

### RECEIVED

JUN 1 2 2008

PUBLIC SERVICE

COMMISSION

June 12, 2008

HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Stephanie L. Stumbo Executive Director Public Service Commission Post Office Box 615 211 Sower Boulevard Frankfort, KY 40602

Re: Case No. 2008-00115

Dear Ms. Stumbo:

Please find enclosed for filing with the Commission in the above-referenced case an original and six copies of the responses of East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc., to the Commission Staff and the Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc., second data requests, dated May 29, 2008.

Very truly yours,

Charles A. Lile Corporate Counsel

Enclosures

Cc: Michael L. Kurtz, Esq. Kurt J. Boehm, Esq.

hav a. Lile

Lane Kollen

#### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF EAST KENTUCKY	)
POWER COOPERATIVE, INC., FOR	) CASE NO. 2008-00115
APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO ITS	)
ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE PLAN	)
AND ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE	)

RESPONSES TO SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS OF KENTUCKY INDUSTRIAL UTILITY CUSTOMERS, INC. TO EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. DATED MAY 29, 2008

## EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. PSC CASE NO. 2008-00115 RESPONSES TO KIUC SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS

KIUC'S SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS DATED 05/29/08 REQUEST 1

RESPONSIBLE PERSON: Ann F. Wood/James C. Lamb, Jr.

COMPANY: East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

Request 1. Please provide the following information for each EKPC member Coop, monthly, for 2007:

- a. MWh purchased from EKPC at wholesale
- b. monthly Coop peak MW demands coincident with the EKPC monthly system peak, associated with purchases from EKPC.
- c. monthly energy related revenues associated with Coop purchases from EKPC.
- d. monthly capacity or demand related revenues associated with Coop purchases from EKPC.
- e. total monthly revenues associated with Coop purchases from EKPC, as used in the current development of the allocation of the environmental surcharge.

**Response 1.** The requested information is included on the Attachment to this response.

KIUC Request 1 Attachment Page 1 of 8

January 2007				
	MW	MWh	MW Rev.	MWh Rev
	(1.b)	(1.a)	(1.d)	(1.c)
Big Sandy RECC	68	29,551	\$355,994	\$901,659
Blue Grass Energy	302	127,717	\$1,587,199	\$3,841,919
Clark Energy Coop	117	47,320	\$609,908	\$1,443,664
Cumberland Valley Electric	132	55,417	\$687,625	\$1,690,890
Farmers RECC	117	52,800	\$611,955	\$1,595,303
Fleming-Mason RECC	232	112,449	\$1,058,076	\$3,291,919
Grayson RECC	66	28,614	\$345,268	\$869,712
Inter-County ECC	133	51,499	\$696,310	\$1,557,762
Jackson Energy	266	105,770	\$1,393,643	\$3,206,227
Licking Valley RECC	66	29,674	\$346,320	\$905,879
Nolin RECC	184	79,492	\$968,188	\$2,347,065
Owen EC	381	204,038	\$1,812,536	\$5,702,628
Salt River RECC	221	100,797	\$1,157,762	\$3,050,284
Shelby Energy RECC	90	44,667	\$478,367	\$1,322,654
South Kentucky RECC	335	127,884	\$1,759,377	\$3,860,198
Taylor County RECc	136	58,129	\$662,386	\$1,984,609
Totals	2,847	1,255,818	\$14,530,914	\$37,572,372
Green Power				\$9,243
Total		-		\$37,581,615

February 2007				
	MW	MWh	MW Rev.	MWh Rev.
	(1,b)	(1.a)	(1.d)	(1.c)
Big Sandy RECC	69	31,698	\$358,720	\$964,571
Blue Grass Energy	312	138,432	\$1,638,430	\$4,161,997
Clark Energy Coop	121	52,847	\$631,098	\$1,607,698
Cumberland Valley Electric	122	56,765	\$636,699	\$1,728,899
Farmers RECC	119	53,737	\$620,772	\$1,621,351
Fleming-Mason RECC	240	110,221	\$1,100,841	\$3,242,366
Grayson RECC	73	32,036	\$383,337	\$971,352
Inter-County ECC	141	55,573	\$738,625	\$1,679,038
Jackson Energy	255	110,962	\$1,332,587	\$3,361,064
Licking Valley RECC	72	32,156	\$373,872	\$978,937
Nolin RECC	192	83,127	\$1,005,108	\$2,457,920
Owen EC	411	200,153	\$2,019,324	\$6,104,314
Salt River RECC	238	106,458	\$1,247,145	\$3,218,399
Shelby Energy RECC	100	47,299	\$530,787	\$1,403,923
South Kentucky RECC	328	134,232	\$1,722,872	\$4,044,799
Taylor County RECc	136	57,982	\$658,263	\$2,096,898
Totals	2,928	1,303,678	\$14,998,480	\$39,643,526
Green Power				\$9,441
Total				\$39,652,967

KIUC Request 1 Attachment Page 2 of 8

March 2007				
	MW	MWh	MW Rev.	MWh Rev.
	(1.b)	(1.a)	(1.d)	(1.c)
Big Sandy RECC	54	22,208	\$280,914	\$676,985
Blue Grass Energy	234	101,257	\$1,228,324	\$3,030,370
Clark Energy Coop	90	36,795	\$468,271	\$1,122,109
Cumberland Valley Electric	107	42,501	\$558,352	\$1,296,515
Farmers RECC	89	40,328	\$468,524	\$1,214,392
Fleming-Mason RECC	210	107,542	\$949,418	\$3,240,906
Grayson RECC	52	22,873	\$269,748	\$693,011
Inter-County ECC	91	37,365	\$476,351	\$1,126,968
Jackson Energy	206	78,652	\$1,066,139	\$2,379,966
Licking Valley RECC	55	22,522	\$287,310	\$687,254
Nolin RECC	141	64,294	\$739,176	\$1,880,014
Owen EC	349	183,990	\$1,588,090	\$4,866,017
Salt River RECC	176	80,753	\$919,860	\$2,438,838
Shelby Energy RECC	78	38,012	\$413,452	\$1,114,986
South Kentucky RECC	253	93,960	\$1,334,317	\$2,826,681
Taylor County RECc	107	44,016	\$510,898	\$1,567,646
Totals	2,292	1,017,068	\$11,559,144	\$30,162,658
Green Power	<del>-</del>			\$9,178
Total				\$30,171,836

April 2007				
	MW	MWh	MW Rev.	MWh Rev.
	(1.b)	(1.a)	(1.d)	(1.c)
Big Sandy RECC	49	19,857	\$256,677	\$638,048
Blue Grass Energy	217	93,296	\$1,161,032	\$2,913,908
Clark Energy Coop	84	33,897	\$440,943	\$1,090,153
Cumberland Valley Electric	92	39,583	\$478,100	\$1,272,232
Farmers RECC	81	38,253	\$432,311	\$1,204,995
Fleming-Mason RECC	200	95,193	\$973,389	\$2,952,694
Grayson RECC	51	20,177	\$270,808	\$643,792
Inter-County ECC	97	34,509	\$515,903	\$1,090,408
Jackson Energy	193	73,189	\$1,018,540	\$2,324,448
Licking Valley RECC	54	20,633	\$283,456	\$663,582
Nolin RECC	134	60,317	\$722,016	\$1,832,812
Owen EC	327	163,026	\$1,559,383	\$4,498,167
Salt River RECC	164	74,861	\$871,867	\$2,372,308
Shelby Energy RECC	73	35,799	\$401,449	\$1,084,134
South Kentucky RECC	230	89,532	\$1,222,035	\$2,820,305
Taylor County RECc	96	42,849	\$456,464	\$1,622,389
Totals	2,143	934,971	\$11,064,373	\$29,024,375
Green Power				\$9,185
Total				\$29,033,560

KIUC Request 1 Attachment Page 3 of 8

May 2007				
	MW	MWh	MW Rev	MWh Rev
	(1.b)	(1.a)	(1.d)	(1.c)
Big Sandy RECC	43	18,638	\$225,040	\$619,857
Blue Grass Energy	210	93,911	\$1,162,439	\$3,007,491
Clark Energy Coop	74	32,541	\$386,396	\$1,081,979
Cumberland Valley Electric	83	37,398	\$435,293	\$1,242,427
Farmers RECC	77	39,416	\$413,417	\$1,274,476
Fleming-Mason RECC	194	96,833	\$943,637	\$3,099,597
Grayson RECC	44	19,258	\$234,694	\$633,681
Inter-County ECC	76	31,758	\$405,439	\$1,030,929
Jackson Energy	150	67,531	\$795,391	\$2,189,821
Licking Valley RECC	44	19,280	\$229,278	\$640,909
Nolin RECC	126	61,447	\$681,215	\$1,912,762
Owen EC	360	166,138	\$1,823,251	\$4,584,687
Salt River RECC	183	79,967	\$970,591	\$2,612,605
Shelby Energy RECC	74	36,178	\$407,482	\$1,113,374
South Kentucky RECC	189	85,289	\$1,014,524	\$2,763,618
Taylor County RECc	89	43,102	\$418,306	\$1,694,260
Totals	2,016	928,685	\$10,546,393	\$29,502,473
Green Power				\$9,187
Total		·		\$29,511,660

June 2007				
	MW	MWh	MW Rev.	MWh Rev.
	(1.b)	(1.a)_	(1.d)	(1.c)
Big Sandy RECC	49	20,416	\$253,597	\$683,386
Blue Grass Energy	204	103,719	\$1,109,403	\$3,345,723
Clark Energy Coop	78	36,062	\$407,210	\$1,204,640
Cumberland Valley Electric	81	40,164	\$424,152	\$1,340,978
Farmers RECC	91	44,923	\$482,619	\$1,462,368
Fleming-Mason RECC	198	99,842	\$954,494	\$3,261,980
Grayson RECC	46	20,731	\$241,475	\$687,034
Inter-County ECC	81	36,085	\$436,042	\$1,181,839
Jackson Energy	158	73,659	\$836,679	\$2,407,039
Licking Valley RECC	49	20,879	\$254,277	\$698,712
Nolin RECC	136	66,533	\$735,659	\$2,098,356
Owen EC	383	182,594	\$1,956,797	\$5,196,998
Salt River RECC	185	91,677	\$979,920	\$3,010,527
Shelby Energy RECC	79	39,415	\$440,567	\$1,223,138
South Kentucky RECC	208	93,924	\$1,111,356	\$3,061,216
Taylor County RECc	99	45,237	\$474,756	\$1,703,247
Totals	2,124	1,015,860	\$11,099,003	\$32,567,181
Green Power				\$9,265
Total				\$32,576,446

KIUC Request 1 Attachment Page 4 of 8

July 2007				
	MW	MWh	MW Rev.	MWh Rev.
	(1.b)	(1.a)	(1.d)	(1.c)
Big Sandy RECC	48	21,893	\$253,112	\$732,742
Blue Grass Energy	233	108,931	\$1,252,193	\$3,522,364
Clark Energy Coop	83	38,662	\$435,459	\$1,292,460
Cumberland Valley Electric	87	42,511	\$451,917	\$1,420,083
Farmers RECC	94	47,146	\$500,913	\$1,538,283
Fleming-Mason RECC	201	101,026	\$973,876	\$3,310,641
Grayson RECC	50	22,016	\$261,881	\$730,434
Inter-County ECC	87	38,706	\$461,758	\$1,269,471
Jackson Energy	167	77,878	\$881,523	\$2,573,724
Licking Valley RECC	50	22,585	\$261,142	\$756,122
Nolin RECC	140	69,988	\$753,652	\$2,216,344
Owen EC	393	184,048	\$2,020,981	\$5,114,847
Salt River RECC	203	96,502	\$1,074,370	\$3,176,585
Shelby Energy RECC	81	40,674	\$449,173	\$1,266,258
South Kentucky RECC	211	101,889	\$1,127,437	\$3,327,487
Taylor County RECc	102	48,592	\$485,805	\$1,795,159
Totals	2,229	1,063,047	\$11,645,192	\$34,043,004
Green Power				\$9,191
Total				\$34,052,195

August 2007				
	MW	MWh	MW Rev.	MWh Rev.
	(1.b)	(1.a)	(1.d)	(1.c)
Big Sandy RECC	55	25,162	\$287,831	\$997,450
Blue Grass Energy	259	129,549	\$1,395,544	\$4,994,288
Clark Energy Coop	95	45,517	\$494,092	\$1,801,483
Cumberland Valley Electric	105	51,176	\$545,802	\$2,025,936
Farmers RECC	105	56,564	\$558,238	\$2,195,139
Fleming-Mason RECC	202	102,424	\$986,163	\$3,784,317
Grayson RECC	56	25,864	\$292,554	\$1,017,549
Inter-County ECC	97	45,827	\$514,842	\$1,793,273
Jackson Energy	189	93,583	\$997,642	\$3,672,550
Licking Valley RECC	57	26,204	\$297,019	\$1,038,914
Nolin RECC	161	82,041	\$865,247	\$3,110,885
Owen EC	417	215,880	\$2,183,361	\$7,960,457
Salt River RECC	233	114,881	\$1,232,961	\$4,490,295
Shelby Energy RECC	90	47,614	\$496,404	\$1,782,356
South Kentucky RECC	239	120,166	\$1,271,834	\$4,671,594
Taylor County RECc	113	55,672	\$542,078	\$2,423,729
Totals	2,471	1,238,124	\$12,961,612	\$47,760,215
Green Power				\$9,281
Total				\$47,769,496

KIUC Request 1 Attachment Page 5 of 8

September 2007				
	MW	MWh	MW Rev.	MWh Rev.
	(1.b)	(1.a)	(1.d)	(1.c)
Big Sandy RECC	45	19,157	\$232,331	\$757,133
Blue Grass Energy	234	100,380	\$1,266,904	\$3,847,077
Clark Energy Coop	83	33,466	\$432,086	\$1,322,712
Cumberland Valley Electric	90	38,743	\$470,320	\$1,529,719
Farmers RECC	90	42,591	\$480,298	\$1,642,753
Fleming-Mason RECC	192	92,971	\$932,791	\$3,351,905
Grayson RECC	47	19,802	\$249,405	\$774,905
Inter-County ECC	85	33,786	\$450,305	\$1,313,006
Jackson Energy	166	71,271	\$879,494	\$2,774,425
Licking Valley RECC	48	19,862	\$251,286	\$784,853
Nolin RECC	142	64,394	\$767,078	\$2,415,660
Owen EC	398	185,653	\$2,061,087	\$6,213,615
Salt River RECC	205	85,929	\$1,088,521	\$3,344,575
Shelby Energy RECC	83	38,429	\$460,735	\$1,425,058
South Kentucky RECC	212	90,192	\$1,131,192	\$3,489,639
Taylor County RECc	98	41,258	\$476,416	\$1,698,371
Totals	2,218	977,884	\$11,630,249	\$36,685,406
Green Power				\$9,326
Total				\$36,694,732

October 2007				
	MW	MWh	MW Rev	MWh Rev
	(1.b)	(1.a)	(1.d)	(1.c)
Big Sandy RECC	37	18,174	\$195,562	\$696,495
Blue Grass Energy	211	90,404	\$1,141,774	\$3,371,048
Clark Energy Coop	71	30,338	\$369,794	\$1,164,452
Cumberland Valley Electric	77	37,300	\$400,457	\$1,431,032
Farmers RECC	82	38,479	\$435,818	\$1,447,039
Fleming-Mason RECC	183	94,431	\$885,132	\$3,362,748
Grayson RECC	42	19,214	\$220,280	\$728,421
Inter-County ECC	73	31,006	\$391,062	\$1,169,174
Jackson Energy	144	66,363	\$761,241	\$2,513,844
Licking Valley RECC	40	19,019	\$207,714	\$729,327
Nolin RECC	128	59,742	\$691,834	\$2,177,906
Owen EC	368	174,333	\$1,915,639	\$5,799,891
Salt River RECC	186	75,457	\$986,560	\$2,851,053
Shelby Energy RECC	74	35,697	\$420,098	\$1,293,190
South Kentucky RECC	183	82,735	\$981,183	\$3,110,173
Taylor County RECc	88	37,749	\$420,932	\$1,540,718
Totals	1,986	910,441	\$10,425,080	\$33,386,511
Green Power				\$9,340
Total				\$33,395,851

KIUC Request 1 Attachment Page 6 of 8

November 2007				
	MW	MWh	MW Rev	MWh Rev
	(1.b)	(1.a)	(1.d)	(1.c)
Big Sandy RECC	58	23,310	\$300,222	\$893,020
Blue Grass Energy	235	100,678	\$1,263,608	\$3,776,368
Clark Energy Coop	88	37,226	\$458,545	\$1,427,341
Cumberland Valley Electric	104	45,216	\$544,802	\$1,732,342
Farmers RECC	88	43,080	\$471,903	\$1,623,775
Fleming-Mason RECC	207	97,908	\$998,034	\$3,685,449
Grayson RECC	55	23,258	\$289,818	\$883,291
Inter-County ECC	93	37,649	\$491,780	\$1,426,997
Jackson Energy	201	82,818	\$1,062,202	\$3,146,383
Licking Valley RECC	57	23,845	\$297,446	\$914,183
Nolin RECC	141	63,851	\$757,802	\$2,347,812
Owen EC	348	174,563	\$1,720,166	\$5,825,962
Salt River RECC	177	80,812	\$935,195	\$3,061,840
Shelby Energy RECC	78	37,592	\$432,961	\$1,374,842
South Kentucky RECC	242	98,425	\$1,287,021	\$3,714,941
Taylor County RECc	104	43,434	\$495,027	\$1,761,852
Totals	2,276	1,013,665	\$11,806,532	\$37,596,398
Green Power				\$9,435
Total				\$37,605,833

December 2007				
	MW	MWh	MW Rev.	MWh Rev.
	(1.b)	(1.a)	(1.d)	(1.c)
Big Sandy RECC	62	27,747	\$323,332	\$1,062,813
Blue Grass Energy	251	122,592	\$1,344,914	\$4,616,550
Clark Energy Coop	95	45,293	\$493,918	\$1,735,548
Cumberland Valley Electric	113	50,100	\$588,498	\$1,919,076
Farmers RECC	86	48,086	\$458,503	\$1,820,977
Fleming-Mason RECC	213	120,143	\$1,040,792	\$4,541,598
Grayson RECC	61	28,371	\$322,151	\$1,080,170
Inter-County ECC	98	46,697	\$521,070	\$1,772,428
Jackson Energy	219	97,880	\$1,157,074	\$3,723,648
Licking Valley RECC	62	28,369	\$323,344	\$1,087,322
Nolin RECC	145	74,813	\$781,516	\$2,774,164
Owen EC	367	196,232	\$1,803,890	\$6,572,762
Salt River RECC	187	97,366	\$985,776	\$3,697,884
Shelby Energy RECC	82	43,353	\$453,898	\$1,600,169
South Kentucky RECC	253	114,490	\$1,349,025	\$4,332,462
Taylor County RECc	108	50,847	\$519,742	\$2,065,354
Totals	2,402	1,192,379	\$12,467,443	\$44,402,925
Green Power				\$9,322
Total				\$44,412,247

KIUC Request 1 Attachment Page 7 of 8

	2	2007 Totals		
	MW	MWh	MW Rev.	MWh Rev
	(1.b)	(1.a)	(1.d)	(1.c)
Big Sandy RECC	637	277,811	\$3,323,332	\$9,624,159
Blue Grass Energy	2,902	1,310,866	\$15,551,764	\$44,429,103
Clark Energy Coop	1,077	469,964	\$5,627,720	\$16,294,239
Cumberland Valley Electric	1,192	536,874	\$6,222,017	\$18,630,129
Farmers RECC	1,119	545,403	\$5,935,271	\$18,640,851
Fleming-Mason RECC	2,473	1,230,983	\$11,796,643	\$41,126,120
Grayson RECC	643	282,214	\$3,381,419	\$9,713,352
Inter-County ECC	1,152	480,460	\$6,099,487	\$16,411,293
Jackson Energy	2,314	999,556	\$12,182,155	\$34,273,139
Licking Valley RECC	654	285,028	\$3,412,464	\$9,885,994
Nolin RECC	1,769	830,039	\$9,468,491	\$27,571,700
Owen EC	4,502	2,230,648	\$22,464,505	\$68,440,345
Salt River RECC	2,359	1,085,460	\$12,450,528	\$37,325,193
Shelby Energy RECC	982	484,729	\$5,385,373	\$16,004,082
South Kentucky RECC	2,882	1,232,718	\$15,312,173	\$42,023,113
Taylor County RECc	1,276	568,867	\$6,121,073	\$21,954,232
Totals	27,932	12,851,620	\$144,734,415	\$432,347,044
Green Power				\$111,394
Total				\$432,458,438

		***************************************					Total Co	mpany Revenues
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
					Total	[		Total
	Base	Fuel	Environmental		Excluding			Excluding
	Rate	Clause	Surcharge	İ	Environmental			Environmental
Month	Revenues	Revenues	Revenues	Total	Surcharge	Off-System	Total	Surcharge
				(2)+(3)+(4)	(5)-(4)	Sales	(5)+(7)	(8)-(4)
Jan-07	\$52,394,146	\$8,536,387	\$5,083,197	\$66,013,730	\$60,930,533			
Feb-07	\$54,441,988	\$10,316,043	\$6,069,617	\$70,827.648	\$64,758.031	\$637.381	\$71,465.029	\$65,395,412
Mar-07	\$42.132,265	\$14,909,257	\$5,159,359		\$57,041.522	\$1,458,107	\$63,658,988	
Apr-07	\$40.505,465	\$7.788,220	\$4,502,790	\$52,796,475	\$48,293,685			
May-07	\$40.372,691	\$16.093,873	\$3,858,035					
Jun-07	\$43,832,157	\$5.748,054	\$4.348,047	\$53,928,258	\$49,580,211	\$349,718		
Jul-07	\$46,044.679	\$7,149.492	\$4,768,377	\$57,962,548	\$53,194,171			\$53.549,998
Aug-07	\$60,574.090	\$304.693	\$6.266,485					\$61.900,147
Sep-07	\$49,019,028	\$8,457.743	\$6,060.176	\$63.536,947	\$57.476,771	\$764,843	\$64.301,790	\$58,241,614
Oct-07	\$44,619,998	\$3,043.446	\$4,568.042	\$52,231,486	\$47.663,444	\$1,472,832	\$53,704,318	\$49,136.276
Nov-07	\$49,877.004	\$1.264.009	\$4,369,147	\$55.510,160	\$51.141,013	\$606,706	\$56.116,866	\$51,747.719
Dec-07	\$57,348,587	\$1,102,192	\$4,519,079	\$62,969,858	\$58,450,779			
Totals	\$581,162,098	\$84,713,409	\$59,572,351	\$725,447,858	\$665,875,507	\$7,741,222	\$733,189,080	\$673,616,729
	-	System Revent Inding Current I			\$55.489,626			
or other statements		(Enviror	ımental Surcha	Member Syste	em Allocation Pom Calculations	~		

## EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. PSC CASE NO. 2008-00115 RESPONSES TO KIUC SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS

KIUC'S SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS DATED 05/29/08

**REQUEST 2** 

RESPONSIBLE PERSON: Ann F. Wood

**COMPANY:** East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

Request 2. For each Coop member of EKPC, please provide for calendar year

2007:

a. Total retail revenues by rate class

b. MWh energy sales by retail rate class

c. Purchased power charge revenues by rate class

Response 2. The requested information is provided on pages 2 through 3 of this

response.

KIUC Request 2
Page 2 of 3

								_	-	_			_			_
				Residential				Cornm Ind 1000	Comm & Ind	Comm & Ind	Public Street	Public Street Other Sales	Other Sales	Out Sales to		-
		Residential	Residential	Seasonal	Irrigation Sales	migation	Comm & Ind kWh	or less	***	Over 1000	& Hwy	& Hwy Light	& Hwy Light to Public Auth Public Auth	Public Auth		
Cooperative	Residential kWh	revenue	Seasonal kWh	Revenue	KWh	Revenue	1000 kva or less	Revenue	ƙva	revenue	Lighting kWn	Rev	kwh	Rev	Total kWh	Total Revenue
Big Sandy	191,048,622	\$15,853,498					70,380,327	\$5,873,436	4,188,900	\$263,382					265,617,849	\$21,990,315
Blue Grass	816,734,824	\$68,578,375		*****			134,477,416	\$11,090,281	285,115,341	\$16,782,686	1,033,678	\$230,834			1,237,361,259	\$96,682,176
Clark	336,749,057	\$29,717,098		••••	-		91,532,612	\$8,607,645	15,476,617	\$1,231,957	544,867	\$59,936			444,403,153	539,616,636
Cumberland Valley	323,734,447	\$27,983,567					75,825,569	\$6,224,733	110,987,960	\$7,635,618					510,547,976	\$41,843,918
Farmers	328,570,876	\$26,450,827					72,191,024	\$5,948,232	122,623,200	\$7,917,354	442,593	\$52,107			523,827,693	\$40,368,520
Fleming Mason	279,628,705	\$23,419,768	14,679,317	\$1,549,042			125,538,574	\$9,567,025	520,876,875	\$30,356,494	76,494	\$10,640		****	941,799,965	\$64,902,969
Gravson	192,737,369	\$18,131,615					59,181,479	\$5,161,108	16,264,464	\$988,175	099,080	59,607			268,266,972	\$24,290,506
Inter County	362,050,676	\$33,391,723	****				77, 159, 165	\$6,159,643	14,416,971	\$351,807					453,626,812	\$40,503,173
Jackson	718,959,804	\$66,382,819					158,602,144	\$14,135,903	68,132,835	\$4,444,461		••••			945,694,783	\$84,963,183
Licking Valley	210,336,942	\$18,876,065		*****			43,797,017	\$4,218,923	15,372,480	\$1,108,914					269,506,439	\$24,203,902
Nolin	478,311,130	\$39,672,514					113,014,385	\$9,232,920	209,067,480	\$10,655,042	1,527,839	\$167,934			801,920,834	559,728,410
Owen	746,858,240	\$66,458,715				****	226,685,405	\$18,073,852	1,178,657,108	\$\$5,319,968	588,969	\$52,325	15,009,322	\$1,312,489	2,167,799,044	\$141,217,349
Salt River	704,474,028	\$57,330,349					187,976,866	\$15,036,429	143,320,188	\$8,668,104	2,563,756	\$375,928			1,038,334,838	\$81,410,810
Shelby	234,156,667	\$19,684,110					69,889,030	\$5,433,623	160,615,842	\$9,645,800	127,632	\$22,951			464,789,171	S34 786 484
South Kentucky	769,838,205	\$67,646,098	******				224,506,939	\$19,603,515	155,301,655	\$9,728,205	768,647	\$82,822	11,417,420	\$1,020,451	1,161,832,866	\$98,081,091
Taylor Co.	304,364,681	\$25,472,592		****			130, 194, 362	\$10,055,244	103,625,113	\$7,522,379	599,201	\$72,218			538,783,357	\$43,122,433
Totals	6,998,554,273	\$605,049,733	14,679,317	\$1,549,042	,	\$0	1,861,952,314	5154,422,512	3,124,043,029	\$173,220,347	8.457,336	\$1,137,302	26,426,742	\$2,332,940	12,034,113,011	\$937,711,876
Totals (2 a)		\$605,049,733		\$1,549,042		\$0		\$154,422,512		\$173,220,347		\$1,137,302		\$2,332,940		5937,711,876
Totals (2.b)	6,998,554,273		14,679,317		•		1.861.952,314		3,124,043,029		8,457,336	_	26.426.742		12,034,113,011	

### **KIUC Request 2**

### Page 3 of 3

#### 2 c - Retail FAC Revenue for 2007

						Public	Oth Sales	
ļ		Residential	Irrigation	Comm Ind	Comm & Ind	Street &	to Public	
	Residential	Seasonal	FAC	1000 or less	Over 1000	Hwy Light	Auth FAC	
Cooperative	FAC revenue	FAC Revenue	Revenue	FAC Revenue	FAC revenue	FAC Rev	Rev	Total
Big Sandy	\$1.209.409			\$545.622	\$22.734			\$1,867.855
Blue Grass	\$5.845.425			\$934,126	\$1,994.541		\$7,356	\$8,781,448
Clark	\$2.455.498			\$710.023	\$129.538	\$4.967		\$3,300.026
Cumberland Valley	\$2,363,671			\$193.666	\$1,236.567			\$3,793.904
Farmers	\$2.365.489			\$532.630	\$927.846	\$3.346	ļ	\$3,829.311
Fleming Mason	\$1,993.011	\$107,480		\$960.165	\$3,043.361		\$585	\$6,104.602
Grayson	\$1,333.574			\$434,488	\$116,363		\$619	\$1,885.044
Inter County	\$2,706.139			\$513,767	\$137.698			\$3,357.604
Jackson	\$5,185,258			\$1,256,785	\$512.780			\$6,954,823
Licking Valley	\$1,549,551			\$336,927	\$162.613			\$2.049,091
Notin	\$2.157.974	\$3	\$959.188	\$820,799	\$1.495.181	\$11.073	\$111.522	\$5.555.740
Owen	\$5.387.292			\$320.983	\$2,806,502	\$3.985	\$106.928	\$8.625.690
Salt River	\$5.059.151			\$1,372.100	\$1,100.692	\$19,192	***************************************	\$7.551.135
Shelby	\$1.604.112	***		\$513.688	\$1.204.775	\$941		\$3.323.516
South Kentucky	\$5.581.814	ĺ		\$1.750.980	\$1,212.132	\$5.899	\$82.327	\$8.633.152
Taylor Co.	\$2,253,908			\$1,008,929	\$168,039	\$4,673		\$3,435,549

## EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. PSC CASE NO. 2008-00115 RESPONSES TO KIUC SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS

KIUC'S SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS DATED 05/29/08 REQUEST 3

RESPONSIBLE PERSON: James C. Lamb, Jr.

