

August 19, 2014

RECEIVED

AUG 21 2014

Jeff Derouen, Executive Director Public Service Commission P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: PSC Case No. 2014-00051

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Please find an original and five (5) copies of the responses of Taylor County RECC as requested in the above referenced case dated August 7, 2014.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Sincerely,

TAYLOR COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

John F. Patterson, Office Manager

**Enclosures** 

#### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### CASE NO. 2014-00051

#### **VERIFICATION**

I verify state and affirm that the testimony filed with this verification and for which I am listed as a witness is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

John F. Patterson, Office Manager

State of Kentucky

County of Taylor

The foregoing was signed, acknowledged and sworn to before me by John F. Patterson, this fifteenth day of August, 2014.

Notary Public Chose

My Commission Expires: 61/22/15 ID# 4/35 235

## PSC CASE NO. 2014-00051 RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST DATED AUGUST 7, 2014

#### WITNESS; John F. Patterson

6. This question is addressed to all member cooperatives. Refer to each member cooperative's July 31, 2013 monthly pass-through filing.

### Question 6(a)

a. Provide the numerator used in the calculation of the respective member cooperative's pass-through mechanism factor, along with the expense month with which the numerator is associated.

## Response 6(a)

The numerator was \$461,399.00 for the EKPC June 2013 power bill which TCRECC expensed in July 2013.

### Question 6(b)

b. Provide the denominator used in the calculation of the respective member cooperative's pass-through mechanism factor, along with the calculations and the months supporting the calculations of the denominator.

## Response 6(b)

The denominator was \$3,315,120.00 The Calculation is shown on page 3 of 3 of this Item number.

### Question 6(c)

c. Provide the month's revenue (amount and month of the year) each member cooperative's pass-through mechanism factor for July 2013 is applied to in arriving at the monthly environmental surcharge revenues recovered.

### Response 6(c)

The 14.00% was applied to bills with a read date of June 30, 2013 which were booked as Revenue for the months ended July 31, 2013. The revenue amount the 14.00% was applied to were \$3,320,799.33.

## East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. - Distribution Cooperatives Pass Through Mechanism Report for Taylor County RECC

For the Month Ending May 2013

Item 6 Page 2 of 3

Charles Alle	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
				EKPC	On-peak	EKPC Net	EKPC 12-months	Taylor County	Amortization	Taylor	Taylor	On-Peak	Taylor	12-months	Taylor County
				Monthly	Revenues	Monthly	Ended Average	Revenue	of	County	County Total	Retail	County	ended	Pass-
Surcharge				Revenues from	Adjustment	Sales	Monthly Revenue	Requirement	(Over)/Under	Net Revenue	Monthly Retail	Revenue	Net	Average	through
Factor				Sales to	1 1	to	from Sales to		Recovery	Requirement	Revenues	Adjustment	Monthly	Retail	Mechanism
Expense	EKPC	EKPC	EKPC	Taylor County	1	Taylor County	Taylor County		as Applicable				Retail	Revenues,	Factor
Month	CESF %	BESF %	MESF %						i				Revenues	Net	<u> </u>
			ol. (1) - Cal. (2	2)		Cal. (4) - Cal. (5)		Col (3) x Col (7)		Col (8) + Col (9)			Col. (11) - Col. (12)		Cal (10) / Cal (14)
May-12	16.90%	0.00%	16.90%	\$ 2,204,704	\$ 4,155	\$ 2,200,549	\$ 183,379	\$ 30,991	<b>\$</b> -	\$ 30,991	\$ 2,544,169	\$ 4,155	\$ 2,540,014	\$ 211,668	#DIV/0I
Jun-12	15.55%	0.00%	15.55%	\$ 2,466,073	\$ 4,304	\$ 2,461,769	\$ 388,527	\$ 60,416	<b>\$</b> -	\$ 60,416	\$ 2,819,636	\$ 4,304	\$ 2,815,332	\$ 446,279	28.54%
Jul-12	14.51%	0.00%	14.51%	\$ 2,882,309	\$ 5,401	\$ 2,876,908	\$ 628,269	\$ 91,162	\$ ·	\$ 91,162	\$ 3,052,470	\$ 5,401	\$ 3,047,069	\$ 700,201	20.43%
Aug-12	14.13%	0.00%	14.13%	\$ 2,527,138	\$ 4,072	\$ 2,523,066	\$ 838,524	\$ 118,483	\$ -	\$ 118,483	\$ 3,800,820	\$ 4,072	\$ 3,796,748	\$ 1,016,597	16.92%
Sep-12	16.23%	0 00%	16.23%	\$ 2,158,092	\$ 3,318	\$ 2,154,776	\$ 1,018,089	\$ 165,236	\$ -	\$ 165,236	\$ 3,292,124	\$ 3,318	\$ 3,288,808	\$ 1,290,664	16.25%
Oct-12	17 57%	0.00%	17.57%	\$ 2,058,303	\$ 3,560	\$ 2,054,743	\$ 1,189,318	\$ 208,963	\$ -	\$ 208,963	\$ 2,727,104	\$ 3,560	\$ 2,723,544	\$ 1,517,626	16.19%
Nov-12	18 23%	0.00%	18.23%	\$ 2,630,335	\$ 4,058	\$ 2,626,277	\$ 1,408,174	\$ 256,710	\$ -	\$ 256,710	\$ 2,671,875	\$ 4,058	\$ 2,667,817	\$ 1,739,944	16.92%
Dec-12	14.61%	0.00%	14.61%	\$ 2,880,071	\$ 3,427	\$ 2,876,644	\$ 1,647,894	\$ 240,757	<b>5</b> -	\$ 240,757	\$ 3,268,894	\$ 3,427	\$ 3,265,467	\$ 2,012,067	13.84%
Jan-13	13.49%	0.00%	13.49%	\$ 3,098,302	\$ 4,050	\$ 3,094,252	\$ 1,905,749	\$ 257,085	<b>5</b> -	\$ 257,085	\$ 3,697,058	\$ 4,050	\$ 3,693,008	\$ 2,319,817	12.78%
Feb-13	12.61%	0 00%	12.61%	\$ 2,866,662	\$ 3,422	\$ 2,863,240	\$ 2,144,352	\$ 270,403	\$ -	\$ 270,403	\$ 4,201,620	\$ 3,422	\$ 4,198,198	\$ 2,669,667	11.66%
Mar-13	14.37%	0.00%	14.37%	\$ 2,937,527	\$ 3,363	\$ 2,934,164	\$ 2,388,866	\$ 343,280	\$ -	\$ 343,280	\$ 3,611,862	\$ 3,363	\$ 3,608,499	\$ 2,970,375	12.86%
Apr-13	14.27%	0.00%	14.27%	\$ 2,148,609	\$ 4,305	\$ 2,142,304	\$ 2,567,391	\$ 366,367	\$ -	\$ 366,367	\$ 3,896,802	\$ 4,305	\$ 3,892,497	\$ 3,294,750	12.33%
May-13	17.97%	0.00%	17.97%	\$ 2,207,736	\$ 4,580	\$ 2,203,156	\$ 2,567,608	\$ 461,399	\$ -	\$ 461,399	\$ 2,789,027	\$ 4,580	\$ 2,784,447	\$ 3,315,120	14.00%

