? | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | mments | | | | | |
| mapeetor cor | mients | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 192.1009 | What must an operator report when mechan | ical fitt | ings fail | 2 | |
| 34 | .1009 | Has the operator maintained accurate | | IIIgs Idil | : | |
| J- | .1005 | records documenting mechanical fitting | | | | ╽╹ |
| | | failures resulting in hazardous leaks? | | | | |
| | | Tanta Co Teoditing III Hazardous leaks: | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | mments | | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | | | | | |

| Question Number | Rule § | Description | S/Y | U/N | N/A | N/C |
|--------------------|--------|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 35 | .1009 | Did the operator report all mechanical fitting failures that resulted in a hazardous leak for the previous calendar year to PHMSA and State authorities, as appropriate, by March 15 th of the next calendar year? Did the reports contain the information required by Department of Transportation Form PHMSA F-7100.1-2? | | | | |
| Inspector Comments | | | | | | |
| 36 | .1009 | Did the operator follow its procedure(s) for collecting the appropriate information and submitting PHMSA Form F-7100.1-2? Methods to verify include, but are not limited to, the following: • Field observation of the excavation of a failed mechanical fitting • Examination of failed fittings or photographs that have been retained by the operator • Interview with field personnel responsible for collecting information | | | | |
| Inspector Co | mments | | | | | |

| Question Number | Rule § | Description | S/Y | U/N | N/A | N/C | | |
|--------------------|-------------------|---|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|--|--|
| | 192.1011 | What records must an operator keep? | | | | | | |
| 37 | .1011 | Is the operator retaining the records demonstrating compliance with Subpart P, as specified in its DIMP plan, for 10 years (or since 08/02/2011)? | | | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | mments | | | | | | | |
| 38 | .1011 | Did the operator retain for 10 years (or since 08/02/2011) copies of superseded DIMP plans? | since | | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | | | ecords retention? | | | | | |
| 39 | .1011 | Did the operator follow its DIMP procedures applicable to records retention? | | | | | | |
| | | If answered "Unsatisfactory/No", then list those procedures not followed below. | | | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | nspector Comments | | | | | | | |
| | 192.1013 | When may an operator deviate from required this part? | d period | dic inspe | ections (| ınder | | |
| 40 | .1013 (c) | Has the operator received approval from PHMSA or the appropriate State Regulatory Authority for alternate (less strict than code) periodic inspection intervals? (If no, mark questions 40-44 "N/A") | | | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | mments | | | | | | | |
| 41 | .1013 (c) | Has the operator conducted the periodic inspections at the specified alternate intervals? | | | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | mments | | • | | | | | |
| 42 | .1013 (c) | Has the operator complied with all conditions that were required as part of the alternate inspection interval approval? If answered "Unsatisfactory/No", then provide comments below. | | | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | mments | | | | | | | |

PHMSA Form 24 - Gas Distribution System DIMP Implementation Inspection, July 7, 2014, Rev θ

| Question Number | Rule § | Description | S/Y | U/N | N/A | N/C |
|--|-----------|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Do performance measure records indicate that an equal or greater overall level of safety has been achieved since the alternate inspection frequency was implemented? | | | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | nments | | | | | |
| 44 | .1013 (c) | If that an equal or greater overall level of safety has not been achieved, is the operator taking corrective action? Provide comments below regarding corrective actions taken or lack thereof. | | | | |
| Inspector Cor | nments | corrective detions taken or lack thereof. | | | | |

Additional Inspector Comments:

