

November 3, 2016

PO BOX 615

RECEIVED

NOV -7 2016

Public Service Commission

RE: PSC CASE NO. 2016-00335

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MS TALINA MATTHEWS

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

FRANKFORT KY 40602

Dear Ms. Matthews:

Please find enclosed an original and eight (8) copies of the responses of Nolin RECC as requested in the above referenced case dated October 12, 2016.

Sincerely,

Nichael Z. miller

Michael L. Miller President & CEO

afc

Enclosures

Commonwealth of Kentucky

Before the Public Service Commission

Case No. 2016-00335

VERIFICATION

I verify, state and affirm that the testimony filed with this verification and for which I am listed as a witness is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

<u>Muchonda</u> O'<u>Bi</u> Mechonda O'Brien, Regulatory Accounting Manager

State of Kentucky

County of Hardin

The foregoing was signed, acknowledged and sworn to before me by Mechonda O'Brien, this 1st day of November, 2016.

Ullbon F. Coffee Notary Public Dugust 29, 2020

My Commission Expires:

- Q. In its October 12, 2016 Order the Commission directed that each Member Cooperative file prepared testimony explaining: a) whether it has considered being billed a direct amount for environmental costs based on its monthly purchased power costs or, otherwise, why it has not been considered; b) whether a direct charge for environmental costs would lessen or eliminate the over-/under-recovery amounts that occur from being billed amounts calculated from an environmental surcharge factor; and c) whether being billed a direct amount for environmental costs would cause the environmental surcharge billings to its member customers to be less volatile and result in more timely recovery of environmental costs. Would you address the first question concerning billing the environmental costs as a direct amount?
- A. Nolin Rural Electric Cooperative has never considered being billed its environmental costs as a direct amount based on its monthly purchased power costs and has not discussed this concept with EKPC. As to why this approach has never been considered, Nolin Rural Electric Cooperative believed that the language of the environmental surcharge statute and the history of the environmental surcharge would not support such an approach. As stated in the direct testimony of Isaac S. Scott on behalf of EKPC, the environmental surcharge statute specifically mentions the approval of a "rate surcharge". Nolin Rural Electric Cooperative also notes that the environmental surcharge statute was modeled after the Commission's fuel adjustment clause ("FAC") regulation.¹ The FAC utilizes a rate mechanism rather than the direct billing of any differences between the actual fuel costs incurred for a period and the level of fuel costs incorporated into base rates. Finally, Nolin

¹ See In the Matter of an Examination by the Public Service Commission of the Environmental Surcharge Mechanism of East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. for the Six-Month Period Ending December 31, 2013 and the Pass-Through Mechanism for Its Sixteen Member Distribution Cooperatives, Order at 8, footnote 16, Case No. 2014-00051, (Ky. P.S.C., Aug. 25, 2015).

Testimony Witness: Mechonda O'Brien

Rural Electric Cooperative was further aware that all of the environmental surcharges proposed and approved by the Commission utilized rate mechanisms rather than a direct billing approach.

- Q. Would you address the second question concerning whether a direct charge for environmental costs would lessen or eliminate the over-/under-recovery amounts that occur from being billed amounts calculated from an environmental surcharge factor?
- A. Yes. EKPC's surcharge factor is calculated by dividing the monthly environmental costs incurred by EKPC by the 12-month average Member Cooperatives' revenues. Since the 12-month average Member Cooperatives' revenues used to calculate the surcharge factor will not match the Member Cooperatives' revenues for the specific invoice billing period the surcharge factor is applied to, an over- or under-recovery will exist. As Nolin Rural Electric Cooperative understands the suggestion of billing a direct amount for environmental costs based on the monthly purchased power costs, it would appear that approach would eliminate the over-/under-recovery as currently experienced.

