

Mark David Goss mdgoss@gosssamfordlaw.com (859) 368-7740

May 10, 2018

RECEIVED

MAY 1 0 2018

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Gwen R. Pinson, Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, KY 40602

Re: IN THE MATTER OF: AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE FEASIBILITY OF PROPOSED MERGER OF CAWOOD WATER DISTRICT AND BLACK MOUNTAIN UTILITY DISTRICT PURSUANT TO KRS 74.361 - Case No. 2018-00068

Executive Director Pinson:

Please find enclosed and accept for filing in the above-styled matter an original and six (6) copies of Cawood Water District's Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information propounded April 26, 2018. Please return a file-stamped copy of this submission to me.

I appreciate your assistance with this matter, and please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions or concerns.

Respectfully submitted,

line Ood A

Mark David Goss

Enclosures

Executive Director Pinson Case No. 2018-00068 May 10, 2018 Page 2 of 2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 6, the undersigned certifies that, consistent with 807 KAR 5:001 Section 4(8), true and accurate copies of this letter and its enclosure have been placed into the custody and care of the United States Postal Service on May 10, 2018, for service upon the following:

Black Mountain Utility District 609 Four Mile Road Baxter, KY 40806-8437

Mark David Goss

Counsel for Cawood Water District

Item 1
Page 1 of 1
Witness: Lana Pace

Cawood Water District Case No. 2018-00068 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information

- 1. Refer to Cawood District's response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information ("Staff's First Request"), Item 1.
 - a. Provide the appointment date for each Cawood District Commissioner listed.
 - b. Identify whether each Commissioner listed had New Commissioner Training as required by KRS 74.020.
 - (1) Provide the name of the organization that provided the New Commissioner Training, how many hours of training were received, as well as the date and location of the training.

Response:

a & b. Please see below.

Commissioner	Appointment Date	New Commission Training Information
Harold Sellers	Late 1990's ¹	Not Applicable ²
Tim Engle	February 17, 2011	Kentucky Public Service Commission; 12 hours; Aug. 24-25, 2011; Dale Hollow Lake, Burkesville, KY
Howard Farmer, Jr.	October 10,2015	Kentucky Public Service Commission; 12 hours; Sept. 13-14, 2016; Prestonsburg, KY
Mike Thomas	August 15, 2017	Not yet completed (but will be in accordance with KRS 74.020(8)(b))
Tim Rice	January 16, 2018	Not yet completed (but will be in accordance with KRS 74.020(8)(b))

¹ Cawood Water District has been unable to determine the precise date on which Mr. Sellers was appointed a Commissioner, although it continues to review its records for this information. This Response will be updated if more detailed information is obtained.

² Upon information and belief, Mr. Sellers was appointed a Commissioner prior to the institution of the New Commissioner Training requirement, which appears to have been added to the referenced statute effective July 15, 2010.

Cawood Water District Case No. 2018-00068 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information

- 2. Refer to Cawood District's response to Staff's First Request, Item 2.
 - a. For each employee listed, provide a chart that also contains the job title, the average hours worked per week, and a brief description of the job duties.
 - b. Cawood District asserts that in addition to wages and salaries, the full-time employees are also given a \$105.00 monthly stipend.
 - (1) Explain in full detail why Cawood District provides a monthly stipend.
 - (2) Explain in full detail why the stipend is only given to full-time employees and not part-time employees.
 - (3) Provide support for the monetary stipend amount of \$105.00.
 - (4) Provide the date Cawood District began providing the monthly stipend to the full-time employees.
 - c. Cawood District states that the clerk is paid \$10.00 per day to take deposits to the bank.
 - (1) Explain whether the clerk is a full-time or part-time employee.
 - (2) For the last twelve calendar months, provide the monthly amounts paid to the clerk for making deposits.
 - (3) Explain why the clerk is paid \$10.00 per day to take deposits to the bank.
 - (4) Provide support for the monetary amount of \$10.00 per day.
 - (5) Explain whether the clerk receives the \$105.00 per month stipend.

Response:

a. Please see below. Please also note that Derek Middleton and Jeffrey Dunn, who were listed as employees in Cawood District's response to Staff's First Request, Item 2, are no longer employed by the District.

Employee	Avg. hours weekly	Job title
Alvin D. Brock	45-50	Plant Operator
Brandon Baker	45-50	Distribution Staff
Douglas R. Adkins	45-50	Dist. Staff/Plant Operator
Charles Tomlin Jr.	50-55	Certified Plant Operator/
		Operations Manager
Lana Pace	40-45	Finance Officer
Roger W. Stewart	40-45	Plant Operator
Ronald Williams Jr.	50-55	General Manager
Ryan D. White	50-55	Distribution Manager
Tammy Goodin	35	Office Clerk
Willard Green	20-25	Distribution Staff

Plant Operators — employees in this job category have duties which include, but are not limited to, the following: treatment and processing of raw water, pumping of water after treatment process into holding tank (clear well), testing daily for proper usage of chemicals to ensure water quality, measuring daily rain fall totals, preparing daily reports for monthly operational summaries to the Division of Water, monitoring tank levels to maintain proper intake and discharge pressure, and upkeep, cleaning and daily maintenance of plant. The Operations Manager has similar duties but in a supervisory capacity.

Distribution Staff – employees in this job category have duties which include, but are not limited to, the following: pumping of water to each community tank, reading of each customer meter once per month for billing purposes, upkeep and maintenance of all water lines, inspection of all storage tanks and pump stations, scheduling yearly calibration of all master meters, changing out of all malfunctioning and out-of-date customer meters, replacement of pressure reducing valves when old or non-working, and responsible for weed, grass and brush clearing of all tanks and pump stations. The Distribution Manager has similar duties but in a supervisory capacity.

Finance Officer – this employee has duties which include, but are not limited to, the following: oversight of general business and financial operations, coordination of expense tracking and payment, working with auditor and regulatory agencies to ensure compliance, customer relations and customer accounts, and vendor relations.

General Manager – this employee has duties which include, but are not limited to, the following: operational oversight and responsibility for all facets of business, including reporting to the Board and regulatory agencies, purchasing, work orders, invoicing, scheduling, repair and maintenance, employee and customer relations, and compliance.

b(1)-(4).

Upon information and belief, Cawood District began paying a monthly stipend to its full-time employees approximately ten (10) years ago. The stipend began as \$30.00 per month per full-time employee as a form of extra compensation to assist employees in defraying general personal expenses. Later, as Cawood District began seeing a substantial decrease in its medical insurance costs due to a very positive loss experience, Cawood District's Board decided to increase the stipend by an additional \$75.00 per month per full-time employee as a way to "share" these savings. This is how the stipend reached the current gross amount of \$105.00 per month (taxes are deducted from this amount). Because part-time employees do not receive health insurance coverage through the District, and thus played no part in the health insurance expense savings achieved, only full-time employees are eligible for the stipend.

c.

- (1) The office clerk is a part-time employee.
- (2) Cawood District began paying the office clerk \$10/bank trip in August of 2017. Since that time, the office clerk has been paid a total of \$1,730.00 (gross), broken down monthly as follows:
 - August \$110.00
 - September \$200.00
 - October \$200.00
 - November \$250.00
 - December \$200.00
 - January \$180.00
 - February \$200.00
 - March \$230.00
 - April \$160.00

- (3) The water plant office is located approximately seven (7) miles from the city of Harlan which has the nearest banking facility. The office clerk takes the deposit to the bank after the office closes because she is the bonded personnel authorized to handle the money. The \$10 payment per trip is intended to fairly compensate the office clerk for the necessary travel and her acceptance of responsibility for the funds.
- (4) Please see previous response.
- (5) The clerk does not receive the monthly stipend.

