# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

# ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF MONROE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT FOR RATE ADJUSTMENT PURSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:076

CASE NO. 2017-00070

# NOTICE OF FILING

Notice is given to all parties that the following materials have been filed into the

record of this proceeding:

- A copy of "Depreciation Practices for Small Water Utilities," National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, August 15, 1979, relied upon in the drafting of the Commission Staff Report filed in the record on June 30, 2017.
- A copy of "Monroe County Water District Job Description," provided to Commission Staff by Monroe County Water District during the field review performed to produce the Commission Staff Report filed in the record on June 30, 2017.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 20<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2017.

John S. Lyons Acting Executive Director Public Service Commission P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

DATED \_\_\_\_\_ SEP 2 0 2017

cc: Parties of Record

# MONROE COUNTY WATER DISTRICT JOB DESCRIPTION

NAME: Richard O. Ross	DATE: March 13, 2001
JOB TITLE: General Manager	
JOB CLASSIFICATION: Management	
QUALIFICATIONS: High school diploma or equivalent required, certification and license as required by state law, ability to perform arithmetic computations, and organizational ability	

# RELATIONSHIPS

Reports to: Board of Commissioners

Works with:

Outside the Company:

# RESPONSIBILITIES

- · In charge of day to day operations of the water district.
- Responsible for supervision of all employees.
- · Schedules work and duties for employees.
- · Maintains inventory and time records as needed.
- To be proficient at managing budgets and preparing budgets and financial information to be presented to the Board of Commissioners as needed.
- Will carry out the instructions and directions of the Board of Commissioners.
- · Attends Board of Commission meetings.
- · Assist and prepares monthly and quarterly reports.
- · Assist in preparing new project plans.
- Assists with rules, rates and regulations in tariff on file with Public Service Commission.
- Reads meters, does line repair and construction, meter installation, equipment maintenance and repair, customer relations and office work as needed.
- Work after hours as needed.

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REGULATORY UTILITY COMMISSIONERS 1102 INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION BUILDING CONSTITUTION AVENUE AND TWELFTH STREET, N.W. POST OFFICE BOX 684, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20044 TELEPHONE (202) 628-7324

# DEPRECIATION PRACTICES FOR SMALL WATER UTILITIES

AUGUST 15, 1979

RECEIVED



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

· ·

\*

-

.

		Page
-	Foreword	iii
	Depreciation Subcommittee	iv
	Staff Committee on Engineering	v
	Committee on Engineering	•
	Resolution Re Adoption of Depreciation Practices for Small Water Utilities	۳
	Purpose	I
	Scope	1
	Objectives of Depreciation	2
	Base for Depreciation Charges	2
	Average Service Life Estimates	3
	Chart I - A Survivor Curve and Related Curves	8
	Figure  . Typical Average Service Lives, Salvage Rates, and Depreciation Rates	11
	Net Salvage Estimate	12
	Figure 2. Determination of Net Salvage Value Pumping Equipment	13
	Figure 3. Average and Future Het Salvage Pumping Equipment	14
	Weighting	15
	Pigure 4. Weighted Average Service Life Pumping Equipment	15
	Figure 5. Weighted Net Salvage Value Pumping Equipment	16
	Theoretical Reserve Studies	17
	Federal Income Tax Depreciation	19
	Depreciation Rate Calculations	23
	Determination of Annual Depreciation Accrual	24

i

Figure 6. Summary of Annual Depreciation Rate Determination Straight-Line	
Average Service Life Method	25
Recording the Depreciation Accrual	26
Depreciation Accounting	27
Figure 7. Depreciation Accounting	27
Reasonableness of Final Peport	28
Appendix A - Determination of Straight-Line Remaining Life Depreciation Accruals	29
. · General	29
Depreciation Bate Equation	30
Determination of Annual Depreciation Accrual	30
Table I - Summary of Annual Depreciation Bate Determination Straight- Line Remaining Life Method	1 33
Appendix 3 - Depreciation Study by Porecast or Life Span Method	34
Pootnotes	35
Appendix C - Realized Life and Remaining Life of Plant Computed from Accounting Records	37
Appendix D - Range of Depreciation Reserve for Average Realized Life	38
Appendix 2 - Asset Guideline Classes and Periods, Asset Depreciation Banges, Annual Asset Guideline Bepair Allowance Percentage	39
Index	40

#### FORENCED

To the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners.

In December 1968, a manual entitled "Public Utility Depreciation Practices" was prepared by the Subcommittee on Depreciation, wherein many of the techniques used to determine service lives of depreciable property and depreciation rates were discussed. It was the observation of the Depreciation Subcommittee as well as that of some other state commissions that this manual was too complicated and too time-consuming to be of use to state commissions dealing with small utilities. It was felt that the Subcommittee should prepare a manual that could be used by state commissions dealing with small utilities having a limited amount of records and know-how. In December 1974, a manual entitled "Depreciation Fractices for Small Telephone Utilities" was completed. The second of such manuals, for small water utilities, is presented herein and is intended to assist the state commissions in establishing depreciation rates for scall water utilities. From an analysis of reports issued by state commissions, the majority of small water utilities generally have less than 200 customers and \$50,000 of annual revenue. The Subconsittee analyzed data from various states and water utilities from which it selected typical average service lives and net salvages by plant accounts. It was assumed that the small water utilities use the same construction techniques, have similar

iii

equipment, maintenance standards and accounting practices as those in the selected sample; therefore, the small water utility average service lives and depreciation rates would be similar to those used by the average water utility.

The manual should allow the staffs of the state commissions to establish reasonable depreciation rates for small water companies and test the reasonableness thereof.

Many state commissions have established their own practices which may differ somewhat from those proposed herein. It is not suggested that this manual replace those practices.

The Subcommittee on Depreciation is continuing its work on depreciation practices for small gas and electric utilities and, in addition thereto, is continuing its work in the preparation of a manual of definitions used in depreciation work.

The members of the Subcommittee on Depreciation working on these practices were:

#### DEPRECIATION SOECCEMITTEE

Bay J. Nery, North Carolina, Chairman

2.C. Hostettler, ICC	James B. Safford, New York
Daniel C. AcLean, Washington	F.T. Bone, IRS
Bobert G. Warnek, FCC	Martin Abramson, California
Alfred 2. Jeberroth, Michigan	Mcrman Deutsch, 728C
Larry Hoaglan, Arkansas	Walter D'Haeseleer, Florida

This manual was recommended to the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners by the Committee on Engineering and its Staff Committee. The text of the resolution adopting the manual is stated below. The members of these committees are as follows:

i₹

### STAFF SUBCOMMITTEE ON ENGINEERING

Henry A. Minch, Maryland, Chairman

Robert G. Warnek, FCC William J. Ide, Illinois Ray J. Nery, North Carolina Harold C. Blatt, Pennsylvania Richard Bibb, Tennessee Lester Stuzin, New York PSC David C. Lathom, FERC William F. Fox, Ohio Russell N. Staley, Alaska PUC Robert J. Buckley, Iowa SCC Bruno A. Davis, California Joseph W. Ferraro, Sr., New York Joseph M. Flanigan, REA, Observer Ray L. Pruett, Utah Walter D'Haeseleer, Florida Kevin Kelly, NRRI, Observer

### COMMITTEE ON ENGINEERING

Robert K. Koger, North Carolina, Chairman

Heber P. Hardy, Nevada	Alfred H. Reichman, Illinois
Gordon J. Zerbetz, Alaska PUC	James M. Plaskett, Indiana
Z. D. Atkins, Tennessee	Thomas J. Schneider, Montana
Robert C. Downie, Arkansas PSC	Roger L. Hanson, Minnesota PSC

Resolution Re Adoption of Depreciation Practices for Small Water Utilities

WHEREAS, The Committee on Engineering of this Association and its Subcommittee on Depreciation, after extended study and conferences, have developed Depreciation Practices for Small Water Utilities; and

WHEREAS, The Committee on Engineering of this Association has recommended the manual for adoption by this Association; and

WHEREAS, This Association believes that the Depreciation Practices for Small Water Utilities will be of value in assisting regulatory agencies in the practical solution of depreciation problems; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the Executive Committee of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners hereby adopts the Depreciation Practices for Small Water Utilities reported by the Committee on Engineering and authorizes its Washington Staff to make it available to the member regulatory agencies of the Association and others as a guide for the practical assistance and guidance to regulatory personnel and others regarding depreciation for small water utilities.

Adopted August 15, 1979

# DEPRECIATION PEACTICES FOR SHALL WATER UTILITIES

### Purpose

The purpose of this manual is to present in a simplified manner the essential information and procedures recommended for. estimating the service lives, net salvages and depreciation rates for the plant of small water utilities.

It is hoped that the practices developed in this manual will establish a basis for unifermity and be sufficiently clear to enable the staffs of regulatory commissions to prepare reasonable schedules of depreciation rates and amounts of annual depreciation accruals.