However, Nolin Rural Electric Cooperative would note that its monthly power bills from EKPC fluctuate month to month, often significantly, due to load characteristics and customer mix. Assigning environmental costs to the Member Cooperatives based on monthly power bills which fluctuate significantly would result in bill volatility. Nolin Rural Electric Cooperative along with the other Member Cooperatives have had and continue to have serious concerns about bill volatility. Bill volatility can have significant impacts on the Member Cooperatives' monthly margins and in turn the financial measures such as the Times Interest Earned Ratio ("TIER"). While over time Nolin Rural Electric Cooperative would recover the EKPC-billed environmental costs from its members, timing lags especially at the end of the fiscal year could distort annual margins and TIER. To address the bill volatility, Nolin Rural Electric Cooperative and the other Member Cooperatives would seek some modification to the direct bill approach to lessen the volatility. Modifications, like using average monthly power bills as the basis for the assignment of the environmental costs, would result in a new form of over-/under-recovery.

- Q. Would you address the third question concerning whether being billed a direct amount for environmental costs would cause the environmental surcharge billings to the Member Cooperatives' member customer to be less volatile and result in more timely recovery of environmental costs?
- A. Yes. As noted previously, Nolin Rural Electric Cooperative's monthly power bills from EKPC fluctuate, sometimes by significant amounts. Each of the Member Cooperatives experience this fluctuation. Assigning EKPC's environmental costs based on the Member Cooperatives' monthly power bills would likely result in fluctuations in the amount of environmental costs assigned to any one Member Cooperative, which would then have to be recovered from the member customers. Thus, Nolin Rural Electric Cooperative believes that rather than lessen volatility, this approach would simply replace the volatility that comes with the surcharge factor approach with volatility resulting from assigning environmental costs recovery on fluctuating monthly power bills.

The utilization of a direct Nolin Rural Electric Cooperative's billing approach would not result in more timely recovery of environmental costs from Nolin Rural Electric Cooperative's member customers. Once Nolin Rural Electric Cooperative was billed a particular month's environmental costs, it would in turn bill its member customers the appropriate share of those costs in conjunction with the appropriate billing cycle. This process would be no different than the current arrangement.

Request 2.

This question is addressed to EKPC and the Member Coopertives. For each of the 16 member distribution cooperatives, prepare a summary schedule showing the Member Cooperative's pass-through revenue requirement for the months corresponding with the six-month review. Include a calculation of any additional over or under recovery amount the distribution cooperative believes needs to be recognized for the six-month review. Provide the schedule and all supporting calculations and documents in Excel spreadsheet format with formats intact and unprotected.

Response:

Please see EKPC's response to the Commission Staff's first data request dated October 12, 2016.

Request 7.

This question is addressed to each of the 16 member distribution cooperatives. For your particular member cooperative, provide the actual average residential customer's monthly usuage for the 12 months ended May 31, 2016. Based on this usage amount, provide the dollar impact any over-or under-recovery will have on the average residential customer's monthly bill for the requested recovery period.

Response:

Please see EKPC's response to Request No. 2 of the Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated October 12, 2016 for the calculation of the review period's over-or under- recovery. The impact on the average residential customer's monthly bill has been determined using the methodology used in previous surcharge reviews.

Actual Average Residential Bill:

12-Month Average Residential KWH usage as of May 31, 2016	1,167
Energy @ 0.08598	\$ 100.37
Customer Charge	\$ 9.04
Fuel Adjustment @ (\$0.007550)	\$ (8.81)
Environmental Surcharge @ 13.64%	\$ 13.72
Total	\$ 114.31

Recovery Period of Six Months

12-Month Average Residential KWH usage as of May 31, 2016

Energy @ 0.08598		\$ 100.37
Customer Charge		\$ 9.04
Fuel Adjustment @ (\$0.00	07550)	\$ (8.81)
Environmental Surcharge @	13.68%	\$ 13.76
Total		\$ 114.35

Dollar Impact on Average Residential Bill

\$ 0.04

Nolin Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation PSC Case No. 2016-00335

MONTH	YEAR	CONSUMERS	KWH SOLD
June	2015	32,705	38,966,408
July	2015	32,757	42,546,060
August	2015	32,763	39,815,473
September	2015	32,733	31,737,679
October	2015	32,840	29,105,389
November	2015	32,784	35,599,113
December	2015	32,734	42,713,010
January	2016	32,868	59,422,576
February	2016	32,987	45,330,659
March	2016	32,862	33,557,411
April	2016	32,901	29,310,305
May	2016	32,977	31,713,479
Totals		393,911	459,817,562
Averages		32,826	38,318,130
Average Usage			1,167

