FJS #257833 Transmittal Date: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 Project: Lyon County Water District PSC Application - KRS 278.023\ CASE NO. 2015-00376 To: Mr. Jonathan Beyer **Public Service Commission** 211 Sower Blvd. Frankfort, KY 40602-0615 From: Mark Stephens Subject: Preliminary Engineering Report We are sending you: □ Attached □ Under separate cover via the following items: □ Shop drawings □ Prints ⊠ Plans □ Samples □ Specifications □ Copy of letter □ Change Order □ Other Copies Date No. Description 1 Stamped Preliminary Engineering Report These are transmitted as checked below: □ For your approval □ Approved as submitted □ Resubmit ⊠ For your use □ Approved as noted □ Submit □ As requested □ Returned for corrections □ Return □ For review/comment □ Other: □ For bids due □ Prints returned after loan to us

Remarks:

Copy to:	HDR File (Trans Only) Randy Jones – Rubin & Hayes	Signed:	Mark Stephens Contract Administrator HDR Engineering 2517 Sir Barton Way
			Lexington, KY 40509

Preliminary Engineering Report Lyon County Water District Project

"Water Quality and System Improvements"

Prepared in Support of USDA Rural Development Application for Financing

August 20, 2010

Prepared For:



RECEIVED

DEC 1 0 2015

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION





Prepared By:

Florence & Hutcheson

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

flohut.com

Preliminary Engineering Report for the Lyon County Water District Project "Water Quality and System Improvements"

August 20, 2010

Prepared in Support of USDA Rural Development Application for Financing



n

Preliminary Engineering Report

Table of Contents

1.	INTRODUCTIONPage 1
11.	PROJECT PLANNING AREAPage 1
ш.	EXISTING FACILITIES Page 2
	History and LocationPage 2
	Condition of Facilities Page 2
	Financial Status of Existing FacilitiesPage 3
IV.	NEED FOR PROJECT Page 7
	Health, Sanitation, and SecurityPage 7
	System O&MPage 7
۷.	PROJECTS AND ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED Page 8
	Automated Meter Reading SystemPage 8
	Water Storage Tanks Maintenance and Rehabilitation Page 9
	KY 903 Loop Page 10
	KY 93 South Loop Page 11
	Summary of Project Costs Page 12
VI.	ANNUAL OPERATING BUDGET Page 13
	Income Page 13
	Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Costs Page 14
	Debt Repayment Page 15
	Reserves Page 15
	Summary of Annual Operating Budget Page 15
VII.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS Page 16



۲

\$

Florence & Hutcheson

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

Table of Contents

APPENDIX A - DETAILED COST ESTIMATES

Project 3 Alternative 1 - KY 903 Loop	Page A-2
Project 3 Alternative 2 - Wynn Road Loop	Page A-3
Project 4 Alternative 1 - KY 93 South Loop	Page A-4
Project 4 Alternative 2 - KY 93 South Loop	Page A-6

APPENDIX B - MAPS

• •

Map 1 - Project Locations	Page B-2
Map 2 - KY 903 Loop	Page B-3
Map 3 - KY 93 South Loop	Page B-4

EXHIBIT A - (MAP FOLDER) SYSTEM MAP WITH PROJECT LOCATIONS



INTRODUCTION

.

This Preliminary Engineering Report is being prepared in support of an application for Rural Development financing to improve the water system of the Lyon County Water District located in Lyon County, Kentucky. This Preliminary Engineering Report describes the water system operated by the Lyon County Water District, the project planning area, and the proposed improvements to the water system. The report also describes the operating expenses and revenues of the water system and the current rate structure. A proposed new rate structure is described that will generate the additional revenue necessary to pay for the proposed improvements.

PROJECT PLANNING AREA

Lyon County is located in the lakes region of Western Kentucky. The Water District serves the entire county with potable water except for the sizeable portion that lies within the Land Between the Lakes National Recreational Area. Lake Barkley lies within the county and constitutes a significant recreational resource. A significant portion of the housing within Lyon County lies adjacent to Lake Barkley. The Cumberland River below Barkley Dam borders the western portion of the county. Exhibit A is a map of the Water District service area showing the existing system and the locations of the projects proposed for funding by Rural Development financing. Map 1 also shows the project areas envisioned for funding with Rural Development financing. These projects are scattered throughout the Lyon County and are described in detail later in this report.

The Water District also operates a small wastewater system in the Suwanee area serving approximately 40 customers. In addition, it operates two on-site treatment systems currently serving three customers.

The environmental resources in Lyon County outside of the national recreational area consist mainly of floodplains along the lower Cumberland River, cattle grazing lands, some row crop land for corn and soy beans, and scattered wood lots. Some small scattered wetlands, archaeological and cultural resources, and critical habitats exist in the county; however, the proposed new facilities will generally be in existing rights-of-way that have been previously disturbed.

The Water District serves the rural areas of the county outside the cities of Eddyville and Kuttawa. The main areas of population concentrations in the county are in scattered subdivisions along and in the area of Lake Barkley. Population projections have been made for the county by the Kentucky State Data Center and are shown in Table 1. The 2008 estimated population in the county was 8,245 with 3,063 of those being within Eddyville and Kuttawa. Generally, residents of the two prisons located in Lyon County are counted in the population. One of these facilities is served by the City of Eddyville and the other is served with an on-



Lyon County	2010- 2015	2015- 2020	2020- 2025	2025- 2030	2030- 2035	2035- 2040	2040- 2045	2045- 2050
Population Growth	131	114	88	2	-54	-98	-126	-150
Percent Change	1.57	1.35	1.03	0.02	-0.62	-1.14	-1.48	-1.79
Births	322	327	324	326	336	349	356	358
Deaths	517	542	563	612	643	667	671	661
Net Migration	326	329	327	287	252	220	189	153

site water system. Based on the projected information, the population of Lyon County is projected to increase by slightly over 200 persons over the next 20 years.

EXISTING FACILITIES

,

History and Location: The Lyon County Water District began operation in the early 1970's and progressively extended water lines and constructed water storage facilities throughout Lyon County. The Water District was one of the first water districts in Kentucky to offer service to all customers that desired to be served.

Condition of Facilities: The Lyon County Water District operates a water distribution system comprised of one elevated water storage tank, two water storage standpipes, one pump station, and approximately 200 miles of water distribution main. The Water District serves approximately 2,500 customers, primarily in Lyon County. The Water District purchases water for resale primarily from the City of Princeton and the City of Kuttawa. Smaller amounts are purchased from the Crittenden Livingston Water District, the Barkley Lake Water District, and the City of Eddyville. Table 2 lists the volume of water purchased from the various sources for the period January 1 through December 31, 2009.

Table 2 Sources of Water Supply for Lyon County Water District - 2009						
Source	Gallons Purchased	Percentage Purchased				
City of Princeton	49,917,000	42.3				
City of Kuttawa	46,804,200	39.7				
Crittenden Livingston Water District	13,799,200	11.7				
City of Eddyville	7,149,200	6.1				
Barkley Lake Water District	212,800	0.2				

Most of the facilities were constructed by the Water District after they began operation in the 1970's. In these areas the water pipes are made of PVC and are in relatively good condition. The water storage tanks have all been constructed since 1970. They have recently been inspected and are in need of some maintenance and rehabilitation. There are a couple of



Florence & Hutcheson

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

areas where the Water District purchased older small systems that are not in as good a condition as the facilities constructed by the Water District. Rehabilitation in the next few years will be necessary in these areas.

The Water District purchases all its water and is reliant on its suppliers to generally maintain compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act. On occasion, Kuttawa has had problems meeting disinfection by-products standards and the Water District has had to inform its customers that their water was not incompliance with Safe Drinking Water standards. The Water District does routine flushing of dead end lines to maintain good water quality throughout the system. Kuttawa is current addressing its water quality problems and should become more consistent in its compliance with water quality standards.

The Water District sells about 70 percent of the water it purchases. About 10 percent of the unsold water can be accounted for from tank overflows, fire hydrant flows, system flushing, and leaks. The Water District is in the process of developing a program to minimize the unaccounted for water loss.

Financial Status of Existing Facilities: The current rate schedule of the Lyon County Water District is shown in Table 3. Annual operations and maintenance costs are shown in Table 4, and a tabulation of users by monthly usage categories is shown in Table 5. The status of existing debt is shown in Table 6. Table 7 lists the schedule of principal maturity and annual debt service for the Water District.

