

September 18, 2019

RECEIVED

SEP 2 3 2019

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Gwen Pinson, Executive Director Public Service Commission Commonwealth of Kentucky 211 Sower Blvd. Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

RE:

Case No. 2019-00241

Tennessee Public Utility Commission's Investigation Docket 19-00084 and Consumer Advocate's Emergency Motion to Revise Fixed Monthly Charge in Tariff

Dear Ms. Pinson:

On September 11, 2019, the Tennessee Public Utility Commission opened Docket 19-00084 wherein the Tennessee Consumer Advocate filed an Emergency Motion to Revise Fixed Monthly Charge in Tariff requesting that the Commission suspend and/or modify the fixed monthly charges to Navitas TN NG, LLC by B&W Pipeline, LLC. Please find enclosed a copy of the above referenced Motion and Navitas' Response for your file in Case No. 2019-00241.

Should you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact me or Thomas Hartline at (714) 242-4064 or via email at vnovak@navitasutility.com or thartline@navitasutility.com. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Vanessa Novak, Esq.

Navitas Utility Corporation

Chesa livalo

Encl.

Cc:

Klint Alexander, Esq. (via email)

Don Baltimore, Esq. (via email)

SEP 2 3 2019

IN THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION AT NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN RE:)	
)	
INVESTIGATION INTO NAVITAS UTILITY)	
CORPORATION'S NOTICE OF PROBABLE)	Docket No. 19-00084
SHUT DOWN AND DISCONTINUANCE OF)	
TENNESSEE SERVICE)	

CONSUMER ADVOCATE'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO REVISE FIXED MONTHLY CHARGE IN TARIFF

COMES NOW the Consumer Advocate Unit in the Financial Division of the Office of the Tennessee Attorney General ("Consumer Advocate"), pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-118, and having petitioned to intervene in this Docket, respectfully moves for the Tennessee Public Utility Commission ("TPUC" or "Commission") to suspend and/or modify the fixed monthly charges to Navitas TN LG, LLC ("Navitas") by B&W Pipeline, LLC ("B&W") for service in the Byrdstown, Tennessee area.

The Consumer Advocate makes this emergency motion on the ground that B&W indicates it intends to charge Navitas an additional amount allegedly pursuant to a Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") Order that will generate revenue at least equal to the amount B&W is currently charging Navitas under the *Final Order Setting Rates* in TPUC Docket No. 15-00042. Thus, if the current TPUC tariffed rates are not suspended or modified, Tennessee customers will be paying an excessive amount more than the rates envisioned in TPUC's *Final Order Setting Rates*.

The Consumer Advocate further requests that this matter be brought before the Commission in an expedited manner and, if possible, before the next regularly scheduled TPUC Conference.

For cause, the Consumer Advocate would show as follows:

- 1. On April 2, 2015, B&W filed a general rate case in TPUC Docket No. 15-00042. Two parties requested to intervene in that Docket: The Consumer Advocate and Navitas, another natural gas utility regulated by TPUC that is the only customer of B&W Pipeline.
- 2. A Hearing on the merits was held before TPUC on September 14, 2015. During the Hearing, and for the first time in the proceeding, "testimony from the parties and responses to questions by the [TPUC] Staff indicated that a portion of the gas B&W delivers to Navitas is ultimately consumed in the State of Kentucky." As a result, a question arose of whether B&W Pipeline qualifies for "Hinshaw" status.²
- 3. The Parties filed post-hearing briefs on the issue of "Hinshaw" status, and while the Parties averred that B&W Pipeline did not qualify for such treatment, the Consumer Advocate and B&W agreed that TPUC could nonetheless "assert jurisdiction as to rates charged for the gas delivered and ultimately consumed in Tennessee pending FERC's consideration of [a] blanket certificate pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 284.224."
 - 4. On March 10, 2019, TPUC entered a Final Order Setting Rates. TPUC ruled:

Therefore, the panel concludes that as B&W is not a Hinshaw pipeline, the Company must address its status with FERC, specifically by applying for an Order No. 63 certificate exemption pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 284.224.22. A FERC Order 63 certificate would allow B&W to acquire Hinshaw-like status with FERC and thus authorize the TRA to set rates for all of the gas delivered by B&W to Navitas, including for those volumes consumed by

² "Hinshaw" status, when certain standards are met, allows local distribution pipeline companies served by interstates pipelines to operate without being subject to FERC jurisdiction. See 15 U.S.C. § 717(c).