| Has operator adopted the CGA Best Practices document as a means of reducing damages to all underground facilities? If no, encourage and promote the adoption of CGA Best Practices document. Review operators records of accidents and failures due to excavation damage to ensure causes of failure are addressed to minimize the possibility of recurrence as required by 192.617. PLASTIC PIPE DEFECTS/LEAKS & NPMS DATABASE SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION Has operator identified any plastic pipe and /or components that have shown a record of defects/leaks? If yes, what is operator doing to mitigate the safety concerns? Any issues addressed though DIMP If transmission, has operator submitted information into National Pipeline Mapping System | U N/A | N/ |
|---|-------|----|
| If necessary, was remedial action taken? Review operator procedures for surveillance of cast iron pipelines Was appropriate action taken resulting from tracking circumferential cracking failures, study of failures, study of leakage history, or other unusual operating maintenance condition? (See GPTC Appendix G-18 for guidance) Review operator emergency response procedures for leaks caused by excavation damage near buildings. Do procedures adequately address the possibility of multiple leaks and underground migration of gas into nearby buildings (Refer to 4/12/01 letter from PHMSA) Review operator records of previous accidents and failures (including reported third party damage and leak response) to ensure appropriate operator response as required by 192.617. THIRD PARTY/EXCAVATION DAMAGE PREVENTION SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION (In part of the party of the dangers posed by drilling and other trenchless technologies? Is operator following its written procedures pertaining to notification of excavation, marking, positive response, and the availability and use of the one-call system? Has operator adopted the CGA Best Practices document as a means of reducing damages to all underground facilities? If no, encourage and promote the adoption of CGA Best Practices document. Review operators records of accidents and failures due to excavation damage to ensure causes of failure are addressed to minimize the possibility of recurrence as required by 192.617. PLASTIC PIPE DEFECTS/LEAKS & NPMS DATABASE SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION Has operator identified any plastic pipe and /or components that have shown a record of defects/leaks? If yes, what is operator doing to mitigate the safety concerns? Any issues addressed though DIMP If transmission, has operator submitted information into National Pipeline Mapping System | | |
| Review operator procedures for surveillance of cast iron pipelines Was appropriate action taken resulting from tracking circumferential cracking failures, study of failures, study of leakage history, or other unusual operating maintenance condition? (See GPTC Appendix G-18 for guidance) Review operator emergency response procedures for leaks caused by excavation damage near buildings. Do procedures adequately address the possibility of multiple leaks and underground migration of gas into nearby buildings (Refer to 4/12/01 letter from PHMSA) Review operator records of previous accidents and failures (including reported third party damage and leak response) to ensure appropriate operator response as required by 192.617. THIRD PARTY/EXCAVATION DAMAGE PREVENTION SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION protect their facilities from the dangers posed by drilling and other trenchless technologies? Is operator following its written procedures pertaining to notification of excavation, marking, positive response, and the availability and use of the one-call system? Has operator adopted the CGA Best Practices document as a means of reducing damages to all underground facilities? If no, encourage and promote the adoption of CGA Best Practices document. Review operators records of accidents and failures due to excavation damage to ensure causes of failure are addressed to minimize the possibility of recurrence as required by 192.617. PLASTIC PIPE DEFECTS/LEAKS & NPMS DATABASE SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION Has operator identified any plastic pipe and /or components that have shown a record of defects/leaks? If yes, what is operator doing to mitigate the safety concerns? Any issues addressed though DIMP If transmission, has operator submitted information into National Pipeline Mapping System | X | |
| Was appropriate action taken resulting from tracking circumferential cracking failures, study of failures, study of leakage history, or other unusual operating maintenance condition? (See GPTC Appendix G-18 for guidance) Review operator emergency response procedures for leaks caused by excavation damage near buildings. Do procedures adequately address the possibility of multiple leaks and underground migration of gas into nearby buildings (Refer to 4/12/01 letter from PHMSA) Review operator records of previous accidents and failures (including reported third party damage and leak response) to ensure appropriate operator response as required by 192.617. THIRD PARTY/EXCAVATION DAMAGE PREVENTION SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION Review directional drilling/boring procedures of operator or its contractor – do they include actions to protect their facilities from the dangers posed by drilling and other trenchless technologies? Is operator following its written procedures pertaining to notification of excavation, marking, positive response, and the availability and use of the one-call system? Has operator adopted the CGA Best Practices document as a means of reducing damages to all underground facilities? If no, encourage and promote the adoption of CGA Best Practices document. Review operators records of accidents and failures due to excavation damage to ensure causes of failure are addressed to minimize the possibility of recurrence as required by 192.617. PLASTIC PIPE DEFECTS/LEAKS & NPMS DATABASE SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION Has operator identified any plastic pipe and /or components that have shown a record of defects/leaks? If yes, what is operator doing to mitigate the safety concerns? Any issues addressed though DIMP If transmission, has operator submitted information into National Pipeline Mapping System | | |