For a more complete discussion on the subject of depreciation practices or for a more detailed analysis of specific depreciation procedures, refer to "Public Utility Depreciation Practices" published in 1968 by the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, 1102 ICC Building, Post Office Box 684, Washington, D.C. 20044.

#### Scope

The scope of this manual includes the reasons for depreciation, the straight-line methods used to compute annual depreciation rates, an explanation of the factors used in the depreciation accrual equations, definitions of depreciation terms, some accounting transactions related to depreciation and suggested average service lives, net salvages and depreciation rates for most categories of vater utility plant. The straight-line average service life method of computing the annual depreciation rates used by most regulatory agencies has been developed and used in the tert of this manual. The straight-line remaining life method used by some regulatory agencies has been developed and included as Appendir A.

A small water utility is defined for the purpose of this report as a water utility with plant investment of less than \$1,000,000. The simplified and less detailed practices in this manual are designed to meet the needs of regulatory commissions to establish realistic depreciation rates for such utilities.

### Objectives of Depreciation

The principal objective of recognizing depreciation as a cost of service is to allow the utility to recover the cost of the depreciable investment, less estimated net salvage, over the useful life of the depreciable plant by means of an equitable plan of charges to operating expenses or clearing accounts. The straight-line average service life method presented in this manual meets this objective.

# Base for Depreciation Charges

The depreciation base used in this manual is the original cost of the depreciable property. Original cost is defined as the cost to the person who first devotes the property to public service. The base recoverable through depreciation is limited to cost of the depreciable parts of the property. This generally excludes the cost of organizing, franchises, intangible plant and land.<sup>1</sup> The base can usually be determined from actual construction costs recorded on the utility's books.

Actual construction costs include the cost of the labor, equirment and materials needed to construct the plant, the capitalized interest during construction, administrative and general expenditures such as engineering and supervision, general officers' and clerical salaries and expenses, office supplies and expenses, legal expenditures and other expenses covering injuries and damages, insurance, interest, and taxes. Care nust be exercised in spreading these administrative and general expenses between the depreciable and nondepreciable plant such as land. While meticulous distinctions are ispossible, reasonably accurate assignments or spreads can be obtained by the utilization of good accounting practices.

Some jurisdiction may exclude contributions from the depreciable base.

# Average Service Life Estimates

Determination of service lives basically involves an analysis of the past and engineering estimates of the future effect of wear and tear, decay, action of the elements, inadequacy, obsolescence and public requirements. In some cases, other factors such as anticipated changeover to new or improved kinds of plant, or specific plans of management must be given consideration. To arrive at a satisfactory estimate of future conditions, past experience generally gives an indication which can be used as at least one element in the estimate. The weight to be given to past experience depends upon the extent to which the conditions affecting service life in the future are expected to be similar or different from those in the past.

Utility property, in conformance with a uniform system of accounts, is classified broadly by function and each function is broken down into accounts. As an example, one function of a vater utility is providing transmission and distribution services. The plant providing that function is divided into several accounts such as transmission and distribution mains, fire mains, services, meters, hydrants, Zach account is further divided and so or. into subaccounts, groups, and units. Each unit is an individual ites of plant, but it is common practice to combine units which have like fortality characteristics, like physical appearance and character and which operate under the same general conditions into one group. There may be one or some

groups within an account. For instance, in the "transmission and distribution mains" account, the units (individual items) of cast iron, steel and asbestos-cement pipe over 12 inches in diameter may constitute one group, units of pipe from 12 to eight inches in diameter may constitute the second group, and the third group might include all pipe smaller than eight inches in diameter. Because of greater simplicity in maintaining records, the group basis is more feasible for most classes of utility property where a large number of units are involved and is the more generally used base among electric, gas, telephone, and water utilities.

In the above example, the average service life of a group containing cast iron, steel, and asbestos-cement pipe would be based on a composite or weighted average of the service lives of all units within the group. When a group such as described above contains units or items of plant with varying estimated average service lives, the average service life of the group is the reciprocal average of the lives as shown under the subject of "weighting" in this manual.

In utility accounting, the depreciation rate is applied to depreciable plant in service. Therefore, the surviving plant is of more interest than the retired plant, and the retirement curve is seldem used. The survivor curve shows the percent of original plant serviving by year.

A reliable method of estimating the average service life of a unit or group is to use the survivor curve method.

Underlying this method are certain statistical concepts which require some explanation. In estimating service life, we are concerned with the span of years from the placement of plant to its retirement. In groups of property seldon do all units reach retirement at the same time. Some will reach it at an early age, many will bunch around a period somewhere near the average and a few will extend out to a long age. The statistician would say we have a number of events (retirements) occurring with different values (ages) which can be illustrated by a graph known as a frequency curve. The frequency curve shows the retirements, as a percentage of the group, occurring in each year of the group's life. Prom either the frequency curve or survival and retirement ratios, the survivor curves can be developed. The average life or average age at which retirements occur can also be developed from the frequency curve.

The probable life is the expected life of the survivors, or plant in service, at any given age. At any age after retirements have started, the probable life is longer than the average life because the short-lived units have been removed from the surviving group.

Using the survivor curve method, the remaining life of a group of depreciable property of any age can be determined by finding that age on the survivor curve and projecting horizontally to the probable life curve. The difference in years between the age and probable life is the remaining life. Typical survivor and related curves are shown on

6

Chart I.

The use of survivor curves developed from good mortality records by actuarial methods is considered to be an accurate and reliable method to determine the estimated average service life of depreciable property. One widely accepted . study of survivor curves (Iowa Curves) is that conducted at the Iowa State College of Engineering Experiment Station as described in their Bulletin Nos. [25 and [55.





THE FREQUENCY CURVE IS NOT REQUIRED IN THE USUAL DEPRECIATION COMPUTATIONS.
THE SURVIVAL TATIOS (SURVIVORS AT END OF PERIOD + PLANT EXPOSED AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD) ARE USED IN COMPUTING THE SURVIVOR CURVE.

A small utility may not have sufficient records to develop its own survivor curves. This problem can be resolved by using survivor curves of comparable plant that have been developed by others, by selecting an average service life based on engineering judgment, or by using the forecast or life span method currently being used by other utilities throughout the country.

forecast or life span method is basically an The assumption that a given piece of property will be retired in a specific number of years after placement or that the actual date of retirement will be a certain date. At the final date of retirement of properties, all units comprising the piece of property including interim additions are retired at once. This is in contrast to group properties in which retirement of units occurs gradually until all are retirad. The forecast method is basically the simplest sethod of computing depreciation and, theoretically, could be applied to each unit of property. Bather than using this method for group properties, it is generally used for -comparatively large, easily identifiable pieces of property such as buildings, treatment plants, dams, and reservoirs. Appendix 3 shows an example of this method.

There lack of appropriate data prevents the application of any of the two previous methods, engineering judgment estimates of service life expectancies may be appropriate. In developing these life expectancies, it is helpful to study possible ranges of life estimates setting down

reasonable minimum and maximum expectancies before coming to final conclusions. As previously indicated under the survivor curve discussion, it should be noted that the average life of all units originally placed in the group is less than the probable life of surviving units because of the prior retirement of short-lived units.

Without the benefits of mortality data or definitive retirements dates for particular pieces of property, it will be very difficult for staffs of regulatory commissions and small water utilities to make a proper estimate of average service life for each group or unit of plant. For that reason, a range of average service lives currently being used by water utilities throughout the country for water facilities designed and installed and maintained in accordance with good water works practice is shown below in Figure 1.

# FIGURE 1

# Typical Average Service Lives, Salvage Rates, and Depreciation Rates

# Small Water Utilities

NARUC Account		Average Service Life <u>a</u> /	Net Salvage	Depreciation Rate
Number	Class of Plant	Years	Percent	Percent
	Source of Supply Plant			
311 312 313 314 315 316 317	Structures and Improvements Collecting & Impounding Reservoirs Lake, River and Other Intakes Wells and Springs Galleries and Tunnels Supply Mains Other Source of Water Supply Plant	35-40 50-75 35-45 25-35 25-50 50-75 30-40		2.9-2.5 2.0-1.3 2.9-2.2 4.0-2.9 4.0-2.0 2.0-1.3 3.3-2.5
	Pumping Plant			
321 324-7 328	Structures and Improvements Pumping Equipment Other Pumping Plant	35-40 20 25		2.9-2.5 5.0 4.0
	Water Treatment Plant			
331 332	Structures and Improvements Water Treatment Equipment	35-40 20-35		2.9-2.5 5.0-2.9
	Transmission and Distribution Plant			
341 342 343 344 345 345 346 347 348	Structures and Improvements Reservoirs and Tanks Transmission and Distribution Mains Fire Mains Services Meters Meter Installations Hydrants	35-40 30-60 50-75 50-75 30-50 35-45 40-50 40-60	10 <sup>-</sup> 5	2.9-2.5 3.3-1.7 2.0-1.3 2.0-1.3 3.3-2.0 2.6-2.0 2.5-2.0 2.4-1.5
	General Plant			
390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397	Structures & Improvements Office Furniture and Equipment Transportation Equipment Stores Equipment Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment Laboratory Equipment Power Operated Equipment Communication Equipment	35-40 20-25 7 20 15-20 15-20 10-15 10	10 5 10 10	2.9-2.5 4.8-3.8 12.9 5.0 5.3-1 5.7-5. 9.0-6.0 9.0

<u>a</u>/ These lives are intended as a guide; longer or shorter lives should be used where conditions warrant.