Cawood Water District Case No. 2018-00068 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information

3. Provide copies of all meeting minutes where Cawood District discussed Harlan County Fiscal Court's vote to merge Cawood District with Black Mountain Utility District, including but not limited to the November 2017 board meeting.

Response:

Please see Page 2 through Page 5 of this Response. Notably, following discussions that occurred in and around November of 2017 between Cawood District's leadership and Harlan County Judge/Executive, Dan Mosley, a merger between Cawood District and Black Mountain Utility District appeared unlikely and the District's attention has primarily focused on improving its operations to best provide service to its customers.

CAWOOD WATER DISTRICT

MINUTES REGULAR MEETING

NOVEMBER 21, 2017

PRESENT: TIM ENGLE, HOWARD FARMER, MIKE THOMAS, HAROLD SELLERS, LANA PACE, CHRIS MCCLAIN, KEN TAYLOR, JUDGE DAN MOSLEY, DR. DAMAS, TIM RICE, RICK BROCK, JUSTIN SMITH (TWAY AND FARMER'S MILL REPRESENTATIVES)

OPENING: MEETING CALLED TO ORDER BY HOWARD FARMER, QUORUM PRESENT

CITIZENS COMMENTS: LENGTHY DISCUSSION HELD OVER THE PEOPLE'S DISSATISFACTION WITH THE DISTRICT'S PERFORMANCE. SEVERAL COMPLAINTS DIRECTED STRAIGHT TO CHRIS MCCLAIN REGARDING PROMISES HE HAD MADE TO DO SPECIFIC THINGS BUT NEVER FOLLOWED THROUGH ON: HIS FAILURE TO KEEP THINGS ON HAND, ITEMS NEEDED DURING LEAKS EX. FLOW METER, ON FAILING TO SEND OUT REPAIRMEN TO SITES AFTER TELLING SOMEONE HE WILL. FAILURE TO ORDER PARTS TO FIX A LEAK EVEN THOUGH THEY HAD BEEN OUT OF WATER FOR OVER A WEEK, FIXING A LEAK THEN HAVNG TO COME RIGHT BACK AND REPAIR THE SAME PLACE. JUDGE QUESTIONED IF MR. MCCLAIN HAD TOLD MS. PACE THE JUDGE HAD ASKED HIM TO PASS OUT THE BOTTLED WATER RATHER THAN HELP WORK ON LEAK, MR. MCCLAIN ADMITTED HE HAD BUT HAD MEANT TO SAY DAVID MCGILL, JUDGE ALSO QUESTIONED MR MCCLAIN ON HIS FAILURE TO NOTIFY EVERYONE HE'S SUPPOSED TO WHEN HAVING LEAKS. MS PACE QUESTIONED MR. MCCLAIN IN FRONT OF EVERYONE AS WITNESS TO NAME ONE TIME HE HAD REQUESTED AN ITEM THAT WAS NEEDED AND IT WAS REFUSED. MR MCCLAIN STATED THAT NO SHE HAD ALWAYS AUTHORIZED HIM TO PURCHASE WHAT THEY NEEDED.THE PUBLIC STATED THAT WAS WHAT HAD ALWAYS BEEN TOLD TO THEM AND THE JUDGE TOO.

MINUTES: MOTION MADE BY TIM ENGLE, SECONDED BY MIKE THOMAS, TO APPROVE THE MINUTES FOR OCTOBER 2017. VOTE: HAROLD SELLERS —YEA; HOWARD FARMER- YEA.

INVOICES: MOTION MADE BY MIKE THOMAS, SECONDED BY TIM ENGLE, TO APPROVE PAYMENT OF ALL INVOICES SUBMITTED. VOTE: HAROLD SELLERS —YEA; HOWARD FARMER — YEA.

PROJECT UPDATES: KEN

- NALLY AND HAMLITON HASN'T BEEN BACK TO TALK WITH THEM YET
- SPOKE TO JUDGE ABOUT TWAY. HE SAID COUNTY ENGINEER WILL HELP WITH LAND INFORMATION

REPORTABLE PURCHASES:

CHRIS MCCLAIN: SUBMITTED THE WATER LOSS & REPORTS

BOARD DISCUSSED:

- NEED FOR TOOLS TO WORK WITH DURING LEAKS:
 - o CONCRETE SAW
 - O OUR JACK HAMMER NOT WORKING IT'S SOAKING IN OIL NOW
 - FLOW METER
 - HEARING DEVICE
 - GENERATOR FIXED
- TRUCK MAYBE ON SURPLUS, JUDGE GOING THURSDAY WILL LOOK SEE WHAT MIGHT BE AVAILABLE
- MS PACE AND KEN TAYLOR EXPLAINED THEY HAD RECEIVED THE PSC FINDINGS ON THE PSC RATE STUDY. DISCUSSED THE FINDINGS AND HOW MUCH IT MEANT ON REDUCING THE PROJECTED INCOME. MOTION MADE BY TIM ENGLE, SECONDED BY HAROLD SELLERS, TO ACCEPT THEIR FINDINGS AND GO WITH THEIR RATES. VOTE: MIKE THOMAS —YEA; HOWARD FARMER —YEA.

MOTION MADE BY MIKE THOMAS, SECONDED BY TIM ENGLE, FOR THE BOARD TO GO INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION. VOTE: HAROLD SELLERS- YEA; HOWARD FARMER - YEA.

BOARD RETURNED TO REGULAR SESSION REPORTING NO ACTION HAD BEEN TAKEN.

MOTION MADE BY HOWARD FARMER, SECONDED BY TIM ENGLE, TO REOPEN REGULAR

MEETING.

MR. FARMER NOTIFIED CHRIS MCCLAIN THAT THE BOARD WAS VERY DISSATISIFIED OVER HOW HE HAD BEEN HANDLING HIS JOB DUTIES AND VERY UPSET OVER ALL THE COMPLAINTS AND ALLEGATIONS LODGED TONIGHT, IT LEFT THEM WITH NO OPTION BUT TO SUSPEND HIM WITH PAY IMMEDIATELY UNTIL FURTHER INVESTIGATION COULD BE MADE. HE WAS THEN PRESENTED WITH A LETTER OF SUSPENSION.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, MOTION MADE BY HOWARD FARMER, SECONDED BY

TIM ENGLE TO ADJOURN VOTE: MIKE THOMAS - YEA: HAROLD SELLERS

CAWOOD WATER DISTRICT

MINUTES REGULAR MEETING

FEB 28, 2018

PRESENT: TIM ENGLE, TIM RICE, HOWARD FARMER, MIKE THOMAS, HAROLD SELLERS, LANA PACE, RONNIE WILLIAMS

OPENING: MEETING CALLED TO ORDER BY HOWARD FARMER. QUORUM PRESENT

MEETING CALLED TO DISCUSS RESPOND TO PSC INVESTIGATION OF FEASIBILITY OF MERGER OF CAWOOD WATER DISTRICT WITH BLACK MT. UTILITY DISTRICT, CASE# 2018-00068

IDEAS DISCUSSED ON WAYS TO ANSWER THE PSC QUESTIONS. ESPECIALLY THE QUESTION CONCERNING WHY WE DID NOT MERGE AT THE TIME THE HARLAN COUNTY FISCAL COURT VOTED. AGREED THAT WAS THE PLACE WHERE THE DISTRICT HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO EXPRESS IT'S CONCERN'S AND OBJECTIONS AND STATE REASONS WHY. SUGGESTED EACH BOARD MEMBER WRITE OUT SOME IDEAS AND OPINIONS AND THEY WOULD MEET AGAIN FRIDAY AT THE COUNTY JUDGES'S OFFICE TO DRAW UP THE RESPONSE TO FILE. MS. PACE PROVIDED INFORMATION REGARDING BMUD'S LAST FINANCIAL FILINGS AND ITS STANDING IF NEEDED DURING THESE PROCEEDINGS SHE HAD AVAILABLE.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, MOTION MADE BY HOWARD FARMER, SECONDED BY TIM ENGLE. TO ADJOURN. VOTE: TIM RICO-YEA. MIKE THOMAS - YEA: HAROLD SELLERS-YEA.

