

RECEIVED

NOV -7 2016

Public Service Commission



NOV -7 2016

Public Service Commission

November 3, 2016

Talina Mathews Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

Re: PSC Case No. 2016-00335

Dear Executive Director:

Enclosed are the original and eight copies of the testimony and data response of Cumberland Valley Electric as requested in Case No. 2016-00335. Each response includes the name of the witness and a signed certification or affidavit of the person supervising the preparation or offering testimony.

If you have any questions about this filing, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Robert Tollin

Robert Tolliver Office Manager

Enclosures



COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

NOV -7 2016

Public Service Commission

In The Matter Of:

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE	
COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL	
SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF EAST KENTUCKY	
POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. FOR THE	
SIX MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING	
JUNE 30, 2016 AND THE PASS THROUGH	
MECHANISM FOR ITS SIXTEEN MEMBER	
DISRIBUTION COOPERATIVES	

CASE NO. 2016-00335

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF KENTUCKY)) COUNTY OF KNOX)

Robert D. Tolliver, being duly sworn, states he has read the foregoing prepared

testimony and that he would respond in the same manner to the questions if so asked upon

taking the stand, and that the matters and things set forth therein are true and correct to the

best of his knowledge, information and belief.

Talliver

Robert Tolliver

Subscribed and sworn before me on this <u>3rd</u> day of November 2016

Notary Public

My Commission Expires 2-13-19

CUMBERLAND VALLEY ELECTRIC EXAMINATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM CASE NO. 2016-00335

TESTIMONY OF ROBERT D. TOLLIVER

Q. Please state your name, business address and occupation.

- A. My name is Robert Tolliver and my business address is Cumberland Valley Electric, 6219 North U.S. Hwy 25E, Gray, Kentucky 40734. I am the Office Manager for Cumberland Valley Electric.
- Q. In its October 12, 2016 Order the Commission directed that each Member Cooperative file prepared testimony explaining: a) whether it has considered being billed a direct amount for environmental costs based on its monthly purchased power costs or, otherwise, why it has not been considered; b) whether a direct charge for environmental costs would lessen or eliminate the over-/under-recovery amounts that occur from being billed amounts calculated from an environmental surcharge factor; and c) whether being billed a direct amount for environmental costs would cause the environmental surcharge billings to its member customers to be less volatile and result in more timely recovery of environmental costs. Would you address the first question concerning billing the environmental costs as a direct amount?
- A. Cumberland Valley Electric has never considered being billed its environmental costs as a direct amount based on its monthly purchased power costs and has not discussed this concept with EKPC. As to why this approach has never been considered, Cumberland Valley Electric believed that the language of the environmental surcharge statute and the history of the environmental surcharge would not support such an approach. As stated in the direct testimony of Isaac S. Scott on behalf of EKPC, the environmental surcharge

statute specifically mentions the approval of a "rate surcharge". Cumberland Valley Electric also notes that the environmental surcharge statute was modeled after the Commission's fuel adjustment clause ("FAC") regulation.¹ The FAC utilizes a rate mechanism rather than the direct billing of any differences between the actual fuel costs incurred for a period and the level of fuel costs incorporated into base rates. Finally, Cumberland Valley Electric was further aware that all of the environmental surcharges proposed and approved by the Commission utilized rate mechanisms rather than a direct billing approach.

- Q. Would you address the second question concerning whether a direct charge for environmental costs would lessen or eliminate the over-/under-recovery amounts that occur from being billed amounts calculated from an environmental surcharge factor?
- A. Yes. EKPC's surcharge factor is calculated by dividing the monthly environmental costs incurred by EKPC by the 12-month average Member Cooperatives' revenues. Since the 12-month average Member Cooperatives' revenues used to calculate the surcharge factor will not match the Member Cooperatives' revenues for the specific invoice billing period the surcharge factor is applied to, an over- or under-recovery will exist. As Cumberland Valley Electric understands the suggestion of billing a direct amount for environmental costs based on the monthly purchased power costs, it would appear that approach would eliminate the over-/under-recovery as currently experienced.

However, Cumberland Valley Electric would note that its monthly power bills from EKPC fluctuate month to month, often significantly, due to load characteristics and customer mix.

¹ See In the Matter of an Examination by the Public Service Commission of the Environmental Surcharge Mechanism of East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. for the Six-Month Period Ending December 31, 2013 and the Pass-Through Mechanism for Its Sixteen Member Distribution Cooperatives, Order at 8, footnote 16, Case No. 2014-00051, (Ky. P.S.C., Aug. 25, 2015).