	5/8" Meter		3/4"	Meter	1" Meter	
	Tier	Rate per 1,000 gals	Tier	Rate per 1,000 gals	Tier	Rate per 1,000 gal
First/Min	2,000	\$19.12	4,000	\$23.38	15,000	\$75.44
Next	3,000	\$8.05	1,000	\$5.32	5,000	\$3.26
Next	5,000	\$6.02	5,000	\$3.92	÷	
Next	10,000	\$3.99	10,000	\$2.52		
Over	20,000	\$3.49	20,000	\$2.17	20,000	\$2.86
	1 ½" Meter		2" Meter			
	Tier	Rate per 1,000 gals	Tier	Rate per 1,000 gals		
First/Min	25,000	\$106.00	45,000	\$163.11		
Over	25,000	\$2.86	45,000	\$2.86		



Table 4 Lyon County Water District 2009 Operations and Maintenance Costs							
Item	Cost	Item	Cost				
Depreciation	\$186,196	Materials and Supplies	64,181				
Amortization	1,089	Office Supplies	17,256				
Payroll Tax Expense	8,179	Replacement to Lines	8,353				
PSC Assessment	1,283	Contract Services	3,763				
Interest Expense	91,915	Contract Labor	1,872				
Salaries	131,734	Professional Fees - Legal	7,440				
Employee Pension Plan	14,697	Professional Fees - Accounting	64,180				
Employee Health Insurance	12,885	Rent	14,265				
Purchased Water	259,841	Insurance and Bonds	13,441				
Utilities and Phone	10,140	Miscellaneous	23,092				
Small Tools		Total Operating Expenses	\$935,802				
Source: Lyon County Water Distri	ct 2009 Financial	Statement					

Table 5 Tabulation of Users by Monthly Usage Category for Various Sized Meters

Table 5a -	Table 5a - 5/8" Meter									
			First	Next	Next	Next	Over	Total		
	Level	Bills	2,000	3,000	5,000	10,000	20,000	Usage		
First/Min	2,000	15,214	10,297,600					10,297,600		
Next	3,000	10,066	20,132,000	13,278,900				33,410,900		
Next	5,000	2,892	5,784,000	8,676,000	4,620,100			19,080,100		
Next	10,000	477	954,000	1,431,000	2,385,000	1,537,200	1	6,307,200		
Over	20,000	132	264,000	396,000	660,000	1,320,000	2,342,200	4,982,200		
Total		28,781	37,431,600	23,781,900	7,665,100	2,857,200	2,342,200	74,078,000		

Table 5b -	Table 5b - ¾"Meter										
			First	Next	Next	Next	Over	Total			
	Level	Bills	4,000	1,000	5,000	10,000	20,000	Usage			
First/Min	4,000	0	0					0			
Next	1,000	0	0	0				0			
Next	5,000	3	12,000	3,000	12,700			27,700			
Next	10,000	7	28,000	7,000	35,000	25,200		95,200			
Over	20,000	2	8,000	2,000	10,000	20,000	23,700	63,700			
Total		12	48,000	12,000	57,700	45,200	23,700	186,600			



,

Table 5c - 1" Meter									
			First	Next	t Over				
	Level	Bills	15,000	5,000	20,000	Usage			
First/Min	15,000	52	308,000			308,000			
Next	5,000	5	75,000	8,900		83,900			
Over	20,000	13	195,000	65,000	402,900	662,900			
Total		70	578,000	73,900	402,900	1,054,800			

Table 5d – 1 ½"Meter								
			First Over		Total			
	Level	Bills	25,000	25,000	Usage			
First/Min	25,000	34	314,700		314,700			
Over	25,000	26	650,000	507,300	1,157,300			
Total		60	964,700	507,300	1,472,000			

3

Table 5e – 2" Meter									
			First	Over	Total				
	Level	Bills	45,000	45,000	Usage				
First/Min	45,000	54	806,100		806,100				
Over	45,000	32	1,440,000	6,451,700	7,891,700				
Total		86	2,246,100	6,451,700	8,697,800				

Source: Lyon County Water District Computerized Billing System



Table 6 Lyon County	Water District Existing Debt, December	31, 2009
Holder	Terms	Amount
Kentucky Infrastructure Authority	Note Payable bearing interest at 2.5 to 5.25%, Due June 1, 2014. Principal and interest due monthly	\$407,500
Kentucky Association of Counties	Note Payable to leasing trust bearing interest at 6.31% before Commonwealth of Kentucky offset producing an effective rate of 5.31% due January 1, 2016. Interest due monthly and principal due annually on January 1.	53,000
Rural Development	Water Revenue Bond Series 1995 bearing interest at 4.875% due January 1, 2035. Interest due semi-annually on January 1 and July 1 and principal due annually on January 1.	855,000
Rural Development	Water Revenue Bond Series 2002 bearing interest at 4.50% due January 1, 2023. Interest due semi-annually on January 1 and July 1 and principal due annually on January 1.	563,500
Less current portion		77,500
Total		\$1,801,500
Source: Lyon County Water	District 2009 Financial Statement	

Year	Principal Amount	Total Interest	Total Debt Service
2010	77,500	87,099	164,599
2011	76,500	85,067	161,567
2012	33,000	80,537	113,537
2013	192,500	78,958	271,458
2014	194,000	68,750	262,750
2015-2019	182,000	275,445	458,445
2020-2024	212,500	234,632	447,132
2025-2029	274,000	181,600	455,600
2030-2034	351,500	113,269	464,769
2035-2039	190,000	42,480	232,480
2040-2042	95,500	8,708	104,208
Total	1,879,000	1,257,545	3,136,545



1

The Lyon County Water District maintains a depreciation reserve account that can be used for expenditures for unusual and extra-ordinary maintenance, repairs, renewal, or replacements, and the cost of constructing addition and improvements to the system. The Water District is required to make \$510 monthly deposits to this account under the 1995 Rural Development Bond and \$295 monthly deposits under the 2002 Rural Development Bond. On December 31, 2009 the amount in the depreciation reserve account was \$57,377.

NEED FOR PROJECT

Health, Sanitation and Security: As seen from the system map, the southern end of the Lyon County service area is supplied by a pump station and water storage standpipe. Interstate Highway I-24 bisects this service area in an east/west direction and the Eddy Creek embayment of Lake Barkley bisects the area in a north/south direction. There is only one water line that crosses I-24 to serve the entire area south of the interstate highway and east of the embayment. If service were lost in this line, a large number of customers would be without water. In addition, the long travel distance of the water under the current flow scheme provides the opportunity for the dissipation of chlorine and the chance of low chlorine residuals and violation of standards under the Safe Drinking Water Act.

Two projects are proposed to improve water flow to this area. One of the projects is the completion of a loop under I-24 and the other is the completion of a major loop across the Eddy Creek embayment with the upsizing of water lines that are part of the loop. These projects should greatly improve the reliability of service and the protection of health in this area by allowing Safe Drinking Water Act standards to be met. An additional side benefit of these projects will be improved flow of water than can be used for fighting fires, an important asset to support a new fire station being constructed in the area as part of a new fire protection district.

System O&M: Two of the projects address system operations and maintenance. The first project involves the purchase and installation of an automated water meter reading system and the retrofit of water meter registers to provide radio transmitted meter readings. The radio read meter system will allow increased efficiency in the meter reading part of the Water District's operations. The data that is accumulated by the meters will also assist the Water District in identifying and eliminating leaks, thereby helping the Water District eliminate lost water.

The second project involves maintenance and rehabilitation of the water storage tanks. The Lamasco tank needs improvements to its interior to mitigate a rust problem that was observed during the last inspection. The Jack Thompson tank also needs coating improvements.



PROJECTS AND ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

1. Automated Meter Reading System

Description: This project is for the purchase of an automated drive-by meter reading system and the supporting radio transmitting equipment for the water meters. It includes the purchase of computer software, hand held equipment to receive the radio signal from the meters, and the registers to be installed on existing meters to send a radio signal with the meter reading. The only alternatives to drive-by technology are fixed network technology and touch read technology. Touch read technology will not give the increased operational improvement as the meter reader still must leave the vehicle, find the meter, and touch the top of the meter. Fixed network technology is not feasible for a rural area due to the lack of concentration of meters within an area served by a fixed tower. The number of fixed towers necessary and the resulting cost of the towers make this alternative not feasible. Therefore, fixed network technology and touch read technology are not evaluated further in this preliminary engineering report.

Design Criteria: The design criteria for the radio read water meters are shown below.