11

Ĩ

### Net Salvage Estimate

Estimated net salvage is the estimated gross salvage in cash or value which is expected to be realized from utility property retired less the estimated cost of removal involved in retiring such property. The estimated net salvage can be a negative figure in instances where the cost of removal is expected to exceed any gross salvage value. Net salvage is usually expressed as a percentage of the plant retired.

Reasonable salvage estimates and forecasts for small water utilities can be made by trending the net salvage experience and applying engineering judgment. Some of the factors to be considered in developing an estimated salvage percentage are:

- (a) Utility's recorded experience, including trends with the same or similar type property;
- (b) Effect on recorded salwage of transfers, sales and reimbursements from damages or forced relocations;
- (c) Puture conditions affecting cost of removal; and
- (d) Changes in accounting practices that have affected salvage and cost of removal amounts.

Where records are available, recorded or past salvage experience for each account may be determined by analyzing the debits and credits to the reserve for depreciation. The retirements should be summarized for each year and the totals of gross salvage and cost of removal determined. Dividing each of the latter by the retirements gives the percent gross salvage and percent cost of removal realized each year. This type of calculation for a series of years

#### FIGURE 2

	Gross Salvage -		Cost of Removal		Net Salvage .		
Year	Plant Retired	Amount	i of Retirement	Amount	1 of Retirement	Anount	1 of Retirement
	a	0	C=0+4	a	6=C+4	1=0-0	g=f ta
1973	\$ 50	\$12	24.02	\$ 3	6.0%	\$ 9	18.0%
1972	100	22	22.0	7	7.0	15	15.0
1971	70	11	15.7	5	7.1	6	8.5
1970	40	5	12.5	4	10.0	1	2.5
1969	30	7	23.3	5	16.7	2	6.7
1968	30	5	16.7	2	6.7	3	10.0
1967	50	2 ·	4.0	7	14.0	-5	-10.0
Totals	\$370	\$64	17.3%	533	8.91	\$31	8.45

#### Determination of Net Salvage Value Pumping Equipment

The above tabulation shows that the past recorded net salwage value amounted to 8.4% of the cost of plant retired.

In the use of the straight-line average service life method for computing depreciation rates, an estimated net salvage covering the entire life of the unit or group of property is needed. The utility must estimate salvage values for property that will retire many years in the future. In iding so, it should be remembered that with most depreciable property the percent gross salvage realized on retirement varies with the age of the unit. Past experience is usually based on only a few retirements, probably of shorter-lived units. Generally, the older units yield lower values. The decrease in gross salvage with age may be approximated by assuming a straight-line diminution from realized gross salvage of early retirements to the predicted ultimate gross salvage of oldest-lived units. A sample calculation of estimated net salvage values, using recorded values developed in the preceding tabulation and judgment values based on anticipated future conditions, is shown below.

#### FIGURE 3

.....

#### Average and Future Net Salvage Pumping Equipment

	Factors	S of Recmt.	Amt. of Retmt.	Source of Data
٨.	Gross Salvage Past Retirements	17.3%	\$ 370	Preceding tabulation
3.	Gross Salvage Last Survivors	5.0		Selected by judgment
c.	Gross Salvage Future Avg. = A+B	11.2	3,755	Plant presently in service
٥.	Average Gross Salvage	11.7		(17.3x370+11.2x3755)+(370+3755)
Ε.	Cost of Removal Past Retirement	8.9		Preceding tabulation
F.	Cost of Removal Future Retmt.	11.0		Selected by judgment
6.	Average Cost of Removal	10.9		(8.9x370+11.0x3755)+(370+3755)
Н.	Future Net Salvage	0.2		C-F
١.	Average Net Salvage	0.9		0-6

There records are not available, sanagement and engineering judgments must be made and comparisons with other utilities operating under similar conditions can often be made to levelop reasonable estimated net salvage values. For a thorough discussion on estimating net salvage, the reader is referred to Chapter 3 of "Public Utility Depreciation Practices" published 57 Yational the Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, copyright 1968.

# Weighting

Accounts frequently include more than one unit or group of depreciable utility property with different average service lives. To avoid the detailed work of calculating the accrual for each unit or group within an account, an average service life or a composite average service life should be obtained for each account. Beciprocal weighting should always be used in computing an average service life for an account or class of plant comprised of several groups. Only reciprocal weighting will derive the same total as if accruals were developed for each unit or group.

An example of reciprocal weighting to establish a composite average service life is shown in the following tabulation:

Group	Gross Plant Investment	Average Servica Life	Reciprocal Weighting
(a)	(b)	(c)	(0)
1	\$1,500	30.0 years	50 S/year
2	1,255	20.0	63
3	1,000	27.0	37
	\$3.755	25.0 years	150 S/year

FIGURE 4

The weighting in column (d) is obtained by dividing the plant dollars in column (b) by the average service life in column (c) of every category to be weighted. A weighted

average service life of 25.0 years is obtained by dividing the sum of column (b) by the sum of column (d).

In certain accounts as in the transmission and distribution mains account where the total account consists of several groups of pipe with each group having a different average service life and where the units constituting the group have different physical qualities and average service lives, it may be necessary to weight the units within each group first and then weight the groups to develop a. composite or weighted average for the entire account.

Weighting can also be applied to develop a composite salvage for a group or an account. The following tabulation is an example:

FIGURE 5

the second se

Weighted Net	Salvage Value
Pumping	Equipment

Group	Gross Plant Investment	Average Service Life	Life Weight	Net Salvage Percent	Salvage Weight
à	G	c	Q#D+C	e	f=dxe
I	51,500	30.0 years	50 S/year	8.0%	400% S/year
2	1.255	20.0	63	10.0	630
3	1.000	27.0	37	12.7	470
	\$3,755	25.0 years	150 S/year	10.01	1,500% S/year

The weighting of the net salvage values of the above three groups resulted in a weighted net salvage value of 10.0%. The total of column (f) was divided by the total of column (d) to obtain the weighted value of net salvage.

## Theoretical Reserve Studies

A theoretical depreciation reserve is defined as that amount which together with the estimated future depreciation accruals will equal the original cost of the property less net salvage. Studies to determine this theoretical amount may be used for several purposes.

Certain jurisdictions may use the theoretical reserve for rate-making purposes where no reasonable actual reserve is available or for computing fair value in some fair value jurisdictions. It may also be used to allocate the total book reserve to individual account, plant categories or areas.

In making such studies, it is best to separate short-lived plant such as motor vehicles from the longer-lived water plant to be sure that the plant is fully accrued at the time of replacement. The prices, the dates of purchase, the expected dates of disposal, and the expected allowances at trade-in will usually be readily available for these short-lived facilities. With this data, the amount that should be in the reserve can be quickly determined.

The degree of merit and value of a theoretical depreciation reserve study are discussed in the manual,

"Public Utility Depreciation Practices," published in 1968 by the NARUC. To use its suggested procedures for the calculation of a theoretical reserve, the manual assumes that the depreciation analyst has sufficient historical data on which to base a judgment on such things as mortality dispersion, average service life, and net salvage. However, such data is seldom available for a small water utility.

Then adequate records are not available, a single theoretical reserve should not be selected on an arbitrary or convenient basis. Bather, it must be based on reasonable assumptions for service life, retirement dispersion, and salvage.

For the company that has periodic additions and retirements, no matter how large or small, a theoretical reserve percentage can be determined by assuming a certain dispersion of retirements, by estimating the average service life and by determining the average realized life of the plant. The average realized life is different from the average age in that it includes not only the ages of presently existing plant but also those for past retirements. An example of the determination of average realized life is presented in Appendix C.

The example assumes an average service life of 35 years and develops a realized life of 10.45 years. Interpolating between 10 and () years in Appendix D, the reserves would be 24.12 to 29.93 for a 30-year life and 15.93 to 21.95 for a 45-ter life. Interpolating between these two sets of

figures, a range of 22.2% to 27.2% is obtained for a 35-year service life.

### Pederal Income Tax Depreciation

Under section 167 of the Internal Revenue Code 1954, the general rule for depreciation for Federal income tar purposes is that there shall be allowed as a depreciation deduction a reasonable allowance for exhaustion and wear and tear (including a reasonable allowance for obsolescence) of property used in a trade or business or held for the production of income.

