

Mailing Address: 139 East Fourth Street 1212 Main / P.O. Box 960 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

RECEIVED

MAR 19 2015

PUBLIC SERVICE

COMMISSION

o: 513-287-4320 f: 513-287-4385

VIA OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

March 18, 2015

Mr. Jeff Derouen Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

Case No. 2015- 00089

In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc., for a Declaratory Order that the Construction of a New Landfill Constitutes an Ordinary Extension in the Usual Course of Business or, in the Alternative, for a Certificate of Public Convenience And Necessity.

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Re:

Enclosed please find an original and twelve copies of The Application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. for filing in the above referenced matter.

Please date-stamp the two copies of the letter and the filing and return to me in the enclosed envelope.

Sincerely Rocco D'Ascenzo Associate General Counsel

rocco.d'ascenzo@duke-energy.com

cc: Hon. Jennifer Hans

RECEIVED

MAR 1 9 2015

PUBLIC

COMINIL_____

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In The Matter of:

The Application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc.,	
for a Declaratory Order that the Construction	
of a New Landfill Constitutes an Ordinary	
Extension in the Usual Course of Business or,	
in the Alternative, for a Certificate of Public	
Convenience and Necessity.	

CASE NO. 2015- 00089

APPLICATION OF DUKE ENERGY KENTUCKY, INC., FOR A DECLARATORY ORDER THAT THE CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW LANDFILL AT ITS EAST BEND GENERATING STATION CONSTITUTES AN ORDINARY EXTENSION IN THE USUAL COURSE OF BUSINESS OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY AND REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED TREATMENT

Now comes Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. (Duke Energy Kentucky or the Company), pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 19 and hereby respectfully requests from the Kentucky Public Service Commission (Commission) an Order declaring that the construction of a new landfill to replace the current landfill that is nearing capacity, constitutes an ordinary extension of the Company's existing system in the usual course of business. In the alternative, pursuant to KRS 278.020 and 807 KAR 5:001 Section 15 Duke Energy Kentucky requests that the Commission grant a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) for the construction of the landfill.

Duke Energy Kentucky currently operates a landfill at its East Bend Generating Station (East Bend) that is used for the disposal of waste products resulting from the Company's flue gas desulfurization (FGD) and other waste material (East Bend Landfill). This current landfill is

projected to reach its capacity in the next three to four years and the Company must either construct a new landfill on the western portion of the East Bend Campus (West Landfill) or arrange to transport its waste to another landfill operated by a third party. The construction of the West Landfill will take time and the Company must act now to begin construction before the current landfill reaches its full capacity. Duke Energy Kentucky has a present opportunity to address the landfill capacity issue with a reasonably priced and long-term solution. Duke Energy Kentucky has recently acquired land adjacent to East Bend from its affiliate, Tri-State Improvement Company (Tri-State)¹ and its parent Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke Energy Ohio). and through its recent acquisition of the 31 percent interest in East Bend from the Dayton Power & Light Company (DP&L) that is permitted for and can accommodate the West landfill.² Duke Energy Ohio and Tri-State agreed to sell and transfer the land to Duke Energy Kentucky at its original book value, which is lower than the current market value and consistent with KRS 278.2207 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC) asymmetrical pricing requirements.³ The land acquisition provided Duke Energy Kentucky the ability to construct the West Landfill at East Bend and continue to store waste material from East Bend on site, rather than incurring costs to transport to and dispose of the waste material at third-party-owned landfills.

The East Bend Landfill is permitted to receive various forms of waste, including, but not limited to, FGD waste, fly ash and bottom ash (Generator Waste), from a number of generating sources, including those generating stations currently owned and/or operated by Duke Energy

¹ Tri-State Improvement is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Duke Energy Ohio.

² In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc., for (1) A Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Authorizing the Acquisition of the Dayton Power & Light Company's 31% Interest in the East Bend Generating Station; (2) Approval of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc.'s Assumption of Certain Liabilities in Connection with the Acquisition; (3) Deferral of Costs incurred as Part of the Acquisition; and (4) All Other Necessary Approvals, and Relief, Case No 2014-00201 (Ky. P.S.C. Order, December 4, 2014.) ³ KRS 278.2207.

Kentucky and for generating stations for other Kentucky utilities and Ohio-based electric generators. These permitted sources include, but are not limited to, the East Bend and Miami Fort 6 Generating Stations owned by Duke Energy Kentucky, the Spurlock Generating Station owned by East Kentucky Power Cooperative, the Ghent Generating Station owned by Kentucky Utilities Company, and the Zimmer, Beckjord, Miami Fort and Killen Stations (collectively Permitted Stations).⁴ As explained below, the West Landfill is permitted to receive Generator Waste from sources other than East Bend to ensure there is sufficient dry fly ash material to make the Poz-o-tec byproduct necessary to operate the station's FGD handling process. As such, this West Landfill will be beneficial for not only Duke Energy Kentucky, but potentially for other utilities in the Commonwealth. Exhibits 1 and 2 are a true and accurate copy of the permits for construction of the West Landfill from the Kentucky Division of Waste Management (DWM) and Kentucky Department of Environmental Protection (KDEP), respectively.

Exhibit 3 is a true and accurate copy of the results of a market appraisal of the land upon which the West Landfill is to be constructed, performed by an independent appraiser, showing that the current market price is greater than the fully distributed cost/net book value. As explained further below, the land acquisition and West Landfill construction will provide Duke Energy Kentucky with a long-term and economical opportunity to address the problem of waste disposal. Accordingly, a significant benefit is provided to Duke Energy Kentucky and its customers.

Duke Energy Kentucky's need to begin construction on the West Landfill resource is

⁴ The Miami Fort Generating Station has three operational units, Unit 6, 7, and 8. Duke Energy Miami Fort LLC, currently owns and operates Units 7 and 8. Duke Energy Miami Fort LLC is presently in the process of being sold to Dynegy. Duke Energy Kentucky owns Unit 6, but Duke Energy Miami Fort LLC operates Unit 6 on Duke Energy Kentucky's behalf pursuant to an approved service agreement.

immediate, thus driving Duke Energy Kentucky's desire to begin construction as soon as possible.⁵

Introduction

1. Duke Energy Kentucky is a Kentucky corporation with its principal office and principal place of business at 139 East Fourth Street Cincinnati, Ohio, 45202. The Company's local office in Kentucky is Duke Energy Envision Center, 4580 Olympic Boulevard, Erlanger, Kentucky 41018. The Company further states its electronic mail address for purposes of this matter is <u>KYfilings@duke-energy.com</u>.

2. Duke Energy Kentucky is a utility engaged in the gas and electric business. Duke Energy Kentucky purchases, sells, stores and transports natural gas in Boone, Bracken, Campbell, Gallatin, Grant, Kenton and Pendleton Counties, Kentucky. Duke Energy Kentucky also generates electricity, which it distributes and sells in Boone, Campbell, Grant, Kenton and Pendleton Counties.

3. Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 14(2), Duke Energy Kentucky states that it was originally incorporated in the Commonwealth of Kentucky on March 20, 1901, and attests that it is currently in good standing in said Commonwealth.

Background

4. On or about December 5, 2003, in Case No. 2003-00252, the Commission approved Duke Energy Kentucky's acquisition of three generating stations from Duke Energy Ohio; East Bend, Miami Fort Unit 6 and Woodsdale. Effective January 1, 2006, Duke Energy Kentucky completed the acquisition of these three generating stations.

The East Bend Landfill was part of the generating asset acquisition approved in Case No.

⁵ See Case No 2014-00201, Response to Staff DR 01-026, describing that it will take approximately two years to construct the landfill cell.

2003-00252 and is operated by Duke Energy Kentucky. The East Bend Landfill is used, incidentally, in the production and furnishing of electric service as it serves as a means for storage and disposal of Generator Waste material produced by East Bend. Approximately 80 percent of the ash produced at East Bend is dry fly ash. That material is mixed with the spent scrubber slurry and lime to make a stable material called Poz-o-tec. The mixture sets up much like concrete and is placed in the onsite landfill. At times, the East Bend Generating Station does not produce enough Generator Waste to make the Poz-o-tec material on its own and must receive additional fly ash waste from other sources. The remaining 20 percent is bottom ash. This bottom ash is treated in an ash pond located on site at East Bend.

5. The presence of this onsite landfill has permitted Duke Energy Kentucky to manage its costs of providing safe and reliable electric service by eliminating the need to transport to and pay for disposal of the Generator Waste in commercial landfills. The East Bend Landfill is projected to reach its capacity in approximately three to four years. The lifespan of the East Bend Landfill, and the eventual need for a new alternative, were first discussed in Case No. 2003-00252. Most recently, the need for a replacement landfill and its construction was discussed in Case No., 2014-00201, regarding the Company's acquisition of the remaining 31 percent interest in East Bend from DP&L.⁶ Exhibit 4 includes the Company's responses to Commission Staff-issued data requests on the topic. At that time, it was contemplated that to address future waste disposal needs, Duke Energy Kentucky would either acquire land from Duke Energy Ohio to expand its existing landfill or that Duke Energy Ohio might construct its own landfill and charge Duke Energy Kentucky for disposal services. Since Duke Energy

⁶ In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc., for (1) A Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Authorizing the Acquisition of the Dayton Power & Light Company's 31% Interest in the East Bend Generating Station; (2) Approval of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc.'s Assumption of Certain Liabilities in Connection with the Acquisition; (3) Deferral of Costs incurred as Part of the Acquisition; and (4) All Other Necessary Approvals, and Relief, Case No 2014-00201 (Ky. P.S.C. Order, December 4, 2014.) See Direct Testimonies of Steven Immel at 6 and J. Michael Geers at 24-26.

Kentucky has an immediate need for the landfill development and Duke Energy Ohio no longer owns operating generating assets, Duke Energy Kentucky has purchased the land for its own landfill development.

6. A repository for East Bend's Generator Waste is necessary for purposes of environmental compliance for current and emerging regulations involving handling of coal combustion residuals.

7. In anticipation of reaching capacity at the East Bend Landfill, Duke Energy Kentucky began exploring alternatives to address the need to dispose of Generator Waste material. The only viable alternative was to transport the Generator Waste to a third-party owned and operated landfill. The Company has determined that operating its own landfill continues to be the best and lowest cost option for its customers. Accordingly, Duke Energy Kentucky purchased land owned by its parent and affiliate, Duke Energy Ohio and Tri-State, respectively, adjacent to Duke Energy Kentucky's East Bend in order to construct the West Landfill. Further, the Company acquired the DP&L's 31 percent interest in the land surrounding East Bend when it completed its acquisition of DP&L's share in the station.