COMPANY: East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

Request 3. Please provide a description of any proposed change that EKPC is considering in the allocation of the environmental surcharge cost among its member Coops. Please also provide a description of any proposed change that is being prepared by EKPC for the member Coops regarding the allocation of the environmental surcharge among retail customers.

Response 3. EKPC has been made aware of the fact that some of its member Coops are experiencing an under-recovery of the environmental surcharge at the retail level from certain customer classes, or large customers, due to the pass-through mechanism. Since the impact of this situation varies among different member Coops, EKPC is currently evaluating this issue, in an attempt to identify possible changes in the allocation methodology which would be equitable for all member Coops and retail customers. EKPC has analyzed the impacts of two different methodologies—a percentage of revenue basis and a dollars per megawatt hour basis. EKPC has not discussed these methodologies with all member Coops and has not proposed any changes to the surcharge calculation. Please see Response 4 for EKPC's analyses of the two different methodologies.

# EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. PSC CASE NO. 2008-00115 RESPONSES TO KIUC SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS

KIUC'S SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS DATED 05/29/08 REQUEST 4

**RESPONSIBLE PERSON:** 

James C. Lamb, Jr.

**COMPANY:** 

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

Request 4. Please provide copies of any studies, memoranda, minutes of meetings, letters from member Coops or other writings prepared or obtained by EKPC during the past three years that address the allocation of the environmental surcharge among its member Coops or address the allocation of the EKPC environment surcharge among retail rate schedules or customers of a member Coop.

Response 4. Please see Response 3. Correspondence and analyses comparing the environmental surcharge calculated on a percentage of revenue basis versus a dollars per megawatt hour basis are included on pages 2 through 95.

#### Charlene Creager

From:

Bill Bosta

Sent:

Friday, May 02, 2008 8:03 AM

To:

Charlene Creager

Subject: FW: Gallatin ES materials

More Gallatin/Owen info

----Original Message----

From: David Eames

**Sent:** Monday, October 01, 2007 8:12 AM

To: Bill Bosta

Subject: FW: Gallatin ES materials

they brought this up when we met with thier board anything i can do to help also would you run thru it with me i think i understand just when u have some time dave

----Original Message----

From: Mike Cobb [mailto:mcobb@owenelectric.com] Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2007 11:35 AM

To: David Eames

Cc: Bob Hood; Bob Marshall Subject: FW: Gallatin ES materials

Dave,

Here are the materials related to Gallatin. The excel spreadsheets recap the dollars.

Mike
MICHAEL L. COBB
SR. VP - CUSTOMER SERVICE & MARKETING
OWEN ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE. INC
8205 HWY 127 N
OWENTON, KY 40359
502-563-3533

From: Mike Cobb

Sent: Friday, September 07, 2007 9:36 AM

To: Bob Hood

Cc: 'rwitt@owenelectric.com'; oeccounsel

Subject: Gallatin ES materials

Bob,

Here are copies of the materials I've laid on your desk. I thought you might want to see them in advance. Please let me know if you want any additional information. I have prepared a review of the ES for 2007 (thru August) as well.

Becky and I have continued to discuss this issue throughout this week. Our conclusions are that it appears that we are handling the billing properly, and in accordance with methodology approved by the PSC. However, we seriously question whether or not the PSC and the AG realized the impact the approved methodology would have. Simply put, this doesn't seem equitable to all our members.

Thanks,

Mike

4							
\$	096,72 \$	(999'89) \$	200,295	\$	196,832	\$	Dec \$ 3,222,720 \$ 3,192,014 \$ (30,706)
\$	\$ 26,025	(987,94) \$	861,871	\$	786,2SS	\$	(197,1S) \$ 887,344,S \$ 742,834,S \$ vou
\$	\$ 51,112	(798,09) \$	183,193	\$	274,060	\$	(66,756) \$ 26,24,265 \$ 050,406,2 \$ 150
\$	\$ 35'056	(466,78) \$	336,140	\$	423,534	\$	(396,33) \$ 031,937,6 \$ 313,418,6 \$ q92
\$	764,SE <b>\$</b>	(926,001)\$	928,828	\$	481,844	\$	(888,78) \$ £SQ,4SS,4 \$ 118,SQS,4 \$ QUA
\$	881,16 \$	(399,07) \$	241'326	\$	312,021	\$	(TT4,ee) \$ 868,488,6 \$ 311,428,e \$ IUU
\$	£ 30,043	(996,365)	242,602	\$	796,708	\$	(SZE, 3E) \$ 200, E18, E \$ 4SE, 848, E \$ nul
\$	\$ 32,163	(83,153)	216,439	\$	Z69'66Z	\$	(066,03) \$ £58,056,5 \$ £58,186,5 \$ \text{vsM}
\$	\$ 50,812	(870,18) \$	210,538	\$	995,172	\$	(E12,1E) \$ +01,1E2,E \$ TTE,202,E \$ 7qA
\$	788,0£ \$	(975,48) \$	215,100	\$	9 <b>/</b> 4/672	\$	(684,88) \$ 466,684,8 \$ 884,874,8 \$ 18M
\$	\$ 58,403	(46,209)	787,881	\$	996'¢8Z	\$	(308,71) \$ 831,081,8 \$ 430,791,8 \$ deA
\$	ZE8'0E \$	(38'822)	766,171	\$	210,252	\$	(ESO,8) \$ 860,0E6,E \$ FSF,8E6,E \$ nbl
ssəj	тəbbA	Difference	nitalia9 of C	OE	OEC to OEC	ł∃	2006 Bill To Owen Bill To Gallatin Margin (Loss)
iO.	OEC Dist.	a6.	ntal Surchar	əwu	onivn <del>3</del>		EKPC's Power Owen's Power
/)	(8)	(A)					
							allatin Stee Contract Billing Issue
;	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	OEC Dist. Dis Adder less \$ 30,832 \$ \$ 30,832 \$ \$ \$ 30,832 \$ \$ \$ 30,843 \$ \$ \$ 30,043 \$ \$ \$ 32,029 \$ \$ \$ 1,112 \$ \$ \$ 21,112 \$ \$ \$ 25,025 \$ \$ \$ \$ 21,112 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 21,112 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 21,112 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	9e Difference Adder Dist Difference Act Difference	Discripange	Did	Environmental Surcharge Adder Disc. Discharge Dec Discharge Discharge Adder Dec Les Discharge Adder Difference At 210,262 \$ 171,397 \$ (38,855) \$ 30,832 \$ 210,262 \$ 171,397 \$ (38,855) \$ 30,832 \$ 234,966 \$ 188,757 \$ (46,209) \$ 28,403 \$ 254,966 \$ 126,100 \$ (64,376) \$ 30,887 \$ 279,476 \$ 216,100 \$ (64,376) \$ 30,887 \$ 20,028 \$ 20,028 \$ 30,043 \$ 20,028 \$ 31,12 \$ 32,029 \$ 31,12 \$ 32,029 \$ 31,12 \$ 32,029	EKPC to OEC OEC to Gallatin Difference Adder less \$ 210,252 \$ 171,397 \$ (38,855) \$ 30,832 \$ \$ 210,252 \$ 171,397 \$ (38,855) \$ 30,837 \$ \$ 307,967 \$ 216,439 \$ (87,394) \$ 32,029 \$ 31,188 \$ 425,184 \$ 326,869 \$ (100,325) \$ 32,029 \$ 312,021 \$ 241,366 \$ (100,325) \$ 32,163 \$ 32,029 \$ 312,021 \$ 241,366 \$ (100,325) \$ 32,163 \$ 32,029 \$ 312,021 \$ 241,366 \$ (100,325) \$ 32,163 \$ 32,029 \$ 312,021 \$ 241,366 \$ (100,325) \$ 32,163 \$ 32,043 \$ 32,029 \$ 312,021 \$ 242,602 \$ (100,325) \$ 32,163 \$ 32,1

(689,618)\$ 478,707,2 \$ 693,123,6 \$

(367,184)

\$

\$ 32°, 168° t

#### Summary:

Effective with the new Gallatin Steel contract (effective 6/1/2005), the methodology for billing for the Environmental Surcharge stipulated that "Gallatin Steel will be charged the OEC environmental surcharge in conformity with KRS 278.183." This stipulation has let to EKPC billing us a larger portion of Gallatin's ES than we are permitted to bill Gallatin for.

EKPC billed and collected \$3,521,563 in ES from Owen EC for sales to Gallatin Steel for 2006. Owen EC billed and collected \$2,707,874 in ES from Gallatin Steel for 2006.

(367,134) \$ 220,733,04 \$ 028,821,14 \$

The difference in what EKPC billed us for the Environmental Surcharge and what we billed Gallatin totaled \$813,689 for 2006.

When you offset the \$813,689 discrepancy with our distribution adder of \$351,894, Owen's deficit for serving Gallatin Steel is \*\*(\$461,795).

The PSC approved the Gallatin contract and the corresponding billing methodology. Owen is billing properly per the contract; however, Owen's other ratepayers are making up the \$813,689 ES deficit in the Gallatin billings. This will likely become a point of debate ( is this methodology equitable?) during any rate case.

This billing methodology will need to be changed in the next Gallatin Steel contract (current contract expires, 5/31/10).

\*\*The resulting loss effectively eliminates future capital credit allocations to Gallatin Steel. Gallatin Steel's Capital Credit Refund Check amounts for the last 6 years:

	600Z
	2008
	\$ 2002
62.331,8	\$ 2008
50.640,71	\$ 2002
96.847,81	\$ 2004
15,932.31	\$ 2003
64.884,7S	\$ 2002
22,373.06	\$ 2004
JnuomA	Year Issued

(553,795)	\$	\$ 534,506	(650,258)	1,684,255	\$	762,316,294	\$	(382,798)	\$	999,117,653	\$ .	760,601,0£	\$	
-	\$		- \$					-	\$					ээД
_	\$		- \$					-	\$					voM
-	\$		- \$					-	\$					toO
-	\$		- \$					-	\$					gəS
(669,63)	\$	68 <del>1</del> ,06 \$	(281,78) \$	744,667	\$	331,8 <del>4</del> 9	\$	(£69'95)	\$	119,611,4 8	5	4176,304	\$	gu∀
(818,S4)	\$	\$ 22,810	(829,07) \$	192,834	\$	Z97'E9Z	\$	(818,SA)	\$	114,491,8	\$ (	6SS,7ES,E	\$	lut
(906,95)	\$	\$ 28,573	(644,89) \$	905,681	\$	286,782	\$	(906,95)	\$	3.070,835	3	147,011,ይ	\$	սոր
(S0E, 34)	\$	\$ 51,040	(Z4E,ST) \$	011,871	\$	254,032	\$	(45,302)	\$	£67,878,£	\$ 9	3,922,095	\$	May
(486,74)	\$	\$ 57,445	\$ (45°+458)	07£,80S	\$	281,799	\$	(£86,74)	\$	776,792,8	5 (	3,345,360	\$	ηqΑ
(682,89)	\$	\$ 31,552	(148,49) \$	265,743	\$	360,584	\$	(63,289)	\$	790'997'7	5	648,986,44	\$	Mar
(667,78)	\$	\$ 56,322	(128,89) \$	946,591	\$	797,062	\$	(664,78)	\$	£72,7£0,4 8	5	277,401,4	\$	də∃
(S40,4E)	\$	32,275	(718,88) \$	213,079	\$	966,672	\$	(340,48)	\$	112,948,E	\$ 1	5,883,253	\$	usl
s ES Diff.	səl	ıəbbA	Difference	nitaliaD of O		SPC to OEC	43	(Loss)	Mai	nitells0 oT Ili8		newO oT IIi8		2002
rebbA.fa	!O	OEC Dist.	1ge	ental Surcha	эши	Enviro				Owen's Power		KPC's Power	∃	
(B) - (A	)	(8)	(A)							_				

Projected Difference for 2007 in ES billing = \$950,000

Gallatin Steel - Contract Billing Issue

#### Mike Cobb

From: Sent:

George Markins [george.markins@ekpc.coop] Wednesday, November 30, 2005 1:38 PM

To: Cc: Mike Cobb Bill Bosta

Subject:

**Environmental Surcharge Calculation** 

Attachments:

Settlement Agreement - March 17 2005.pdf

special

The PSC opder Showing the agreement \*(AG + Gallation Steel were parties to the agreement).

Settlement areement - March 1

Mike:

Sorry that it took two days to get back to you. I was on vacation Monday and was out of the office yesterday afternoon for a doctor's appointment.

I am attaching the Order/Settlement Agreement signed March 17, 2005 between the Members Systems, EKPC, the Attorney General and the KIUC. This file is in .pdf format.

Please reference the following passages:

(1) Page 12 of the Order, second full paragraph, second sentence. " The environmental surcharge mechanism will apply the base/current

approach in a manner consistent with surcharge mechanisms approved in other proceedings." The Commission allows other

utilities (e.g. Kentucky Utilities) to apply the environmental surcharge factor to demand, energy, FAC revenues, and consumer/customer charges.

(2) Page 1 of the Settlement Agreement, under the first Whereas: "...seeking authority to pass through to their retail electric rates any Environmental Surcharge granted to EKPC...". This confirms that the Commission allows EKPC to

base the environmental surcharge on electric revenues.

(3) Page 5 of the Settlement Agreement, Amendment 18: "The Parties agree that the methodology for billing the distribution cooperatives outlined in EKPC's

testimony and exhibits will be utilities... The testimony in the Application (Bosta Exhibit 6, page 3 of 3, filed in Case No. 2004-00321, Sept. 17, 2004)

illustrated that the Member System monthly pass-through mechanism factor would be based on the Member's retail electric revenues. The format is also included in Appendix B the attached Settlement Agreement.

(4) Attachment 4, page 2 of 28 of the Settlement Agreement, paragraph (3): " The R(m) is the average monthly revenue, including base revenues and automatic

adjustment clause revenues less Environmental Cost Recovery Surcharge revenues, for EKPC and the twelve months ending with the current expense month..."

Base revenues refer to EKPC's electric revenues and the automatic adjustment clause revenues refer to the FAC.

(5) Attachment 4, page 3 of 28, under "Applicability": "This rate shall apply to all electric rate schedules and special contracts." All electric rate schedules would include demand, energy, and consumer/customer charges plus FAC.

For further reference, I checked my monthly residential bill from KU to make sure. Their environmental surcharge is calculated by multiplying the factor times the sum of the energy, customer and FAC charges.

Your retail monthly pass-through mechanism factor is calculated by dividing EKPC's anvironmental surcharge bill to Owen divided by the average of your twelve months electric revenues. If your retail customer wanted this percent applied to charges excluding the customer/consumer charge and the FAC, then the factor would have to be recalculated using Owen's revenues minus the FAC and customer/consumer charge revenues, resulting in a lower

denominator and a higher factor, which would then be applied to the customer's demand and energy charges. Consequently, the customer would end up paying approximately the same anyhow.

Hope this helps. If you have any problems or questions, please feel free to call Bill Bosta or me.

George

<<Settlement Agreement - March 17 2005.pdf>>

#### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. FOR APPROVAL OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE PLAN AND AUTHORITY TO IMPLEMENT AN ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE	) ) ) )	CASE NO. 2004-00321
AND		
APPLICATION OF BIG SANDY RECC, BLUE GRASS ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATIO CLARK ENERGY COOPERATIVE, CUMBERLAND VALLEY ELECTRIC, FARMERS RECC, FLEMING-MASON ENERGY, GRAYSON RECC, INTER-COUNTY ENERGY COOPERATIVE, LICKING VALLEY RECC, NOLIN RECC, OWEN ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, SALT RIVER ELECTRIC, SHELE ENERGY COOPERATIVE, SOUTH KENTUCKY RECC AND TAYLOR COUNTY RECC FOR AUTHORITY TO PASS THROUGH THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE OF EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC.	) ) ) )	CASE NO. 2004-00372

#### ORDER

On September 17, 2004, East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. ("East Kentucky") filed an application, pursuant to KRS 278.183, seeking Commission approval of an environmental compliance plan consisting of new and additional pollution control facilities and to establish its Environmental Surcharge tariff ("ES tariff"). East Kentucky maintains that it will need these facilities and will incur the related compliance costs in order to comply with the requirements of the Clean Air Act<sup>1</sup> at its coal and gas-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As amended, 42 U.S.C.A. § 7401 et seq.

fired generating units and other federal, state, and local environmental requirements applicable to coal combustion wastes and by-products from its coal-fired generating units. East Kentucky proposes that its ES tariff become effective for service rendered beginning April 1, 2005.

Also on September 17, 2004, each of the 16 distribution cooperatives<sup>2</sup> of East Kentucky filed a joint application seeking Commission approval of a pass through mechanism that would allow each distribution cooperative to bill its respective retail customers for the portion of the environmental surcharge that East Kentucky bills each distribution cooperative. The distribution cooperatives also propose that their pass through mechanism tariffs become effective for service rendered beginning April 1, 2005, to coincide with East Kentucky's environmental surcharge tariff.

The following parties requested and were granted full intervention in both cases: the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, by and through his Office of Rate Intervention ("AG"), and Gallatin Steel Company ("Gallatin"). A consolidated hearing was held on February 2, 2005.

#### BACKGROUND

East Kentucky is a rural electric cooperative organized pursuant to KRS Chapter 279 and is a utility subject to Commission jurisdiction. East Kentucky owns and operates facilities used to generate and transmit electricity to its 16 member distribution

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The 16 East Kentucky distribution cooperatives are Big Sandy Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation ("RECC"), Blue Grass Energy Cooperative Corporation, Clark Energy Cooperative ("EC"), Cumberland Valley Electric, Farmers RECC, Fleming-Mason Energy, Grayson RECC, Inter-County EC, Jackson EC, Licking Valley RECC, Nolin RECC, Own Electric Cooperative, Salt River Electric, Shelby EC, South Kentucky RECC, and Taylor County RECC.

cooperatives for compensation for lights, heat, power, and other uses. Each of the 16 distribution cooperatives are also rural electric cooperatives organized pursuant to KRS Chapter 279, and each is a utility subject to Commission jurisdiction. The distribution cooperatives are engaged in the distribution of electricity to the public for compensation for lights, heat, power, and other uses. They collectively serve approximately 474,000 member-consumers in all or parts of 89 counties in Kentucky.

KRS 278.183 provides that a utility shall be entitled to the current recovery of its costs of complying with the Clean Air Act as amended and those federal, state, or local environmental requirements that apply to coal combustion wastes and by-products from facilities utilized for the production of energy from coal. Pursuant to KRS 278.183(2), a utility seeking to recover its environmental compliance costs through an environmental surcharge must first submit to the Commission a plan that addresses compliance with the applicable environmental requirements. The plan must also include the utility's testimony concerning a reasonable return on compliance-related capital expenditures and a tariff addition containing the terms and conditions of the proposed surcharge applied to individual rate classes. Within 6 months of submission, the Commission must conduct a hearing to:

- (a) Consider and approve the compliance plan and rate surcharge if the plan and rate surcharge are found reasonable and cost-effective for compliance with the applicable environmental requirements;
- (b) Establish a reasonable return on compliance-related capital expenditures; and
- (c) Approve the application of the surcharge.

Case No. 2004-00321 Case No. 2004-00372

-3-

#### COMPLIANCE PLAN

The compliance plan proposed by East Kentucky calls for nine capital projects that include the following facilities:

- (1) Installation of a specific type of boiler, Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction equipment, baghouse, and flash dry absorber to control fly ash and particulate, nitrogen oxide ("NOx"), and sulfur dioxide ("SO<sub>2</sub>") at the new Gilbert Unit. The Gilbert Unit utilizes a fluidized coal bed and is located at East Kentucky's Spurlock Station.
- (2) Installation of a new electrostatic precipitator to control particulates at the coal-fired Spurlock Unit 1.
- (3) Installation of low NOx burners to control NOx emissions at the gas-fired J. K. Smith Combustion Turbines ("CTs") Nos. 1 through 7.3
- (4) Installation of Selective Catalytic Reduction equipment ("SCR") to control NOx emissions at the coal-fired Spurlock Unit 1.
- (5) Installation of a SCR to control NOx emissions at the coal-fired Spurlock Unit 2.

The proposed compliance plan has a total estimated capital cost of \$223.8 million.4

In support of the proposed compliance plant, East Kentucky presented testimony describing each project in detail.<sup>5</sup> East Kentucky also noted that, except for the Spurlock Unit 1 precipitator replacement project, it had sought and been granted certificates of public convenience and necessity for the projects.<sup>6</sup>

Case No. 2004-00321 Case No. 2004-00372

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> These facilities reflected five of the nine capital projects proposed by East Kentucky.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Eames Direct Testimony, Eames Exhibit 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Johnson Direct Testimony at 3-19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Hughes Direct Testimony at 3.

Gallatin was the only intervenor to file testimony, and it opposed the inclusion of the capital projects associated with the J. K. Smith CTs. Gallatin contends that KRS 278.183 authorizes only the recovery of environmental costs associated with the generation of electricity from coal, not gas. Gallatin recommends the removal of all gas-fired generation projects from East Kentucky's proposed compliance plan.<sup>7</sup>

#### SURCHARGE MECHANISM AND CALCULATION

East Kentucky proposes that its environmental surcharge mechanism use a "base/current" approach, although its proposal differs from what the Commission previously approved for Louisville Gas and Electric Company ("LG&E") and Kentucky Utilities Company ("KU"). The base/current approach calculates the revenue requirements for a current period, which reflects recoverable compliance costs for the current expense month, and for a base period, which reflects corresponding environmental costs already included in base rates. The calculation of the base period revenue requirement usually is where the impact of retirements and replacements resulting from the projects approved in the compliance plan are recognized. The current period and base period revenue requirements are each divided by the appropriate level of revenues to determine the current period and base period surcharge factors. The net difference between the two factors is the environmental surcharge factor billed to customers.

East Kentucky proposes that its base period be initially set at zero, even though it is able to calculate its compliance costs included in base rates. Subsequently, when its environmental surcharge is incorporated into base rates, its base period will reflect the

Case No. 2004-00321 Case No. 2004-00372

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Kollen Direct Testimony at 9-11.

amount so incorporated. East Kentucky also proposes to recognize the effects of retirements and replacements resulting from the projects approved in the compliance plan by treating the plant balances, accumulated depreciation, and associated operation and maintenance ("O&M") expenses as reductions or offsets to the current balances of the projects included in the approved compliance plan. This proposal for retirements and replacements follows an incremental approach, rather than the "base/current" approach as approved for LG&E and KU.

As proposed by East Kentucky, the current period revenue requirement is comprised of a return on the environmental compliance rate base, plus specified environmental compliance operating expenses, less proceeds from by-product and emission allowance sales, plus or minus 6-month surcharge over- or under-recovery adjustments.<sup>8</sup> The environmental compliance rate base includes plant in service and construction work in progress associated with the approved compliance plan projects adjusted for accumulated depreciation, spare parts and limestone inventories, emission allowance inventory,<sup>9</sup> and cash working capital allowance. The environmental compliance operating expenses include incremental O&M expenses, including air permit fees, that exceed the 1993 level of certain O&M expenses, depreciation expense, property taxes, insurance expense, emission allowance expense, and consulting fees. The incremental O&M expenses include expenses associated with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Bosta Direct Testimony, Bosta Exhibit 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The emission allowance inventory weighted average cost would include the estimated cost of emission allowances East Kentucky anticipated purchasing within the year. After the actual purchase, the weighted average cost would be adjusted to reflect the actual cost. This approach would also impact the determination of the monthly emission allowance expense.

environmental compliance, but are not related to the projects included in the approved compliance plan. Any proceeds East Kentucky receives from the sale of by-products or emission allowances would be used as an offset in the determination of the current period revenue requirement. Finally, East Kentucky would accumulate all over- and under-recoveries of the environmental surcharge for a 6-month period and amortize the net cumulative amount over a subsequent 6-month period.

Gallatin challenged the inclusion of several items contained in East Kentucky's surcharge mechanism. Consistent with its objection to including environmental projects associated with gas-fired generation, Gallatin argued that no costs associated with the gas-fired generation should be included in the surcharge mechanism. Gallatin also opposed the inclusion of incremental O&M expenses for environmental compliance that was not related to projects in the approved compliance plan. Gallatin contended that East Kentucky had not removed all expenses associated with retired or replaced plant, and Gallatin disagreed with the depreciation practices followed by East Kentucky in the month new plant went into service. Finally, Gallatin stated that revenues associated with sales to certain industrial customers needed to be adjusted before being included in the determination of the monthly environmental surcharge factor.<sup>10</sup>

#### RATE OF RETURN

East Kentucky proposes 5.635 percent as its reasonable rate of return on its compliance-related capital expenditures. This return is determined by multiplying East Kentucky's average cost of debt at July 31, 2004 of 4.90 percent by the Times Interest Earned Ratio ("TIER") of 1.15X, which was approved in its 1993 general rate case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Kollen Direct Testimony at 5-7.

East Kentucky believes this approach is consistent with the requirements of KRS 278.183 and will allow it to comply with the financial coverage requirements of its debt covenants. East Kentucky also proposes to update its average cost of debt at 6-month intervals.<sup>11</sup>

Gallatin opposes East Kentucky's proposed rate of return because the TIER multiplier results in an imputed interest expense that East Kentucky does not actually incur. Gallatin argues that the use of a TIER adder is inconsistent with the concept of dollar-for-dollar recovery through the ES tariff, nothing more and nothing less. Gallatin recommends the use of East Kentucky's overall cost of capital at October 31, 2004, with the cost of debt component based on East Kentucky's average cost of debt and the cost of members' equity at 0.0 percent.

#### PASS THROUGH MECHANISM

The distribution cooperatives propose a pass through mechanism that uses the base/current approach. The current period revenue requirement in the pass through mechanism will be the amount of the environmental surcharge billed by East Kentucky to each distribution cooperative. The base period revenue requirement will be zero until a pass through has been incorporated into the distribution cooperatives' existing base rates. The current period revenue requirement will be divided by the corresponding level of distribution cooperative revenues, resulting in a pass through factor which will

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Oliva Direct Testimony at 4-5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Kollen Direct Testimony at 15-17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Gallatin's Response to the Commission Staff's First Data Request dated January 6, 2005, Item 1.

be applied to the retail bills of the distribution cooperative. East Kentucky and its distribution cooperatives also propose that the environmental surcharge be passed through to retail customers in the same month that East Kentucky bills the environmental surcharge to the distribution cooperatives.

#### SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

On January 20, 2005, an informal conference was held at the request of East Kentucky for the purpose of discussing all issues. As a result of those discussions, the parties reached a unanimous settlement in principle for both cases. A unanimous Settlement Agreement was filed at the public hearing on February 2, 2005, and East Kentucky testified in support of the Settlement Agreement. A copy of the Settlement Agreement is attached as Appendix A to this Order.

#### **Provisions**

Below is a summary of the major provisions of the Settlement Agreement.

- (1) East Kentucky's environmental compliance plan will only include projects associated with coal-fired generation. The J. K. Smith CTs will not be included. Only costs and expenses associated with coal-fired generation and the approved compliance plan will be included in the surcharge mechanism.
- (2) East Kentucky's surcharge mechanism will use the base/current approach consistent with the base/current approach used for LG&E and KU. The base period surcharge factor ("BESF") will be initially set at 0.51 percent.
- (3) The cost of emission allowances included in the surcharge mechanism will only reflect the actual cost of allowances, not estimated costs. Revenues from the annual Environmental Protection Agency's allowance auction will be reflected as a credit in the emission allowance inventory and reflected in the average inventory price used to determine the monthly surcharge factor. In addition, East Kentucky will prepare an Emissions Allowance Strategy Plan, which will be submitted to the Commission no later than July 31, 2005.

Case No. 2004-00321 Case No. 2004-00372

- (4) The reasonable rate of return on compliance-related capital expenditures will be determined by multiplying the weighted average debt cost for the debt issuances directly related to projects in the approved compliance plan times a TIER of 1.15. The initial rate of return shall be based on the weighted average cost of project debt as of December 31, 2004 of 4.918 percent and multiplied by a 1.15X TIER. This results in an initial rate of return of 5.66 percent. The rate of return on capital expenditures will be updated to reflect current average debt cost at the conclusion of the 6-month and 2-year surcharge reviews.
- (5) When the commercial operation date of a project is something other than the first of the month, East Kentucky will pro rate the depreciation expense included in the surcharge mechanism for the initial month. In addition, East Kentucky will perform a new depreciation study for all assets within 2 years of the date of the Commission's Order in this case. East Kentucky will file an application seeking Commission approval of the new depreciation rates for accounting and rate-making purposes. If the new depreciation study is completed in advance of the 2-year time period, the study will be filed within 60 days of its completion.
- (6) The monthly surcharge factor will:
  - a. Exclude any revenues associated with power purchased by East Kentucky to meet the requirements of Gallatin and Tennessee Gas Pipeline.
  - b. Include any revenues from steam sales to Inland Container and those sales will be subject to the environmental surcharge.
  - c. Exclude any revenues associated with sales under the "Green Power" tariffs and those sales will not be subject to the surcharge.
- (7) Proceeds from the sale of Gilbert unit by-products of fly ash, bed ash, and scrubber particles will be credited to the revenue requirement in the monthly surcharge calculation.
- (8) A 12-month rolling average of O&M expenses associated with the approved Compliance Plan and air permit fees will be used in the Surcharge Mechanism. For the Gilbert unit, until 12 months of operations have been achieved, the average will reflect the actual O&M expenses for the months of operation divided by the number of months of operation.