Accelerated methods of depreciation are provided by the 1954 Code, as well as the straight-line method most commonly used prior to 1954. In 1962, Rev. Proc. 62-21, 1962-2 C.B. 418, supplanted Bulletin P, old guidelines used for many years by taxpayers and the Internal Revenue Service in arriving at useful lives for depreciable property. Rev. Proc. 62-21 established guideline rules and lives for various classes of depreciable properties and generally liberalized depreciation reductions for income tax purposes. Although vater utilities were not materially affected, some advantage (as gaized by the establishment of one guideline life for depreciable assets of vater utilities except in the area of specific depreciable assets used in all business activities.

In 1971 an elective Asset Depreciation Range (ADR) system revoked Rev. Proc. 62-21 guidelines, including subsequent

supplements and amendments thereto, for taxable years ending after December 31, 1970. The new elective system (closed-end depreciation established vintage accounts accounts containing eligible property to which a taxpayer elects to apply the AIR system) with an asset depreciation range in years (a lower limit, an asset guideline period, and upper limit) for each class of depreciable assets acquired after December 31, 1970. This system was modified by section 167 (m) of the 1954 Code in the Bevenue Act of 1971 to include assets acquired both before January 1, 1971, and after December 31, 1970. For depreciable assets acquired before January |, |971, the system is called the Class Life (CL) system; for depreciable assets acquired after December 31, 1970, the system is called the Class Life Asset Depreciation Hange (CLACE) system. The two systems are similar but they apply to depreciable assets differently: e.g., there is no range of years applicable to assets acquired prior to January 1, 1971. The asset guideline period is used instead. Section |. |67(a) - || of Income Tax Regulations applies to assets acquired after December 3|, 1970, and section 1.167(a)-12 applies to assets acquired before January 1, 1971.

To use the CLADR system, additions and retirements must be to and from vintage accounts, and gross salvage credited to the vintage account reserves, with removal costs charged to expense on retirement. Zither gross or net salvage may be used for the CL system, but for tax purposes, some water companies have asked and received permission to change to

gross salwage accounting to be consistent with the CLADR system. The cost of reinstalling depreciable assets that are not retired but merely relocated is treated as part of the repair allowance unless the permissible repair allowance is exceeded, in which case the excess is capitalized in a special vintage account.

In Appendix E is a summary of some of the asset guideline classes, periods, ranges, and repair allowances provided by Bev. Proc. 77-10, 1977-1 C.E. 548, updating Rev. Proc. 72-10, 1972-1 C.E. 721, that may be used by water companies.

Prior to the CLADE and CL systems estimated salvage was generally considered as either a reduction of the amount subject to depreciation (basis) or by a reduction in the rate of depreciation (rate). Under these two systems basis or rates are not affected, but salvage is not disregarded. Depreciation can only be claimed until the adjusted basis equals estimated salvage value. For water utilities class 49.3, the maximum rate would be  $100\pm40 = 21/2\%$ . Under section 167 of the Code when depreciable property is placed in service, estimated salvage can be reduced by 10%. For example, if salvage is reasonably estimated at 5% it can be reduced to zero, if 25%, it can be reduced to 15%, and depreciation may be claimed for the full cost in the first instance and 85% of the cost in the second instance.

Both the CLADE and CL systems are elective each taxable year; however, under CLADE, the system must be applied to vintage accounts until all the assets in the vintage

accounts are retired. If one of these systems is not elected, taxpayers must demonstrate the useful life used and follow the prior rules under section [67 of the Code with the exception that Rev. Froc. 62-21 and Bulletin ? guidelines are no longer applicable.

If the CLADE system is elected, the annual asset guideline repair allowance percentage which applies to both CLADR and CL property may also be elected (see last column in Appendix E) -Sufficient books and records must be kept for expenditures incurred for both CLADE and CL assets. Under the repair allowance election, expenditures for repairs, maintenance, rehabilitation or improvement of "repair allowance property" (investments subject to depreciation) that are not clearly capital expenditures are treated as deductible repairs to the extent that they do not exceed the repair allowance percentage of the repair allowance property. The excess, if any, is capitalized in a special vintage account as a property isprovement in that class.

These never income tax procedures for depreciation have only been briefly described, because they are complicated: but even with the complications, most utility companies that were opposed to the maintenance of continuing property records have adopted the CLAEM and CL systems which generally require the maintenance of records in greater detail than that of regulatory authorities. With the consideration of added tax incentive there is a general tendency toward greater detail rather than lesser in most

phases of utility operations, and, therefore, it would seen to be desirable for utilities to establish a system of continuing property records.

## Depreciation Rate Calculations

To compute the annual depreciation rate for the straight-line average service life method, the basic equation is:

$$d = \frac{100-c}{L}$$

where:

d = Depreciation rate in percent. c = Estimated average net salwage percentage. L = Estimated average service life.

Items c and L require estimates based on both experiences of the past and judgments of future conditions.

The values for service life and salvage components used in the above formula are the weighted average values for all of the plant in each of the accounting classifications. Weighted average values were discussed previously in this manual.

In actual practice, not only is a depreciation rate in percent desired but also a depreciation accrual in dollars. For the straight-line method, the equation is:

$$D = \frac{B-C}{L} \text{ or } \left(\frac{100-c}{100L}\right) \times B$$

where D is the depreciation accrual in dollars, C is the

estimated average net salvage in dollars and B is the book cost of gross depreciable plant in dollars.

The composite annual depreciation accrual rate is the ratio of the sum of the depreciation accrued from all depreciable accounts to the gross depreciable plant in the same year. Expressed as a percentage, the equation for the composite or total annual accrual depreciation rate is:

 $d = % Rate = \frac{Annual Accrual}{Gross Depreciable Plant} \times 100 = \frac{D}{B} \times 100$ 

# Determination of Annual Depreciation Accrual

The form for calculating annual depreciation accruals and rates by the average service life method is shown with sample calculations in Figure 6 below.

# FIGURE 6

# Company \_\_\_\_\_ Area/Dept.

Summary of Annual Depreciation Rate Determination Straight-line Average Service Life Method

# Year\_\_\_\_

NARUC Account Number	Description Plant	Gross Depreciable Plant	Average Service Life Years	Net Salvage Percent	Depr. Rate Percent	Annual Accrua
		1	2	3	• 4	5
311 .	Structures & Improv.	\$ 3,014	40		2.5	\$ 75
314	Wells	11,290	30		3.3	373
324	Pumping Equip. $\frac{1}{2}$	3,755	25	10	3.6	135
342	Reservoirs & Tanks	8,528	50	*	2.0	173
343	Trans. & Distr. Mains	53,550	60		1.7	0
345	Services	9,452	40		2.5	236
346	Meters	6,038	40	12	2.2	1 3:
348	Hydrants	995	50		2.0	21
391	Off. Furniture & Equip.	1,721	15	5	6.3	13
392	Transportation Equip.	6,290	6	15	14.2	39
.e.	Total	\$104,733			2.9 3/	\$3,05

 $\underline{\mathcal{V}}$  Carivation of Columns 4 & 5

Col. 4 (Depr. Rate) =  $(\frac{100-10}{100\times25}) \times 100 = 3.6\%$ Col. 5 (Annual Accr.) = \$3,755 x 3.6\% = \$135

2/ Composite Rate of 2.9% is derived by dividing the sum of Column 5 by the sum of Column 1 and multiplying the product by 100. \$3,056 ÷ \$104,733 = 0.029 x 100 = 2.9% The first two unnumbered columns on the form are for the listing of an appropriate plant account number and its corresponding description. Column () shows the dollar amount of gross depreciable plant in each account of the utility's books at the beginning of the year. This is element 3 in the accrual equation.

Columns (2) and (3) are provided for the two elements in the depreciation accrual calculation which must be estimated. These are the average service lives to be assigned to a property and the percentage of average net salwage which can be expected when the property is retired.

For the average service life method, column (4) shows the depreciation rate for each account. This rate is derived from the estimates of average service lives and salvage percentages to be shown in columns (2) and (3). The annual accrual for each account to be entered in column (5) is calculated by multiplying plant dellars in column (1) by the depreciation rate in column (4).

### <u>Pecording the Depreciation Accrual</u>

There are several methods which can be used in calculating the annual accrual to be recorded on the utility's books for the year. The simplest is to apply the predetermined annual depreciation rates to the beginning-of-year depreciable plant. Another method is to estimate the end-of-year plant and then apply the depreciation rates to the average of the beginning- and end-of-year plant. The last method requires an adjustment to be made to the annual accrual after the recorded end-of-year plant amounts become available. In either method the utility may record the annual accrual as a single amount or in [2 monthly entries at its discretion or at the discretion of the regulatory commission. A third method employed by some of the larger utilities is to apply the annual depreciation rates each month to that month's beginning-of-month plant account talances or average monthly balances and record [/]2 of the result as that month's accrual.