8. The West Landfill construction project includes construction of approximately 200 acres of lined landfill. The West Landfill is designed to accept approximately 30 years of Generator Waste from the East Bend Station, including other permitted stations. The Landfill will be lined with a leachate collection system in accordance with all applicable federal, state, and local requirements. The detail design of the West Landfill footprint is included in the Kentucky Division of Waste Management Permit number SW00800006 and the Kentucky Department of Environmental Protection permit number 7094A. The West Landfill construction will also include the construction of all infrastructure required to operate and maintain the West

Landfill. The West Landfill infrastructure includes, but is not limited to, roads for access and operation of the landfill, electric transmission lines and electrical equipment for powering necessary equipment for use at the landfill, and environmental monitoring equipment.

9. The West Landfill will be constructed in eight separate phases, with the first phase to be completed in 2016. The additional seven phases will be constructed in approximate three-year increments with a projected completion date of 2037 for all phases. The approximate cost of the first phase is \$30 million. The Company anticipates commencing engineering on the second cell in 2016 with actual construction beginning in 2019.⁷ Future cell construction will be timed so that the West Landfill can continue to operate without any interruption and in a way that reduces construction and operational costs.

10. To construct the proposed West Landfill, Duke Energy Kentucky acquired approximately 940 acres of land, located adjacent to East Bend, from its parent and affiliate, Duke Energy Ohio and Tri-State and through the recent acquisition of the remaining 31 percent interest in East Bend from DP&L. Duke Energy Kentucky has already obtained the necessary environmental permits to construct the West Landfill as evidenced by Exhibits 1 and 2. Exhibit 5 includes overhead maps showing the location of the East Bend Generating Station, construction location, and the proximity of the West Landfill.

11. Consistent with KRS 278.2207, Duke Energy Ohio and Tri-State agreed to transfer the land to Duke Energy Kentucky at its net book value, \$2,545,382.97. This is lower than the market value of the property of approximately \$6.023 million, which was determined by an independent appraisal performed by a real estate appraisal firm. A copy of the appraisal is

⁷ The Company believes that the construction of all eight phases of the West Landfill, each constructed separately in time, will constitute ordinary extensions of an existing system as each phase is intended to replace the existing landfill that will soon no longer be able to store additional Generator Waste. Each individual phase should constitute an ordinary extension. To the extent the Commission does not find the proposed West Landfill to be an ordinary extension, the Company is seeking a CPCN.

attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

12. Duke Energy Kentucky continues to believe that constructing and operating its own landfill is the best way to address Generator Waste disposal. Maintaining an onsite disposal facility minimizes any transportation expenses and disposal fees, and avoids contractual limitations, such as volume constraints, term of use, and renegotiations, that Duke Energy Kentucky would incur if it were to use a third-party commercial landfill. Since Duke Energy Kentucky already operates the East Bend Landfill, it has the trained and skilled personnel capable of constructing and maintaining the West Landfill in accordance with good engineering practices. The West Landfill will be operational and in use prior to the East Bend Landfill reaching its capacity so as to allow a seamless transition. This West Landfill will provide additional disposal capacity for the East Bend Generating Station. This construction provides an opportunity for Duke Energy Kentucky to begin to address its long-term Generator Waste disposal needs.

<u>Request for Declaratory Order that the West Landfill</u> is an Ordinary Extension of an Existing System in the Ordinary Course

13. Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 19, Duke Energy Kentucky requests confirmation from the Commission that the construction of the West Landfill represents an ordinary extension of existing systems in the usual course of business and does not require a CPCN pursuant to KRS 278.020 and 807 KAR 5:001 Section 15(3).

14. KRS 278.020(1) provides an exemption from the requirement of a CPCN for the construction of new facilities for furnishing regulated utility services to the public, if such new facilities are ordinary extensions of existing systems in the usual course of business. As defined by 807 KAR 5:001 Section 15(3), such ordinary extensions must not "create wasteful duplication of plant, equipment, property, or facilities," must not "conflict with the existing certificates or

service of other utilities operating in the area," and must "not involve sufficient capital outlay to materially affect the existing financial condition of the utility involved, or will not result in increased charges to its customers." Duke Energy Kentucky submits that this project constitutes an ordinary extension of an existing system in the usual course of business because:

- a. The West Landfill is being constructed to replace the East Bend Landfill that is nearing its capacity. Each new phase will be constructed separately so to be in place and ready for operation upon need. Therefore, the West Landfill will not represent a wasteful duplication of plant, equipment, property, or facilities.
- b. The land acquisition and construction of the West Landfill will not require an investment sufficient to materially affect Duke Energy Kentucky's financial condition because the land was transferred at its net book value of approximately \$2.5 million. The West Landfill will be owned and operated by Duke Energy Kentucky just as it has owned and operated the East Bend Landfill for the past several years. Accordingly, Duke Energy Kentucky already has the personnel in place to operate the West Landfill. The West Landfill will be constructed on land adjacent to the existing East Bend site. This proximity will allow Duke Energy Kentucky to better control its transportation costs for disposing of the Generator Waste material. As discussed below, the construction and maintenance of the West Landfill in the long term is a more economic solution for Duke Energy Kentucky and its customers than identifying and engaging a third-party landfill for disposal of Generator Waste. While the Company may seek to include the cost of construction and operation and maintenance of the landfill in its rates at some point, the Company is not seeking such authority in this application. And as

explained herein, the cost of constructing and operating the West Landfill is far less than the cost to transport and dispose of the Generator Waste at a commercial landfill.

c. In addition, the location of the West Landfill in such close proximity to East Bend and on land recently acquired by Duke Energy Kentucky will ensure that the West Landfill will not compete or conflict with the existing certificates or services of any other jurisdictional utilities in the area.

15. The West Landfill will allow Duke Energy Kentucky to continue to provide stable and reasonably priced retail electric service to its customers by eliminating the need to transport to, and pay for disposal of Generator Waste at third-party owned and operated landfills once the East Bend Landfill reaches capacity. Based upon market inquiries to third parties owning and operating landfills, Duke Energy Kentucky estimates that the costs of transporting and disposing of the Generator Waste material in a commercial landfill to be approximately \$33-\$35 per ton. East Bend produces approximately 1.3 million tons of FGD waste material per year, resulting in an annual expense, based upon today's dollars, of more than \$42 million to use a commercial landfill. Assuming a disposal need for the next thirty years, this amounts to approximately \$1,260,000,000 in third-party disposal expense before even taking into account various concerns with short-term contracts, price escalations, and inflation. Further, constructing an onsite landfill will avoid significant public road traffic that would be necessary if the Company were to transport its waste to a third party-owned offsite disposal facility.

16. The Company's estimated fully loaded budgeted cost of construction for all eight phases of the West Landfill is approximately \$159 million. Ongoing on-site disposal expenses (*e.g.* transportation) amount to approximately \$3.5 million per year. Over an assumed thirty-year

life of the West Landfill, the estimated cost of construction of all eight phases, and the annual disposal expense equates to an annualized estimated cost of operation of approximately \$8 million to \$9 million per year.⁸ This is far below the current estimated annual expense of approximately \$42 million to use a third-party landfill for waste disposal.

17. The estimated cost of initiating construction in the spring of 2015 and finishing the first phase of the West Landfill is approximately \$30 million. This initial cell construction cost estimate includes construction of roadways, trenches, and installation of necessary transmission line that will be common for all future cells, but must be constructed with the initial phase. The estimated cost for each additional future cell is approximately \$18 million per cell.

Alternative Request for Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity

18. In the alternative, if the Commission were to find that land acquisition and construction of the West Landfill requires a CPCN, Duke Energy Kentucky respectfully requests this Commission grant such a certificate.

19. 807 KAR 5:001, Section 15 sets forth the requirements to receive a CPCN.

- a. In accordance with Section 15(2)(a), the application herein describes the facts relied upon to show the West Landfill is required by public convenience or necessity in that the West Landfill is necessary for the Company to continue to comply with environmental regulations and will allow Duke Energy Kentucky to continue to provide safe, reliable and reasonably priced retail electric service to customers by not having to procure third-party disposal services for Generator Waste material.
- b. In accordance with Section 15(2)(b), the Company has previously filed with the Commission the applicable franchises from the proper public authorities. In

⁸ (*i.e.* \$159MM/ 30yrs= \$5.3MM/ yr. \$5.3MM +\$3.5MM= \$8.8MM.

addition, Exhibits 1 and 2 of this application include copies of the environmental permits for the construction of the West Landfill.

- c. In accordance with Section 15(2)(c) and (d), Exhibit 3 includes a description of the land and the market appraisal. Exhibit 5 includes overhead maps of the site showing the proposed location of the West Landfill and construction.
- d. In accordance with Section 15(2)(e), the Company states that it proposes to finance the construction through continuing operations and debt instruments, as necessary. In addition, the Company may seek to include this project as part of an overall environmental compliance plan pursuant to KRS278.183. A final decision in that regard has not yet been reached and the Company will seek Commission approval to implement such a mechanism through a separate filing.
- e. In accordance with Section 15(2)(f), the total estimated cost of construction for all eight phases of the West Landfill is approximately \$159 million. The initial construction of phase one is estimated at approximately \$30 million. On-site disposal expenses (*e.g.*, transportation) amount to approximately \$3.5 million per year. Over an assumed thirty-year life of the West Landfill, the estimated cost of land acquisition, construction of all eight phases, and annual disposal expense equates to an annual investment of approximately \$8 million to \$9 million per year for the next thirty years. This is far below the current estimated annual expense of approximately \$35 million to use a third-party's landfill for waste disposal.

Requested Relief

20. Duke Energy Kentucky also respectfully requests that the Commission grant the

relief requested herein expeditiously so that it may begin construction as soon as possible in the Spring of 2015 so that it has ample time to complete construction prior to the current East Bend landfill reaching its capacity. The Company respectfully requests that the Commission issue the declaratory order that the landfill construction constitutes an ordinary extension within 90 days.

WHEREFORE, Duke Energy Kentucky respectfully requests that the Commission expeditiously issue an Order declaring that the construction of a replacement landfill constitutes an ordinary extension in the usual course of business or in the alternative grant the necessary CPCN.