May 2012 - Apr 13 Twelve Month Average \$ 39,537,001

\$3,294,750

## ITEM 6 Page 3 of 3

# TAYLOR COUNTY R5ECC PSC CASE NO 2014-00051 RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST DATED AUGUST 7, 2014

	TCRECC	TCRECC
	MONTHLY	<b>AVERAGE</b>
DATE	REVENUE	REVENUE
Jun-12	2,815,332	
Jul-12	3,047,069	
Aug-12	3,796,748	
Sep-12	3,288,808	
Oct-12	2,723,544	
Nov-12	2,667,817	
Dec-12	3,265,467	
Jan-13	3,693,008	
Feb-13	4,198,198	
Mar-13	3,608,499	
Apr-13	3,892,497	
May-13	2,784,447	

39,781,434 3,315,120

# P O BOX 100 CAMPBELLSVILLE, KY 42719

# PSC CASE NO. 2014-00051 RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST DATED AUGUST 7, 2014

WITNESS; John F. Patterson

7. This question is addressed to all member cooperatives. For the month of July 2005, provide the following:

### Question 7(a)

a. Identify the month in which the member cooperative's revenue requirement was used, along with the months in which the member cooperative's 12-months-ended average retail revenue was used in calculating the pass-through mechanism factor.

### Response 7(a)

Revenue booked in July 2005 was applied to the July 2005 Surcharge factor. The 12 month Average revenue was for the period June 2004 – May 2005.

### Question 7(b)

b. Identify the member cooperative's month revenues (amount and month of the year) the pass-through mechanism factor was applied to arrive at the member cooperative's monthly environmental surcharge revenues.

### Response 7(b)

Revenue booked in July 2005 in the amount of \$3,065,409.06.

#### Question 7(c)

c. Identify the member cooperative's month revenues (amount and month of the year) and the member cooperative's month expense (amount and month of the year) used in calculating any over/under recovery, along with the month the over/under recovery is reflected, in the member cooperative's response to the Information Request of Commission Staff following the June 18, 2014 Informal Conference ("Staff's IC Information Request"), item 2.

#### Response 7(c)

Revenue \$463,697 June 2013 usage Recorded on books July 2013. Expense EKPC power bill for June 2013 \$450,006 expensed by TCRECC July 2013.

## PSC CASE NO. 2014-00051 RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST DATED AUGUST 7, 2014

WITNESS; John F. Patterson

8. This question is addressed to all member cooperatives. For the month of December 2013, provide the following:

#### Question 8(a)

a. Identify the month in which the member cooperative's revenue requirement was used, along with the months in which the member cooperative's 12-months-ended average retail revenue was used, in calculating the pass-through mechanism factor.

#### Response 8(a)

November usage Revenue recorded in December 2013. Average retail Revenue for 12 months ended November 2013.

#### Question 8(b)

b. Provide the member cooperative's month revenues the passthrough mechanism factor was applied to arrive at the member cooperative's monthly environmental surcharge revenues.