## Depreciation Accounting

Reference should be made to an appropriate system of accounts and instructions for complete details of accounting transactions. The following tabulation presents some of the essential transactions in a double entry set of records:

E1	101	201	7	
F 4	124	ILL.	1	

Depreciation Accounting							
Transaction	Debit	Credit					
Original cost on placing plant in service	Plant account (asset account)	Cash, materials and supplies					
Depreciation accruals	Operation expenses and clearing accounts	Deprecation reserve account					
Retirement of original cost of plant	Depreciation reserve account -	Plant account (reduces the asset balance)					
Cost of removal on retire- ment from service	Depreciation reserve account	Cash, or accounts payable					
Gross salvage on retire- ment from service	Cash, materials and supplies or other investment accounts receivable	Cepreciation reserve account					

The accounting for additions and retirements should be promptly and properly recorded at the time of installation or retirement so that the plant and reserve accounts at all times reflect the current conditions.

### Reasonableness of Final Recort

An overall test of reasonableness should be applied to the final determination of the annual accrual. The overall composite depreciation rate produced by the accrual calculation should normally fall within a range of from 2.0% to 4.0%. When results are obtained which fall significantly outside this range, further review should be made to ascertain the nature of any special conditions which may be influencing the result. Under most circumstances, estimates of average service lives and net salwage should be made at intervals of not more than five years.

Appendix A Page 1 of 5

# DETERMINATION OF STRAIGET-LINE REMAINING LIFE DEPRECIATION ACCRUALS

### General

The straight-line remaining life method is another method to determine depreciation accruals and is used frequently enough to warrant development in this manual. The factors considered in the straight-line remaining life method tend to control erratic fluctuations in the annual or periodic accruals. This method also has as its objective the control of excessive or deficient accumulations in the depreciation reserve.

Under the straight-line remaining life method, the net depreciable plant is recovered over the estimated remaining useful life of the property. This method differed significantly from the straight-line average service life method under which the depreciable plant is recovered over its entire estimated average life.

The straight-line remaining life method meets the objectives of depreciation accounting. The base for the depreciation charges is the same as the base used in the straight-line average service life method, explained earlier in the text.

Appendix A Page 2 of 5

### The Depreciation Rate Equation

The basic equation for determining the annual depreciation rate by the straight-line remaining life method is:



#### Where:

### Determination of Annual Depreciation Accrual

The form used and examples of the straight-line remaining life method of calculating annual depreciation accruals are shown in Table I on page 33.

The first two unnumbered columns are for the listing of appropriate plant account number and its corresponding description. Column (!) shows the dollar amount of gross depreciable plant in each account of the utility's books at the beginning of the year. This is element B in the accrual equation.

Appendix A Page 3 of 5

Columns (2) and (6) show the two elements in the depreciation accrual calculation which must be estimated. They are the percentage of net salvage which can reasonably be expected when the property is retired and the service lives to be assigned to the property.

Column (3) is designed to show the estimated future net salwage dollars calculated by multiplying the plant dollars in Column (1) by the salwage percentage in Column (2). Column (4) is provided for the recorded depreciation reserve taken from the utility's bocks which, together with the salwage dollars, is deducted from the gross plant amounts to produce the net balance shown in Column (5).

Column (5) shows one weighted average service life for all the plant, units or groups within each account. Column (7) shows the average service life of the survivors (sometimes called probable life) and equals age plus remaining life. Column (9) shows the weighted average remaining life (element Z in the accrual equation) in years and is determined either by subtracting the average age in Column from the average service lives in Column (7) or (8) determined directly by either the Forecast, Approximation or Direct Judgment Sethods as noted in the footnotes in Table The annual accrual in Column (10) is computed by I. by the average dividing the net balance in Column (5) remaining life in Column (9). Column (1) shows the

depreciation rate for each account derived by dividing the annual accrual in Column (10) by the gross plant in Column (1).

An overall depreciation rate or composite rate for the entire plant is determined by dividing the total annual accrual of all accounts by the total gross plant. The composite depreciation rate in Table I is 3.71%.

The discussion in the text relating to the reasonableness of the annual depreciation accrual, the recording of the depreciation accrual, and general depreciation accounting applies equally to the straight-line remaining life method.

(-

I JULE I

ù

ä

SURMARY OF ANHUAL DEPRECIATION RATE DETERMINATION Stratgut line remaining life hethod

	[ E 0															P
	Depreciati Accrual Ra (10);(11) (11)	3.0	1.9	3.6	4.0	5.1	3.6	4.0	6.1	4.3	3.0	1.6	9.6	1.1	12.6	16.6
	Annual Accrual (5);(9) (10)	506	261	9	806	92	6,492	841	175	1,291	1,030	06	63	061	827	12,830
	Remaining Life (9)	11 <sup>81</sup>	161/	1all/	152/	132/	182/	1321	212/	1631	222/	94/	141	101	17	:
	Average Age (B)	ł	1	I	ł	1	:	ł	1	1	1	EI	s	e	*	ł
	Survice Life	1	:	ł		ł	:	1	:	1	1	22	12	13	8	1
let.	Average Se Original Group Vrs.		I		25	. 18	30	33	25	25	30	18	n	12	1	1
	$\frac{\text{Net}}{(1)-(3)-(4)}$	10,549	4,168	116	13,293	161,1	116,058	14,298	3,667	20,648	22,661	811	643	1,896	3,309	213,900
lianrac fat fon	Beginning of Year (4)	8.014	9,610	49	8,294	163	61.743	6,805	315	12,344	6,974	120	214	451	2,203	117,755
future	Gross Gross Removal)	116	ł	1	155	16	(8,505)	1	123	(5,999)	5,230	1	;	124	186	(165,6)
Est.	(Est. Selver Cost of (2)	5.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	5.0	(5.0)	0.0	3.0	(10.0)	15.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	15.0	٠
	Gruss Plant at Beylminy <u>uf Year</u> ()	19,540	13,746	165	22,020	610.1	170,096	21,103	4.105	29,993	34,865	166	657	2,471	6,579	328,132
	nt <u>1122-11</u> 24	Structures & Improvements	Wells	Sprhuys and Tunnels	Pumptny Equipment	Mater Treatment Equipment	Trans. & Distr. Mains	Reservuirs and lanks	liydrants	Services	Helers	Other Irans, & Distr. Plant	Office furniture & Equipment	Stures Equipment	louls, Shop & Garage Equip.	IDIALS
	Accou	ΞĒ	elle.	SIE	324	332	543	342	348	345	346	349	372	374	9/f	

1/ Remaining life determined by forecast method. 2/ Remaining life determined by selecting surviver curve. 3/ Remaining life determined by computation from accounting recurits. (See Appendix  $\mathbb{C}$ ) 4/ Column / - Column B.

33

Appendix A Page 5 of 5

# Appendix B Page 1 of 3

## DEPRECIATION STUDY BY FORECAST OR LIFE SPAN METHOD

Example: A dam is put into service at the beginning of 1961. The estimated life span is 40 years and the estimated time of retirement is the end of the year 2000. No salvage is assumed. Developments occur as follows:

No.	Year End	Event	Additions	Retirements
1	1963	Outlet works modified	4,500	3,000
2	1965	Spillway modified	6,000	3,000
3	1970	Fencing installed	1,500	-
4	1980	Sealant placed (capitalized)	3,000	-
5.	1985	New source of water supply procured. Existing source phased out over a 5- year period after which dam is retired.	,	

Year	Plant	Add1-	Retire-	Avera	ce Sei	rv1ci	a L1:	fe	. (	Depreciati	cn
	Surviving	tions	ments	Orig.	Add	iiti	on No	2.	Rate	Accruai	Beg. Ir.
	Beg. of Yr.	5	5	Dam	I	2	. 3	4	- *	5	Peserve
1961	\$42000 .	-		381/					2.532/	1105	
1952	42000	-	-	38					2.63	1105	\$ 1105
1963	42000	4500	3000	38	-				2.53	1105	2210
1964	43500	-		38	303	(			2 63	1144	315-
1965	43500	6000	3000	38- ,	38				2.63	1144	1459
1966	46500	-	-	20-	20	18			5.00-	2325	(397)
1967	46500	-	-	20	20	18			5.00	2325	1925
1968	46500	-	-	20	20	18			5.00	2325	4253
1969	46500	-	-	20	20	18			5.00	2325	6573
1970	46500	1500	-	20	20	18			5.00	2325	3903
1971	48000	-	-	30-2	31	29	24		1.33-	1598	11229
1972	18000	-	-	30	31	29	24		3.33	1598	12925
1973	48000	-	-	30	31	29	24		3.33	1598	14424
1974	18000	-	-	30	31	29	24		3. 33	1598	16022
1975	48000	-	-	30	31	29	24		3.33.	1598	17520
1976	48000		-	33	34	32	27		3.032/	1454	19213
1977	48000	-		33	34	32	27		3.03	1454	20672
1978	43000	-	-	33	34	32	27		3.03	1454	22125
1979	1-3000	-	-	33	34	72	27		3.03	1454	23550
1980	1000	2000	-	33	34	32	27		1.03-	1454	25034
1981	51000			24	36	34	29	19	1.12=/	1596	25488
1982	11.0CO	-	-	24	- 36	34	29	19	3.13	1596	22034
1983	51000	-	-	34	36	34	29	19	3.13	1596	29620
1984	57000	-	-	34	36	34	29	19	3,13	1596	31275
1985	51000	-	-	34	36	34	29	19	3.13.	1596	12272
1986	51000	-		25	27	25	20	10	4.17=	2127	34468
1987	51000	-	-	26	27	25	20	10	1.17	2127	36595
1988	51000	-	-	26	27	25	20	10	4.17	2127	38722
1989	51000	-	-	25	27	25	20	10	4.17	2127	40849
1990	51000	-	51000	25	27	25	20	10	4.17	2127	42975
1991	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	(12897)2/