-10- Case No. 2004-00321 Case No. 2004-00372

- (9) Over- and under-recoveries of the surcharge will be computed for each month of each 6-month surcharge review period and East Kentucky will seek approval to amortize the amount during a subsequent period. East Kentucky will be allowed to recommend a reasonable amortization period, depending on the size of the amount to be amortized.
- (10) The use of the "base/current" approach for the pass through mechanism is not being decided in this case and the issue may be raised at the first 2-year environmental surcharge review. Until that time, the distribution cooperatives will utilize their proposed tariffs which reflect the "base/current" approach, with the base factor set at 0.0 percent.
- (11) The pass through mechanism will be billed to the distribution cooperatives' retail customers at approximately the same time as East Kentucky bills the Environmental Surcharge to the distribution cooperatives.

#### **Evaluation**

The unanimous Settlement Agreement appears to resolve all the issues raised in the environmental surcharge and pass through mechanism applications. The agreed to compliance plan for East Kentucky will contain only those environmental projects related to the generation of electricity by burning coal. This is consistent with the stated provisions of KRS 278.183, the Commission's previous decisions in environmental surcharge applications, and the decision of the Kentucky Supreme Court in *Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers v. Kentucky Utilities Co.*, Ky., 983 S.W.2d 493 (1998). In upholding the constitutionality of the environmental surcharge statute, the Supreme Court cited the preamble to the act, which provides as follows:

WHEREAS, it is hereby declared the policy of the General Assembly to foster and encourage the continued use of Kentucky coal by electric utilities serving the Commonwealth; and

WHEREAS, electric utilities should have incentive to use Kentucky coal in deciding how to best achieve and maintain compliance with the Federal Clean Air Act as amended and

> Case No. 2004-00321 Case No. 2004-00372

those environmental requirements which apply to coal combustion wastes and by-products from facilities utilized for production of energy from coal.

Kentucky Utilities at 496. The Court then stated that, "The legislative intent of the statute was to promote the use of high sulfur Kentucky coal ...," and that the surcharge statute "allows utilities to use Kentucky coal and collect the costs of cleaning high sulfur coal." *Id.* at 496-497. Thus, both the legislative and judicial histories of KRS 278.183 clearly limit the surcharge cost recovery to coal-related costs.

The environmental surcharge mechanism will provide for the recovery of actual environmental expenses associated only with the projects contained within the approved compliance plan. The environmental surcharge mechanism will apply the base/current approach in a manner consistent with the surcharge mechanisms approved in other proceedings. The Settlement Agreement eliminates East Kentucky's proposal to utilize an "incremental" approach in the determination of the current period revenue requirement. The adjustments to the revenues used to determine the environmental surcharge factor and the pass through mechanism factor are designed to remove the impact of sales by East Kentucky that do not result in East Kentucky incurring environmental compliance costs.

The Commission has reviewed the provisions of the Settlement Agreement and finds that they are reasonable and should be approved in total. The compliance plan and environmental surcharge mechanism established by the Settlement Agreement conform to the requirements of KRS 278.183. Given that it has had to purchase emission allowances during recent years, East Kentucky should benefit from the development of an emissions allowance strategy. East Kentucky should also benefit

Case No. 2004-00321 Case No. 2004-00372 from conducting a company-wide depreciation study. The monthly environmental surcharge report formats contained in the Settlement Agreement should provide sufficient information for the Commission to review the environmental surcharge factor each month prior to the implementation of the surcharge factor.

It was noted at the public hearing that no monthly reporting format had been proposed for the distribution cooperatives' pass through mechanism. East Kentucky agreed that such a reporting format should be developed and filed so the Commission can review the determination of the monthly pass through factors before they appear on retail bills. East Kentucky subsequently distributed to the parties and the Commission a draft reporting format. The Commission has reviewed that draft and finds it reasonable with minor revisions so that the same format can be used by each distribution cooperative. A copy of this reporting format is attached to this Order as Appendix B. The monthly pass through mechanism reporting format will be submitted to the Commission at the same time the monthly environmental surcharge reports are filed.

### EFFECTIVE DATE

East Kentucky and its distribution cooperatives had originally proposed that the environmental surcharge and the pass through mechanism be effective for service rendered beginning April 1, 2005. On February 17, 2005, East Kentucky informed the parties and the Commission that some of its distribution cooperatives requested the implementation date be delayed "to moderate the effect of adding the new Surcharge to

Case No. 2004-00321 Case No. 2004-00372

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Transcript of Evidence, February 2, 2005 at 15-16.

Spring 2005 retail bills which will include relatively high Fuel Adjustment Clause charges relating to fuel and power purchase costs for winter months." Consequently, East Kentucky requests a 3-month delay so the surcharge and pass through mechanism will be effective for service rendered on or after July 1, 2005.

East Kentucky has determined that this 3-month delay would result in a one-time revenue reduction of \$7.0 to \$8.0 million and that this revenue reduction can be absorbed without severe disruptions to its cash flow. During 2004, East Kentucky experienced cash flow constraints due to construction expenditures for the Gilbert Unit and delays in obtaining advances on a then-pending Rural Utilities Service ("RUS") loan. The result was East Kentucky having to delay its purchase of emission allowances for its 2004 compliance. East Kentucky states that the RUS loan has been approved and it has been securing advances on that loan, which in turn have been used to reimburse East Kentucky funds used for construction and to pay off short-term borrowings. Thus, East Kentucky contends that the 2004 cash flow problem has been resolved and is not anticipated to recur.

Based upon the representations offered by East Kentucky, the Commission believes that the 3-month delay should not adversely impact East Kentucky's cash flow. Therefore, the Commission finds that the request to delay the effective date to July 1, 2005 is reasonable and should be approved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> February 17, 2005 letter at 1.

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- The Settlement Agreement dated February 2, 2005, and attached hereto as Appendix A, is hereby approved.
  - 2. East Kentucky's proposed ES tariff is denied.
- 3. The ES tariff contained in the February 2, 2005 Settlement Agreement is approved for service rendered on and after July 1, 2005.
- 4. East Kentucky's rate of return shall be determined consistent with the provisions of the February 2, 2005 Settlement Agreement. The current rate of return is 5.66 percent.
  - 5. East Kentucky's BESF initially shall be 0.51 percent.
- 6. The East Kentucky distribution cooperatives' proposed pass through mechanism tariff is denied.
- 7. The pass through mechanism tariff contained in the February 2, 2005 Settlement Agreement is approved for service rendered on and after July 1, 2005.
- 8. East Kentucky shall file monthly the environmental surcharge reporting formats included in the February 2, 2005 Settlement Agreement. Each of East Kentucky's distribution cooperatives shall file monthly the reporting format included in Appendix B for its monthly pass through mechanism.
- 9. Within 10 days of the date of this Order, East Kentucky and its distribution cooperatives shall file with the Commission revised tariff sheets setting out the ES tariff and pass through mechanism tariff as approved herein.

Case No. 2004-00321 Case No. 2004-00372 Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 17<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2005.

By the Commission

ATTEST:

Executive Director

Case No. 2004-00321 Case No. 2004-00372

### APPENDIX A

### APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NOS. 2004-00321 and 2004-00372 DATED March 17, 2005

FEBRUARY 2, 2005 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

### SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement, is entered this 2nd day of February, 2005, by and among East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc., (hereinafter referred to as "EKPC"); Big Sandy RECC, Blue Grass Energy Cooperative Corporation, Clark Energy Cooperative, Cumberland Valley Electric, Farmers RECC, Fleming-Mason Energy, Grayson RECC, Inter-County Energy Cooperative, Jackson Energy Cooperative, Licking Valley RECC, Nolin RECC, Owen Electric Cooperative, Salt River Electric, Shelby Energy Cooperative, South Kentucky RECC and Taylor County RECC (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "EKPC Member Systems"); the Kentucky Office of the Attorney General (hereinafter referred to as the "Attorney General"); and Gallatin Steel Company (hereinafter referred to as "Gallatin Steel").

### WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, EKPC filed an Application with the Kentucky Public Service

Commission (the "Commission") on September 17, 2004 for approval of an

Environmental Compliance Plan and authority to implement an Environmental Surcharge
pursuant to KRS §278.183 in PSC Case No. 2004-00321; and the EKPC Member

Systems filed an Application with the Commission on the same date in PSC Case No.

2004-00372, seeking authority to pass through to their retail electric rates any

Environmental Surcharge granted to EKPC;

WHEREAS, The Attorney General was made a party to PSC Case No. 2004-00321 and 2004-00372 by orders of the Commission dated September 22, 2004 and October 12, 2004, respectively, and Gallatin Steel was made a party to PSC Case No. 2004-00321 and 2004-00372 by orders of the Commission dated October 7, 2004;

WHEREAS, The Parties to the above-referenced cases participated in a settlement conference on January 20, 2005 at the offices of the Commission, with the assistance and participation of Commission staff, and discussed and resolved all contested issues in said cases; and

WHEREAS, The Parties desire to settle all issues in the above-referenced cases based on the terms contained in this Settlement Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the premises and conditions set forth herein, the Parties hereby agree, as follows:

### Amendment of EKPC's Application in PSC Case No. 2004-00321

- 1. The Parties agree to use the Base-Current methodology for calculation of the monthly surcharge factor for EKPC. The Base (BESF) for EKPC will be 0.51%. The Parties agree that the issue of the distribution cooperative's use of the base/current approach is not being decided in these cases, and that issue may be raised in the first two-year environmental surcharge review. Until that time, the distribution cooperatives will utilize their proposed tariffs, which reflect the base/current approach, with the Base (BESF) set at 0%. The BESF for EKPC is based on the computation outlined in Attachment 1 herein. It reflects the recognition of the cost of those environmental-related assets already included in EKPC's base rates that are being replaced by new projects under EKPC's Environmental Compliance Plan.
- 2. The Parties agree that EKPC's Environmental Compliance Plan shall consist of the following projects:

Project 1: Gilbert 1 Boiler (pollution-control related only), SNCR, Baghouse and Flash Drier Absorber

Project 2: Spurlock 1 Precipitator

Project 3: Spurlock 1 SCR

Project 4: Spurlock 2 SCR

- 3. The Parties agree that only the environmental activities and costs directly related to these four projects are eligible for cost recovery.
- 4. The Parties agree that the reasonable return on construction expenditures shall be based on a weighted average debt cost of those debt issuances directly related to the four projects in EKPC's compliance plan, multiplied by a 1.15 TIER factor. Further, the initial rate of return shall be based on the weighted average cost of such debt as of December 31, 2004 of 4.918%, multiplied by a 1.15 TIER factor, or 5.66%. Attachment 2 provides the basis of this rate of return. The Parties agree that the 5.66% return will remain in use until altered by Commission Order. EKPC will update the return as of the end of each six-month review period and request Commission approval of the updated average cost of debt. The 1.15 TIER factor will be applied to the updated average cost of debt. Upon Commission approval, the updated rate of return will be applied prospectively until altered by the Commission.
- 5. The Parties agree that EKPC will use only actual property tax expense and actual insurance expense in the monthly surcharge calculation.
- 6. The Parties agree that EKPC will only include a pro-rated share of depreciation expense for eligible projects in the initial month of service when the commercial operation date of the project is something other than the first day of the month.
- 7. The Parties agree that the revenues from steam sales to Fleming-Mason/Inland Container will be included in the revenues, R (m), of the monthly surcharge calculation and that such sales will be subject to the environmental surcharge.
- 8. The Parties agree that the portion of the sales to Owen Electric/Gallatin Steel which are sourced from Louisville Gas & Electric pursuant to a Letter Agreement between EKPC and LG&E dated October 27, 1994, will be excluded from the revenues, R(m), and that the surcharge will not be charged to Owen/Gallatin on that portion of their revenues. This provision shall remain effective until the current Agreement between EKPC and LG&E is terminated.
- 9. The Parties agree that the on-peak portion of revenues from sales to Taylor County RECC for Tennessee Gas Pipeline (TGP) and to Fleming-Mason EC for TGP shall be excluded from the revenues, R(m), and that the surcharge will not be charged to Taylor County/TGP and Fleming-Mason EC/TGP on that portion of their revenues. All other sales to Taylor County RECC and Fleming Mason EC for service to TGP will be subject to the surcharge. This provision shall remain effective until the current Agreements are terminated.
- 10. The Parties agree that the sale of by-products from the Gilbert Unit, such as fly ash, bed ash and scrubber particles, will be credited to the revenue requirement in the monthly surcharge calculation.

- 11. EKPC agrees to perform, or have performed, a depreciation study on all of its assets within the two-year period commencing from the date of the Commission's Order in this proceeding. EKPC agrees to file an Application seeking approval of the Commission for the rates contained in the depreciation study for accounting and ratemaking purposes. EKPC will also seek the approval of RUS. Should the study be completed in advance of the two-year time period, EKPC agrees to file the study with the Commission within 60 days of completion.
- 12. The Parties agree to use a 12-month rolling average of O&M expenses for the surcharge calculation. The accounts subject to this provision are Accts. 50144, 50621, 50631, 50641, 50642, 50644 50645, 51241, 51242, and 51244. The Parties agree that the environmentally-related O&M expenses for the Gilbert generating unit (Accts. 50144, 50644, 51244) shall be recovered by using the actual cost in month one of operation; for month two, use the average of expenses incurred in months one and two, for month three, use the average of expenses incurred in months one, two and three. This process will continue until the end of the first twelve months of operation at which time the Gilbert O&M costs will be treated like all other eligible O&M costs.
- 13. The Parties agree that EKPC and the distribution cooperatives will compute over/under recoveries for each month of each six-month review period and will seek approval to amortize the amount during a subsequent six-month review period. EKPC and the distribution cooperatives will be allowed to recommend an appropriate period of amortization, depending upon the size of the amortization amount.
- 14. EKPC will prepare an Emissions Allowance Strategy Plan for submittal to the Commission by no later than July 31, 2005. The study will focus on EKPC's strategy for purchasing SO2 and NOx allowances, as required, including the timing of such purchases.
- 15. EKPC agrees to include, for inventory balance and emission allowance expense purposes, the actual emission allowances purchased. Use of estimated emission allowance purchases shall not be permitted for surcharge recovery purposes. EKPC will only include emission allowances associated with coal-fired generating units in the surcharge calculation.
- 16. The Parties agree that the benefit from the sale of any allowances at the annual EPA auction shall be reflected as a credit in the emission allowance inventory and reflected in the average inventory price used in the computation of the monthly surcharge factor.
- 17. The Parties agree that the revenues associated with sales under the Green Power tariffs for EKPC and the Member Systems, as applicable, shall not be included in the denominator of the surcharge calculation nor subject to the environmental surcharge.

- 18. The Parties agree that the methodology for billing the distribution cooperatives outlined in EKPC's testimony and exhibits will be utilized. The methodology allows the distribution cooperatives to bill their customers the environmental surcharge at approximately the same time as EKPC bills the environmental surcharge to the distribution cooperatives, thus avoiding a billing lag for the distribution cooperatives.
- 19. EKPC has estimated the impact of the approval of the environmental surcharge on the distribution cooperatives and on their retail customers. EKPC has estimated that the surcharge factor will be 7.08% in the first full month, resulting in an estimated \$33.5 million in revenue annually. This is depicted in Attachment 3. The surcharge at the retail level is estimated to be 4.96% for the first month. For a residential customer using 1,000 kWh per month at an average rate of 6.5c/kWh, the increase is estimated to be about \$3.20 per month. The effect of the surcharge on each distribution cooperative and on their retail customers will vary depending upon the relationship of the level of purchased power to the total revenues of each distribution cooperative.
- 20. Attachment 4 to this document provides the revised Environmental Surcharge Monthly Filing forms and tariff sheets in conformance with the provisions of this settlement. The attachment includes a redlined version compared to the original filing as well as a new version.

### Other Provisions

- 21. The Parties will jointly move the Commission to amend EKPC's Application in PSC Case No. 2004-00321, as provided hereinabove, to approve EKPC's Environmental Compliance Plan on such amended terms, and to authorize EKPC to implement its Environmental Surcharge on such amended Compliance Plan effective for service rendered beginning April 1, 2005.
- 22. This Settlement Agreement is subject to the approval of the Commission and shall not be deemed to affect the jurisdiction of the Commission or to in any way supercede Chapter 278 of the Kentucky Revised Statutes. Nothing in this settlement shall be considered as precedent in future cases before the Commission.
- 23. Upon formal adoption and acceptance by the Commission of this Settlement Agreement as a full resolution of all issues arising from the proceedings in the subject cases, all Parties agree that no petition for rehearing, pursuant to KRS §278.400, nor any appeal, pursuant to KRS §278.410, will be filed by any Party.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the duly authorized counsel for the Parties have affixed their signatures to this Settlement Agreement on the date first above written.

EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. and EKPC MEMBER SYSTEMS

Counsel

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY

GENERAL

Counsel

GALLATIN STEEL COMPANY

Counsel

Attachment 1
Page 1 of 2

### Support for BESF Per Settlement Agreement

1.	Depreciation Expense	\$ Amount 501,570 70,778		<u>Total 5</u>	Source Staff 8, p. 3 of 3, 1st Request Staff 8, p. 3 of 3, 1st Request
	Total	30,960		603,308	Slaff 8, p. 3 of 3, 1st Request
2.	Oper & Mice* Air Permit Fees Total O & M	39,462 . 188,636		228,098	Page 2 of 2 Wood Exhibit 2, p. † of 1
3.	Property Tax	12,217 1,974 861		15,052	Staff 8, p. 3 of 3, 1st Request Staff 8, p. 3 of 3, 1st Request Staff 8, p. 3 of 3, 1st Request
	•	al Dag		10,002	Gallatin 10, 1st Request
4.	Insurance		:	11,203	Gallatin 10, 15t Nequest
Return or	Rale Base				
5.	Råte Base Precip Preheater Fans	8,144,692 1,315,867 573,729	10,034,288		Wood Exhibit 1, p. 1 of 11 Wood Exhibit 1, p. 9 of 11 Wood Exhibit 1, p. 10 of 11
6.	Gash Working Capital	(1/8 of O&M)	28,512		Line 5* 1/B
	Total Rate Base		10,062,800		
7.	Apply Rate of Return Total Return on Rate B	3ase	7.58%	762,760	Gallatin 3 1st Request, P. 2 of 4
8.	Total Costs			1,620,421	Line 1+2+3+4+7
9.	Calculation of % of Me	mber System I	Revenues to total	revenues including of	-system sales.
	Member Sys Rev Off System Sales Rev	enue	240,629,490 74,774,167 315,403,657	76.29% 23.71% 100.00%	Gallatin 3 1st Request, P. 3 of 4 Gallatin 3 1st Request, P. 4 of 4
	Total Costs Incl Rate of Exclusion of Off-Syste Revenue Requirement	m Sales	1,620,421 76.29% 1,236,219		
	Member Sys Revenue		240,629,490		
	Rev Req / Mbr Sys Re	veńues	0.51%		

### PSC Request 4 Page 31 of 95

Aftiachment 1 Page 2 of 2

Oper & Mtce Expense ReconciliationBESF	1993 Costs	SOURCE
1. Operation & Maintenance Costs	\$213,791	Gallatin Request 3, Attachment, Page 1 of 4
Ellmination of O&M costs for Projects Not Tied to a Compilance Project		
2. Routine Ash System Maintenance	(\$86,526)	PSC First Data Request 13, Attachment, Page 1 of 1
3. Spurlock 2 Precipitator Maintenance	(\$68,787)	PSC First Data Request 13, Attachment, Page 1 of 1
4. Spuriock 2 Scrubber Maintenance	(\$19,016)	PSC First Data Request 13, Attachment, Page 1 of 1
O&M Cost for Settlement - BESF	\$39,462	Line 1 - Line 2 - Line 3 - Line 4

Attachment 2

### Weighted Average Cost of Debt

	Loan	NBV		
	Source	as of 3/31/05	Cost	Welghts
Compliance Project	(1)	(2)	(3)	$(4)=(2)^{*}(3)$
1. Glibert	Z-B	\$69,612,000	4.84%	1.696%
2. Spurlock 1 - Precipitator	Y-B	\$22,480,163	4.96%	0.561%
3. Spurlock 1 - SCR	Y-B	\$69,937,007	4.96%	1.746%
4. Spurlock 2 - SCR	Y-B	\$36,670,706	4.96%	0.915%
· ·	_	\$198,699,876		4.918%
	•			
Y-8 30 year				
				Composite
Note	Current Liability	Interest	Yearly	Rate
Number	12/31/04	Rale	Interest	Total (4)/ Total (2)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
F0720	\$25,000,000	4.460%	\$1,115,000	
F0725	\$25,000,000	4.819%	\$1,204,750	
F0730	\$24,800,000	4.950%	\$1,227,600	
F0760	\$25,000,000	5.091%	\$1,272,750	
F0755	\$25,000,000	5.149%	\$1,287,250	
F0760	\$25,000,000	5.065%	\$1,266,250	
F0765	\$25,000,000	5.011%	\$1,252,750	
F0770	\$27,000,000	5.149%	\$1,390,230	
	\$201,800,000		\$10,016,580	4.96%
Z8 34 Yéar				
				Composite
Note	Current Liability		Yearly	Rate
Number	12/31/04	Rate	Interest	Total (4)/ Total (2)
<u>(1)</u>	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
F0810	\$50,000,000	4.744%	\$2,372,000	
F0815	\$50,000,000	4.825%	\$2,412,500	
F0820	\$50,000,000	4.946%	\$2,473,000	

\$150,000,000

\$7,257,500

4.84%

Attachment 3

### ATTACHMENT 3

Environmental Surchorge: Recoverable Dollars							
Based on Weighled Average Cost of Debt of: 4.918% @ 12/31/04 with TIER of 1.15 = 5.68%							
	Description	Esilmaled Value 3/31/2005	RORB: Cal (2) x 5.66%	Annual Deprecialion Expense	Annual 'O&M Expense	Taxes and Insuranco	Environmental Surcharge Recoverable \$ (2)+(4)+(5)+(5)=
Une No.	(1)	[2]	(3)	(4)	(5)	ច្រ	<u></u>
	l. Return on Rate Base, Depreciation, Taxes and insurance						
1	Glibert	589,612,000	\$3,940,039	\$D			\$3,940,039
2	Spurlock 1- Predpitator	\$22,480,163	\$1,272,377	\$988,139		\$58,822	\$2,328,338
3	Spuriock 1 - SCR	\$60,937,007	\$3,958,435	\$3,702,844		5214,110	\$7,875,189
4	Spurlock 2 - SCR	\$30,670,708	\$2,075,582	\$2,575,038		\$112,256	\$4,782,864
5	SO2 Allowance inventory	\$14,186,351	\$801,827				\$801,827
6	NOx Emission Allowanco inventory	şo	\$0				SD
7	Cash Working Capital	\$178,605	\$10,109				\$10,109
В	Spare Paris & Limestone Inventory	\$0	\$0				SD
	II. Other Expenses						
9	O&M Expense (including Air Permit Fees)			***************************************	51,428,839		\$1,420,639
10	O&M Expense - Gilbert				50		
11	SO2 Emission Allowance Expenses				\$14,816,460		\$14,816,460
12	NOx Emission Allowance Expenses				\$0		\$0
	Tatals	5213,045,032	\$12,058,34	57,265,819	\$16,245,299	\$395,19	\$35,964,685
13	Monthly Surcharge Allocation Factor	89.79%					
14	Recoverable Dollars = Monthly Surcharge Allocation Fector x Total ES Recoverable \$	\$35,889,138		***************************************			
15	Projected Electric Energy Revenues from Member Systems in year ending March 31, 2005	\$472,783,000					
16	CESF: Recoverable 5 / Revenues	7 59%					
17	BESF	0.51%			man or market		
18	MESF (Line 16 - Line 17)	7,00%	4				
19	Recoverable Dellars (Line 15' 7.08%)	5 33,473,038	1	<u> </u>	<u></u>	1	

EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC

Attachment 4
Page 1 of 28
For All Counties Served
P.S.C. No. 28
Original Sheet No. 27

### RATE ES - ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE

### APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all sections of this rate schedule and this rate schedule shall apply to each Member System.

### AVAILABILITY

This rate schedule shall apply to EKPC rate sections A, B, C, E, and G and all special contracts with rates subject to adjustment upon the approval of the Commission.

### RATE

The Environmental Surcharge shall provide for monthly adjustments based on a percent of revenues equal to the difference between the environmental compliance costs in the base period and in the current period based on the following formula:

CESF = E(m) / R(m)

MESF = CESF - BESF

MESF = Monthly Environmental Surcharge Factor

CESF = Current Environmental Surcharge Factor

BESF = Base Environmental Surcharge Factor of 0.51%

where E(m) is the total of each approved environmental compliance plan revenue requirement of environmental costs for the current expense month and R(m) is the revenue for the current expense month as expressed below.

#### Definitions

(1)  $E(m) = \frac{(RB/12)(RORB)}{(RORB)} + OE - BAS + (Over)Under Recovery$ 

where:

- (a) RB is the Environmental Compliance Rate Base, defined as electric plant in service and CWIP for applicable environmental projects adjusted for accumulated depreciation, cash working capital, spare parts and limestone inventory, emission allowance inventory;
- (b) RORB is the Rate of Return on the Environmental Compliance Rate Base, designated as the average cost of debt for environmental compliance plan projects approved by the Commission plus application of a times-interest-earned ratio of 1.15;

DATE OF ISSUE September 17, 2004 DATE EFFECTIVE Service rendered beginning April 1, 2005						
4						
ISSUED BY	TITLE	PRESIDENT/CEO				
and the second of the second o						
Issued by authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission of Kentucky in						
CASE NODATED						

PSC Request 4 Page 35 of 95

Attachment 4
Page 2 of 28
For All Counties Served
P.S.C. No. 28
Original Sheet No. 28

### EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC

- (c) OE is the Monthly Pollution Control Operating Expenses, defined as the average of the twelve month operating and maintenance expense; depreciation expense, property taxes, insurance expense, emission allowance expense, and consulting fees. O&M expense for the pollution-control related equipment at the Gilbert generating unit will be recovered by including an average of the monthly expense as the Unit begins operation;
- (d) BAS is the net proceeds from By-Products and Emission Allowance Sales, and:
- (e) (Over) or Under recovery amount as amortized from prior six-month period.
- (2) Total E(m) is multiplied by the Member System Allocation Ratio to arrive at Net E(m). The Member System Allocation Ratio is based on the ratio of the 12-month total revenue from sales to Member Systems to which the Surcharge will be applied, ending with the current expense month, divided by the 12-month total revenue from sales to Member Systems and off-system sales.
- (3) The revenue R(m) is the average monthly revenue, including base revenues and automatic adjustment clause revenues less Environmental Cost Recovery Surcharge revenues, for EKPC for the twelve months ending with the current expense month.
- (4) The current expense month (m) shall be the second month preceding the month in which the Environmental Surcharge is billed.

., .,		·	
DATE OF ISSUE September 17, 2004	DATE EFFECTIVE_	Service rendered beginning April 1, 2005	
ISSUED BY	TTTLE	PRESIDENT/CEO	
Issued by authority of an Order of the Public Se	rvice Commission of Ke	ntucky in	
CASE NO	DATED		

Attachment 4

Page 3 of 28 FOR ENTIRE TERRITORY SERVED Community, Town or City P.S.C. KY. NO. \_\_\_\_\_\_ SHEET NO. Original Member System (Name of Utility) CLASSIFICATION OF SERVICE RATES SCHEDULE ES - ENVÍRONMENTAL SURCHARGE AVAILABILITY In all of the Company's service territory. APPLICABILITY This rate schedule shall apply to all electric rate schedules and special contracts. RATE  $CE\dot{S}(m) = ES(m) - BESF$ where CES(m) = Current Month Environmental Surcharge Factor ES(m) = Current Month Environmental Surcharge Calculation BESF = Base Environmental Surcharge Factor of 0%  $ES(m) = [((WESF) \times (Average of 12-months ended revenues from sales to Member System for$ current expense month, excluding environmental surcharge)) + (Over)/Under Recovery] divided by [Average of 12-months ending Retail Revenue (excluding environmental surcharge)] where WESF = Wholesale Environmental Surcharge Factor for Current Expense Month DATE OF ISSUE . September 17, 2004 Month / Date / Year DATE EFFECTIVE April 1, 2005 Month / Date / Year ISSUED BY\_ (Signature of Officer) TITLE .. PRESIDENT/CEO BY AUTHORITY OF ORDER OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN CASE NO. \_\_\_\_\_DATED \_\_\_\_\_

# PSC Request 4 Page 37 of 95

Attachment 4
Page 4 of 2B
FOR ENTIRE TERRITORY SERVED
Community, Town or City
P.S.C. KY. NO.

	P.S.C. KY. NO.
	Original SHEET NO.
Member System (Name of Utility)	
, ,,,	•
	CLASSIFICATION OF SERVICE
•	

(Over)/Under Recovery =

6-months cumulative (over)/under recovery as defined by amount billed by EKPC to Member System minus the amount billed by Member System to retail customer. Over or under recoveries shall be amortized over a six-month period.

BESF = zero

### BILLING

The current expense month (m) shall be the second month preceding the month in which the Environmental Surcharge is billed.