#### Response 8(b)

Month of December 2013 \$3,381,794.

### Question 8(c)

c. Provide the member cooperative's month revenue and the member cooperative's month expense used in calculating any over/under recovery, along with the month the over/under recovery is reflected in the member cooperative's response to Staff's IC Information Request, item 2.

#### Response 8(c)

December 2013 Surcharge Revenue \$488,054.

November Power Bill December 2013 Expense month \$436,113.

Item 8 Page 2 of 2

Question 8(d)

8(0)

76)

d. If there was a change in the calculations between Item No. 8 and Item No. 9, fully explain the reason for the change and provide any Commission supporting authorization for the change.

Response 8(d) There is no change.

## PSC CASE NO. 2014-00051 RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST DATED AUGUST 7, 2014

WITNESS; John F. Patterson

#### Question 9

This question is addressed to all member cooperatives. In a month when there is an amortization of an over- or under-recovery amount applied in the calculation of the pass-through mechanism factor, do the member cooperatives agree that the pass-through factor is a net factor, and the net factor is a combination of the passthrough factor that is attributed to the member cooperative's revenue requirement divided by the 12-months-ended average retail revenues and the member cooperative's amortization of any over- or under-recovery amount also divided by the 12-months ended average retail revenues? If not, explain.

Response 9 TCREC

TCRECC agrees that in a month when there is an amortization of an over- or under-recovery amount applied in the calculation of the pass-through mechanism factor that the pass-through factor is a net factor. However, TCRECC does not agree with the description of the calculation of the pass-through factor as stated in the request. As clearly shown on the monthly "Pass Through Mechanism Report" filed for TCRECC, the monthly revenue requirement shown in column 8 is combined with the amortization of over- or under-recovery shown in column 9. The net amount is shown in column 10. The net amount in column 10 is divided by the 12-months ended average net retail revenues shown in column 14, producing the pass-through factor shown in column 15. While the approach described in the request essentially produces the same result mathematically, separate pass-through factor components are not calculated for the monthly revenue requirement and the amortization of the over- or under-recovery.

## PSC CASE NO. 2014-00051 RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST DATED AUGUST 7, 2014

WITNESS; John F. Patterson

**Question 10** 

This question is addressed to all member cooperatives.

Question 10(a)

a. Does each member cooperative have a monthly Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC") that contains a prior month over- or under-recovery mechanism in the calculations?

Response 10(a)

TCRECC has a monthly FAC that contains a prior month over-or under-recovery.

Question 10(b) /o(a)

b. If the answer to 11.a. above is yes, does each member cooperative remove the prior month over- or under-recovery amount in calculating the current month's over- or under-recovery amount?

Response 10(b) No

Question 10(c) /0 Cb)

c. If the answer to 11.b. above is no, explain why it is appropriate to remove any over- or under-recovery amount in the environmental surcharge, but it is not required to remove any over/under recovery in the FAC.

#### Response 10(c)

It should be noted that the environmental surcharge is established by KRS 278.183 while the FAC is established by 807 KAR 5:056 and neither explicitly address how over- and under-recoveries are to be handled.

Under the FAC for the Member Cooperatives, the over- or under-recovery from the second previous month is incorporated into the calculations of the current FAC. The current FAC is applied to retail customer bills rendered two months later. Thus, there is a four month lag from the inception of an over-or under-recovery until it is refunded or collected on the retail customers' bill. Each month the retail customers' bill will include a single month's FAC over- or under-recovery amount. There is no adjustment to remove any previous month's over- or under-recovery amount in calculating the current month's over- or under-recovery.

Under the environmental surcharge, the over- or under-recovery is determined during the periodic sixmonth and two-year surcharge review cases. Under normal circumstances, the over- or under-recovery determined during a surcharge review case will cover six months of surcharge operation and the amortization period of the over- or under-recovery has been six months. While the amortization results in an equal dollar amount being included in the retail customers' bills during the amortization period, rather than an amount that reflects month to month changes in sales volumes, it is essentially the same approach as the FAC. Each month during the amortization period will reflect a single month's surcharge over- or under-recovery amount. There have been no adjustments to remove any previous month's over- or under-recovery amount when calculating the current month's over- or under-recovery. However, the processing of Case Nos. 2012-00486 and 2013-00140 were not normal circumstances. Case No. 2012-00486 reviewed the last six months of a two-year review period and two subsequent sixmonth review periods, for a total of 18 months of surcharge operations. The case was opened on November 16, 2012 and the Commission's final Order was dated August 2, 2013. Although the review period covered 18 months of surcharge operations, the over- and under-recoveries determined for the Member Cooperatives were amortized over six months. The first month of the amortization of the overand under-recoveries was reflected in the Member Cooperatives' surcharge pass-through factors filed with the Commission on August 20, 2013. Case No. 2013-00140 covered a single six-month review period. This case was opened on May 14, 2013 and the Commission's final Order was dated September 17, 2013. The over- and under-recoveries determined for the Member Cooperatives were amortized over six months. The first month of the amortization of the over- and under-recoveries was reflected in the Member Cooperatives' surcharge pass-through factors filed with the Commission on September 20, 2013. Because of the number of review periods included in the two cases and the overlapping of the amortization periods for these two cases, over- and under-recoveries resulting from 24 months of

### Page 3 of 3

surcharge operations were amortized over a total of seven months. Thus, the normal situation where six months of surcharge operations are reflected in six months of over- or under- recovery amortization no longer was in force. Without some adjustment to remove the effects of amortizing over- or under-recoveries resulting from 24 months of surcharge operations over seven months, subsequent surcharge review over- and under-recovery calculations will be skewed.

