

Legal Counsel.

DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP

101 South Fifth Street ^ Suite 2500 ^ Louisville, KY 40202

www.dinsmore.com

John E. Selent (502) 540-2315 (Direct Dial) john.selent@dinslaw.com RECEIVED

MAR 2 2 2018

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

March 21, 2018

Via Federal Express

Gwen R. Pinson
Executive Director
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Re: Application of Kentucky RSA #3 Cellular General Partnership d/b/a Bluegrass Cellular for Approval to Construct and Operate a New Cell Facility to Provide Cellular Radio Service (Stephensport) in Rural Service Area #3 (Breckinridge County) of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, Case No. 2017-00143

Dear Mr. Pinson:

The purpose of this letter is to provide supplemental comments to the intra-agency memorandum of the Kentucky Public Service Commission (the "Commission") dated March 2, 2018, the memorandum which concerns the informal conference held in the above referenced matter on February 5, 2018.

Kentucky RSA #3 Cellular General Partnership d/b/a Bluegrass Cellular ("Bluegrass Cellular") has no objections to the memorandum, however, we would like to add the comments herein for the purposes of supplementing the record.

Specifically, during the informal conference, Leila Rezanavaz (Bluegrass Cellular's third party radio frequency engineer) Tim Ash (Director of Network Budget, Planning & Construction) and Doug Updegraff (Vice President & Chief Technology Officer) explained the technical reasons for selecting the proposed Stephensport site, why alternative tower locations were considered but ultimately rejected, the costs associated with studying a proposed site and the state and federal regulatory requirements Bluegrass Cellular must comply with in considering a site. As you are aware, Bluegrass Cellular also issued a response to Mr. Biddle dated January 26, 2018, which also discussed why Mr. Biddle's proposed locations were not technically feasible.

Pinson March 21, 2018 Page 2

While Bluegrass Cellular has no objections to the factual narrative provided in the memorandum, we must emphasize that the principal objections to Mr. Biddle's proposed alternative locations are indeed technical objections - radio engineering concerns would persist at an alternative site, regardless of the projected costs of relocating the existing tower.

Thank you for considering these comments and please let us know if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP

John H. Selent

JES/kwi

Enclosures

cc:

Mr. Corey M. Biddle 6070 Haysville Road Guston, Kentucky 40142

John K. Potts

204 North Main Street

Hardinsburg, Kentucky 40143

cc:

Brittany Koenig, Esq.

Staff Attorney

Felix H. Sharpe, II, Esq. Edward T. Depp, Esq.