	•	
DATE OF ISSUE	September 17, 2004	
	Month/D	
DATE EFFECTIVE	_April 1, 2005	
	Month/D	Date / Year
ISSUED BY		
	(Signature	of Officer)
TITLE PRE	SIDENTI/CEO	
BY AUTHORITY OF O	RDER OF THE PUBLI	C SERVICE COMMISSION
IN CASE NO.		DATED

PSC Request 4 Page 38 of 95

Attachment 4
Page 5 of 28
For All Counties Served
P.S.C. No. 28
Original Sheet No. 28

EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC

DATE OF ISSUE	. Scotember 17, 2004	
	Month / Date / Year	
DATE EFFECTIVE	April 1, 2005	The same of the sa
	Month / Date / Year	
ISSUEĎ BY		
	(Signature of Officer)	
TITLE PRE	SIDENT/CEÒ	·
BY AUTHORITY OF O	ORDER OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE CO	MMISSION
ነህ ርላሪድ ያነር	DATED	

Attachment 4
Page 6 of 28
For All Counties Served
P.S.C. No. 28
Original Sheet No. 27

### EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC

### RATE ES - ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE

### APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all sections of this rate schedule and this rate schedule shall apply to each Member System.

### AVAILABILITY

This rate schedule shall apply to EKPC rate sections A, B, C, E, and G and all special contracts with rates subject to adjustment upon the approval of the Commission.

### RATE

The Environmental Surcharge shall provide for monthly adjustments based on a percent of revenues equal to the difference between the environmental compliance costs in the base period and in the current period based on the following formula:

CESF = E(m) / R(m)

MESF = CESF - BESF

MESF = Monthly Environmental Surcharge Factor

CESF = Current Environmental Surcharge Factor

BESF = Base Environmental Surcharge Factor

where B(m) is the total of each approved environmental compliance plan revenue requirement of environmental costs for the current expense month and R(m) is the revenue for the current expense month as expressed below.

### Definitions

(1) E(m) = [(RB/12)(RORB) + OE - BAS + (Over)Under Recovery

where:

- (a) RB is the Environmental Compliance Rate Base, defined as electric plant in service and CWIP for applicable environmental projects adjusted for accumulated depreciation, cash working capital, spare parts and limestone inventory, emission allowance inventory;
- (b) RORB is the Rate of Return on the Environmental Compliance Rate Base, designated as the average control cost of debt with the plus application and the plus application application.

of a times-interest-earned ratio of 1.15:

DATE OF ISSUE September 17, 200	4DATE EFFECTIVE_	Service rendered beginning April 1, 2005		
ISSUED BY	TITLE	PRESIDENT/CEO		
Issued by authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission of Kentucky in				
CASE NO	DATED			

Attachment 4 Page 7 of 28 For All Counties Served P.S.C. No. 28

### EA

AST KENTUCKY	POWER COOPERATIVE, INC	Original Sheet No. 28
	(c) OE is the Monthly Pollution Control Ope	
,	depreciation expense	allowance everyse and consulting
	fees and residual to the mouth of the second state of the second s	
	De muzeo bersigione de karametro sitassi Www.energenego.energ.energenego.energ	Emilian min West in empision and alternation
	<ul><li>(d) BAS is the net proceeds from By-Product</li><li>and;</li><li>(e) (Over) or Under recovery amount as amount</li></ul>	·
	m) is multiplied by the Member System Alloca	tion Ratio to arrive at Net E(m). The
to Member (	stem Allocation Ratio is based on the ratio of the Systems of the	ending with the current expense
adjustment (	enue R(m) is the average monthly revenue, incluctions revenues less Environmental Cost Recover months ending with the current expense mon	very Surcharge revenues, for EKPC
	rent expense month (m) shall be the second mot ntal Surcharge is billed.	nth preceding the month in which the
		•
DATE OF ISSUE S	ceptember 17, 2004 DATE EFFECTIVE Service render	ed beginning April 1. 2005
ISSUED BY		ENT/CEO
Issued by authority of an	Order of the Public Service Commission of Kentucky in	
CASE NO.	DATED	

	Attachment 4 Page 8 of 28			
<u>F(</u>	OR ENTIRE TERRITORY SERVED			
	Community, Town or City			
P.	.S.C. KY. NO.			
<u>O</u>	niginal SHEET NO.			
Member System (Name of Utility)	•			
CLASSIFICATION OF SI	ERVICE			
<u>RATES SCHEDULE ES – ENVIRONM</u>	ENTAL SURCHARGE			
AVAILABILITY				
In all of the Company's service territory.				
<u>APPLICABILITY</u>				
This rate schedule shall apply to all electric rate schedules	and special contracts.			
RATE				
CES(m) = ES(m) - BESF				
where CES(m) = Current Month Environmental Surch ES(m) = Current Month Environmental Surch BESF = Base Environmental Surcharge Factor	arge Calculation			
ES(m) = [((WESF) x (Average of 12-months ended revenues from sales to Member System for current expense month, excluding environmental surcharge)) + (Over)/Under Recovery] divided by [Average of 12-months ending Retail Revenue (excluding environmental surcharge)] =				
where WESF = Wholesale Environmental Surcharge Factor	or for Current Expense Month			
DATE OF ISSUE September 17, 2004  Month/Date/Year				
DATE EFFECTIVE . April 1, 2005				
Month / Date / Year				
ISSUED BY (Signature of Officer)				
TITLE PRESIDENT/CEO				
BY AUTHORITY OF ORDER OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				
BA VOLHORGIA OF OKDER OF THE LORDING PERAICS COMMISSION				

Attachment 4
Page 9 of 28
FOR ENTIRE TERRITORY SERVED
Community, Town or City

	P.S.C. KY. NO
	Original SHEET NO.
Member System (Name of Utility)	•
CLASSIFICATIO	N OF SERVICE
(Över)/Under Recovery =	
6-months cumulative (over)/under recovery as deminus the amount billed by Member System to reamortized over a six-month period.	fined by amount billed by EKPC to Member System stail customer. Over or under recoveries shall be
BESF = zero	
BILLIN	<u>IG</u>
The current expense month (m) shall be the secon Environmental Surcharge is billed.	nd month preceding the month in which the
DATE OF ISSUE September 17, 2004 .  Month / Date / Year	
DATE EFFECTIVE April 1, 2005	
Month / Date / Year  ISSUED BY	
TITLE PRESIDENT/CEO	· manus <sup>an</sup> itrinis an <del>ima</del>
BY AUTHORITY OF ORDER OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMM	assion

IN CASE NO. \_\_\_\_\_DATED \_\_\_\_

PSC Request 4 Page 43 of 95

Attachment 4
Page 10 of 28
For All Counties Served
P.S.C. No. 28
Original Sheet No. 28

EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC

DATE OF ISSUE_	September	17. 2004
,		Month/Date/Year
DATE EFFECTIVE	April I	, 2005
		Month / Date / Year
ISSUED BY		
		(Signature of Officer)
Title	PRESIDENT/CEO	
·		
BY AUTHORITY	OF ORDER OF TH	E PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
IN CASE NO		DATED
A., C., C.		

Atlachment 4 Page 11 of 26 BOSTA EXPIBIT 2 PAGE 1 OF 2

## East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. Environmental Surcharge Report

Form 1.0

Calculation of Monthly Billed Environmental Surcharge Factor - MESF

For the Expense Month Ending March 31, 2005

	MESF = CESF - BESF	•
Where:	CESF = Current Period Environmental Surch BESF = Base Period Environmental Surchar	
Calculatio	n of MESF: CESF, from ES Form 1.1 BESF, from Case No. 2004-00321 MESF	= <u>0.51%</u> =
Effective I	Date for Billing:	
Submitted	by:	
Date Subi	mitted:	

Átlächment 4 Page 12 of 28 BOSTA EXHIBIT 2 PAGE 2 OF 2

### East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. Environmental Surcharge Report

Form 1.1

Calculation of Current Month Environmental Surcharge Factor (CESF)

For the Expense Month Ending March 31, 2005

1 E(m) = RORE + OE - BAS	
2 Rale Base	
3 Rate Base / 12	
4 Rate of Return	and a special
5 Return on Rate Base (RORB)	+
6 Operating Expenses (OÉ)	<del>'‡</del> '
7 By-Product and Emission Allowance Sales (BAS)	No security lands and security
8 Sub-Total E(m)	•
9 Member System Allocation Ratio for the Month (Form 3.0)	
10 Subtotal E(m) = Subtotal E(m) x Member System Allocation Ratio	
11 Adjustment for (Över)/Under Recovery, as applicable	
12 E(m) = Subtotal E(m) plus (Over)/Under Recovery	
13 R(m) = Average Monthly Member System Revenue for the 12 Months Ending with the Current Expense Month (Form 3.0)	
14 CESF: E(m) / R(m); as a % of Revenue	

Attachment 4
Page 13 of 28
BOSTA EXHIBIT 3
PAGE 1 OF 7

Form 2.0

### East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. **Environmental Surcharge Report** Revenue Requirements of Environmental Compliance Costs For the Expense Month of Ending March 31, 2005 Determination of Environmental Compliance Rate Base Elloible Pollution Control Plant (Gross Plant) Eligible Pollution CWIP Subtotal Additions: Inventory - Spare Parts Inventory - Limestone Inventory - Emission Allowances Cash Working Capital Allowance Subtotal Deductions Accumulated Depreciation on Eligible Pollution Control Plant Subtotal Environmental Compliance Rate Base **Determination of Pollution Control Operating Expenses** Monthly O&M Expense Monthly Depreciation and Amortization Expense Monthly Taxes Other Than Income Taxes Monthly Insurance Expense Monthly Emission Allowance Expense Monthly Surcharge Consultant Fee Total Pollution Control Operating Expense Gross Proceeds from By-Product and Emission Allowance Sales Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales (Over)/Under Recovery of Monthly Surcharge Due to Timing Differences E(m) Revenue Requirement for Six Month \$ Period Ending Revenue Collected for Six-Month Period Ending \$ Net (Over)/Under Recovery (Row 1 - Row 2) \$ Amortization of Net (Over)/Under Recovery

2

3

4

Line (3) / 6

Attachment 4 Page 14 of 28

Form 2.1

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. Environmental Surcharge Report Plant, CWIP, Depreciation, & Taxes and Insurance Expenses For the Month Ending March 31, 2005

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(7)
,		Eligible			Eligible		
		Gross	Eligible		Net Plant	Monthly	
Project		٤	Accumulated	CWIP	Service	Depreciation Exnense	Expense
20	TOROLD DESCRIPTION		Toniano.		(2)-(3)-(4)+(6)		
	Glibert,						-
2	Spuriock 1 Precipitator					•	
m	Spurlock 1 SCR						
4	Spuriocit 2 SCR						
		,					
·							
				_			·
						·	
	Total						

Attachment 4 Page 15 of 28 BOSTA EXHIBIT 3 PAGE 3 OF 7

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. Environmental Surcharge Report Form 2.2

Inventories of Spare Parts and Limestone

For the Month Ending March 31, 2005

				2 P* 1	101	771
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	<u></u>
	Beginning		Other		Ending	Reason(s) for
	Inventory	Purchases	Adjustments	Utilized	inventory	Adjustment
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	***************************************	-			(2)+(3)+(4)-(5)	
Spare Parts						·
Limesione						
Total					<u> </u>	

Attachment 4
Page 16 of 28
BOSTA EXHIBIT 3
PAGE 4 OF 7
Form 2.3

### East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. Environmental Surcharge Report

Inventory and Expense of Emission Allowances

For the Month ending March 31, 2005

		SO2 Allow	алсеѕ		
Month Endina	March 31, 2008	5			
	Beginning	Allocations/	······································		Ending
	inventory	Purchases	Ulllized	Sold	Inventory
Total SO2 Em	Ission Allowand	es in inventory	ł	<u></u>	
Quantity					
Dollars.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
\$/Allowence					1
Lain, garantee					
		NOx Allow	ances		
Month Ending	March 31, 200	5			***************************************
1.4	Beginning	Allocations/			Ending
	inventory	Purchases	Ullized	Sold	Inventory
Total NOx Em	Ission Allowanc	es in Inventory	•		
Quantity .	l				
Dollars					
\$/Allowance				}	

<sup>\*</sup>Includes coal-fired allowances only.

Attachment 4 Page 17 of 28 BOSTA EXHIBIT 3 PAGE 5 OF 7

# East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. Form 2.4 Environmental Surcharge Report D&M Expenses and Determination of Cash Working Capital Allowance

For the Expense Month Ending March 31, 2005

Eligible O&M Expenses	Non-Gilbert	Gllbert	Total
11th previous month			
10th previous month			
9th previous month			
8th previous month			
7th previous month			
6th previous month			
5th previous month			
4th previous month			
3rd previous month			
2nd previous month		ļ	
Previous month			
Current month			
Total 12 Month O&M			
Average Monthly O&M			
Determination of Working		1	
Capital Allowance			
•		ļ	
12 Months			
O&M Expense			
One-Eighth (1/8) of 12 Month			
O&M Expenses		<u> </u>	

Attactiment 4
Page 18 of 28
BOSTA EXHIBIT 3
PAGE 6 OF 7

Form 2.5

### East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. Environmental Surcharge Operating and Maintenance Expenses For the Expense Month Ending March 31, 2005

	Experisé Type	Account Description	Amount
}	Maintenance	•	
	50144 51241 51242 51244	Fuel Coal Gilbert Maintenance of Boller Plant Spurlock 1 Maintenance of Boller Plant Spurlock 2 Maintenance of Boller Plant Gilbert	
11	Air Permit Fees 50621 50631 50645	Misc Steam Power Environmental Dale Misc Steam Power Environmental Cooper Misc Steam Power Environmental Spuriock	
m	Opeialing Expense - Ammonia a 50641 50642 50644	ind Limestone Misc Steam Power Expense - Spurlock 1 Misc Steam Power Expense - Spurlock 2 Misc Steam Power Expense - Gilbert	

Attachment 4 Page 19 of 28

Form 3.0.

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. Environmental Surcharge Report Monthly Average Revenue Computation of R(m)

For the Month Ended March 31, 2005

		Revenues fro	Revenues from Member Systems	STTIS			Total Company Revenues.	ny Revenues.
E	(6)	(6)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)	(6)
		721	, ,		Total			Total
<del></del>	Base	Fuel	Environmental		Excluding			Excluding
	Rate	Clause	Surcharge		Environmental			Environmental
Month	Кеувпиев	Revenues	Revenues	Tola!	Surcharga (5)-(4)	Off-System Safes	Total. (5)+(7)	Surcharge (8)-(4)
Apr-04 May-04 Jun-04 Jun-04 Jul-04 Aug-04 Sep-04 Oct-04 Dec-04 Jan-05 Feb-05 Mar-05								
Totals								
verage Mo urcharge,1	Average Monthly Member Surcharge, for 12 Months B	System Reven Ending Current	nber System Revenues, Excluding Environmental ths Ending Current Expense Month.	invironmental				
		(En	Member System Allocation Percentage for Current Month (Environmental Surcharge excluded from Calculations): Column (6) / Column (9) =	harc	stem Allocation from Calculation	Percentage fo	Member System Allocation Percentage for Current Month is excluded from Calculations): Column (6) $l$ Column (9) =	an Theology
				)				
								_

Attachment 4
Page 20 of 28
BOSTA EXHIBIT 2
PAGE 1 OF 2

### East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. Environmental Surcharge Report

Form 1.0

Calculation of Monthly Billed Environmental Surcharge Factor - MESF

For the Expense Month Ending March 31, 2005

MESF = CESF - BESF

W	h	P	rp	

CESF = Current Period Environmental Surcharge Factor BESF = Base Period Environmental Surcharge Factor

Calcu	ilation	of N	<i>I</i> FSF

Date Submitted:

CESF, from ES Form 1.1 BESF, from Case No. 2004-00321

= = 1500 650 750

**MESF** 

**.** .

Effective Date	for Billing:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Submilled by:		

Attachment 4
Page 21 of 28
BOSTA EXHIBIT 2
PAGE 2 OF 2

### East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. Environmental Surcharge Report

Form 1.1

Calculation of Current Month Environmental Surcharge Factor (CESF)

For the Expense Month Ending March 31, 2005

1 E(m) = RORB + OE - BAS	
2 Rate Base	
3 Rate Base / 12	
4 Rate of Return	22
5 Return on Rate Base (RORB)	+
6 Operating Expenses (OE)	+
7 By-Product and Emission Allowance Sales (BAS)	The state of the s
8 Sub-Total E(m)	
9 Member System Allocation Ratio for the Month (Form 3.0)	
10 Subtotal E(m) = Subtotal E(m) x Member System Allocation Ratio	
11 Adjustment for (Over)/Under Recovery, as applicable	
12 E(m) = Subtotal E(m) plus (Over)/Under Recover	¥
13 R(m) = Average Monthly Member System Revenue for the 12 Months Ending with the Current Expense Month (Form 3.0)	
14 CESF: E(m) / R(m); as a % of Revenue	

Attachment 4
Page 22 of 28
BOSTA EXHIBIT 3
PAGE 1 OF 7

# East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. Form 2.0 **Environmental Surcharge Report** Revenue Requirements of Environmental Compliance Costs For the Expense Month of Ending March 31, 2005 Determination of Environmental Compliance Rate Base Eligible Pollution Control Plant (Gross Plant) Eligible Pollution CWIP Subtotal Additions: Inventory - Spare Parts Inventory - Limestone Inventory - Emission Allowances Cash Working Capital Allowance Sublotal Deductions Accumulated Depreciation on Eligible Pollution Control Plant **Environmental Compliance Rate Base Determination of Pollution Control Operating Expenses** Monthly O&M Expense Monthly Depreciation and Amortization Expense Monthly Taxes Other Than Income Taxes Monthly Insurance Expense Monthly Emission Allowance Expense Monthly Surcharge Consultant Fee **Total Pollution Control Operating Expense** Gross Proceeds from By-Product and Emission Allowance Sales Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales (Over)/Under Recovery of Monthly Surcharge Due to Timing Differences E(m) Revenue Requirement for Six Month \$ Period Ending Revenue Collected for Six-Month Period Ending Net (Over)/Under Recovery (Row 1 - Row 2)

1

2

3

Line (3) / 6

Amortization of Net (Over)/Under Recovery

Attachment 4 Page 23 of 28

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. Environmental Surcharge Report Plant, CWIP, Depreciation, & Taxes and Insurance Expenses For the Month Ending March 31, 2005

Monthly Taxes and Insurance Expense Monthly Depreciation Expense <u>できずにいるできずいに対しています。 Eligible Monthly Net Plant Monthly In Bepredalion</u> Service (2)-(3)-(4)+(5) Amount Accumulated Depreciation Ellplbe 6 (2) Eligible Gross Plant In Service JICSmilh—CT-4,2,3 -CT-Dumer Description JKSmilh-CT-5 CT-Bumer JICSHITH CT4 CT-Burner JICSMIR-CT-6 -CT-Burner JICSHIII—CT.7 CT-Bumer Precipitator Spurlock 2 SCR Spurlock 1 SCR Spuriock 1 Gilbert Total Project 3 4 2 N rΦ 4 L(I) Ф H

Form 2.1

Attachment 4
Page 24 of 28
BOSTA EXHIBIT 3
PAGE 3 OF 7

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. Environmental Surcharge Report

Form 2.2

Inventories of Spare Parts and Limestone

For the Month Ending March 31, 2005

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
	Beginning		Other		Ending	Reason(s) for
	Inventory	Purchases	Adjustments	Ulilized	Inventory	Adjustment
					(2)+(3)+(4)-(5)	
Spare Parts						
Limeśtone						
Total						

Attachment 4 Page 25 of 28 BOSTA EXHIBIT 3 PAGE 4 OF 7

Form 2.3

### East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. Environmental Surcharge Report

Inventory and Expense of Emission Allowances

For the Month ending March 31, 2005

			•						
	SO2 Allowances								
I									
Month Ending	March 31, 200	5							
	Beginning	Allocations/			Ending				
	Inventory	Purchases	Utiliżed	Sold	Inventory				
nonsoesii	ssio (Allowan	restinulnyentosy		***************************************					
Quantity									
Dollars									
\$/Allowance									
		NOx Allow	ances						
Month Ending	March 31, 200	5							
	Beginning	Allocations/			Ending				
	inventory	Purchases	Utilized	Sold	Inventory				
TOTAL WORLD	ission Albwan	tesili) kriventois	指認即增加的		···				
Quantity				<u> </u>					
Dollars				<u>.</u>					
\$/Allowanca	1	T		ļ					

Modification and the second of 
Attachment 4 Page 26 of 28 BOSTA EXHIBIT 3 PAGE 5 OF 7

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. Form 2.4
Environmental Surcharge Report

D&M Expenses and Determination of Cash Working Capital Allowance

For the Expense Month Ending March 31, 2005

		MASSA STREET	
The block of the second	TAIN THE TRANSPORT OF T		医影响 阿西哥哥
11th previous month			
10th previous month			
9th previous month			
Bth previous month			
7th previous month			<b>§</b>
6th previous month			}
5th previous month		i	•
4th previous month			
3rd previous month			
2nd previous month	-		
Previous month			
Current month			
Total 12 Month O&M			
AVERENT HINDOWN STEP			
Determination of Working			
Capital Allowance			
O&M Expense	}	`	
One-Eighth (1/8) of 12 Month			
ALEGE EN CHONOMIE VOETS EST			

Attachinent 4 Page 27 of 28 BOSTA EXHIBIT 3 PAGE 6 OF 7

Form 2.5

#### East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. Environmental Surcharge Operating and Maintenance Expenses For the Expense Month Ending March 31, 2005

1	Expénse Type Málnlenance	Account Description	Amount	
	50144 51241 51242 51244 51244	Fuel Coal Gilbert  Maintenance of Boller Plant Spuncek 1  Maintenance of Boller Plant Spuncek 2  Maintenance of Boller Plant Spuncek 2  Maintenance of Boller Plant Gilbert  Maintenance of Boller Plant Gilbert		
1)		Misc Steam Power Environmental Data Misc Steam Power Environmental Data Misc Steam Power Environmental Cooper Misc Steam Power Environmental Spuriock		
311	Operating Expense - Ammonia a 50641 50642 50644	nd Limestone Misc Steam Power Expense - Spurlock 1 Misc Steam Power Expense - Spurlock 2 Misc Steam Power Expense - Glibert		

Attachment 4 Page 28 of 28

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. Environmental Surcharge Report Monthly Average Revenue Computation of R(m)

For the Month Ended March 31, 2005

						<u></u>			********									<del>-</del>							
y Revenues	(6)	Tatal	Excluding	Environmental	Surcharge	(8)-(4)				•											•				
Total Company Revenues	(8)				Total	(5)+(7)																	Member System Allocation Percentage for Current Month		
	(3)				Off-System	Salas									•		· · ·						Percentage for	197. Column 107	
	(6)	Tofal	Excluding	Environmental	Surcharge	(5)-(4)																	item Allocation	oni calculator	
ims	(5)				Total	(2)+(3)+(4)				•											nvironmental		Member Sy	ומוחב פערותופת	
Revenues from Member Systems	(4)		Environmental	Surcharge	Revenues			••••••									ı			1	nber System Kevenues, Excluding Environmental ithe Ending Clurent Exnense Month		C	(Ellylolliterial outsing excided from calculate), colonia (of colonia)	e 1
Revenues fron	(3)		Frei	Clause	Ravenues									-							nber System Revenues, Excluding E othe Ending Cirrent Exnense Mouth	The second second	Ļ	(C11V)	
	(2)		Base	Rafo	Revenues								•							•	or 12 Months F	7 (2) (2)			
	(1)	,	-	-	Month		bo-not	May-04	Jun-04	Jul-04	Aug-04	Sep-04	Oct-04	Nov-04	Dec-04	Jan-05	Feb-05	Mar-05	Totals	;	Average Monthly Men Surchans for 12 Mon				

Form 3.0.

#### APPENDIX B

APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NOS. 2004-00321 and 2004-00372 DATED March 17, 2005

## Monthly Reporting Format for Pass Through Mechanism

The attached reporting format should be submitted by the Distribution Cooperatives along with East Kentucky's monthly environmental surcharge report.

East Kentucky Power Gooperative, Inc. -- Distribution Gooperatives Pass Through Mechanism Report for (Cooperative)

For the Month Ending

<del></del>		
(15)	Coop. Pass Through Mechanism Factor	(10) / (14)
(14)	12- mon. Ended Aver. Retail Rev., Net	
(13)	Caop. Net Monthly Retall Rev.	(11)— (12)
(12)	On Peak Retail Rev. Adjust	7
(11)	Coop. Total Monthly Retall Rev.	
(10)	Coop. Net Rev. Require	(6) + (8)
(6)	Amort. Of (Over)! Under Recover.	
(8)	Coop. Rev. Require	(J) × (E)
6	EKPC 12-mon. Ended Aver. Monthly Rev. from Sales to Coop.,	
(9)	EKPC Net Monthly Sales to Coop.	(4) ~ (5)
(5)	On Peak Rev. Adjust.	
(4)	EKPC Monthly Rev. from Sales to Coop.	
(3)	EKPC MESF %	(1) – (2)
(z)	EKPC BESF %	L L
€	E SE	
	Surcharge Factor Expense Month	

List monthly revenues for Columns (4), (5), (6), (11), (12), and (13) used to determine the average revenues shown in Columns (7) and (14). Coop. Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy revenues, customer charges, and FAC revenues. Amounts should be shown in Columns (5), (9), and (12) as applicable.

If Cooperative has a Green Power Tariff, include the following statement below the column headings:

"Revenues reported in Columns (11), (13), and (14) are net of Green Power Revenues." Notes:

.. EKS Taroff

For All Counties Served P.S.C. No. 29 Original Sheet No. 27 Canceling P.S.C. No. 28

Original Sheet No. 27

EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC

### RATE ES - ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE

#### APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all sections of this rate schedule and this rate schedule shall apply to each Member System.

#### AVAILABILITY

This rate schedule shall apply to EKPC rate sections A, B, C, E, and G and all special contracts with rates subject to adjustment upon the approval of the Commission.

#### RATE

The Environmental Surcharge shall provide for monthly adjustments based on a percent of revenues equal to the difference between the environmental compliance costs in the base period and in the current period based on the following formula:

CESF = E(m) / R(m)

MESF = CESF - BESF

MESF = Monthly Environmental Surcharge Factor

CESF = Current Environmental Surcharge Factor

BESF = Base Environmental Surcharge Factor of 0.51%

where E(m) is the total of each approved environmental compliance plan revenue requirement of environmental costs for the current expense month and R(m) is the revenue for the current expense month as expressed below.

#### **Definitions**

(1) E(m) = [(RB/12)(RORB) + OE - BAS + (Over)Under Recovery

where:

(a) RB is the Environmental Compliance Rate Base, defined as electric plant in service and CWIP for applicable environmental projects adjusted for accumulated depreciation, cash working capital, spare parts and limestone inventory, emission allowance inventory;

(b) RORB is the Rate of Return on the Environmental Compliance Rate Base, designated as the average cost of debt for environmental compliance plan projects approved by the Commission plus application of a times interest compliance plan projects.

A 1 A W 3	OF KENTOON!
DATE OF ISSUE June 7, 2005 J DATE EFFECTIVE Service re	EFFECTIVE idered beginning June &/30%05
ISSUED BY Harm. Half TITLE PRI	PURSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:011 SIDENT/CEO SECTION 9 (1)
Issued by authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission of Kentucky in	
CASE NO 2004-00464 DATED May 24, 2005	By Executive Director

For All Counties Served P.S.C. No. 29 Original Sheet No. 28 Canceling P.S.C. No. 28 Original Sheet No. 28

#### EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC

- (c) OE is the Monthly Pollution Control Operating Expenses, defined as the average of the twelve month operating and maintenance expense; depreciation expense, property taxes, insurance expense, emission allowance expense, and consulting fees. O&M expense for the pollution-control related equipment at the Gilbert generating unit will be recovered by including an average of the monthly expense as the Unit begins operation;
- (d) BAS is the net proceeds from By-Products and Emission Allowance Sales, and:
- (e) (Over) or Under recovery amount as amortized from prior six-month period.
- (2) Total E(m) is multiplied by the Member System Allocation Ratio to arrive at Net E(m). The Member System Allocation Ratio is based on the ratio of the 12-month total revenue from sales to Member Systems to which the Surcharge will be applied, ending with the current expense month, divided by the 12-month total revenue from sales to Member Systems and off-system sales.
- (3) The revenue R(m) is the average monthly revenue, including base revenues and automatic adjustment clause revenues less Environmental Cost Recovery Surcharge revenues, for EKPC for the twelve months ending with the current expense month.
- (4) The current expense month (m) shall be the second month preceding the month in which the Environmental Surcharge is billed.

	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY
11 m //11	rendered beginning Jurb/1/2005 PURSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:011 RESIDENT/CEO SECTION 9 (1)
Issued by authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission of Kentucky in CASE NO. 2004-00464 DATED May 24, 20	

Owen's ToriA	FOR ENTIRE TERRITORY SERVED  Community, Town or City					
	P.S.C. KY. NO. <u>6</u>					
	Original SHEET NO. 38					
Owen Electric Cooperative, Inc.						
CLASSIFICATION OF SERVICE						

# RATES SCHEDULE ES - ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE

#### AVAILABILITY

In all of the Company's service territory.

#### **APPLICABILITY**

This rate schedule shall apply to all electric rate schedules and special contracts.

