

June 22, 2011

Jeff De Rouen
Ky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd
Frankfort Ky 40601

RECEIVED

JUN 22 2011

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

Re: Case # 2011-00161

I request full intervention to present issues or to develop facts that I believe the Commission should consider as to why the necessity and approval of KU's request for recovery by surcharge should not be allowed to their extent.

That recovery costs already included in existing rates will be compromised is a fact.

Recovery of costs that are not already included in existing rates will be double jeopardy since KU has stated "New pollution control facilities" is actually just an amendment and modification to Project 29.

A reasonable return on compliance related to Capital expenditures is a special interest since the Base rate increase 2009-00548 was 10.6% return on Common equity and yet KU states "maximum increase of 12.2%" is a special interest to all ratepayers and the Commission failed us by allowing previous increases to be achieved by KU

I, as a ratepayer, have not been adequately represented by the Commission because the Commission

Page 2

has repeatedly held that "ratepayer's general interest as a customer is not a special interest warranting intervention". Who else pays their bills.

I am a bookkeeper in my expertise and the principals of ratemaking and cost recovery is somewhat related. I know how to count and calculate. Existing rates are too high as they admit "Other than this factual assertion" KU is excessive in their request for these high surcharges and thus so stated.

I am an interested "Special Interest" self-represented intervenor with "Complications and disruption" intended to stop excessive rate surcharges by a huge foreign owned ^{Pennsylvania} company who is not representing consideration to all Kentuckians.

These compliance plan surcharges are excessive and full intervention for me to pursue is requested wholly.

Frances Jarvis
820 Tyrone Pike #1
Versailles Ky 40383