¹ Final Order Setting Rates, pp. 4-5, TPUC Docket No. 15-00042 (March 10, 2016).

³ Final Order Setting Rates, p. 5, TPUC Docket No. 15-00042 (March 10, 2016). Under 18 C.F.R. § 284.224(b)(3), FERC's grant of a blanket certificate "will authorize the local distribution company to engage in the sale or transportation of natural gas that is subject to [FERC's] jurisdiction under the Natural Gas Act, to the same extent that and in the same manner that intrastate pipelines are authorized to engage in such activities by subparts C and D of this part, except as otherwise provided in paragraph (e)(2) of this section." (Emphasis added.)

customers in Kentucky. As part of the application for a blanket certificate, B&W shall utilize this Order and the rate established herein for FERC for review. (Emphasis added.)

- 5. In the *Final Order*, TPUC issued a directive for steps B&W needed to take, ordering the following:
 - 9. A rate design consisting of a fixed monthly charge of \$13,897 from Navitas TN NG, LLC resulting in revenues of \$210,624. In addition, the [TPUC] set a volumetric charge of \$0.30813 per Mcf from all customers.
 - B&W Pipeline, LLC shall provide a copy of this Order to the Federal Regulatory Commission in the Company's application for a blanket certificate pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 284.224.5
- 6. Under TPUC's clear directive, B&W was to file for a blanket certificate from FERC, provide FERC with TPUC's Order and the rates established therein for FERC's review, and after receiving approval from FERC, operate in accordance with TPUC's decision in TPUC Docket No. 15-00042. In fact, B&W did initially comply with TPUC's directive by filing its Application of B&W Pipeline. Inc. for a Limited Jurisdiction Blanket Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 284.224 on March 17, 2017.
 - FERC granted B&W's Application on June 15, 2017. In its Order, FERC stated [u]nder section 284.334 blanket certificate authority, the rates charged by a Hinshaw pipeline may be determined by: (1) electing rates based upon a state-approved transportation rate schedules for comparable service or the methodology used in designed city-gate rates for sales or transportation service; or (2) submitting proposed rates to the Commission for approval. B&W's [sic] chose to make a rate election based upon the rates approved by the [TPUC]. (Emphasis added.)

⁴ Id. at 6.

⁵ Id. at 23.

⁶ See Order Issuing Blanket Certificate of Limited Jurisdiction, Docket No. CP17-78-000 (June 15, 2017).

⁷ Id. at 3.

- 8. While FERC stated B&W could elect to choose between two options for setting rates, B&W had previously litigated a general rate case before TPUC in TPUC Docket No. 15-00042, and TPUC issued its *Final Order* based on the proof presented during that proceeding. To comply with TPUC's decision, B&W was required to utilize TPUC's rates rather than seeking new rates from FERC. And while B&W did initially comply with TPUC's ruling, it later opted to instead seek new rates from FERC in contradiction with TPUC's decision.
- 9. The turning point in B&W's conduct occurred on July 17, 2017. Rather than complying with TPUC's approved tariffs and the initial FERC *Order* granting a blanket certificate and ratifying TPUC's rates, B&W elected to submit new proposed rates for FERC consideration. These rates are substantially higher than those approved by TPUC and, most importantly, B&W requested to set rates based on B&W's original purchase price of all assets (including both the pipeline and oil and gas wells unrelated to B&W's regulated activities), which was a litigated issue in TPUC Docket No. 15-00042. TPUC, however, had explicitly ruled against B&W concerning its arguments to recover the full purchase price in rates.⁸
- 10. On March 21, 2019, B&W filed a unilateral settlement agreement in FERC Docket No. PR17-54-00. Only after filing this unilateral settlement agreement did B&W provide notice in the TPUC Docket. This unilateral settlement agreement, and the rates contained therein, was approved by FERC on May 17, 2019. Moreover, FERC ordered that its decision and new rates be backdated to an effective date of July 17, 2017.
- 11. As a result of this material change in the terms of service, on September 9, 2019, Navitas submitted its *Notice of Probable Shut Down and Discontinuance of Tennessee Service*.