VERIFICATION

STATE OF OHIO)	
)	SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)	

The undersigned, Joseph A. Miller Jr., being duly sworn, deposes and states as follows:

- I am employed by Duke Energy Business Services LLC ("Duke Energy Business Services") as Vice President Central Engineering and Services. Duke Energy Business Services is a service company subsidiary of Duke Energy Corporation ("Duke Energy"), which provides services to Duke Energy and its subsidiaries, including Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. ("Duke Energy Kentucky" or the "Company").
- 2. As Vice President of Central Engineering and Services, I have responsibility for and lead the groups responsible for the engineering analysis of capital projects across Duke Energy Corporation's fleet of fossil and hydroelectric generating facilities. My teams' responsibilities also include environmental compliance planning, assessment of new technologies, development of new fossil generation, and continuous emission monitor system maintenance support.
- 3. I earned a Bachelor's Degree in Mechanical Engineering from Purdue University in 1991 and completed twelve post-graduate level courses in Business Administration at Indiana State University. My career began at Public Service of Indiana in 1991 as a staff engineer at Cayuga Generating Station. Since that time, I have held various positions of increasing responsibility, including Station Manager at Cinergy's East Bend Generating Station and Duke Energy Ohio's Zimmer Generating Station. In October 2010, I was promoted to General Manager of Analytical and Investments Engineering. I was

promoted to General Manager of Strategic Engineering in July 2012, following the merger between Duke Energy and Progress Energy, Inc. In February 2014, I served as Interim Vice President of Central Engineering and Services and I was promoted to my current position as Vice President of Central Engineering and Services in October 2014,

4. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing Application, and the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

DUKE ENERGY KENTUCKY

Bv: Joseph A. Miller Jr., Affiant

Vice President Central Engineering and Services, Duke Energy Business Services, LLC

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Joseph A. Miller Jr., Vice President of Central Engineering Services for Duke Energy Business Services on this $\frac{1874}{4a}$ day of March 2015.

M. Fusch

ADELE M. FRISCH Notary Public, State of Ohio My Commission Expires 01-05-2019

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: 1/5/2019

Respectfully submitted,

Rocco O. D'Ascenzo (92796) Associate General Counsel Amy B. Spiller (85309) Deputy General Counsel Duke Energy Business Services, LLC 139 East Fourth Street, 1313 Main Cincinnati, Ohio 45201-0960 Phone: (513) 287-4320 Fax: (513) 287-4385 e-mail:rocco.d'ascenzo@duke-energy.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing Application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. has been served via overnight mail to the following party on this $\frac{1871}{1000}$ day of March 2015.

Rocco O. D'Ascenzo

Hon. Jennifer Hans Office of the Attorney General Utility Intervention and Rate Division 1024 Capital Center Drive Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

KyPSC 2015-XXX Exhibit 1 Page 1 of 15

Leonard K. Peters

Secretary



Steven L. Beshear Governor

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT CABINET

Division of Waste Management 200 Fair Oaks, 2nd Floor FRANKFORT, KY 40601 TELEPHONE: 502-564-6716 FACSIMILE: 502-564-3492 waste.ky.gov

September 22, 2014

Ms. Tammy Jett Environmental Services Department Duke Energy Corporation 139 East 4th Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Certified Mail No. 7012 2920 0001 0742 9486

RE: Approval of Minor Modification to Add a Waste Source Duke Energy Corporation – Special Waste Landfill Agency Interest No. 176 Application I.D. No. APE20140004 Boone County

Dear Ms. Jett:

The Kentucky Division of Waste Management (DWM), Solid Waste Branch has reviewed the modification application referenced above, received on September 12, 2014. The application proposes to accept fly ash for disposal from Kentucky Utilities Ghent Generating Station. DWM hereby approves this application. Please find enclosed a copy of the approved application and a revised permit.

Be advised that if you consider yourself aggrieved by the issuance of this permit, you have the right, pursuant to KRS 224.10-420(2) and 401 KAR 45:040, Section 2(6) to file a petition demanding a hearing with the cabinet. This right shall be limited to a period of thirty (30) days from the receipt of this permit. If you need clarification or additional information, please contact Joanna Ashford at (502) 564-6716, extension 4667.

Sincerely,

Anthony R. Hatton, P.G., Director Acting Branch Manager Solid Waste Branch

Enclosures ARH/LTB/jba



An Equal Opportunity Employer M/F/D Printed on recycled paper

KentuckyUnbridledSpirit.com

Facility:		ERMIT	
	Duke Energy East Bend Stati	ion Special Waste Disposal Fac	ility
		3 Beaver Rd n, KY 41091	
Permittee:		ke Energy	
		9 E 4th St ii, OH 452024003	
Agency Interest:	6293	gy KY East Bend Beaver Rd n, KY 41091	
permitted activity or	activities are subject to all conditions and a from the responsibility of obtaining any	KRS Chapter 224 and regulations promulgat operating limitations contained herein. Issuar other permits, licenses or approvals required	ice of this permit does n
authorized in writing	from the Division. Violation of the terms	your application or any condition specified	
	tion by representatives of the Division sponsibility of the permittee.	are reserved. Conformance with all applic	this permit null and voi able Waste Manageme
	sponsibility of the permittee.	are reserved. Conformance with all applic	this permit null and voi able Waste Manageme
Regulations is the re-	sponsibility of the permittee.	are reserved. Conformance with all applic	this permit null and voi able Waste Manageme
Regulations is the re Agency Interest I	sponsibility of the permittee.	are reserved. Conformance with all applic	this permit null and voi able Waste Manageme
Regulations is the re Agency Interest I Solid Waste Perm	sponsibility of the permittee. D #: 176 it #: SW00800006 Boone	are reserved. Conformance with all applic	this permit null and voi able Waste Manageme
Regulations is the re Agency Interest I Solid Waste Perm County:	sponsibility of the permittee. D #: 176 it #: SW00800006 Boone	are reserved. Conformance with all applic	this permit null and voi able Waste Manageme Status
Regulations is the re Agency Interest I Solid Waste Perm County: Permitted Activiti	sponsibility of the permittee. D #: 176 it #: SW00800006 Boone	are reserved. Conformance with all applic	able Waste Manageme

Permit Number: SW00800006 Agency Interest ID: 176 PERMIT **Acreage Summary:** Waste Disposal Area (in Acres): Activity Disposal Area **Special Waste** 70.00 Landfill-Coal Special Waste 203.70 Landfill-Coal **Total Disposal Area** 273.70 **Total Permitted Area** 363.70 **Cost Estimate Summary: Cost Estimate** Effective Coverage Type Comments Closure \$1,768,471.00 12/8/2008 Additional information can be found under Facility Information and/or Conditions \$490,591.00 12/8/2008 **Post-Closure** Additional information can be found under Facility Information and/or Conditions **Financial Assurance Summary:** The owner or operator shall maintain the following financial assurance approved by the Division in compliance with KRS Chapter 224.40-650, KRS Chapter 224.50-862, 401 KAR 45:080, and 401 KAR 48:310:

Instrument Type	Instrument Number	Amount	Date Received	Comments
Corporate	0	\$2,598,255.00	12/16/2013	
Financial Test				

First Operational Permit Effective Date: 07/16/1982 - ACTV0004, Inert Landfill Activity

Permit Effective Date: 07/16/1992

Permit Expiration Date: Life of Facility

Permit issued: 09/22/2014

Anthony R Hatton, P.G., Director Acting Branch Manager, Solid Waste Branch

APE20140004 - Approved Application

Issuance Date: 09/22/2014

Page 2 of 14

Permit Number: SW00800006	Agency Interest ID: 176
PERMIT	
Permit Conditions:	
Facility Information and/or Conditions The closure cost estimate for ACTV0004 is \$1,768,471.00 estimate was approved on October 4, 2006 under APE20060	
The closure cost estimate for ACTV0006 is \$3,302,474.00 estimate was approved under APE20070004. Financial As Division prior to issuance of an operating permit	
Subject Items	
ACTV0004 - Special Waste Landfill-Coal	
Standard Requirements:	
1. General: The owner or operator of a special waste faci KAR Chapters 30, 40 and 45 for the construction and operation	
2. General: For construction and operation of the special with KRS Chapter 224.50-760, 401 KAR 45:030, 45:110 a 45:110]	
3. General: The owner or operator may only accept waste a are approved per 401 KAR 45:020, Section 2(1)(a), 45:030,	
Variances, Alternate Specifications and Special Conditions:	
1. Wastestreams: The permittee may accept fly ash from the Ohio. [401 KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)]	Beckford Facility, Clermont Co., New Richmond,
2. Wastestreams: The permittee may accept fly ash from the Ohio. [401 KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)]	e Zimmer Station Facility, Clermont Co., Moscow,
3. Wastestreams: The permittee may accept fly ash from Ohio. [401 KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)]	the Miller Brewery Facility, Butler Co., Trenton,
 Wastestreams: The permittee may accept special waste applications from the East Bend Facility, Boone Co., Rabbit 	
5. Wastestreams: The permittee may accept fly ash and dry Hamilton, Ohio. [401 KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)]	FGD Waste from the City of Hamilton, Butler Co.,
6. Wastestreams: The permittee may accept fly ash, bottom Fort facility in Hamilton Co., North Bend, Ohio. [401 KAR	
APE20140004 - Approved Application Issuance Date	: 09/22/2014 Page 3 of 14

Agency Interest ID: 176

PERMIT

7. Wastestreams: The permittee may accept gypsum from the Killen Station, Adams Co., Wrightsville, Ohio. [401 KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)]

8. Wastestreams: The Permittee may accept fly ash from the Jefferson Smurfit Facility, Butler Co., Middletown, Ohio. [401 KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)]

9. Wastestreams: The permittee may accept fly ash from Duke Energy Generation Services of St. Bernard, LLC, Butler Co., Cincinnati, Ohio. [401 KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)]

10. General: This Special Waste Landfill, known as the East Special Waste Landfill (ACTV0004), consists of 70 acres of disposal area and 160 acres of total permitted area. [401 KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)]

11. Financial Assurance: The maximum extent of operation includes the area of the landfill identified by an operating permit and for which the final cover Construction Progress Report has not yet been approved by the cabinet. The current maximum extent of operation for this East Special Waste Landfill (ACTV0004) is less than or equal to 55 acres. [401 KAR 45:080]

12. Wastestreams: The permittee may accept fly ash from the Spurlock Station, Mason Co., Maysville, Kentucky. The permittee may accept up to 60,000 tons annually, and additional information may be found in the approved application, APE20120005. [401 KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)]

13. Wastestreams: The permittee may accept fly ash from the Ghent Generating Station, Carroll Co., Ghent, Kentucky. The permittee may accept up to 100,000 tons annually and additional information may be found in the approved application, APE20140004. [401 KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)]

Approved Applications - The owner or operator shall comply with applicable statutes and regulations and the following approved applications:

- 1. 06-07-82 First Operational Permit for Inert Landfill (effective on 7-16-82)
- 2. 04-05-84 Modification for Inert Landfill
- 3. 07-15-87 Renewal for Inert Landfill
- 4. 03-01-96 Permit Renewal conversion to Special Waste (effective date 7-16-92)
- 5. 07-24-96 Groundwater Monitoring Plan L11MOGWI
- 6. 01-16-97 Modification Add/Delete Waste Sources MOAD1
- 7. 11-12-97 Modification Add/Delete Waste Sources MOAD2
- 8. 11-27-00 Modification Add/Delete Waste Sources MOAD3
- 9. 11-22-04 APE20040001 Minor Modification Add/Delete Modification
- 10. 04-05-05 APE20040005 Minor Modification Add/Delete Modification
- 11. 06-07-05 AIN20010001 Groundwater Assessment Plan
- 12. 07-13-05 APE20050001 Minor Modification Leachate Collection System
- 13. 12-12-05 AIN20050001 Groundwater Assessment Plan East Landfill
- 14. 10-04-06 APE20060001 Permit Transfer (to Union Light, Heat, and Power Coop)
- 15. 10-04-06 APE20060006 Minor Modification Change the Active Area from 40 Acres to 55 Acres
- 16. 12-06-06 AIN20060001 Groundwater Assessment Report East Landfill
- 17. 02-16-07 APE20070001 Construction Progress Report Cells P-15 & P16
- 18. 03-20-07 APE20060007 Permit Transfer (to Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc.)
- 19. 08-15-07 AlN20070001 Groundwater Assessment Plan Ash Pond
- 20. 08-15-07 APE20070003 Minor Modification Add Source (bottom ash and plastic for truck lining