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. - Distribution Cooperatives Pass Through Mechanism Report for Nolin RECC

For the Month Ending July 2016

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	1	(9)		(10)	Γ	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
Surcharge Factor				EKPC Monthly Revenues from Sales to	On-peak Revenue Adjustment	EKPC Net Monthly Sales to	EKPC 12-months Ended Average Monthly Revenue from Sales to	Nolin Revenue Requirement	(0	mortization of Over)/Under Recovery		Nolin et Revenue Revenue equirement	N	Nolin Total Monthly Retail Revenues	On-Peak Retail Revenue Adjustment	Nolin Net Monthly Retail Revenues	12-months ended Avg. Retail Revenues,	Nolin Pass Through Mechanism
Expense Month	EKPC CESF %	EKPC BESF %	EKPC MESF %	Nolin		Nolin	Nolin										Net	Factor
			Col. (1) - Col. (2	2)		Col. (4) - Col. (5)		Col (3) x Col (7)			Co	l (8) + Col (9)				Col. (11) - Col. (12)		Col (10) / Col (14)
Oct-14	15.57%	0.00%	15.57%	\$ 3,261,026		\$ 3,261,026	\$ 4,305,768	\$ 670,408	\$	-	\$	670,408	\$	4,685,480		\$ 4,685,480	\$ 5,650,850	11.89%
Nov-14	16.95%	0.00%	16.95%	\$ 4,245,684		\$ 4,245,684	\$ 4,326,177	\$ 733,287	\$	25	\$	733,287	\$	5,869,199		\$ 5,869,199	\$ 5,686,767	12.98%
Dec-14	13.88%	0.00%	13.88%	\$ 4,427,516		\$ 4,427,516	\$ 4,294,938	\$ 596,137	\$	(#)	\$	596,137	\$	6,243,813		\$ 6,243,813	\$ 5,655,540	10.48%
Jan-15	13.67%	0.00%	13.67%	\$ 5,233,089		\$ 5,233,089	\$ 4,219,504	\$ 576,806	\$	-	\$	576,806	\$	6,798,305		\$ 6,798,305	\$ 5,542,142	10.20%
Feb-15	11.49%	0.00%	11.49%	\$ 5,240,879		\$ 5,240,879	\$ 4,224,645	\$ 485,412	\$. 	\$	485,412	\$	6,932,693		\$ 6,932,693	\$ 5,574,819	8.76%
Mar-15	10.90%	0.00%	10.90%	\$ 4,210,329		\$ 4,210,329	\$ 4,194,743	\$ 457,227	\$	-	\$	457,227	\$	4,977,851		\$ 4,977,851	\$ 5,529,316	8.20%
Apr-15	14.44%	0.00%	14.44%	\$ 2,830,770		\$ 2,830,770	\$ 4,143,202	\$ 598,278	\$	121	\$	598,278	\$	4,104,985		\$ 4,104,985	\$ 5,498,217	10.82%
May-15	18.09%	0.00%	18.09%	\$ 3,027,301		\$ 3,027,301	\$ 4,088,791	\$ 739,662	\$	5 4 0	\$	739,662	\$	4,269,280		\$ 4,269,280	\$ 5,448,396	13.45%
Jun-15	18.44%	0.00%	18.44%	\$ 3,846,754		\$ 3,846,754	\$ 4,063,290	\$ 749,271	\$:):	\$	749,271	\$	4,833,547		\$ 4,833,547	\$ 5,390,654	13.75%
Jul-15	15.91%	0.00%	15.91%	\$ 4,192,404		\$ 4,192,404	\$ 4,059,315	\$ 645,837	\$		\$	645,837	\$	5,593,002		\$ 5,593,002	\$ 5,402,524	11.98%
Aug-15	16.25%	0.00%	16.25%	\$ 3,903,725		\$ 3,903,725	\$ 4,018,650	\$ 653,031	\$	(82,842)	\$	570,189	\$	5,354,786		\$ 5,354,786	\$ 5,365,894	10.55%
Sep-15	17.07%	0.00%	17.07%	\$ 3,574,886		\$ 3,574,886	\$ 3,999,530	\$ 682,720	\$	(82,842)	\$	599,878	\$	4,482,080		\$ 4,482,080	\$ 5,345,418	11.18%
Oct-15	18.51%	0.00%	18.51%	\$ 2,993,116		\$ 2,993,116	\$ 3,977,204	\$ 736,181	\$	(82,842)	\$	653,339	\$	4,231,250		\$ 4,231,250	\$ 5,307,566	12.22%
Nov-15	18.81%	0.00%	18.81%	\$ 3,449,363		\$ 3,449,363	\$ 3,910,844	\$ 735,630	\$	(82,842)	\$	652,788	\$	4,657,579		\$ 4,657,579	\$ 5,206,598	12.30%
Dec-15	18.40%	0.00%	18.40%	\$ 3,785,816		\$ 3,785,816	\$ 3,857,369	\$ 709,756	\$	(82,842)	\$	626,914	\$	5,293,216		\$ 5,293,216	\$ 5,127,381	12.04%
Jan-16	16.00%	0.00%	16.00%	\$ 4,963,972		\$ 4,963,972	\$ 3,834,943	\$ 613,591	\$	(82,842)	\$	530,749	\$	6,851,123		\$ 6,851,123	\$ 5,131,783	10.35%
Feb-16	10.92%	0.00%	10.92%	\$ 4,330,294		\$ 4,330,294	\$ 3,759,061	\$ 410,489	\$	54 C	\$	410,489	\$	5,491,866		\$ 5,491,866	\$ 5,011,714	8.00%
Mar-16	14.30%	0.00%	14.30%	\$ 3,385,894		\$ 3,385,894	\$ 3,690,358	\$ 527,721	\$	(24,806)	\$	502,915	\$	4,611,700		\$ 4,611,700	\$ 4,981,201	10.03%
Apr-16	17.59%	0.00%	17.59%	\$ 2,938,732		\$ 2,938,732	\$ 3,699,355	\$ 650,717	\$	(24,806)	\$	625,911	\$	4,022,544		\$ 4,022,544	\$ 4,974,331	12.57%
May-16	18.99%	0.00%	18.99%	\$ 3,079,506		\$ 3,079,506	\$ 3,703,705	\$ 703,334	\$	(24,806)	\$	678,528	\$	4,248,853		\$ 4,248,853	\$ 4,972,629	13.64%
Jun-16	19.60%	0.00%	19.60%	\$ 3,728,515		\$ 3,728,515	\$ 3,693,852	\$ 723,995	\$	(24,806)	\$	699,189	\$	5,087,510		\$ 5,087,510	\$ 4,993,792	14.06%
Jul-16	16.50%	0.00%	16.50%	\$ 4,136,077		\$ 4,136,077	\$ 3,689,158	\$ 608,711	\$	(24,806)	\$	583,905	\$	5,520,486		\$ 5,520,486	\$ 4,987,749	11.69%