Im fre

CAWOOD WATER DISTRICT

MINUTES REGULAR MEETING

MARCH 7, 2018

PRESENT: TIM ENGLE, TIM RICE, HOWARD FARMER, MIKE THOMAS, HAROLD SELLERS

OPENING: MEETING CALLED TO ORDER BY HOWARD FARMER. QUORUM PRESENT

MEETING CALLED TO DISCUSS RESPOND TO PSC INVESTIGATION OF FEASIBILITY OF MERGER OF CAWOOD WATER DISTRICT WITH BLACK MT. UTILITY DISTRICT. CASE# 2018-00068 AND THE DISTRICT'S RECENT REQUEST TO PSC FOR AN EXTENSION.

THE DISTRICT HAS BEEN NOTIFIED BY PHONE CALL THAT THE EXTENSION REQUEST MUST BE FILED BY AN ATTORNEY INTO THEIR RECORDS. TIM RICE HAS SPOKEN WITH MARK DAVID GOSS ABOUT THE SITUATION AND MR. GOSS HAS AGREED TO TAKE THE CASE IF THE DISTRICT SO DESIRES.

MOTION MADE BY MIKE THOMAS, SECONDED BY TIM ENGLE, TO HIRE MARK DAVID GOSS AS ATTORNEY TO REPRESENT CAWOOD WATER DISTRICT IN THIS CASE. VOTE: HOWARD – YEA; TIM RICE – YEA: HAROLD SELLERS – YEA.

MR. RICE WILL CONTACT MR. GOSS AND SEE WHAT IS NEEDED TO GET STARTED.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, MOTION MADE BY HOWARD FARMER, SECONDED BY TIM ENGLE, TO ADJOURN. VOTE: JIM RICE: YEA, MIKE THOMAS – YEA.

Mayor Farmed . -

Huslil Sellen

Cawood Water District Case No. 2018-00068 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information

- 4. Refer to Cawood District's response to Staff's First Request, Item 6.
 - a. Provide a detailed explanation of the extensive relevant experience that Mr. Williams has as Cawood District's new plant manager which includes, but is not limited to, any prior employment relevant to his new role.
 - b. Cawood District states that for several years its billing has been performed offsite by a respected local accountant, David Burkhart, but starting April 1, 2018, the billing will be brought in-house and performed by Mr. Burkhart at Cawood District's business office.
 - (1) Explain whether Mr. Burkhart will be an employee of Cawood District, or if the only difference will be the location that Mr. Burkhart performs the accounting services.
 - (2) Explain how bringing billing in-house will affect the cost of billing. For example, will billing costs be reduced, increased, or stay the same?
 - (3) Provide any benefits this change will have upon Cawood District.
 - c. Cawood District asserts that it has reduced its monthly water loss for February 2018 to 15 percent, which is a substantial reduction from the 40-50 percent water loss that Cawood District has experienced for the past several years.
 - (1) Provide Cawood District's monthly water loss percentage from January 2017- April 2018.
 - (2) Explain in full detail what efforts Cawood District used to substantially reduce its water loss to 15 percent.
 - (3) Explain why Cawood District did not previously make these efforts.

Witness: Ronald Williams, Jr.

Response:

a. A resumé detailing Mr. Williams' education and experience is provided at Page 4 through Page 6 of this Response. Mr. Williams' relevant training and expertise, though not directly in water district management, is evidenced from his extensive background in employee and project management, especially in the areas of maintenance and repairs. Since accepting the position, the Cawood District Board has been exceedingly pleased with Mr. Williams' work ethic and ability to create and cultivate a positive and effective work environment. Mr. Williams has also worked side-by-side with employees on nearly every job, as well as encouraged better communication between employees and District leadership. There seems to have been an immediate positive response.

b.

- (1) Mr. Burkhart will remain an independent contractor but he will perform services at Cawood District's offices.
- (2) Billing costs are not expected to be directly impacted by Mr. Burkhart's relocation to Cawood District's business office. However, Mr. Burkhart's presence in the office and proximity to the operations of the District are expected to assist in streamlining processes and ensuring the most accurate and timely billing practices. To be clear, Mr. Burkhart accomplishes all billing activity for the District: he records all customer cash receipts; he makes any necessary adjustments to the customers' accounts for leaks, incorrect readings, etc.; he reviews readings and flags any possible errors or discrepancies; he provides all customer history information as needed; and he calculates and applies any interest that may be due on security deposits and any refunds due at time of account closing. Basically, Mr. Burkhart serves a pivotal role in any activity involving customer accounts. Mr. Burkhart does all this for an average of under \$1,000.00 per month, which is an amount Cawood District believes is entirely reasonable for the work performed.
- (3) Please see Response to Item 4(b)(2), above. Mr. Burkhart will have improved access to all relevant original District records; additionally, by being on-site, Mr. Burkhart will be better available to address billing or account questions that may arise both internally and from the public.

Witness: Ronald Williams, Jr.

c.

- (1) Please see Page 7 through Page 22 of this response.
- (2) Please see Cawood District's Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information, Item No. 6. Cawood District has taken numerous efforts to reduce it water loss, including the acquisition of new equipment (listening device, flow meter, metal detector, hand tools, etc.), an increased emphasis on responsiveness and being proactive, and requiring accountability of all employees. Additionally, Cawood District has adjusted its employee scheduling, better delineated employee responsibilities, and vastly improved the work environment through implementing new leadership. Finally, Cawood District has recently worked with the Kentucky Rural Water Association (KRWA) to improve the District's operations. The KRWA has visited Cawood District several times and stayed several days each time, working and training District employees in different areas, including:
 - determining line leaks;
 - examining, troubleshooting, and replacing meters;
 - utilization of line valves, which can be used during a leak investigation and repair to reduce losses;
 - methodology and best practices on repairs, installation, and upkeep of various the parts of the distribution system;
 - methodology and best practices in the laboratory and plant upkeep.
- (3) Cawood District's Board has continually attempted to address issues with water loss, and the topic has been a regular point of discussion for an extended period of time. Cawood District's previous manager was regularly questioned regarding these issues, and the Board was informed that all appropriate efforts were being taken (including walking of lines, repairing leaks, replacement of faulty meters, etc.) to reduce the losses. However, the Board came to learn in or around November of 2017 that not all information reported to it was accurate, and it began questioning why more significant improvement was not achieved following the repair of leaks. The Board has since made significant changes to the leadership and operations of the District and intends to continue efforts to achieve a water loss rate as close to zero as possible.

9/1/2017

Ronald Z. Williams Jr.

PO Box 72 Dayhoit, KY 40824

Ph:

Education

James A. Cawood High School

Graduated 1986

Southeast Community College

1 1/2 Years

Mine Foreman Training

1992

Mine Electrician Training

1997

Troxler Training (nuclear compaction machine)

1996

Impoundment Inspector and Instructor

1996

State Hoist Operations Training

1994

MIP (Ministerial Internship Program)

1994

Experience

Shamrock Fuels

Dayhoit, Ky. General Labor, Repairman helper, Front end loader operator. John Deere 644, John Deere 844 1986-1987

R. Felosi Trucking

Gulston, Ky. Water truck driver, Mechanic helper, Coal haul Mack 600, Mack 800 1987-1988

Tom Coal Co.

Evarts, Ky.

Heavy Equipment Operator
John Deere Front End Loader – 644, 744, 844
John Deere Dozer – 450, 750

John Deere Excavator – 790
Pushed coal to feed prep plant, pushed slate on impoundment, pushed coal for unit trains
1988-1989

Great Western Coal Co.