Assigning environmental costs to the Member Cooperatives based on monthly power bills which fluctuate significantly would result in bill volatility. Cumberland Valley Electric along with the other Member Cooperatives have had and continue to have serious concerns about bill volatility. Bill volatility can have significant impacts on the Member Cooperatives' monthly margins and in turn the financial measures such as the Times Interest Earned Ratio ("TIER"). While over time Cumberland Valley Electric would recover the EKPC-billed environmental costs from its members, timing lags especially at the end of the fiscal year could distort annual margins and TIER. To address the bill volatility, Cumberland Valley Electric and the other Member Cooperatives would seek some modification to the direct bill approach to lessen the volatility. Modifications, like using average monthly power bills as the basis for the assignment of the environmental costs, would result in a new form of over-/under-recovery.

- Q. Would you address the third question concerning whether being billed a direct amount for environmental costs would cause the environmental surcharge billings to the Member Cooperatives' member customer to be less volatile and result in more timely recovery of environmental costs?
- A. Yes. As noted previously, Cumberland Valley Electric's monthly power bills from EKPC fluctuate, sometimes by significant amounts. Each of the Member Cooperatives experience this fluctuation. Assigning EKPC's environmental costs based on the Member Cooperatives' monthly power bills would likely result in fluctuations in the amount of environmental costs assigned to any one Member Cooperative, which would then have to be recovered from the member customers. Thus, Cumberland Valley Electric believes that rather than lessen volatility, this approach would simply replace the volatility that comes

with the surcharge factor approach with volatility resulting from assigning environmental costs recovery on fluctuating monthly power bills.

The utilization of a direct billing approach would not result in more timely recovery of environmental costs from Cumberland Valley Electric's member customers. Once Cumberland Valley Electric was billed a particular month's environmental costs, it would in turn bill its member customers the appropriate share of those costs in conjunction with the appropriate billing cycle. This process would be no different than the current arrangement.

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

A. Yes it does.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In The Matter Of:

AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2016 AND THE PASS THROUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS SIXTEEN MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES

CASE NO. 2016-00335

)

)

)

)

CERTIFICATE

Robert Tolliver, being duly sworn, states that he has supervised the preparation of the response of Cumberland Valley Electric, Inc. to the Public Service Commission Staff's First Request for Information to East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.'s Sixteen Member Distribution Cooperatives in the above-referenced case, and that the matters and things set forth therein are true and accurate to the best of his knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry.

Tolliver

Robert Tolliver

COMMONWEATLH OF KENTUCKY

COUNTY OF KNOX

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Robert Tolliver, Office Manager of Cumberland Valley Electric, Inc. this <u>3</u> day of November, 2016.

))

Notary Public ID: 528194

My Commission Expires: 2-13-19

Request No. 2

This question is addressed to EKPC and the Member Cooperatives. For each of the 16 Member Cooperatives, prepare a summary schedule showing the Member Cooperative's pass-through revenue requirement for the months corresponding with the six-month review. Include a calculation of any additional over- or under-recovery amount the distribution cooperative believes needs to be recognized for the six-month review. Provide the schedule and all supporting calculations and documentation in Excel spreadsheet format with all cells and formulas intact and unprotected.

Response:

Please see EKPC's response to the Commission Staff's first data request dated October 12, 2016

CUMBERLAND VALLEY ELECTRIC PSC CASE NO. 2016-00335 RESPONSE TO COMMISSION'S REQUEST 7

Request No. 7

This question is addressed to each of the 16 member cooperatives. For your particular distribution cooperative, provide the actual average residential customer's monthly usage for the 12 months ending May 31, 2016. Based on this usage amount, provide the dollar impact of any over- or under-recovery will have on the average residential customer's monthly bill for the requested recovery period.

Response:

No Recovery

Average Monthly Residential 12 Months Ending May 31, 20		1,099
Energy@ Customer Charge Fuel @ Environmental Surcharge @ Local School Tax @ TOTAL BILL AMOUNT *See Exhibit A Page 1 of 2	0.08563 -0.0079846 14.43% 3.00%	\$94.11 \$8.73 (\$8.78) \$13.57 <u>\$3.23</u> \$110.86
Six-Month Recovery		
Average Monthly Residential 12 Months Ending May 31, 20		1,099
and a second		1,099 \$94.11 \$8.73 (\$8.78) \$13.24 <u>\$3.22</u> \$110.52



Request No. 9

This question is addressed to the Member Cooperatives. Explain in detail the process by which the environmental surcharge amounts billed by EKPC are recorded and billed to member customers. Include in the response a discussion of timing and accounting methodology.

Response:

January 2016 will be used as an example. The wholesale power invoice for the month of January will be received early in the month of February. This expense for wholesale power includes several categories one being the environmental surcharge component of the bill. This wholesale power invoice will be recorded on Cumberland Valley Electric (CVE) books in the accounting month of January. CVE will debit account 555.00 (Purchased Power) and credit account 232.10 (Accounts Payable). Early in the month of February CVE will send the January revenue information to East Kentucky Power to use in the calculation of the pass through mechanism factor. This pass through mechanism factor for the month of January 2016 (as calculated by EKPC) will get applied to the bills of member customers in the month of March 2016. There is a two month lag in this process for Cumberland Valley Electric.