#### RATE

CES(m) = ES(m) - BESF

where CES(m) = Current Month Environmental Surcharge Factor ES(m) = Current Month Environmental Surcharge Calculation BESF = Base Environmental Surcharge Factor of 0%

ES(m) = [((WESF) x (Average of 12-months ended revenues from sales to Member System, excluding environmental surcharge)) + (Over)/Under Recovery] divided by [Average of 12-months ending Retail Revenue (excluding environmental surcharge)] = %

where WESF = Wholesale Environmental Surcharge Factor for Current Expense Month

DATE OF ISSUE March 17, 2005  Month / Date / Year	
ISSUED BY  President/CEO    Date Effective   Service rendered beginning July 1, 2005	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY EFFECTIVE 7/1/2005 PURSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:011 SECTION 9 (1)
BY AUTHORITY OF ORDER OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 2004-00372 DATED March 17, 2005	By Executive Director

	FOR ENTIRE TERRITORY SERVED Community, Town or City				
	P.S.C. KY. NO.	6			
	Original	SHEET NO. 39			
Owen Electric Cooperative, Inc.					
CLASSIFICATION OF	SERVICE				
(Over)/Under Recovery =  6-months cumulative (over)/under recovery as defined to minus the amount billed by Member System to retail cundoctized over a six-month period.	oy amount billed stomer. Over or	by EKPC to Member System under recoveries shall be			
BESF = zero					
BILLING					
The current expense month (m) shall be the second mor Environmental Surcharge is billed.	ith preceding the	month in which the			

DATE OF ISSUE March 17, 2005

Month / Date / Year

DATE EFFECTIVE Service rendered beginning July 1, 2005

ISSUED BY (Signiture of Officer)

PUBLIC SE

O

TITLE President/CEO

BY AUTHORITY OF ORDER OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
IN CASE NO. 2004-00372 DATED March 17, 2005

BY

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY EFFECTIVE 7/1/2005 PURSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:011

PURSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:011 SECTION 9 (1)

#### GALLATIN/OEC/EKPC

"<sub>1</sub>1"

#### AGREEMENT FOR ELECTRIC SERVICE

THIS AGREEMENT is made this 25th day of March, 2005, among EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC., hereinafter referred to as "EKPC," OWEN ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, hereinafter referred to as "OEC," and GALLATIN STEEL COMPANY, hereinafter referred to as "Gallatin Steel", for the purposes of providing electric service to Gallatin Steel.

WHEREAS, EKPC, OEC and Gallatin Steel entered into a Special Agreement for Electric Service dated October 27, 1994 (1994 Agreement); and

WHEREAS, the 1994 Agreement was approved by the Kentucky Public Service Commission by Order dated April 14, 1995; and

WHEREAS, the 1994 Agreement has a ten year initial term which expires May 31, 2005; and

WHEREAS, EKPC, OEC and Gallatin Steel have been in negotiations for approximately two years to agree upon a replacement all-requirements contract for service beginning June 1, 2005; and

WHEREAS, OEC regularly resells and distributes electric power and energy and satisfies all of its requirements for electric power and energy by purchases from EKPC; and

WHEREAS, Gallatin Steel requires the resources of both OEC and EKPC to fully ensure the supply of electric power and energy to the Gallatin Steel Plant; and

WHEREAS, EKPC and OEC desire to continue to serve the electric load associated with

Gallatin Steel's steel manufacturing operation under the terms public new Agreement for Electric

OF KENTUCKY

Service; and

WHEREAS, this Agreement is subject to approval by the Kenfucks Public Section 9 (1)

and any necessary approvals by the Rural Utilities Services, and the National Rural Utilities

Cooperative Finance Corporation.

4

THEREFORE, upon consideration of the mutual covenants and undertakings hereinafter set forth, the parties agree to the following:

- Plant Description. The Gallatin Steel Plant is a thin-slab steel mill owned and operated by Gallatin Steel in Gallatin County near Ghent, Kentucky. The plant is configured on the basis of a single D.C. power supply for twin shell electric arc furnaces which feeds a thin slab caster to a six stand rolling mill on a continuous basis. The electrical load primarily consists of the direct current to the electric arc melting furnaces fed by two transformers each nominally rated at 75 MVA; ladle metallurgy stations nominally rated at 25 MVA and 5 x 10,000 horsepower rolling mill motors, along with slag and arc-furnace dust processing equipment, small motor loads and other ancillary facilities.
- Term. The initial term of this Agreement for electric service will be the five-year period 2. beginning June 1, 2005. The Agreement shall remain in effect after the initial five-year term from year to year thereafter; provided however that the Agreement may be cancelled after the initial five-year term by OEC, EKPC or Gallatin Steel upon giving 12 months advance written notice.
- Demand Charge and Billing. Demand shall be the average kW demand occurring at the 3. Gallatin Steel Plant site during any fifteen-minute period beginning at any standard clock hour or 15, 30, or 45 minutes after any standard clock hour.
  - a. Billing Demand shall be the greater of the highest-average kW demand occurring during a 15-minute measurement in the peak period or 83.33 percent of the highest average kW demand occurring during a 15-minute measurement in the off-peak period in the current billing month. The Billing Demand will be measured by the coincidental sum of PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

all meters on the Gallatin plant site.

b. The maximum on-peak contract demand will be 180 Agreement, but can be increased, with 30-day notice, if Gallatin and 9 new production

OF KENTUCKY EFFECTIVE

facilities at its existing production site. Gallatin will notify EKPC/OEC of the estimated load associated with the new production facilities at the existing site and all parties must agree in writing if the increased load is expected to be 15 MW or more. Gallatin Steel will be charged \$5.39/KW/month for billing demand at or below 180 MW in on-peak periods. If billing demand exceeds 180 MW during an on-peak period, then Gallatin will be charged at three times the demand rate of \$5.39/KW/month, or \$16.17 KW/month for the excess demand above 180 MW. If billing demand exceeds 120 percent of 180 MW during an off-peak period, or 216 MW, then Gallatin will be charged three times the demand rate of \$5.39/KW/month, or \$16.17 KW/month for the excess demand above 216 MW. OEC/EKPC will give Gallatin Steel Notice of Unavailability on Friday morning by 10:00 AM EST if the additional 20% is not available for the weekend. Notice of Unavailability will be made by 10:00 AM EST the day before a holiday. OEC/EKPC will only issue a Notice of Unavailability if circumstances warrant, such as an extended scheduled outage or forced outage at one of EKPC's generating units or in anticipation of high peak demand. In the event of such Notice of Unavailability, then Gallatin Steel will pay the excess demand charge of \$16.17/KW/month on the MW amount in excess of 180 MW if demand during the on-peak hours of the weekend or holiday exceeds 180 MW.

- c. For purposes of the demand charge, on-peak hours are defined as follows:
  - i. October through April: everyday from 7:00 AM to 12:00 noon EST and 5:00 PM to 10:00 PM EST provided however that weekend and holiday hours shall be

other hours are off peak.

deemed to be off-peak unless OEC/EKPC gives Notice of Unavailability. AI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY EFFECTIVE

ii. May through September: everyday from 10:00中的时间

50

SECTION 9 (1)

however that weekend and holiday hours shall be deemed to be off-peak unless

Executive Director

- 3 -

OEC/EKPC give Notice of Unavailability. All other hours are off-peak.

- Firm and Interruptible Demand. 15 MW of Demand shall be designated as Firm Power 4. Demand. All Demand exceeding Firm Power Demand, up to 180 MW total Demand, shall be designated Interruptible Demand. Interruptible Demand service to Gallatin Steel will consist of two primary categories:
  - a. Ten Minute Interruptible Demand Service which shall consist of the 120 MW electric arc furnace melt shop. The interruptible credit for this load will be \$3,60/kW/month; and
  - b. Ninety Minute Interruptible Demand Service which shall be all remaining plant load. except the firm load and Ten Minute Interruptible Load. The Interruptible credit for this load will be \$2,70/kW/month.
  - c. Interruptible Demand Service may be interrupted by EKPC upon the following advance verbal, including telephonic, notice to Gallatin Steel (unless a shorter notice is agreed to by the parties):
    - Pursuant to Ten Minute Interruptible Service, EKPC may require Gallatin Steel to reduce its demand to no more than the total of the designated Firm Power Demand Service Level plus the designated Ninety Minute Demand Service Level within ten minutes of notification;
    - Pursuant to Ninety Minute Interruptible Service, EKPC may require Gallatin Steel to reduce its demand to no more than the designated Firm Power Demand within ninety minutes of notification.

To provide notice, EKPC has installed a direct communications line between the EKPC Control Center and the Gallatin Steel Control Center. The notice will take effect when the phone call is initiated at the

EKPC Control Center. It is Gallatin Steel's responsibility to be sure the someone is available 24 hours per day, 365 days per year to promptly answer shall specify: (a) the time at which the interruption period will commensed (b) if being interrupted, (c) the time at which the interruption is expected to terminate, and (d) the maximum

load which Gallatin Steel may impose during the period of interruption. EKPC may extend or shorten the period of interruption noticed by advising Gallatin Steel of that action prior to the expiration of the noticed period. If an interruption is called for the load to Gallatin Steel shall be restored as soon as practicable.

- 5. Conditions For Ninety Minute And Ten Minute Interruptible Service. Interruptions may not exceed 360 hours in each 12 month period beginning June 1, 2005. The maximum number of monthly interruptible hours shall be 100. Interruptions shall be limited to two per day. Gallatin Steel's load shall be subject to economic interruptions (i.e., non-physical interruption) for any reason except selling power off-system and its interruptions are independent of interruptions for any other customer.
- 6. Failure to Interrupt. With respect to the Ten Minute Interruptible Demand Service, if Gallatin Steel has not interrupted its melt shop load within the ten minute notice period, then EKPC shall have the right to automatically shut down the melt shop load and Gallatin Steel shall incur no penalty. In the event that EKPC sends a signal to automatically shutdown the melt shop and the interruption does not occur, Gallatin will be subject to the penalty. Should Gallatin Steel not interrupt its Ninety Minute Interruptible Demand Service when or to the extent called for or should an EKPC signal fall to interrupt Gallatin Steel's ten-minute Interruptible Demand Service load, Gallatin Steel shall pay a penalty of five (5) times the firm power demand charge then in effect for each kW of demand that should have been interrupted as called for under the terms of this Agreement. In addition, if by virtue of Gallatin Steel's demonstrated and repeated inability to interrupt service, EKPC, after consultation with Gallatin Steel, may reclassify Gallatin Steel's load as firm until Gallatin Steel can establish that the load should be classified otherwise. Physical Interruptions shall be called only when EKPC determines that

such interruption may be necessary to prevent interruption of service to firm, native load customers or firm off-system customers, or if EKPC's Reliability Coordinater mandates Characteristics and the continuous co load be interrupted or for load following compliance as specified in Section 12c h6/6/2005

PÜRSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:011

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

SECTION 9 (1)

<u>Buy-Through of Interruptions.</u> Gallatin Steel shall have the option to buy-through any 7.

economic Interruption. The buy-through cost shall be EKPC's actual incremental (out-of-pocket) cost of purchased power to serve the Gallatin Steel load with no mark-up or additional charge. Interruptible buy-through power shall be subject to the OEC distribution charge. EKPC shall provide to Gallatin Steel, solely for informational purposes and not for billing purposes, the buy-through price reasonably expected to be incurred at the time notice of interruption is given. When the buy-through costs incurred by Gallatin Steel (net of energy charges that would have been billed to Gallatin Steel during the period of economic interruption) in each twelve month period, beginning June 1, 2005, equals the amount of the annual interruptible credits, then economic interruptions shall terminate; provided however that Gallatin Steel shall remain subject to physical interruptions at all times during the term of this Agreement up to the maximum number of Interruptible hours authorized under this Agreement. Annual interruptible credits, for purposes of this Section 7, shall be based on the Arc Furnace interruptible load of 120,000 KW multiplied by the 10 minute interruptible credit of \$3.60/KW, multiplied by 12; plus the remaining interruptible load of 40,000 KW multiplied by the 90 minute interruptible credit of \$2.70/KW, multiplied by 12.

- 8. Energy Charges. The off-peak energy rate will be 2.0 cents/KWh. For purposes of the energy rate, the off-peak hours will be 10:00 PM to 10:00 AM EST Monday through Friday for May-September plus all weekend and holiday hours; and 10:00 PM to 7 AM EST Monday through Friday and Noon to 5:00 PM EST Monday through Friday for October-April, plus all weekend and holiday hours. All other hours will be on-peak. The on-peak energy rate will be 2.3 cents/KWh. The on-peak and off-peak energy charges will be subject to change as a result of any future FAC basing point change approved by the Commission.
- 9. Distribution Charges. OEC's Distribution Charge for all power and energy will be \$.000285 per kilowatt-hour and \$.0375 per kilowatt, delivered by EKPC/OEQFIck@Alittincated through both the EKPC 345 KV line and the Gallatin County substation. These rates are fixed over the life of the Agreement.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION **EFFECTIVE** 

PURSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:011 SECTION 9 (1)

- 10. <u>Fuel Adjustment Clause</u>. Gallatin Steel will be charged the <u>EKPC</u> system fuel adjustment clause (FAC) in conformity with 807 KAR 5:056.
- 11. <u>Environmental Surcharge</u>. Gallatin Steel will be charged the <u>OEC</u> environmental surcharge in conformity with KRS 278.183.
  - 12. <u>Load Following</u>. The charges for load following are as follows:
    - a) \$65,000 per month in the event that EKPC is subject to the North American Electric Reliability Council's (NERC) CPS-2 standards and EKPC continues to incur load following costs caused by Gallatin Steel.
    - b) In the event that EKPC is subject to either a test or a permanent change in NERC standards, Gallatin Steel will not be subject to a monthly charge for load following unless EKPC determines, in the course of continuous monitoring of compliance with such standards that violation of the standard is imminent without providing specific load following for the Gallatin Steel load. If EKPC fails to meet either applicable test standard or permanent standard, or otherwise determines that specific load following for Gallatin Steel is the only means available to meet such standards, Gallatin Steel will be charged \$65,000 per month for all months that such load following is required.

      OEC/EKPC and Gallatin Steel agree to immediately discuss the reasons for the failure to meet the standard and will endeavor to resolve the issue for future service as expeditiously as possible. In no event will Gallatin Steel be charged more than \$65,000 per month for load following.
    - c) During a period when EKPC is operating under NERC Standard 300 (or an equivalent standard) and Gallatin Steel is not being charged \$55,000 CM/MishiPidr OF KENTUCKY load following, if EKPC is facing violation of the standard pure of a declining interconnect frequency, EKPC has the right to interrupt the EEPH of the standard of the standard pure to pure supplied to interrupt the second of the standard 300 (or an equivalent standa

Executive Director

-7-

a minimum notice of 10 minutes. Such interruptions will count toward the 360 hours of interruption required pursuant to the provisions of Section 5. Further, such interruptions shall be limited to a maximum of 30 minutes per incident, 5 times per month and 10 hours per year. During a period when EKPC is operating under NERC Standard 300 (or an equivalent standard), Gallatin Steel may elect to avoid the interruptions called for under this Paragraph 12(c) by paying \$65,000 per month. The payment for load following costs does not negate the right of EKPC to interrupt Gallatin when a physical interruption is necessary to prevent interruption to firm, native load customers or firm, off-system customers. Such physical interruption will not be subject to a buy-through provision.

- d) Gallatin Steel will provide short-term prediction of its load in real-time on an on-going basis throughout the duration of the contract. The exact nature of these predictions will be determined through discussions between Gallatin Steel and EKPC. At a minimum, the predictions will consist of a prediction of EAF loading 5 minutes ahead.
- 13. EKPC Minimum Bill. The minimum monthly bill for the EKPC portion of the Gallatin bill will consist of the sum of the following.
  - a. The monthly demand charge net of all interruptible credits applied to 50 percent of the maximum contract demand (180 MW) plus:
  - b. Energy Charges, Fuel Adjustment Clause charges, Environmental Surcharge, if actually incurred during any month. Gallatin will be subject to a minimum energy bill amount equivalent to the energy charges minus the fuel base per kwh, multiplied by 65,700

MWH (50% of maximum energy).

For the duration of the Agreement, for each time Gallatin has to shut down operation of the Agreement, for each time Gallatin has to shut down operation of the Agreement, for each time Gallatin has to shut down operation of the Agreement, for each time Gallatin has to shut down operation of the Agreement, for each time Gallatin has to shut down operation of the Agreement, for each time Gallatin has to shut down operation of the Agreement, for each time Gallatin has to shut down operation of the Agreement, for each time Gallatin has to shut down operation of the Agreement, for each time Gallatin has to shut down operation of the Agreement, for each time Gallatin has to shut down operation of the Agreement, for each time Gallatin has to shut down operation of the Agreement of the reason, the EKPC portion of Gallatin Steel's minimum bill shall not exceed

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Executive Director

- 8 -

the amounts calculated under sections a and b above, and at such time as said 12 months of payments have been made, the EKPC minimum bill will be suspended until such time that Gallatin Steel resumes operation.

- 14 OEC Minimum Bill. The Distribution charge for OEC shall consist of 50% of maximum contract demand (180 MW) applicable to the \$.0375/KW QEC demand charge and 50% of maximum energy, or 65,700 MWH, applicable to the \$,000285/kwh OEC energy charge. For the duration of the Agreement, for each time Gallatin has to shut down operation of its plant for any reason, the OEC portion of Gallatin Steel's minimum bill shall not exceed 12 months of payments of the amounts calculated under sections a and b above, and at such time as said 12 months of payments have been made, the OEC minimum bill will be suspended until such time that Gallatin Steel resumes operation.
- 15. Continuing KPSC Jurisdiction. The rates, terms and conditions of this Agreement for electric service shall be subject to modification or change by order of the KPSC during the initial five year term and thereafter.
- 16. Metering and Load Control. Gallatin Steel will provide space, structure, bus and switches for appropriate metering equipment, and provide static VAR control and harmonic control equipment and current and potential transformers, EKPC will supply secondary metering equipment and will continue to make available clock and metering pulses for Gallatin Steel's load control equipment. The electric service to be provided hereunder shall be three phase, 60 hertz at 345 kV and at 34.5 kV as specified herein.
- 17. Prudent Utility Practice. Each party shall design, construct and operate its facilities in accordance with prudent electric utility practice in conformity with generally accepted standards for

electric utilities in the State of Kentucky, including the National Flectrical Refebrace COMMISSION

Maintenance of Equipment. Each party agrees that it will at alfilme 18. equipment, and other facilities in a safe operating condition in conformity settlice of accepted

OF KENTUCKY thaintain its lines.

standards for electric utilities in the State of Kentucky, Including the National Electric Safety Code.

19. Force Maleure. If Gallatin Steel's President promptly notifies EKPC/OEC in writing that all of Gallatin Steel's arc furnace facilities are completely out of service as the direct result of any cause beyond the reasonable control of Gallatin Steel, including, but not restricted to war; flood; earthquake; storm; fire: lightning; other acts of God; epidemic; riot; civil disturbance or civil disobedience; quarantine; explosion; sabotage; breakdown or malfunction of equipment; disruption or threat of disruption of fuel supply; inability or threatened inability to obtain necessary materials, personnel, services or facilities; acts of public enemy; strike, lockout, work stoppage, or industrial disturbance or dispute, whether or not any labor dispute could reasonably have been settled or whether determined to have arisen out of an unfair labor practice by any Party; any act, delay or failure to act on the part of any state or federal governmental authority, whether legislative, executive, judicial or administrative, including delay or failure to act by any governmental authority in the issuance of any necessary permits or licenses or the prohibiting of acts necessary to performance hereunder or the permitting of any such acts only subject to conditions which are unreasonable in the sole judgment of Gallatin Steel upon whom such conditions are imposed; restraint by court order or other public authority; failure to obtain the necessary authorizations or approvals from any governmental agency or authority; blockage or any other event(s) beyond the reasonable control of Gallatin Steel, then Gallatin Steel will not be obligated to pay the EKPC minimum charges with respect to the period beginning the day following the delivery of the notification and for a period not to exceed ninety (90) days thereafter or until the day that all of the electric arc facilities first return to service, which ever occurs first.

Gallatin Steel shall promptly notify EKPC in writing of any Force Majeure event under this Section. Such notice shall include a description of the cause and estimated duration of the event.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Failure to promptly notify EKPC of a Force Majeure event shall preclude Gallatin Fige Krom being EFFECTIVE relieved of any EKPC minimum charges. Gallatin Steel shall exercise due diligangeoto resolve any PURSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:011

Force Majeure event and shall keep EKPC informed of steps taken to resolve the CV Mill 9 (1)

By Executive Director

- 10 -

Invocation of this provision shall be limited to no more than one occurrence in any twelve (12) month period. This Force Majeure provision shall not affect demand charges due in any month where actual demand has exceeded minimum demand levels.

Any minimum charges forgiven hereunder shall be recovered by EKPC in the event of discontinuance of service by Gallatin Steel prior to the termination of this Agreement. The financial or monetary constraints or inability of Gallatin Steel shall not be considered as a Force Majeure. Nothing contained herein shall be construed so as to require Gallatin Steel to settle any strike, lockout, or stoppage, or other industrial disturbance or dispute in which it may be involved.

20. <u>Verification of Incremental (Out-of-Pocket) Costs.</u> For purposes of determining the out-of-pocket costs associated with the buy-through of purchased power for Gallatin Steel, EKPC/OEC shall grant Gallatin Steel access to any information or calculation used to determine incremental (out-of-pocket) costs. Incremental (out-of-pocket) costs shall not include any EKPC demand, energy, environmental surcharge, or FAC charges.

#### 21. Billing And Payment.

- a. Regular Monthly Billing. OEC will bill Gallatin Steel each month for the cost of electric power and energy delivered to Gallatin Steel during the preceding month. Such bills may be rendered by EKPC/OEC on the basis of electronic meter reading ("telemetering"). Any difference between telemetering and the actual on-site meter reading will be reflected as a credit or debit to the bill for the following month.
- b. <u>Due Date</u>: Payment Charges and Credits. Bills received by Gallatin Steel shall be paid within four (4) business days after receipt. Bills shall be paid by wire transfer to a bank designated by OEC in writing. If Gallatil Steel shall be stalk to GAMMASSON OF KENTUCKY payment on or before such due date, then payment shall be be be and OEC may discontinue service to Gallatin Steel upon giving Gallatin Steel upon giving Gallatin Steel and OEC SECTION 9 (1)

By Executive Director

- 11 -

of intention to do so. Provided, however, that such discontinuances of service shall not relieve Gallatin Steel of any of its obligations under this Agreement. Within ten (10) days of Gallatin Steel's receipt of such a notice, Gallatin Steel shall have the right to cure its delinguency by paying any late balance along with any applicable late charges. When payment is late, Gallatin Steel will pay a late charge based on the same rate that OEC normally imposes on its commercial and industrial customer members. In the event of a bona-fide billing dispute, Gallatin Steel shall pay all such amounts to OEC. Such amount shall be subject to refund depending upon resolution of the dispute.

- c. Gallatin agrees to provide a form and amount of bill payment security acceptable to OEC, and payable to OEC, for the duration of the Agreement. The amount of payment security may be changed at the request of OEC to match any change in load by Gallatin. Such payment security may be equal to, but shall not exceed one and one-half times the amount of Gallatin's average monthly bill. The payment security shall be promptly payable to OEC, upon demand, due to non-payment by Gallatin, and in accordance with the conditions set forth in Sections a and b above.
- 22. Points of Delivery, Point of Measurement, and Metering. Four meters (M1, M2, M3, and M4) will measure the Gallatin Steel power usage.
  - M1 meters the total input to Gallatin Steel's 345 kV bus. Electrically, it is located inside the Gallatin Steel Substation; physically, it may be located either inside or outside the Gallatin Steel Substation.
  - M2 and M3 meter the input to EAF Nos. 1 located on the 34.5 kV side of Gallatin Steel's 345/34.5 kV Heri the EAFs. The readings for M2 and M3 will be adjusted to gove the source to make the source of the s for meters located on the 345 kV side of the transformers. EKPC and Gallatin Steel

and Igithereaher EMS and Maisur

SECTION 9 (1)

Executive Director

- 12 -

will coordinate ownership and specifications of metering transformers and locations of the meters.

- M4 meters the load served from the EKPC 138 kV system. This meter is located on the 34.5 kV side of the Gallatin Steel Substation. The readings will be adjusted to give equivalent values for a meter located on the 138 kV side of the Gallatin County Substation transformer.
- 23. Voltage Fluctuations. Gallatin Steel and EKPC shall cooperate to see that Gallatin's load is operated in accordance with prudent utility practices. Gallatin Steel agrees to operate its facility to reduce voltage fluctuations or harmonic distortions in accordance with past practices during the initial 10-year period of operation. EKPC or OEC will notify Gallatin Steel if its operations cause voltage fluctuations or harmonic distortions which result in interference with EKPC, OEC or KU service to other customers, and will attempt to identify and help Gallatin Steel correct such problems. Any substantial deviation from past practices that would cause additional voltage fluctuations or harmonic distortions requires approval from EKPC, OEC and KU. If Gallatin Steel falls to install and/or to operate the necessary facilities on its premises to correct the voltage fluctuations or harmonic distortions of its load, or to prevent such voltage fluctuations or harmonic distortions from interfering with EKPC, OEC or KU's supply of service to other customers, OEC/EKPC shall have the right to deny service to Gallatin Steel. Any voltage fluctuations or harmonic distortions shall be corrected within twenty-four (24) hours after written notice from OEC/EKPC to Gallatin Steel stating the voltage fluctuation or harmonic distortion problems.
- 24. Membership/Capital Credits. Gallatin Steel shall be a member of OEC, shall pay the membership fee, and shall be bound by such rules and regulations as may, from time to time, be PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION adopted by OEC. Provided, however, that during the term of this Agreement@felsftNisidnistniereof shall EFFECTIVE prevail over any such rule or regulation in the event of any inconsistency except/als/acceptable to the PURSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:011

  Kentucky Public Service Commission.

OEC is a non-profit Kentucky corporation and Gallatin Steel will benefit from any savings or reductions in cost of service in the same manner as any comparable customer as authorized by the Kentucky Revised Statutes, and by OEC's Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws. Gallatin Steel shall participate in capital credits of OEC in accordance with Kentucky Revised Statutes and OEC's and EKPC's Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws.

- 25. <u>Liability For Interruption Of Interruptible Demand</u>. It is understood that the interruptible portion of the power supplied pursuant to this Agreement is provided to Gallatin Steel for Gallatin Steel's benefit in controlling costs. Neither OEC nor EKPC shall be liable to Gallatin Steel for any losses which may accrue to Gallatin Steel due to Gallatin Steel not being prepared to be interrupted when a notice of interruption is duly given in accordance with the terms of Paragraph 4.
- 26. Meter Testing And Billing Adlustment. EKPC/OEC shall test and calibrate meters, or cause them to be tested and calibrated, by comparison with accurate standards at intervals of twelve (12) months. EKPC/OEC shall also make, or cause to be made, special meter tests at any time during normal business hours at Gallatin Steel's request. The costs of all tests shall be borne or provided for by EKPC/OEC, provided, however, that if any special meter test made by Gallatin Steel's request shall disclose that the meters are recording accurately, Gallatin Steel shall reimburse EKPC/OEC for the cost of such test. Meters registering not more than one (1%) percent above or below normal shall be deemed to be accurate. The readings of any meter which shall have been disclosed by test to be inaccurate shall be corrected for the period during which meter error is known to have existed, or if not known, for one-half the elapsed time since the last such test in accordance with the percentage of inaccuracy found by such test. If any meter shall fail to register for any period, the parties shall agree as to the amount of kW Demand and energy furnished during such period. Such estimates shall be based on Gallatin Steel's operating records for the period in question, historical Foreign and other

27. Right Of Access. The duly authorized agents and employees SFOED and EKPC shall

pertinent data and records, and OEC shall render a bill to Gallatin Steel therefor. 6/1/2005

By Executive Director

**PURSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:011** 

- 14 -

have free access at all reasonable hours to the premises of Gallatin Steel for the purpose of installing, repairing, inspecting, testing, operating, maintaining, renewing or exchanging any or all of their equipment which may be located on the premises of Gallatin Steel, for reading or testing meters, or for performing any other work incident to the performance of this Agreement.

The parties agree to properly protect the property of each other party located on its premises, and to permit no one to inspect or tamper with the wiring and apparatus of the other party except such other party's agents or employees, or persons authorized by law. It is agreed, however, that no party assumes the duty of inspecting the wiring or apparatus of any other party and shall not be responsible therefor.

- Responsibility for Damages or Loss. The electric power and energy supplied under this Agreement is supplied upon the express condition that after it passes the Point of Delivery it becomes the responsibility of Gallatin Steel, and neither OEC nor EKPC shall be liable for loss or damage to any person or property whatsoever, resulting directly or indirectly from the use, misuse or presence of the said electric power and energy on Gallatin Steel's premises, or elsewhere, after it passes the Point of Delivery except where such loss or damage shall be shown to have been occasioned by negligence of EKPC or OEC, their agents or employees.
- 29. <u>Usage of Power</u>. The parties understand and agree that Gallatin Steel purchases and accepts the power and energy delivered to it under this Agreement solely for the use of Gallatin Steel's steel manufacturing plant operation, including typical on-site ancillary loads. The parties further understand and accept that Gallatin Steel purchases and accepts such power solely for the benefit of Gallatin Steel and its steel manufacturing process.
- 30. Continuity Of Service. OEC and EKPC shall use reasonable diligence required of a public utility in Kentucky to provide a constant and uninterrupted supply of electric power and energy shall fall or be interrupted; and energy through acts of God, Governmental authority, action of the elements, public enemy, accident, strikes,

Executive Director

- 15 -

labor trouble, required maintenance work, or any other cause beyond the reasonable control of OEC and EKPC, they shall not be liable therefor or for damages caused thereby. (The foregoing paragraph is not intended to mitigate OEC's and EKPC's rights to interrupt service as provided for in Paragraph 5 or 12c).