| study of failures, study of leakage history, or other unusual operating maintenance condition? (See GPTC Appendix G-18 for guidance) Review operator emergency response procedures for leaks caused by excavation damage near buildings. Do procedures adequately address the possibility of multiple leaks and underground migration of gas into nearby buildings (Refer to 4/12/01 letter from PHMSA) Review operator records of previous accidents and failures (including reported third party damage and leak response) to ensure appropriate operator response as required by 192.617. THIRD PARTY/EXCAVATION DAMAGE PREVENTION SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION Review directional drilling/boring procedures of operator or its contractor – do they include actions to protect their facilities from the dangers posed by drilling and other trenchless technologies? Is operator following its written procedures pertaining to notification of excavation, marking, positive response, and the availability and use of the one-call system? Has operator adopted the CGA Best Practices document as a means of reducing damages to all underground facilities? If no, encourage and promote the adoption of CGA Best Practices document. Review operators records of accidents and failures due to excavation damage to ensure causes of failure are addressed to minimize the possibility of recurrence as required by 192.617. PLASTIC PIPE DEFECTS/LEAKS & NPMS DATABASE SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION Has operator identified any plastic pipe and /or components that have shown a record of defects/leaks? If yes, what is operator doing to mitigate the safety concerns? Any issues addressed though DIMP If transmission, has operator submitted information into National Pipeline Mapping System | X | |
| buildings. Do procedures adequately address the possibility of multiple leaks and underground migration of gas into nearby buildings (Refer to 4/12/01 letter from PHMSA) Review operator records of previous accidents and failures (including reported third party damage and leak response) to ensure appropriate operator response as required by 192.617. THIRD PARTY/EXCAVATION DAMAGE PREVENTION SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION Review directional drilling/boring procedures of operator or its contractor — do they include actions to protect their facilities from the dangers posed by drilling and other trenchless technologies? Is operator following its written procedures pertaining to notification of excavation, marking, positive response, and the availability and use of the one-call system? Has operator adopted the CGA Best Practices document as a means of reducing damages to all underground facilities? If no, encourage and promote the adoption of CGA Best Practices document. Review operators records of accidents and failures due to excavation damage to ensure causes of failure are addressed to minimize the possibility of recurrence as required by 192.617. PLASTIC PIPE DEFECTS/LEAKS & NPMS DATABASE SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION Has operator identified any plastic pipe and /or components that have shown a record of defects/leaks? No If yes, what is operator doing to mitigate the safety concerns? Any issues addressed though DIMP If transmission, has operator submitted information into National Pipeline Mapping System | | |
| migration of gas into nearby buildings (Refer to 4/12/01 letter from PHMSA) Review operator records of previous accidents and failures (including reported third party damage and leak response) to ensure appropriate operator response as required by 192.617. THIRD PARTY/EXCAVATION DAMAGE PREVENTION SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION Review directional drilling/boring procedures of operator or its contractor – do they include actions to protect their facilities from the dangers posed by drilling and other trenchless technologies? Is operator following its written procedures pertaining to notification of excavation, marking, positive response, and the availability and use of the one-call system? Has operator adopted the CGA Best Practices document as a means of reducing damages to all underground facilities? If no, encourage and promote the adoption of CGA Best Practices document. Review operators records of accidents and failures due to excavation damage to ensure causes of failure are addressed to minimize the possibility of recurrence as required by 192.617. PLASTIC PIPE DEFECTS/LEAKS & NPMS DATABASE SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION Has operator identified any plastic pipe and /or components that have shown a record of defects/leaks? If yes, what is operator doing to mitigate the safety concerns? Any issues addressed though DIMP If transmission, has operator submitted information into National Pipeline Mapping System | | |
| THIRD PARTY/EXCAVATION DAMAGE PREVENTION SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION Review directional drilling/boring procedures of operator or its contractor – do they include actions to protect their facilities from the dangers posed by drilling and other trenchless technologies? Is operator following its written procedures pertaining to notification of excavation, marking, positive response, and the availability and use of the one-call system? Has operator adopted the CGA Best Practices document as a means of reducing damages to all underground facilities? If no, encourage and promote the adoption of CGA Best Practices document. Review operators records of accidents and failures due to excavation damage to ensure causes of failure are addressed to minimize the possibility of recurrence as required by 192.617. PLASTIC PIPE DEFECTS/LEAKS & NPMS DATABASE SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION Has operator identified any plastic pipe and /or components that have shown a record of defects/leaks? If yes, what is operator doing to mitigate the safety concerns? Any issues addressed though DIMP If transmission, has operator submitted information into National Pipeline Mapping System | | |