Coalgood, Ky.

Prep plant operator, repairman helper, welder, heavy equipment operator Mig and stick welders
Cat 966, 988, 992 Front end loader
Cat D-7, D-8, D-9 Dozer
John Deere 310, 410 Backhoe
Shift Foreman
1989-1992

Left Fork Mining

Arjay, Ky.

Prep Plant and Impoundment Superintendent (12 man crew)

Responsible for coal run of 800 tons per hour prep plant

Impoundment (water quality of settling ponds, compaction specs that met approved state plans)

Unit train loadout (trains to be loaded at any short notice, evenings, weekends, etc.)

Daily pre-shift inspections

Daily coal production reports

Witness: Ronald Williams, Jr.

Daily repair reports

Coal production and repair scheduling for men

Budget reports each month

1992-1997

RB Coal Co.

Pathfork, KY

3rd Shift repair foreman for 1000 tons per hour prep plant (4 man crew)
Completion of a list of repairs each night to be completed left by other foremen
Rebuilding Warman, Gould, Able and other style pumps
Daily repair reports (how many hours per job with job code)
Time sheet and scheduling hours for men
1997-1999

Altech Mining

Delphia, KY
Hoist wheel operator
Scoop operator
Repairman (electrical and welding)
Car operator
1999-2000

Discount Carpet

Tremont, KY Crew leader Collections 2000-2007

Surplus Building Supply

Baxter, KY Manager

Employed (4) with annual sales in excess of \$250,000

Store closed due to economic downturn related to coal market conditions.

2009 - 2011

Partin Rental Company

Maintenance Supervisor

Responsible for: 100+ properties, communications with tenants, supervision of maintenance employees, purchasing material, and ensuring all repairs were completed in a timely fashion.

2011 - Present

Water Utility:		cawood water			PWSID	: 480565		Page 7 of 22 Vitness: Ronald Williams, Jr.			
For the M	onth of:	jan			Year:	2017		vitiloss. Itoriaid	vviiiarris, or.		
1	PRODUC	CTION COST PE	R THOUSAN	D	(insert cost	t)					
2		ASE COST PER					\$2.75				
							GALLONS				
	WATER	PRODUCED or	PURCHASED		ورناكا ويوناك الواناكا			وميدي المهار أوا ومواكر			
3	Water P						12,144,389	100%			
4	Water P	urchased		\$0.00			0	0%			
5				DUCED AND P		0	12,144,389				
6			TOTAL COS	T #VALUE!							
_	WATER						5 700 007				
7	Residen						5,700,867				
8	Comme					-	0				
9	Industria					-	0				
10		ding Stations					0				
11 12	Wholesa					-	0				
12	Other Sa	ales (explain) _									
13				TOTAL W	ATER SOLI	D	5,700,867	46.94%			
14				TOTAL WATER			6,443,522	53.06%			
15 16 17 18 19	Water T Wastew System Fire Dep	pown of wat reatment Plant ater Treatment F Flushing partment Usage sage (explain)			,		245,000 325,000 350				
20				TOT	TAL USAG	_	570,350				
21			WATER	LOSS PERCEN				A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH			
	BREAK	DOWN OF WAT		LUGO! ENOLN	INOL I OIL	CIOCIE	0141 0020	40.0076			
22	Tank Ov						0	1			
23		ion Breaks					0				
24	Repaire	d Line Breaks					1,206,113	-	-1		
25	Unknow	n Loss					4,667,059		38.43%		
						-					
26				ATER NOT SOL			5,873,172				
. 27			COST OF W	ATER NOT SOL	D OR USE	<u> </u>	#VALUE!				
	THE PARTY AND	OWN LOSS" FL	OW BATE AN	D COCT:		استنائبها الع					
28	ONNA	DIMIN LUSS FL	OAL LWIE NU		known Loss	e st	4,667,059				
29	1				known Loss	7	38.43%				
30			*	Number of D		component deliberation of	31)			
31			"Unknown I os	ss" per Day (Gall			150,550				
32	1			nown Loss" per N			104.55				
33				nknown Loss" C			VALUE!				
			•			"					

Water Utility	ter Utility: cawood water		PWSID	ky0480565	Witness: Ronald	Item 4 Page 8 of 22	
For the Mor	nth of:	Feb		Year:	2017	Withess. Ronald	i vvilliants, Jr.
1 F	PRODUCT	TION COST PER THOU	ISAND	(insert cost))	ļ	
		E COST PER THOUS		•	\$2.75		
					GALLONS		
	WATER P	RODUCED or PURCH	ASED				
-	Water Pro				11,675,333	100%	
4 \	Water Pur		\$0.00			0%	
5			PRODUCED AND PU	RCHASE	11,575,333		
- 6	W. #20.0		COST #VALUE!				
	WATER S Residentia				4,788,387	1	
	Commerc				1,7 00,007	1	
	Industrial		-				
_		ing Stations			 	1	
	Wholesale					1	
-		es (explain)	·)	
13			TOTAL WA				
14		خ	TOTAL WATER I	NOT SOL	D 6,786,946	58.63%	
	DDEAKD	OWN OF WATER USA	CE				
		etment Plant	GE		150,000	ı	
-		er Treatment Plant			100,000	ł	
	System Fl				420,985	i	
	•	rtment Usage			150	-	
	•	ige (explain)			100	1	
,,,	J 1.5. U 0	9 - ()				j	
20			TOTA	AL USAG	E 571,135		
21			TER LOSS PERCENT	AGE FOR	RATE PURPOSES	53.70%	
		OWN OF WATER LOS	iT .				
	Tank Ove						
	Excavatio						
		Line Breaks			580,355		48.690/0
25	Unknown	LOSS			5,635,456		48,64,10
26		TOT	AL WATER NOT SOLE	OR USE	D 6,215,811		
27			OF WATER NOT SOLD		,,		
	البيد فالتيبيسان		 				
["UNKNO	WN LOSS" FLOW RAT]	
28				nown Loss			
29				nown Loss			
30			Number of Day				
31			m Loss" per Day (Gallo				
32		•	'Unknown Loss" per Mi				
33			"Unknown Loss" Cos	st for Mon	th #VALUE	J	
1	l					Ī	

Item 4 Page 9 of 22

Water Utility:		Cawood Water District] PWSID:	Ky0480565 W	ness: Ronald Williams,	Jr.
For the Mo	onth of:	march		Year:	2017		
4	00001107	SON COST RED THOS	IGAND				
		TION COST PER THOUSE SE COST PER THOUSE		(insert cost)			
-				\			
- المنابعة				·	GALLONS	مرجوب والمراجع المراجع	
_		RODUCED or PURCH	ASED	1-	40.000.000	400.004	
3	Water Pro			<u> </u>	12,253,852	100.0%	
4	Water Pur		PRODUCED AND P	IIBCHVEED	12,253,852	0.0%	
5 6			COST #VALUE!		12,205,052		
	WATER 8						
7	Residentia	i			6,064,460		
8	Commerci	al					
9	Industrial			L			
10		ng Stations		<u> </u>			
11	Wholesale			<u> </u>			
12	Other Sale	s (explain)					
13			TOTAL W	ATER SOLD	6,064,460	49.5%	
14			TOTAL WATER		6,189,392	50.5%	
	BREAKD	OWN OF WATER USA	GE	_			
15		atment Plant			455,000		
16		er Treatment Plant		Ĺ			
17	System Fl			_	32,974	#VALUE!	
18		rtment Usage	DDD Maintenance	- ا	0		
19	DBP Flusi	aing	DBP Maintenance		0	•	
20			TO	TAL USAGE	487,974		
21		WA	TER LOSS PERCEN			46.5%	
	BREAKD	OWN OF WATER LOS	T				
22		rflows (other than for Di	BP maintenance)				
23	Excavation				0		
24		∐ne Breaks		L	1,287,675	#VALUE!	,
25	Unknown	Loss			4,413,743		360
26		TOT	AL WATER NOT SOL	D OR USED	5,701,418		
27			OF WATER NOT SOL		#VALUE!		
	<u> المنبطق اليب ال</u>						
	"UNKNO	NN LOSS" FLOW RAT	E AND COST:				
28	j			known Loss"	4,413,743		
29	1			known Loss"	36.0%		
30	1	81 l. i ·		ays in Period	30		
31 32	}		m Loss" per Day (Gali 'Unknown Loss" per N		147,125 102.17		
32 33	1		"Unknown Loss" C	• •	#VALUE!		
~ ~	1		-maiomi 2000 Q	IOI (NO)IU)	#VITEUL:		

