Steven L. Beshear Governor

Leonard K. Peters Secretary Energy and Environment Cabinet



Commonwealth of Kentucky

Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
P.O. Box 615

Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

Telephone: (502) 564-3940
Fax: (502) 564-3460

David L. Armstrong Chairman

James W. Gardner Vice Chairman

> Linda Breathitt Commissioner

January 13, 2014

psc.ky.gov

## PARTIES OF RECORD

Re: Case No. 2013-00324

Attached is a copy of a memorandum which is being filed in the record of the above-referenced case. If you have any comments you would like to make regarding the contents of the memorandum concerning the January 6, 2014 Informal Conference, please do so within five days of receipt of this letter. If you have any questions, please contact Jonathan Beyer, Staff Attorney, at 502/782-2581.

ıtive Director

### **INTRA-AGENCY MEMORANDUM**

### **KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**TO:** Case File – Case No. 2013-00324

**FROM:** Jonathan Beyer, Staff Attorney

**DATE:** January 13, 2014

**RE:** Informal Conference of January 6, 2014

Pursuant to a Commission Staff notice, a telephonic informal conference was held in this matter on January 6, 2014. A list of attendees is attached to this memorandum.

Staff began the conference by electronically distributing the attached Excel spreadsheet. Staff referred to Taylor County RECC's ("Taylor County") response to Staff's Second Request for Information and questioned whether the correct customer charge had been utilized in calculating Item number 3. Taylor County indicated that the customer charge was incorrectly stated and should be \$9.82. Next, Taylor County confirmed that the six month over-recovery, amortized over six months in the amount of (\$7,168), is the company wide total amount and not limited to the residential customer amount. Staff questioned whether this amount should be allocated between the residential and non-residential customers, wherein Taylor County indicated that the only viable avenue to allocate would be on a purely percentage basis. Finally, Staff questioned Taylor County as to the methodology utilized in the calculation of the dollar impact the monthly over-recovery amount will have on the average residential customer's monthly bill. Taylor County stated that it did not dispute the methodology utilized by Staff in calculating the monthly impact on the average residential customer's bill of the over-recovery during this review period.

Staff requested that Taylor County submit a response addressing whether they agree/disagree with Staff's methodology and resulting calculation of the average residential bill impact of Taylor County's over-recovery within ten days of receipt of this memorandum.

Finding that no party had any further questions, the conference was adjourned.

# COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:	
AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF EAST KENTE POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. FOR THE TWO BILLING PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2013 AN PASS THROUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS SIX MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES	) JCKY ) D-YEAR ) CASE NO. ID THE ) 2013-00324
January 6, 2	013
Please sign in:	
NAME	REPRESENTING
Issue Scott	EKPE -via telephone
John Patherson	Taylor RECC -via telephone
Robert Spragers	Taylor RECC, coursel - via telephone
Jonathan, Beyer	PSC-Legal
Enol & Magner	PSC F-A
Roy Handajeake	PSC-FA
Gay Tennill	Shelly Enagy -via telephone

## Taylor County RECC P O Box 100 Campbellsville KY 42719 PSC Case No. 2013-00324

Ln <u>No</u>			<u>Amount</u>	Percent
1	Taylor County Pass Through Me	168)	13.04%	
2	Taylor County Pass Through Me		13.25%	
3	Difference (Ln 1 - Ln 2)		<u>-0.21%</u>	
	Taylor County June 2013 Reside Customer using 1,104 kWhs	ential Bill Calculation		
4 5 6	Customer Charge Energy Charge FAC Charge	(1,104 X \$0.0822) (1,104 X \$0.00115)	\$9.82 \$90.75 <u>\$1.27</u>	
7	Sub-Total Prior to ESC		\$101.84	
8	ESC Factor Difference (See Line	÷ 3)	<u>-0.21%</u>	
9	Change in Residential Customer	Bill Reflecting Adjustment	(\$0.21)	
10	Company's Response Staff Seco	ond Set, Item No. 3, page 2 of 2	(\$0.32)	
11	Difference (Ln 9 - Ln 10)		\$0.11	
12	Percent Difference (Ln 11/Ln 9)		<u>-52.38%</u>	