Item 1: 5/8" X 3/4" Radio Read Connection Free Water Meter, U.S. Gallons-Mechanical Register

Item 2: 1" Radio Read Water Meter, U.S. Gallons, 50gpm flow rating, 3-50gpm flow range, 3/8"gpm low flow, 10 3/4" lay length

Item 3: 1-1/2" Radio Read Water Meter, U.S. Gallons, 100gpm flow rate, 5-100gpm normal range, 1 1/2 gpm low flow, 13" lay length

Item 4: 2" Radio Read Water Meter, U.S. Gallons, 160 flow rating, 2-160gpm flow range, 17" lay length

Item 5: Radio Meter Reading System with Receiver and Notebook computer, Vehicle Reading Software, minimum two (2) days of training, minimum one full year-software maintenance agreement, all hardware/wiring for components, and rugged storage case, minimum 2 days training

Map: The system will be used for all meters in the Water District system.

Environmental Impacts: There are envisioned to be no significant environmental impacts from the use of radio technology to read the water meters.

Land Requirements: There will be no additional land required as a result of implementing automated meter reading.

Construction Problems: There are envisioned to be no problems in swapping out existing meters, changing registers on existing meters, or installing signal boosters where necessary in meter box lids.



Cost Estimates: The estimated costs are:

Item 1: 2,400, 5/8" Meter Registers @ \$170 = \$408,000

Item 2: 6, 1" Radio Read Meters @ \$350 = \$2,100

Item 3: 5, 1 1/2" Radio Read Meters @ \$500 = \$2,500

Item 4: 1, 2" Radio Read Meter @ \$600 = \$600.00

Item 5: 1, Radio Meter Read System @ \$20,000 = \$20,000

Item 6: Labor for meter and register installation 2,400 @ \$26 = \$62,400

Total for equipment and installation = \$496,000

Advantages/Disadvantages: The implementation of drive by meter reading technology increases the operational efficiency of the Water District's staff. It also will help with the detection of leaks to the advantage of the water system's customers.

2. Water Storage Tanks Maintenance and Rehabilitation

Description: The water storage tank project involves maintenance and rehabilitation of the water storage tanks. The Lamasco glass lined standpipe needs coating on the interior to mitigate a rust problem that was observed during the last inspection. The last inspection of the Jack Thompson 100,000 gallon elevated tank showed that it also needs interior and exterior coating improvements.

Design Criteria: The design criterion for a coating system is the provision of a system that will be corrosion free for a 20 year life.

Map: The location of the two water storage tanks is shown on Exhibit A.

Environmental Impacts: There are no lead based paints involved and no environmental impacts are envisioned.

Land Requirements: No additional land will be required.

Construction Problems: The only construction problem envisioned is in improving the coating in the glass lined tank. The rusting areas are along the seams in the tank and removing the rust and replacing the coating will be a somewhat tedious process.

Cost Estimates: The cost estimate for the coating improvements to the Lamasco standpipe is \$70,000 and the cost estimate for the Jack Thompson elevated tank is \$30,000.



Advantages/Disadvantages: The maintenance of the coating systems on the water storage tanks is necessary to extend the life of the tanks. The only alternative is to let the coating systems fail to the point where the tanks are unusable and replace them.

3. KY 903 Loop

Description: The KY 903 loop is a project to provide a redundant line under I-24 in the southern part of the Water District's service area. The project also loops a dead end line. There is only one water line that crosses I-24 to serve the entire area south of the interstate highway. If service were lost in this line, a large number of customers would be without water. In addition, the long travel distance of the water under the current flow scheme provides the opportunity for the dissipation of chlorine and the chance of low chlorine residuals and violation of standards under the Safe Drinking Water Act. This project should improve the flow and enhance water quality.

Design Criteria: The project includes 3,500 linear feet of 8" PVC water main with a 420 linear feet bore under I-24 with steel encasement and PVC pipe within the encasement. Gasketed joint SDR-21 PVC pipe will be used for the trenching and Certa-Lok (DR14) C900 PVC pipe for the restrained joint PVC bores. All PVC pipe will be pressure class 200 with ductile iron fittings. The water line will be connected to a 6 inch line on the northern end and an 8 inch line on the southern end. The bore under I-24 will be done in accordance with Kentucky Transportation Cabinet design criteria.

Map: Map 1 shows the location of the KY 903 Loop project.

Environmental Impacts: The water line will be laid in existing road right of way where possible. Where that is not possible it will be laid on private easements in agricultural fields next to the right of way. Therefore, no environmental impacts are envisioned.

Land Requirements: The only land required will be the easements where it is not possible to lay the water line in public right of way.

Construction Problems: The only construction challenge will be the bore under I-24. The area where the bore will be made is relatively amenable to boring and no significant problems are envisioned.

Cost Estimates: The estimated cost for the project is \$493,000. A detailed cost estimate is shown in Table A-1.

Advantages/Disadvantages: One alternative considered was to provide the redundant line along Wynn Road instead of KY 903. The Wynn Road loop would have a more difficult bore under I-24 and would result in an existing section of 4 inch water line being a part of the loop. The KY 903 loop had the advantages of easier construction resulting in lower costs. It also would result in a larger water line for the entire length of the loop. A cost estimate for the Wynn Road alternate is shown in Table A-2.



4. KY 93 South Loop

Description: The KY 93 South Loop project is a major loop across the Eddy Creek embayment with the upsizing of water lines that are part of the loop. This project should greatly improve the reliability of service and the protection of health in the area south of I-24 and east of the Eddy Creek Embayment by allowing a redundant pump station to provide backup in the event of an outage at the Lamasco pump station. It will allow increase the circulation of water in the area and thereby improve water quality. An additional side benefit of this project will be improved flow of water than can be used for fighting fires, an important asset to support a new fire station being constructed in the area as part of a new fire protection district.

Design Criteria: The project will include the replacement of approximately 1,000 linear feet of 4 inch pipe with 8 inch PVC pipe and approximately 6,000 linear feet of new 8 inch PVC pipe. Gasketed joint SDR-21 PVC will be used for the trenching and Certa-Lok (DR14) C900 for the restrained joint PVC bores. Fusible C-900 PVC was used for the alternative containing the directional drill under the lake. All PVC pipe will be pressure class 200 with ductile iron fittings. The project also includes approximately 4,100 of 8 inch Class 350 ductile iron pipe in steel encasement in the road embankment crossing the Eddy Creek Embayment. A short section of this pipe will be suspended from the highway bridge crossing the embayment. Construction of the pipe in the vicinity of the embankment and bridge will be in accordance with Kentucky Transportation Cabinet design criteria. A new pump station will be constructed along this new line. The pump station will be designed to be a similar to the Lamasco pump station and will provide a maximum 400 gallons per minute pumping rate like the Lamasco station.

Map: Map 2 shows the location of the KY 93 South Loop project.

Environmental Impacts: The water line to be constructed will be in highway right of way or adjacent to an existing power line right of way. No significant environmental impacts are envisioned from the construction.

Land Requirements: The land required will be the easement adjacent to the power line and land for the pump station. The pump station will be sited on property owned by a County Fire District and being used for construction of the new fire station or on a small private tract.

Construction Problems: The construction problems to be overcome include some shallow rock in areas near the Eddy Creek Embayment. Constructing the water line in the road embankment across the Eddy Creek Embayment between the guard rail and the pavement will require good traffic control and possibly construction during off peak recreational periods.

Cost Estimates: The estimated cost of this project is \$1,385,000. A detailed cost estimate is shown in Table A-3.

Advantages/Disadvantages: An alternative considered for crossing the Eddy Creek Embayment was to do a directional drill under the embayment. This alternative's estimated



cost was approximately \$175,000 more than placing the water line in the road embankment. In addition, this alternative would have resulted in more permitting and potentially greater environmental impacts. A cost estimate for this alternate is shown in Table A-4.

Another alternative considered was to construct the water line without a pump station. However, the water line alone would not supply enough water during peak demands if the Lamasco pump station were out of service and the Princeton Water Treatment Plant high service pumps were not operating.

Summary of Project Costs

Table 8 summarizes the estimated costs for the selected alternatives for the four projects described above. The estimated design and construction period is one year. Interest was calculated based on the total amount of the project financed for a 6 month period.