Page 10 of 22 Ky0480565 Witness: Ronald Williams, Jr. PWSID: **Cawood Water District** Water Utility: April Year: 2017 For the Month of: PRODUCTION COST PER THOUSAND (insert cost) 1 PURCHASE COST PER THOUSAND (insert cost) **GALLONS** WATER PRODUCED or PURCHASED 12,253,852 100.0% Water Produced 3 0.0% 4 Water Purchased TOTAL PRODUCED AND PURCHASED 12,253,852 5 TOTAL COST #VALUE! 6 WATER SOLD 6.064,460 7 Residential Commercial 8 9 Industrial **Bulk Loading Stations** 10 11 Wholesale Other Sales (explain) 12 **TOTAL WATER SOLD** 6,064,460 49.5% 13 TOTAL WATER NOT SOLD 6,189,392 14 50.5% BREAKDOWN OF WATER USAGE 15 Water Treatment Plant 455,000 Wastewater Treatment Plant System Flushing 32,974 #VALUE! 17 Fire Department Usage 18 **DBP** Maintenance 19 DBP Flushing TOTAL USAGE 20 487,974 WATER LOSS PERCENTAGE FOR RATE PURPOSES 21 46.5% BREAKDOWN OF WATER LOST Tank Overflows (other than for DBP maintenance) 22 23 **Excavation Breaks** 24 Repaired Line Breaks 1,287,675 #VAI 25 Unknown Loss 4,413,743 26 TOTAL WATER NOT SOLD OR USED 5,701,418 COST OF WATER NOT SOLD OR USED 27 #VALUE!

36.0%

	"UNKNOWN LOSS" FLOW RATE AND COST:	
28	"Unknown Loss"	4,413,743
29	% "Unknown Loss"	36.0%
30	Number of Days in Period	30
31	"Unknown Loss" per Day (Gallons per Day)	147,125
32	"Unknown Loss" per Minute (GPM)	102.17
33	"Unknown Loss" Cost for Month	#VALUE!

Item 4

Page 11 of 22 PWSID: kv0480565Witness: Ronald Williams, Jr. **Cawood Water District** Water Utility: Year: 2017 For the Month of: 1 PRODUCTION COST PER THOUSAND (insert cost) PURCHASE COST PER THOUSAND (insert cost) 2 **GALLONS** WATER PRODUCED or PURCHASED Water Produced 12,787,433 100.0% 3 Water Purchased 0.0% 4 TOTAL PRODUCED AND PURCHASED 12,787,433 TOTAL COST #VALUE! WATER SOLD 7 7,029,301 Residential Commercial 8 Industrial 9 **Bulk Loading Stations** 10 11 Wholesale Other Sales (explain) 12 **TOTAL WATER SOLD** 7.029,301 55.0% 13 **TOTAL WATER NOT SOLD** 5,758,132 14 45.0% **BREAKDOWN OF WATER USAGE** 15 Water Treatment Plant 175,000 Wastewater Treatment Plant 16 17 System Flushing 133,107 #VALUE! 18 Fire Department Usage **DBP Flushing** 19 **DBP Maintenance** 8,157 #VALUE! TOTAL USAGE 20 21 WATER LOSS PERCENTAGE FOR RATE PURPOSES 42.6% BREAKDOWN OF WATER LOST 22 Tank Overflows (other than for DBP maintenance) 100,000 #VALUE! 23 **Excavation Breaks** 40,459 #VALUE 24 Repaired Line Breaks 37,369 #VALUE 412% 25 Unknown Loss 5,264,040 TOTAL WATER NOT SOLD OR USED 26 5,441,868 27 COST OF WATER NOT SOLD OR USED #VALUE! "UNKNOWN LOSS" FLOW RATE AND COST: 28 "Unknown Loss" 5,264,040 29 % "Unknown Loss" 41.2% 30 Number of Days in Period 31 "Unknown Loss" per Day (Gallons per Day) 31 169,808 "Unknown Loss" per Minute (GPM) 32 117.92 "Unknown Loss" Cost for Month 33 #VALUE!