Request No. 12

This question is addressed to each of the 16 Member cooperatives. Refer to your response to Staff's First Request, Item 2.

a. Explain how the amounts recorded in the column labelled "EKPC Invoice Month Recorded Member's Books" correspond with EKPC's expense month. For example, EKPC's monthly report for December 2015 indicates that the December 2015 expense month would be billed beginning February 2016 for service rendered in January 2016. Explain in which month the Member cooperative would reflect its portion of the December 2015 expense billed by EKPC.

Response:

Cumberland Valley Electric would record the power bill invoice received from EKP for service rendered in January 2016 in January 2016.

b. Explain whether the amounts reported in this column reflect only the actual amount billed by EKPC, or if the amount does or can include adjustments to the billed amount. Explain the adjustments that may be included, if any.

Response:

The only difference between the amount reported in this column and the gross surcharge amount from the power bill is the Direct Load Control surcharge credit.

c. Refer to the column labelled "Billed to Retail Consumer & Recorded on Member's Books." Confirm that these amounts are the actual environmental surcharge amounts billed and not environmental surcharge amounts actually collected from retail customers.

Response:

These amounts are the actual environmental surcharge amounts billed to retail customers.

Request No. 13

This question is addressed to the Member Cooperatives. Refer to the Member Cooperatives Pass Through Mechanism Report in EKPC's monthly environmental surcharge report. Provide the revenue month to which the pass through factor (Column 15) calculated for the expense month will be applied.

Response:

Cumberland Valley has a two month lag. For example, the expense month of May 2016 has a pass through factor of 14.43%. This 14.43% will be applied in July 2016.

Exhibit A Page 1 of 3

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. - Distribution Cooperatives Pass Through Mechanism Report for Cumberland Valley Electric

For the Month Ending August 2016

17742	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
				EKPC	On-peak	EKPC Net	EKPC 12-months	Cumberland	Amortization	Cumberland	Cumberland	On-Peak	Cumberland	12-months	Cumberland
				Monthly	Revenue	Monthly	Ended Average	Valley	of	Valley	Valley	Retail	Valley	ended	Valley
Surcharge				Revenues from	Adjustment	Sales	Monthly Revenue	Revenue	(Over)/Under	Net Revenue	Total	Revenue	Net Monthly	Avg. Retail	Pass
Factor				Sales to	and the second s	to	from Sales to	Requirement	Recovery	Revenue	Monthly Retail	Adjustment	Retail	Revenues,	Through
Expense	EKPC	EKPC	EKPC	Cumberland		Cumberland	Cumberland			Requirement	Revenues		Revenues	Net	Mechanism
Month	CESF %	BESF %	MESF %	Valley		Valley	Valley								Factor