		Project			
Cost Element	Automated Meter Reading	Water Storage Tank Maintenance and Rehabilitation	KY 903 Loop	KY 93 South Loop	Total
Equipment	\$433,200	-	-		\$433,200
Construction	62,400	90,000	\$360,000	1,061,100	1,573,500
Engineering- Preliminary	1,000	1,000	4,000	9,000	15,000
Engineering-Design	4,000	4,000	33,400	78,600	120,000
Engineering-Inspection	1,000	5,000	23,100	50,900	80,000
Engineering Other		-	22,700	49,300	72,000
Admin/Legal	6,00	1,000	6,000	17,000	30,000
Lands/Rights	-	-	2,000	3,000	5,000
Contingencies	6,200	9,000	36,000	106,100	157,300
Interest*	12,800	2,800	12,200	34,400	62,200
Other	-	-	-		-
Total Costs	\$526,600	\$112,800	\$499,400	\$1,409,400	\$2,548,200



ANNUAL OPERATING BUDGET

1. Income

Table 5 showed the tabulation of monthly usage in 2009 for the various sized meters. Table 9 shows the current rate and the proposed rate schedule. Table 10 shows the 2009 revenue and the projected revenue using the proposed rates based on the 2009 water sales. Table 10 shows the proposed rates will generate approximately \$150,000 in new revenue each year.

5/8"		Current Rate	Proposed Rate
Meter	Tier	(per 1,000 gals)	(per 1,000 gals)
First/Min	2,000	\$9.56	\$10.71
Next	3,000	\$8.05	\$9.02
Next	5,000	\$6.02	\$6.74
Next	10,000	\$3.99	\$5.99
Over	20,000	\$3.49	\$5.24
3/4"		Current Rate	Proposed Rate
Meter	Tier	(per 1,000 gals)	(per 1,000 gals)
First/Min	4,000	\$5.85	\$9.94
Next	1,000	\$5.32	\$8.78
Next	5,000	\$3.92	\$6.86
Next	10,000	\$2.52	\$6.05
Over	20,000	\$2.17	\$5.21
1"		Current Rate	Proposed Rate
Meter	Tier	(per 1,000 gals)	(per 1,000 gals)
First/Min	15,000	\$5.03	\$8.05
Next	5,000	\$3.26	\$6.03
Over	20,000	\$2.86	\$5.29
		Current Rate	Proposed Rate
1 1/2 "	Tier	(per 1,000 gals)	(per 1,000 gals)
Meter	25,000	\$4.24	\$8.27
Over	25,000	\$2.86	\$5.29
2 "		Current Rate	Proposed Rate
Meter	Tier	(per 1,000 gals)	(per 1,000 gals)
First/Min	45,000	\$3.62	\$8.34
Over	45,000	\$2.86	\$5.29



Table 1	0 Summary of W	Vater Revenue
Meter Size	Current Revenue	Proposed Revenue
5/8"	\$ 807,455	\$ 911,788
3/4"	736	1,375
1"	6,674	11,027
1 1/2"	7,811	15,086
2"	32,479	66,399
Total	\$ 855,156	\$ 1,005,675

The wastewater system revenue is estimated to be \$12,000 per year and miscellaneous income is estimated at \$5,000 per year for a total income of \$1,022,675

2. Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Costs

Table 11 shows the 2009 actual operations and maintenance expenses, the 2010 budget, and the projection of these expenses for a 5-year period.

Item	2009 Actual	2010 Budget	5 Year Projected
Purchased Water	\$259,841	\$284,000	\$284,000
Taxes and Licenses	9,462	8,000	10,000
Wages	131,734	112,700	129,600
Retirement and Health Benefits	27,582	28,000	36,400
Consulting	63,620	64,500	70,000
Audit and Additional Accounting	8,000	8,000	10,000
Rent, Utilities, and Telephone	24,405	23,500	25,900
Supplies - Sewer	8,945	16,900	18,600
Supplies - Water	17,256	17,500	19,300
Insurance	13,441	14,000	15,400
Line Repairs	78,169	80,500	88,600
Miscellaneous	23,092	28,500	31,400
Total	\$665,547	\$686,100	\$739,200



3. Debt Repayment

Table 6 and Table 7 show the current debt of the Water District and the combined principal and interest payments for this debt. The current principal and interest payment on the existing debt from Table 7 is approximately \$165,000 each year. Since there are approximately \$525,000 in short-lived assets (the automated meter system), the proposed RD financing has been broken down into a 15 year component of \$255,000 and a 40 year component of \$2,025,000. The principal and interest on new Rural Development loans for these amounts and terms at 3.5% interest would be approximately \$140,000 each year. Therefore, the combination of existing and proposed debt service would be approximately \$305,000 each year assuming there were no Rural Development grant funds. A \$600,000 Rural Development grant (30% of the \$2,025,000) would reduce the annual debt service payment by approximately \$28,000 each year. A Rural Development grant of \$1,000,000 (50% of the \$2,025,000) would reduce the annual debt service payment by \$47,000 each year. For the entire financing of \$2,550,000, the \$600,000 grant would be a 24% grant and the \$1,000,000 grant would be a 39% grant.

4. Reserves

Debt Service Reserve - The debt service reserve payment for the proposed Rural Development loan would be 10 percent of the yearly principal payment or approximately \$6,000 each year.

Short-Lived Asset Reserve - The proposed project contains approximately \$500,000 of shortlived assets. These assets are proposed to be financed with a 15 year loan to match the life of the assets. Therefore, no short-lived asset reserve would be necessary.

5. Summary of Annual Operating Budget

Table 12 provides a summary of the proposed annual operating budget for the Water District including the financing for this project at three funding levels; (1) all new funds coming from a Rural Development loan, (2) a combination 76% Rural Development loan and a \$600,000 (24%) grant, and (3) a combination 61% Rural Development loan and a \$1,000,000 (39%) grant.

Table 12 Propo	osed Annual (Operating Budget Inclu	uding RD Loan	/Grant			
PROPOSED	INCOME	ESTIMATED EXPENSES					
Item	Amount	Item	100% Loan	76% Loan	61% Loan		
Water Revenue	\$1,005,700	Operating Expense	\$739,200	\$739,200	\$739,200		
Sewer Revenue	12,000	Debt Service	305,000	277,000	258,000		
Miscellaneous	5,000	Debt Service Reserve	6,000	6,000	6,000		
Total	\$1,022,700	Total	\$1,050,200	\$1,022,200	\$1,003,200		



The Water District has proposed a rate adjustment, reflected in Table 12, projected to generate approximately additional revenue of \$150,500 or 18 percent each year. As shown by Table 12, even this large an increase is not sufficient to allow the Water District's projected revenue to exceed the projected 5-year expenses without the receipt of some grant funding. With a \$600,000 grant Table 12 shows that the projected income and expenses are almost the same. This means that the Water District would not be generating any excess funds each year to do other small new growth projects or provide for unanticipated emergencies. In essence, the Water District would not be funding any of their depreciation on their existing assets. Table 12 shows that with a grant of \$1,000,000 the Water District would be able to fund its operational expenses plus a very small amount of its depreciation, but still less than 10 percent.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The Lyon County Water District needs to construct several projects to improve its system to be able to provide reliable service and good water quality to its customers. The projects discussed in this preliminary engineering report are the four top priority projects. The estimated costs for these projects total approximately \$2,550,000.

The Water District has proposed a significant increase in their water rates to fund these projects. The Rural Development funding preferred by the Water District would be a 15 year loan of \$525,000, a 40 year loan of \$1,025,000 and a grant of \$1,000,000. This financing would allow the Water District's to complete these much needed projects and still capture a small portion of its depreciation for at least the first five years of the loan.



Appendix A Detailed Cost Estimates



÷

	Table A-1 Cost Estimate PROJECT ID 3A: KY 903 Interconnect								
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	QTY.	UNIT	PRICE	ES	COST		
1	4" PVC Water Main (Trenched)	l.f.	80	\$	12	\$	960		
2	6" PVC Water Main (Trenched)	l.f.	20	\$	18	\$	360		
3	8" PVC Water Main (Trenched)	l.f.	3,500	\$	20	\$	70,000		
4	8" RJ/PVC Water Main (Unencased Bore)	l.f.	40	\$	45	\$	1,800		
5	8" RJ/PVC Water Main (Within Encasement)	l.f.	420	\$	18	\$	7,560		
6	16" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack)	l.f.	420	\$	450	\$	189,000		
7	4" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings	each	4	\$	120	\$	480		
8	6" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings	each	2	\$	150	\$	300		
9	8" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings	each	20	\$	160	\$	3,200		
10	4" Thrust Restraint Glands	each	8	\$	110	\$	880		
11	6" Thrust Restraint Glands	each	4	\$	115	\$	460		
12	8" Thrust Restraint Glands	each	60	\$	120	\$	7,200		
13	Tie to Existing 4" Water Main	each	1	\$	500	\$	500		
14	Tie to Existing 6" Water Main	each	1	\$	1,000	\$	1,000		
15	Tie to Existing 8" Water Main	each	1	\$	1,000	\$	1,000		
16	Fire Hydrant Assembly	each	5	\$	3,500	\$	17,500		
17	8" MJ Gate Valve & Box	each	10	\$	1,600	\$	16,000		
18	Reconnect Existing Water Services	each	9	\$	300	\$	2,700		
19	Concrete Class "B" Thrust Blocking	c.y.	44	\$	125	\$	5,500		
20	Compacted DGA Backfill	ton	130	\$	25	\$	3,250		
21	Asphalt Paving	ton	10	S	150	\$	1,500		
22	Landscaping & Seeding	s.y.	6,900	S	2	\$	13,800		
23	Traffic Control	l.sum	1	\$	10,000	\$	10,000		
24	Erosion Control	l.sum	1	\$	5,000	\$	5,000		
		Subtotal Co	onstruction			Ş	360,000		
		Preliminary	/ Engineeri	ng		S	4,000		
		Design (9.28%)			S	33,400			
	-	Inspection (6.42%)			S	23,100			
		Other Engineering			S	18,000			
		Lands/Rights				S	2.000		
		Legal Expe	nses			S	4,000		
		Interest				S	12,000		
		Contingend	ies	(1	0.00%)	\$	36,000		
		Total Cost		,		\$	492,500		