Notes: Nolin Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues. Revenues reported in Columns (4), (6), (7), (11), (13), and (14) are net of Green Power Revenues.

ITEM 7 Page 3 of 5 Pages

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. - Distribution Cooperatives Pass Through Mechanism Report for Nolin RECC

For the Month Ending July 2016

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		(9)		(10)		(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)		(15)
				EKPC	On-peak	EKPC Net	EKPC 12-months	Nolin	A	mortization		Nolin		Nolin	On-Peak	Nolin	12-mor	ths	Nolin
I				Monthly	Revenue	Monthly	Ended Average	Revenue		of		et Revenue		Total	Retail	Net Monthly	ende	7.2.2.2.	Pass
Surcharge				Revenues from	Adjustment	Sales	Monthly Revenue	Requirement	1.10	ver)/Under		Revenue	0.000	onthly Retail	Revenue	Retail	Avg. Re	10000	Through
Factor	FKEG	FKDO	FKDO	Sales to		to	from Sales to		1 '	Recovery	R	equirement	1.1	Revenues	Adjustment	Revenues	Revenu	ies,	Mechanism Factor
Expense Month	EKPC CESF %	EKPC BESF %	EKPC MESF %	Nolin		Nolin	Nolin										Net		Pactor
Monar		2 25 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Col. (1) - Col. (2)		Col. (4) - Col. (5)		Col (3) x Col (7)			Co	ol (8) + Col (9)				Col. (11) - Col. (12)			Col (10) / Col (14)
Oct-14	15.57%	0.00%	15.57%	\$ 3,261,026		\$ 3,261,026	\$ 4,305,768	\$ 670,408	\$	-	\$	670,408	\$	4,685,480		\$ 4,685,480	\$ 5,650	,850	11.89%
Nov-14	16.95%	0.00%	16.95%	\$ 4,245,684		\$ 4,245,684	\$ 4,326,177	\$ 733,287	\$	÷.	\$	733,287	\$	5,869,199		\$ 5,869,199	\$ 5,686	6,767	12.98%
Dec-14	13.88%	0.00%	13.88%	\$ 4,427,516		\$ 4,427,516	\$ 4,294,938	\$ 596,137	\$	2	\$	596,137	\$	6,243,813		\$ 6,243,813	\$ 5,655	5,540	10.48%
Jan-15	13.67%	0.00%	13.67%	\$ 5,233,089		\$ 5,233,089	\$ 4,219,504	\$ 576,806	\$	-	\$	576,806	\$	6,798,305		\$ 6,798,305	\$ 5,542	2,142	10.20%
Feb-15	11.49%	0.00%	11.49%	\$ 5,240,879		\$ 5,240,879	\$ 4,224,645	\$ 485,412	\$	-	\$	485,412	\$	6,932,693		\$ 6,932,693	\$ 5,574	,819	8.76%
Mar-15	10.90%	0.00%	10.90%	\$ 4,210,329		\$ 4,210,329	\$ 4,194,743	\$ 457,227	\$	5	\$	457,227	\$	4,977,851		\$ 4,977,851	\$ 5,529	9,316	8.20%
Apr-15	14.44%	0.00%	14.44%	\$ 2,830,770		\$ 2,830,770	\$ 4,143,202	\$ 598,278	\$	Ξ.	\$	598,278	\$	4,104,985		\$ 4,104,985	\$ 5,498	3,217	10.82%