			Col. (1) - Col. (2)			Col. (4) - Col. (5)		Col (3) x Col (7)	1	Col (8) + Col (9)			Col. (11) - Col. (12)		Col (10) / Col (14)
Sep-14	13.53%	0.00%	13.53%	2,169,281		2,169,281	2,687,024	363,554		363,554	2,880,761		2,880,761	3,475,335	10.469
Oct-14	15.57%	0.00%	15.57%	1,986,486		1,986,486	2,680,414	417,340		417,340	2,856,333		2,856,333	3,489,777	12.019
Nov-14	16.95%	0.00%	16.95%	2,875,985		2,875,985	2,695,556	456,897		456,897	3,814,308		3,814,308	3,545,003	13.099
Dec-14	13.88%	0.00%	13.88%	2,935,469		2,935,469	2,679,636	371,934		371,934	3,607,210		3,607,210	3,504,984	10,499
Jan-15	13.67%	0.00%	13.67%	3,429,110		3,429,110	2,630,781	359,628		359,628	4,385,055		4,385,055	3,442,713	10.265
Feb-15	11.49%	0.00%	11.49%	3,593,190		3,593,190	2,669,233	306,695		306,695	4,838,912		4,838,912	3,481,800	8,919
Mar-15	10.90%	0.00%	10.90%	2,688,858		2,688,858	2,644,401	288,240		288,240	3,417,243		3,417,243	3,447,554	8.28
Apr-15	14.44%	0.00%	14.44%	1,801,957		1,801,957	2.609.873	376,866		376,866	2,768,696		2,768,696	3,437,646	10.939
May-15	18.09%	0.00%	18.09%	1,846,198		1,846,198	2,580,556	466,823		466,823	2,560,102		2,560,102	3,405,891	13.589
Jun-15	18.44%	0.00%	18.44%	2,351,355		2,351,355	2,566,755	473,310		473,310	2,904,423		2,904,423	3,389,199	13.909
Jul-15	15.91%	0.00%	15.91%	2,476,143		2,476,143	2,561,057	407,464		407,464	3,434,852		3,434,852	3,399,575	12.029
Aug-15	16.25%	0.00%	16.25%	2,286,077		2,286,077	2.536.676	412,210	51,284	463,494	3,098,157		3,098,157	3,380,504	13.639
Sep-15	17.07%	0.00%	17.07%	2,035,131		2,035,131	2,525,497	431,102	51,284	482,386	2,748,815		2,748,815	3,369,509	14.279
Oct-15	18.51%	0.00%	18.51%	1,903,972		1,903,972	2,518,620	466,197	51,284	517,481	2,658,192		2,658,192	3,352,997	15.369
Nov-15	18.81%	0.00%	18.81%	2,217,995		2,217,995	2,463,788	463,439	51,284	514,723	2,946,593		2,946,593	3,280,687	15.359
Dec-15	18.40%	0.00%	18.40%	2,338,046		2,338,046	2,414,003	444,176	51,284	495,460	3,054,138		3,054,138	3,234,598	15.109
Jan-16	16.00%	0.00%	16.00%	3,205,061		3,205,061	2,395,332	383,253	51,284	434,537	4,266,702		4,266,702	3,224,735	13.439
Feb-16	10.92%	0.00%	10.92%	2,725,508		2,725,508	2,323,025	253,674		253,674	3,759,898		3,759,898	3,134,818	7.879
Mar-16	14.30%	0.00%	14.30%	2,071,720		2,071,720	2,271,597	324,838	15,822	340,660	3,070,381		3,070,381	3,105,912	10.879
Apr-16	17.59%	0.00%	17.59%	1,747,929		1,747,929	2,267,095	398,782	15,822	414,604	2,585,987		2,585,987	3,090,687	13.359
May-16	18.99%	0.00%	18.99%	1,821,160		1,821,160	2,265,008	430,125	15,822	445,947	2,270,828		2,270,828	3,066,581	14.43%
Jun-16	19.60%	0.00%	19.60%	2,147,958		2,147,958	2,248,058	440,619	15,822	456,441	2,961,179		2,961,179	3,071,310	14.88%
Jul-16	16.50%	0.00%	16.50%	2,403,724		2,403,724	2,242,023	369,934	15,822	385,756	3,309,279		3,309,279	3,060,846	12.56%
Aug-16	14.29%	0.00%	14.29%	2,453,561		2,453,561	2,255,980	322,380	15,948	338,328	3,218,955		3,218,955	3,070,912	11.05%