Item 4

Water Utilit	y:	Caw	ood Water Dist	rict	PWSID:	ky0480565 Wi		ge 12 of 22 filliams, Jr.
For the Mo	nth of:	june			Year:	2017		
	~~~	ION COST PER	THOUGAND		// <b>/</b>			
					(insert cost)			
2 1	PURUMA	SE COST PER T	UOOSMUD		(Insert cost)			
					<del></del>	GALLONS	<del></del>	
		RODUCED or P	URCHASED		<del>-</del>			
_	Water Pro				Ļ	12,787,433	100.0%	
	Water Pur				L	0	0.0%	
5			TOTAL PRODU		IRCHASED	12,787,433		
6			TOTAL COST	#VALUE!				
	WATER S				_	7 000 004		
	Residentia				Į.	7,029,301		
_	Commerci	<b>a</b> l			<u> </u>			
_	Industrial				Ļ			
		ing Stations			ļ			
	Wholesale				<b>-</b>			
12	Other Sale	es (explain)						
42				TOTAL MA	TER COLD	7 000 204	EC 00/	
13			TO.	TAL WATER	TER SOLD	7,029,301 5,758,132	55.0% <b>45.0%</b>	
14			(0	IAL WATER	NOT SOLD	3,735,732	45.0%	
	DDEAKIN	OWN OF WATE	DUSAGE					
		atment Plant	N OOMOL		Г	175,000		
		er Treatment Pla	ant		-	110,000		
	System FI		2111		ŀ	45,411	#VALUE!	
		rtment Usage			}	75,411	WYNLOL	
	DBP Flust		DBP N	Maintenance	ŀ	52 356	#VALUE!	
	<b>.</b>				<del></del>	02,000	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
20				TOT	AL USAGE	272,767		
21			WATER LO	SS PERCENT	AGE FOR R	ATE PURPOSES	42.9%	
	BREAKD	OWN OF WATE	RLOST					
22			n for DBP mainte	enance)	Г	100,000	#VALUE!	
	Excavatio	•			f	0		
		Line Breaks			ļ.		#VALUE!	~ 2/
	Unknown					5,202,172		40.7%
						, ,	-	
26			TOTAL WATE	ER NOT SOLI	OR USED	5,485, <b>3</b> 65		
27			COST OF WATE	ER NOT SOLI	OR USED	#VALUE!		
						<del></del>		
	"UNKNO	MN LOSS" FLO	W RATE AND C	OST:				
28					known Loss*	5,202,172	ı	
29					known Loss"_	40.7%		
30				Number of Da		31		
31		"{	Jnknown Loss" p			167,812		
32				n Loss" per M		116.54		
33			"Unkn	own Loss" Co	est for Month	#VALUE!		
							l	

Item 4

Water Utill	kty:	Car	wood Water District	PWSID:	ky0480565 With		13 of 22 liams, Jr.
For the <b>M</b> o	onth of	July		Year:	2017		
LOI (110 IMC	Jii. 01.						
1	PRODUC	TION COST PE	ER THOUSAND	(insert cost)			
2		SE COST PER		(insert cost)			
					GALLONS		
	WATER F	RODUCED or	PURCHASED		<del></del>		
3	Water Pro			Γ	13,172,681	88.5%	
4	Water Pu	rchased		T	1,720,000	11.5%	
5			TOTAL PRODUCED A	ND PURCHASED	14,892,681		
_8			TOTAL COST #VA	LUEI			
	WATER S	OLD					
7	Residentia	ai			6,987,336		
8	Commerc	lal					
8	Industrial						
10		ing Stations					
11	Wholesal			_			
12	Other Sal	es (explain) _					
13			TOTA	L WATER SOLD	6,987,336	46.9%	
14				ATER NOT SOLD	7,905,345	53.1%	
	BREAKD	OWN OF WAT	ER USAGE				
15	Water Tre	eatment Plant			125,000		
16	Wastewa	ter Treatment F	Plant				
17	System F	lushing			51,827	#VALUE!	
18	Fire Depa	rtment Usage		[	0		
19	DBP Flus	hing	DBP Mainten	ance	0		
20				TOTAL USAGE	176,827		
21			WATER I ARR DES	CENTAGE FOR RA		51.9%	
	DOCAVI	OWN OF WA		CENTAGE FOR IC	TE PURPUSES	91.9%	
22			nan for DBP maintenance	٠			
23	Excavation		MENT TO LOS THEM TO THE TOTAL OF	' }-	0		
23 24		Line Breaks		}-	2,278,332	49./A1.11E1	
25	Unknown			L.	5,450,187	#VALUE:	7010
	0,				5,-105,107		38.6%
26			TOTAL WATER NOT		7,728,518		
27			COST OF WATER NOT	SOLD OR USED	#VALUE!		
	(III a significant						
22	L.ONKNO	WN LOSS" FL	OW RATE AND COST:	Wilala · ·			
28	1			"Unknown Loss"	5,450,187		
2 <del>9</del>	ł			6 "Unknown Loss"	36.6%		
30 31	}		Numbe "Unknown Loss" per Day	r of Days in Period	31		
31 32	1			per Minute (GPM)	175,812		
32 33	1			ss" Cost for Month	122.09 #VALUE!		
30	]		Olidiotii E0	e coer for Miniff	#YALUE!	l	

Item 4

37.59

Page 14 of 22 kv0480565 Witness: Ronald Williams, Jr. PWSID: **Cawood Water District** Water Utility: Year: 2017 aug For the Month of: \$2,50 PRODUCTION COST PER THOUSAND 1 \$0.00 2 PURCHASE COST PER THOUSAND GALLONS WATER PRODUCED of PURCHASED 100.0% \$32,930.31 13,172,124 Water Produced 3 Water Purchased \$0.00 0.0% 4 TOTAL PRODUCED AND PURCHASED 13,172,124 5 TOTAL COST \$32,930,31 6 WATER SOLD 6.827.854 7 Residential Commercial 8 9 Industrial **Bulk Loading Stations** 10 Wholesale 11 Other Sales (explain) 12 **TOTAL WATER SOLD** 13 6.827.854 51.8% TOTAL WATER NOT SOLD 6,344,270 48.2% 14 **BREAKDOWN OF WATER USAGE** Water Treatment Plant 42,580 15 Wastewater Treatment Plant 16 System Flushing 112,286 \$280.72 17 18 Fire Department Usage **DBP Flushing DBP Maintenance** 19 TOTAL USAGE 20 154.866 WATER LOSS PERCENTAGE FOR RATE PURPOSES 47.0% 21 **BREAKDOWN OF WATER LOST** Tank Overflows (other than for DBP maintenance) 22 Excavation Breaks 23 Repaired Line Breaks 1,251,320 \$3,128.30 24 25 Unknown Loss 4,938,083 TOTAL WATER NOT SOLD OR USED 26 6,189,404 **COST OF WATER NOT SOLD OR USED** \$15,473.51 27 "UNKNOWN LOSS" FLOW RATE AND COST: 28 "Unknown Loss" 4,938,083 29 % "Unknown Loss" 37.5% Number of Days in Period 30 31 "Unknown Loss" per Day (Gallons per Day) 159.293 "Unknown Loss" per Minute (GPM) 110.62 32 "Unknown Loss" Cost for Month 33 \$12,345,21

Item 4

Water Utility:		Cawood Water District		PWSID:	ky0480565 WIU	Page ess: Ronald Wil	: 15 of 22 liams, Jr.		
For the Mo	onth of:	sept				Year:	2017		
1 2		TION COST PE BE COST PER					\$0.00 \$0.00		
							GALLONS		
		RODUCED or	PURCHA:	SED					
3	Water Pro				\$0.00		12,632,460	100.0%	
4	Water Pur	chased			\$0.00	<b> </b>		0.0%	
5					ED AND PU	RCHASED	12,632,460		
6	WATER 8	010	TOTAL	CUS I	\$0.00				
7							E 074 4E4		
7	Residentia Commerci						5,871,451		
8 9	Industrial	ıaı					<del></del>		
10		ing Stations							
11	Wholesale						<b>———</b>		
12		es (explain)							
	<b>J 1.0. J 1.0.</b>						<del></del>		
13					TOTAL WAT	TER SOLD	5,871,451	46.5%	
14				TOT	AL WATER N	<b>HOT SOLD</b>	8,781,009	53.5%	
·		OWN OF WAT	er usagi	E					
15	•	atment Plant					32,360		
16	-	er Treatment P	lant						
17	System Fl	-					400,046	\$0.00	
18	•	rtment Usage		<b>DDD</b> 44			0		
19	DBP Flusi	ning		DBP WE	aintenance		10		
20					TOTA	L USAGE	432,406		
21			WAT	ER LOSS			RATE PURPOSES	50.1%	
	BREAKO	OWN OF WAT						50.170	
22		rflows (other th			nance)				
23	Excavatio				•		0		
24	Repaired	Line Breaks					2,743,734	\$0.00	
25	Unknown						3,584,889		28.45
26				-	NOT SOLD		-,,		
27			COST OF	WATER	NOT SOLD	OR USE	\$0.00		
	M114112412-1	ARII ARAH = 1	0)4 F	415 65	A-			_ <del></del>	