May-15	18.09%	0.00%	18.09%	\$ 3,027,301		\$ 3,027,301	\$ 4,088,791	\$ 739,662	\$	-	\$	739,662	\$	4,269,280		\$ 4,269,280	\$ 5,448	3,396	13.45%
Jun-15	18.44%	0.00%	18.44%	\$ 3,846,754		\$ 3,846,754	\$ 4,063,290	\$ 749,271	\$	*	\$	749,271	\$	4,833,547		\$ 4,833,547	\$ 5,390	0,654	13.75%
Jul-15	15.91%	0.00%	15.91%	\$ 4,192,404		\$ 4,192,404	\$ 4,059,315	\$ 645,837		77	\$	645,837	\$	5,593,002		\$ 5,593,002	\$ 5,402		11.98%
Aug-15	16.25%	0.00%	16.25%	\$ 3,903,725		\$ 3,903,725	\$ 4,018,650	\$ 653,031		(82,842)	\$	570,189	\$	5,354,786		\$ 5,354,786	\$ 5,365	1.0.0	10.55%
Sep-15	17.07%	0.00%	17.07%	\$ 3,574,886		\$ 3,574,886	\$ 3,999,530	\$ 682,720	\$	(82,842)	\$	599,878	\$	4,482,080		\$ 4,482,080	\$ 5,345		11.18%
Oct-15	18.51%	0.00%	18.51%	\$ 2,993,116		\$ 2,993,116	\$ 3,977,204	\$ 736,181	1 288	(82,842)		653,339	\$	4,231,250		\$ 4,231,250	\$ 5,307	111 C	12.22%
Nov-15	18.81%	0.00%	18.81%			\$ 3,449,363	\$ 3,910,844	\$ 735,630		(82,842)		652,788	\$	4,657,579		\$ 4,657,579	\$ 5,206		12.30%
Dec-15	18.40%	0.00%	18.40%			\$ 3,785,816	\$ 3,857,369	\$ 709,756		(82,842)		626,914		5,293,216		\$ 5,293,216	\$ 5,127		12.04%
Jan-16	16.00%	0.00%	16.00%	A SPACE ALLE		\$ 4,963,972	\$ 3,834,943	\$ 613,591		(82,842)	\$		\$	6,851,123		\$ 6,851,123	\$ 5,131	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10.35%
Feb-16	10.92%	0.00%	10.92%			\$ 4,330,294	\$ 3,759,061	\$ 410,489	- 1860 - E	61700000000000	\$	410,489	\$	5,491,866		\$ 5,491,866	\$ 5,011	1. Carlos -	8.00%
Mar-16	14.30%	0.00%	14.30%			\$ 3,385,894	\$ 3,690,358	\$ 527,721	- 15 I I	(24,806)		502,915	\$	4,611,700		\$ 4,611,700	\$ 4,98		10.03%
Apr-16	17.59%	0.00%	17.59%			\$ 2,938,732	\$ 3,699,355	\$ 650,717		(24,806)		625,911	\$	4,022,544		\$ 4,022,544	\$ 4,974		12.57%
May-16	18.99%	0.00%		\$ 3,079,506		\$ 3,079,506	\$ 3,703,705	\$ 703,334		(22,794)		680,540	\$	4,248,853		\$ 4,248,853	\$ 4,972	and a second of	13.68%
Jun-16	19.60%	0.00%	19.60%			\$ 3,728,515	\$ 3,693,852	\$ 723,995		(24,806)		699,189	\$	5,087,510		\$ 5,087,510	\$ 4,993	N 1968 (196	14.06%
Jul-16	16.50%	0.00%	16.50%	\$ 4,136,077		\$ 4,136,077	\$ 3,689,158	\$ 608,711	\$	(24,806)	\$	583,905	\$	5,520,486		\$ 5,520,486	\$ 4,987	7,749	11.69%