Notes:

Cumberland Valley Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues. Revenues reported in Columns (4), (6), (7), (11), (13), and (14) are net of Green Power Revenues. East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. - Distribution Cooperatives Pass Through Mechanism Report for Cumberland Valley Electric

For the Month Ending August 2016

State [(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
				EKPC	On-peak	EKPC Net	EKPC 12-months	Cumberland	Amortization	Cumberland	Cumberland	On-Peak	Cumberland	12-months	Cumberland
				Monthly	Revenue	Monthly	Ended Average	Valley	of	Valley	Valley	Retail	Valley	ended	Valley
Surcharge				Revenues from	Adjustment	Sales	Monthly Revenue	Revenue	(Over)/Under	Net Revenue	Total	Revenue	Net Monthly	Avg. Retail	Pass
Factor		Concerne 1		Sales to		to	from Sales to	Requirement	Recovery	Revenue	Monthly Retail	Adjustment	Retail	Revenues,	Through
Expense	EKPC	EKPC	EKPC	Cumberland	1	Cumberland	Cumberland		and the second second second	Requirement	Revenues		Revenues	Net	Mechanism
Month	CESF %	BESF %	MESF %	Valley		Valley	Valley								Factor
			Col. (1) - Col. (2)			Col. (4) - Col. (5)		Col (3) x Col (7)		Col (8) + Col (9)			Col. (11) - Col. (12)		Col (10) / Col (14
Sep-14	13.53%	0.00%	13.53%	2,169,281		2,169,281	2,687,024	363,554		363,554	2,880,761		2,880,761	3,475,335	10.46
Oct-14	15.57%	0.00%	15.57%	1,986,486		1,986,486	2,680,414	417,340		417,340	2,856,333		2,856,333	3,489,777	12.01
Nov-14	16.95%	0.00%	16.95%	2,875,985		2,875,985	2,695,556	456,897		456,897	3,814,308		3,814,308	3,545,003	13.09
Dec-14	13.88%	0.00%	13.88%	2,935,469		2,935,469	2,679,636	371,934		371,934	3,607,210		3,607,210	3,504,984	10.49
Jan-15	13.67%	0.00%	13.67%	3,429,110		3,429,110	2,630,781	359,628		359,628	4,385,055		4,385,055	3,442,713	10.26
Feb-15	11.49%	0.00%	11.49%	3,593,190		3,593,190	2,669,233	306,695		306,695	4,838,912		4,838,912	3,481,800	8.91
Mar-15	10.90%	0.00%	10.90%	2,688,858		2,688,858	2,644,401	288,240		288,240	3,417,243		3,417,243	3,447,554	8.28
Apr-15	14.44%	0.00%	14.44%	1,801,957		1,801,957	2,609,873	376,866		376,866	2,768,696		2,768,696	3,437,646	10.93
May-15	18.09%	0.00%	18.09%	1,846,198		1,846,198	2,580,556	466,823		466,823	2,560,102		2,560,102	3,405,891	13.58
Jun-15	18.44%	0.00%	18.44%	2.351.355		2,351,355	2,566,755	473,310		473,310	2,904,423		2,904,423	3,389,199	13.90
Jul-15	15.91%	0.00%	15.91%	2,476,143		2,476,143	2,561,057	407,464	-	407,464	3,434,852		3,434,852	3,399,575	12.02
Aug-15	16.25%	0.00%	16.25%	2,286,077		2,286,077	2,536,676	412,210	51,284	463,494	3,098,157		3,098,157	3,380,504	13.63
Sep-15	17.07%	0.00%	17.07%	2,035,131		2,035,131	2,525,497	431,102	51,284	482,386	2,748,815		2,748,815	3,369,509	14.27
Oct-15	18.51%	0.00%	18.51%	1,903,972		1,903,972	2,518,620	466,197	51,284	517,481	2,658,192		2,658,192	3,352,997	15.36
Nov-15	18.81%	0.00%	18.81%	2,217,995		2,217,995	2,463,788	463,439	51,284	514,723	2,946,593		2,946,593	3,280,687	15.35
Dec-15	18.40%	0.00%	18.40%	2,338,046		2,338,046	2,414,003	444,176	51,284	495,460	3,054,138		3,054,138	3,234,598	15.10
Jan-16	16.00%	0.00%	16.00%	3,205,061		3,205,061	2,395,332	383,253	51,284	434,537	4,266,702		4,266,702	3,224,735	13.439
Feb-16	10.92%	0.00%	10.92%	2,725,508		2,725,508	2,323,025	253,674	-	253,674	3,759,898		3,759,898	3,134,818	7.879
Mar-16	14.30%	0.00%	14.30%	2,071,720		2,071,720	2,271,597	324,838	15,822	340,660	3,070,381		3,070,381	3,105,912	10.87
Apr-16	17.59%	0.00%	17.59%	1,747,929		1,747,929	2,267,095	398,782	15,822	414,604	2,585,987		2,585,987	3,090,687	13.35
May-16	18.99%	0.00%	18.99%	1,821,160		1,821,160	2,265,008	430,125	5,051	435,176	2,270,828		2,270,828	3,066,581	14.08
Jun-16	19.60%	0.00%	19.60%	2,147,958		2,147,958	2,248,058	440,619	15,822	456,441	2,961,179		2,961,179	3,071,310	14.889
Jul-16	16.50%	0.00%	16.50%	2,403,724		2,403,724	2,242,023	369,934	15,822	385,756	3,309,279		3,309,279	3,060,846	12.569
Aug-16	14.29%	0.00%	14.29%	2,453,561		2,453,561	2,255,980	322,380	15,948	338,328	3,218,955		3,218,955	3,070,912	11.059

Notes:

Cumberland Valley Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues. Revenues reported in Columns (4), (6), (7), (11), (13), and (14) are net of Green Power Revenues.