Page 4 of 4 Actual recovery

For the Month Ending August 2013

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		(8)		(9)	(10)		(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
l l				EKPC	On-peak	EKPC Net	EKPC 12-mon	KPC 12-months Taylor		Am	nortization	ion Taylor		Taylor	On-Peak	Taylor	12-months	Taylor
				Monthly	Revenue	Monthly	Ended Averag	je Re	evenue		of	Net Rever	ue	Total	Retail	Net Monthly	ended	Pass
Surcharge				Revenues from	Adjustment	Sales	Monthly Rever	Revenue Requireme		(Over)/Under Revenue		•	Monthly Retail	Revenue	Retail	Avg. Retail	Through	
Factor				Sales to		to	from Sales to	from Sales to		R	ecovery	ery Requirement		Revenues	Adjustment	Revenues	Revenues,	Mechanism
Expense	EKPC	EKPC	EKPC	Clark		Clark	Clark						ı			İ	Net	Factor
Month	CESF %	BESF %	MESF %															
L			Col. (1) - Col. (2)			Cal. (4) - Cal. (5)	-	Col (	(3) x Col (7)		Col (8) + Col (9)		(9)			Col. (11) - Col. (12)		Col (10) / Col (14)
															. 2			
Sep-11	12.01%	0.00%	12.01%		3,923	\$ 2,196,827			308,641	\$	(32,709)	\$ 275,9	32	\$ 2,781,948	\$ 3,923	\$ 2,778,025	\$ 3,324,449	8.28%
Oct-11	14.85%	0.00%	14.85%		2,848	\$ 2,091,337	-,		386,036	\$	(32,709)	\$ 353,3	27	\$ 2,781,948	\$ 2,848	\$ 2,779,100	\$ 3,346,316	10.63%
Nov-11	15.11%	0.00%	15.11%		3,438	\$ 2,443,229	\$ 2,632,8	51 \$	397,824	\$	(32,709)	\$ 365,1	15	\$ 2,745,745	\$ 3,438	\$ 2,742,307	\$ 3,348,892	10.91%
Dec-11	14.21%	0.00%	14.21%		3,450	\$ 2,879,725	\$ 2,582,9	SO \$	367,039	\$	(32,709)	\$ 334,3	30	\$ 3,007,181	\$ 3,450	\$ 3,003,731	\$ 3,241,391	9.98%
Jan-12	12.09%	0.00%	12.09%		3,128	\$ 3,051,081	\$ 2,537,0	92 \$	306,734	\$	-	\$ 306,7	34	\$ 3,901,788	\$ 3,128	\$ 3,898,660	\$ 3,181,178	9.46%
Feb-12	10.78%	0.00%	10.78%		3,193	\$ 2,681,824	\$ 2,516,7	39 \$	271,304	\$	-	\$ 271,3	04	\$ 3,986,472	\$ 3,193	\$ 3,983,279	\$ 3,186,889	8.53%
Mar-12	12.92%	0.00%	12.92%		3,001	\$ 2,141,280	\$ 2,493,8	39 \$	322,204	\$	-	\$ 322,2	04	\$ 3,441,759	\$ 3,001	\$ 3,438,758	\$ 3,200,251	10.11%
Apr-12	14.94%	0.00%	14.94%		2,789	\$ 1,855,624	\$ 2,480,9	26 \$	370,650	\$	-	\$ 370,6	50	\$ 2,794,528	\$ 2,789	\$ 2,791,739	\$ 3,220,748	11.58%
May-12	16.90%	0.00%	16.90%		4,155	\$ 2,200,549	\$ 2,489,4	37 \$	420,723	\$	-	\$ 420,7	23	\$ 2,544,169	\$ 4,155	\$ 2,540,014	\$ 3,205,127	13.06%
Jun-12	15.55%	0.00%	15.55%	\$ 2,466,073	4,304	\$ 2,461,769	\$ 2,481,4	<del>)</del> 1 \$	385,872	\$	-	\$ 385,8	72	\$ 2,819,636	\$ 4,304	\$ 2,815,332	\$ 3,208,874	12.04%