# PSC CASE NO. 2014-00051 RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST DATED AUGUST 7, 2014

WITNESS; John F. Patterson

This question is addressed to all member cooperatives.

### Question 11(a)

a. Provide the schedules which support the amount of both the environmental surcharge revenues and the environmental surcharge expenses removed from their cost-of-service on Schedule S for each of their rate filings which cover the period July 2005 through December 2013.

Response 11(a) See Page 2, 3, 4 of 5 this Item.

Question 11(b)

Illa)

b. Provide an analysis comparing the monthly amounts in 12.a. above with the same monthly amounts the member cooperatives provided in their response to Staff's IC Information Request, Item No. 2.

Response 11(B) See Page 3 of 3 of this Item.

### Question 11 (c)

c. If there is a difference in the monthly revenue and/or expense amounts in the response to  $\frac{12.b.}{2}$  above, provide a complete explanation of the reason(s) for the difference.  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

Response 11 (c) There is no difference.

Frege Zof 5 Exhibit S

## Taylor County Rural Eelctric Cooperative Case No. 2012-0023

1

48

Statement of Operations, Adjusted

page 2 of 4 Witness: Jim Adkins

3		Statement of	Operations, Adjuste	d	Witne	ss: Jim Adkıns
4		Actual	Normalized	Normalized	Proposed	Proposed
5 6		Test Year	Adjustments	Test Year	Increase	Test Year
7		105t Tour	rajustments	1050 1041		
8	Operating Revenues:					
9	Base rates	43,084,221	(1,416,683)	41,667,538	1,065,777	42,733,315
10	Fuel adjustment	(3,042,560)	2,588,045	(454,515)		(454,515)
11	Environmental surcharge	3,592,332	(3,592,332)	-		-
12	Other electric revenue	789,543	36,204	825,747		825,747
13						
14		44,423,536	(2,384,766)	42,038,770	1,065,777	43,104,547
15						
16	Operating Expenses:					
17	Cost of power:					
18	Base rates	33,911,045	(1,562,807)	32,348,238		32,348,238
19	Fuel adjustment	(2,588,045)	2,588,045	-		-
20	Environmental surcharge	3,823,771	(3,823,771)	-		-
21	Distribution - operations	1,762,546	117,660	1,880,206		1,880,206
22	Distribution - maintenance	1,789,805	96,073	1,885,878		1,885,878
23	Consumer accounts	1,135,635	81,557	1,217,192		1,217,192
24	Customer service	113,578	-	113,578		113,578
25	Sales	-	-	-		
26	Administrative and general _	1,406,674	(35,323)	1,371,351		1,371,351
27						20.016.442
28	Total operating expenses	41,355,009	(2,538,566)	38,816,443		38,816,443
29			450 440			. 0.522.472
30	Depreciation	2,075,360	458,113	2,533,473		2,533,473
31	Taxes - other	42,849	(00.514)	42,849		42,849 952,575
32	Interest on long-term debt	981,289	(28,714)	952,575		71,220
33	Interest expense - other Other deductions	71,220	-	71,220		71,220
34	Other deductions					
35	Total cost of electric service	44,525,727	(2,109,167)	42,416,560	_	42,416,560
36 37	Total cost of electric service	44,323,121	(2,109,107)	42,410,500		12,110,000
38	Utility operating margins	(102,191)	(275,599)	(377,790)	1,065,777	687,987
39	Other operating margins	(102,171)	(213,377)	(377,770)	2,000,	
40	Nonoperating margins, interes	142,641	_	142,641		142,641
41	Nonoperating margins, other	(3,672)		(3,672)		(3,672)
42	G & T capital credits	1,383,363	(1,383,363)	(5,0,2)	,	•
43	Patronage capital credits	125,619	(1,000,000)	125,619		125,619
44		,				
45	Net Margins	1,545,760	(1,658,962)	(113,202)	1,065,777	952,575
46	5		<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>	
47	TIER	2.58		0.88		2.00