(See Sheets 2 & 3 for Footnotes)

Appendix 8 Page 2 of 3

Footnotes:

- 1/ It is assumed that 10% of the original installation will be retired in piecemeal (interim) retirements over the life of the dam, which would average to 0.25% per year. Over a 40year period this would amount to a loss of 2 years' service life (½ x 40 x .10). 1.00 See Figure E-1.
- 2/ Assuming zero salvage, the depreciation rate is 100 ± 38 = 2.63% and the Accrual is .0263 x 42000 or \$1,105.
- 3/ It is assumed that depreciation studies are made every 5 years, as recommended in the text of this practice. Thus, the 1963 addition takes the same life. as the original addition until the time of the next study.
- 1.00 <u>2 years lost</u> .90 Expected Plant In Service Figure E-1 0 1961 Year 2001
- 4/ Depreciation reserve at the end of the third year (beginning of fourth year) is prior years' reserve of \$2,210 plus \$1,105 accrual less \$3000. retirement.
- 5/ A depreciation study made as of the beginning of 1966 determines that the experienced interim retirement rate is (0 + 0 + 3000 + 0 + 3000) + (42,000 + 42,000 + 42,000 + 43,500 + 43,500), which equals 2.82%. For the remaining 35 years, this represents

a loss of life of  $\frac{1}{2} \times 35 \times (.0282 \times 35)$ , or 17.3 years. The remaining life is 35 - 17.3 or 17.7 years for the remaining plant. It would apply to the 1965 placement. The unrealized life of the original placement would be 86% of this, or 15.2 years. See Figure E-2. During the first 3 years, when the original placement was intact, the life that was realized was a full 3 years. During the next two, when only 93% of the original investment still survived, the realized life was 2 x .93 or 1.9 years. The average service life for the full span is 3 + 1.9 + 15.2, or 20.1 years. For th nothing has been retired, the realized life



is 3 + 1.9 + 15.2, or 20.1 years. For the 1963 placement, from which nothing has been retired, the realized life is 2.0 years and the unrealized life is 17.7 for a total of 19.7. Both of these would round to 20 years.

Appendix B Page 3 of 3

6/ Composite average service life is:

Vintage a	Plant Surviving b	Service Life c	Accrual d=b+c
1961	\$36000	20	\$1800
1963	4500	20	225
1965	6000	18	333
	\$46500	19.7 years*	\$2358

\* 19.7 yr. from 46,500 ÷ 2358 use 20

Depreciation rate =  $\frac{100}{20}$  = 5.0%

- 7/ At the time of the 1971 depreciation study, the experienced interim retirement rate is  $6000 \div (3 \times 42,000 + 2 \times 43,500 + 5 \times 46,500)$ , or 1.35%. For the remaining 30 years the loss of life is  $\frac{1}{2} \times 30 \times (.0135 \times 30)$ , or 6.1 years and the remaining life is 23.9 years. This applies directly to the 1970 addition and is added to the realized lives of 5 and 7 years for the 1965 and 1963 additions. The realized life of the 1961 addition is  $3 \times 1.0 + 2 \times .93 + 5 \times .86$ , or 9.2 years and the unrealized life is .86  $\times 23.9$ , or 20.6 for a total average service life of 29.8 years. The composite life using the procedure as in <u>6</u>/ above is 30 years and the depreciation rate is 3.33%.
- 8/ For the 1976, 1981 and 1986 studies, the following factors can be derived using the same procedures as above:

	Experienced				
Date of Study	Int. Ret. Rate-%	Remaining Life	Composite Life	Depreciation Rate-%	
1976	0.88	22.3	33	3.03	
1981	0.65	18.7	32	3.13	
1986	0.51	4.9	24	4.17	

9/ Because of the reduction in the life span of the dam from 40 to 30 years, there is a shortage in the reserve at the time of retirement of the dam. The shortage is not large, however, when compared with annual accruals and no corrective measures should be taken. Rather, the span of the replacement facility should be adjusted downward as, for example, from 40 to 30 years, in expectation of a similar overestimation. The beginning interim retirement rate should also be higher (0.5 instead of 0.25), reflecting past experience.

Appendix C

Form 0-5 UTILITY A1 AREA/DEPT AC 345	oha Water Company Services	RE	ALIZED LIFE AND REMA COMPUTED FROM AS	INING LIFE OF PLANT ACCOUNTING RECORDS OF 1/1 60
	GROSS ADD	DITIONS		
YEAR	RECORDED	ADJUSTED	TRANSFERS IN AND (OUT)	PLANT BALANCES (END OF YEAR)
1050		41 000		500.007
1959	51,923	\$1,923	-	329,993
20	2.705	2,705		25,510
3/	1,020	1.020		20,223
20	1,2/8	1,2/8	· · ·	24,350
1054	1,12/	1,12/		23,376
1934	1,431	2 054	221*	21 001
23	1,733	2,034	361-	20 019
	203	303		19 114
. 50	147	447	50	18,305
1949	026	050		18.309
1349	1 122	1 122		17 410
47	1 076	1.075		16 154
45	1 442	1 442		15,209
15	1 193	1 103		13,873
1941	1.754	1.754		12,791
43	1,893	1,393	-	11,110
42	1,276	1.276	-	9,244
41	521	and the second	-	8.048
40	409		-	7,517
19				
			· Originally d	levoted to sublic
			service in	944.
TOTALS	1(1)	24,792	(2) 371	(3) 358.797
TOTAL	LS TAKEN FROM MOST	RECENT YEAR BACK	TO SELECTED BEGINNIN	G YEAR OF 1942
(4) Begin	nning Plt. Bal.	3.048	(5) 5 Beg. P11	Balance 4,024
(6) Plan	t Exposed=(1)+(4)	32.840		
(7) Plan	t Surviving	29,993	(8) ½ Survivir	ng Balance <u>-14,997</u>
(9) Port	ion Surviving=(7)/(	6) 0.9133	(10) \$ Years=(:	3)-(5)-(8) 339,775
			(9 x 371)	
	(11)	Correction to Pas	t Dollar Years for	Transfers: 3.339
(12) Estin	mated Av. Serv. Lif	e Yrs	(13) Past Colla	r Years 343,115
(14) Real	ized Life=(13)/(6)	10.45		
(15) Diff	erence(12)-(14)	24.55	(16) Rem. Life	(15)/(3) 26.88 Yrs.
	(17) 0	Conclusion: (Use	Rounded Value) Rema	ining Life27 Yrs.

( -

Average Realized Life In Years	Range As A Per	e of Depreciation Res rcentage Of Plant In	serve Service
	For 30-Year Life	For 45-Year Life	For 60-Year Life
1	2 to 4	1 to 3	1 to 2
2	5 to 7	3 to 5	2 to 4
3	7 to 10	5 to 7	4 to 5
4	10 to 13	6 to 9	5 to 7
5	12 to 16	8 to 11	<u>6 to 8</u>
6	14 to 19	10 to 13	7 to 10
7	16 to 22	11 to 15	8 to 12
8	18 to 24	13 to 17	10 to 13
9	19 to 27	14 to 19	11 to 15
10	24 to 29	16 to 21	12 to 16
11	26 to 31	18 to 23	13 to 17
12	27 to 33	19 to 24	14 to 19
13	29 to 35	21 to 26	15 to 20
14	30 to 37	22 to 27	16 to 22
15	31 to 39	24 to 29	17 to 23
16	32 to 40	25 to 30	18 to 24
17	33 to 42	26 to 31	19 to 25
18	34 to 43	27 to 33	21 to 27
19	35 to 43	28 to 34	23 to 28
20	36 to 43	29 to 36	24 to 29
21	36 to 43	30 to 37	25 to 30
22	36 to 43	31 to 38	26 to 31
23		32 to 39	27 to 32
24		32 to 40	27 to 33
26		34 to 42	29 to 35
28		35 to 43	30 to 37
30		36 to 43	31 to 39
32		36 to 43	32 to 40
35		36 to 43	34 to 42
. 45			36 to 43

# RANGE OF DEPRECIATION RESERVE FOR AVERAGE REALIZED LIFE

<sup>1</sup>For the stideline reserves, the dispersion characteristics of Iowa Curves of the  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ , and  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  shapes were used; the net salvage was assumed to be zero.