Permit Number: SW00800006 Agency Interest ID: 176	
PERMIT	
 from Miami Fort) 21. 08-15-07 - APE20070007 - Minor Modification previously labeled as APE20070005 - Add Source (Gypsum from Killen Station) 22. 07-16-10 - AIN20080001 - Groundwater Assessment Report - Ash Pond 23. 04-14-11 - CMN20100015 - Acceptance Letter Issued, Groundwater Assessment Report Update - Ash Pond and East Landfill 24. 07-06-12 - APE20120005 - Minor Modification - Add Source (Fly Ash from Spurlock Station) 25. 08-15-12 - AIN20110001 - Groundwater Assessment Report Update - Ash Pond 26. 08-15-12 - AIN20110001 - Groundwater Assessment Report Update - Ash Pond 27. 09-22-14 - APE20140004 - Minor Modification - Add Source (Fly Ash from Ghent Generating Station) 	
ACTV0006 - Special Waste Landfill-Coal	
Standard Requirements:	
 General: The owner or operator of a special waste facility shall comply with KRS Chapter 224 and 401 KAR Chapters 30, 40 and 45 for the construction and operation of special waste facilities. [KRS 224.50-760] 	
2. General: For construction and operation of the special waste landfill, the owner or operator shall comply with KRS Chapter 224.50-760, 401 KAR 45:030, 45:110 and the approved permit application(s). [401 KAR 45:110]	
3. General: The owner or operator may only accept waste at the special waste landfill from the sources which are approved per 401 KAR 45:020, Section 2(1)(a), 45:030, Section 8(1)(a), and 45:110. [401 KAR 45:110]	
Variances, Alternate Specifications and Special Conditions:	
 General: This Special Waste Landfill, known as the West Special Waste Landfill (ACTV0006), consists of 203.7 acres of disposal area and total permitted area. [401 KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)] 	
2. Wastestreams: The permittee may accept special waste streams as described in the approved plans and applications from the East Bend Facility, Boone Co., Rabbit Hash, Kentucky. These wastestreams include fixated FGD waste, bottom ash, and pond ash. [401 KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)]	
3. Wastestreams: The permittee may accept unfixated FGD Waste from the City of Hamilton, Butler Co., Hamilton, Ohio. [401 KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)]	
4. Wastestreams: The permittee may accept fly ash, bottom ash, and pond ash from the Beckford Facility, Clermont Co., New Richmond, Ohio. [40] KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)]	
5. Wastestreams: The permittee may accept fly ash from Duke Energy Generation Services of St. Bernard, LLC, Butler Co., Cincinnati, Ohio. [401 KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)]	
6. Wastestreams: The permittee may accept fly ash, bottom ash, gypsum, pond ash, and waste water treatment solids from the Miami Fort facility in Hamilton Co., North Bend, Ohio. [401 KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)]	
7. Wastestreams: The permittee may accept fly ash, bottom ash, gypsum, and waste water treatment solids from the Zimmer Station Facility, Clermont Co., Moscow, Ohio. [401 KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)]	

Permit Number: SW00800006 Agency Interest ID: 176 PERMIT 8. Wastestreams: The permittee may accept gypsum from the Killen Station, Adams Co., Wrightsville, Ohio. [401 KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)] 9. Financial Assurance: The maximum extent of operation includes the arca of the landfill identified by an operating permit and for which the final cover Construction Progress Report has not yet been approved by the cabinet. The proposed maximum extent of operation for this West Special Waste Landfill (ACTV0006) is less than or equal to 60 acres. [401 KAR 45:080] 10. Wastestreams: The permittee may accept fly ash from the Spurlock Station, Mason Co., Maysville, Kentucky. The permittee may accept up to 60,000 tons annually, and additional information may be found in the approved application, APE20120005. [401 KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)] 11. Wastestreams: The permittee may accept fly ash from the Ghent Generating Station, Carroll Co., Ghent, Kentucky. The permittee may accept up to 100,000 tons annually and additional information may be found in the approved application, APE20140004. [401 KAR 45:110 Section 3(7)] Approved Applications - The owner or operator shall comply with applicable statutes and regulations and the following approved applications: 12-08-2008 - APE20070004 - New Special Waste Activity - West Special Waste Landfill 1. 2. 03-09-2011 - APE20100002 - Groundwater Monitoring Plan Modification - West Special Waste Landfill 3. 02-28-2012 - APE20110004 - Construction Progress Report - Floodplain Area Filling (2.1 acres) 07-06-2012 - APE20120005 - Minor Modification - Add Source (Fly Ash from Spurlock Station) 4. 5. 09-22-2014 - APE20140004 - Minor Modification - Add Source (Fly Ash from Ghent Generating Station) **Financial Assurance** ACTV0001 - Financial Assurance The following is a history of the financial assurance for this facility: 1. 07-10-1987 - SB# B80-201654, \$83,000.00 2, 07-06-1992 - SB# B80-201654, \$539,900.00 3. 09-05-2001 - SB# B80-201654, \$564,102.00 4. 09-16-2002 - SB# B80-201654, \$577,534.00 5. 10-23-2003 - Financial Test, \$2,120,500.00 6. 10-31-2003 - SB# B80-201654 released 7. 05-15-2006 - Financial Test, \$2,259,062.00 8. 05-31-2007 - Financial Test, \$2,324,575.00 9. 07-30-2007 - Financial Test, \$2,324,575.00 10. 09-26-2011 - Financial Test, \$2,522,049.00

11. 12-16-2013 - Financial Test, \$2,598,255.00

APE20140004 - Approved Application

Page 6 of 14

Agency Interest ID: 176

PERMIT

Monitoring Conditions

GSTR0001 - Groundwater Monitoring - SW: Groundwater Monitoring Group - East Special Waste Landfill

Group Members: STRC0001 - Well MW-1; STRC0002 - Well MW-3; STRC0003 - Well MW-4; STRC0004 - Well MW-5; STRC0005 - Well MW-6; STRC0006 - Well MW-6D

Standard Requirements:

1. The owner or operator shall satisfy the requirements of 401 KAR 45:160 for all wastes and waste constituents contained in the site or facility. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 1]

2. The permittee shall monitor for other parameters as required by the cabinet. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 8(2)(c)]

3. The owner or operator shall monitor groundwater on the approved schedule at each approved groundwater monitoring location in accordance with 401 KAR 45:160, the permit, and the approved plans. A table summarizing the parameters to be monitored, their respective limits and monitoring frequency is included herein. [401 KAR 45:160, 401 KAR 45:140 Section 1(1)]

4. The owner or operator shall conduct statistical analysis of the groundwater data in accordance with 401 KAR 45:160 Section 6 and the approved applications. The statistical test chosen shall be conducted separately for each parameter in each well for each monitoring event. The results shall be maintained as part of the facility record throughout the operating and postclosure life of the facility. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 6, 401 KAR 45:140 Section 1(1)]

5. The groundwater analytical data and statistical analysis shall be submitted on forms provided by the cabinet, within sixty (60) days after sampling or 15 days of the completion of statistical analysis, whichever is sooner. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 4]

6. Groundwater monitoring wells shall be constructed and maintained in accordance with 401 KAR 45:160 Section 3, the permit, and the approved plans. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 3, 401 KAR 45:140 Section 1(1)]

7. No monitoring well construction, maintenance, or abandonment may be conducted without prior approval by the Division of Waste Management. [401 KAR 45:140 Section 1(1)]

8. Only a Kentucky Certified Monitoring Well Driller may construct or abandon monitoring wells. [401 KAR 6:320]

9. If the analysis of groundwater sample results indicates contamination (i.e., a statistical or MCL exceedence) as specified in 401 KAR 45:160 Section 5, the owner or operator shall notify the cabinet within (forty-eight) 48 hours of receiving the results and shall arrange to split samples no later than ten (10) days from the receipt of the results. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 5]

Permit Number: SW00800006 Agency Inte	erest ID: 176
PERMIT	
10. The owner or operator shall be required to prepare and submit a groundwater contaminate plan if laboratory analyses of one (1) or more public or private water supplies or monitoring we shows the presence of one (1) or more parameters above the maximum contaminant level (MCL) 401 KAR 30:031 or a statistically significant increase over background levels for parameters MCL. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 5]	as specified in
11. The owner or operator shall provide alternate water supplies to all affected parties within tw hours of notification of the cabinet that sample results indicate contamination of a drinking wa has been determined that the special waste site or facility is the probable source of the conta KAR 45:160 Section 3]	ter supply if it
12. If required by the cabinet, groundwater contamination assessment and corrective action shal in full compliance with all provisions of 401 KAR 45:160 Section 5. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 5	
13. The owner or operator shall provide the division a minimum of five (5) working days advance groundwater monitoring well construction and abandonment activities. [401 KAR 40:020 Section	
GSTR0003 - Groundwater Monitoring - SW: Assessment Well Gro	up
Group Members: STRC0003 - Well MW-4; STRC0023 - Well P-4; STRC0024 - Well P-5; STI P-6; STRC0026 - Well P-7; STRC0027 - Well P-8; STRC0028 - Well P-9; STRC0030 - (Assessment); STRC0031 - Well MW-8D (Assessment); STRC0032 - Well MW-7 (Assessment) - Well MW-04D; STRC0040 - Well MW-09; STRC0041 - Well MW-10	Well MW-5D
Standard Requirements:	
1. Groundwater monitoring wells shall be constructed and maintained in accordance with 40 Section 3, the permit, and the approved plans. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 3, 401 KAR 45:140 Sec	
2. No monitoring well construction, maintenance, or abandonment may be conducted without by the Division of Waste Management. [401 KAR 45:140 Section 1(1)]	prior approval
 Only a Kentucky Certified Monitoring Well Driller may construct or abandon monitoring we 6:320] 	ells. [401 KAR
4. The owner or operator shall provide the division a minimum of five (5) working days advanc groundwater monitoring well construction and abandonment activities. [401 KAR 40:020 Section	
Variances, Alternate Specifications and Special Conditions:	
1. The permittee shall monitor these wells for assessment purposes in accordance with groundwater assessment plan. [401 KAR 45:140 Section 1(1)]	the approved