Florence & Hutcheson

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

	Table A-2 Co PROJECT ID 3B: \	st Estima Wynn Roa	te d Loop				
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	QTY.	U Pl	INIT RICE	ES	COST
1	4" PVC Water Main (Trenched)	l.f.	20	\$	12	\$	240
2	8" PVC Water Main (Trenched)	l.f.	4,300	\$	20	\$	86,000
3	8" RJ/PVC Water Main (Within Encasement)	l.f.	500	\$	18	\$	9,000
4	16" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack)	l.f.	400	\$	450	\$	180,000
5	16" Steel Encasement (Open Cut)	l.f.	100	\$	175	\$	17,500
6	4" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings	each	2	\$	120	\$	240
7	8" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings	each	15	\$	160	\$	2,400
8	4" Thrust Restraint Glands	each	4	\$	110	\$	440
9	8" Thrust Restraint Glands	each	50	\$	120	\$	6,000
10	8" Field Lok Gaskets	each	41	\$	150	\$	6,150
11	Tie to Existing 4" Water Main	each	1	\$	500	\$	500
12	Tie to Existing 8" Water Main	each	1	\$	1,000	\$	1,000
13	Fire Hydrant Assembly	each	5	\$	3,500	\$	17,500
14	8" MJ Gate Valve & Box	each	10	\$	1,600	\$	16,000
15	1" Air Release Valve & Vault	each	1	\$	4,000	\$	4,000
16	Concrete Class "B" Thrust Blocking	c.y.	34	\$	125	\$	4,250
17	Compacted DGA Backfill	ton	240	\$	25	\$	6,000
18	Asphalt Paving	ton	20	\$	150	\$	3,000
19	Landscaping & Seeding	s.y.	8,300	\$	2	\$	16,600
20	Traffic Control - Access to Divided Section of I-24	l.sum	1	\$	50,000	\$	50,000
21	Erosion Control	l.sum	1	\$	15,000	\$	15,000
		Subtota	al Construction			\$	441,800
		Prelimir	nary Engine	ering	3	\$	4,000
		Design		(7	7.56%)	\$	33,400
		Inspection (5.23%)		5.23%)	\$	23,100	
		Other E	ngineering			\$	18,000
		Lands/R	Rights			\$	2,000
		Legal Ex	penses			\$	4,000
		Interest				\$	12,000
		Conting	encies	(1	0.00%)	\$	44,200
		Total Co	ost			\$	582,500



.