00	UNKNO	WN LÖ88" FLO	UW KATE	AND CO			n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n		
28 29	Į.					nown Loss			
30	1			<b>ķ</b> i.		nown Loss			
30 31	1	1	u) Inkaa.a		umber of Day or Day (Galloi				
31 32	1				Loss" per Mir				
33	1				wn Loss" Cos				
00	ł			J. 171101	2003		. 40.00		

Item 4 of 22 s, Jr.

38.85

Water Utilik	y:	Car	wood Wat	er Districi		PWSID:	<b>ky0480665</b> W	Page 16 o iness: Ronald Williams
For the <b>M</b> o	nth of:	oct				Year:	2017	]
_			IOUG	AAND			<b>*</b> 0.00	ิส
		TION COST PER SE COST PER					\$0.00 \$0.00	
2	PURCHAS	DE COST PER	INCOOM	4D			φυ.οι	2
							GALLON	3
		RODUCED or	PURCHA	3ED				<del></del>
•	Water Pro				\$0.00		13,118,82	
· ·	Water Pur	chased			\$0.00			0.0%
5					D AND PU	RCHASED	13,118,82	8
6			TOTAL	COST	\$0.00			
	WATER S						6.005.84	নী
-	Residentia Commerci						6,025,61	<u> </u>
8 9	Industrial	121 1						-
_		ing Stations						-
	Wholesak	-						7
12		es (explain)						7
						······		_
13				•	TOTAL WA	TER SOLD	6,025,61	0 45.9%
14				TOTA	L WATER	NOT SOLE	7,093,21	6 <b>54.1%</b>
				_				
40	_	OWN OF WAT	er usag	E			455.00	ন
15 16		eatment Plant er Treatment F	Diant				155,00	<u> </u>
17	System F		- Han Ir				1,061,21	\$0.00
18		rtment Usage					(,001,21	0 40.00
19	DBP Flus	_		DBP Ma	intenance		<del> </del>	ă
, ,	<b>D</b> D. 1.120		·····					<b>_</b>
20					TOTA	AL USAGI	1,216,21	4
21			The second second		PERCENT	AGE FOR	RATE PURPOSE	8 44.6%
		OWN OF WA						
22		rflows (other ti	nan for DB	P mainten	ance)			
23	Excavation							
24		Line Breaks					792,73	
25	Unknown	LOSS					5,084,26	4
26			TOTA	I WATER	NOT SOLE	OP USE	5,877,00	19
27 27					NOT SOL			
	"UNKNO	WN LOSS" FL	OW RATE	AND CO	ST:	<del> </del>		7
28				- · <del>-</del>		nown Loss	5,084,26	34
28	1					mown Loss		
30	1				imber of Da			31
31	1				r Day (Gallo			
32	1		"(		.oss" per Mi			•
33	I			UNKNOV	vn Loss" Co	DOM KULJE	h \$0.0	w _l

Item 4 Page 17 of 22 Witness: Ronald Williams, Jr.

## **Monthly Water Use Report**

later Utility:	Cawood V	Water District	PWS(D:	(Y0480585	
or the Month of:	November	<b>_</b>	Year:	2017	
ine #	item			Gallons	
	roduced, Purchased a	nd Distributed			
	roduced			12,469,788	
	Purchased			261,860	
4		Water Produced &	Purchased	12,731,648	
6					
8 Water S					
7 Resider				6,292,498	
8 Comme	. =			0	
9 Industri	<del></del>		ļ	0	
T T	ading Stations		<u> </u>	0	
11 Wholes			<u> </u>		
12 Other S	ales (explain)	0		0	
13		Total V	Vater Sales	6,292,498	49.4%
14				0,550,100	10.170
	Vater Used			7	
	nd/or Water Treatment F	Plant		0	
17 Wastew	rater Treatment Plant			0	
18 System	Flushing			239,826	
19 Fire De	partment Usage			250,479	
20 Other U	sage (explain)	DBP Maintenance		30,677	
21		Total Other V	Nater Used	520,983	4.1%
22 23   Water					
	verflows				
25 Line Br			ļ	2 040 620	
26 Line Le			<del> </del>	2,649,630 3,268,637	
27 Other	MPAC			3,200,037	
		- 14			
28		Tota	Line Loss	5,918,167	46.5%
31 32 Water !	ine 13 + Line 21 + Line 2 Loss Percentage	•			
33 Unacco	ounted-For Water (Line	28 Divided by Line 4)		2	Ī

Item 4 Page 18 of 22 PWSID: KY0480565 **Cawood Water District** Water Utility: Witness: Ronald Williams, Jr. Year: 2017 December For the Month of: PRODUCTION COST PER THOUSAND (insert cost) PURCHASE COST PER THOUSAND (insert cost) 2 **GALLONS** WATER PRODUCED or PURCHASED 12,533,687 100.0% Water Produced 3 0.0% Water Purchased 4 TOTAL PRODUCED AND PURCHASED 12,533,687 5 TOTAL COST #VALUE! 6 WATER SOLD 6.578.404 Residential 7 ٠ 8 Commercial Industrial 9 **Bulk Loading Stations** 10 Wholesale 11 Other Sales (explain) 12 6,578,404 **TOTAL WATER SOLD** 52.5% 13 TOTAL WATER NOT SOLD 5,955,283 47.5% 14 BREAKDOWN OF WATER USAGE Water Treatment Plant 40,000 15 Wastewater Treatment Plant 16 340,700 #VALUE! 17 System Flushing Fire Department Usage 274.386 #VALUE! 18 **DBP Flushing DBP Maintenance** 15,842 #VALUE! 18 TOTAL USAGE 670.928 20 WATER LOSS PERCENTAGE FOR RATE PURPOSES 21 42.2% BREAKDOWN OF WATER LOST 22 Tank Overflows (other than for DBP maintenance) 23 **Excavation Breaks** Repaired Line Breaks 1,484,787 #VALUE! 24 Unknown Loss 25 3,799,568 **TOTAL WATER NOT SOLD OR USED** 26 5,284,355 COST OF WATER NOT SOLD OR USED 27 #VALUE! "UNKNOWN LOSS" FLOW RATE AND COST: 28 "Unknown Loss" 3,799,568 29 % "Unknown Lass" 30.3% 30 Number of Days in Period "Unknown Loss" per Day (Gallons per Day) 31 126,652

30.3°k

87.95

#VALUE!

"Unknown Loss" per Minute (GPM)

"Unknown Loss" Cost for Month

32

33

Item 4

Water Utilit	ty: Cawood Water District	] PWSID:	KY0480565 W	Page 19 0 ness: Ronald William	of 22 s, Jr.
For the Mo	onth of: Jan	Year:	2018		
1	PRODUCTION COST PER THOUSAND	(insert cost)			
•	PURCHASE COST PER THOUSAND	(insert cost)			
2	( ditainment out i air iii a a a a a a	سما / ا	<del></del>		
	ALIAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A		GALLONS	-	
	WATER PRODUCED or PURCHASED		40 000 007	00.000	
_	Water Produced	ļ	13,600,987	92.3%	
•	Water Purchased		1,128,000	7.7%	
5	TOTAL PRODUCED AND F		14,728,987		
6	TOTAL COST #VALUE	)			
	WATER SOLD				
7	Residential		7,198,986		
8	Commercial				
9	Industrial				
10	Bulk Loading Stations	E_			
11	Wholesale				
12	Other Sales (explain)				
13	N LATOT	ATER SOLD	7,198,986	48.9%	
14	TOTAL WATE	R NOT SOLD	7,530,001	51.1%	
	BREAKDOWN OF WATER USAGE				
15	Water Treatment Plant	Γ	40,000		
16	Wastewater Treatment Plant	<u> </u>			
17	System Flushing		52,682	#VALUE!	
18	Fire Department Usage	<b> </b>	9,505	#VALUE!	
19	DBP Flushing DBP Maintenance	, <u> </u> -	0		
				İ	
20	то	TAL USAGE	102, <del>18</del> 7		
21	WATER LOSS PERCE			50.4%	
	BREAKDOWN OF WATER LOST			30.476	
22	Tank Overflows (other than for DBP maintenance)	<del>, -</del>			
23	Excavation Breaks	<u> -</u>			
	Repaired Line Breaks	<del> </del>	1 520 240	-28./Al 1(P*)	
24 25	Unknown Loss	<u>_</u>	1,520,249	#VALUE!	:( a   6
20	Olikilomii 17092		5,907,565		40.19
26	TOTAL WATER NOT SO	א א א א א א א א	7 497 044		
26 27	COST OF WATER NOT 80		7,427,814		
- 21	COST OF WATER NOT 90	LD OK USED	#VALUE!		
	WINDLINGS CON DATE AND COST.			1	
^^	"UNKNOWN LOSS" FLOW RATE AND COST:	ml/max.m 1 #			
28		nknown Loss"	5,907,565		