Permit Number: SW00800006 Agency Interest ID: 176 PERMIT GSTR0005 - Groundwater Monitoring - SW: Groundwater Observation Well Group - West Special Waste Landfill Group Members: STRC0033 - Well OW-105; STRC0034 - Well OW-106; STRC0035 - Well OW-104; STRC0036 - Well OW-103; STRC0037 - Well OW-102; STRC0038 - Well OW-101 Standard Requirements: 1, Groundwater monitoring wells shall be constructed and maintained in accordance with 401 KAR 45:160 Section 3, the perinit, and the approved plans. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 3, 401 KAR 45:140 Section 1(1)] 2. No monitoring well construction, maintenance, or abandonment may be conducted without prior approval by the Division of Waste Management. [401 KAR 45:140 Section 1(1)] 3. Only a Kentucky Certified Monitoring Well Driller may construct or abandon monitoring wells. [40] KAR 6:320] 4. The owner or operator shall provide the division a minimum of five (5) working days advance notice for all groundwater monitoring well construction and abandonment activities. [401 KAR 40:020 Section 2(4)] Variances, Alternate Specifications and Special Conditions: 1. The permittee shall monitor these wells for assessment purposes in accordance with the approved groundwater assessment plan. [40] KAR 45:140 Section 1(1)] GSTR0006 - Groundwater Monitoring - SW: Groundwater Monitoring Group - West Special Waste Landfill - Wells Proposed for Construction Group Members: STRC0046 - Well MW-205 (Proposed); STRC0047 - Well MW-206 (Proposed); STRC0048 - Well MW-207 (Proposed) Standard Requirements: 1. Groundwater monitoring wells shall be constructed and maintained in accordance with 401 KAR 45:160 Section 3, the permit, and the approved plans. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 3, 401 KAR 45:140 Section 1(1)] 2. No monitoring well construction, maintenance, or abandonment may be conducted without prior approval by the Division of Waste Management. [401 KAR 45:140 Section 1(1)] Only a Kentucky Certified Monitoring Well Driller may construct or abandon monitoring wells. [401 KAR. 6:320] 4. The owner or operator shall provide the division a minimum of five (5) working days advance notice for all groundwater monitoring well construction and abandonment activities. [401 KAR 40:020 Section 2(4)]

Agency Interest ID: 176

PERMIT

Variances, Alternate Specifications and Special Conditions:

1. The permittee shall construct these wells in accordance with the approved plan. Upon completion of well construction, the permittee shall submit a monitoring well construction progress report to the Division of Waste Management for review. [401 KAR 45:140 Section 1(1)]

GSTR0007 - Groundwater Monitoring - SW: East Special Waste Landfill and Ash Pond Assessment Group

Group Members: AlOO0176 -

Variances, Alternate Specifications and Special Conditions:

1. The permittee shall submit a Groundwater Assessment Update annually by the 28th of February for the preceding calendar year. The Groundwater Assessment Update shall discuss the following: 1) the concentrations of the contaminants of concern and the trends of concentrations of contaminants of concern; and 2) the potential necessity of further abatement measures. [401 KAR 45:140 Section 2]

GSTR0008 - Groundwater Monitoring - SW: Groundwater Monitoring Group - West Special Waste Landfill

Group Members: STRC0051 - Well MW-201; STRC0052 - Well MW-202; STRC0053 - Well MW-204; STRC0054 - Well MW-208; STRC0055 - Well MW-203

Standard Requirements:

1. The owner or operator shall satisfy the requirements of 401 KAR 45:160 for all wastes and waste constituents contained in the site or facility. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 1]

2. The permittee shall monitor for other parameters as required by the cabinet. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 8(2)(c)]

3. The owner or operator shall monitor groundwater on the approved schedule at each approved groundwater monitoring location in accordance with 401 KAR 45:160, the permit, and the approved plans. A table summarizing the parameters to be monitored, their respective limits and monitoring frequency is included herein. [401 KAR 45:160, 401 KAR 45:140 Section 1(1)]

4. The owner or operator shall conduct statistical analysis of the groundwater data in accordance with 401 KAR 45:160 Section 6 and the approved applications. The statistical test chosen shall be conducted separately for each parameter in each well for each monitoring event. The results shall be maintained as part of the facility record throughout the operating and postclosure life of the facility. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 6, 401 KAR 45:140 Section 1(1)]

5. The groundwater analytical data and statistical analysis shall be submitted on forms provided by the cabinet, within sixty (60) days after sampling or 15 days of the completion of statistical analysis, whichever is sooner. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 4]

APE20140004 - Approved Application

Issuance Date: 09/22/2014

Page 10 of 14

Permit Number: SW00800006 Agency Ir	nterest ID: 176
PERMIT	
6. Groundwater monitoring wells shall be constructed and maintained in accordance with 4 Section 3, the permit, and the approved plans. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 3, 401 KAR 45:140 Section 3, 401 KAR 45:	
7. No monitoring well construction, maintenance, or abandonment may be conducted without by the Division of Waste Management. [401 KAR 45:140 Section 1(1)]	it prior approval
8. Only a Kentucky Certified Monitoring Well Driller may construct or abandon monitoring v 6:320]	wells. [401 KAR
9. If the analysis of groundwater sample results indicates contamination (i.e., a statistical or M as specified in 401 KAR 45:160 Section 5, the owner or operator shall notify the cabinet within hours of receiving the results and shall arrange to split samples no later than ten (10) days fro the results. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 5]	(forty-eight) 48
10. The owner or operator shall be required to prepare and submit a groundwater contaminate plan if laboratory analyses of one (1) or more public or private water supplies or monitoring shows the presence of one (1) or more parameters above the maximum contaminant level (MCI 401 KAR 30:031 or a statistically significant increase over background levels for parameter MCL. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 5]	wells at the site) as specified in
11. The owner or operator shall provide alternate water supplies to all affected parties within the hours of notification of the cabinet that sample results indicate contamination of a drinking what been determined that the special waste site or facility is the probable source of the contact KAR 45:160 Section 3]	vater supply if it
12. If required by the cabinet, groundwater contamination assessment and corrective action shi in full compliance with all provisions of 401 KAR 45:160 Section 5. [401 KAR 45:160 Section	
13. The owner or operator shall provide the division a minimum of five (5) working days advar groundwater monitoring well construction and abandonment activities. [401 KAR 40:020 Section	
GMNP0001 - Surface Water Monitoring - SW: Surface Water Group - East Special Waste Landfill	Monitoring
Group Members: MNPT0001 - Downstream Point SW-017	
Standard Requirements:	
1. The owner or operator shall monitor surface water in accordance with 401 KAR 45:160 S approved surface water monitoring plan. A table summarizing the parameters to be monitored, limits and the monitoring frequency is included herein. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 9]	
 Surface water corrective action shall be completed by the owner or operator as necessary 401 KAR 30:031. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 9, 401 KAR 30:031 Section 4] 	to comply with
3. Surface water analytical data shall be submitted in the compliance monitoring reports with required environmental monitoring results. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 9]	all other permit-

Agency Interest ID: 176

PERMIT

Variances, Alternate Specifications and Special Conditions:

1. The owner or operator shall monitor surface water in accordance with 401 KAR 45:160 Section 9 and the approved surface water monitoring plan. A table summarizing the parameters to be monitored and the monitoring frequency is included herein. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 9]

GMNP0002 - Surface Water Monitoring - SW: Surface Water Monitoring Group - West Special Waste Landfill

Group Members: MNPT0002 - Mon. Pt. SWMP-1; MNPT0003 - Mon. Pt. SWMP-2; MNPT0004 - Mon. Pt. SWMP-3

Variances, Alternate Specifications and Special Conditions:

1. The permittee shall characterize these surface water monitoring points prior to the emplacement of waste in the West Special Waste Landfill, and monitor them in accordance with the approved plan upon issuance of the operating permit for the West Special Waste Landfill. [401 KAR 45:160 Section 9]

Agency Interest ID: 176

PERMIT

Groundwater Monitoring Limits:

Subject Item	CAS Number	Parameter	Frequency	Lower Limit	Upper Limit	Units	Statistical Limit	Report Only
GSTR0001	07440-39-3	Barium, Total (as Ba)	semiannually		2.0	mg/L		
GSTR0001		Boron, Total Recoverable	semiannually			mg/L	Yes	
GSTR0001	07440-70-2	Calcium	semiannually			mg/L	Yes	
GSTR0001		Carbon, Total Organic	semiannually			mg/L	Yes	1
GSTR0001		Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	semiannually			mg/L	Yes	
GSTR0001	16887-00-6	Chloride	semiannually			mg/L	Yes	-
GSTR0001	07440-47-3	Chromium	semiannually		0.1	mg/L		
GSTR0001		Copper, Dissolved (as Cu)	semiannually		1.3	mg/L		-
GSTR0001	16984-48-8	Fluoride	semiannually		4.0	mg/L		
GSTR0001		Groundwater Elevation	semiannually			feet above mean sea level based on a USGS datum		Yes
GSTR0001	00000-19-8	Manganese, Total (as Mn)	semiannually	1		mg/L	Yes	
GSTR0001		Solids, Total Dissolved	semiannually			mg/L	Yes	
GSTR0001		Solids, Total Suspended (TSS)	semiannually			mg/L	Yes	
GSTR0001		Specific Conductance	semiannually			umho/cm	Yes	
GSTR0001	14808-79-8	Sulfate	semiannually			mg/L	Yes	
GSTR0001	1	Temperature, Water Deg. Fahrenheit	semiannually			degrees Fahrenheit		Yes
GSTR0001		pH	semiannually			standard units	Yes	
GSTR0008	07440-39-3	Barium, Total (as Ba)	semiannually		2.0	mg/L		
GSTR0008		Boron, Total Recoverable	semiannually			mg/L	Yes	
GSTR0008	07440-70-2	Calcium	semiannually			mg/L	Yes	
GSTR0008		Carbon, Total Organic	semiannually			mg/L	Yes	
GSTR0008		Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	semiannually			mg/L	Yes	
GSTR0008	16887-00-6	Chloride	semiannually			mg/L	Yes	
GSTR0008	07440-47-3	Chromium	semiannually		0.1	mg/L		
GSTR0008		Copper, Dissolved (as Cu)	semiannually		1.3	mg/L		
GSTR0008	16984-48-8	Fluoride	semiannually		4.0	mg/L		
GSTR0008		Groundwater Elevation	semiannually			feet above mean sca level based on a USGS datum		Yes

