RECEIVED

JAN 21 2015

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

| TRACT FILING |) |
|---------------------|---|
| RS ELECTRIC |) |
| ON PURSUANT TO |) |
| 1§13 |) |
| | |

CASE NO. 2014-00134

PETITION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTION

15 1. Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers") hereby petitions the Kentucky 16 Public Service Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13, KRS 17 61.878(1)(c) and KRS 278.160(3), to grant confidential protection to portions of its response and 18 portions of the attachments to its response to the information requested at the hearing in this 19 matter. The information for which Big Rivers seeks confidential treatment is hereinafter referred 20 to as the "Confidential Information."

2. One (1) copy of the pages of the response and attachments containing 22 Confidential Information, with the Confidential Information underscored, highlighted with 23 transparent ink, printed on yellow paper, or otherwise marked "CONFIDENTIAL," is being filed 24 with this petition in a separate sealed envelope marked "CONFIDENTIAL." A copy of those 25 pages, with the Confidential Information redacted, is being filed with the original and each of the 26 ten (10) copies of the response to the information request filed with this petition. See 807 KAR 27 5:001 Sections 13(2)(a)(3), 13(2)(b).

3. A copy of this petition with the Confidential Information redacted has been served
on all parties to this proceeding. See 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13(2)(c). A copy of the

2 3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

1

Confidential Information has been served on all parties that have signed a confidentiality
 agreement.

- 4. The Confidential Information is not publicly available, is not disseminated within
 Big Rivers except to those employees and professionals with a legitimate business need to know
 and act upon the information, and is not disseminated to others without a legitimate need to
 know and act upon the information.
- 5. If and to the extent the Confidential Information becomes generally available to
 the public, whether through filings required by other agencies or otherwise, Big Rivers will

9 notify the Commission in writing. See 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13(10)(b).

10 6. As discussed below, the Confidential Information is being submitted

11 confidentially pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13(9)(a) and/or is entitled to confidential

12 protection based upon KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1). 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13(2)(a)(1).

- 13
- I. Information Submitted Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13(9)(a)
- 14

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

- 7. 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13(9)(a) provides:
- A person who files any paper that contains material that has previously been deemed confidential or for which a request or motion for confidential treatment is pending shall submit one (1) copy of the paper with the adjudged or alleged confidential material underscored or highlighted, and ten (10) copies of the paper with those portions redacted; and
 - 1. If the confidential status of the material has been determined previously, a written notice identifying the person who originally submitted the material, the date on which a determination on the materials confidentiality was made and, if applicable, the case number in which the determination was made; or
 - 2. If a request for confidential treatment of the material is pending, a written notice identifying the person who made the request and the date on which the request was submitted.

| 1 | 8. | The MISO transmission cost figure contained in Big Rivers' response to the post- |
|----|-----------------|--|
| 2 | hearing infor | mation request was granted confidential treatment in the Commission's November |
| 3 | 21, 2014, ord | er in this proceeding, Case No. 2014-00134, and was originally filed by Big Rivers. |
| 4 | 9. | The Confidential Information contained in the email attachment to the response to |
| 5 | the post-hear | ing information request consists of material granted confidential treatment in the |
| 6 | Commission | 's November 21, 2014, order in this proceeding, Case No. 2014-00134, and was |
| 7 | originally file | ed by Big Rivers. |
| 8 | | II. Information Protected By KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1) |
| 9 | | A. Big Rivers' Faces Actual Competition |
| 10 | 10. | KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1) protects "records confidentially disclosed to an agency or |
| 11 | required by a | n agency to be disclosed to it, generally recognized as confidential or proprietary, |
| 12 | which if oper | hly disclosed would permit an unfair commercial advantage to competitors of the |
| 13 | entity that dis | sclosed the records." |
| 14 | 11. | Big Rivers competes in the wholesale power market to sell energy excess to its |
| 15 | Members' ne | eds. Big Rivers' ability to successfully compete in the wholesale power market is |
| 16 | dependent up | oon a combination of its ability to get the maximum price for the power sold, the |
| 17 | best terms a | available, and keeping the cost of producing that power as low as possible. |
| 18 | Fundamental | ly, if Big Rivers' cost of producing a kilowatt hour or its business risk increases, its |
| 19 | ability to sell | that kilowatt hour in competition with other utilities is adversely affected. |
| 20 | 12. | Big Rivers also competes for reasonably-priced credit in the credit markets, and |
| 21 | its ability to | compete is directly impacted by its financial results and business risks assumed. |
| 22 | Any event th | at adversely affects Big Rivers' margins will adversely affect its financial results |
| 23 | and potential | ly impact the price it pays for credit. As was described in the proceeding before this |

Commission in the Big Rivers unwind transaction case, Big Rivers expects to be in the credit
 markets on a regular basis in the future.¹

3 13. Accordingly, Big Rivers has competitors in both the power and capital markets,
4 and its Confidential Information should be protected to prevent the imposition of an unfair
5 competitive advantage.

