

Mr. Jeff DeRouen Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

RECEIVED

MAY 1 1 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

LG&E and KU Energy LLC State Regulation and Rates 220 West Main Street PO Box 32010 Louisville, Kentucky 40232 www.lge-ku.com

Rick E. Lovekamp Manager – Regulatory Affairs T 502-627-3780 F 502-627-3213 rick.lovekamp@lge-ku.com

May 11, 2012

Re: An Investigation of the Reliability Measures of Kentucky's

Jurisdictional Electric Distribution Utilities;

Case No. 2011-00450

Dear Mr. DeRouen:

Enclosed please find an original and ten copies of Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Kentucky Utilities Company Testimony of Paul Gregory ("Greg") Thomas, in the above-referenced proceeding.

Should you have any questions please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Rick E. Lovekamp

cc: Parties of Record

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In	the	Matter	of.
888		1 V S 2 1 E E E E E	tpu.

AN INVESTIGATION OF THE RELIABILITY)	
MEASURES OF KENTUCKY'S)	CASE NO. 2011-00450
JURISDICTIONAL ELECTRIC)	
DISTRIBUTION UTILITIES)	

TESTIMONY OF PAUL GREGORY "GREG" THOMAS VICE PRESIDENT, ENERGY DELIVERY - DISTRIBUTION OPERATIONS LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY AND KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

Filed: May 11, 2012

- 1 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 2 A. My name is Paul Gregory "Greg" Thomas. I am currently employed as Vice President,
- 3 Energy Delivery Distribution Operations for LG&E and KU Services Company, which
- 4 provides services to Louisville Gas and Electric Company ("LG&E") and Kentucky
- 5 Utilities Company ("KU") (collectively, the "Companies"). My business address is 220
- West Main Street, Louisville, Kentucky 40202. A complete statement of my education
- and work experience is attached to this testimony as Appendix A.
- 8 Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission?
- 9 A. Yes, I testified in Case No. 2006-00494, In the Matter of: An Investigation of the
- 10 Reliability Measures of Kentucky's Jurisdictional Electric Distribution Utilities and
- 11 Certain Reliability Maintenance Practices.
- 12 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in these proceedings?
- 13 A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide the Companies' recommendations concerning
- proposed changes to the Commission's current reporting practices and procedures.
- 15 Q. Do the Companies support developing an online report completion and submission
- system?
- 17 A. Yes. The Companies believe all jurisdictional utilities would benefit from the
- development of an online distribution system reliability report completion and
- submission system. Such a system would reduce paperwork and decrease the lag
- between the time utilities generate data and supply it to the Commission.
- 21 If the Commission determines to develop such a system, the Companies believe
- 22 automatically populating five prior years of comparable data will increase the usefulness
- of the reports by providing the Commission with relevant system history. Rather than

comparing a given year's performance to a possibly unrelated benchmark, the Commission would be able to tell at a glance whether a utility's metrics are trending in a positive or negative direction, and would be able to discern quickly years that are clear outliers. Such an approach would also be superior to using a five-year average as a benchmark because it mitigates the impact of weather-related and other abnormal events that can skew averages, even multi-year averages.

0.

A.

In light of the Companies' support for an online report completion and filing process, do the Companies see any value in posting the reported information online?

No. We believe posting any System Average Interruption Frequency Index ("SAIFI"), System Average Interruption Duration Index ("SAIDI"), Customer Average Interruption Duration Index ("CAIDI"), or comparable data will serve only to confuse, not to inform, customers. Customers are unlikely to understand such posted information or the methods used to compute it, and posting circuit-level data may give rise to more customer confusion and inquiries than would be justified by the few customers who might find the information genuinely useful.

Moreover, the Companies' experience is that customers are less interested in system performance than in ensuring their own service interruptions are resolved quickly and that they receive timely, relevant information concerning any interruptions they experience. For these reasons, the Companies have posted online outage maps and created an outage application for mobile devices. These information sources help customers understand the severity and scope of outages that may be affecting them, as well as expected restoration times.