# Taylor County Rural Eeletric Cooperative Case No. 2012-0023 Summary of Adjustments to Test Year

Exhibit S page 3 of 4 Witness: Jim Adkins

	Adj I	Adj 2	Adj 3	Adj 4	Ađj 5	Ađj 6	Adj 7	Adj 8	Ađj 9	Adj 10	Ađj 11	Adj 12	Adj 13	Adj 14	Adj 15	Adj 16	Adj 17	Adj 18	
	Salaries	Payroll <u>Taxes</u>	Deprec	Property <u>Tax</u>	Interest	FAS 106	Retirement	Health Insurance	Directors	Professional <u>Fees</u>	Misc Expenses	Rate <u>Case</u>	Depreciation Study	G&T Capital Cr	Vonrecurrin Charges	Purchase Power		Additional Revenue	Total
Operating Revenues: Base rates Fuel adjustment Environnmental surcharge Other electric revenue															14,100		(1,416,683) 2,588,045 (3,592,332)	22,104	(1,416,683) 2,588,045 (3,592,332) 36,204
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14,100	0	(2,420,970)	22,104	(2,384,766)
Operating Expenses: Cost of power: Base rates Fuel adjustment Environmental surcharge			*********	***************************************	*********					***********	**********	***************************************	-	************		(1,562,807) 2,588,045 (3,823,771)		<u> 240000000</u>	(1,562,807) 2,588,045 (3,823,771)
Distribution - operations Distribution - maintenanc	16,557 15,066	1,333 1,213	(351) (513)	11,870		73,770 67,129	1,533 1,395	12,948 11,783											117,660 96,073
Consumer accounts Customer service Sales	12,722	1,024	(513)			56,684	1,178	9,949											81,557 0 0
Administrative and genera	9,079	731	(40)	363		40,452	841	7,100	(106,101)	(2,754)	(19,994)	30,000	5,000						(35,323)
Total operating expense.	53,424	4,301	(904)	12,233	0	238,035	4,947	41,780	(106,101)	(2,754)	(19,994)	30,000	5,000	0	0	(2,798,533)	0	0	(2,538,566)
Depreciation Taxes - other		********	458,113	***************************************	*******	**********	**********		**********			************	********	**********	***********	***********			458,113
Interest on long-term debt Interest expense - other Other deductions					(28,714)														(28,714) 0 0
Total cost of electric ser	53,424	4,301	457,209	12,233	(28,714)	238,035	4,947	41,780	(106,101)	(2,754)	(19,994)	30,000	5,000	0	0	(2,798,533)	0	0	(2,109,167)
Utility operating margin	(53,424)	(4,301)	(457,209)	(12,233)	28,714	(238,035)	(4,947)	(41,780)	106,101	2,754	19,994	(30,000)	(5,000)	0	14,100	2,798,533	(2,420,970)	22,104	(275,599)
Nonoperating margins, intere Nonoperating margins, other G & T capital credits	st	***********		<del></del>		********		********	**************				(	1,383,363)	***********	***************************************			0 0 (1,383,363)
Patronage capital credits			***********		********		•											**********	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 (	1,383,363)	0	0	0	0	(1,383,363)
Net Margins	(53,424)	(4,301)	(457,209)	(12,233)	28,714	(238,035)	(4,947)	(41,780)	106,101	2,754	19,994	(30,000)	(5,000) (	1,383,363)	14,100	2,798,533	(2,420,970)	22,104	(1,658,962)

Ttem 11 Prige 30fs

Item 11 Perse 40F3

Exhibit page 3 of 3 Witness: Jim Adkins

Taylor County Rural Electric Cooperative
Case No. 2012-00023

Summary of Fuel Adjustment and Environmental Surcharge November 30, 2011

An analysis of fuel adjustment and environmental surcharge follows:

	Sales to Co	nsumers	Purchase from EKPC					
	1	Environmental		Environmental				
Month	<u>Fuel</u>	Surcharge	<u>Fuel</u>	Surcharge				
December	(493,884)	243,262	(426,049)	250,775				
January	(729,982)	501,323	(414,253)	551,327				
February	(328,162)	517,576	(306,357)	529,782				
March	(25,727)	190,135	(312,617)	189,316				
April	(291,659)	158,415	(378,528)	155,836				
May	(309,574)	221,496	(275,391)	233,026				
June	(335,222)	261,657	(400,365)	263,488				
July	(557,018)	305,447	(12,316)	367,034				
August	15,278	419,470	(64,833)	413,898				
September	13,636	333,311	16,189	370,319				
October	10,103	213,026	(11,554)	247,800				
November	(10,348)	227,215	(1,971)	251,170				
Total	(3,042,560)	3,592,332	(2,588,045)	3,823,771				
Difference	(454,515)	(231,439)						

The fuel purchased and environmental surcharge from East Kentucky Power Cooperative are passed on to the consumers using the Fuel Adjustment and Environmental Procedures established by this Commission.

The Environmental Surcharge has a built in over/under recovery mechanism. However, the Fuel Adjustment rate from East Kentucky is rolled into Taylor County's base rates using the line loss percentage. There are over/under recoveries each month based on kwh sales. The month that East Kentucky rolled the fuel into the base rates during June 2011, which Taylor County recognized in July, 2011, resulted a large credit to customers. Taylor County did not recognize this credit from East Kentucky, which resulted in a deficit for Taylor County. The over/under recovery mechanism for fuel adjustment will not recognize this deficit, therefore Taylor County is proposing to include this in its revenue requirement adjustments.

