

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

INVESTIGATION INTO THE PROPOSED WATER )  
PURCHASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN ) CASE NO. 2013-00252  
LOUISVILLE WATER COMPANY AND HARDIN )  
COUNTY WATER DISTRICT NO. 2 )

ORDER

On April 29, 2013, the Louisville Water Company (“Louisville Water”) filed through the Commission’s Tariff Filing System a copy of a contract between Louisville Water and Hardin County Water District No. 2 (“Hardin District No. 2”) dated March 19, 2013 (“Contract”). Under the terms of the Contract, Hardin District No. 2 is obligated to purchase a minimum of 60 million gallons of water annually from Louisville Water beginning in 2016. Hardin District No. 2’s minimum annual purchase obligations from Louisville Water increase each year until the minimum annual purchase is 365 million gallons of water effective January 1, 2021. The initial term of the Contract is 50 years.

The Contract also indicates that Hardin District No. 2 plans to construct an 11-mile, 24-inch diameter water transmission main from its existing Elizabethtown Loop water transmission main to the Louisville Water point of delivery near the Hardin-Bullitt County line. Hardin District No. 2 is required to file an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity for the proposed project pursuant to KRS 278.020(1). In an Order issued December 23, 1998, in Case No. 98-339,<sup>1</sup> the Commission held that

---

<sup>1</sup> Case No. 98-339, *Kentucky-American Water Company Special Contract with Louisville Water Company* (Ky. PSC Dec. 23, 1998).

a contract similar to the one at hand cannot be viewed in isolation without the companion certificate application.

The Commission initiated a proceeding on July 3, 2013, to investigate the reasonableness and lawfulness of the Contract and to determine whether the Contract was an evidence of indebtedness under KRS 278.300 requiring Commission approval prior to execution of the Contract. Pursuant to the Commission's July 3, 2013 Order, on August 19, 2013, Louisville Water and Hardin District No. 2 both filed memoranda regarding the applicability of KRS 278.300 to the Contract.

Louisville Water argues that the monthly service charge cannot be considered an evidence of indebtedness because nothing has been issued and no debt is being assumed.<sup>2</sup> Hardin District No. 2 also argues that nothing is being issued.<sup>3</sup> Hardin District No. 2 further argues that an evidence of indebtedness is a security and states that the terminology used in 807 KAR 5:001, Section 17,<sup>4</sup> supports that an evidence of indebtedness is a security.

807 KAR 5:001, Section 18(1), states:

An application for authority to issue securities, notes, bonds, stocks, *or other* evidences of indebtedness payable at periods of more than two (2) years from the date thereof shall contain. . . .

Hardin District No. 2 avers that the use of the term "other" indicates that the Commission also finds that "evidence of indebtedness" is a type of security.<sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> Louisville Water's Aug. 19, 2013 Brief at 4-7.

<sup>3</sup> Hardin District No. 2's Aug. 19, 2013 Brief at 8-9.

<sup>4</sup> 807 KAR 5:001 was amended effective January 3, 2014. Section 17 is now Section 18. The wording has been changed, but specific language referenced by Hardin District No. 2 remains.

<sup>5</sup> Hardin District No. 2's Aug. 19, 2013 Brief at 10-13.

The Commission thoroughly reviewed the various sections of KRS 278.300 to determine whether a contract with a minimum purchase clause is an evidence of indebtedness.

KRS 278.300(1) states:

No utility shall *issue* any securities or evidences of indebtedness, or assume any obligation or liability in respect to the securities or evidences of indebtedness of any other person until it has been authorized so to do by order of the commission.” (Emphasis added.)

Other sections of KRS 278.300 use the term *issue*. KRS 278.300(2) says, “Application for authority to *issue* . . . .” KRS 278.300(3) says, “The commission shall not approve any *issue* . . . .” KRS 278.300(6) says, “Securities and evidences of indebtedness *issued* . . . .” KRS 278.300(7) says, “The commission may require periodical or special reports from the utility *issuing* . . . .” KRS 278.300(8) says, “This section does not apply to notes *issued* . . . .” KRS 278.300(9) says, “Nothing in this section limits the power of any court having jurisdiction to authorize or cause receiver’s certification or debenture to be *issued* . . . .” KRS 278.300(10) says, “This section does not apply in any instance where the *issuance* . . . .” KRS 278.300(11) says, “This section also does not apply to the *issuance* . . . .”

KRS Chapter 278 does not define the term “*issue*”. Entering into a contract to purchase water or any other product is not generally considered an issuance by either the seller or purchaser. Black’s Law Dictionary contains several definitions for the term *issue*, including “[a] class or series of securities that are simultaneously offered for sale” and “[t]o send out or distribute officially.” Under commercial law, *issue* is defined as

“[t]he first delivery of a negotiable instrument by its maker or holder.”<sup>6</sup> None of the definitions indicate that an *issuance* occurs when parties enter into a contract for the purchase of a product not involving a document of title. The Contract at hand involves the supply and purchase of water, not the issuance of securities or delivery of a negotiable instrument.

As listed in KRS 278.300, an evidence of indebtedness means something different from a security. If evidence of indebtedness and security were synonymous, only one of the terms would be necessary. Because both terms are used, the terms cannot be synonymous; however, the term *issue* refers to both evidence of indebtedness and security. The term *issue* cannot mean one thing for the term *security* and something else for the term *evidence of indebtedness*.