Ib From Case No. 2015-00281 (Over)/Under-Recovery \$94,9 1c From Case No. 2016-00144 (Over)/Under-Recovery \$7 1d Total Previous (Over)/Under-Recovery \$249,5 2 Jan-16 \$589,730 \$654,669 (\$64,939) \$184,5 3 Feb-16 \$436,082 \$567,715 (\$131,633) \$52,9 4 Mar-16 \$226,233 \$412,307 (\$186,074) (\$133,1 5 Apr-16 \$249,955 \$203,491 \$46,464 (\$86,66 6 May-16 \$320,341 \$246,839 \$73,502 (\$13,1 7 Jun-16 \$407,898 \$395,339 \$12,559 (\$57,0 9ost Jul-16 \$4471,130 \$447,568 (\$6,438) (\$7,0 Review Aug-16 \$404,839 \$478,984 \$274,145 (\$81,1 1 Less Adjustment for Order amounts remaining to be amortized at end of review period June 2016 \$400,839 \$478,984 \$674,145 \$68,12 8 Order Remaining to be Amortized at of Previous			EKPC Invoice Month recorded Member's Books	Billed to Retail Consumer & recorded on Member's Books	Monthly (Over) or Under	Cumulative (Over) or Under
1a From Case No. 2014-00051 (Over)/Under-Recovery \$153,8 1b From Case No. 2015-00281 (Over)/Under-Recovery \$94,9 1c From Case No. 2016-00144 (Over)/Under-Recovery \$77 1d Total Previous (Over)/Under-Recovery \$249,55 2 Jan-16 \$589,730 \$654,669 \$(\$131,633) \$52,9 3 Feb-16 \$436,082 \$567,715 \$(\$131,633) \$52,9 4 Mar-16 \$226,233 \$412,307 \$(\$186,074) \$(\$133,1 5 Apr-16 \$249,955 \$203,491 \$46,464 \$(\$86,6 6 May-16 \$320,341 \$246,839 \$73,502 \$(\$131,6)3 7 Jun-16 \$407,898 \$395,339 \$12,559 \$(\$52,902) Post Jul-16 \$407,130 \$477,568 \$(\$64,438) \$(\$7,002) Review Aug-16 \$404,839 \$478,984 \$(\$74,145) \$(\$81,11) Less Adjustment for Order amounts remaining to be amortized at end of review period June 2016 Amount Per Case Amount Per Case Amount			1-1		(4)	(5)
Ib From Case No. 2015-00281 (Over)/Under-Recovery \$94,9 1c From Case No. 2016-00144 (Over)/Under-Recovery \$7 1d Total Previous (Over)/Under-Recovery \$249,5 2 Jan-16 \$589,730 \$654,669 (\$64,939) \$184,5 3 Feb-16 \$436,082 \$567,715 (\$131,633) \$52,9 4 Mar-16 \$226,233 \$412,307 (\$186,074) (\$133,1 5 Apr-16 \$249,955 \$203,491 \$46,464 (\$86,66 6 May-16 \$320,341 \$246,839 \$73,502 (\$13,1 7 Jun-16 \$407,898 \$395,339 \$12,559 (\$5 Post Jul-16 \$4471,130 \$447,568 (\$6,438) (\$7,0 Review Aug-16 \$404,839 \$478,984 (\$74,145) (\$81,1 Less Adjustment for Order amounts remaining to be amortized at end of review period June 2016 Amount Per Case Amount Per Case Amount Per Case Order Remaining 0rder Remaining 0rder Remaining 0rder Remaining	-			d		
Ic From Case No. 2016-00144 (Over)/Under-Recovery \$7 1d Total Previous (Over)/Under-Recovery \$249,5 2 Jan-16 \$589,730 \$654,669 (\$64,939) \$184,5 3 Feb-16 \$436,082 \$567,715 (\$131,633) \$52,9 4 Mar-16 \$226,233 \$412,307 (\$186,074) (\$133,1 5 Apr-16 \$226,233 \$412,307 (\$186,074) (\$131,1 5 Apr-16 \$320,341 \$249,955 \$203,491 \$46,464 (\$86,6 6 May-16 \$320,341 \$246,839 \$73,502 (\$131,1 7 Jun-16 \$407,898 \$395,339 \$12,559 (\$57,0 8 Jul-16 \$471,130 \$477,568 (\$6,438) (\$7,0 1 Jul-16 \$404,839 \$478,884 (\$74,145) (\$81,1 1 Less Adjustment for Order amounts remaining to be amortized at end of review period June 2016 Order Remaining 0rder Remaining 0rder Remaining 0rder Remaining 0rder Remaini					(\$153,849
1d Total Previous (Over)/Under-Recovery \$249,5 2 Jan-16 \$589,730 \$654,669 (\$64,939) \$184,5 3 Feb-16 \$436,082 \$567,715 (\$131,633) \$52,9 4 Mar-16 \$226,233 \$412,307 (\$186,074) (\$133,1 5 Apr-16 \$2249,955 \$203,491 \$46,464 (\$86,6 6 May-16 \$320,311 \$246,839 \$773,502 (\$13,1,1 7 Jun-16 \$407,898 \$395,339 \$12,559 (\$57,0 Post Jul-16 \$407,898 \$395,339 \$12,559 (\$57,0 Review Aug-16 \$404,839 \$477,568 (\$6,438) (\$7,0 Review Aug-16 \$404,839 \$478,984 (\$774,145) (\$81,1 1 Less Adjustment for Order amounts remaining to be amortized at end of review period June 2016 Order Remaining Previous Amount Per Case 8 Case No. 2014-00051 Recovery (\$153,849) \$153,852 Order Remaining be Amortized at <td>1.7</td> <td>the second se</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$94,932</td>	1.7	the second se				\$94,932
