

RECEIVED

JAN 10 2017

PUBLIC SERVICE  
COMMISSION

**COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**In the Matter of:**

**APPLICATION OF MARTIN GAS, INC. FOR  
RATE ADJUSTMENT FOR SMALL UTILITIES  
PURSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:076**

)  
)  
)

**CASE NO. 2016-00332**

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S INITIAL  
REQUEST FOR INFORMATION TO MARTIN GAS, INC.**

**DATED NOVEMBER 9, 2016**





**MARTIN GAS, INC.**  
**PSC CASE NO. 2016-00332**  
**SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

**PSC'S REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED 11/9/16**

**REQUEST 1**

**RESPONSIBLE PARTY:** Kevin Jacobs

**Request 1.** Refer to Martin's application ("Application"), specifically, the page headed REASON FOR APPLICATION, and the paragraph referencing the recently established line of credit.

a. Identify, by vendor and amount, all specific "outstanding obligations for gas purchases" that Martin paid using the line of credit funds.

**Response 1.a.** Martin utilized the Community Trust Bank line of credit to pay for gas purchase obligations to the following:

Basin Energy	February 2016	\$15,485
EQT	March 2016	\$26,934
EQT	March 2016	\$3,978

The total gas purchase obligations were obviously more than the line of credit, however, the balances were paid from Martin's operating account.

**MARTIN GAS, INC.**  
**PSC CASE NO. 2016-00332**  
**SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST**

**PSC'S REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED 11/9/16**

**REQUEST 4**

**RESPONSIBLE PARTY:                    John Pinson and Kevin Jacobs**

**Request 4.**                    Refer to the Application, specifically, pages 1-2 of the SCHEDULE OF ADJUSTED OPERATIONS – GAS UTILITY (“SA0-G”) and the SUPPLEMENT TO SCHEDULE OF ADJUSTED OPERATIONS (“SSAO”).

                  a.            Page 1 of the SAO-G does not reflect any revenues from Martin's Non-Recurring Charges, which are set out in its tariff of file with the Commission, the first page of its Rules and Regulations. Provide the revenues Martin received in 2015 as well as in 2013 and 2014 from each of the activities for which it collects a charge from its customers (late-payment penalties, reconnections, meter installations at an existing connection, customers moving to a different location, collections, returned checks, and mobile trailer connections).

**Response 4a.**

                  Martin has been able to obtain the information beginning with the June 2013 billings and all of 2014 and 2015. For whatever reason, Martin did not charge any late charges in 2013. Martin cannot determine if late charges were handled manually, but late charges were not listed in computer system as being charged in 2013. There was one (1) returned check fee listed in 2013. Martin did charge for late payments and returned check fees in 2014 and 2015 as follows:

	2014	2015
Late Charges	\$11,425	\$17,765
Returned Check Fees	\$50	\$60

\*\*Martin did not charge for any other services it performed.

Martin plans to request, within the next 60 days, the Commission to approve several new charges as it moves forward. Most other gas utilities charge for these services. Being considered but not limited to are:

1. Reconnection fee
2. Meter relocation fee
3. Higher returned check fee
4. Service (trip) charge
5. Special meter reading charge
6. Meter test fee

Martin has in the past performed many services for which it should have been charging a fee. This has resulted in labor cost that has been foregone. Martin has to become self-sufficient.