

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES)	
COMPANY FOR CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC)	CASE NO.
CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY AND)	2011-00161
APPROVAL OF ITS 2011 COMPLIANCE PLAN)	
FOR RECOVERY BY ENVIRONMENTAL)	
SURCHARGE)	

APPLICATION OF LOUISVILLE GAS AND)	
ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR CERTIFICATES OF)	CASE NO.
PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY AND)	2011-00162
APPROVAL OF ITS 2011 COMPLIANCE PLAN)	
FOR RECOVERY BY ENVIRONMENTAL)	
SURCHARGE)	

O R D E R

On October 21, 2011, a joint motion was filed in these unconsolidated cases by the Sierra Club and the National Resources Defense Council (collectively "Movants") requesting authority to take the depositions of three witnesses sponsored by the applicants, Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company ("KU/LG&E"). The Movants, along with certain named individuals, were collectively granted status as intervenors in Case Nos. 2011-00161 and 2011-00162.

The motion states that authorizing the request to take depositions will simplify and clarify the issues in these cases, thereby saving time and resources of the Commission and the parties. The motion also states that while KU/LG&E did respond to written discovery requests submitted by the Movants, the restricted nature of that discovery hinders their ability to fully understand certain of the issues and it would be

more efficient to explore those issues by way of depositions rather than at the hearing. Further, the motion claims that taking depositions will help to eliminate the element of surprise that might occur at the hearing if the KU/LG&E witnesses were to provide new information or support for their positions. Finally, the motion notes that since KU/LG&E will be filing rebuttal testimony, depositions will allow Movants to explore the bases and assumptions underlying that testimony.


KU/LG&E filed a response on October 26, 2011 objecting to the motion. KU/LG&E state that depositions are not needed when the witnesses sought to be deposed have filed testimony and will be available at hearing commencing on November 9, 2011 where all parties will have the opportunity to cross-examine them. KU/LG&E also state that with the significant amount of data they have already filed in response to discovery, along with their prepared testimony, there can be no basis for Movants to claim surprise on any issue and it would be inefficient for the parties to convene twice within two weeks to examine the same witnesses. Finally, KU/LG&E state that the motion is untimely, the procedural schedules established for these cases did not provide for the taking of depositions, and that any request for depositions should have been made months ago during the discovery stage of these cases.

Based on the motion and being otherwise sufficiently advised, the Commission finds that while depositions have been authorized on limited prior occasions, depositions were only allowed during the discovery phase and based on a finding that written information requests were insufficient to enable a party to adequately present a claim or a defense. Here, the three witnesses sought to be deposed have each filed prepared direct testimony, they have been subject to two rounds of requests for


information, and they recently filed prepared rebuttal testimony. The Movants have already filed their prepared direct testimony, and they too have been subject to requests for information. The Movants have not shown good cause for the need for additional discovery by way of depositions at this late stage of the proceedings. Rather, taking depositions now would most likely result in issues being tried and witnesses being cross-examined outside the presence of the Commission. As the trier of fact and decision maker, it is imperative that the issues in this case be heard before the Commission.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Movants' joint request to take the depositions of three KU/LG&E witnesses is denied.

By the Commission



ATTEST:



Executive Director

Case No. 2011-00161
Case No. 2011-00162

Lonnie Bellar
Vice President, State Regulation & Rates
Kentucky Utilities Company
220 W. Main Street
P. O. Box 32010
Louisville, KY 40232-2010

Honorable Dennis G Howard II
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General Utility & Rate
1024 Capital Center Drive
Suite 200
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

Honorable Leslye M Bowman
Director of Litigation
Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government
Department Of Law
200 East Main Street
Lexington, KENTUCKY 40507

Honorable Michael L Kurtz
Attorney at Law
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
36 East Seventh Street
Suite 1510
Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

David Brown
Stites & Harbison, PLLC
1800 Providian Center
400 West Market Street
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

Honorable Kendrick R Riggs
Attorney at Law
Stoll Keenon Ogden, PLLC
2000 PNC Plaza
500 W Jefferson Street
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202-2828

Joe F Childers
Getty & Childers
1900 Lexington Financial Center
250 West Main Street
Lexington, KENTUCKY 40507

Honorable Iris G Skidmore
415 W. Main Street
Suite 2
Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601

Robert M Conroy
Director, Rates
Kentucky Utilities Company
220 W. Main Street
P. O. Box 32010
Louisville, KY 40202

Allyson K Sturgeon
Senior Corporate Attorney
LG&E and KU Services Company
220 West Main Street
Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

Shannon Fisk
Senior Attorney
Natural Resources Defense Council
2 N. Riverside Plaza, Suite 2250
Chicago, ILLINOIS 60660

Edward George Zuger, III
Zuger Law Office PLLC
P.O. Box 728
Corbin, KENTUCKY 40702

Kristin Henry
Staff Attorney
Sierra Club
85 Second Street
San Francisco, CALIFORNIA 94105