For customers who are interested in reliability-related information, the Companies

provide online resources for customers to obtain basic vegetation management information, storm response information, and power quality and reliability information.

Also, the Companies respond to discrete customer inquiries pertaining to their own outage history.

Q.

A.

If the Commission does determine to post utilities' distribution system reliability report online, the Companies strongly recommend that any such information be accompanied by explanatory information and any other information necessary to place the reported information in context. Such information may help to minimize customer confusion (e.g., five-year averages or five years of annual history).

Do the Companies support reporting on circuit-level data other than the ten worstperforming circuits for each utility?

No, the Companies do not support reporting on the circuit level for anything other than the ten worst-performing circuits (e.g., for circuits performing worse than system average or for what actions are to be taken for each such circuit) due to the administrative burden such reporting would impose on the Companies and the Commission. As I noted above, the Companies maintain data on, and calculate reliability metrics for, over 1,700 Kentucky-jurisdictional circuits. Every year, over 850 circuits statistically could perform better than the average for each kind of statistic measured, and over 850 circuits statistically may not perform as well as the average for that year. Such information does not necessarily communicate much, if anything, about the overall reliability of any given circuit or the system as a whole. And particularly at the circuit level, reliability statistics can be dramatically skewed by discrete events. Therefore, the Companies do not believe there would be any value in reporting at the circuit level beyond what is already required,

and posting any such data could lead to a high level of customer confusion.

Concerning the currently required ten-worst-performing-circuits report, the Companies recommend excluding uncontrollable events (e.g., fires, vehicles, public interference, and dig-ins) from the determination of the reported metrics. We believe this would improve the quality of the reporting by helping to identify truly difficult circuits rather than circuits that may simply have had an unusually bad year due to discrete uncontrollable events.

Q. What is your overall recommendation to the Commission?

I recommend that the Commission keep the current reporting requirements in place while enhancing the ease and speed of reporting by implementing an online report completion and submission system, which the Companies would be glad to assist the Commission to develop. I further recommend that the Commission improve the quality and usefulness of the ten-worst-performing-circuits report by excluding uncontrollable events from the calculation of the reliability statistics. These small changes to the Commission's already adequate distribution reliability reporting requirements should help to enhance the value of the reports to the Commission while helping to reduce the administrative burden of such reporting on the electric distribution utilities.

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

19 A. Yes.

A.

VERIFICATION

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)	
)	SS:
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)	

The undersigned, **Paul Gregory "Greg" Thomas**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Vice President, Energy Delivery – Distribution Operations for Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company and an employee of LG&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing testimony, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

Paul Gregory "Greg" Thomas

Notary Public (SEAL)

My Commission Expires:

SHERI L. GARDNER
Notary Public, State at Large, KY
My commission expires Dec. 24, 2013

APPENDIX A

Paul Gregory (Greg) Thomas

Vice President Energy Delivery-Distribution Operations LG&E and KU Services Company 820 West Broadway Louisville, KY 40202 (502) 627-4743

Education

University of Tennessee, B.A. in Mechanical Engineering, 1979

Previous Positions

LG&E Energy Services Inc. 2003 - 2007 - Director Energy Delivery

Kentucky Utilities 2000-2003 - Director Distribution Operations

Kentucky Utilities 1997-2000 - Regional General Manager

Kentucky Utilities 1994-1997 - Division Vice President

Kentucky Utilities 1992-1994 - Lexington District Manager

Kentucky Utilities 1992 - Division Engineer

Kentucky Utilities 1990 - 1992 Field Operations Coordinator

Kentucky Utilities 1989 - 1990 Local Manager

Kentucky Utilities 1986 - 1989 Customer Service Engineer

Kentucky Utilities 1980 - 1986 Technical Engineer Substations