2 Jan-16 \$589,730 \$654,669 (\$64,939) \$184,5 3 Feb-16 \$436,082 \$567,715 (\$131,633) \$52,9 4 Mar-16 \$226,233 \$412,307 (\$186,074) (\$133,1 5 Apr-16 \$226,234 \$203,491 \$46,464 (\$86,66 6 May-16 \$320,341 \$246,839 \$73,502 (\$13,1 7 Jun-16 \$407,898 \$395,339 \$12,559 (\$5 Post Jul-16 \$404,839 \$477,568 (\$6,438) (\$7,0 Review Aug-16 \$404,839 \$478,984 (\$74,145) (\$81,1 Less Adjustment for Order amounts remaining to be amortized at end of review period June 2016 Amount Per Case Amount Per Case Order Remaining						\$755
3 Feb-16 \$436,082 \$567,715 (\$131,633) \$52,9 4 Mar-16 \$226,233 \$412,307 (\$186,074) (\$133,1 5 Apr-16 \$226,233 \$412,307 (\$186,074) (\$133,1 5 Apr-16 \$226,233 \$412,307 (\$186,074) (\$133,1 5 Apr-16 \$229,955 \$203,491 \$46,464 (\$86,66 6 May-16 \$320,341 \$248,839 \$73,502 (\$13,1 7 Jun-16 \$407,898 \$395,339 \$12,559 (\$5 Post Jul-16 \$404,839 \$478,984 (\$74,145) (\$81,1 Less Adjustment for Order amounts remaining to be amortized at end of review period June 2016 Amount Per Case 0rder Remaining Previous Amount Per Case 0rder Remaining beginning of Review Recoveries During be Amortized at of Recoveries During 8 Case No. 2014-00051 Recovery (\$153,849) \$153,852 \$31,644 (\$63,2 8b						\$249,536
4 Mar-16 \$226,233 \$412,307 (\$186,074) (\$133,1 5 Apr-16 \$249,955 \$203,491 \$46,464 (\$86,66 6 May-16 \$320,341 \$246,839 \$73,502 (\$13,1 7 Jun-16 \$407,898 \$395,339 \$12,559 (\$5 Post Jul-16 \$407,898 \$395,339 \$12,559 (\$7,0 Review Aug-16 \$404,839 \$478,984 (\$74,145) (\$81,1 Less Adjustment for Order amounts remaining to be amortized at end of review period June 2016 (\$81,1 (\$81,1 8 Order Remaining to be Amortized at Previous Amount Per Case (Over)/Under Amount Per Case Order Remaining Previous Amount Per Case Amount Per Case Order Remaining Order Remaining Period Order Remaining State Order Remaining State Order Remaining State Order Remaining State State State State State State					(\$64,939)	\$184,597
5 Apr-16 \$249,955 \$203,491 \$46,464 (\$86,6 6 May-16 \$320,341 \$246,839 \$73,502 (\$13,1 7 Jun-16 \$407,898 \$395,339 \$12,559 (\$5 Post Jul-16 \$404,839 \$477,568 (\$6,438) (\$7,0 Review Aug-16 \$404,839 \$478,984 (\$74,145) (\$81,1 Less Adjustment for Order amounts remaining to be amortized at end of review period June 2016 Amount Per Case Order Remaining Order Remaining be Amortized at (\$0ver)/Under Order Remaining 8 Saa Case No. 2014-00051 Recovery (\$153,849) \$153,852 \$31,644 (\$63,2 8b Case No. 2016-00144 Recovery (\$94,932) \$31,644 (\$63,2 \$31,644					(\$131,633)	\$52,964
6 May-16 \$320,341 \$246,839 \$73,502 (\$13,1 7 Jun-16 \$407,898 \$395,339 \$12,559 (\$5 Post Jul-16 \$471,130 \$477,568 (\$6,438) (\$7,0 Review Aug-16 \$404,839 \$478,984 (\$74,145) (\$81,1 Less Adjustment for Order amounts remaining to be amortized at end of review period June 2016 Amount Per Case Amount Per Case <td< td=""><td></td><td>Mar-16</td><td>\$226,233</td><td>\$412,307</td><td>(\$186,074)</td><td>(\$133,110</td></td<>		Mar-16	\$226,233	\$412,307	(\$186,074)	(\$133,110
7Jun-16\$407,898\$395,339\$12,559(\$5PostJul-16\$471,130\$477,568(\$6,438)(\$7,0ReviewAug-16\$404,839\$478,984(\$74,145)(\$81,1Less Adjustment for Order amounts remaining to be amortized at end of review period June 2016(\$81,18Amount Per Case Order Remaining to be Amortized atAmount Per Case (Over)/UnderAmount Per Case Order Remaining beginning of Review PeriodAmount Per Case (Over)/UnderAmount Per Case Order Remaining to be Amortized at (Over)/UnderAmount Per Case Order Remaining to be Amortized at (Over)/Under8Case No. 2014-00051 Recovery Bb(\$153,849)\$153,852Image: State St	5	Apr-16	\$249,955	\$203,491	\$46,464	(\$86,646