- 31. Assignment. No party to this Agreement may assign its rights hereunder without the consent of the other, which shall not be unreasonably withheld; except that a party may, without the consent of the other, assign, pledge or hypothecate its rights hereunder to its trustee or mortgagee under a mortgage, indenture or trust indenture, and being so pledged or assigned, shall be subject to all the terms and provisions of such mortgage or trust indentures. Provided, further, that Gallatin Steel may assign this Agreement to an entity recognized as financially and technically capable by EKPC and OEC which may hereafter acquire or operate the Gallatin Steel Plant in the same manner, to the same extent, and for the same purposes as originally operated by Gallatin Steel. Such recognition shall not be unreasonably withheld in appropriate cases. No assignment shall relieve the assignor of its obligations hereunder without the written assent of the other parties to accept the assignee as a substitute obligor.
- 32. Approval. The rates and charges for electrical service established hereunder are subject to approval by the Kentucky Public Service Commission pursuant to Kentucky Revised Statutes, Chapter 278, and any necessary approvals by the Rural Utilities Services and the National Rural Utilities Cooperative Finance Corporation. The parties covenant to use their best efforts to forthwith seek and support such approvals for this Agreement by filing such papers, presenting such testimony, and taking such other action as may be necessary or appropriate to secure the same.

#### 33. Miscellaneous.

a. Headlines of Articles. Headings of articles in this Agreement have been inserted for convenience only and shall in no way affect the Unterpretations of karry sterm or provision hereof.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 6/1/2005 SECTION 9 (1)

- b. <u>Severability</u>. Except where expressly stated otherwise the duties, obligations, and liabilities of the parties are intended to be several and not joint or collective.
- c. <u>Governing Law</u>. This Agreement shall be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State of Kentucky.
- d. <u>Waivers</u>. Any waiver at any time by a party of its rights with respect to a default or with respect to any other matters arising in connection with this Agreement shall not be deemed a waiver with respect to any subsequent default or other matter.
- e. <u>Prior Agreements</u>. The parties hereby acknowledge that this Agreement contains the entire agreement among the parties and supersedes all prior agreements and understandings related to the subject matter hereof.
- f. <u>Counterparts</u>. This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which, when executed and delivered, shall be deemed an original.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed by their duly authorized representatives the day and year first above written.

**GALLATIN STEEL COMPANY** 

Tobin Pospisil, Chief Financial Officer

EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE

Roy Palk, President and CEO

OWEN ELECTIFIC COOPERATIVE

By:

Robert Marshall, Presiden

6/1/2005 PURSUANT TO 807 KAR 5:011 SECTION 9 (1)

NOISSIMMC

Executive Director

- 17 --

#### **Charlene Creager**

From:

Charlene Creager

Sent:

Friday, March 07, 2008 8:41 AM

To:

Jim Lamb

Cc: Subject: Bill Bosta; David Eames; Fran Waddle Env Surcharge - Alternative Methods

#### Good Morning,

I'm attaching summaries of the analyses Fran and I have prepared regarding alternative methods for computing the Environmental Surcharge.

The workbook entitled "Compare ES Dist Cost Method" assumes wholesale Env Surcharge is calculated as we presently do (% Total Dollars) and the Retail portion is allocated to rate classes based on percent of rate class portion of wholesale power bill.

The workbook titled "Compute ES per MWH Basis" assumes the Env Surcharge is allocated on a per MWH basis at wholesale and retail level.

Please call me (ext 759) or Fran (ext 271) if you have questions or concerns.

Charlene Creager Senior Pricing Analyst East Kentucky Power Cooperative Phone 859-745-9759

e-mail: charlene.creager@ekpc.coop





To JimLamb 3-07-08 To JimLamb 3-07-08 Compute ES ... Compare ES D...

Tracking:

Recipient

Read

Jim Lamb Bill Bosta David Eames Fran Waddle Read: 3/7/2008 8:44 AM
Read: 3/10/2008 7:49 AM
Read: 3/7/2008 2:10 PM
Read: 3/7/2008 10:29 AM

#### LEST YEAR 09/30/2006 SCHEDULES B, C & SPECIAL CONTRACTS RETAIL ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE S/MY. AETHOD COMPARED TO CURRENT METHOD MEMBER C. JERATIVES

529,072	1,134,092	% <i>†\$</i> `6	596,978,2
			£6 <b>Z</b> ,8£ <b>Z</b> ,1
Difference %5	Euergy Based	bəhuqmi 9gahnəməq giləmi2	Wholesale ES
	Method F	Per MW	

gdone	Billia	trom EKPC
Епуноп	Surcharge	Wholesale ES
Trismi	Current Avg %	
po	otal Dollars Meth	1%

Wholesale\_Retail per MWH basis.xls

\$ 4,622,675	615,286,379	<del></del>	000,004,72 Z	E07,E33,013	== -	000,004,72	sintoT
29,079 226,4£	204,921 302,525	%18.8 %17.7	7,426,260	100,324 288,554	%88 <sup>.</sup> 9	7,500,000	Tavior Industrial B/C Rates TGP
<i>\$\L</i> \$.7£J	†98 <sup>+</sup> ††9	%79'8	829,874,2	16£,702	%8L <sup>.9</sup>	000,006,8	South Kentucky Industrial B/C Rates
109'491	824,975	%£6.8	2,119,205	₽L£*099	%\$1*L	2,100,000	Shelb <u>y</u> Industrial B/C Rates
0 <b>†£</b> *66	175,214	%6S <sup>-</sup> 6	827,788,4	188,318	%0£.7	000,000,4	Salt River Industrial B/C Rates
216,232 2,105,883	963,1569 270,041,2	%51.21 %17.9	999'979'01	476 <b>,</b> 927 446,924	%ES <sup>-</sup> L %ES <sup>-</sup> L	000,002,6	Owen Industrial B/C Rates Gallatin Steei
178,E3 59,822	420,042 445,249	%16 <sup>.</sup> 01 %0 <i>t</i> <sup>.</sup> 6	811,692,5	621,871 622,444	%06 <sup>.</sup> 9 %06 <sup>.</sup> 9	3,400,000	<u>Molin</u> Industrial B/C Rates AGC
			104,962,1			1,300,000	Lieking Valley Industrial B/C Rates
874,942	508,126	15.48%	<i>\$</i> 56,974,4	758,647	%\$£'9	000,008,4	<mark>Ласкѕоп</mark> Industπal B/C Rates
9£0,£9	244,442	%18'8	2,127,033	904,181	%85'9	2,200,000	Inter-County Industrial B/C Rates
24,203	78,2.57	%7 <i>L</i> `8	878,842,1	<b>750'75</b>	%70'9	1,300,000	Crayson Industrial B/C Rates
743°603 428°603 543°603	484,140,1 628,805,1 275,814	%87.7 %78.71 %18.01		184,487 849,866 224,184			Inland Container Inland Steam Tennessee Gas
98739	180,888	%11.6	207,362,2	248,707	%£0.8	000,002,8	Fleming-Mason Industrial B/C Rates
L70,88	947,115	%0£"6	2,392,259	245,718	%EE'L	2,500,000	Farmers Industrial B/C Rates
			2,413,546			2,600,000	Cumberland Valley Industrial B/C Rates
			466,480,5			2,200,000	Clark Industrial B/C Rates
£29,072	1,134,092	% <i>†\$</i> `6	£96,918,8	697,598	%97°L	000,000,8	Blue Grass Industrial B/C Rates
			£6Z'9£Z'1			000,000,1	Big Sandy Industrial B/C Rates
Difference %5 \ Per MWH	Energy Based	ageinama9 grimu2	Wholesale ES	nonvn3 Suchg	Surcharge Brillia	Wholesale ES	

PSC Request 4 Page 87 of 95

RETAIL ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE S/MW. IETHOD COMPARED TO CURRENT METHOD EXCLUDING SCHEDULES B, C & SPECIAL CONTRACTS
TEST YEAR 09/30/2006 MEMBER CC ~ DERATIVES

					Per MWF	Per MWH Method	The state of the s
	1%	% Total Dollars Method	pol				
	Wholesale ES from EKPC	Current Avg % Surcharge Billing	Current Environ Surchg	Wholesale ES from EKPC	Imputed Percentage Surchg	Energy Based \$/MWh	Difference %\$ / per MWH
Big Sandy Non-Industral Rates	000,005,1		1,300,000	1,236,293	6.46%	1,236,293	(63,707)
Blue Grass Non-Industrial Rates	6,000,000	7.26%	5,136,531	5,676,965	6.42%	4,542,873	(593,658)
<u>Clark</u> Non-Industrial Rates	2,200,000	6.40%	2,200,000	2,054,534	6.21%	2,054,534	(145,466)
Cumberland Valley Non-Industrial Rates	2,600,000	7.17%	2,600,000	2,413,546	%99:9	2,413,546	(186,454)
<u>Farmers</u> Non-Industrial Rates	2,500,000	7.33%	2,254,282	2,392,259	6.77%	2,080,513	(173,768)
<u>Fleming-Mason</u> Non-Industral Rates	5,200,000	8.03%	2,414,386	5,596,702	6.56%	906,176,1	(442,480)
<u>Gravson</u> Non-Industrial Rates	1,300,000	6.02%	1,245,946	1,248,678	2.66%	1,170,421	(75,525)
Inter-County Non-Industrial Rates	2,200,000	6.58%	2,018,594	2,127,033	6.14%	1,882,591	(136,003)
<u>Jackson</u> Non-Industral Rates	4,800,000	6.35%	, 4,541,353	4,476,954	5.55%	3,968,828	(572,524)
Licking Valley Non-Industrial Rates	1,300,000	6.45%	, 1,300,000	1,269,401	6.29%	1,269,401	(30,599)
<u>Nolin</u> Non-Industrial Rates	3,400,000	%06'9	2,779,292	3,569,118	6.52%	2,625,845	(153,447)
Owen Non-Industrial Rates	9,200,000	7.53%	5,418,887	10,626,666	6.28%	4,523,438	(895,449)
<u>Salt River</u> Non-Industrial Rates	4,900,000	7.30%	4,583,669	4,687,728	6.80%	4,272,057	(311,612)
Shelby Non-Industrial Rates	2,100,000	7.15%	439,626	2,119,205	6.43%	1,294,230	(145,396)
<u>South Kentucky</u> Non-Industrial Rates	5,900,000	6.78%	6, 5,392,609	5,478,658	%80.9	4.833,794	(558,816)
Tavior Non-Industrial Rates	2,500,000	%88%	% 2,111,123	2,426,260	6.43%	, 1,973,351	(177,751)
Totals	\$ 57,400,000	1_1	\$ 46,736,297	\$ 57,400,000		\$ 42,113,621	\$ (4.622,675)

Wholesale\_Retail per MWH basis.xls

#### MEMBER COOPERATIVES

# RETAIL ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE \$/MWH METHOD COMPARED TO CURRENT METHOD Page 88 of 95 INDUSTRIAL, NON-INDUSTRIAL TEST YEAR 09/30/2006

	Current %\$	Tot-10/	Imputed % at	
	Surcharge Billing	Total % Current	\$/MWH Method	Total % \$/MWH
Big Sandy	Dinnig	Current	Memou	10411 /0 3/1414411
Non-Industrial	6.79%	6 79%	6 46%	6.46%
Blue Grass				
Industrial B/C Rates	7 26%		9 54%	
Non-Industrial	7 26%		6 42%	
Total All Classes		7 26%		6 87%
<u>Clark</u>				
Non-Industrial	6 40%	6 40%	621%	6 21%
Cumberland Valley				
Non-Industrial	7 17%	7.17%	6 66%	6 66%
Farmers				
Industrial B/C Rates	7 33%		9 30%	
Non-Industrial	7 33%	7 226/	6.77%	7.070/
Total All Classes		7 33%		7 02%
Fleming-Mason	0.030/		0 710/	
Industrial B/C Rates	8 03% 8 03%		9 71% 10 91%	
Inland Container Inland Steam	8 03% 8 03%		10 91%	
Tennessee Gas	8 03%		7.28%	
Non-Industrial	8.03%		6 56%	
Total All Classes	0.0070	8 03%	0 2370	8 65%
Grayson		3 32.13		*
Industrial B/C Rates	6 02%		8.72%	
Non-Industrial	6 02%		5 66%	
Total All Classes		6 02%		5 78%
Inter-County				
Industrial B/C Rates	6 58%		8 87%	
Non-Industrial	6 58%		6 14%	ı
Total All Classes		6 58%		6 37%
<u>Jackson</u>				
Industrial B/C Rates	6 35%		12 48%	
Non-Industrial	6 35%		5 55%	
Total All Classes		6 35%		5 93%
Licking Valley	. 1581	c 1501	/ 20W	( 200/
Non-Industrial	6 45%	6 45%	6 29%	6 29%
Nolin	6 90%		9 40%	
Industrial B/C Rates AGC	6 90%		10 91%	
Non-Industrial	6 90%		6 52%	
Total All Classes	0 7070	6 90%	0.327	, 7 24%
Owen		, 707u		, 2-170
Industrial B/C Rates	7 53%		9.71%	, 1
Gallatin Steel	7 53%		12.75%	
Non-Industrial	7 53%		6 28%	D
Total All Classes		7 53%		8 70%
Salt River				
Industrial B/C Rates	7 30%		9 59%	Ď
Non-Industrial	7 30%		6 80%	, D
Total All Classes		7 30%		6 98%
Shelby				
Industrial B/C Rates	7 15%		8 93%	
Non-Industrial	7 15%		6 43%	
Total All Classes		7 15%		7 22%
South Kentucky				_
Industrial B/C Rates	6 78%		8 62%	
Non-Industrial	6 78%		6 08%	
Total All Classes		6 78%		6 30%
Taylor	, 15 to 16 a		63 23 W.R.	,
Industrial B/C Rates	6 88%		8 87%	
TGP	6 88%		7.71%	
Non-Industrial	6 88%	6 88%	6 43%	6 68%
Total All Classes		0 00%	•	0 0070
latail age MARI basis via				

MEMBER COOPERATIVES
RETAIL ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE
DISTRIBUTION COST METHOD COMPARED TO CURRENT METHOD
SCHEDULES B, C & SPECIAL CONTRACTS
TEST YEAR 09/30/2006

	Environ	Current Avg % Surcharge Billing	Current Environ Surchg	Percentage Recognizing Dist Cost	Environ Surchg Recognizing Dist Cost	Increase Dist Cost Method vs Existing Method
Big Sandy Industrial B/C Rates	1,300,000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	Q
Blue Grass Industrial B/C Rates	6,000,000	7.26%	863,469	8.31%	988,314	124,845
Clark Energy Industrial B/C Rates	2,200,000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0
Cumberland Valley Industrial B/C Rates	2,600,000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0
Farmers Industrial B/C Rates	2,500,000	7.33%	245,718	8.16%	273,310	27,592
Fleming-Mason Industrial B/C Rates Inland Container Inland Steam TGP	5,200,000	8.03% 8.03% 8.03% 8.03%	707,845 766,481 849,866 461,421	8.49% 8.87% 9.30% 9.07%	748,343 846,204 983,571 520,836	40,497 79,722 133,705 59,415
Gravson Industrial B/C Rates	1,300,000	6.02%	54,054	7.64%	68,621	14,567
Inter-County Industrial B/C Rates	2,200,000	6.58%	181,406	7.63%	210,185	28,779
<u>Jackson</u> Industrial B/C Rates	4,800,000	6.35%	258,647	7.82%	318,470	59,823
Licking Valley Industrial B/C Rates	1,300,000	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0
Nolin Industrial B/C Rates AGC	3,400,000	6.90%	176,153 444,556	7.73% 8.57%	197,424 552,280	21,271 107,725
Owen Industrial B/C Rates Gallatin Steel	9,200,000	7.53%	746,924 3,034,189	7.73% 9.41%	767,245 3,791,242	20,320 757,053
Salt River Industrial B/C Rates	4,900,000	7.30%	316,331	8.64%	374,476	58,145
<u>Shelby</u> Industrial B/C Rates	2,100,000	7.15%	660,374	7.62%	704,184	43,810
South Kentucky Industrial B/C Rates	5,900,000	6.78%	507,391	8.06%	602,926	95,535
<u>Tavlor</u> Industrial B/C Rates TGP	2,500,000	6.88%	100,324 288,554	7.38%	, 107,638 , 369,582	
Totals	\$57,400,000		\$10,663,703		\$12,424,852	\$1,761,148

# MEMBER CC^PERATIVES PISTRIBUTION COST METHOD COMPARED TO CURRENT METHOD TEST YEAR 09/30/2006 TEST YEAR 09/30/2006

					slx. f 80056	ToJimLamb 3-07-08 Compare ES Dist Cost Method TY 09
(81,167,12)	841,278,548	<u>=</u>	792,436,297	<u> </u>	000,004,728	slatoT
(646,88)	2,022,780	%65'9	2,111,123	%88.9	2,500,000	<u>Taylor</u> Non-Industrial Rates
(255,59)	470,795,8	%99'9	609'76£'\$	%84.9	000,000,2	South Kentucky Non-Industrial Rates
(018,84)	918,295,1	%£6°9	1,439,626	%S1.7	2,100,000	<u>Shelby</u> Non-Industrial Rates
(541,85)	4,525,524	%0T <sup>-</sup> L	699,582,4	%0£.7	4,900,000	Sait River Non-Industrial Rates
(475,777)	£12,148,4	%\$ <del>\'</del> 9	788,814,2	%£5`L	000,002,6	<u>nawO</u> sətsA İsritzubn1-noV
(966,821)	2,650,295	%8 <b>\$</b> *9	767,677,2	%06*9	000,004,8	<u>Molin</u> Non-Industrial Rates
0	000,005,1	%\$ <del>\</del> \$`9	000,005,1	%St <sup>.</sup> 9	000,005,1	<u>Licking Valley</u> Non-Industrial Rates
(£28,92)	4,481,530	%LT9	4,541,353	%\$£'9	000,008,4	<u>nozaloni.</u> Non-industral Rates
(622,82)	218,686,1	%6 <del>†</del> *9	7,018,594	%8 <i>\$</i> *9	000,002,2	Inter-County Non-Industrial Rates
(792,41)	675,152,1	%\$6°\$	946,242,1	%70°9	000,005,1	Gravson Non-Industrial Rates
(855,515)	2,101,046	%66`9	2,414,386	%£0°8	000,005,2	Fleming-Mason Non-Industrial Rates
(265,72)	2,226,690	% <del>\</del> 7.7	282,452,2	%££.T	2,500,000	Farmers Non-Industrial Rates
0	2,600,000	%L1.T	2,600,000	%L1.T	000,000,2	Cumberland Valley Non-Industrial Rates
0	2,200,000	%0†*9	2,200,000	%0 <i>†</i> *9	000,002,2	Clark Energy Non-Industrial Rates
(248,451)	989,110,8	%60°L	155,851,2	%97`L	000'000'9	<u>Blue Grass</u> Non-Industrial Rates
0	000,005,1	%6 <i>L</i> *9	000,005,1	%6 <i>L</i> ·9	000'00£'1	<u>Big Sandv</u> Non-Industrial Rates
Method	Dist Cost	Dist Cost	Surchg	Brillia	Surcharge	
vs Existing	Recognizing		Епутоп	Surcharge	Епуноп	
Cost Method	г	Percentage	Current	Current Avg %		
facrease Dist	Епуноп	· ••	~			
	*****					

### MEMBER COOPERATIVES RETAIL ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE DISTRIBUTION COST METHOD COMPARED TO CURRENT METHOD INDUSTRIAL, NON-INDUSTRIAL TEST YEAR 09/30/2006

	Comment Accessor	T9 .
	Current Avg %	Percentage
	Surcharge Billing	Recognizing Dist Cost
Big Sandy		D131 C031
Industrial B/C Rates	0 00%	0 00%
Non-Industrial	6.79%	<u>6.79%</u>
Totals	6 79%	6.79%
Blue Grass Industrial B/C Rates	77 O.C.	
Non-Industrial	7 26% 7.26%	8 31%
Totals	7.20% 7.26%	<u>7.09%</u> 7.26%
Clark Energy	1 2070	7 2076
Industrial B/C Rates	0.00%	0.00%
Non-Industrial	<u>6.40%</u>	6.40%
Totals	6 40%	6.40%
Cumberland Valley Industrial B/C Rates		
Non-Industrial	0.00%	0 00%
Totals	<u>7.17%</u> 7.17%	<u>7.17%</u> 7.17%
Farmers	7 1 7 7 0	7 1770
Industrial B/C Rates	7 33%	8 16%
Non-Industrial	7.33%	7.24%
Totals	7 33%	7 33%
Fleming-Mason		
Industrial B/C Rates Non-Industrial	8 03%	8.49%
Inland Container	8 03% 8 03%	6 99% 8 87%
Inland Steam	8 03%	9 30%
TGP	8.03%	9.07%
Totals	8 03%	8 03%
Gravson		
Industrial B/C Rates	6.02%	7 64%
Non-Industrial	<u>6.02%</u>	<u>5.95%</u>
Totals	6 02%	6 02%
Inter-County Industrial B/C Rates	6 58%	7 63%
Non-Industrial	6.58%	6.49%
Totals	6 58%	6 58%
<u>Jackson</u>		
Industrial B/C Rates	6.35%	7 82%
Non-Industrial	6.35%	6.27%
Totals <u>Licking Vall</u> ey	6 35%	6 35%
Industrial B/C Rates	0.00%	0.00%
Non-Industrial	6.45%	6.45%
I otals	6 45%	6.45%
<u>Nolin</u>		
Industrial B/C Rates	6.90%	7 73%
AGC	6 90%	8 57%
Non-Industrial  Totals	<u>6.90%</u> 6 90%	6.58%
Owen	บ วบ7ช	6 90%
Industrial B/C Rates	7 53%	7 73%
Gallatin Steel	7 53%	9.41%
Non-Industrial	7.53%	<u>6.45%</u>
Totals	7 53%	7 53%
Salt River	<b></b>	
Industrial B/C Rates Non-Industrial	7 30%	8.64%
Totals	<u>7.30%</u> 7.30%	7.20 <u>%</u> 7.30%
Shelby	7 3078	7 3078
Industrial B/C Rates	7 15%	7 62%
Non-Industrial	7.15%	6.93%
Totals	7 15%	7 15%
South Kentucky		
Industrial B/C Rates	6.78%	8 06%
Non-Industrial Totals	6.78%	6.66%
Taylor	6 78%	6.78%
Industrial B/C Rates	6 88%	7 38%
TGP	6 88%	8 81%
Non-Industrial	6.88%	6.59%
Totals	6 88%	6.88%

#### **Charles Lile**

From: Bob Marshall

Sent: Wednesday, May 14, 2008 3:27 PM

To: Allen Anderson; Barry Myers; Bill Prather (Bill Prather); Bob Hood (E-mail); Bobby

Sexton; Carol Fraley; Chris Perry; Dan Brewer; Debbie Martin; Don Schaefer; Jim Jacobus; Kerry Howard: Larry Hicks; Mickey Miller; Paul Embs; Ted Hampton

Cc: Jim Lamb; David Smart; Charles Lile; Claudia Embs

Subject: FW: PSC Case No. 2007-00378- Member System Responses

Importance: High

Attached you will find the proposed response language for the PSC discussion we had from yesterday. I know that several of you were not able to attend so if you need explanation on the issue, please get in touch with either Jim Lamb, Bob Hood, Charlie Lile or myself. Certainly if any of you have concerns with the attached, do not hesitate to contact same

Thanks, Bob

From: Charles Lile

Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2008 2:31 PM
To: Jim Lamb; Bob Marshall

Cc: David Smart

Subject: PSC Case No. 2007-00378- Member System Responses

Importance: High

Attached for review and comments are draft member system responses to Requests 1 and 4, for those systems which have identified an under-recovery issue. Since Request 1 asks about any administrative problems with the surcharge over the past 2 years, a response characterizing the effect of the allocation issue on the member system would be needed, if the member system intends to suggest any future changes in the pass-through mechanism. Those systems which do not have a problem with such under-recovery can respond to the questions in any way that they see fit.

Also attached is a form certificate for the responding person at the member system. The member systems can use another format for the responses, if desired

Please advise if you have any comments or concerns. If these documents seem acceptable, they can be e-mailed to the member systems in their current form.



378 ys-PSCresponse fori

Charles A. Lile EKPC Legal 859 745-9380 charles.lile@ekpc.coop DRAFT

PSC Request No. 1
Page 1 of 1

### (NAME OF COOPERATIVE) PSC CASE NO. 2007-00378

#### INFORMATION REQUEST RESPONSE

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	ON DATA REQUEST DATED
MAY 1, 2008	
REQUEST NO. 1	
RESPONDING PERSON:	(Name)

Request No. 1: Has your cooperative experienced any problems in administering its environmental surcharge pass through mechanism over the 2-year period under review in this case? If yes, explain in detail the nature of the problems and any suggested changes to cure the problems.

Response No. 1: (Name of Coop) has experienced a (slight; significant) under-recovery of the environmental surcharge from (large commercial; industrial; or specify as appropriate) customers, due to the pass-through allocation methodology used to bill for the surcharge at retail. East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. is currently evaluating this situation, in an effort to determine if changes can be made in the pass-through mechanism which would resolve this under-recovery, but (Name of Coop) does not have a specific change to recommend, at this time.

PSC Request No. 4

DRAFT

Page 1 of 1

#### (NAME OF COOPERATIVE)

### PSC CASE NO. 2007-00378 INFORMATION REQUEST RESPONSE

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DATA REQUEST DATED
MAY 1, 2008
REQUEST NO. 4
RESPONDING PERSON: (Name)

Request No. 4: Does your cooperative have any recommended changes for its existing environmental surcharge pass through mechanism? If yes, explain in detail the nature of each change and the reasons why the change is needed.

Response No. 4: As referenced in the response to Request No. 1, East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. ("EKPC") has been made aware of the fact that some EKPC member systems are experiencing an under-recovery of the environmental surcharge from certain customer classes, or large customers, due to the pass-through mechanism. Since the impact of this situation varies among different member systems, EKPC is currently evaluating this issue, in an attempt to identify possible changes in the allocation methodology which would be equitable for all member systems and retail customers. It is hoped that some acceptable changes to the pass-through methodology can be developed within the next 60 days. EKPC plans to present any proposed changes to the pass-through methodology to the Commission for review at the earliest appropriate time.

#### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:	
AN EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIODS ENDING JUNE 30, 2006 AND DECEMBER 31, 2006, FOR THE TWO-YEAR BILLING PERIOD ENDING JUNE 30, 2007, AND THE PASS THROUGH MECHANISM FOR ITS SIXTEEN MEMBER DISTRIBUTION COOPERATIVES	) ) CASE NO. 2007-00378 ) ) )
CERTIFICATE	
STATE OF KENTUCKY )	
COUNTY OF)	
(Name), being duly sworn, states that (he/she) has	supervised the preparation of
the responses of (Name of Coop) to the Public Service Cor	mmission Data Requests in the
above-referenced case dated May 1, 2008, and that the mat	ters and things set forth therein
are true and accurate to the best of (his/her) knowledge, in	formation and belief, formed
after reasonable inquiry.	
(Name)	
Subscribed and sworn before me on this day o	f May, 2008.
NI_4 TN 1.12	
Notary Public	
My Commission expires:	

## EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. PSC CASE NO. 2008-00115 RESPONSES TO KIUC SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS

KIUC'S SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS DATED 05/29/08 REQUEST 5

**RESPONSIBLE PERSON:** 

James C. Lamb, Jr.

**COMPANY:** 

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

Request 5. Please provide an excel spreadsheet showing the development of the allocation of the EKPC environmental surcharge for each month during 2007 and 2008, year to date.

Response 5. Excel spreadsheets showing the allocation of the EKPC environmental surcharge to each member cooperative for each month during 2007 and through April 2008 are included on the Attachment to this response.