Both the term *security* and *evidence of indebtedness* involve some form of financing arrangement. That both terms involve some form of financing arrangement is evident in KRS 278.300(3) which states:

The commission shall not approve any issue or assumption unless, after investigation of the purposes and uses of the proposed issue and the *proceeds* thereof, or of the proposed assumption of obligation or liability, the commission finds that the issue or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of the utility, is necessary or appropriate for or consistent with the proper performance by the utility of its service to the public and will not impair its ability to perform that service, and is reasonably necessary and appropriate for such purpose. (Emphasis added.)

Generally, a contract to purchase a product would not result in *proceeds*. Black’s Law Dictionary defines *proceeds* as:

---

<sup>6</sup> Black’s Law Dictionary 907-908 (9<sup>th</sup> Ed. 2009).

1. The value of land, goods, or investments when converted into money; the amount of money received from a sale <the proceeds are subject to attachment>. 2. Something received upon selling, exchanging, collecting, or otherwise disposing of collateral. UCC § 9–102(a)(67). • Proceeds differ from other types of collateral because they constitute any collateral that has changed in form. For example, if a farmer borrows money and gives the creditor a security interest in the harvest, the harvested wheat is collateral. If the farmer then exchanges the harvest for a tractor, the tractor becomes the proceeds of the wheat.<sup>7</sup>

While the term “proceeds” does not necessarily mean only cash or money, the term “*proceeds*” does not apply to a contract containing a minimum purchase clause for the purchase of water. Louisville Water and Hardin District No. 2 are not selling property or converting money into other property.

KRS 278.300(7) also implies that a contract with a minimum purchase clause is not an evidence of indebtedness.

The commission may require periodical or special reports from the utility issuing any security or evidence of indebtedness. The report shall show, in such detail as the commission requires, the disposition made of such securities or evidences of indebtedness, and the application of the proceeds thereof.

The term “*proceeds*” is again used. As already stated, the term “*proceeds*” does not apply to a contract containing a minimum purchase clause for the purchase of water.

Having considered Louisville Water’s and Hardin District No. 2’s briefs, the Contract, and having carefully reviewed the language set forth in KRS 278.300, the Commission finds that:

---

<sup>7</sup> Black’s Law Dictionary 1325 (9<sup>th</sup> Ed. 2009).

1. All contracts and amendments to contracts for the sale for resale of water by a city-owned utility to a Commission-regulated water utility are subject to the Commission's jurisdiction pursuant to KRS 278.200 and are required to be filed with the Commission.

2. Any minimum purchase requirements or minimum service charges for the sale for resale of water in a contract by a city-owned utility to a Commission-regulated water utility may be investigated for reasonableness at the time of filing or upon complaint.

3. All rates, terms, and conditions for the sale of water by a Commission-regulated utility to another Commission-regulated utility are subject to the Commission's jurisdiction pursuant to KRS 278.030 and 278.040.

4. Any minimum purchase requirements or minimum service charges for the sale of water by a Commission-regulated utility to another Commission-regulated utility may be investigated for reasonableness at the time of filing or upon complaint.

5. Contracts, amendments to contracts, or tariffs containing minimum purchase requirements or minimum service charges for the sale or purchase of water should not be considered evidences of indebtedness.

6. Hardin District No. 2 must apply for and be granted a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity prior to commencing construction of an 11-mile, 24-inch diameter water transmission main from its existing Elizabethtown Loop water

transmission main to the Louisville Water point of delivery near the Hardin-Bullitt County line.

7. The contract dated March 19, 2013, for the supply of water at wholesale by Louisville Water to Hardin District No. 2 should be approved contingent on Hardin District No. 2's submission of an application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity and the Commission's granting of the Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Contracts and amendments to contracts for the sale for resale of water by a city-owned utility to a Commission-regulated water utility shall be filed by the seller with the Commission pursuant to KRS 278.200, but the Commission-regulated water utility need not obtain approval as an evidence of indebtedness under KRS 278.300 of any minimum purchase requirements or minimum service charges in such a contract or amendment.


2. In the future, when Hardin District No. 2 enters into a contract with a city-owned water utility that requires Hardin District No. 2 to apply for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity, Hardin District No. 2 shall file with the Commission the contract for approval along with the application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity.

3. Hardin District No. 2 shall file an application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity prior to commencing construction of an 11-mile, 24-inch diameter water transmission main from its existing Elizabethtown Loop water

transmission main to the Louisville Water point of delivery near the Hardin-Bullitt County line.

4. The contract dated May 15, 2012, for the supply of water at wholesale by Louisville Water to Hardin District No. 2 is approved contingent on Hardin District No. 2's filing of an application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity and the Commission's granting of the Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity.

By the Commission



ATTEST:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Executive Director



Edward T Depp  
Dinsmore & Shohl, LLP  
101 South Fifth Street  
Suite 2500  
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

Barbara K Dickens  
Louisville Water Company  
550 S. Third Street  
Louisville, KY 40202

James Jeffries  
General Manager  
Hardin County Water District #2  
P. O. Box 970  
Elizabethtown, KY 42702-0970

Honorable Damon R Talley  
Attorney at Law  
P.O. Box 150  
Hodgenville, KENTUCKY 42748-0150