Agency Interest ID: 176

PERMIT

Subject Item	CAS Number	Parameter	Frequency	Lower Limit	Lower Upper Limit Limit	Units	Statistical Report Limit Only	Report
GSTR0008	00000-19-8	2	semiannually			mg/L	Yes	
GSTR0008		Solids, Total Dissolved	semiannually			me/L	Yes	
GSTR0008		Solids, Total Suspended (TSS)	semiannually			mg/L	Yes	
GSTR0008		Specific Conductance	semiannually			umho/cm	Yes	
GSTR0008	14808-79-8	Sulfate	semiannually			mg/L	Yes	
GSTR0008		Temperature, Water Deg. Falirenheit	semiannually			degrees Fahrenheit		Yes
GSTR0008		Hd	semiannually			standard units	Yes	

Surface Water Monitoring Limits:

Subject Item	CAS Number	Parameter	Frequency	Lower Limit	Upper Limit	Units	Statistical Limit	Report
GMNP0001		Carbon, Total Organic	once every six months			mg/L		Yes
GMNP0001		Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	once every six months			тg/L		Yes
GMNP0001	16887-00-6	Chloride	once every six months			mg/L		Yes
GMNP0001	07439-89-6	07439-89-6 Iron, Total (as Fe)	once every six months			mg/L		Yes
GMNP0001	07440-23-5 Sodium	Sodium	once every six months			mg/L		Yes
GMNP0001		Solids, Total Dissolved	once every six months			mg/L		Yes
GMNP0001		Solids, Total Suspended (TSS)	once every six months			mg/L		Yes
GMNP0001		Specific Conductance	once every six months			umho/cm		Yes
GMNP0001	14808-79-8 Sulfate	Sulfate	once every six months			mø/L		Yes
GMNP0001		Total Solids	once every six months			mp/L		Yes
GMNP0001		Hd	once every six months			standard units		Yes

Page 14 of 14

Issuance Date: 09/22/2014



T ottals	2,141,549	13.551	55.458	214.589 15 nec	9	6,740		1,209,360	2703	367.0	262	1,993	5	-	4324	=1 E22	30,121,534		Г	Ι	7	LANDFILL QUANTIES	WEST SPECIAL WASTE LANDE EAST BEND STATION BOONE LOUNTY, KENTUCKY
Cell 8	_	_	_	19.635		_	50 O	+		H	162	2,161	+	a	0.0	23.6	57	498 515.992	615 516.699	465,705 96	516.877	L	T
Attain Landia Totais	-	_		194,954	-	-			5,019	023.6	262	1,832	+		>0	175.0-		484 512,357		465 923			. 0F +C.
Cel 7 Mb	0			1 CHUSE		-		1	1.252	11		80	+		a	36.7*	_		715 514,114 5		14,016		
Cell6	00				E D	0 0	1001		613	11	0	635	00	0	a			610 514,114 5	705	92	14,798 5		
CellS				22.166 3	125	C.1873	85			59.8		ç o	0			31.0	158.045	490	619	118	14,460 5		
Cella	1 115.751	3.436	63.810	894.2 646.2 451.1 891.22 162.25 815.16 1 891.22 162.25 815.16 1	209 842 1.		00			57.4	CCD D	00	0 0	0		31.3	4,058,266 5,083,275 4,958,545	467 512.996 5	616 513.512 5	112	513.413 514.460 1 1,465 059 1,464 886		
Cel 3	E26 E31	7.5.35	190.02	31.318	326	9,119		1		516	D	80	00		D	37.2	3.9	484 512.357	617 513.464	111	512.806		
Cef 2	475.439	2,100	20.543	213.259 213.152	392 1011	106'8	20 B			23.6	0	80	0 0		0	37.4	4.412.277	513.569	515,229 514,363 513,464	1.466.825	513.510		
Cell	497.725	6,500	30,101	26.825	2.016.193	11,032	8.0	10.00		46.9	0	1.137	0	0	0	36.2	2.630.413	515.062	515.229	1.466 571	515.157		
Run-Off Pand	5,025	00		5.025	163	00	0000	-		10	0	00	0.0	0	D	00	00						
Pond B	34.047	0	0	0 277.613	172	00		2		4.0	262	1015	0 0		\$324	0.0	• 8						
<u> </u>	-	-	-	100	-	щ. Ц.	1	14	55 :	Acres	C.Y.	55	П	63.	5	Acres	C.Y.	met	5 miles	leet	Buccon Buccon		
F artiture t	Torial Cut Sztuczural Fill	Compacted Coheane Soil Cover Final	Cover, Temporary	Surface Water Driversion Berms	Stockpile Vokurse Geocomposite Liner	Leadhaite Cuoledian System Laterals (5° perforated HDPE) Collamos (177 cash-oracekh1025)	Outlet Pipes (12" non-pertoraced MDPE) Man-Holes Disconsed sources	Gap Drawage System Genocompose	CONSTRIPTION 14" PVC)	Vegetana.cs	Concrete State	Anads Permanent Hald Rd. on Wasse Permanent Hauf Rd. of Wasse	Service Hoad Baz Cutveta	Left Station	Pipetne	Waste Data Waste Fontpant	Ar space Life Span (assumes 1.05 mll C.Y.)e)	Lowest Point of Wastig	Highest Pore of Waste	Maramum Verocal Theokness			

.








KyPSC 2015-XXX Exhibit 3 Page 1 of 20

REAL ESTATE APPRAISAL UPDATE



Of the Surrounding East Bend Power Plant Properties totaling 1,092.53 acres Located on Beaver Creek Road, East Bend Road and Lower River Road Union, Boone County, Kentucky 41091

Owner:

Various Owners

Prepared For (Client):

Kristina L. Breeding, SR/WA Manager, Land Services-Transactions Duke Energy Corporation WP989/1000 East Main Street Plainfield, Indiana 46168

As Of:

December 16, 2013

Prepared by:

NC Real Estate Services

P.O. Box 54411 Cincinnati, Ohio 45254-0441 513-218-1156

APPRAISAL OF REAL PROPRTY

This is a Restricted Use Appraisal Report that is intended to comply with the reporting requirements set forth under Standards Rule 2-2(c) of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) for a Restricted Use Appraisal Report. As such, it presents limited discussions of the data, reasoning, and analyses that were used in the appraisal process to develop the appraiser's opinion of value. Supporting documentation concerning the data, reasoning, and analyses are retained in the appraiser's file. The depth of discussion contained in this report is specific to the needs of the client and for the intended use stated below. The appraiser is not responsible for the unauthorized use of this report.

At the request of the client, this Restricted Use appraisal report is an "update" of the February 23, 2011 Summary appraisal report that was completed on the properties identified on the following page. This update is prepared in accordance and guidance from USPAP Advisory Opinion 3 (AO-3), Update of a Prior Appraisal. As such, this new report *incorporates by reference* specific information/analysis from the prior report.

As stated in the prior report, this appraisal report is subject to the following hypothetical conditions and extraordinary assumptions: (1) The sizes of the parcels of land are correct as provided by the client; (2) no environmental issues are present that would affect the subject's marketability; (3) the fact that a majority of the subject properties owned by the client have an undivided 69% ownership held jointly in tenancy with The Dayton Power and Light Company does not affect marketability/transferability; (4) the subject properties are truly considered vacant land with no improvements; (5) proper access easements are granted to pt. parcels 7, 8 and 10 that are zoned industrial; (6) the parcels listed as owned by Duke Energy Ohio (CG&E) as reported by the client are accurate since the Boone Co PVA lists the owner as Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc; and (7) the industrial land valuation contained in this report is provided for the sole use to the client at the client's request since this is considered a "value in use" that is not representative of market value as defined on page 4. The appraiser reserves the right to amend this appraisal and its value opinions in the event additional information included but not limited to the items stated above are provided to the appraiser.

Final Value Opinions:

Tract 1 (125.39 acres - agricultural): \$476,000 Tract 2 (145.01 acres - agricultural): \$508,000 Tract 3 (611.90 acres - agricultural): \$2,937,000 Tract 4 (30.33 acres - industrial): \$303,000 Tract 5 (179.90 acres - industrial): \$1,799,000

PROPERTY IDENTIFCATION

The subject properties were thoroughly identified in the prior report. There have been **no changes** to this section since the date effective date of the prior appraisal report. To reiterate the prior appraisal report, the subject properties are indentified below.

Parcel	Location	Parcel ID No.	Size (Acres)	Owner
1	Beaver Rd	012.00-00-034.01	100.82	Tri State Improvement Co
2	Beaver Rd	012.00-00-063.01	24.57	Tri State Improvement Co
3	E. Bend Rd	Pt 012.00-00-026.00	76.64	Duke Energy Ohio (CG&E)
4	Lower River Rd	004.00-00-002.00	68.37	Duke Energy Ohio (CG&E)
5	N/A	004.00-00-007.00	153.87	Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co
6	E. Bend Rd	005.00-00-001.00	245.12	Duke Energy Ohio (CG&E)
7	N/A	Pt 005.00-00-002.00	87.38	Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co
7	N/A	Pt 005.00-00-002.00	137.58	Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co
8	E. Bend Rd	Pt 012.00-00-026.00	47.63	Duke Energy Ohio (CG&E)
8	E. Bend Rd	Pt 012.00-00-026.00	30.33	Duke Energy Ohio (CG&E)
9	N/A	012.00-00-063.00	77.90	Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co
10	Beaver Rd	Pt 013.00-00-001.00	42.32	Duke Energy Ohio (CG&E)
		Total	1,092.53	

For valuation purposes, this appraisal will continue to value five separate hypothetical tracts of land.

- Parcels 1 and 2 are combined forming a 125.39 acre tract since these two parcels are adjacent to each other and Tract 1 has no road frontage. This will be referred to as Tract 1.
- 2. Parcels 3 and 4 are combined forming a 145.01 acre tract since these two parcels are adjacent to each other. This will be referred to as Tract 2.
- 3. The remaining parcels, which include 5, 6, part 7, part 8 and 9, are combined forming a 611.90 acre tract since these parcels are adjacent to each other and parcels 6 and 7 have no road frontage. This will be referred to as Tract 3.
- 4. Part of parcel 8 that contains 30.33 acres that is zoned industrial will be referred to as Tract 4.
- 5. Part of parcel 7 and 10 that contains 179.90 acres zoned industrial was requested to be valued separately. This will be referred to as Tract 5.

**Tract 4 and 5 would typically be combined since they are adjoining land-locked parcels; however, the client specifically requested an allocated value for the 179.90 acres.

SALES HISTORY

The history was thoroughly discussed in the prior report. There have been **no changes** to this section since the date effective date of the prior appraisal report.

INTENDED USER AND INTENDED USE

The intended user (client) of this appraisal report is Duke Energy Corporation for the intended use of a year-end accounting measure with the State of Kentucky only.

SCOPE OF WORK

The client requested that the appraiser "update" the prior appraisal report completed on the subject properties on February 23, 2011 (effective date of value) and to reaffirm the prior value conclusions. The appraiser agreed as long as market data supports a reaffirmation. As such, this updated appraisal report is completed with guidance from USPAP Advisory Opinion 3 (AO-3) that states that the new report is not required to have the same level of detail as the original report; however, the new report must contain sufficient information to be meaningful and not misleading. In addition, this report is completed under "option 3" that states: "Provide a new report that *incorporates by reference* specified information/analysis from the prior report so that, in combination, the referenced portions and the new information/analysis added satisfies the applicable reporting requirements".