Notes: Nolin Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues. Revenues reported in Columns (4), (6), (7), (11), (13), and (14) are net of Green Power Revenues.

	1							
		EKPC Invoice Month recorded Member's Books	Billed to Retail Consumer & recorded on Member's Books	Monthly (Over) or Under	Cumulative (Over) or Under			
ine No.	Month & Year	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
1	Previous (Over)/Under-Recovery Remaining	to be Amortized	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>					
1a	From Case No. 2014-00051 (Over)/Under-Re	And a local state of the			(\$165,68			
1b	From Case No. 2015-00281 (Over)/Under-Re				(\$148,83			
1c	From Case No. 2016-00144 (Over)/Under-Re				(\$69,20			
1d	Total Previous (Over)/Under-Recovery				(\$383,72			
2	Jan-16	\$913,370	\$824,883	\$88,487	(\$295,23			
3	Feb-16	\$692,847	\$568,414	\$124,433	(\$170,80			
4	Mar-16	\$369,740	\$368,926	\$814	(\$169,99			
5	Apr-16	\$420,240	\$403,457	\$16,783	(\$153,20			
6	May-16	\$541,684	\$534,137	\$7,547	(\$135,20			
7	Jun-16	\$708,045	\$693,935	\$14,110	(\$131,55			
Post	Jul-16	\$810,669	\$776,176	\$34,493	the second s			
Review		\$692,547	\$657,942		(\$97,05			
Neview	Aug-16 Less Adjustment for Order amounts remaining			\$34,605	(\$62,45			
	Less Aujustment for Order amounts remaining	ing to be amortized at end	Tor review period June 201	0				
		Amount Per Case	Amortization of					
0		Order Remaining	Previous		Amount Per Case			
8					The second of the second			
		to be Amortized at	(Over)/Under		Order Remaining to			
		beginning of Review	Recoveries During		be Amortized at end			
0-	C No. 2014 00051 D	Period	Review Period		of Review Period			
8a 8b	Case No. 2014-00051 Recovery	\$165,683	(\$165,684)		(\$			
- 21 - 2	Case No. 2015-00281 Recovery	\$148,835	(\$74,418)		\$74,41			
8c	Case No. 2016-00144 Recovery	\$69,207	\$0		\$69,20			
8d			Total Order amounts rem	naining - Over/(Under):	\$143,62			
9	Cumulative six month (Over)/Under-Recover	ry (Cumulative net of rem	aining Case amortizations (Ln 7&8d)]	\$12,07			
		1 (cambiante nei er ren			<i>\12,01</i>			
10	Monthly recovery (per month for six months	5			\$2,01			
	Reconciliation:							
11	Previous (Over)/Under-Recovery Remaining				(\$383,72			
12	Previous (Over)/Under-Recovery Remaining	to be Amortized, ending	of Review Period		\$143,62			
13	3 Total Amortization during Review Period							
14	\$12,07							
15	Less: Total Monthly (Over)/Under-Recovery	for Review Period (Colun	nn 4, Lines 2 thru 7)		\$252,17			
	1							