ITEM 11 Page 5 of 5

## Taylor County RECC - Environmental Surcharge: Six-Month Review

	_				_			
		EKPC	E	Billed to				
		Invoice		Retail				
		Month	Co	nsumer &				
	r	ecorded	rec	corded on	N	1onthly	Cumulative	Amount of
TCRECC	o	n EKPC's	М	lember's	(0	Over) or	(Over) or	(Over) Under
BOOKS		Books		Books		Under	Under	Adjustments
Mo/Yr		(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)	1
Dec-10	_	250,775		243,262		\$7,513	\$7,513	1
Jan-11	\$	551,327	\$	501,323	\$	50,004	\$57,517	
Feb-11	\$	529,782	\$	517,576	\$	12,206	\$69,723	
Mar-11	\$	189,316	\$	190,135	\$	(819)	\$68,904	
Apr-11	\$	155,836	\$	158,415	\$	(2,579)	\$66,325	ł
May-11	\$	233,026	\$	221,496	\$	11,530	\$77,855	
Jun-11	\$	263,488	\$	261,657	\$	1,831	\$79,686	
Jul-11	\$	367,034	\$	305,447	\$	61,587	\$141,273	
Aug-11	\$	413,898	\$	419,470	\$	(5,572)	\$135,701	
Sep-11	\$	370,319	\$	333,311	\$	37,008	\$172,709	
Oct-11	\$	247,800	\$	213,026	\$	34,774	\$207,483	
Nov-11	\$	251,170	\$	227,215	\$	23,955	\$231,438	
-	•		-		•		•	

3,823,771 3,592,333 231,438

Page 4 of 5 rate case 2012-00023

this Item number \$3,823,771 \$3,592,332 \$ 231,439

# PSC CASE NO. 2014-00051 RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST DATED AUGUST 7, 2014

WITNESS; John F. Patterson

Question 12 (a)

This question is addressed to all member cooperatives. For each of the member cooperatives, provide the following:

a. The cumulative over/under recovery for the 24-month period ending December 31, 2013.

Response 12 (a) See Page 2 of 4 of this Item.

Question 12 (b) /2(a)

If the amount provided in 13.a. above is amortized over a six-month period, provide the bill impact on the average residential customer.

Response 12 (b) See Page 4 of 4 of this Item.

Item 12 Page 2 of 4

Taylor County RECC - Environmental Surcharge: Six-Month Review

	EKPC	E	Billed to					
	Invoice		Retail					
	Month	Co	nsumer &					
	recorded	rec	orded on	Monthly			mulative	Amount of
TCRECC	on EKPC's		ember's	(Over) or				(Over) Under
воокѕ	Books		Books	Under				Adjustments
Mo/Yr	(1)		(2)	(3)			(4)	
Jan-12	\$ 435,128	\$	425,163	\$	9,965	\$	9,965	
Feb-12	433,558	\$	397,445	\$	36,113	\$	46,078	
Mar-12	\$ 324,233	\$	325,133	\$	(900)		\$45,178	
Apr-12	\$ 230,829	\$	237,783	\$	(6,954)		\$38,224	
May-12	\$ 239,746	\$	256,568	\$	(16,822)		\$21,402	
Jun-12	328,759	\$	325,665	\$	3,094		\$24,496	
Jul-12	\$ 416,039	\$	397,590	\$	18,449		\$42,945	
Aug-12	\$ 447,357	\$			(7,678)		\$35,267	
Sep-12	\$ 366,096	\$	366,152	\$	(56)		\$35,211	}
Oct-12	\$ 304,470	\$	302,152	\$	2,318		\$37,529	ļ
Nov-12	\$ 333,484	\$	337,467	\$	(3,983)		\$33,546	
Dec-12	461,437	\$	440,623	\$	20,814		\$54,360	l
Jan-13	\$ 524,411	\$	521,366	\$	3,045		\$57,405	
Feb-13	 452,069	\$	475,905	\$	(23,836)		\$33,569	
Mar-13	386,253	\$	375,561	\$	10,692		\$44,261	ļ
Apr-13	369,997	\$	383,250	\$	(13,253)		\$31,008	_
May-13	307,848	\$	318,559	\$	(10,711)	1	\$20,297	
Jun-13	314,390	\$	323,333	\$	(8,943)		\$11,354	ţ
Jul-13	450,006	\$	463,697	\$	(13,691)		(\$2,337)	\$48,684
Aug-13	432,343	\$	459,754	\$	(27,411)		(\$29,748)	\$53,661
Sep-13	401,796	\$	448,013	\$	(46,217)		(\$75,965)	1
Oct-13	344,067	\$	396,837	\$	(52,770)	(	\$128,735)	\$53,661
Nov-13	313,611	\$	368,888	\$	(55,277)		\$184,012)	1
Dec-13	\$ 436,113	\$	488,054	\$	(51,941)	] (	\$235,953)	\$53,661

TOTAL \$ 9,054,040 \$ 9,289,993 \$ (235,953)

## East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. - Distribution Cooperatives Pass Through Mechanism Report for Taylor County RECC