⁸Final Order Setting Rates, pp. 10-15, TPUC Docket No. 15-00042. B&W sought to overturn this issue on appeal, but the Court of Appeals upheld TPUC's ruling in a unanimous opinion. See B&W Pipeline, LLC v. Tennessee Regulatory Authority et al., No. M2016-02013-COA-R12-CV (Tenn. Ct. App. August 24, 2017).

Navitas stated in its *Notice* that it "must immediately advise its customers of this impending spike in cost and suggest the option of finding and securing alternate energy sources to natural gas whether by propane or conversion to all electric."

- 12. Due to the subsequent FERC *Order* in FERC Docket No. PR17-54-00, the unintended impact of TPUC's Order in TPUC Docket No. 15-00042 results in rates that are not just and reasonable. The TPUC *Final Order* authorized a fixed monthly charge of \$13,897. The subsequent FERC *Order* then indicated that no part of the customer charge could be assigned to Kentucky customers, despite the fact that the customer charge was designed based upon customer counts and demand from both the Tennessee and Kentucky portions of the B&W system. The resulting average charge applicable to each of Navitas' eighty-four residential customers is approximately \$165 per month, for transportation service alone and exclusive of Navitas' retail rates and the cost of gas. Clearly this level of transportation charges is unjust and unreasonable on its face.
- 13. On September 12, 2019, in response to a data request issued by TPUC Staff, B&W indicated that it still intended to charge the full \$13,897, as well as the volumetric charge, to Navitas' Tennessee customers "until the Commission orders otherwise."
- 14. TPUC has two options with respect to establishing rates that are just and reasonable. The first is to simply translate the fixed monthly charge authorized in TPUC Docket No. 15-00042 into a volumetric component, then add this rate to the previously authorized volumetric rate of \$0.3081/MCF rate, resulting in an effective overall volumetric rate. TPUC has already identified that its intent in TPUC Docket No. 15-00042 was to adopt an overall effective rate of

⁹ B&W Responses to TPUC Staff's First Data Request, No. 5 (September 12, 2019).

\$1.23248/Mcf. TPUC could simply modify their previous two-part rate into an overall rate of \$1.23248/Mcf.

15. The second option is to recalculate the Tennessee jurisdictional revenue requirement based upon the results of the FERC *Order*, compared with TPUC's overall revenue requirement adopted in TPUC Docket No. 15-00042. This residual Tennessee jurisdictional revenue requirement would be calculated by subtracting the FERC adopted revenue requirement applicable to Kentucky transportation volumes from the total revenue requirement adopted in TPUC Docket No. 15-00042, with the resulting residual amount representing the portion of the B&W revenue requirement attributed to Tennessee jurisdictional customers. Based upon the Consumer Advocate's reading of the *Final Order* in TPUC Docket No. 15-00042, the total systemwide revenue requirement adopted – for natural gas consumed in Tennessee and Kentucky – was \$250,835.

WHEREFORE the Consumer Advocate respectfully requests the following:

- A. The Commission immediately translate the current fixed monthly charge authorized in TPUC Docket No. 15-00042 into a volumetric component, then simply add this rate to the previously authorized volumetric rate of \$.3081/Mcf rate, resulting in an effective overall volumetric rate;
- B. In the alternative, the Commission recalculate the Tennessee jurisdictional revenue requirement based upon the results of the FERC *Order*, compared with the Commission's overall revenue requirement adopted in TPUC Docket No. 15-00042;
- C. As a means of matching the FERC *Order* obtained by B&W and as required by the public interest, the Commission make TPUC's *Order* in this Docket applicable as of July 17, 2017, the effective date utilized by FERC in paragraph 2(b) of its May 17, 2019 *Order*;

- D. The Commission set a Hearing on the merits for this Docket either on or before the next scheduled TPUC Conference;
- E. The Commission consider whether B&W's actions in surreptitiously seeking a new FERC Order in direct contravention of the standing TPUC Order in TPUC Docket No. 15-00042 was willful and deliberate and thus worthy of sanctions against B&W; and
 - F. The Commission grant such further relief that is deemed appropriate.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