Appendix E

# ASSET GUIDELINE CLASSES AND PERIODS, ASSET DEPRECIATION RANGES, AND ANNUAL ASSET GUIDELINE REPAIR ALLOWANCE PERCENTAGE

.

Asset		Asset	depreciation (in years)	range	Annual asset guideline
guide- line class	Description of assets in	Lower ncluded limit	Asset guideline period	Upper limit	repair allowance percentage

# SPECIFIC DEPRECIABLE ASSETS USED IN ALL BUSINESS ACTIVITIES, EXCEPT AS NOTED:

00.11	Office Furniture, Fixtures, & Equip- ment: Includes furniture & fixtures which are not a structural component of a building. Includes such assets as desks, files, safes, and communica- tions equipment. Does not include communications equipment that is included in other CLADR classes	8	10	12	2
00.13	Data Handling Equipment, except Computers: Includes only typewriters, cal- culators, adding & accounting machines, copiers, & duplicat- ing equipment	5	6	7	15
00.22	Automobiles	2.5	3	3.5	15.5
00.241	Light General Purpose Trucks: Includes trucks for use over the road (actual unloaded weight less than 13,000 pounds)	3	4	5	16.5
DEPRECIABI	LE ASSETS USED IN THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITIE	S:			
49.3	Water Utilities: Includes assets used in the gather- ing, treatment, & commercial dis- tribution of water	40	50	60	1.5

39

.

40

INDEX

λ

Accelerated methods	19
Account(s)	4,15 .
Accounting practices	iv, 2
Accrual equation	30,31.
Action of the elements	4
Additions	18,28
Administrative and general expecditures	3
λge	6, 3, 14, 31
Annual accrual (depreciation-accrual)	24,25,26
Annual depreciation accrual, determination of	24,29,30
Annual depreciation rate determination	25,30
Anticipated changeover	4
Approximation	3)
Asbestos-Cement pipe	20.20
Asset depreciation range (ADR)	6 19 22
Average age	0,10,33
Average gross salvage	6 10
Average file	18 23
Average net salvage	19,23
Average realized the	10,30
Average remaining tite of survivors	111 1 - 2 H
Average service tite (IIVes)	578910
	11 15 16 19
	1111210100
	29 31 33
latara sorvice life actimates	20,31,33
Average service life veighting	16
Average service lite tergical	111.11
Vierade service rries, cliticat	
В	
Base for depreciation charges	3
Book cost of gross depreciable plant	30
Book depreciation reserve	30
Book reserve	17
Buildings	9
Bulletin F	19
-	
c	
Capitalized interest during construction	3
Cast iron pipe	5
Categories of water utility plant	2
Character	4
Class life asset decreciation rance (CLADE)	20,21,22,23
Class life (CL) system	20,21,22,23
Classes of utility croperty	5
Clearing accounts	2
Collecting and impounding reservcirs	11
Consunication equipment	11

Construction costs Continuing property account (records)25,28,32 3 2,3,13,17, 2,3,2,24,30Cost of labor Cost of organizing Cost of resoval Cost of resoval Cost of resoval Cost of resoval Cost of service3 3 2,2,24,30Damages Definitions Definitions Definitions Definitions Depreciation accounting Depreciation accrual, determination of annual Depreciation accrual, determination straight-line resaining life setted Depreciation practices for small gas and electric utilities3 3,12 9,34,35,36 4 4,7,19,21, 24,26,229,30 22,32,24,29Depreciation accrual, determination of annual Depreciation accrual, determination straight-line resaining life setted Depreciation practices for small gas and electric utilities33 25 25 26,27, 30 32 33Depreciation rate calculations Depreciation rate calculations Depreciation rate, straight-line Temating life10 33 33Depreciation rate sfor small gas and electric utilities Depreciation rate calculations 33 3311 24,25,26,27,30 33Depreciation rate sfor small vater. utilities, typical Depreciation rates for small vater. utilities, typical Depreciation reserve33 33Depreciation reserve33 33Depreciation reserve33 34Depreciation reserve34 33Partime ri	Composite	5,15;16,24,			
Cost of labor Cost of organizing Cost of organizing Cost of reaoval Cost of service D Damages Datages Data (s) Definitions Definitions Depreciation Depreciation Depreciation Straight-line reacting Depreciation accrual, determination straight-line reacting life method Depreciation accrual, determination straight-line reacting life method Depreciation accrual, determination straight-line reacting life method Depreciation accrual, recording of Depreciation practices for small gas and electric utilities Depreciation rate (s) Depreciation rate calculations Depreciation rate sfor small telephone utilities Depreciation rate sfor small vater. utilities, typical Depreciation rates for small vater. Milleering fudgent(s) Satiate of future conditions Heriated net valuer. Depreciated net valuer.	Construction costs Continuing property account (records) Cost	25,28,32 3 23 2,3,13,17, 21,22,24,30			
Jamages Data (s) Decay Definitions Depreciation (property)3,12 9,34,35,36 4 1,2,3,9,19 22,23,24,29 29,32 29,32 29,32 29,33Depreciation accounting Depreciation account and rate determination straight-line remaining life setLed Depreciation accrual, determination of annual Depreciation accrual and rate determination straight-line remaining life setLed Depreciation trace for small gas and electric utilities33 25 26 27,17,24,26,29,30 29,33Depreciation accrual, determination of annual Depreciation accrual and rate determination straight-line remaining life setLed Depreciation practices for small gas and electric utilities33 25 26,27,30,21 27,25,26,27,30,32Depreciation rate calculations Depreciation rate equation Depreciation rate straight-line remaining life33Depreciation rate straight-line remaining life33Depreciation rate straight-line remaining life33Depreciation rate straight-line repreciation rate straight-line remaining life33Depreciation rate for small vater. utilities, typical Depreciation rate straight-line remaining life33Depreciation rate for small vater. utilities, typical Depreciation rate straight-line straight straight	Cost of labor Cost of organizing Cost of removal Cost of service	3 3 12,21 2			
Data ges Data (s)3,12 9,34,35,36Definitions Depreciable plant (property)3,6,7,19,21, 24,26,29,30Depreciation Depreciation accrual1,2,3,9,19 22,23,24,29Depreciation accrual Depreciation accrual, determination of annual Depreciation accrual, necording of Depreciation accrual, necording of Depreciation accrual, recording of Depreciation practices for small gas and electric utilities1Depreciation ract calculations Depreciation rate (s)1,2,5,13,21 24,25,26,27, 30,32Depreciation rate sfor small telephone utilities1Depreciation rate (s)1,2,5,5,13,21 24,25,26,27, 30,32Depreciation rate calculations Depreciation rate sfor small vater utilities, typical Depreciation reserve11 1,1,7,29,30 30Depreciation rates for small vater utilities, typical Depreciation reserve3 3Depreciation rates for small vater utilities, typical Depreciation reserve11 3,12 3Depreciation reserve3 3Depreciation reserve3 3Pagineering 3 33 3 </td <td>D</td> <td></td>	D				
Depreciation24,26,29,30Depreciation accounting22,23,24,29Depreciation accrual29,32Depreciation accrual, determination of annual24Depreciation accrual and rate determination33Depreciation accrual, determination of annual24Depreciation accrual, recording of32Depreciation determination, annual25Depreciation fractices for small gas and19electric utilities11Depreciation rate (s)1,2,5,13,21Depreciation rate equation30Depreciation rate, straight-line33Depreciation rates for small vater.33Depreciation rates for small vater.11Utilities, typical11Depreciation reserve23,25Depreciation rates for small vater.33Depreciation rates for small vater.11Depreciation rate of small vater.11depreciation rate states3depreciation rate states3depreciation rate states3depreciation rate states3depreciation rate states3depreciation rate states3depreciation reserve12,17,29,30,31depreciation reserve3depreciation reserve3depreciation reserve3depreciation rate states4depreciation rate states4depreciation reserve3depreciation reserve3depreciation reserve3depreciation reserve3	Damages Dam(s) Decay Definitions Depreciable plant (property)	3,12 9,34,35,36 4 1 3,6,7,19,21,			
Depreciation accrual1,1,2,2,2,3,3,2Depreciation accrual and rate determination24Depreciation accrual and rate determination33Depreciation accrual, recording of32Depreciation caccrual, recording of32Depreciation determination, annual25Depreciation fractices for small gas and19Depreciation practices for small gas and17electric utilities17Depreciation rate(s)1,2,5,13,21Depreciation rate calculations23,25Depreciation rate, straight-line33Depreciation rates for small vater.11utilities, typical11Depreciation reserve12,17,29,30,31Depreciation rates for small vater.11utilities, typical11Depreciation rates for small vater.11utilities, typical1Pereciation rates for small vater.12,17,29,30,31Utilities, typical1Depreciation rates for small vater.12,17,29,30,31utilities, typical1Depreciation rates for small vater.12,17,29,30,31Depreciation rates for small vater.12,17,29,30,31Depreciation rates for small vater.12,14,14utilities, typical1Depreciation rates for small vater.1utilities, typical3Betrarding estimates4Sagineering functions4Satisate of future conditions4Satisate of future conditions2Satisate of future conditions2	Depreciation accounting	24,26,29,30 1,2,3,9,19 22,23,24,29 29,32			
straight-line remaining life method33Depreciation accrual, recording of32Depreciation determination, annual35Depreciation determination, annual25Depreciation practices for small gas and19Depreciation practices for small gas and17Depreciation practices for small telephone11utilities1,2,5,13,21Depreciation rate(s)1,2,5,13,21Depreciation rate calculations23,25Depreciation rate equation30Depreciation rates for small vater.33utilities, typical11Depreciation reserve12,17,29,30,31ZZIndeering patients3Angineering judgeent(s)9,12,14Squipment3Stimate of future conditions4Stimate of future conditions2,16	Depreciation accrual Depreciation accrual, determination of annual Depreciation accrual and rate determination	29,30,31,32 24			
Depreciation, Pederal income tax Depreciation, Pederal income tax Depreciation practices for small gas and electric utilities Depreciation practices for small telephone utilities Depreciation rate(s) Depreciation rate calculations Depreciation rate equation Depreciation rate equation Depreciation rate, straight-line remaining life Depreciation rates for small vater- utilities, typical Depreciation reserve 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	straight-line remaining life method Depreciation accrual, recording of Depreciation base	33 32 3			
Depreciation practices for small telephone utilitiesiii iiiDepreciation rate(s)1,2,5,13,21 24,25,26,27, 30,32Depreciation rate calculations23,25Depreciation rate equation Depreciation rate, straight-line remaining life33Depreciation rates for small water- utilities, typical Depreciation reserve11 12,17,29, 30,31Depreciation gestivates Signeering estivates4 3ZZ3 2,14Sugineering judgent(s)9,12,14 3 2,14Stimate of future conditions4 4	Depreciation, Federal income tax Depreciation cractices for small gas and electric utilities	19 i₹			
Depreciation rate calculations 23,25 Depreciation rate equation 30 Depreciation rate, straight-line 33 Depreciation rates for small water. utilities, typical 11 Depreciation reserve 12,17,29, 30,31 Z Zngineering committee on 7 Engineering estimates 4 Sngineering judgment(s) 9,12,14 Stimate of future conditions 4 Setimated pet salvage 2,14	Depreciation fractices for small telephone utilities Depreciation rate(s)	iii  ,2,5, 3,2  24,25,26,27, 30,32			
Depreciation rates for small water- utilities, typical [1] Depreciation reserve [12,17,29, 30,3] Z Zngineering 3 Zngineering, Committee on 7 Engineering estimates 4 Sngineering judgment(s) 9,12,14 Squipment 3 Estimate of future conditions 4	Depreciation rate calculations Depreciation rate equation Depreciation rate, straight-line remaining life	23,25 30 33			
Z     Engineering   3     Engineering, Committee on   7     Engineering estimates   4     Engineering judgment(s)   9,12,14     Equipment   3     Estimate of future conditions   4     Estimated pet salvace   7.14	Depreciation rates for small water- utilities, typical Depreciation reserve	11 12,17,29, 30,31			
Engineering3Engineering, Committee onvEngineering estimates4Engineering judgment(s)9,12,14Equipment3Estimate of future conditions4Estimated pet salvace2,14	Z				
	Engineering Engineering, Committee on Engineering estimates Engineering judgment(s) Equipment Estimate of future conditions	3 7 9,12,14 3			