For the Month Ending December 2013

Item 12 Page 3 of 4

المراجعة ا	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		(8)		(7)		(8)		(9)		(10)		(11)		(12)		(13)		(14)	(15)
				EKPC	On-peak	1	EKPC Net	EK	PC 12-months	TE	ylor County	Ar	mortization		Taylor		Taylor	On	-Peak	ĺ	Taylor		12-months	Taylor County
1 1				Monthly	Revenues		Monthly	Er	nded Average		Revenue		of	l	County	] (	County Total	R	leta i	l	County		ended	Pass-
Surcharge	}			Revenues from	Adjustment		Sales	Mo	nthly Revenue	R	equirement	(0	ver)/Under	N	et Revenue	I۷	onthly Retail	Re	venue	l	Net		Average	through
Factor				Sales to			to	ħ	rom Sales to	l		ŀ	Recovery	R	aquirement	1	Revenues	Adju	ustment	l	Monthly		Retail	Mechanism
Expense	EKPC	EKPC	EKPC	Taylor County	ſ	TE	ylor County	T	aylor County	ĺ		8	Applicable	ĺ		ĺ					Retail		Revenues,	Factor
Month	CESF %	BESF %	MESF %		<u> </u>					<u> </u>										-	Revenues		Net	
			Col (1) - Col. (2)			Co	i. (4) - Col. (5)			Co	d (3) x Col (7)	<u> </u>		Co	(8) + Col (9)	<u> </u>				Col	. (11) - Col. (12)	_		Col (10) / Col (14)
								_														_		
Jan-12	12.09%	0.00%	12.09%	\$ 3,054,209	\$ 3,128	\$	3,051,081	\$	2,537,092	\$	306,734	•	-	\$		-	3,901,788	\$	3,128	\$	3,698,660	5	3,181,178	9.46%
Feb-12	10.76%	0.00%	10.78%	\$ 2,685,017	\$ 3,193	\$	2,661,824	\$	2,516,739	\$	271,304	\$	•	\$	271,304		3,986,472	\$	3,193	\$	3,983,279	\$	3,186,889	8.53%
Mar-12	12.92%	0.00%	12.92%	\$ 2,144,261	\$ 3,001	\$	2,141,260	\$	2,493,639	\$	322,204	\$	-	\$	322,204	•	3,441,759	\$	3,001	\$	3,438,758	\$	3,200,251	10.11%
Apr-12	14.94%	0.00%	14.94%	\$ 1,858,413	\$ 2,789	\$	1,855,824	\$	2,480,926	\$	370,650		-	\$	370,650	\$	2,794,528	\$	2,789	\$	2,791,739	\$	3,220,748	11.58%
May-12	16.90%	0.00%	16.90%			\$	2,200,549	\$	2,489,487	\$	420,723		-	\$	420,723	\$	2,544,169	\$	4,155	\$	2,540,014	\$	3,205,127	13.06%
Jun-12	15.55%	0.00%	15.55%	\$ 2,466,073	\$ 4,304	\$	2,461,769	\$	2,481,491	\$	385,872		-	\$	385,872		2,819,636	\$	4,304	\$	2,815,332	\$	3,206,874	12.04%
Jul-12	14.51%	0.00%	14.51%			\$	2,878,908	\$	2,474,690	\$	359,077	\$	-	\$	359,077	\$	3,052,470	\$	5,401	\$	3,047,069	\$	3,119,484	11.19%
Aug-12	14.13%	0.00%	14.13%	\$ 2,527,138	\$ 4,072	\$	2,523,066		2,450,268	\$	348,223	\$	•	\$	348,223	\$	3,800,820	\$	4,072	-	3,798,748	\$	3,134,564	11.10%
Sep-12	16.23%	0.00%	18.23%	\$ 2,158,092	\$ 3,316	\$	2,154,776	\$	2,446,764	\$	397,110		•	\$	397,110	\$	3,292,124	\$	3,318	\$	3,288,808	\$	3,177,129	12.67%
Oct-12	17.57%	0,00%	17.57%	\$ 2,058,303	\$ 3,560	\$	2,054,743	\$	2,443,715	\$	429,361		-	\$	429,361	\$	2,727,104	\$	3,560	\$	2,723,544	\$	3,172,499	13.51%
Nov-12	18.23%	0.00%	18.23%	\$ 2,630,335	\$ 4,058	\$	2,628,277		2,458,969	\$	448,270	\$	•	\$	448,270	\$	2,671,875	\$	4,058	\$	2,667,817	\$	3,168,292	14.13%
Dec-12	14.61%	0.00%	14.61%	\$ 2,880,071			2,876,644		2,458,712	\$	359,218	\$	•	\$	359,218		3,268,894	\$	3,427	\$	3,265,467	\$	3,188,103	11.35%
Jan-13	13.49%	0.00%	13.49%	\$ 3,098,302	\$ 4,050	\$	3,094,252	\$	2,462,309	\$	332,166	\$	-	\$	332,166		3,697,058	\$	4,050	\$	3,693,008	\$	3,170,965	10.42%
Feb-13	12.81%	0.00%	12.61%	\$ 2,666,662			2,863,240	\$	2,477,427	\$	312,404	\$	-	\$	312,404		4,201,620	\$	3,422	\$	4,198,198	\$	3,168,675	9.85%
Mar-13	14.37%	0.00%	14.37%	\$ 2,937,527			2,934,164	\$	2,543,501	\$	365,501		-	\$	365,501	-	3,611,882	\$	3,363	\$	3,608,499	\$	3,203,020	11.46%
Apr-13	14.27%	0.00%	14.27%				2,142,304	\$	2,567,391	\$	366,387	\$	•	\$	368,367	-	3,898,802	\$	4,305	\$	3,892,497	5	3,294,750	11.44%
May-13	17.97%	0.00%	17.97%	\$ 2,207,736	\$ 4,560	\$	2,203,158	\$	2,567,608	\$	461,399	\$	-	\$	461,399		2,789,027	\$	4,560	\$	2,764,447	\$	3,315,120	14.00%
Jun-13	17.09%	0.00%	17.09%	\$ 2,508,158	\$ 3,954	\$	2,504,204	\$	2,571,145	\$	439,409	\$	-	\$	439,409	-	2,634,803	\$	3,954	\$	2,830,849	\$	3,316,413	13.25%
Jul-13	15.77%	0.00%	15.77%	\$ 2,535,517	\$ 5,697	\$	2,529,820	\$	2,542,221	\$	400,908	\$	48,684	\$	449,592	-	3,320,799	\$	5,697	\$	3,315,102	5	3,338,749	13.56%
Aug-13	15.49%	0.00%	15.49%	\$ 2,551,914			2,547,837	\$	2,544,285	\$	394,110	\$	53,681	\$	447,771	-	3,479,594	\$	4,077	\$	3,475,517	5	3,311,979	13.41%
Sep-13	14.93%	0.00%	14.93%	\$ 2,224,927	\$ 3,708	\$	2,221,219	\$	2,549,822	\$	360,686	\$	53,661	\$	434,349	\$	3,315,738	\$	3,708	\$	3,312,030	\$	3,313,915	13.11%
Oct-13	16.69%	0.00%	18.69%	\$ 2,104,260	\$ 3,716	\$	2,100,544	\$	2,553,636	\$	428,202	\$	53,661	\$	479,863	\$	2,971,099		3,716	\$	2,967,383	\$	3,334,235	14.48%
Nov-13	17.43%	0.00%	17.43%	\$ 2,616,505	\$ 3,501	\$	2,813,004	\$	2,552,532	\$	444,906	\$	53,681	\$	498,567	-	2,825,837	\$	3,501	\$	2,822,338	\$	3,347,111	14.95%
Dec-13	14.54%	0.00%	14.54%	\$ 3,108,942	\$ 4,006	\$	3,102,936	\$	2,571,390	\$	373,860	\$	53,661	\$	427,541	\$	3,361,794	\$	4,006	\$	3,377,788	\$	3,358,471	12.77%
																_					272 000			