DANIEL P. WHITAKER III (BPR No. 035410)

Assistant Attorney General

VANCE L. BROEMEL (BPR No. 011421)

Senior Assistant Attorney General

Office of the Tennessee Attorney General

Financial Division, Consumer Advocate Unit

P.O. Box 20207

Nashville, Tennessee 37202-0207

Phone: (615) 532-9299

Fax: (615) 741-1026

Email: <u>Daniel.Whitaker@ag.tn.gov</u> Email: <u>Vance.Broemel@ag.tn.gov</u>

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served via U.S. Mail or electronic mail upon:

Henry Walker, Esq. Bradley Arant Boult Cummings LLP 1600 Division Street, Suite 700 Nashville, Tennessee 37203

Howard La Don Baltimore, Esq. Farris Bobango, PLC 414 Union Street, Suite 1105 Nashville, Tennessee 37219

Email: dbaltimore@farris-law.com

Phone: 615-726-1200

Vanessa Novak, Esq. Navitas Utility Corporation 3186 Airway Avenue, Suite D Costa Mesa, California 92626

Email: vnovak@navitasutility.com

Phone: 714-242-4064

This the 13th day of Jeptember, 2019.

DANIEL P. WHITAKER III Assistant Attorney General

SEP 2 3 2019

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE: Investigation into Navitas Utility Corporation's Notice of Probable Shut)	DOCKET NO. 19-00084
Down and Discontinuance of Tennessee	í	
Service)	

RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF CONSUMER ADVOCATE'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO FIX REVISED MONTHLY CHARGE IN TARIFF

Navitas TN NG, LLC ("Navitas"), files this response in support of the Emergency Motion to Revise Fixed Monthly Charge in Tariff of the Consumer Advocate Unit in the Financial Division of the Office of the Attorney General ("Consumer Advocate"). Navitas respectfully requests the Tennessee Public Utility Commission ("TPUC" or "Commission") approve the Motion of the Consumer Advocate ("Motion").

As set forth in the Motion, if the current TPUC tariffed rates as modified by B&W's FERC Order are not suspended or modified, consumers will be paying an excessive amount more than the rates set forth in TPUC's Final Order Setting Rates (Docket No. 15-00042). The additional charges threatened by B&W Pipeline, LLC ("B&W") pursuant to a Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") would lead to such a scenario.

WHEREFORE, Navitas respectfully requests the Commission grant the Motion of Consumer Advocate, including, but not limited to:

Set a hearing on the merits of this Docket either on or before the next scheduled
 TPUC Commissioners Conference;

- 2. Adopt one of the tariff rate modifications set forth by the Consumer Advocate;
- 3. Consider if B&W's actions with respect to seeking a new FERC Order contravening TPUC Order in Docket 15-00042 was sanctionable; and
 - 4. Grant such further relief as deemed appropriate.

This 17th day of September, 2019.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

H. LaDon Baltimore (BPR #003836) Charles B. Welch, Jr. (BPR #005593)

FARRIS BOBANGO, PLC

Philips Plaza

414 Union Street, Suite 1105 Nashville, Tennessee 37219 Telephone: 615.726.1200

Facsimile: 615.726.1776

Emails: <u>dbaltimore@farris-law.com</u> <u>cwelch@farris-law.com</u>

Vanessa Novak, General Counsel Navitas Utility Corporation 3186 Airway Ave. Ste. D Costa-Mesa, CA 92626

Telephone: 714-242-4064

Email: vnovak@navitasutility.com

Counsel for Navitas TN NG, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned, H. LaDon Baltimore, does hereby certify on this 17th day of September, 2019, a copy of the foregoing Order was transmitted via electronic mail or United States Mail, first class, postage prepaid to the following:

Henry Walker, Esq. Bradley Arant Boult Cummings, LLP 1600 Division Street, Suite 700 Nashville, Tennessee 37203

Daniel Whitaker, III, Esq.
Office of the Tennessee Attorney General
Financial Division, Consumer Advocate Unit
P.O. Box 20207
Nashville, TN 37202-0207

H. LaDon Baltimore