

139 East Fourth Street P O Box 960 1303-Main Cincinnati Ohio 45201-0960

> 513-287-4320 513-287-4385

Rocco O D Ascenzo@duke energy com Rocco O D Ascenzo Associate General Counsel

VIA OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

September 29, 2014

RECEIVED

SEP 3 0 2014

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Mr. Jeff Derouen Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd Frankfort, KY 40601

Re: Case No. 2014-00287

In the Matter of The Application of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. for Approval of a Transaction with an Affiliate or, In the Alternative, Approval of Deviations From the Requirements of KRS 278.2207 and KRS 278.2213(6).

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Enclosed please find an original and twelve copies each of the Responses to Commission STAFF's First Request for Information to Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. for the above mentioned case.

Please date-stamp the extra two copies of the filing and return to me in the enclosed envelope.

Sincerely,

Rocco D'Ascenzo

Enclosure

cc: Jennifer Hans (w/ enclosure)

VERIFICATION

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA)	
)	SS:
COUNTY OF MECKLENBURG)	

The undersigned, Matthew Palasek, Director of Corporate Development, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing data requests, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

Matthew Palasek, Affiant

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Matthew Palasek on this / day of September, 2014.

NOTARY DIMENSION NOTARY

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires:

VERIFICATION

STATE OF OHIO)	
)	SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)	

The undersigned, William Don Wathen Jr., Director of Rates & Regulatory Strategy - OH/KY, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing data requests, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

William Don Wathen Jr., Affiant

Subscribed and sworn to before me by William Don Wathen Jr. on this day of September, 2014.

NOTARY PUBLIC

Notary Public, State of Ohio

My Commission Expires 01-05-2019

My Commission Expires:

ADELE M. FRISCH

VERIFICATION

STATE OF INDIANA)	
)	SS:
COUNTY OF HENDRICKS)	

The undersigned, Bryan Walsh, General Manager III Regulated Fleet, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing data requests, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

Bryan Walsh, Affiant

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Bryan Walsh on this $\frac{18^{+1}}{18^{+1}}$ day of September, 2014.

NOTARY PUBLIC LANAJ. HORNER

My Commission Expires: 04/19/2-015



TABLE OF CONTENTS

DATA REQUEST	WITNESS	TAB NO.
STAFF-DR-01-001	Bryan Walsh	1
STAFF-DR-01-002	Matthew E. Palasek	2
STAFF-DR-01-003	William Don Wathen Jr	3
STAFF-DR-01-004	Matthew E. Palasek	4

Staff First Set Data Requests

Date Received: September 16, 2014

STAFF-DR-01-001

REQUEST:

Refer to page 7 of the application, paragraph 16, which states that "during the period

under which the proposed Amended and Restated Agreement is in effect and Duke

Energy Miami Fort remains an affiliate of Duke Energy Kentucky, the pricing of the

services provided by Duke Energy Miami Fort pursuant to the proposed Amended and

Restated Agreement satisfies and complies with the provision of KRS 278.2207(1)(b)."

a. State whether it is anticipated that Duke Energy Miami Fort could become

unaffiliated with Duke Kentucky but still remain the operator of Miami Fort Unit

6 ("MF6").

b. Given that the existing Miami Fort Unit 6 Operation Agreement does not identify

Duke Energy Miami Fort as the operator of MF6, confirm (1) that Duke Energy

Miami Fort is the current operator of MF6 with evidence of its assignment to The

Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company and (2) that there are no differences in

pricing or services (outside of the Retirement Period Services included in the

Amended and Restated Miami Fort Unit 6 Operation Agreement ("Amended

Operation Agreement")) between the current operating agreement and the

Amended Operation Agreement. If this cannot be confirmed, provide details of

the differences.

RESPONSE:

This scenario is possible. Upon closing of the sale transaction with Dynegy, no

assignment or assumption of the Amended Operation Agreement would be necessary

because Dynegy Resource would be the owner of Duke Energy Miami Fort LLC, which

in turn would have obligations under the Amended Operation Agreement to run MF6.

b. The Amended Operation Agreement provides that pricing for the services provided are

determined in a manner consistent with the "current operating agreement" practice while

Duke Energy Miami Fort remains an affiliate of Duke Energy Kentucky. Once Duke

Energy Miami Fort is sold to a 3rd party and is no longer an affiliate, pricing for services

provided will still be performed in a manner consistent with current practice with the

addition of a "fee" paid to the 3rd party as defined in the Amended Operation Agreement.

The "fee" is intended to provide a reasonable incentive for the 3rd party to operate/

maintain the unit on Duke Energy Kentucky's behalf. Please See Attachment Staff DR-

01-001 for the assignment to Duke Energy Miami Fort.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: Bryan Walsh

BILL OF SALE, ASSIGNMENT AND ASSUMPTION AGREEMENT

This Bill of Sale, Assignment and Assumption Agreement ("Assignment and Assumption Agreement") is entered into effective as of May 1, 2014, by and between **Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.**, an Ohio corporation ("Transferor") and **Duke Energy Miami Fort, LLC**, a Delaware limited liability company ("Transferee"). All capitalized terms, unless otherwise specified herein, shall have the same meaning given to them in the Agreement (as defined below).