Jul-12	14.51%	0.00%	14.51%		5,401	\$ 2,876,908	\$ 2,474,6	90 \$	359,078	\$	-	\$ 359,0	78	\$ 3,052,470	\$ 5,401	\$ 3,047,069	\$ 3,119,484	11.19%
Aug-12	14.13%	0.00%	14.13%		4,072	\$ 2,523,066	\$ 2,450,26	8 \$	346,223	\$	-	\$ 346,2	23	\$ 3,800,820	\$ 4,072	\$ 3,796,748	\$ 3,134,564	11.10%
Sep-12	16.23%	0.00%	16.23%	, , , , , , , , ,	3,316	\$ 2,154,776	\$ 2,446,70	4 \$	397,110	\$	-	\$ 397,1	10	\$ 3,292,124	\$ 3,316	\$ 3,288,808	\$ 3,177,129	12.67%
Oct-12	17.57%	0.00%	17.57%		3,560	\$ 2,054,743	\$ 2,443,7	5 \$	429,361	\$	-	\$ 429,3	61	\$ 2,727,104	\$ 3,560	\$ 2,723,544	\$ 3,172,499	13.51%
Nov-12	18.23%	0.00%	18.23%	\$ 2,630,335	4,058	\$ 2,626,277	\$ 2,458,96	9 \$	448,270	\$	-	\$ 448,2	70	\$ 2,671,875	\$ 4,058	\$ 2,667,817	\$ 3,166,292	14.13%
Dec-12	14.61%	0.00%	14.61%		3,427	\$ 2,876,644	\$ 2,458,7	2 \$	359,218	\$	-	\$ 359,2	18	\$ 3,268,894	\$ 3,427	\$ 3,265,467	\$ 3,188,103	11.35%
Jan-13	13.4 <del>9</del> %	0.00%	13.49%	\$ 3,098,302	4,050	\$ 3,094,252	\$ 2,462,30	9 \$	332,165	\$	-	\$ 332,1	65	\$ 3,697,058	\$ 4,050	\$ 3,693,008	\$ 3,170,965	10.42%
Feb-13	12.61%	0.00%	12.61%	\$ 2,866,662	3,422	\$ 2,863,240	\$ 2,477,42	7 \$	312,404	\$	-	\$ 312,4	04	\$ 4,201,620	\$ 3,422	\$ 4,198,198	\$ 3,188,875	9.85%
Mar-13	14.37%	0.00%	14.37%	\$ 2,937,527	3,363	\$ 2,934,164	\$ 2,543,50	1 \$	365,501	\$	-	\$ 365,5	01	\$ 3,611,862	\$ 3,363	\$ 3,608,499	\$ 3,203,020	11.46%
Apr-13	14.27%	0.00%	14.27%	\$ 2,146,609	4,305	\$ 2,142,304	\$ 2,567,39	1 \$	366,367	\$	-	\$ 366,3	67	\$ 3,896,802	\$ 4,305	\$ 3,892,497	\$ 3,294,750	11.44%
May-13	17.97%	0.00%	17.97%	\$ 2,207,736	4,580	\$ 2,203,156	\$ 2,567,60	8 \$	461,399	\$	-	\$ 461,3	99	\$ 2,789,027	\$ 4,580	\$ 2,784,447	\$ 3,315,120	14.00%
Jun-13	17.09%	0.00%	17.09%	\$ 2,508,158	3,954	\$ 2,504,204	\$ 2,571,14	5 \$	439,409	\$	(7,168)	\$ 432,2	41	\$ 2,834,803	\$ 3,954	\$ 2,830,849	\$ 3,316,413	13.04%
Jul-13	15.77%	0.00%	15.77%	\$ 2,535,517	5,697	\$ 2,529,820	\$ 2,542,22	1 \$	400,908	\$	48,684	\$ 449,5	92	\$ 3,320,799	\$ 5,697	\$ 3,315,102	\$ 3,338,749	13.56%
Aug-13	15.49%	0.00%	15.49%	\$ 2,551,914	4,077	\$ 2,547,837	\$ 2,544,28	5 \$	394,110	\$	53,661	\$ 447,7	71	\$ 3,479,594	\$ 4,077	\$ 3,475,517	\$ 3,311,979	13.41%

Taylor County Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues.

Revenues reported in Columns (4) for September 2011 contained an error which has been corrected; the correction did not change the September Pass-through factor shown in Column 15.