6

7

B. The Confidential Information is Generally Recognized as Confidential or Proprietary

8 14. The Confidential Information for which Big Rivers seeks confidential treatment
9 under KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1) is generally recognized as confidential or proprietary under Kentucky
10 law.

11 15. The Confidential Information contained in the response to the post-hearing 12 information request consists of confidential proposals, confidential analyses, and projections of 13 Big Rivers' revenues and expenses, which are based on the confidential terms of the contracts 14 that are the subject of this case and the confidential negotiations between the parties to those 15 contracts. More specifically, this Confidential Information includes figures from an analysis 16 conducted by ACES and updates to those figures, including transmission costs and amounts, an 17 updated LMP basis differential figure, and a change in the Base Case margins determined by 18 ACES. The Commission granted confidential treatment to the confidential terms of the ACES analysis, including transmission costs, LMP basis differential, and Base Case margins,² and the 19 20 updated figures are confidential for the same reasons.

¹ See order dated March 6, 2009, In the Matter of: Joint Application of Big Rivers, E.ON, LG&E Energy Marketing, Inc., and Western Kentucky Energy Corporation for Approval to Unwind Lease and Power Purchase Transactions, PSC Case No. 2007-00455, pages 27-30 and 37-39.

² See order dated November 21, 2014, in this case.

1 16. The Confidential Information contained in the Wood Mackenzie Pricing Data 2 attachment to the response to the post-hearing information request consists of power market price projections relied on by Big Rivers and is proprietary information provided to Big Rivers 3 4 by Wood Mackenzie. Public disclosure of this information would provide insight into the prices at which Big Rivers is willing to buy or sell power. The information is also indicative of the 5 market conditions Big Rivers expects to encounter and its ability to compete with competitors. 6 The Commission has previously granted confidential treatment to similar information. See order 7 dated September 30, 2014, in this case; In the Matter of: Application of Big Rivers Electric 8 Corporation for a General Adjustment in Rates, Order, P.S.C. Case No. 2012-00535 (April 25, 9 10 2013); In the Matter of: Application of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for a General Adjustment 11 in Rates, Order, P.S.C. Case No. 2012-00535 (August 14, 2013); In the Matter of: Application of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for Approval of its 2012 Environmental Compliance Plan, for 12 Approval of its Amended Environmental Cost Recovery Surcharge Tariff, for Certificates of 13 Public Convenience and Necessity, and for Authority to Establish a Regulatory Account, Letter, 14 P.S.C. Case No. 2012-00063 (August 15, 2012). 15 16 17. As such, the Confidential Information is generally recognized as confidential and 17 proprietary. 18 **C**. Disclosure of the Confidential Information Would Permit an Unfair Commercial

19

Advantage to Big Rivers' Competitors

20 18. Disclosure of the Confidential Information would permit an unfair commercial 21 advantage to Big Rivers' competitors. As discussed above, Big Rivers faces actual competition 22 in the wholesale power market and in the credit market. It is likely that Big Rivers would suffer 23 competitive injury if the Confidential Information was publicly disclosed.

1 19. In PSC Case No. 2003-00054, the Commission granted confidential protection for 2 bids submitted to Union Light Heat & Power ("ULH&P"). ULH&P's argued, and the 3 Commission implicitly accepted, that the bidding contractors would not want their bid 4 information publicly disclosed, and that disclosure would reduce the contractor pool available to 5 ULH&P, which would drive up ULH&P's costs, hurting its ability to compete with other gas 6 suppliers. Order dated August 4, 2003, in In the Matter of: Application of the Union Light, Heat 7 and Power Company for Confidential Treatment, PSC Case No. 2003-00054. Similarly, in Hov 8 v. Kentucky Indus. Revitalization Authority, the Kentucky Supreme Court found that without 9 protection for confidential information provided to a public agency, "companies would be 10 reluctant to apply for investment tax credits for fear the confidentiality of financial information 11 would be compromised. Hoy v. Kentucky Indus. Revitalization Authority, 907 S.W.2d 766, 769 12 (Ky. 1995).