Post Review Jul-16 \$471,130 \$477,568 (\$6,438) (\$7,0 Less Adjustment for Order amounts remaining to be amortized at end of review period June 2016 (\$81,1 (\$81,1 Amount Per Case 8 Order Remaining to be Amortized at beginning of Review Amount per Case Amount Per Case Amount Per Case Amount Per Case 8 Order Remaining to be Amortized at Period Period Order Remaining beginning of Review Period Order Remaining beginning of Review Period Recoveries During Review Period of Review Period of Review Period of Review Period 8a Case No. 2014-00051 Recovery (\$153,849) \$153,852 (\$63,2 8b Case No. 2016-00144 Recovery (\$75) \$0 (\$63,2	6	May-16	\$320,341	\$246,839	\$73,502	(\$13,143
ReviewAug-16\$404,839\$478,984(\$74,145)(\$81,1Less Adjustment for Order amounts remaining to be amortized at end of review period June 2016Amount Per CaseAmount Per Case <t< td=""><td>7</td><td>Jun-16</td><td>\$407,898</td><td>\$395,339</td><td>\$12,559</td><td>(\$585</td></t<>	7	Jun-16	\$407,898	\$395,339	\$12,559	(\$585
Less Adjustment for Order amounts remaining to be amortized at end of review period June 2016 8 Amount Per Case Order Remaining to be Amortized at beginning of Review Period Amount Zer Case (Over)/Under Recoveries During Recoveries During Review Period Amount Per Case Order Remaining be Amortized at (Over)/Under Review Period Amount Per Case Order Remaining be Amortized at (S153,849) 8a Case No. 2014-00051 Recovery Bb (\$153,849) \$153,852 8b Case No. 2015-00281 Recovery Case No. 2016-00144 Recovery (\$94,932) \$31,644 (\$63,2 (\$755)	Post	Jul-16	\$471,130	\$477,568	(\$6,438)	(\$7,022
8 Amount Per Case Order Remaining to be Amortized at beginning of Review Period Amortization of Previous Amount Per Case Order Remaining be Amortized at (Over)/Under Amount Per Case Order Remaining be Amortized at (Over)/Under 8a Case No. 2014-00051 Recovery 8b Case No. 2015-00281 Recovery (\$94,932) (\$153,849) \$153,852 8b Case No. 2015-00281 Recovery (Case No. 2016-00144 Recovery (\$755) \$0	Review					(\$81,167
8 Order Remaining to be Amortized at beginning of Review Period Previous (Over)/Under Amount Per Cas Order Remaining be Amortized at Recoveries During 8a Case No. 2014-00051 Recovery 8b Case No. 2015-00281 Recovery (\$94,932) \$153,849) \$153,852 \$153,852 8b Case No. 2015-00281 Recovery 8c (\$94,932) (\$755) \$31,644 (\$63,2 (\$7		Less Adjustment for Order amounts re	emaining to be amortiz	zed at end of review pe	riod June 2016	
Period Review Period of Review Period 8a Case No. 2014-00051 Recovery (\$153,849) \$153,852 8b Case No. 2015-00281 Recovery (\$94,932) \$31,644 (\$63,2 8c Case No. 2016-00144 Recovery (\$755) \$0 (\$7	8		Order Remaining to be Amortized at	Previous (Over)/Under		Amount Per Case Order Remaining to
8b Case No. 2015-00281 Recovery (\$94,932) \$31,644 (\$63,2 8c Case No. 2016-00144 Recovery (\$755) \$0 (\$7						of Review Period
8c Case No. 2016-00144 Recovery (\$755) \$0 (\$7	8a	Case No. 2014-00051 Recovery	(\$153,849)	\$153,852		\$3
	8b	Case No. 2015-00281 Recovery	(\$94,932)			(\$63,288
8d Total Order amounts remaining - Over/(Under): (\$64,0		Case No. 2016-00144 Recovery				(\$755
	8d		Total O	der amounts remaining	g - Over/(Under):	(\$64,040

	Reconciliation:	1
11	Previous (Over)/Under-Recovery Remaining to be Amortized, beginning of Review Period	\$249,536
12	Previous (Over)/Under-Recovery Remaining to be Amortized, ending of Review Period	(\$64,040
13	Total Amortization during Review Period	\$185,496
14	(Over)/Under-Recovery from Column 5, Line 9	(\$64,625
15	Less: Total Monthly (Over)/Under-Recovery for Review Period (Column 4, Lines 2 thru 7)	(\$250,121
16	Difference	\$185,496

Amortization Detail, Column 3, Line 8:

.

Month & Year	Case No. 2014-00051	Case No. 2015-00281	Case No. 2016-00144
Jan-16	\$51,284	\$0	\$0
Feb-16	\$51,284	\$0	\$0
Mar-16	\$51,284	\$0	\$0
Apr-16	\$0	\$0	\$0
May-16	\$0	\$15,822	\$0
Jun-16	\$0	\$15,822	\$0
Totals	\$153,852	\$31,644	\$0