KIUC Request 5 Attachment Page 1 of 16

Col (10) / Cal (14) Big Sandy
Pass
Through
Mechanism
Factor \$1,425,012 \$1,433,090 \$1,434,406 \$1,484,446 \$1,505,469 \$1,505,469 \$1,531,286 \$1,531,286 \$1,536,596 \$1,536,096 \$1,551,996 \$1,552,960 (14) 12-months ended Avg. Retail Revonues, Net \$1,301,874 \$1,441,1067 \$1,441,1067 \$1,225,333 \$1,326,322 \$1,591,814 \$2,079,889 \$2,039,617 \$1,665,860 \$1,243,060 \$1,243,060 \$1,243,060 \$1,243,060 \$1,243,060 \$1,243,060 \$1,243,060 \$1,244,160 \$1,424,370 \$1,444,160 \$1,444,47 Col. (11) - Col. (12) (13) Big Sandy Net Monthly Retail Revenues (12)
On-Peak
Retail
Revenue
Adjustment 51,301,874
51,441,067
51,460,200
51,525,333
51,360,202
51,561,814
52,079,184
52,079,184
52,079,184
52,079,184
52,079,184
51,645
51,164
51,526,246
51,526,246
51,526,243
51,546,262
51,546,262
51,546,262
51,546,262
51,546,262
51,546,262
51,546,262
51,546,262
51,546,262
51,546,262
51,546,262
51,546,262
51,546,262
51,546,362
51,546,362
51,546,362
51,546,362
51,546,362
51,546,362
51,546,362
51,546,362
51,546,362
51,546,362
51,546,362
51,546,362
51,546,362
51,546,362
51,546,362
51,546,362
51,546,362
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,376
51,546,3 Eig Sandy Fotal Monthly Retail Revenues (10) Big Sandv Net Revenue Revenue Col (8) + Col (9) \$59,804 \$59,891 \$10,530 \$10,530 \$10,530 \$10,165 \$10,165 \$11,961 \$11,961 \$11,961 \$11,961 \$11,961 \$11,961 \$11,961 \$11,961 \$11,961 \$11,961 \$11,961 \$11,961 \$11,961 \$11,961 \$11,961 \$11,062 \$11,961 \$11,062 \$11,961 \$11,062 \$11,062 \$11,062 \$11,062 \$11,062 \$11,062 \$11,062 \$11,062 \$11,062 \$11,062 \$11,062 \$11,062 \$11,062 \$11,062 \$11,07 Requirement of (Over)/Under Recovery (9) Amortization Col (3) x Col (7) \$59.804 \$185.891 \$110.300 \$110.200 \$100.300 \$100.165 \$100.165 \$110.016 \$110.016 \$110.016 \$110.016 \$110.016 \$110.016 \$110.016 \$110.016 \$100 (8) Big Sandy Revenue \$1,036,463 \$1,090,717 \$1,031,439 \$1,103,439 \$1,103,439 \$1,111,144,464 \$1,144,739 \$1,144,739 \$1,144,464 \$1,161,016 \$1,161,016 \$1,161,016 \$1,161,016 \$1,161,016 \$1,161,016 \$1,161,016 \$1,161,016 \$1,161,016 \$1,161,016 \$1,161,016 \$1,161,016 \$1,161,016 \$1,161,016 \$1,161,016 \$1,161,016 \$1,161,016 \$1,161,016 \$1,161,016 \$1,160,747 \$1,161,016 \$1,160,747 \$1,160,073 \$1,160, EKPC 12-months
Ended Average
Monthly Revenue
from Sales to
Big Sandy \$899,091 \$1,149,254 \$1,164,445 \$1,034,445 \$1,206,819 \$1,206,819 \$1,302,749 \$1,302,749 \$1,302,749 \$1,40,025 \$1,140,026 \$1,140,026 \$1, Col. (4) - Col. (5) (6) EKPC Net Monthly Sales lo Big Sandγ (5) On-peak Revenue Adjustment Revenues from A Sales to Big Sandy \$949,091 \$1,149,254 \$1,164,463 \$1,034,425 \$16,507,309 \$1,205,819 \$1,205,819 \$1,304,921 \$1,304,921 \$1,304,921 \$1,304,921 \$1,304,921 \$1,40,022 \$1,179,645 \$1,40,022 \$1,179,645 \$1, (4) EKPC Monthly EKPC MESF % 5.77% 5.70% 11.18% 9.55% 10.17% 5.64% 7.83% 8.00% 9.69% 112.49% 112.99% 112.99% 112.99% 9.51% 9.51% 9.51% 8.33% 6.29% 6.29% 6.29% 6.29% 6.29% 6.29% 6.29% 6.29% 6.29% 6.29% 6.29% 0 EKPC BESF % 2 0.51% 0.00% EKPC CESF % 6.28% (6.21% (1.68% (1. Ξ Jun-05
Jul-05
Jul-05
Sep-05
Sep-06
Jun-06
Peb-06
May-06
Apr-06
Apr-06
Apr-06
Apr-06
Apr-06
Apr-06
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-08
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-07
Apr-08
Apr-08 Surcharge Factor Expense

Notes: Big Sandy Tolal Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues. Revenues reported in Columns (4), (6), (7), (13), and (14) are net of Green Power Revenues.

East Kontucky Power Cooperative, Inc. - Distribution Cooperatives Pass Through Mechanism Report for Blue Grass Energy

Г	$\neg$			~		-	1	_		_																															
	(15)	Bilde Grass	Through	Mechanism	racion	Col (10) / Col (14)		4.30%	4.32%	8.50%	7.75%	7.30%	5, t. C.	1,00 4	6 55%	6.82%	6.68%	7.38%	7 85%	93%	257%	841%	7.44%	7.10%	6.14%	8.51%	8.69%	8.80%	6.96%	8.55%	8.64%	7.96%	8.09%	7.54%	6,58%	5.97%	5.80%	4.97%	4.96%	4.66%	4,31%
	(14)	sungui-7:	Avg. Retail	Revenues,	Įį			56,037,024	55,111,845	56,226,522	20,939,962	\$6,402,239	\$6,430,049 \$6,550,08c	56 784 721	\$6.782,663	\$6,811,292	\$6.789.092	\$6,810,212	\$6,791,179	\$6,808,619	\$6,895,348	\$6,862,386	\$6,834,326	56,847,882	\$6,835,044	\$6,692,988	\$6,829,794	\$6,852,826	56,875,675	\$6,971,530	57,063,242	57,211,396	57.241.713	57,436,634	855,505,75	57,580,534	57,580,941	\$7,727,927	57,785,714	57,893,041	
1515	(5)	Net Monthly	Retail	Revenues		Cof. (11) - Col. (12)		669'can'as	56,735,258	000,040,75	50,940,000	\$5,090,755	57 783 643	58.504.386	57,449,967	\$7,654,369	56,344,360	\$5,419,397	\$5,837,510	\$6,945,534	\$8,087,255	\$6,553,069	\$5,360,046	56,135,046	\$7,629,593	86,899,709	\$9,091,645	\$7,930,743	\$6,618,557	\$6,569,658	56,938,049	58,723,379	58,451,063	58,492,122	50,223,133	S6,998,759	57, 634, 479	\$8,663,537	\$9,785,094	\$9,218,668	
(4.3)		Retail	Revenue	Adjustment																																					
1431	000000	Total	Monthly Retail	Revenues			. 000 000	660,000,00	50,730,230	27,040,000	55,540,000	\$5,972,379	\$7,783,643	\$8,604,386	\$7,449,967	\$7,654,369	\$6,344,360	\$5,419,397	\$5,837,510	56,945,534	\$8,087,255	\$6,553,069	\$5,360,046	\$6,135,046	\$7,629,593	\$6,899,709	\$9,091,645	\$7,930,743	56,618,557	55,559,658	S0,938,049	50,723,379	38,451,063	36,032,122	30,223,133	50,980,738	5/ 534,479	58,663,537	\$9,785,094	59,218,668	
(fail	Conservive	Net Revenue	Revenue	Requirement		Cal (8) • Cal (9)	5384 cnc	5260 252	5510 376	Saka aaa	5462,43	\$532.231	\$283,626	\$389,004	5444,275	\$462,800	5454,918	\$501,002	\$534,621	5674,320	5651,790	\$579,799	5510,507	5485,489	\$420,744	\$588,590	\$581,369	5600,804	5476,878	5587,694	3002,465	5562 463	5503,404	540,052	6440 400	0740440	ローロ Control	5376,876	5382,941	5362,532	5340,349
10)	dragativation	JO	(Over)/Under	<b>Recovery</b>																						\$114,043	5114,043	5114,043	5114,043	0114,043	040,45.50										
(8)	Film Grace	Revenue	Requirement			Col (3) x Col (7)	SOEY COE	\$250 JES	5510 376	S483 434	5462 799	\$532,231	\$283,626	\$399,004	5444,275	\$462,800	\$454,918	\$501,002	\$534,621	\$674,320	\$651,790	\$579,799	\$510,507	5485,489	\$420,744	\$474,547	5467,326	5486,761	5352,835	547.5,057	55E2 EE0	S583 A67	5546.407 SGAG 027	S440,054	CA GANS	6430548	0458,010	53/6,8/6	5382,941	5362,532	5340,349
100	FKPC 12-months	Ended Average	Monthly Revenue	from Sales to Blue Grass			Ca 462 818	SA KAR ARI	\$4 658 850	\$4,753,503	\$4,846,059	\$4,946,388	\$5,028,830	\$5,031,575	\$5,077,428	\$5,096,911	\$5,111,440	\$5,170,303	\$5,200,595	\$5,203,087	\$5,218,493	55, 135, 506	\$5,141,062	\$5, 105,033	55,044,889	55,064,538	55,169,532	55,222,762	55,312,373	55,151,15	55,466,060	55 535 744	S5 640 717	S5 738 334	55 801 030	CE H36 ABB	50,000,000	55,941,671	30,021,079	\$5,052,410 56,037,550	\$6,U77,650
(9)	EKPC Net	Wanthly	Sales	to Blue Grass		Col (4) · Col (5)	54 590 247	55.410.959	55.519.180	\$5,126,770	\$4,393,672	54,954,953	56,475,463	\$6,176,167	\$5,750,823	\$5,239,953	53,897,987	\$4,507,465	54,953,748	55,440,865	\$5,704,053	54,130,924	54,460,341	54,522,611	55,753,728	56,411,955	57,010,753	53,878,715	54,04,04,01.4 SA 040,0170	SE 188 R21	\$5,502,023	56.529.454	55.098.540	\$4,923,808	SF 275 UKR	56 183 933	S8 260 242	57 363 642	343,505,50	50,3/4,00b	55,158,525
(5)	On-peak	Revenue	Adjustment																																						
(4)	EKPC	Monthly	Revenues from Adjustment	Sales 10 Blue Grass			54,590,247	\$5,410,959	55,519,180	55,126,770	\$4,393,672	\$4,954,953	56,475,463	56,176,167	55,750,823	55,239,953	53,897,987	54,507,465	54,953,748	55,440,855	55,704,053	54,130,924	04,400,347	24,222,011	92/22/28	50,411,855	27,070,753	54 079 247	S5 940 338	\$5.188.821	\$5,627.966	56,529,454	\$6.098.540	\$4,923,808	\$5.275.068	56,183,933	58 269 343	S7.363.642	SR 37.4 FRR	55 156 725	30,100,000
(3)				EKPC		3-6	5,77%	5.70%	11,18%	10,17%	9.55%	10.76%	5,64%	7.93%	8.75%	9,03%	8.30%	9,69%	10.28%	12.96%	12.49%	0.29%	F 6	0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0	0.0478	0,70,00 0,00,00	9,04%	5, 25, 6 5, 25, 6	2, c 2, c 2, c 3, c 3, c	8 96%	10.29%	10,54%	9.58%	8.54%	7.73%	7,53%	6.29%	6.36%	4,880	7,60%	5
(2)				EKPC	BESF %		0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.23%	20.0	50.00	S of the	200	0.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00	0.0	200	2 2 2 2	5 to 5	2 to 0	5,140	5 to 0	250	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	5.5	0.00 12.00 10.00 1	2
			_	EKPC	CESF %		6.28%	6.21%	11.69%	10.68%	10.06%	11.27%	6.15%	63.44.50	9.25%	8.26% 0.44%	9,47	10.207a	12.1978	13.4.78	13.00%	11.0070	10.038	R RSec	0.00%	2000	0.00	7.34%	9.23%	9.47%	10.80%	11.05%	10.09%	9.05%	8.24%	8.04%	6.80%	6.87%	6 49%	6.11%	:
		-	afterna de la companya  Expense	Month		Jun-05	Jul-05	Aug-05	Sep-05	Oct-05	Nov-05	Dec-05	Jan-06	161-05	Mar-up	on-ide	on-yew	207:13	00-00°	00000	op-dac	Service A	Dec-06	15.00 70.00	Earl ST	Mar-07	Anr-07	May-07	Jun-07	Jul-07	Aug-07	Sep-07	Oct-07	Nov-07	Dec-07	Jan-08	Feb-08	Mar-08	Apr-08	. L	
			afternaria Euchor		-		Jun-05																																. ~		

Notes: Blue Grass Total Monthly Rebail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues. Revenues reported in Columns (4), (6), (7), (11), (13), and (14) are net of Green Power Revenues.

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. - Distribution Cooperatives Pass Through Mechanism Report for Clark Energy Cooperative

(15)	Clark	Pass	Through	Mechanism	-age-	Col (10) / Col (14)		3.50%	3.87%	7,51%	6.88%	6.48%	7.39%	3.90%	5.32%	5.83%	6.11%	5.95%	6.54%	6.98%	8.72%	8.53%	7.52%	6.63%	6.32%	5.43%	6.18%	6.23%	6.35%	4.70%	6.12%	6.23%	7,10%	7.20%	67.7%	0.88%	5.55%	5.22%	4.45%	4.42%	4.17%	3.49%	
(14)	12-months	papua	Avg. Retail	Revenues.	Ne.			\$2,535,363	\$2,600,213	\$2,638,644	\$2,679,595	\$2,708,402	\$2 735 530	\$2,816,115	\$2,868,680	\$2,840,570	\$2,864,854	\$2,865,869	\$2,861,387	\$2,885,916	\$2,852,017	\$2,876,533	52 872 117	\$2,858,886	52,876,161	52,843,123	\$2,785,103	\$2,848,642	\$2,873,222	\$2,874,774	\$2,910,945	\$2,946,626	\$3,008,224	52,999,804	53,050,588	\$3,078,051	53,089,501	53,109,019	53,160,352	53,164,896	53, 181, 751		
(61)	Çağ	Net Monthly	Retail	Revenues		Col. (11) - Col. (12)		\$2,095,825	\$3,150,627	\$2 942 382	\$7.849.774	\$2,415,441	52 469 899	53 407,088	\$3,652,099	53,074,119	\$3,265,154	\$2,790,779	\$2,224,756	\$2,390,182	\$2.743.832	\$3 236 574	\$2 796 282	\$2,256,669	\$2,676,394	53 010 637	\$2,955,861	\$3,836,589	\$3,560,110	\$2,809,407	\$2,658,799	\$2,818,360	\$3,483,005	53,135,542	\$3,525,681	52,466,347	\$2,813,679	53,244,854	\$3,571,855	53,891,113	\$3,762,370		
(12)	On-Peak	Retail	Revenue	Adjustment																								_			_	_					_			~	~		
(11)	Clark	Total	Monthly Retail	Revenues				\$2,095,825	\$3,150,627	52 042 387	A70 078 02	52 415 443	144,014,30	52,407,088	53 652 099	53 074.119	\$3,285,154	82 780 728	52 224 756	52 390 187	CD 743 R32	52 236 574	597 505 583	52 255 669	\$2 676 394	53 010 637	52 955 861	53,836,589	\$3,560,110	\$2,809,407	\$2,658,799	\$2,818,360	\$3,483,005	\$3,135,542	\$3,525,681	\$2,466,347	\$2,813,679	53,244,854	53,571,855	\$3,891,113	\$3,762,370		
(10)	Clark	Net Revenue	Revenue	Requirement		Col (8) • Col (9)		596,965	597 496	S+05 242	2484 502	5173 773	2113,112	2500,111	5140 042	\$167.110	5173 504	\$170.470	S187 444	6100 777	\$254 728	5247,120	6242,103	5400,375	C180 784	2456 130	S 175 807	\$173,463	\$180.826	\$134,918	\$175,967	\$181,483	\$209,158	\$216,485	\$201,598	\$179,980	\$164,708	\$161,329	\$138,220	\$139,767	\$132,085	\$123,643	
(6)	Amortivation	af all	(Over)/Under	Recovery																							18478)	(\$478)	(\$478)	(\$478)	(\$478)	(\$478)											
(8)	34.50	Revenue				Col (2) v Col (7)		595 965	S02 A06	2000	417,0316	2101,002	21/3/1/2	3200, 111	5100,100	245,546	5101,110	5470 470	0110,470	5107,444	3133(17	027,1626	2243, 109	2/5,0126	6180,447	2017010	5130,129	2173 941	5181304	5135 395	\$176,445	5181961	\$209,158	\$216,485	\$201,598	\$179,980	\$164,708	\$151,328	\$138,220	\$139,767	\$132,085	\$123,643	
W	and the section of the section of	ERPC 12-monus			Clark			C1 580 406	C4 740 453	204,017,00	51,(51,101	21,785,000	31,818,988	31,839,750	000,100,10	21,090,023	01,505,020	270,118,16	500,000,000	51,934,403	2) 6,649,50	51,942,332	51,940,910	51,916,492	780,718,18	500,000,10	51,012,034	51,001,573	\$1,024,13.	51,042,043	\$2,023,454	\$2 030 817	52,032,637	\$2,053,942	\$2,104,365	\$2,107,499	\$2,130,762	\$2,142,489	\$2,197,449	\$2,197,591	\$2,208,776	\$2 207 915	
(61	╁	EKPC Nei			Clark	19 100 110	(E) (S) - (A) (S)	64 686 580	2000,000,10	97'050'76	52,050,383	51,861,268	51,635,744	51,910,993	32,532,48b	52,321,004	180,102,28	51,959,730	51,427,000	51,608,516	31,792,488	52,024,945	52, 105,324	51,496,249	51,552,600	27,700,028	52,185,349	52,433,5UB	200,414,526	24,134,000	57,015,431	C1 880 843	\$2,046,786	\$2,360,984	\$2,101,318	\$1,690,214	51,987,193	\$2,326,071	53,093,029	\$2,716,648	\$2,328,225	\$1 862 118	1
(3)	2	On-peak	•																																								
	**	EKPC	Manny Democratical	Sales to	Clark	***************************************	- Company	000	000,000,10	\$2,036,709	\$2,050,383	\$1,861,268	\$1,635,744	51,910,993	\$2,532,496	\$2,321,664	\$2,201,881	51,939,736	S1,427,8bB	\$1,608,516	51,792,488	\$2,024,945	\$2,105,324	51,496,249	\$1,652,605	\$1,708,028	\$2,185,349	52,433,509	22,41,22	52, 194,000	50,407,403	64 000 043	\$2,000,045	S2 360 984	\$2,101,318	\$1,690,214	\$1.987.193	52 326 071	52,023,025	\$2,716,648	SO 328 225	C1 863 118	, , , , , ,
	(5)					MESF %	Cet (1) - Cet (2)		5.17%	5.70%	11.18%	10.17%	9.55%	10.76%	5.64%	7.93%	8.75%	9.08%	8.90%	8.69%	10.28%	12.96%	12.49%	11.29%	9.93%	9.51%	8.34%	9.37%	9.04%	9.32%	0.63%	0.44.79	10.20%	10 54%	28 E E	a d	7 73%	7 53%	A 20%	7695	7,080,7	100.00 100.00	0.00.0
*	(2)				EKPC	BESF %			0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	52.	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	6.00	0.0	200	0.51%	25.187	0.51%	2000	0.0	25.00	2 2 2	200	0.0.0
	=		-		EKPC	CESF %			6,28%	6.21%	11,69%	10.58%	10.06%	11.27%	6.15%	8,44%	9.26%	9.58%	9.41%	10.20%	10.79%	13.47%	13,00%	11.80%	10.44%	10.02%	8.85%	9.88%	9,55%	9.83%	7.34%	8.23%	9.47% 40.80ec	44 0007	10.00%	0.050	707C B	0.2470	6,0478	6,00%	200	0.4070	-
				Surcharge	Expense	Month			Jun-05	301-05	Aug-05	Sep-05	Oct-05	Nav-05	Dec-05	Jan-06	Feb-06	Mar-06	Apr-06	May-06	Jun-06	301-06	Aug-06	Sep-06	Oct-06	Nov-06	Dec-08	Jan-07	Feb-07	Mar-07	Apr-07	May-U.	70u-07	70.07	Cop.07	Geb-or	Nor.07	10000	20-297	מסייושר	Leo-co	OP FRA	ADI-Va

Notes: Clark Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues. Revenues reported in Columns (4), (6), (7), (11), (13), and (14) are net of Green Power Revenues.

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. - Distribution Cooperatives Pass Through Mechanism Report for Cumbarland Valley Electric

1	1		Valley Pass Through Mechanism Factor	1000	4 37%
174)	1	12-months	ended Avg. Retail Revenues, Net		62 641 150
10.77	(63)	Cumberland	Valley Net Monthiy Retail Revenues	3 3 3	C2 C3 ACB C2 C41 150
	(12)	On-Peak	Retail Revenue Adjustment		
	Ξ	Cumbodand	Valley Total Monthly Retail Revenues		
	9	Pospada.	Valley Net Revenue Revenue Requirement	Se (8) + Cel (9)	
	6	A seconding a billion	(OverVUnder Net Sint Recovery Recover Recovery R		
	183		Cuttoerand Valley Rovenue Requirement	Col(3) x Col(7)	,
1	123		ENFC 12-monus Ended Average Monthly Revenue from Sales to Cumberland		
	100	[0]	EKPC Net Monthly Sales to Cumberland Valley	13/ 100 10/ 100	(4) - (4)
		ē	On-peak Revenue Adjustment		
		(4)	EKPC Monthly Revenues from Sales to Cumbertand		
		6	EKPC	11 C	Col (1) - Col (2)
		8	X F	Į	
		(1)	m C	200	
			Surchange Factor Expense	Month	

Col (10) / Col (14)		4.37%	4.39%	8.61%	7.80%	7.41%	8.48%	4.43%	6.15%	6.79%	6,94%	6.82%	7.49%	8.00%	10.01%	9,58%	8.50%	7.53%	7,08%	6.12%	8.44%	8,17%	8.38%	6.64%	8.01%	8,11%	7.67%	7.91%	7.20%	6.37%	5.79%	5.63%	4,79%	4.77%	4.53%	4.19%		
		52,541,159	\$2,567,259	\$2,641,051	\$2,670,661	\$2,694,061	\$2,741,109	\$2,796,805	\$2,813,838	\$2,859,330	\$2,866,118	\$2,870,849	\$2,878,414	\$2,901,784	\$2,929,771	\$2,947,060	\$2,935,437	52,964,814	\$2,968,833	\$2,924,462	\$2,963,919	\$2,992,287	\$2,968,153	\$3,041,804	\$3,072,753	\$3,101,206	\$3,112,369	\$3,175,124	53, 196,446	53,218,732	\$3,230,862	\$3,244,879	\$3,302,821	\$3 290,002	\$3,348,872			
121 Ca (11)	7.1.1.2	\$2,570,458	\$2,434,643	\$3,065,696	\$2,549,013	\$2,338,979	\$3,062,478	\$3,705,060	53,084,608	\$3,713,180	\$3,320,480	\$2,291,866	\$2,404,504	\$2,850,906	\$2,770,482	\$3,273,163	\$2,409,535	\$2,691,513	\$3,110,706	\$3,172,599	\$3,558,100	\$4,053,594	\$3,030,872	\$3,175,675	\$2,775,894	53, 192, 336	\$2,904,435	\$4,026,228	\$2,665,398	\$2,958,941	53,256,274	53,340,796	\$4 253 403	83 899 778	53,737,311			
-		\$2,570,458	52,434,643	\$3,065,696	\$2,549,013	52,338,979	\$3,062,478	\$3,705,060	S3 084 608	53 713 180	53,320,480	\$2.291.866	52 404 504	\$2,850,906	\$2,770,482	\$3,273,163	\$2,409,535	\$7.691.513	53,110,706	\$3.172.599	\$3.558.100	54 053 594	\$3.030.872	53,175,675	\$2,775,894	53 192,336	\$2,904,435	\$4 026 228	\$2,665,398	C2 958 941	53 245 274	53,240,795	00 1 DEC 40	62 000 440	53,655,770			
	Col (8) • Col (9)	\$109,932	5110,373	\$220,996	\$206,055	\$197.947	S228 332	\$121.501	5171 805	210101	6108 A53	5105.514	5215.157	5230 223	5290 456	5280 646	5250 424	\$220 QF1	5209 972	C181 839	5246.717	5242 187	5250 621	5197 113	\$2243.52B	5249,049	S237 708	CD46 102	S228 709	2203 235	5406 340	5 100,019 C484 R22	320,1010	200,000	5157,500	5140 184	140, 104 140, 104	
																					642 106	\$42.105	542 198	242, 130	542 Sep	542 tab	1710											
	Col(3) x Col(3)	\$109 937	\$110.373	\$220 996	5206.055	5307047	222 900	2550,335	5121,30	160,1710	5191,005	2180,000	4 0,000	6730 773	5200,456	2500,430	5250,040 5350	4250,424	5220,303	716,8025	5101,039	5204,32	100 and 100 an	5200,423	5154,817	\$201'324	5200,003	201,100	2240,102	5220,103	5203,525	5180,339	279'1915	\$155,336	5157,586	CCB 01-10	5140,184	
Valley		C+ 905 238	\$1 036 375	61,000,10	52,025,033	52,020,102	32,012,141	52,122,043	52,154,263	52,167,598	\$2,182,916	52,186,708	52,195,782	52,220,400	176,557,76	50,741,809	32,240,300	52,210,100	32,223,180	52,207,911	52,180,320	32,182,720	52,212,28	626,882,28	22,208,378	847'808'78	52,308,024	52,510,003	52,534,829	24,387,382	52,383,197	\$2,410,341	52,414,630	\$2,469,571	\$2,477,771	52,490,858	\$2,503,289	
Valley	Col. (4) - Col. (5)	64 874 807	25,420,45	32,170,310	020,102,26	27,100,734	21,900,002	\$2,261,138	52,879,304	\$2,776,481	\$2,509,327	\$2,246,466	\$1,748,765	51,898,077	52,054,344	25,190,692	52,331,377	51,754,402	\$2,051,804	52,053,835	\$2,548,213	52,805,344	52,864,156	52,534,828	\$2,131,000	52,385,052	52,052,724	85,206,199	\$2,629,535	52,383,654	\$2,001,819	\$2,379,572	\$2,599,671	\$3,464,642	\$2,962,557	\$2,691,873	\$2,280,164	
Valley		100.00	51,824,892	52,170,910	\$2,261,820	52,100,754	\$1,966,862	\$2,261,138	52,879,304	\$2,776,481	\$2,509,327	\$2,246,466	\$1,748,765	\$1,898,077	\$2,054,344	\$2,190,692	52,331,377	\$1,754,462	\$2,051,804	\$2,053,835	\$2,548,213	\$2,805,344	\$2,864,156	\$2,534,828	\$2,131,000	\$2,385,052	\$2,052,724	\$2,208,199	\$2,629,535	\$2,383,654	\$2,001,819	\$2,379,572	\$2,599,671	\$3,464,642	\$2,962,557	\$2,691,873	\$2,280,164	
MESE %	Col (1) - Col (2)		5,77%	5.70%	11,18%	10.17%	9.55%	10.76%	5.64%	7.93%	8.75%	9.08%	8.90%	9.63%	10.28%	12.96%	12.49%	11.29%	9.93%	9.51%	8.34%	9.37%	9.04%	9.32%	6.83%	8.72%	8.96%	10.29%	10.54%	9.58%	8.54%	7.73%	7,53%	6.29%	6.36%	5.98%	5.60%	
S II		-	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	
2000		1			•	•	•																														6.11%	
Most	entra in		Jun-05	Jul-05	Aug-05	Sep-05	001-05	SO-you	Dec-05	Porch	Feb-06	Mar-06	Anr-06	May-06	Jun-06	30-106	Aug-06	Sep-06	Oct-06	Nov-06	Dec-06	Jan-07	Feb-07	Mar-07	Apr-07	May-07	Jun-07	Jul-07	Aug-07	Sep-07	O-1-07	Nov-07	Cor D7	1000	Feb-08	Mar-08	Apr-08	

Notes: Cumberhand Valley Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues. Revenues reported in Columns (4), (6), (7), (11), (13), and (14) are net of Green Power Revenues.