-

41

.

Exhaustion Expected life of the survivor	. · S	19 6
	2	
Pederal income tax depreciati Pire mains Porced relocations Porecast of life span method Poreword Pranchises Prequency curve Function Puture effect Future net salvage	ад 	19 4,11 12 9,33,34 111 3 6,8 4 4 14,31,33
	G	
Galleries and tunnels General expenditures General plant Gross additions Gross depreciable plant Gross plant Gross salvage	*	11 3 11 37 24,25,26,30 15,16,31,32, 33 12,13,14, 21,27 19
Adidetine Tite		12
	a.	
Eydrants		4,11,33
	I	
Indequacy Individual item of plant Injuries and damages Insurance Intangible plant Interest Interest Interest inring construction Interim additions Internal Sevenue Code 1954 Iowa curves		4 3 3 3 3 3 9 9 19 38
	J	
Judgment sethcd		31
	ĸ	
	L	
Laboratory equipsent		11
Lake, river and other intake	s	11

3 Land 19 Liberalized depreciation 10 Life estimates Life span or forecast method 34 depreciation study 16 Life weight 3 Mains, transmission and distribution 4 iv Maintenance standards Sanual of definitions i▼ 3 Materials **deters** 4,11,33 Meter installations 11 fortality characteristics 4 Mortality data 10 doctality dispersion 18 H Net salvage iii,2,|2,|3, 14,16,17,18, 21,28,30, 3.1 Net salvage estimate 12 Net salvage, gumping equipment, average and future 14 Net salvage value, determination of 13 Net salvage value pumping equipment, weighted 16 Sondepreciable 3 0 Objectives of depreciation 2 Obsclescence 4,19 Office supplies and expenses 3 Office furniture and equipment 11,33 Operating expenses 2 Original cost 3,27 Other expenses 3 Other pusping plant 11 P 4 Past experience Past retirements 18 Percentage of plant in service 38 4 Physical appearance 6 Placement of plant Plant 31 Plant accounts 27,28,30 Plant investment 2 Power operated equipsent 11 Probable life 5, 3, 10, 31 Public requirements 4 Public service 3

Public utility depreciation practices iii, |, |4, |8 11,15,33 Pumping equipment Pumping plant 11 Purpose 1 Q R Realized gross salvage 14 Realized life and remaining life of plant 37 Reasonableness of final report (annual accrual) 28 S Reciprocal average Reciprocal weighting 15 Recording the depreciation accrual 26 6,7,30,31, Remaining life 32,33 Repair allowance 21,22,39 Reserve for depreciation 12 9 Reservoirs Reservoirs and tanks 11,33 Resolution Re Adoption of Depreciation Practices for Small Water Utilities ٧ 5 Retired plant Retirement(s) 6, 12, 13 18,27,28 Betirement curve 5 Retirement ratios 6 Revenue Procedure 62-21 19,20 Revenue Procedure 77-10 21 s Salaries, general officers and clerical 3 Salvage rates typical for small water utilities 11 Scope 1 Score of sanual Ł Section 157 19,20,21,22 Service Life (lives) iii,4,5,7, 18,31 Service lie estimates, average Service lie pumping equipment, 4,7,10 weighted average 15 Services 4,11,33,37 Short lived (units) (plant) 6,13,17 Small water utilities iii, 1, 13 Source of supply plant 11 Span of years 6 4 Specific plans of management Staff Committee on Engineering 7 Steel pipe 5 Stores equiprent 11,33 Straight-line average service life method 2,24,25 Straight-line sethods 19 2,29,30 Straight-line remaining life

8 Stub Survivor Curve Structures and improvements 11,33 4 Subaccounts iii,iv,v Subconnittee, Depreciation 3 Supervision 11 Supply mains 5 Surviving plant 5,6,7,8 Survivor curve Survivor curve and related curves 8 Survivor curve method 6 T Table cf Contents i,ii Tares 3 Test of reasonableness 28 Theoretical reserve studies 17 Tools, shop, and garage equipment 11,33 Total, annual accrual 32 Transfers 37 Transpission and distribution mains 4,11,33 Transmission and distribution clant 11 Transportation equipment 11 Treatment plants 9 U Unit(s) 4,6,13,14, 15,16,31 Units of property 9 Osaful life 2,29 7 Vintage 20,22,36 7 Fater treatsent equipment 11,33 Fater creatient plant 11 4,19 Fear and tear Vaighted 17922ge 5,16,31 Weighted tot salvage value 17 Reightin: 16,17 33 **Vells** 

IIZ

11

Wells and springs

\*Gerald E Wuetcher Attorney at Law STOLL KEENON OGDEN PLLC 300 West Vine Street Suite 2100 Lexington, KENTUCKY 40507-1801 \*S. Morgan Faulkner Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate 700 Capitol Avenue Suite 20 Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

\*Kent Chandler Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate 700 Capitol Avenue Suite 20 Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

\*Mary Ellen Wimberly STOLL KEENON OGDEN PLLC 300 West Vine Street Suite 2100 Lexington, KENTUCKY 40507-1801

\*Monroe County Water District 205 Capp Harlan Road Tompkinsville, KY 42167

\*Jana Dupree Monroe County Water District 205 Capp Harlan Road Tompkinsville, KY 42167

\*Richard O Ross General Manager Monroe County Water District 205 Capp Harlan Road Tompkinsville, KY 42167

\*Rebecca W Goodman Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Office of Rate 700 Capitol Avenue Suite 20 Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204