| Review directional drilling/boring procedures of operator or its contractor – do they include actions to protect their facilities from the dangers posed by drilling and other trenchless technologies? Is operator following its written procedures pertaining to notification of excavation, marking, positive response, and the availability and use of the one-call system? Has operator adopted the CGA Best Practices document as a means of reducing damages to all underground facilities? If no, encourage and promote the adoption of CGA Best Practices document. Review operators records of accidents and failures due to excavation damage to ensure causes of failure are addressed to minimize the possibility of recurrence as required by 192.617. PLASTIC PIPE DEFECTS/LEAKS & NPMS DATABASE SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION Has operator identified any plastic pipe and /or components that have shown a record of defects/leaks? If yes, what is operator doing to mitigate the safety concerns? Any issues addressed though DIMP If transmission, has operator submitted information into National Pipeline Mapping System | | |
| to protect their facilities from the dangers posed by drilling and other trenchless technologies? Is operator following its written procedures pertaining to notification of excavation, marking, positive response, and the availability and use of the one-call system? Has operator adopted the CGA Best Practices document as a means of reducing damages to all underground facilities? If no, encourage and promote the adoption of CGA Best Practices document. Review operators records of accidents and failures due to excavation damage to ensure causes of failure are addressed to minimize the possibility of recurrence as required by 192.617. PLASTIC PIPE DEFECTS/LEAKS & NPMS DATABASE SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION Has operator identified any plastic pipe and /or components that have shown a record of defects/leaks? If yes, what is operator doing to mitigate the safety concerns? Any issues addressed though DIMP If transmission, has operator submitted information into National Pipeline Mapping System | NS | |
| Has operator adopted the CGA Best Practices document as a means of reducing damages to all underground facilities? If no, encourage and promote the adoption of CGA Best Practices document. Review operators records of accidents and failures due to excavation damage to ensure causes of failure are addressed to minimize the possibility of recurrence as required by 192.617. PLASTIC PIPE DEFECTS/LEAKS & NPMS DATABASE SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION Has operator identified any plastic pipe and /or components that have shown a record of defects/leaks? If yes, what is operator doing to mitigate the safety concerns? Any issues addressed though DIMP If transmission, has operator submitted information into National Pipeline Mapping System | | |
| If no, encourage and promote the adoption of CGA Best Practices document. Review operators records of accidents and failures due to excavation damage to ensure causes of failure are addressed to minimize the possibility of recurrence as required by 192.617. PLASTIC PIPE DEFECTS/LEAKS & NPMS DATABASE SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION Has operator identified any plastic pipe and /or components that have shown a record of defects/leaks? If yes, what is operator doing to mitigate the safety concerns? Any issues addressed though DIMP If transmission, has operator submitted information into National Pipeline Mapping System | х | |
| Review operators records of accidents and failures due to excavation damage to ensure causes of failure are addressed to minimize the possibility of recurrence as required by 192.617. PLASTIC PIPE DEFECTS/LEAKS & NPMS DATABASE SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION Has operator identified any plastic pipe and /or components that have shown a record of defects/leaks? If yes, what is operator doing to mitigate the safety concerns? Any issues addressed though DIMP If transmission, has operator submitted information into National Pipeline Mapping System | | |
| Failure are addressed to minimize the possibility of recurrence as required by 192.617. PLASTIC PIPE DEFECTS/LEAKS & NPMS DATABASE SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTION Has operator identified any plastic pipe and /or components that have shown a record of defects/leaks? If yes, what is operator doing to mitigate the safety concerns? Any issues addressed though DIMP If transmission, has operator submitted information into National Pipeline Mapping System | | |
| Has operator identified any plastic pipe and /or components that have shown a record of defects/leaks? If yes, what is operator doing to mitigate the safety concerns? Any issues addressed though DIMP If transmission, has operator submitted information into National Pipeline Mapping System | | |
| defects/leaks? If yes, what is operator doing to mitigate the safety concerns? Any issues addressed though DIMP If transmission, has operator submitted information into National Pipeline Mapping System | NS | |
| though DIMP If transmission, has operator submitted information into National Pipeline Mapping System | | |
| If transmission, has operator submitted information into National Pipeline Mapping System | | |
| (NPMS) database along with any changes made after original submittal? | | Х |

| | | SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION QUESTIONS | S | U | N/A | N/C |
|---|----------------|--|----------|---|-------|----------|
| | | PermaLock Mechanical Tapping Tee Assemblies | | | 17/41 | 11/0 |
| | loog the amore | | No | | | |
| ע | oes the opera | tor use PermaLock Mechanical Tapping Tee Assemblies? | NO | | | |
| | 1 | ney experience any issues with these type of installations? | | | | |
| C | omments: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | ing best practices recommended by the manufacturer in their O&M Manual and/or integrity management programs? | | | X | |
| | | ing the specified tools and methods to correctly install these Assemblies? | | | X | |
| C | omments: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | | |

CYBERSECURITY QUESTIONNAIRE

| 49 (| CFR 1 | 92.605 | Procedu | ıral n | nanual for o | perations, | maintenance, | and emergen | cies |
|------|-------|--------|---------|--------|--------------|------------|-----------------|--------------|------|
| 807 | KAR | 5:022 | Section | 13(7) | Continuing | surveillar | ice of operatio | nal systems. | |

| 1. | Does the | operator | utilize any | y business oi | operational | systems | which ma | y be vu | Inerable to | o cybersecur | ity |
|-----|----------|----------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------|----------|---------|-------------|--------------|-----|
| соі | ncerns? | | | | | | | | | | |

| CONCERTS? | Yes | No | NA | NC |
|-----------|-----|----|----|----|
| | X | | | |
| Notes | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

2. Has the operator developed and implemented a cybersecurity written plan that includes assessing and mitigating vulnerabilities for critical infrastructure and essential business systems? Describe.

| | Yes | No | NA | NC | |
|-------|-----|----|----|----|--|
| | X | | | | |
| Notes | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

3. Has the operator utilized any internal or external resources and/or personnel assigned specifically with accessing and/or analyzing cybersecurity threats and vulnerabilities? Describe.

| Yes | No | NA | NC |
|-----|----|----|----|
| X | | | |

Notes: IT Security initially engaged consultant along with other like utilities Best Practices to develop program.

4. Are cybersecurity threats considered as part of the operator's overall operations and maintenance plans?

| Yes | No | NA | NC |
|-----|----|----|----|
| X | | | |

Notes: Plan last updated 1/01/2019.

5. Has the operator experienced any cyber-attacks related to its business or operational systems? Describe.

| | Yes | No | NA | NC |
|-------|-----|----|----|----|
| | | X | | |
| Notes | | | | |

6. Identify personnel with specific responsibilities for cybersecurity within your organization?

| Yes | No | NA | NC |
|-----|----|----|----|
| х | | | |

Notes: Bruce Mannery, director of IT

*Louisville Gas and Electric Company 220 W. Main Street P. O. Box 32010 Louisville, KY 40232-2010

*Louisville Gas and Electric Company 220 W. Main Street P. O. Box 32010 Louisville, KY 40232-2010

*Louisville Gas and Electric Company Louisville Gas and Electric Company 220 W. Main Street P. O. Box 32010 Louisville, KY 40232-2010