WHEREAS, Transferor desires to contribute to Transferee, its wholly owned subsidiary, all of Transferor's right, title and interest in certain assets and associated liabilities of a coal-fired generating facility in North Bend, Ohio; and

WHEREAS, Transferee and Transferor have entered into an Asset Contribution Agreement dated even date herewith (the "Agreement");

NOW, THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, Transferor and Transferee agree as follows:

- 1. Transferor hereby transfers, conveys, assigns and contributes to Transferee all of Transferor's rights, title and interest in and to the Transferred Assets, to have and to hold the same unto Transferee and its successors and assigns forever, to its and their own use and benefit.
- 2. Without limiting in any way Transferee's obligations pursuant to the Agreement, Transferee hereby assumes and agrees to perform, pay, discharge and satisfy the Assumed Liabilities.
- 3. This Assignment and Assumption Agreement shall not be deemed to supersede or in any way modify any of the provisions of the Agreement. In the event of any conflict between the provisions of this Assignment and Assumption Agreement and the provisions of the Agreement, the provisions of the Agreement shall control.
- 4. All of the terms and provisions of this Assignment and Assumption Agreement shall be binding upon Transferor and Transferee and their respective successors and assigns and shall inure to the benefit of Transferor and Transferee, and their respective successors and assigns.
- 5. This Assignment and Assumption Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Ohio.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Transferor and Transferee have executed this Assignment and Assumption Agreement as of May 1, 2014.

TRANSFEROR:

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

By: Name: James P. Henring

Title: President

TRANSFEREE:

DUKE ENERGY MIAMI FORT, LLC

Name: Charles R. Whitlock

Title: President

Staff First Set Data Requests

Date Received: September 16, 2014

STAFF-DR-01-002

REQUEST:

Refer to paragraph 11 on page 5 of the Application regarding the potential sale of Miami

Fort Unit 7 ("MF7") and Miami Fort Unit 8 ("MF8") "to an unaffiliated third party or

parties in the foreseeable future.

a. Explain whether Duke Energy Commercial Asset Management has found a

potential purchaser for MF7 and MF8.

b. If the answer to 2.a. is yes, state whether an agreement has been reached whereby

the purchaser would assume the proposed Amended Operation Agreement.

1. If an agreement has been reached, provide a copy of that agreement

2. If an agreement has not yet been reached, state when Duke Energy

Commercial Asset Management anticipates an agreement will be reached

regarding the operational responsibilities of MF6 and whether it is still

anticipated that the proposed Amended Operation Agreement will be

assumed by the purchaser.

RESPONSE:

a. Yes. On August 21, 2014, two wholly-owned subsidiaries of Duke Energy

Corporation (the "Duke Sellers") entered into a purchase and sale agreement (the

"PSA") with Dynegy Resource I, LLC ("Dynegy Resource"), a wholly-owned

subsidiary of Dynegy, Inc., for the sale of Duke Energy Commercial Asset

Management, which owns, among other things, all of Duke Energy's ownership

in MF7 and MF8.

b. Under the PSA, the Duke Sellers are permitted to cause Duke Energy Miami Fort

LLC to enter into the Amended Operation Agreement upon approval of the

Commission. Upon closing of the sale transaction, no assignment or assumption

of the Amended Operation Agreement would be necessary because Dynegy

Resource would be the owner of Duke Energy Miami Fort LLC, which in turn

would have obligations under the Amended Operation Agreement. In the event

that Commission approval has not been obtained prior to closing of the sale

transaction, Dynegy Resource is obligated under the PSA to cause Duke Energy

Miami Fort LLC to apply the terms and conditions of the existing operation

agreement for MF6 in a manner consistent with the past practices of Duke Energy

Miami Fort LLC and its predecessor affiliates.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: Matthew E. Palasek

Staff First Set Data Requests

Date Received: September 16, 2014

STAFF-DR-01-003

REQUEST:

Paragraph 16 on page 7 on the application states, "The price to be paid by the Company

for Duke Energy Miami Fort's services match Duke Energy Miami Fort's fully

distributed cost, and there is no reason to believe that the price to be paid will be greater

than market." Explain the basis for the latter part of the statement.

RESPONSE:

The statement is referring to the period when the company owning and operating the

Miami Fort station (except unit 6) is still an affiliate of Duke Energy Kentucky and

providing services to Duke Energy Kentucky at its fully distributed cost consistent with

current pricing parameters under the existing operation agreement. Duke Energy's

departments (e.g. service company, HR, etc.,) periodically bench mark costs against the

market to make sure its costs are comparable.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: William Don Wathen Jr.

Staff First Set Data Requests

Date Received: September 16, 2014

STAFF-DR-01-004

REQUEST:

Refer to footnotes 28 and 29 on page 7 of the Application which reference the scenario of

Duke Energy Miami Fort's being purchased by an unaffiliated third party. The footnotes

state in such case, it is anticipated that the unaffiliated third party assuming the

responsibilities of the Amended Operation Agreement would require an additional fee or

return. State whether Section 7.5 of the Amended Operation Agreement was included to

address this possibility and whether the term "Fee" as defined on page 4 of the Amended

Operation Agreement is the fee referenced in footnotes 28 and 29. If so, explain the

rationale for the establishment of such a fee when an unaffiliated third-party operator has

not yet been identified.

RESPONSE:

The fee referenced in the footnotes is the same that is defined in the agreement. The fee

was included in the agreement as an incentive for Duke Energy Miami Fort to provide

services on behalf of Duke Energy Kentucky when it is no longer an affiliate and subject

to affiliate pricing restrictions. Following closing of the sale of Duke Energy's Midwest

commercial generation business, the contract will remain with Duke Energy Miami Fort,

which will be owned by Dynegy. The fee was negotiated with the current management of

Duke Energy Miami Fort, who it is anticipated, will be operating the station upon its sale

to Dynegy. It was agreed upon by Duke Energy Kentucky, to be a reasonable fee for a

third party to provide labor services to Duke Energy Kentucky so that the Company could

monitor their actual costs and acknowledge that a 3rd party providing such services will

insist upon some profit.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: Matthew E. Palasek