Preliminary Engineering Report

1 4" PVC Water Main (Trenched) l.f. 300 \$ 17 2 6" PVC Water Main (Trenched) l.f. 50 \$ 23 6" RJ/PVC Water Main (Within l.f. 50 \$ 23 8" Restrained Joint DIP Water Main l.f. 60 \$ 16 8" Restrained Joint DIP Water Main l.f. 4,100 \$ 45 5 8" DIP Water Main (Within Encasement) l.f. 300 \$ 40 6 8" PVC Water Main (Unencased l.f. 200 \$ 50 8" RJ/PVC Water Main (Unencased l.f. 200 \$ 50 8" RJ/PVC Water Main (Within l.f. 60 \$ 18 9 12" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) l.f. 60 \$ 350 10 16" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) l.f. 60 \$ 450 16" Steel Encasement (Bridge Span l.f. 300 \$ 275 12 4" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$ 120 13 6" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 10 \$ 110 14 8" MJ Gate Valve & Box each 10 \$ 110 <	EM	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QTY.	UNI	T PRICE	ESTIMA	TED COST
2 6" PVC Water Main (Trenched) I.f. 50 \$23 3 6" RJ/PVC Water Main (Within Encasement) I.f. 60 \$16 4 (Across Barkley Lake) I.f. 4,100 \$45 5 8" DIP Water Main (Within Encasement) I.f. 300 \$40 6 8" PVC Water Main (Trenched) I.f. 7,000 \$5 255 8" RJ/PVC Water Main (Unencased I.f. 200 \$50 \$50 8" RJ/PVC Water Main (Within Encasement) I.f. 60 \$5 350 10 16" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) I.f. 60 \$5 350 10 16" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) I.f. 60 \$5 350 11 Section) I.f. 300 \$\$ 275 12 4" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$5 120 13 6" MJ Datel Valve & Box each 18 \$\$ 1,600 14 8" MJ Date Valve & Box each 10	1	4" PVC Water Main (Trenched)	l.f.	300	\$	17	S	5,100
6" RJ/PVC Water Main (Within Encasement) I.f. 60 \$ 16 8" Restrained Joint DIP Water Main (Across Barkley Lake) I.f. 4,100 \$ 45 4 (Across Barkley Lake) I.f. 300 \$ 40 6 8" DIP Water Main (Within Encasement) I.f. 7,000 \$ 225 8" RJ/PVC Water Main (Unencased Bore) I.f. 200 \$ 50 8 " RJ/PVC Water Main (Within Encasement) I.f. 60 \$ 18 9 12" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) I.f. 60 \$ 350 10 16" Steel Encasement (Bridge Span I.f. 300 \$ 275 11 Section) I.f. 300 \$ 275 12 4" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$ 120 13 6" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 10 \$ 110 14 8" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 10 \$ 110 15 8" Thrust Restraint Glands	2	6" PVC Water Main (Trenched)	l.f.	50	\$	23	Ş	1,150
3 Enclosement) 1.1. 60 5 18 8 Restrained Joint DIP Water Main 1.f. 4,100 \$ 45 4 (Across Barkley Lake) 1.f. 300 \$ 40 6 8° DIP Water Main (Within Encasement) 1.f. 7,000 \$ 255 8° RJ/PVC Water Main (Unencased 1.f. 200 \$ 50 8° RJ/PVC Water Main (Within 1.f. 60 \$ 18 9 12" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) 1.f. 60 \$ 350 10 16" Steel Encasement (Bridge Span 1.f. 300 \$ 275 12 4" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$ 120 13 6" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 16 \$ 110 14 8" MJ Gate Valve & Box each 10 \$ 110 15 8" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 110 16 4" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 110 16 8" Thrust Restraint Glands <td>2</td> <td>6" RJ/PVC Water Main (Within</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>(0)</td> <td>ć</td> <td>11</td> <td></td> <td>0(0</td>	2	6" RJ/PVC Water Main (Within	1.6	(0)	ć	11		0(0
a Restrained Joint UIP water Main I.f. 4,100 S 45 4 (Across Barkley Lake) I.f. 300 S 40 5 8' DIP Water Main (Within Encasement) I.f. 300 S 40 6 8'' PVC Water Main (Unencased Bore) I.f. 200 S 50 8'' RJ/PVC Water Main (Within I.f. 600 S 18 9 12'' Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) I.f. 600 S 350 10 16'' Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) I.f. 600 S 450 16'' Steel Encasement (Bridge Span I.f. 300 S 275 12 4'' MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$ 150 13 6'' MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 10 \$ 110 14 8'' MJ Gate Valve & Box each 10 \$ 110 14 8'' Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 115 18 8'' Thrust Re	3	Elicasement)		00	Ş	10	\$	960
5 8" DIP Water Main (Within Encasement) I.f. 300 \$ 40 6 8" PVC Water Main (Trenched) I.f. 7,000 \$ 25 8" RJ/PVC Water Main (Unencased I.f. 200 \$ 50 8" RJ/PVC Water Main (Within I.f. 200 \$ 50 8" RDP I.f. 60 \$ 18 9 12" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) I.f. 60 \$ 350 10 16" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) I.f. 60 \$ 450 16" Steel Encasement (Bridge Span I.f. 300 \$ 275 12 4" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$ 120 14 8' MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 10 \$ 160 15 8" MJ Gate Valve & Box each 10 \$ 110 17 6" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 110 16 4" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 110 17 6" Thrust Restraint Glands each 20 \$ 150 20	4	(Across Barkley Lake)	l.f.	4,100	\$	45	\$	184,500
6 8" PVC Water Main (Trenched) I.f. 7,000 S 25 8" RJ/PVC Water Main (Unencased Bore) I.f. 200 S 50 8" RJ/PVC Water Main (Within Encasement) I.f. 60 S 18 9 12" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) I.f. 60 S 350 10 16" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) I.f. 60 S 450 16" Steel Encasement (Bridge Span I.f. 300 S 275 12 4" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 S 120 13 6" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 18 S 1,600 14 8" MJ Gate Valve & Box each 10 S 110 16 4" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 S 115 18 8" Thrust Restraint Glands each 20 S 150 20 Cut & Cap Existing 4" Water Main each 2 S 500 12 Tie to Existing 6" Water Main	5	8" DIP Water Main (Within Encasement)	l.f.	300	\$	40	\$	12,000
8" RJ/PVC Water Main (Unencased Bore) I.f. 200 \$ 50 8" RJ/PVC Water Main (Within Encasement) I.f. 60 \$ 18 9 12" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) I.f. 60 \$ 350 10 16" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) I.f. 60 \$ 350 11 Section) I.f. 60 \$ 450 16" Steel Encasement (Bridge Span I.f. 300 \$ 275 12 4" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$ 120 13 6" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 18 \$ 1,600 14 8" MJ Gate Valve & Box each 10 \$ 110 16 4" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 110 17 6" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 110 18 "Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 115 18 "Thrust Restraint Glands each	6	8" PVC Water Main (Trenched)	l.f.	7,000	\$	25	\$	175,000
8" RJ/PVC Water Main (Within I.f. 60 \$ 18 9 12" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) I.f. 60 \$ 350 10 16" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) I.f. 60 \$ 450 16" Steel Encasement (Bridge Span I.f. 300 \$ 2775 12 4" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$ 120 13 6" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$ 160 14 8" MJ Gate Valve & Box each 18 \$ 1,600 16 4" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 110 17 6" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 110 18 8" Thrust Restraint Glands each 20 \$ 150 20 Cut & Cap Existing 4" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 21 Tie to Existing 4" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 21 Tie to Existing 8" Water Main	7	8" RJ/PVC Water Main (Unencased Bore)	l.f.	200	Ş	50	\$	10,000
8 Encasement) I.f. 60 \$ 18 9 12" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) I.f. 60 \$ 350 10 16" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) I.f. 60 \$ 450 16" Steel Encasement (Bridge Span I.f. 60 \$ 450 11 Section) I.f. 300 \$ 275 12 4" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$ 120 13 6" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$ 160 14 8" MJ Gate Valve & Box each 18 \$ 1,600 16 4" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 110 17 6" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 115 18 8" Thrust Restraint Glands each 20 \$ 150 20 Cut & Cap Existing 4" Water Main each 20 \$ 1,000 21 Tie to Existing 6" Water Main ea		8" RJ/PVC Water Main (Within						
9 12" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) l.f. 60 \$ 350 10 16" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) l.f. 60 \$ 450 16" Steel Encasement (Bridge Span l.f. 300 \$ 275 11 Section) l.f. 300 \$ 275 12 4" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$ 120 13 6" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$ 150 14 8" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 10 \$ 160 15 8" MJ Gate Valve & Box each 10 \$ 110 16 4" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 110 17 6" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 110 18 8" Thrust Restraint Glands each 20 \$ 150 20 Cut & Cap Existing 4" Water Main each 20 \$ 150 21 Tie to Existing 4" Water Main each 2 \$ 500 22 Tie to Existing 6" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 23 Tie to Existing 8" Water Main each 2 </td <td>8</td> <td>Encasement)</td> <td>l.f.</td> <td>60</td> <td>\$</td> <td>18</td> <td>\$</td> <td>1,080</td>	8	Encasement)	l.f.	60	\$	18	\$	1,080
10 16" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack) I.f. 60 \$ 450 16" Steel Encasement (Bridge Span I.f. 300 \$ 275 11 Section) I.f. 300 \$ 275 12 4" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$ 120 13 6" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$ 150 14 8" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 18 \$ 1,600 15 8" MJ Gate Valve & Box each 10 \$ 110 16 4" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 110 17 6" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 115 18 8" Thrust Restraint Glands each 20 \$ 150 20 Cut & Cap Existing 4" Water Main each 20 \$ 150 21 Tie to Existing 4" Water Main each 2 \$ 500 22 Tie to Existing 6" Water Main each 2 \$ 500 23 Tie to Existing 8" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 24 Fire Hydrant Assembly each 5 <	9	12" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack)	l.f.	60	\$	350	\$	21,000
16" Steel Encasement (Bridge Span I.f. 300 \$ 275 11 Section) I.f. 300 \$ 275 12 4" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$ 120 13 6" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$ 150 14 8" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 20 \$ 160 15 8" MJ Gate Valve & Box each 18 \$ 1,600 16 4" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 110 17 6" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 115 18 8" Thrust Restraint Glands each 20 \$ 150 20 Cut & Cap Existing 4" Water Main each 20 \$ 150 20 Cut & Cap Existing 4" Water Main each 2 \$ 500 21 Tie to Existing 6" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 23 Tie to Existing 8" Water Main each 2 \$ 4,000 23 Tie to Existing 8" Water Main each 2 \$	10	16" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack)	l.f.	60	\$	450	\$	27,000