13 20. In Big Rivers' case, Big Rivers is currently in negotiations with potential 14 counterparties for other purchase power agreements ("PPAs") and expects to engage in 15 negotiations with other counterparties in the future. If confidential treatment of the confidential 16 terms, analyses, and negotiations related to the PPAs is denied, potential counterparties would 17 know that such information related to them could be publicly disclosed, which could reveal 18 information to their competitors about their competitiveness. Because many companies would 19 be reluctant to have such information disclosed, public disclosure of the Confidential 20 Information would likely reduce the pool of counterparties willing to negotiate with Big Rivers, 21 reducing Big Rivers' ability to sell power and impairing its ability to compete in the wholesale 22 power and credit markets.

1 21. If the Confidential Information relating to material such as Big Rivers' 2 projections of power prices is publicly disclosed, market participants would have insight into the 3 prices at which Big Rivers is willing to buy and sell power and could manipulate the bidding 4 process, which would lead to higher costs or lower revenues to Big Rivers and which would 5 place it at an unfair competitive disadvantage in the wholesale power and credit markets.

6 22. Additionally, the market price projections are a proprietary product of Wood 7 Mackenzie, which Big Rivers does not have permission to release publicly. If Big Rivers were 8 forced to publicly disclose a vendor's proprietary price projections, then others would not need 9 to purchase that product from the vendor, and the product would lose value. In such case, it is 10 unlikely that vendors would continue to supply Big Rivers with their price projections, and Big 11 Rivers would lose access to an essential planning tool, further damaging its ability to compete in 12 the wholesale power and credit markets.

13 23. Accordingly, the public disclosure of the information that Big Rivers seeks to
14 protect would provide Big Rivers' competitors with an unfair commercial advantage.

15

III. Time Period

16 24. Big Rivers requests that the Confidential Information contained in the Wood 17 Mackenzie Pricing Data attachment remain confidential for a period of five (5) years from the 18 date of this petition, which should allow sufficient time for the projected data to become 19 historical and sufficiently outdated that it could not be used to determine similar confidential 20 information at that time or to competitively disadvantage Big Rivers.

21 25. Big Rivers requests that the remainder of the Confidential Information remain 22 confidential indefinitely because so long as Big Rivers is in the wholesale power market, the 23 public disclosure of the confidential terms, analyses, and negotiations related to the PPAs could

be used to Big Rivers' competitive disadvantage for the reasons stated above. 807 KAR 5:001
 Section 13(2)(a)(2).

3

IV. Conclusion

Based on the foregoing, the Confidential Information is entitled to confidential
protection. If the Commission disagrees that Big Rivers is entitled to confidential protection, due
process requires the Commission to hold an evidentiary hearing. *Utility Regulatory Com'n v. Kentucky Water Service Co.*, Inc., 642 S.W.2d 591 (Ky. App. 1982).

8

| 1 | WHEREFORE, Big Rivers respectfully requests that the Commission classify and protect |
|----------------------|--|
| 2 | as confidential the Confidential Information. |
| 3 | On this the 20 th day of January, 2015. |
| 4 | |
| 5 | TSP |
| 6 | James M. Miller |
| 7 | Tyson Kamuf |
| 8 | SULLIVAN, MOUNTJOY, STAINBACK |
| 9 | & MILLER, P.S.C. |
| 10 | 100 St. Ann Street |
| 11 | P. O. Box 727 |
| 12 | Owensboro, Kentucky 42302-0727 |
| 13 | Phone: (270) 926-4000 |
| 14 | Facsimile: (270) 683-6694 |
| 15 | jmiller@smsmlaw.com |
| 16 | tkamuf@smsmlaw.com |
| 17 | Counsel for Big Rivers Electric Corporation |
| 18 | Counsel for Dig Rivers Electric Corporation |
| 19 20 | |
| 20 | |
| | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | Certificate of Service |
| 25 | |
| 26 27 28 29 | I certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was or will be served by regular mail, by Federal Express, or by hand delivery upon the persons listed on the accompanying service list, on or before the date the foregoing is filed with the Kentucky Public Service Commission. |
| 30 | |
| 31 | On this the 20 th day of January, 2015, |
| 32 | |
| 33 | |
| 34 | Counsel for Big Rivers Electric Corporation |
| 35 | Counsel for Big Rivers Electric Corporation |