Amortization Detail, Column 3, Line 8:

Month & Year	Case No. 2014-00051	Case No. 2015-00281	Case No. 2016-00144
Jan-16	(\$82,842)	\$0	\$0
Feb-16	(\$82,842)	\$0	\$0
Mar-16	\$0	\$0	\$0
Apr-16	\$0	(\$24,806)	\$0
May-16	\$0	(\$24,806)	\$0
Jun-16	\$0	(\$24,806)	\$0
Totals	(\$165,684)	(\$74,418)	\$0

Request 9.

Explain in detail the process by which the environmental surcharge amounts billed by EKPC are recorded and billed to member customers. Include in the response a discussion of timing and accounting methodology.

Response:

The environmental surcharge billed by EKPC based on a calculated percentage of monthly sales is passed through to consumers the following month using this same methodology of percentage of sales to recover the expense incurred. The pass through mechanism listed as May is applied to customers bills incurred in June and recorded as June's revenue. These expenses are actually billed to the consumers in July. An accrual based accounting methodology is used so that revenues and expenses are matched accordingly.

Request 12

This question is addressed to the Member Cooperatives. Refer to your response to Staff's First Request, Item 2.

a. Explain how the amounts recorded in the column labeled 'EKPC Invoice Month Recorded Member's Books' correspond with EKPC's expense month. Explain in which month the Member Cooperative would reflect its portion of the December 2015 expenses billed by EKPC.

b. Explain whether the amounts reported in this column reflect only the actual billed by EKPC, or if the amount does or can include adjustments to the billed amount. Explain the adjustment that may be included, if any.

c. Refer to the column labelled "Billed to Retail Consumer & Recorded on Member's Books." Confirm that these amounts are the actual environmental surcharge amounts billed and not environmental surcharge amounts actually collected from retail customers.

Response:

a. The amounts recorded in the column labelled "EKPC Invoice Month Recorded Member's Books" correspond identical with the members accounting procedure. The amount reported in December 2015 is for the expense month of December and are billed in February 2016 for services rendered in January 2016.

b. EKPC prepared the response to Request 2 and the Members provide the surcharge revenues shown in column 3. The surcharge amount in Column 2 reflects the total gross surcharge shown on EKPC's invoice minus the Direct Load Control program surcharge credit. The Direct Load Control program provides demand credits to Members based on the involvement of the Member's customers in the Direct Load Control program. The demand credit impacts the revenue included to determine the surcharge and a separate surcharge credit is calculated. This adjustment is reflected on the EKPC invoice.

c. The amounts in the column "Billed to Retail Consumer & Recorded on Member's Books" are the actual environmental surcharge amounts billed.

Request 13

Refer to the Member Cooperatives Pass Through Mechanism Report in EKPC's monthly environmental surcharge report. Provide the revenue month to which the pass through factor (Column 15) calculated for the expense month will be applied.

Response:

The pass through factor (Column 15) calculated for the expense month will be applied to the following billable month's revenue. For example, the pass through factor listed as April will be passed through and recorded as May's revenue.