

July 13, 2015

RECEIVED

JUL 1 5 2015

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Mr. Jeff Derouen Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

RE:

Case No. 2015-00119

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Enclosed for filing please find Kenergy's response to the Commission's Second Request for Information (original plus 3 copies) in the above referenced matter.

Your assistance in this matter is appreciated.

Sincerely,

David Hamilton

Vice President - Member Services

CASE NO. 2015-00119

VERIFICATION

I, DAVID HAMILTON , verify, state and affirm that the data request responses filed with this verification and for which I am listed as a witness are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry. David Hamilton, Vice President – Member Services
STATE OF KENTUCKY
COUNTY OF: DAVIESS
The foregoing was signed, acknowledged and sworn to before me by DAVID HAMILTON , this <u>/3</u> day of July, 2015.
My commission expires
Mortin Notary Public, State of Kentucky at Large

(seal)

CASE NO. 2015-00119

Item 1)

ر) Information ("Staff's First Request").

a) Explain whether Kenergy believes the "up to" amount will seem vague to the member and if

establishing a specific incentive amount that could be revised each year as the annual budget for energy efficiency programs is adjusted and approved would be better.

Refer to Kenergy's response to Item 2 of the Commission Staff's First Request for

b) If Kenergy disagrees, explain how Kenergy proposes to communicate to a member the "up to" amount of incentive that it determines based on member participation.

Response a) Kenergy does not believe the "up to" amount will seem vague to the member. Any changes will be communicated to our members through the monthly *Member Matter* newsletter, Facebook, Twitter and through the Kenergy website. Kenergy currently tracks participation levels in each program on a monthly basis. The "up to" language allows Kenergy to adjust the incentive payment amounts to stay within budget. We propose to review each program and determine if the incentive amounts should be adjusted.

Response b) See response to la.

Witness) David Hamilton

CASE NO. 2015-00119

Item 2) Refer to Kenergy's response to Staff's First Request, Item 2. Kenergy states, "Funding levels will be determined by member participation in each program and adjusted periodically in order to stay within the annual budget for energy efficiency programs funded by Big Rivers Electric Corporation."

- a) Explain how often either Kenergy or Big Rivers Electric Corporation reviews the number of participants and the amount of incentives paid for purposes of adjusting funding levels.
- b) Provide the level of participation or funding that triggers such a review.

Response a) Kenergy and Big Rivers Electric Corporation monitors participation levels in each energy efficiency program on a monthly basis. The budget is proportionately allocated each month and persistent overspending would trigger a review. The budget is limited by Big Rivers Electric Corporation to \$500,000 annually to fund energy efficiency programs.

Response b) See response to 2a.

Witness) David Hamilton

CASE NO. 2015-00119

 Item 3) a) Explain whether the Pilot Residential Weatherization A La Carte Program offers the same weatherization measures as the Residential Weatherization Program – Primary Heating Source Non-Electric and Electric-Sourced Air Conditioning and the Residential Weatherization Program – Primary Heating Source Electric and Electric-Sourced Air Conditioning. If not, identify the differences and explain why there are differences.

b) Explain whether Kenergy will add the non-duplicate items, such as CFL Bulbs, Low-Flow Aerator, and Low-Flow Shower Head, to the menu of choices on the Pilot Residential Weatherization A La Carte Program.

Response a) The Pilot Residential Weatherization A La Carte Program does not offer the same weatherization measures as the existing weatherization programs. The Pilot Residential Weatherization A La Carte Program is comprised of only the most cost effective measures to provide weatherization to more members. The proposed pilot weatherization programs provides: Initial Site Visit (\$200 value), Audit and Audit Report, Member Education Materials, Energy Efficient Light Bulbs and Kenergy will pay "up to" \$500 for duct sealing in an electric heated home and "up to" \$250 for duct sealing a gas heated home.

If additional weatherization measures are needed, Kenergy will pay "up to" the following amounts:

Measure	Electric Heated Home	Gas Heated Home
Attic Insulation	\$500	\$250
Floor/Crawl Space Insulation	\$250	\$ 0
Smart/Programmable Thermostat	\$ 30	\$ 30

Note: If cost to seal ducts or install additional measures exceeds these amounts the member must pay the difference.

CASE NO. 2015-00119

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10



12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Under the existing weatherization program for electric heated homes (Tariff No. 56), Kenergy will pay

\$2,500 for the initial audit, weatherization measures, including CFL bulbs, Low-Flow Aerator and

Low-Flow Shower Head.

Under the existing weatherization program for gas heated homes (Tariff No. 55), Kenergy will pay

\$1,000 for the initial audit, weatherization measures, including CFL bulbs, Low-Flow Aerator and

Low-Flow Shower Head.

The proposed pilot weatherization program does not include the low-flow aerator and the low-flow

shower head.

Response b) Kenergy does not intend to add a low-flow aerator and low-flow shower head to the

menu of choices for the Pilot Residential Weatherization A La Carte Program. The A La Carte

Program does include energy efficient bulbs.

Witness) David Hamilton

> Item 3 Page 2 of 2