In reaffirming the as-is market value opinions for the fee simple interest, the scope of work completed consisted of a brief exterior observation of the subject properties from public roadways; verifying/collecting property characteristic information from public sources; considering the statistical trends of the data; determining if the prior value conclusions have been affected based on those trends; and reporting the findings in this Restricted Use appraisal report (as defined above) subject to the hypothetical conditions and extraordinary assumptions listed above.

Based on the brief observation completed on December 16, 2013, **no significant changes** in the physical aspects of the subject properties were noted. In addition **no significant changes** were noted when verifying/collecting property characteristic information from public sources.

DEFININTION OF MARKET VALUE AND INTEREST APPRAISED

According to the 2012-2013 Edition of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP), published by the Appraisal Standards Board (ASB) of The Appraisal Foundation (TAF), the definition of market value is as follows:

Market Value: A type of value, stated as an opinion, that presumes the transfer of a property (i.e., a right of ownership or a bundle of such rights), as of a certain date, under specific conditions set forth in the definition of the term identified by the appraiser as applicable in an appraisal.

While this definition appears somewhat vague, the fundamental concepts are established and mirror the value cited for appraisal work completed for federally regulated institutions (banks). This definition states that market value is defined as *the most*

probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale of a specified as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby:

- A. Buyer and seller typically motivated;
- B. Both parties are well informed or well advised, and acting in what they consider their best interests;
- *C. A reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market;*
- D. Payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and
- E. The price represents normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale.

(Source: 12 C.F.R. Part 34.42(g); 55 Federal Register 34696, August 24, 1990, as amended at 57 Federal Register 12202, April 9, 1992; 59 Federal Register 29499, June 7, 1994).

According to USPAP and the *Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal*, 5th Edition, published by the Appraisal Institute, the following pertinent terms referenced in the report may be defined as follows:

Fee Simple Interest: Absolute ownership unencumbered by any other interest or estate, subject only to the limitations imposed by governmental powers of taxation, eminent domain, police power, and escheat.

Extraordinary Assumption: An assumption, directly related to a specific assignment, which, if found to be false, could alter the appraiser's opinions or conclusions.

Hypothetical Condition: A condition directly related to a specific assignment, which is contrary to what is known by the appraiser to exist on the effective date of the assignment results, but is used for the purpose of analysis.

The definitions listed above have been updated since the prior appraisal report.

EFFECTIVE VALUATION DATE

December 16, 2013

MARKETING AND EXPOSURE PERIOD

This section was sufficiently addressed in the prior report. There have been **no substantial changes** in market conditions that would change the previous projection of a marketing or exposure period of 12 to 36 months for the various subject properties

(including the industrial sections), which are subject to professional marketing services.

The prior report noted 84 sales of agricultural or residential parcels larger than 30 acres throughout Boone County since 2004 (approximately 12 per year). Of those 84 sales, only 20 were in excess of 100 acres and four of these were greater than 200 acres. According to MLS figures, there have been 10 sales of agricultural or residential parcels larger than 30 acres since 2011 with only one sale over 100 acres. This equates to approximately a little more than three per year. While this gives the appearance that sales volume is down, from 2008 to 2010, sales only averaged four per year. This time period is important since the prior appraisal primarily focused on this data when estimating the prior marketing/exposure period.

Exposure Time: *Estimated length of time that the property interest being appraised would have been offered on the market prior to the hypothetical consummation of a sale at market value on the effective date of the appraisal.*

MARKET AREA ANALSIS

This section was sufficiently addressed in the prior report. There have been **no** substantial changes to this section since the date effective date of the prior appraisal report beyond modest improvement in real estate conditions as well as a modest decline in unemployment rates.

As for the subject's immediate area that referenced Boone County's Future Land Use Map for 2030, the 2035 land use map as shown below still refers to the subject area as rural land and developmentally sensitive. The 2010 Boone County Comprehensive Plan, which is an update of the 2000 plan, still states that **development of any kind in this area should be limited and industrial development is inappropriate because of poor access**. The updated plan also notes that the East Bend Power Plant has developed a large portion of this area, using much of the developable land. The two large areas to the east and west of the plant are in agricultural use and should remain. Once again, significant growth is not anticipated for this area because of its remoteness and rural nature.



LOCAL RESIDENTIAL LAND DEVELOPMENT MARKET

This section was sufficiently addressed in the prior report. There have been **no** substantial changes to this section since the date effective date of the prior appraisal report beyond modest improvement in sales activity. This activity has mostly been in the form of existing home sales rather than new development. Lastly, average sales prices of houses have gradually appreciated most likely due to shrinking inventory.

Below is an updated listing of the number of single-family permits, including condominium units, dating back to 2000 for Boone County.

Year	No. of Permits	% Change
2000	1,253	
2001	1,458	16.36%
2002	1,547	6.10%
2003	1.506	-2.65%
2004	1,600	6.24%
2005	1,363	-14.81%
2006	938	-31.18%
2007	886	-5.54%
2008	488	-44.92%
2009	481	-1.43%
2010	437	-9.15%
2011	333	-23.80%
2012	330	-0.90%
2013*	430	30.30%
	*As of Nov. 2013	

Below is an updated listing of the number of single-family sales (per the MLS) dating back to 2005 for Boone County.

Year	No. of Sales	% Change
2005	2.260	
2006	2,137	-5.44%
2007	1.899	-11.14%
2008	1.617	-14.85%
2009	1,460	-9.71%
2010	1,395	-4.45%n
2011	1.356	-2.80%
2012	1.595	17.63%
2013*	1,822	14.23%
	*As of Nov 2013	

SITE DESCRIPTION

This section was sufficiently addressed in the prior report. There have been **no substantial changes** to this section since the date effective date of the prior appraisal report.

REAL ESTATE TAXES

The real estate tax data was thoroughly discussed in the prior report. There have been **no changes** to this section since the date effective date of the prior appraisal report.

HIGHEST AND BEST USE

The highest and best use was thoroughly discussed in the prior report. There have been **no changes** to this section since the date effective date of the prior appraisal report. Once again, only at the request of the client are the industrial zoned land values being reaffirmed as industrial land. This request resembles a "value in use" to the client.

SALES COMPARISON APPROACH

In order to complete this reaffirmation of value, only sales that have occurred since the prior appraisal were considered. Once again, due to the subject's large sizes, a limited number of sales are available. The prior appraisal had to extend the search over the past five years in attempt to locate a good representative sample. The comparable sales selected for this report are felt to be the most similar to the subject properties and provide a reliable basis for the reaffirmation. All of the sales were reported to be arm's-length transactions and no adjustments are necessary for circumstances of sales (unless otherwise noted).

Agricultural Sales

The comparable sales in this group range from 87 to 570.047 acres that sold from \$3,004/acre to \$7,001/acre with an average of \$6,286/acre. These sales are detailed below following the location map.



Comparable Land Sale No. 1



Location:	Cleek Ln, Walton, Boone Co, KY
Parcel ID Number:	043.00-00-028.00
Grantor:	Joyce Ann Matracia, Trustee
Grantee:	Robert & Ashley Massie
Sale Price:	\$350,000
Sale Date:	August 2013
Verification:	Deed Book 1026, Page 106, appraiser's files
Site Size:	116.52 acres +/-
Sale Price per Acre:	\$3,004
Comments	This property is heavily wooded and is zoned agricultural. While this property's overall appeal is rural in nature, it's located approximately 0.5 mile east from the Cleek Ln and US Hwy 42 intersection. Total days on market was 61 days.

Comparable Land Sale No. 2

No PVA aerial available

Location:	13080 Green Rd, Walton, Kenton Co, KY
Parcel ID Number:	036-00-00-020.00 (retired)
Grantor:	Franz Trust
Grantee:	Gregory & Cynthia Cahill
Sale Price:	\$522,000
Sale Date:	May 2013
Verification:	Deed Book 13277, Page 68, appraiser's files
Site Size:	87 acres +/-
Sale Price per Acre:	\$6,000
Comments	This agricultural zoned property was purchased by a local investor/ realtor in order to subdivide it into 14 single family lots. The property was originally listed on the MLS for \$750,000 before being reduced to \$550,000. This sale also included an older dwelling that was in fair condition. Topography is mostly sloping woodlands with clear agricultural areas. Total days on market was 624 days.

Comparable Land Sale No. 3

No Auditor aerial available

Location:	9983 NW Jeff W. Lancaster Rd, Jeffersonville, Fayette Co, OH
Parcel ID Number:	060-011-0-00-014-00
Grantor:	Estate of John Howard Ackerman
Grantee:	Chris Jefferies
Sale Price:	\$3,990,700
Sale Date:	September 2011
Verification:	Deed Book 183, Page 187, appraiser's files
Site Size:	570.047 acre
Sale Price per Acre:	\$7,001
Comments	This property is the sale of a working farm zoned Farm Security District that is located less than 2 miles from the Old US 35/1-71 interchange at exit 65. Based on the assessment allocations, approximately 16% is for improvements. These improvements include an old farm house built in 1903, barns, pole buildings, etc. Applying this allocation to the sales price results in a price of \$5,881/acre. This property's zoning district was established to secure the continuance of agricultural activity in Fayette County.

Agricultural Sales Analysis

Comparable Land Sale No. 1 was selected since it's the most recent sale of a vacant agricultural property with over 100 acres. While this sale is generally considered similar, its utility and frontage is inferior due to its shape/configuration. The irregular shape of the property most likely makes it difficult to potentially cultivate or develop it into a rural residential development. Overall, this sale is felt to offer slightly inferior utility and frontage warranting upward consideration.

Comparable Land Sale No. 2 was selected since it also sold in 2013 and is near 100 acres. This sale is an example of an agricultural property with adequate frontage that was purchased for a rural residential development. Overall, this sale is superior to the subject in terms of location and since it included an older dwelling that was in fair condition.

Comparable Land Sale No. 3 was selected since it's the only sale that could be located in the (greater) region that contains more than 500 acres. While this property is a "working farm", its zoning appears to restrict development. As noted above, this property sold for \$7,001/acre; however, after applying the tax value allocation, the price is \$5,881/acre. Even with the tax value allocation applied for the improvements, this sale is considered superior due to its proximity to the interstate. Note – this sale is not included on the location map since it's considered out of market.

Conclusion

After considering the various differences in the sales as noted above, along with the slightly improving residential market witnessed by increased permit and sales figures, the data appears to reaffirm the prior value conclusions. These final conclusions are as follows.