29		nknown Loss"	40.1%		
30		Days in Period	30		
31	"Unknown Loss" per Day (Ga		196,919	1	
32	"Unknown Loss" per		136.76	)	
33	"Unknown Loss" (	JOST TOF MONTH	#VALUE!	l	
	i			l	

Item 4

Page 20 of 22 KY0480565 Witness: Ronald Williams, Jr. PWSID: **Cawood Water District** Water Utility: For the Month of: Feb. Year: 2018 PRODUCTION COST PER THOUSAND (insert cost) 1 PURCHASE COST PER THOUSAND (insert cost) **GALLONS** WATER PRODUCED or PURCHASED 10,202,490 95.7% Water Produced 3 454,000 4.3% Water Purchased 4 TOTAL PRODUCED AND PURCHASED 10.656,490 5 TOTAL COST #VALUE! 6 WATER SOLD 5,407,836 7 Residential Commercial 8 Industrial 9 **Bulk Loading Stations** 10 11 Wholesale Other Sales (explain) 12 TOTAL WATER SOLD 5,407,836 50.7% 13 TOTAL WATER NOT SOLD 5.248.654 49,3% 14 BREAKDOWN OF WATER USAGE 40,000 Water Treatment Plant 15 16 Wastewater Treatment Plant 17 System Flushing 186,265 #VALUE! Fire Department Usage 18 DBP Flushing **DBP** Maintenance 96,300 #VALUE! 19 TOTAL USAGE 20 322.565 WATER LOSS PERCENTAGE FOR RATE PURPOSES 46.2% 21 BREAKDOWN OF WATER LOST 22 Tank Overflows (other than for DBP maintenance) 23 **Excavation Breaks** 24 Repaired Line Breaks 3,254,578 #VALUE! 15.7% 25 Unknown Loss 1,671,510 TOTAL WATER NOT SOLD OR USED 26 4,926,089 27 COST OF WATER NOT SOLD OR USED **#VALUE!** "UNKNOWN LOSS" FLOW RATE AND COST: 28 "Unknown Loss" 1,671,510 % "Unknown Loss" 29 15.7% 30 Number of Days in Period "Unknown Loss" per Day (Gallons per Day) 31 55.717 "Unknown Loss" per Minute (GPM) 32 38.69

#VALUE!

"Unknown Loss" Cost for Month

33

Item 4 Page 21 of 22

Water Utility:		Cawood Water District		] PWSID:[	<b>KY0480666</b> Wit	ness: Ronald William	ns, Jr.
For the Month of:		March		Year: [	2018		
1	PRODUC	TION COST PER THOU	BAND	(insert cost)			
2	PURCHA	SE COST PER THOUSA	ND	(insert cost)			
					GALLON8		
		RODUCED or PURCHA	SED	ı	40 550 000	100.00/.	
3	Water Pro				10,559,000	100.0% 0.0%	
4	Water Pu	DOZBIC	PRODUCED AND P	I IDCHAGED	10,559,000	0.070	
5		TOTAL			10,000,000		
6	WATER !			الجناب كأبياك بيدا		- المنافع المنافع	
7	Residenti				6,022,485		
8	Commen			ı			
9	Industrial	. <del></del>					
10		ling Stations					
11	Wholesa	_					
12	Other Sa	ies (explain)					
13				VATER SOLD			
14	-	والمراز	TOTAL WATE	R NOT SOLD	4,536,515	43.0%	
	0054VT	OWN OF WATER USA	ee .				
46		eatment Plant	<b>3</b> C		1,060,000	1	
15		earment Herit der Treatment Plant			1,000,000		
16	•				1 040 400	48.7A7.1.IE1	
17	System I	-warmig entment Usage			1,049,402	#VALUE!	
18 19	DBP Flu	———————————————————————————————————————	DBP Maintenance	a	193 478	#VALUE!	
(3	DOF FIR	atime?	DDI WALIONANA	<u></u>	1 100,770	I MANTON:	
20			TC	TAL USAGE	2,292,877		
21			TER LOSS PERCE	NTAGE FOR	RATE PURPOSES	21.2%	
	BREAK	DOWN OF WATER LOS	म		<u> </u>		
22		erflows (other than for Di	BP maintenance)			}	
23		on Breaks			0		
24	•	Line Breaks				#VALUE!	
25	Unknow	n Logs			1,955,963		18.59
26		TOT	AL WATER NOT SO	N D OD HEEL	D 2,243,638	•	
27			OF WATER NOT SO				
	"UNKN	OWN LOSS" FLOW RAT	E AND COST:			1	
28	1		×į	Jinknown Lose	1,955,963	d	
29	1			Jnknown Lose			
30				Days in Perlo	d 30		
31	1	"Unknow	wn Loss" per Day (G	allons per Dan	v) 65,186	1	
32	[		"Unknown Loss" per		r) 45.28		
33	1		"Unknown Loss"	Cost for Mont	th #VALUE!	1	
	· 1					t	

Item 4

Page 22 of 22 KY9480585 Witness: Ronald Williams, Jr. **Cawood Water District** PWSID: Water Utility: Year: April 2018 For the Month of: PRODUCTION COST PER THOUSAND (insert cost) 1 **PURCHASE COST PER THOUSAND** 2 (insert cost) **GALLONS** WATER PRODUCED or PURCHASED 10,297,982 100.0% 3 Water Produced Water Purchased 0.0% 4 TOTAL PRODUCED AND PURCHASED 10,297,982 5 #VALUE! TOTAL COST 6 WATER SOLD 7 Residential 6,156,370 Commercial 8 Industrial 9 **Bulk Loading Stations** 10 Wholesale 11 12 Other Sales (explain) **TOTAL WATER SOLD** 13 59.8% 6,156,370 **TOTAL WATER NOT SOLD** 14 4,141,612 40.2% **BREAKDOWN OF WATER USAGE** 15 Water Treatment Plant 1,023,180 Wastewater Treatment Plant 16 17 System Flushing 661,200 #VALUE! 18 Fire Department Usage 50,408 #VALUE! DBP Flushing **DBP Maintenance** 19 111,756 #VALUE! 20 TOTAL USAGE 1.846.544 21 WATER LOSS PERCENTAGE FOR RATE PURPOSES 22.3% BREAKDOWN OF WATER LOST Tank Overflows (other than for DBP maintenance) 22 **Excavation Breaks** 23 Û Repaired Line Breaks 24 215,758 #VALUE! 20.2% 25 Unknown Loss 2.079,312 TOTAL WATER NOT SOLD OR USED 26 2,295,068 27 COST OF WATER NOT SOLD OR USED **EVALUE** "UNKNOWN LOSS" FLOW RATE AND COST: 28 "Unknown Loss" 2.079.312 % "Unknown Loss" 29 20.2% 30 Number of Days in Period 30 31 "Unknown Loss" per Day (Gallons per Day) 69,310 "Unknown Loss" per Minute (GPM) 32 48.13 "Unknown Loss" Cost for Month 33 #VALUE!

# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:  AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE FEASIBILITY ) OF PROPOSED MERGER OF CAWOOD WATER ) DISTRICT AND BLACK MOUNTAIN UTILITY ) DISTRICT PURSUANT TO KRS 74.361 )	
VERIFICATION OF RONALD WILLIAMS, JR.	
COUNTY OF HARLAN  COUNTY OF HA	
The foregoing Verification was signed, acknowledged and sworn to before me this 10th day of May, 2018, by 10 nald Williams Jr.  NOTARY PUBLIC Commission Expiration:  28-19	<u> </u>

# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

AN INVESTIGATION INTO THE FEASIBILITY ) OF PROPOSED MERGER OF CAWOOD WATER ) DISTRICT AND BLACK MOUNTAIN UTILITY ) DISTRICT PURSUANT TO KRS 74.361 )
VERIFICATION OF LANA PACE
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY )  COUNTY OF / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
ANA PACE, being duly sworn, states that s/he has supervised the preparation of certain of the responses of Cawood Water District to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information propounded in the above-referenced proceeding and that the matters and things set forth in those responses are true and accurate to the best of his/her knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry.
Hand yale
The foregoing Verification was signed, acknowledged and sworn to before me this 10 day of May, 2018, by Lana Pace  NOTARY PUBLIC Commission Expiration: 9-28-19