12 4" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$ 120 13 6" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$ 150 14 8" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 20 \$ 160 15 8" MJ Gate Valve & Box each 18 \$ 1,600 16 4" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 110 17 6" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 110 18 8" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 115 18 8" Thrust Restraint Glands each 20 \$ 150 19 8" Field Lok Gaskets each 20 \$ 150 20 Cut & Cap Existing 4" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 21 Tie to Existing 6" Water Main each 2 \$ 500 22 Tie to Existing 8" Water Main each 1 \$ 1,000 23 Tie to Existing 8" Water Main each 1 \$ 1,000 24 Fire Hydrant Assembly each 5 \$ 4,000 25 1" Air Release Valve & Vault each	1	16" Steel Encasement (Bridge Span Section)	l.f.	300	\$	275	\$	82,500
13 6" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 5 \$ 150 14 8" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 20 \$ 160 15 8" MJ Gate Valve & Box each 18 \$ 1,600 16 4" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 110 17 6" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 115 18 8" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 115 18 8" Thrust Restraint Glands each 20 \$ 115 18 8" Thrust Restraint Glands each 20 \$ 110 19 8" Field Lok Gaskets each 20 \$ 150 20 Cut & Cap Existing 4" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 21 Tie to Existing 6" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 23 Tie to Existing 8" Water Main each 1 \$ 1,000 24 Fire Hydrant Assembly each 8 \$ 3,750 25 1" Air Release Valve & Vault each 2 \$ 10,000 26 Wall each 2	12	4" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings	each	5	\$	120	\$	600
14 8" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings each 20 \$ 160 15 8" MJ Gate Valve & Box each 18 \$ 1,600 16 4" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 110 17 6" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 115 18 8" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 115 18 8" Thrust Restraint Glands each 76 \$ 120 19 8" Field Lok Gaskets each 20 \$ 150 20 Cut & Cap Existing 4" Water Main each 2 \$ 500 21 Tie to Existing 6" Water Main each 2 \$ 500 22 Tie to Existing 6" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 23 Tie to Existing 8" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 24 Fire Hydrant Assembly each 8 \$ 3,750 25 1" Air Release Valve & Vault each 5 \$ 4,000 Core Drill Existing Bridge Abutment each 2 \$ 10,000 27 8 mil Polyethylene Wrap (for DIP) l.f.	13	6" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings	each	5	\$	150	S	750
15 8" MJ Gate Valve & Box each 18 \$ 1,600 16 4" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 110 17 6" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 115 18 8" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 115 18 8" Thrust Restraint Glands each 76 \$ 120 19 8" Field Lok Gaskets each 20 \$ 150 20 Cut & Cap Existing 4" Water Main each 2 \$ 500 21 Tie to Existing 4" Water Main each 2 \$ 500 22 Tie to Existing 6" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 23 Tie to Existing 8" Water Main each 1 \$ 1,000 24 Fire Hydrant Assembly each 8 \$ 3,750 25 1" Air Release Valve & Vault each 5 \$ 4,000 26 Wall each 2 \$ 10,000 27 8 mil Polyethylene Wrap (for DIP) I.f. 4,100 \$ 1.50 28 1" Fiberglass Pipe Insulation I.f. 300	14	8" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings	each	20	S	160	S	3,200
16 4" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 110 17 6" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 115 18 8" Thrust Restraint Glands each 76 \$ 120 19 8" Field Lok Gaskets each 20 \$ 150 20 Cut & Cap Existing 4" Water Main each 2 \$ 500 21 Tie to Existing 6" Water Main each 2 \$ 500 22 Tie to Existing 6" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 23 Tie to Existing 8" Water Main each 1 \$ 1,000 24 Fire Hydrant Assembly each 8 \$ 3,750 25 1" Air Release Valve & Vault each 5 \$ 4,000 Core Drill Existing Bridge Abutment Core Drill Existing Bridge Abutment 2 \$ 10,000 27 8 mil Polyethylene Wrap (for DIP) I.f. 4,100 \$ 1.50 28 1" Fiberglass Pipe Insulation I.f. 300 \$ 15 29 Cantilever Pipe Supports each 25 \$ 500 30 Concrete Class "B" Th	15	8" MJ Gate Valve & Box	each	18	S	1,600	S	28,800
17 6" Thrust Restraint Glands each 10 \$ 115 18 8" Thrust Restraint Glands each 76 \$ 120 19 8" Field Lok Gaskets each 20 \$ 150 20 Cut & Cap Existing 4" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 21 Tie to Existing 4" Water Main each 2 \$ 500 22 Tie to Existing 6" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 23 Tie to Existing 8" Water Main each 1 \$ 1,000 24 Fire Hydrant Assembly each 8 \$ 3,750 25 1" Air Release Valve & Vault each 5 \$ 4,000 26 Wall each 2 \$ 10,000 27 8 mil Polyethylene Wrap (for DIP) I.f. 4,100 \$ 1.50 28 1" Fiberglass Pipe Insulation I.f. 300 \$ 15 29 Cantilever Pipe Supports each 25 \$ 500 30 Concrete Class "B" Thrust Blocking c.y. 100 \$ 125 31 Compacted DGA Backfill ton <	16	4" Thrust Restraint Glands	each	10	S	110	\$	1,100
18 8" Thrust Restraint Glands each 76 \$ 120 19 8" Field Lok Gaskets each 20 \$ 150 20 Cut & Cap Existing 4" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 21 Tie to Existing 4" Water Main each 2 \$ 500 22 Tie to Existing 6" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 23 Tie to Existing 8" Water Main each 1 \$ 1,000 24 Fire Hydrant Assembly each 8 \$ 3,750 25 1" Air Release Valve & Vault each 5 \$ 4,000 Core Drill Existing Bridge Abutment each 2 \$ 10,000 27 8 mil Polyethylene Wrap (for DIP) l.f. 4,100 \$ 1.50 28 1" Fiberglass Pipe Insulation l.f. 300 \$ 15 29 Cantilever Pipe Supports each 25 \$ 500 30 Concrete Class "B" Thrust Blocking c.y. 100 \$ 125 31 Compacted DGA Backfill ton 5,300 \$ 25 <td>17</td> <td>6" Thrust Restraint Glands</td> <td>each</td> <td>10</td> <td>S</td> <td>115</td> <td>S</td> <td>1,150</td>	17	6" Thrust Restraint Glands	each	10	S	115	S	1,150
19 8" Field Lok Gaskets each 20 \$ 150 20 Cut & Cap Existing 4" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 21 Tie to Existing 4" Water Main each 2 \$ 500 22 Tie to Existing 6" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 23 Tie to Existing 8" Water Main each 1 \$ 1,000 24 Fire Hydrant Assembly each 8 \$ 3,750 25 1" Air Release Valve & Vault each 5 \$ 4,000 Core Drill Existing Bridge Abutment each 2 \$ 10,000 26 Wall each 2 \$ 10,000 27 8 mil Polyethylene Wrap (for DIP) l.f. 4,100 \$ 1.50 28 1" Fiberglass Pipe Insulation l.f. 300 \$ 15 29 Cantilever Pipe Supports each 25 \$ 500 30 Concrete Class "B" Thrust Blocking c.y. 100 \$ 125 31 Compacted DGA Backfill ton 5,300 \$ 25	18	8" Thrust Restraint Glands	each	76	S	120	S	9,120
20 Cut & Cap Existing 4" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 21 Tie to Existing 4" Water Main each 2 \$ 500 22 Tie to Existing 6" Water Main each 2 \$ 1,000 23 Tie to Existing 8" Water Main each 1 \$ 1,000 24 Fire Hydrant Assembly each 8 \$ 3,750 25 1" Air Release Valve & Vault each 5 \$ 4,000 Core Drill Existing Bridge Abutment each 2 \$ 10,000 27 8 mil Polyethylene Wrap (for DIP) l.f. 4,100 \$ 1.50 28 1" Fiberglass Pipe Insulation l.f. 300 \$ 15 29 Cantilever Pipe Supports each 25 \$ 500 30 Concrete Class "B" Thrust Blocking c.y. 100 \$ 125 31 Compacted DGA Backfill ton 5,300 \$ 25	19	8" Field Lok Gaskets	each	20	S	150	S	3.000
21Tie to Existing 4" Water Maineach2\$50022Tie to Existing 6" Water Maineach2\$1,00023Tie to Existing 8" Water Maineach1\$1,00024Fire Hydrant Assemblyeach8\$3,750251" Air Release Valve & Vaulteach5\$4,000Core Drill Existing Bridge Abutment </td <td>20</td> <td>Cut & Cap Existing 4" Water Main</td> <td>each</td> <td>2</td> <td>S</td> <td>1,000</td> <td>S</td> <td>2.000</td>	20	Cut & Cap Existing 4" Water Main	each	2	S	1,000	S	2.000
22Tie to Existing 6" Water Maineach2\$1,00023Tie to Existing 8" Water Maineach1\$1,00024Fire Hydrant Assemblyeach8\$3,750251" Air Release Valve & Vaulteach5\$4,00026Walleach2\$10,000278 mil Polyethylene Wrap (for DIP)l.f.4,100\$1.50281" Fiberglass Pipe Insulationl.f.300\$1529Cantilever Pipe Supportseach25\$50030Concrete Class "B" Thrust Blockingc.y.100\$12531Compacted DGA Backfillton5,300\$251	21	Tie to Existing 4" Water Main	each	2	S	500	S	1.000
23Tie to Existing 8" Water Maineach1\$1,00024Fire Hydrant Assemblyeach8\$3,750251" Air Release Valve & Vaulteach5\$4,000Core Drill Existing Bridge Abutmenteach2\$10,00026Walleach2\$10,000278 mil Polyethylene Wrap (for DIP)l.f.4,100\$1.50281" Fiberglass Pipe Insulationl.f.300\$1529Cantilever Pipe Supportseach25\$50030Concrete Class "B" Thrust Blockingc.y.100\$12531Compacted DGA Backfillton5,300\$25	22	Tie to Existing 6" Water Main	each	2	\$	1.000	S	2.000
24Fire Hydrant Assemblyeach8\$3,750251" Air Release Valve & Vaulteach5\$4,000Core Drill Existing Bridge Abutmenteach2\$10,00026Walleach2\$10,000278 mil Polyethylene Wrap (for DIP)l.f.4,100\$1.50281" Fiberglass Pipe Insulationl.f.300\$1529Cantilever Pipe Supportseach25\$50030Concrete Class "B" Thrust Blockingc.y.100\$12531Compacted DGA Backfillton5,300\$25	23	Tie to Existing 8" Water Main	each	1	S	1.000	S	1.000
251" Air Release Valve & Vaulteach5\$4,000Core Drill Existing Bridge Abutmenteach2\$10,00026Walleach2\$10,000278 mil Polyethylene Wrap (for DIP)l.f.4,100\$1.50281" Fiberglass Pipe Insulationl.f.300\$1529Cantilever Pipe Supportseach25\$50030Concrete Class "B" Thrust Blockingc.y.100\$12531Compacted DGA Backfillton5,300\$25	24	Fire Hydrant Assembly	each	8	S	3.750	S	30,000
Core Drill Existing Bridge Abutmenteach2\$ 10,00026Walleach2\$ 10,000278 mil Polyethylene Wrap (for DIP)l.f.4,100\$ 1.50281" Fiberglass Pipe Insulationl.f.300\$ 1529Cantilever Pipe Supportseach25\$ 50030Concrete Class "B" Thrust Blockingc.y.100\$ 12531Compacted DGA Backfillton5,300\$ 25	25	1" Air Release Valve & Vault	each	5	S	4.000	S	20,000
278 mil Polyethylene Wrap (for DIP)l.f.4,100\$1.50281" Fiberglass Pipe Insulationl.f.300\$1529Cantilever Pipe Supportseach25\$50030Concrete Class "B" Thrust Blockingc.y.100\$12531Compacted DGA Backfillton5,300\$25	26	Core Drill Existing Bridge Abutment Wall	each	2	\$	10.000	s	20,000
281" Fiberglass Pipe Insulationl.f.300\$1529Cantilever Pipe Supportseach25\$50030Concrete Class "B" Thrust Blockingc.y.100\$12531Compacted DGA Backfillton5,300\$25	7	8 mil Polvethylene Wrap (for DIP)	l.f.	4.100	Ś	1.50	S	6,150
29Cantilever Pipe Supportseach25\$50030Concrete Class "B" Thrust Blockingc.y.100\$12531Compacted DGA Backfillton5,300\$25	28	1" Fiberglass Pipe Insulation	l.f.	300	Ş	15	Š	4,500
30Concrete Class "B" Thrust Blockingc.y.100\$12531Compacted DGA Backfillton5,300\$25	9	Cantilever Pipe Supports	each	25	Ş	500	S	12,500
31Compacted DGA Backfillton5,300\$25	30	Concrete Class "B" Thrust Blocking	C.V.	100	Ş	125	S	12,500
	31	Compacted DGA Backfill	ton	5.300	s S	25	¢ ¢	132 500
32 Asphalt Paving - Shoulder ton 400 \$ 150	32	Asphalt Paving - Shoulder	ton	400	ç	150	Ś	60,000
33 Landscaping & Seeding S.v. 13.475 S 2	33	Landscaping & Seeding	S.V.	13.475	Ş	2	S	26,950
34 Traffic Control Lsum 1 \$ 50,000	34	Traffic Control	L.sum	1	5	50,000	Ş	50,000
35 Erosion Control L.sum 1 \$ 15,000	35	Erosion Control	l.sum	1	S	15,000	Ş	15,000
Pump Station	-	Pump Station			Ŧ	,	*	. 5,000
1 24'x13.5' Vinvl Sided Building w/ L.sum 1 \$ 20.000	1	24'x13.5' Vinyl Sided Building w/	l.sum	1	S	20,000	S	20.000