Tract 1: 125.39 acres @ \$3,800/acre = \$476,000 (rounded) Tract 2: 145.01 acres @ \$3,500/acre = \$508,000 (rounded) Tract 3: 611.90 acres @ \$4,800/acre = \$2,937,000 (rounded)

Industrial Sales and Analysis

The industrial tract valuation in the prior appraisal report included two listings referred to as Comparable Land Sales (Listing) No. 4 and 5. These two listing have since sold. No. 4 with 13.32 acres was listed for \$35,661/acre and sold in Feb 2012 for \$26,276/acre. No. 5 with 111.657 acres was listed for \$21,494/acre and sold in Sept 2012 for \$7,329/acre (based on 109.157 acres). As a reminder, No. 5 was a lender owned sale from Fifth Third Bank.

In addition to the two former listings that have since sold, there is another comparable sale given consideration.

The comparable sales in this group range from 13.32 to 111.657 acres at \$7,329/acre to \$26,276/acre with an average of \$10,280/acre. The additional sale is detailed below following the location map.



Conclusion

Comparable Land Sale No. 1 (below) was selected since it's a sale of industrial land with portions located in a flood zone. Overall, this sale is felt to be similar to the subject; albeit superior due to location and accessibility.

After considering the various differences in the sales as noted above, especially Comparable Land Sale #1, the data appears to reaffirm the prior value conclusions. These final conclusions are as follows.

Tract 4: 30.33 acres @ \$10,000/acre = \$303,000 (rounded)

Tract 5: 179.9 acres @ \$10,000/acre = \$1,799,000 (rounded)

Comparable Land Sale No. 1



Location:	375 Center St (aka 6088 Main St), Miamiville, Clermont Co, OH
Parcel ID Number:	182518C022
Grantor:	Hill Top Purchaser, Inc.
Grantee:	S B Property Holdings, LLC
Sale Price:	\$300,000
Sale Date:	October 2012
Verification:	Deed Book 2406, Page 1616, appraiser's files
Site Size:	18.579 acres
Sale Price per Acre:	\$16,147
Comments:	This property transferred from one Hill Top entity to another in Jan 2011 for \$1,101,890 and was originally on the market for \$1,200,000. At that time, the improvements originally built in 1955 were occupied by Hilltop Research who decided to close the site as they were moving their operations to Florida. In May 2012, the price was substantially reduced to \$595,000, which was subsequently reduced to \$350,000 before selling for \$300,000.

The grantee has since razed the older improvements and plans to build a new 46,025 SF warehouse facility. The site, which is zoned industrial, has approximately 10 acres located in a flood zone since it borders the Little Miami River and requires \$350,000 to extend sewer to the site.

RECONCILIATON

The as-is values of the subject properties have been reaffirmed as of December 16, 2013, subject to the hypothetical conditions and extraordinary assumptions listed in this report, are as follows.

Tract 1 (125.39 acres - agricultural): \$476,000 Tract 2 (145.01 acres - agricultural): \$508,000 Tract 3 (611.90 acres - agricultural): \$2,937,000 Tract 4 (30.33 acres - industrial): \$303,000 Tract 5 (179.90 acres - industrial): \$1,799,000

ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS

This appraisal has been made and subject to the following general assumptions:

- 1. The report is considered valid only for the intended user and for the intended use.
- 2. That the term market value, as herein used, is defined in this appraisal report.
- 3. That the effective date of value to which the opinions expressed in this report is set forth in this appraisal report. The appraiser assumes no responsibility for economic or physical factors occurring as some later date that may affect the opinions herein state.
- 4. That no opinion is intended to be expressed for legal matters or that would require specialized investigator knowledge beyond that ordinarily employed by real estate appraisers, although such matters may be discussed in the report.
- 5. That no opinion as to the title is rendered. Data on ownership and the legal description were obtained from sources generally considered reliable. Title is assumed to marketable and free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, easements, and restrictions except those specifically discussed in the report. The property is appraised assuming it to be under responsive ownership and competent management and available for its highest and best use.
- 6. That no engineering survey has been made available to the appraiser. Except as specifically stated, data relative to size and area were taken from sources considered reliable, and no encroachment of real property improvements is assumed to exist.
- 7. That maps, plats, and exhibits included herein are for illustration only, as an aid in visualizing matters discussed within the report. They should not be considered as surveys or relied upon for any other purposes.
- 8. That no opinion is expressed to the value of the subsurface oil, gas, or mineral rights, and that the property is not subject to surface entry for the exploration or removal of such materials except as is expressly stated.
- 9. That the projections included in this report are utilized to assist in the valuation process and are based on current market conditions, anticipated short term supply and demand factors, and a continued stable economy. Therefore, the projections are subject to changes in future conditions that cannot be accurately predicted by the appraiser and could affect the future income or value projections.
- 10. That testimony or attendance in court or at any other hearing is not required by reason of rendering this appraisal unless such arrangements are made and agreed to at a reasonable time in advance.
- 11. Information contained in this report is assumed to be correct but is not guaranteed.
- 12. Possession of this report or any copy thereof does not carry with it the right of publication. Neither all nor any part of the contents of the report shall be disseminated to the public through advertising media, public relations media, news media, sales media, or

any other public means of communication, without the prior written consent and approval of the author (appraiser).

- 13. Since no title report was made available to the appraiser, the appraiser assumes no responsibility for such items of record not disclosed by normal investigation.
- 14. That no soiled studies covering the subject property were available to the appraiser. Therefore, premises as to soil qualities employed in this report are not conclusive but have been considered consistent with information available to the appraiser.
- 15. That no consideration has been given in this appraisal to personal property located on the premises, or to the cost or moving or relocating such personal property only the real property has been considered.
- 16. That the appraiser is not qualified to detect the existence of potentially hazardous material which may or may not be present on or near the property, such as the presence of mold, formaldehyde foam insulation, existence of toxic waste, or the existence of asbestos insulation. The existence of such substances may have an effect on the value of the property. No consideration has been given in our analysis to any potential diminution in value should such hazardous material be found. We urge the client to retain an expert in the field before making a business decision regarding the property.

CERTIFICATION

- the statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct;
- the reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, and my personal impartial, unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions;
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and on personal interest with respect to the parties involved;
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or the parties involved with this assignment;
- my engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results;
- my compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal;
- my analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice;
- that the appraiser has personally performed an exterior observation of the subject property;
- no one provided significant professional assistance to the person signing this report;
- the employment of the appraiser was not conditioned upon the appraisal producing a specified value or within a given value range;
- the reported analyses, opinions and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of the *Appraisal Institute's Code of Professional Ethics and Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice*, which include the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice;
- the use of this report is subject to the requirements of the *Appraisal Institute* relating to review by its duly authorized representatives.
- I have performed an appraisal service in conjunction with the subject property within the last three years.

R. Min Moh

R. Matt Nobles, Kentucky General Certified Appraiser No. 2570 Date of Report: December 20, 2013

KyPSC 2015-XXX Exhibit 3 Page 19 of 20

File #1312004

ADDENDUM

Engagement Letter

.

Page 1 of 1

(15 unread) - rmattnobles - Yahoo Mail

		R Matt	
	Compose	♠ ≪♠ ➡ 💼 Delete 🛅 Move ~ 🤨 Spany~ 📟 More ~	
	inbox (15)	Re:	
	Drafts		
	Sent	Breeding, Kristina L. Today at 12:44 AM	
	Spam (99+)	1a 'rmattnobles@yahoo.com',	
	Trash	I am working from home today. If you can get it "updated" in less than 2 weeks, go ahead and do that. Let me know if you need anything from me.	
	Folders	Kristina Breeding	
	Recent	From: R. Matt Nobles [mailto:tmattnobles@yahoo.com] Sent: Monday, December 09, 2013 11:09 AM	
	Messenger	Co: Breeding, Kristina L Subject: Re:	
	Calendar	*** This is an EXTERNAL email. Exercise caution. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown	
	Contacts	senders or unexpected email ***	
		Got caught up in weather Friday - 1 will you around lunch. I should be able to update depending on what you want	
	Yahoo Mail for Mobile	Sent from my iPhone	
þ	Send Feedback	On Dec 5, 2013, at 4:50 PM, "Breeding, Kristina L" < <u>Kristina.Breeding@duke-energy.com</u> > wrote:	
		Office: 317-838-1669 Cell: 317-694-3173 1000 E. Main Street Plainfield, IN 46168 "Everyone has potentialIt is an infinite resource that cannot be exhausted, but can be lost in the clouds of fear and complacency. It may take courage to embrace the possibilities of your own potential, but once you've flown past the summit of your fears, nothing will seem impossible." <i>Michael McKee</i> <1102002 East Bend Landfill Real Estate Appraisal.pdf>	

KyPSC 2015-XXX Exhibit 4 Page 1 of 3

KyPSC Staff Data Requests Set No. 1 Case No. 2003-00252 Date Received: August 21, 2003 Response Due Date: September 2, 2003

KyPSC-DR-01-4a

REQUEST:

4. Section 3.4 of the East Bend Evaluation indicates that four units were originally planned for the site.

a. Explain whether the site is still capable of accommodating three additional units.

RESPONSES:

Four units were originally planned for the site and land (retained by CG&E) remains available to accommodate three additional units. The landfill currently used has a remaining capacity of 7 - 11 years, after which subsequent landfill area will be available that is retained by CG&E and will be made available to ULH&P.







KyPSC 2015-XXX Exhibit 4 Page 2 of 3

To provide and particular statements and succession of the

KyPSC Staff Data Requests Set No. 1 Case No. 2003-00252 Date Received: August 21, 2003 Response Due Date: September 2, 2003

KyPSC-DR-01-006

REQUEST:

6. Section 5.3 of the East Bend Evaluation indicates that the on-site landfill has sufficient capacity to allow for many years of continued operation. The plant has been in operation for 22 years. How many more years will the remaining capacity of the landfill be able to accommodate?

RESPONSES:

The plant has two on-site landfills, one of which is currently in use and one of which is available for future use. See response to data request no. 4(b), above. The current landfill has a remaining 7 - 11 years of capacity. After this landfill is filled, the other landfill would be available for use by ULH&P.



WITNESS RESPONSIBLE: John J. Roebei



KyPSC 2015-XXX Exhibit 4 Page 3 of 3

KyPSC Staff Data Requests Set No. 2 Case No. 2003-00252 Date Received: September 10, 2003 Response Due Date: September 17, 2003

KyPSC-DR-02-003

REQUEST:

3. Refer to Items 6 and 7 of ULH&P's response to the Staff's Initial Request. Explain whether the landfill currently in use, with 7 to 11 years of remaining capacity, combined with the second landfill, as yet not used, are expected to be sufficient to accommodate an additional 38 years of operation of the East Bend Unit.

RESPONSES:

Yes, based on current environmental regulations, the two landfills will provide the necessary capacity to accommodate an additional 38 years of operation of the East Bend Generating Station.



WITNESS RESPONSIBLE: John J. Roebel

KyPSC 2015-XXX Exhibit 5 Page 1 of 3