Taylor County Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) Includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues.

Average Revenues reported in Column 4 for September 2011 contained an error which has been corrected; the correction did not change the September Pass-through factor shown in Column 15.

Revenue Requirement Average Revenue

373,880 3,347,111 equals

11.17%

Item 12 Page 4 of 4

TAYLOR COUNTY RECC P O BOX 100 CAMPBELLSVILLE KY 42719 PSC CASE NO. 2014-00051

Witness: John F. Patterson

Average Residential Usage 12 month ended 12/30/2013

1,086 kWh

Test Month November 2013
Taylor County Cumulative Over Recovery
6 month spread

235,953 39,325

		ACTUAL	6 MONTH RECOVERY
<b>Customer Charge</b>	9.82	\$9.82	\$9.82
kWh Charge	0.0822	\$89.27	\$89.27
Fuel Adjustment @	(0.00029)	(\$0.31)	(\$0.31)
Subtotal		\$98.78	\$98.78
Env. Surcharge	11.17%	\$11.03	10.00% \$9.88
Total		\$109.81	\$108.66
Dollar Impact		1	(\$1.16)

# PSC CASE NO. 2014-00051 RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST DATED AUGUST 7, 2014

WITNESS; John F. Patterson

Question 13 (a)

This question is addressed to all member cooperatives.

a. Provide the billing cycles and billing dates for each cycle in the month of July 2013.

Response 13 (a)

Taylor County RECC only bills 1 cycle per month, The cycle for July 2013 was run July 3, 2013.

Question 13 (b)

b. For each billing cycle in July 2013, provide the environmental surcharge factor that was billed to customers.

Response 13(b)

The surcharge factor was 14.00%.

# PSC CASE NO. 2014-00051 RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST DATED AUGUST 7, 2014

#### WITNESS; John F. Patterson

#### Question 26

This question is addressed to Taylor County. Refer to the response to Staff's IC Request, item 7, page 1 of 2. Provide the calculations and supporting documentation for the environmental surcharge factors of 13.34 percent and 12.39 percent.

	Res	nor	nse	26
--	-----	-----	-----	----

Refer to the TCRECC response to Staff's IC Request item 7 page 2 of 2. The 13.34 percent factor was calculated:

### Calculation A

Taylor County Revenue Requirement (Column 8) Nov-13	\$444,906
12 month ended Average Retail Revenue Net (Column 14)	= 13.34 \$3,334,235
The 12.39 percent factor was calculated:	
Calculation B	
Six (6) month spread	(\$31,917)
12 month ended Average Retail Revenue Net (Column 14)	= (.0095) \$3,334,235

Calculation A less Calculation B = 13.34% - .95% = 12.39%