٠

Preliminary Engineering Report

	asphalt shingle roof and 1 double door					
2	24'x13.5' Concrete Slab	l.sum	1	\$	5,500	\$ 5,500
3	Duplex Grundfos CR90-1 End Suction, Flexibly Coupled Pumps	each	2	\$	7,500	\$ 15,000
4	Ductile Iron Piping	l.sum	1	\$	4,500	\$ 4,500
5	Check Valves (3 ea.)	each	3	\$	2,500	\$ 7,500
6	Butterfly Valves (6 ea.)	each	6	\$	2,000	\$ 12,000
7	Misc. (expansion & dismantling joints, supports, painting, etc.)	l.sum	1	\$	5,000	\$ 5,000
8	Compacted DGA Backfill	l.sum	1	\$	2,000	\$ 2,000
9	Compacted DGA Drive	l.sum	1	\$	1,000	\$ 1,000
10	Fencing	l.sum	1	\$	4,500	\$ 4,500
11	Electrical, Instrumentation, and SCADA	l.sum	1	\$	20,000	\$ 20,000
		Subtotal Co	nstruction			\$ 1,061,100
and the second		Preliminary	Engineeri	ng		\$ 9,000
		Design		(8.15%)	\$ 78,600
		Inspection (5.28%)				\$ 50,900
		Other Engin	eering			\$ 39,000
		Lands/Right	S			\$ 3,000
		Legal				\$ 4,000
		Interest				\$ 33,800
		Contingenci	es	(1	10.00%)	\$ 106,100
		Total Cost				\$ 1,385,500



•

Table A-4 Cost Estimate PROJECT 4: Alternative 2 - KY 93 South Loop, Palisades Drive to Friendship Road									
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QTY.	UNIT PRICE		ESTIMATED COST			
1	4" PVC Water Main (Trenched)	l.f.	300	\$	17	\$	5,100		
2	6" PVC Water Main (Trenched)	l.f.	50	\$	23	\$	1,150		
3	8" Fusible PVC Water main (Directional Drill)	l.f.	4,200	\$	120	\$	504,000		
4	8" PVC Water Main (Trenched)	l.f.	8,900	\$	25	\$	222,500		
5	8" RJ/PVC Water Main (Unencased Bore)	l.f.	200	\$	50	\$	10,000		
6	8" RJ/PVC Water Main (Within Encasement)	l.f.	180	\$	18	\$	3,240		
7	16" Steel Encasement (Bore & Jack)	l.f.	180	\$	450	\$	81,000		
8	4" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings	each	5	\$	120	\$	600		
9	6" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings	each	5	\$	150	\$	750		
10	8" MJ Ductile Iron Fittings	each	20	\$	160	\$	3,200		
11	8" MJ Gate Valve & Box	each	18	\$	1,600	\$	28,800		
12	4" Thrust Restraint Glands	each	10	\$	110	\$	1,100		
13	6" Thrust Restraint Glands	each	10	\$	115	\$	1,150		
14	8" Thrust Restraint Glands	each	76	\$	120	\$	9,120		
15	Cut & Cap Existing 4" Water Main	each	2	\$	1,000	\$	2,000		
16	Tie to Existing 4" Water Main	each	2	\$	500	\$	1,000		
17	Tie to Existing 6" Water Main	each	2	\$	1,000	\$	2,000		
18	Tie to Existing 8" Water Main	each	1	\$	1,000	\$	1,000		
19	Fire Hydrant Assembly	each	8	\$	3,750	\$	30,000		
20	1" Air Release Valve & Vault	each	5	\$	4,000	\$	20,000		
21	Concrete Class "B" Thrust blocking	c.y.	100	\$	125	\$	12,500		
22	Compacted DGA Backfill	ton	3,100	\$	25	\$	77,500		
23	Asphalt Paving - Eddy Creek Marina Road	ton	200	\$	150	\$	30,000		
24	Landscaping & Seeding	s.y.	13,200	\$	2	\$	26,400		
25	Traffic Control	l.sum	1	\$	50,000	\$	30,000		
26	Erosion Control	l.sum	1	\$	15,000	\$	15,000		
	Pump Station								
	24'x13.5' Vinvl Sided Building w/								
1	asphalt shingle roof and 1 double door	l.sum	1	\$	20,000	\$	20,000		
2	24'x13.5' Concrete Slab	l.sum	1	\$	5,500	\$	5,500		
3	Duplex Grundfos CR90-1 End Suction, Flexibly Coupled Pumps	each	2	\$	7,500	\$	15,000		
4	Ductile Iron Piping	l.sum	1	S	4,500	\$	4,500		
5	Check Valves (3 ea.)	each	3	S	2,500	S	7.500		
6	Butterfly Valves (6 ea.)	each	6	\$	2,000	\$	12,000		



•

Preliminary Engineering Report

7	Misc. (expansion & dismantling joints, supports, painting, etc.)	l.sum	1	\$	5,000	\$ 5,000
8	Compacted DGA Backfill	l.sum	1	\$	2,000	\$ 2,000
9	Compacted DGA Drive	l.sum	1	\$	1,000	\$ 1,000
10	Fencing	l.sum	1	\$	4,500	\$ 4,500
11	Electrical, Instrumentation, and SCADA	l.sum	1	\$	20,000	\$ 20,000
	Subtotal Construction					\$ 1,216,100
A. Bart	Preliminary Engineering				\$ 9,000	
	Design (7.02%)			\$ 78,600		
	Inspection (4.55%)				\$ 50,900	
Other Engineering					\$ 39,000	
Lands/Rights						\$ 3,000
Legal						\$ 4,000
Interest						\$ 38,100
A. COLLE		Contingencies (10.00%)				\$ 121,600
Total Cost						\$ 1,560,300



٠

Appendix B

Maps



· ·







2015-376

CONTAINS

LARGE OR OVERSIZED

MAPS

RECEIVED ON: Dec. 10th, 2015

.