KIUC Request 5 Attachment Page 5 of 16

202

. Cooperative	
- Distribution	armers RECC
perative, Inc.	Report for F
y Power Coc	Mechanism
East Kentuck)	Pass Through

(15)	Farmers	Pass	Mechanism	Factor	Cat (10) / Col (14)	4.28%	4.30%	8 46%	7,69%	7.24%	8.20%	4.26%	5.95%	6.58%	6.79%	6,68%	7.34%	7.76%	9.73%	9.36%	8,35%	7.43%	7.07%	6.18%	6.95%	6.78%	7.03%	5,15%	6.66%	6.75%	7.82%	8.03%	7.35%	6.46%	5.87%	5.67%	4.79%	4,85%	4.56%	4.30%
(14)	12-months	ended	Revenues.	Net		\$2,598,977	\$2 627.420	\$2 686 497	\$2,729,606	\$2,766,295	\$2,816,888	\$2,836,669	\$2,839,237	\$2,859,548	\$2,859,982	\$2,857,843	\$2,879,043	\$2,891,163	\$2,897,240	\$2,891,208	\$2,862,197	\$2,865,907	\$2,842,955	\$2,823,896	\$2,834,008	\$2,844,457	\$2,873,249	\$2,902,777	\$2,959,610	\$2,970,786	\$3,004,385	\$3,062,718	\$3,116,084	53,137,679	53,171,403	53, 199,910	\$3,218,979	\$3,236,543	\$3,231,079	
(13)	Farmers	Net Monthly	Revenues		Cot (11) - Cot (12)	\$2,632,845	\$7.936.664	53 182 197	52 933,567	\$2,555,222	\$2,938,157	\$3,213,498	53,341,368	\$3,272,667	\$2,754,015	\$2,283,429	52,504,881	\$2,778,286	\$3,009,593	\$3,109,808	\$2,585,444	\$2,599,733	\$2,662,743	\$2,984,785	\$3,462,710	\$3,398,055	\$3,099,522	\$2,637,765	53, 186, 883	\$2,912,398	53,412,780	53,609,801	53,225,831	\$2,858,882	\$3,067,429	\$3,326,866	\$3,691,533	\$3,608,826	\$3,033,960	
(12)	On-Peak	Retail	Adjustment																																					
(11)	Farmers	Total	Revenues			\$2,632,845	\$2 936 664	53 187 197	\$2,933,567	\$2,555,222	\$2,938,157	53,213,498	53,341,368	\$3,272,667	\$2,754,015	\$2,283,429	\$2,504,881	\$2,778,286	\$3,009,593	\$3,109,808	\$2,585,444	\$2,599,733	\$2,662,743	\$2,984,785	\$3,462,710	\$3,398,055	\$3,099,522	\$2,637,765	53, 186,883	\$2,912,398	53,412,780	\$3,809,801	\$3,225,831	\$2,858,882	\$3,067,429	\$3,326,866	\$3,691,533	\$3,608,826	53,033,960	
(10)	Farmers	Net Revenue	Requirement		Col (8) + Col (9)	\$110,722	5111 224	\$222 241	\$206,724	5197,573	\$226,757	\$120,071	\$168,795	\$186,866	\$194,224	\$190,952	\$209,627	\$223,446	\$281,189	\$271,292	\$241,400	\$212,766	\$202,501	\$175,828	\$196,167	\$192,220	\$189,905	\$147,991	\$193,390	\$199,781	\$232,455	\$241,378	\$224,983	\$201,308	\$184,088	\$179,769	\$153,400	\$156,045	\$147,642	\$139,031
(6)	Amortization	jo	Recovery	•																					(\$1,846)	(\$1.846)	(\$1,845)	(\$1,846)	(\$1,846)	(\$1,846)										
(8)	Farmers	Revenue	Kequiremeni		Cal (3) x Cal (7)	\$110.722	5111 224	5222 261	\$206 724	\$197,573	\$226,757	\$120,071	\$166,795	\$186,866	\$194,224	\$190,952	\$209,627	5223,446	\$281,189	\$271,292	\$241,400	\$212,766	\$202,501	\$175,828	\$198,013	\$194,066	\$201,751	\$149,837	\$195,236	\$201,627	\$232,455	\$241,378	\$224,983	\$201,308	\$184,088	\$179,769	\$153,400	\$156,045	\$147,642	\$139,031
(2)	EKPC 12-months	Ended Average	Monthly Revenue from Sales to	Farmers		\$1.918.925	\$1 051 205	\$1 003 587	\$2,032,680	\$2,068,830	\$2,107,407	\$2,128,927	52,128,562	\$2,135,611	\$2,139,034	\$2,145,531	\$2,163,336	\$2,173,602	\$2,169,670	\$2,172,078	\$2,138,178	\$2,142,660	\$2,129,347	\$2,108,254	\$2,113,265	\$2,146,745	\$2,164,707	\$2,193,814	\$2,238,947	\$2,250,306	\$2,259,039	\$2,290,115	\$2,348,463	\$2,357,241	\$2,381,476	\$2,387,369	\$2,438,794	\$2,453,536	\$2,468,930	\$2,482,697
(9)	EKPC Net	Monthly	Sales o	Farmers	Col (4) - Col (5)	\$1,993,859	83 341 654	\$3 402 740	52 238 944	\$1,890,058	\$2,056,347	\$2,544,111	\$2,547,950	\$2,306,753	\$2,106,900	\$1,649,440	\$1,881,371	\$2,117,051	\$2,294,366	\$2,431,639	\$1,832,155	51,943,839	\$1,896,587	\$2,291,001	\$2,608,081	\$2,708,504	\$2,322,455	\$1,998,718	\$2,422,972	\$2,253,351	52,399,171	\$2,804,546	\$2,532,325	\$2,049,176	\$2,187,417	\$2,361,710	\$3,225,182	\$2,885,403	\$2,507,191	\$2,163,918
(5)	On-peak	Revenue	Adjustment																																					
(4)	EKPC	Monthly	Ē	Farmers		\$1 993 859	52 241 554	52 483 740	C2 238 944	\$1,890,058	\$2,056,347	\$2,544,111	\$2,547,950	\$2,306,753	\$2,106,900	\$1,649,440	\$1,881,371	\$2,117,051	\$2,294,366	\$2,431,639	\$1,832,155	\$1,943,839	\$1,896,587	\$2,291,001	\$2,608,081	52,708,504	\$2,322,455	\$1,998,718	\$2,422,972	\$2,253,351	\$2,399,171	\$2,804,546	\$2,532,325	\$2,049,176	\$2,187,417	52,361,710	\$3,225,182	\$2,885,403	\$2,507,191	\$2,163,918
(3)				EKPC MESF %	Col (1) - Col (2)	5.77%	270	44 408	10 17%	9.55%	10.76%	5.64%	7.93%	8.75%	9.08%	8.90%	9.69%	10.28%	12.96%	12.49%	11.29%	9.93%	9.51%	8.34%	9.37%	9.04%	9.32%	6.83%	8.72%	8.96%	10.29%	10.54%	9.58%	8.54%	7.73%	7.53%	6.29%	6.36%	5.98%	5.60%
(2)				EKPC BESF %	1	0 51%	9 6	5 50	0.1.0	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%
(1)				EKPC CESF %		6.28%	200	14 008/	10.68%	10.06%	11.27%	6.15%	8,44%	9.26%	9,59%	9.41%	10,20%		13,47%							9.55%			9.23%	9,47%	10.80%	11.05%	10.09%	9.05%	8.24%	8.04%	6.80%	6.87%	6.49%	6.11%
			Surcharge	Expense		lun.05	20 73	Color	Sounds Sounds	200	Nov-05	Dec-05	Jan-05	Feb-06	Mar-06	Apr-06	May-05	Jun-06	Jul-06	Aug-06	Sep-06	90-100	Nov-06	Dec-06	Jan-07	Feb-07	Mar-07	Apr-07	May-07	Jun-07	Jul-07	Aug-07	Sep-07	000	Nov-07	Dec-07	Jan-08	Feb-08	Mar-08	Apr-08

Note: Farmers Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues.

East Kenlucky Power Cooperative, Inc. - Distribution Cooperatives Pass Through Mechanism Report for Fleming Mason RECC

							1	(8)	ē	(10)	(1)	6	9	(14)	(12)
	E	(2)	(3)	9	(6)	(a)	The Court of the C	1200	i i	Fleming Mason	Fleming Mason	On-Peak	Fleming Mason	12-months	Fleming Mason
				O S	G-beak	EKPC Ne	EAPL LAmounts			Mot Revenue	Total	Retail	Net Monthly	ended	Pass
				Monthly	Revenue	Monthly	Locate Second	Decrinoment	OverMinder	Bevenue	Monthly Retail	Revenue	Retail	Avg. Retail	Through
Surcharge				Revenues from	Adjustment	odec.	from Sales to	manamakan -	Recovery	Requirement	Revenues	Adjustment	Revenues	Revenues,	Mechanism
Factor	EKP C	EKPC	EKPC	Fleming Mason		Fleming Mason								Ja N	Factor
Month	CESF %	BESF %	MESF %							100 - 0 - 100			Col (11), Col (12)		Col (10) / Col (14)
			Col. (1) - Col. (2)			Col (4) · Col (5)		Col (3) x Col (7)	1	(a) 40 · (a) 40				***************************************	
				1	100	200 040 004	123 050 53	\$330 105		\$229,105	\$5.261.673	\$307,135	\$4,954,538	\$4,706,938	4.96%
Jun-05	6.28%	0.51%	5.77%	\$4,155,436	\$307,135	53,848,301	55,970,031	5228,103		S228 919	\$4 767 126	\$61,488	\$4,705,638	\$4,749,386	4.89%
Jul-05	6.21%	0.51%	5.70%	\$4,230,926	561,488	\$4,169,438	54,016,127	5220,919		5452.443	54 793 989	51.285	\$4,792,704	\$4,795,183	9.53%
Aug-05	11.69%	0.51%	11.18%	\$4,176,622	\$1,285	\$4,175,337	54 057,117	5452,443		244,254	24,133,343 24,037 BE1	563 773	S4 974 078	\$4 867 739	8.75%
Sep-05	10.68%	0.51%	10.17%	\$4,441,062	\$63,773	\$4,377,289	54,124,479	3419,460		04/8/400	55,000 to 55	\$120.73	\$5.053.563	\$4,957,336	8.26%
0-02	10.06%	0.51%	9.55%	\$4,383,057	\$120,773	\$4,262,284	54,210,137	\$402,068		3402,000	50,114,550	5200 180	55 500 817	\$5,050,451	9.34%
Nov-05	11.27%	0.51%	10.76%	\$4,860,288	\$208,180	\$4,652,108	\$4,300,876	\$462,774		5462,774	35,700,997	5200,100	SR 282 183	SF 164 046	4.88%
Dec-05	6 15%	0.51%	5.64%	\$5,546,306	\$279,702	\$5,266,604	\$4,370,784	\$246,512		216,94%	20,001,000	2016126	\$6.063.451	\$5.231.036	6.75%
an-OB	8 44%	0.51%	7.93%	\$5,685,823	\$264,369	55,421,454	\$4,397,086	5348,689		5348,069	025,126,05	5204,305	SE 767 240	55 241 229	7.39%
Feb-05	9.26%	0.51%	8.75%	\$4,716,769	\$120,137	\$4,596,632	\$4,399,555	\$384,951		100,400,	20,000,00	6100,332	55,55,1204	55 225 351	7.64%
Mar-OB	9.59%	0.51%	9.08%	\$4,805,490	\$189,531	\$4,616,959	54,410,310	\$400,455		5400,450	55,740,023	5103,333	54 745 014	\$5 734 041	7.52%
300.06		0.51%	8.90%	\$4,000,096	\$158,998	\$3,841,098	\$4,416,877	\$393,102		5393, 102	24,904,012	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	110,011,110		9 2 A 2 A 4 4
00 mary	10.20%	5.51%	969%	\$4,518,239	\$277,752	\$4,240,487	\$4,455,666	\$431,754		5431,754	55,092,357	261,1128	0.00.00.00.00		7677.8
DO-APIA		2000	10 28%	\$4.361.316	\$216.985	\$4,144,331	\$4,480,335	\$460,578		\$460,578	55,080,629	cps'qtZ\$	54,003,044		90001
ap-int		200	12.05%	\$4 525 238	\$177.846	54,347,392	\$4,495,165	\$582,573		\$582,573	\$5,501,097	S177,846	55,323,25	35,310,980	0/00/11
יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	5.44.79	9 2	42 408	54 577 320	5216 320	54 361 009	54.510.637	\$563,379		\$563,379	\$5,271,970	\$216,320	55,055,650	35,332,900	820,01
Aug-05	33.00%	50.0	0/64-71	20 000 00	563 644	53 BAA 644	54 466 250	\$504.240		\$504,240	\$4,620,898	\$53,541	\$4,567,357	\$5,299,015	9.40%
Sep-05	11.80%	0.51%	07.62.11	33,090,103	630,04	54 049 133	54 448 488	5441.735		\$441,735	\$4,814,645	\$39,363	\$4,775,282		B.34%
Oct-06	10.44%	0.51%	9.83%	084,000,40	333,303	C2 804 444	SA 385 349	S417 047		\$417,047	\$5,152,802	\$127,523	\$5,025,279	٠,	7.90%
Nov-06	10.02%	0.51%	9.51%	/08'170'55	512/,215	90,004,444	24,202,033	5360 962		\$360,962	55,283,645	\$57,821	\$5,225,824	٠,	6.89%
Dec-06	8.85%	0.51%	8.34%	750 750 47	30,021	54,573,370 64 003 74B	54 202 438	\$402.201	\$52,681	\$454,882	\$5,752,819	\$123,163	\$5,629,656	٠.	8,84%
Jan-07	9.88%	0.51%	9.37%	119,011,03	5123, 103	04/00/00/00	54.234.740	5393 816	\$52,681	\$444.497	\$6,242,838	\$129,183	\$6,113,655	٠,	8.70%
Feb-07	9.55%	0.51%	9.04%	25,727,55	2123, 103	50,050,050	CC 308 722	5409 961	\$52,681	\$462,642	\$6,343,022	\$274,867	\$6,068,155	٠,	9.00%
Mar-07	9.83%	0.51%	9.32%	ene'enn'es	2774,000	33,380,63	54 452 870	5304 131	552 681	\$356,812	\$5,735,953	\$213,614	\$5,522,339	٧,	6.88%
Apr-07	7.34%	0.51%	6.83%	24,704,488	3213,034	04,450,074	SA 5A2 173	\$396.077	\$52.681	\$448,758	\$5,924,142	\$308,105	\$5,616,037	-,	8.55%
May-07	9.23%	0.51%	0.77%	55,020,66	5300, 103	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	54 565 045	\$400 115	552 681	\$461,796	\$6,309,229	\$362,434	\$5,946,795		8,69%
Jun-07	9.47%	0.51%	8.95%	400,767,46	9506,454	04,400,450 CA R R R R R 7	54 588 521	\$472.169		\$472,169	\$5,756,549	5319,297	55,437,252	٧,	8.73%
Jul-07	10.80%	0.51%	10.29%	100'70'th	107'01'00	100,010,10	EA E 13 24B	SAR6 142		5486 142	\$5,788,379	\$193,231	\$5,595,148	٠,	8.98%
Aug-07	11,05%	0.51%	10.54%	54,838,970	\$193,233	201,040,49	04,012,040	5450,145		\$450 911	\$5,493.214	\$114,212	\$5,379,002	\$5,527,869	8.26%
Sep-07	10.09%	0.51%	9.58%	\$5,092,187	5114,212	54,977,975	SELIGOLITA	~ : n'oc+o		SAGE 103	C4 800 979	\$156,017	\$5,644,962	٠,	7.35%
Oct-07	9.05%	0.51%	8.54%	\$4,787,092	\$156,017	54,631,075	54,755,288	201,102		5271 630	SE 642 575	5327 640	\$5.315.885	-	6.63%
Nov-07	8.24%	0.51%	7.73%	\$4,834,621	\$327,640	54,505,981	54,806,333	266,1 /66		6767 002	25,545,540	5334 BRD	56 351 060		6.53%
Dec-07	8.04%	0.51%	7.53%	\$5,725,830	\$335,880	\$5,389,950	54,873,880	5307,003		2001000	71 000 000	5318 087	57 269 620		5.49%
Jan-08	6.80%	0.51%	6.29%	\$6,700,501	\$318,987	56,381,514	\$4,989,528	5313,841		0215,04	700,000,72	5364 060	\$7.375.428		5.49%
Feb-08	6.87%	0.51%	6.36%	\$6,282,153	5364,069	\$5,918,084	55,057,837	5321,678		552,1,070	57, 305, 45	C344 54R	\$6 981 128		5.10%
Mar-08	6.49%	0.51%	5.98%	\$5,985,055	5344,648	\$5,640,407	\$5,078,651	5303,703		5303,103	0 - 1,0000, to	) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			4.75%
Apr-08	6.11%	0.51%	5.60%	\$5,466,942	\$416,290	\$5,050,652	\$5,125,299	/10'/87\$		117,1036					

Notes: Fleming Mason Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues. Revenues reported in Columns (4), (6), (7), (13), and (14) are net of Green Power Revenues.

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, inc. - Distribution Cooperatives Pass Through Mochanism Roport for Grayson RECC

rass intolgo muchanism reported carson For the Month Ending April 2008

(15)	Grayson	Pass	Меспаліѕт	F3000	Ces (10) / Ces (14)		3.67%	3,69%	7, 17%	6.58%	6.24%	7.06%	3.68%	7 14%	5 70%	2 CHC 5	5 84%	6.45%	6.88%	% ar a	3,12	7 45%	6.55%	6.23%	5.46%	6.30%	6.10%	6.30%	4.68%	6.03%	6.09%	6.88%	7.09%	6.54%	5.78%	5.27%	5 14%	4.34%	4 40%	4 10%	2000	0.00.7
_	12-months C	ended		Ne Fe	195		\$ 1,625,804	5 1,662,948	\$ 1,677,851	\$ 1 603 121	\$ 1 724 757	5 1 750 131	\$ 1.767.683	S 1 774 398	5 1 755 591	C 1 766 820	\$ 1.759.205	5 1 765 203	5 1 775 388	5 4 760 733	5 1,709,233	\$ 1755 220	5 1 7 40 5 11	5 1 7 2 3 6 1 0	C 1 7 15 868	\$ 1.747.409	1.759,827	1,777,925	1,785,267	5 1,821,144	51,818,313	\$ 1,821,952	5 1,839,501	5 1,859,812	5 1,866,771	\$ 1,880,731	\$ 1 909 789	\$ 1 905 459	5 1 937 475	725, 108, 10	30,100	
	<u>~</u>	5 t	Z.		15					_				_												-						٠.					-					
(13)	Grayson	Net Monthly	Revenues		(21) (00) (12)		\$1,605,525	\$1.912,380	51614.164	C1 4RE 72R	51 773 790	52 170 202	\$2.085 a84	C2 303 E63	51,726,5BD	51,120,000 C1 668 867	54.218.585	C4 E 16 367	51,516,201	54 525 43	51,050,020	64 424 644	24,401,04	51,700,407 C4 BEB 204	51 992 962	52 682 068	\$1.875.585	\$1.885.852	\$1,406,690	\$1,946,792	\$1,693,760	\$1,882,191	\$1,711,686	\$1,675,379	51,789,987	\$2,025,821	52 343 664	52,630,108	52 250 175	52,239,174 C+ B12 F74	31,016	
(12)	On-Peak	Retail	Adjustment																																							
(11)	Grayson	Total	Monuniy Relau Revenues				\$1,605,525	\$1,912,380	St 614 154	10141014	51,400,720	51,73,730	52,110,203	22,000,504	52,505,503	000'07'''	21,000,000	2000	21,516,207	51,727,15	51,838,520	100,100,10	100 001 00	100,000,000	51,000,000	21,992,902	52,002,000	C1 885 850	\$1,000,002 \$1,406,690	\$1,946,792	\$1,693,760	\$1,882,191	\$1711 686	\$1,675,379	\$1 789 987	\$2,025,821	10,024,000	52,54 1,004	52,030,100	6/1,862,26	51,612,673	
(10)	Gravson		Requirement			16 S. E. E.	\$59,216	559 598	\$110.150	0110	0110,470	5105,040	504040	0.00	280,910	5101,120	5103,001	5105, 103	5113,426	5121,374	5152,557	180,1810	5131,023	5115,133	5108,883	200,400	\$100,001 C+06 581	5140 R31	SR3 13R	\$107.657	5110 874	\$125,040	\$170.234	\$120,298	\$107.46B	S98 445	0000000	390,067	202,302	585,851	14,8,4	S74,327
(6)	Amortization	ъ	(OveryUnder Recavery																							4000	32,010	92,010	52,010	\$2,018	\$2.036	2										
(8)	Gravson	Revenue	Requirement			(3) × (5)	\$59.216	250 508	020,000	5119,158	5110.475	5105,640	5121,704	204,010	590,918	5101,120	5105,001	5103,103	\$113,426	5121,374	\$152,557	5147,UB3	5131,023	5115,133	5108,983	594,082	S105,045	000,4010	\$100,013	5301,122	\$ 108 BSB	\$125.040	5120 237	5120 298	C 107 A 68	SOB 445	7 1 0 1 0	590,087	282,945	583,851	579,411	\$74,327
(7)	FKPC 12-months	Ended Average	Monthly Revenue from Sales to	Grayson			\$1.026.265	C4 046 503	200,040,040	S1,058,805	51,086,279	51,106,176	51,131,080	\$1,149,225	51,146,502	51,155,657	51,156,399	51,158,461	\$1,170,550	\$1,180,680	\$1,177,139	51,177,671	\$1,160,520	\$1,159,445	51,145,979	\$1,128,086	51,131,755	020,000,150	51,101,539	51,101,737	850 210 23	\$1.215.157	64 226 420	\$1,226,123	64 359 400	51,250,408	D+C,C/2,10	\$1,284,022	51,318,674	\$1,318,412	\$1,327,939	\$1,327,263
(9)	DKBC Not	Monthiy	Sales	Grayson		Col (4) - Col (5)	\$926 563	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	51,210,629	\$1,214,350	51,083,917	\$1,029,775	51,218,519	51,553,760	\$1,403,955	51,347,210	\$1,210,215	5879,178	\$960,321	\$1,048,117	\$1,176,338	\$1,220,749	\$878,107	51,016,869	\$1,056,931	\$1,339,047	51,447,980	51,646,500	51,340,333	53,12,1,552	12,042,10	51,008,013	04.000	51,532,417	904 040 49	51,049,100	21,235,435	\$1,464,837	\$1,863,806	51,643,350	\$1,454,660	51,113,440
(5)	Acor of	Revenue	Adjustment																															_						_	_	_
(4)	ניים	Monthly	Revenues from A	Grayson			563 8000				*	_	\$1,218,519			\$1,347,210		\$879,178		\$1,048,117	\$1,176,338	\$1,220,749	\$878,107	\$1,016,869	\$1,056,931	\$1,339,047	\$1,447,980	51,646,500	\$1,340,335	51,121,552	17,047,14	51,088,575	20,000,000	71,352,417	DE2,862,18	51,049,106	51,238,496	\$1,464,837	\$1,863,806	\$1,643,350	\$1,454,660	\$1,113,440
6					MESF %	Col. (1) - Col. (2)	94.	2.0	5.70%	11.18%	10.17%	9.55%	10.76%	5.64%	7.93%	8.75%	9.08%	8.90%	9.69%	10.28%	12.96%	12.49%	11.29%	9.63%	9.51%	8.34%	9.37%	9.04%	9.32%	6.83%	8/7/R	B.95%	10.29%	10.54%	9.20%	8,54%	7.73%	7.53%	6.29%	6.36%	5.98%	5.60%
10				EKPC	BESF %			E. D.	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%
=	+			EKPC	JESP %		2000	0.70%	6.21%	11.69%	10.68%	10.05%	11.27%	6.15%	8.44%	9.26%	9.59%	9,41%	10.20%	10,79%	13,47%	13.00%	11.80%	10,44%	10.02%	8.85%	9.88%	9.55%	9.83%	7.34%	9.23%	9,47%	10.80%	11.05%	10.09%	9.05%	8.24%	8.04%	6.80%	5.87%	6.49%	6.11%
			Surcharge	Expense			i																																			Apr-08

Notes: Grayson Total Monthly Retait Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues. Revenues reported in Columns (4), (6), (7), (11), (13), and (14) are net of Green Power Revenues.

East Kontucky Power Cooperative, Inc. - Distribution Cooperatives Pass Through Mechanism Report for Infor County ECC

		-		***	127	(8)	6	(8)	6	(10)	3	(12)	(13)	(14)	(32)
	Ę	2	(3)	Jana	On-noak	FKPC Net	EKPC 12-months	Inter County	non	Inter County	Inter County	On-Peak	Inter County	12-months	Inter County
				Monthly	Hevenin	Monthly	Ended Average	Revenue	jo	Net Revenue	Total	Retail	Net Monthly	ended	Pass
			_	Revenues from	-	Sales	Monthly Revenue	Requirement	(Over)/Under	Revenue	Monthly Retail	Revenue	Retail	Avg. Retail	Through
Surchage				Sales to		g	from Sales to		Recovery	Requirement	Revenues	Adjustment	Revenues	Revenues,	Mechanism
Expense	EKPC	EKPC	EKPC	Inter County		Inler County	Inter County							E N	ractor
Month	CESF %	BESF %	MESF %			9, 70		(1) (2) (3)		Col (8) • Col (9)			Col (11) - Col. (12)		Cal (10) / Cal (14)
			S (3) S (3)			(5) (5) (6)		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		121					
			i	400 000		£1 653 031	C1 608 110	597 981		\$97.981	\$2,485,014		\$2,485,014	\$2,524,131	3.92%
Jun-05	6.28%	0.51%	5.7 %	51,653,021		54,003,021	\$1,030,10 \$4,738,240	508 510		\$98.510	\$2,670,851		\$2,670,851	\$2,548,858	3.92%
Jul-05	6.21%	0.51%	5.70%	507,509,10 51 100 10		000,000,10	01,020,020	2107,010		C197 608	S2 758 177		\$2,758,177	\$2,602,436	7.75%
Aug-05	11.69%	0.5 6	11.18%	\$2,027,746	_	52,021,740	51,772,303 C1 BOR 408	5187,000		S183 915	\$2,663,747		\$2,663,747	\$2,644,812	7.07%
Sep-05	10.68%	0.51%	10.17%	51,838,885	_	000,000,10	20,000,400	6128 630		C176470	C2 555 886		\$2,565,886	\$2,697,822	6.68%
Oct-05	10.06%	0.51%	9.55%	S1,654,886		0004,000	+88'0+0'-0	5202 522		5203 623	52 699 460		\$2,699,460	\$2,738,837	7,55%
Nov-05	11.27%	0.51%	10.76%	51,959,834	_	400,000,00	51,032,411	\$203,025 \$108 543		\$108.543	\$3,759,455		\$3,759,455	\$2,805,521	3.96%
Dec-05	6.15%	0.01 %	0.04%	21,099,712	.,	21,1000,25	030,420,50	C152,630		\$152 689	53 149 782		53,149,782	\$2,815,770	5.44%
Jan-06	8.44%	0.51%	7.93%	52,493,279	_	52,493,619 62,483,619	51 950 011	\$170,626		\$170,626	53,448,351		53,448,351	\$2,827,007	6.06%
rep-up	9.70%	0.01%	0,7078	52,303,304		\$2,000,000	C1 955 049	\$177.518		\$177,518	\$2,956,674		\$2,956,674	\$2,799,911	6.28%
Mar-06	9.58%	6.03%	4,007a	54,046,115		\$3 430 139	\$1.952,791	\$174.243		\$174,243	\$2,440,530		\$2,440,530	\$2,805,776	6.22%
Apr-ub	9,4 7.	200	6,50,0	C4 E34 4E4		\$1,621,163	S1 981.427	5192,000		\$192,000	\$2,118,206		\$2,118,206	\$2,809,678	6.84%
an-hew	10.20%	0.0178	2.03%	51 02 105 C1 802 026		\$1,802,026	51.993.844	\$204.967		\$204,967	\$2,474,631		\$2,474,631	\$2,808,813	7.30%
301-00	10,797	2 4 4 5	12.06%	\$2 026 BBB		52.026,896	\$1,997,436	\$258,868		\$258,869	\$2,821,694		\$2,821,694	\$2,821,383	9.22%
op-Inc	12,000	0.0 1.4 1.4 1.4	12.30%	\$2,025,000 \$2,089,003		\$2,089,003	\$2,002,541	\$250,117		\$250,117	\$2,933,181		\$2,933,181	\$2,835,966	8.87%
AUG-00	11 90%	0.00	11.70%	\$1,469,438		\$1,469,438	\$1,971,753	\$222,611		\$222,611	\$2,308,299		\$2,308,299	\$2,806,346	7.85%
2000	40.449	2 2 2	79200	\$1.714.10B		\$1,714,108	\$1,976,689	\$196,285		\$196,285	\$2,216,546		52,216,546	52,777,234	0.00.0 0.00.0
Non-06	10.1478	5,1%	25.50	\$1.798,659		\$1,798,659		\$186,706		\$186,706	\$2,794,762		\$2,794,762	52,785,176	6.72%
00000	20.00	24.50	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	\$2,353,265		\$2,353,265	\$1,935,303	\$161,404		5161.404	\$2,999,150		\$2,999,150	52,721,817	5.8U%
J20-07	0.000	2,5	937%	\$2,647,229	. ~	\$2,647,229		\$182,540	20	\$182,540	53, 131,016		53,131,016	52,720,253	6.71%
50H03	44.0	212	9 04%	\$2,899,619	~	\$2,899,619		\$179,999	S	\$179,999	\$4,195,948		54,195,948	\$2,782,553	%70.0
Mar-07	283%	0.51%	9.32%	\$2,200,117		\$2,200,117	\$2,004,305	\$186,801	S	\$186,801	\$2,930,811		52,930,811	52,780,398	0.7.7%
Anr-07	7.34%	0.51%	6.83%	\$1,937,502	۸.	\$1,937,502		\$139,782	S	\$139,782	\$2,772,314		52,772,314	32,808,047	0,00,0
May-07	9.23%	0.51%	8.72%	\$2,035,675		\$2,035,675		\$181,474	S :	5181,474	52,275,749		32,273,749	52,021,13	6,04,0 6,04,0
Jun-07	9.47%	0.51%	8.96%	51,874,499		\$1,874,499	~ .	\$187,010	S	5187,010	53,080,881		33,000,001	52,071,030	7.4B%
Jul-07	10.80%	0.51%	10.29%	\$2,034,576	·^	\$2,034,576	**	\$214,835		5214,835	75,727,53		33,621,317	52,505,235	7.56%
Aug-07	11.05%	0.51%	10.54%	\$2,358,326	Į,m	\$2,358,326		\$222,420		5222,420	00,001,140		03,001,140	52,500,170	200-1- 200-1-
Sep-07	10,09%	0.51%	9.58%	\$2,097,128	۳.	\$2,097,128		\$207,173		5207,173	53,075,580		23,072,030	53,050,110	5,00%
201-07	9.05%	0.51%	8.54%	\$1,703,184		\$1,703,184		••		\$184,605	52,840,041		52,040,041	33,002,070	6,550 5,50 5,50 5,50 5,50 5,50 5,50 5,50
Now-07	B 24%	0.51%	7.73%	\$2,005,339	_	\$2,005,339	\$2,178,872	\$168,427		5168,427	52,955,223		52,955,223	03,U80,440	8 20 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
Dar.07	80.5%	0.51%	7.53%	\$2,376,083	,	\$2,376,083	\$2,180,773	•		\$164,212	\$3,664,446		53,664,446	53,150,889	5.30%
2000	6 80%	0.51%	6.29%	\$3,299,836	,-	\$3,299,836	\$2,235,157	\$140,591		\$140,591	\$4,229,117		54,229,117	33,242,398	-
Lest-Oc	8,00,0	55.5	6.36%	\$2,904,563		\$2,904,563	\$2,235,569	\$142,182		\$142,182	\$4,660,508		\$4,660,508	53,281,111	
Marah	6.40%	0.51%	2089	\$2,458,584		52,458,584	\$2,257,108	٠,		\$134,975	\$4,079,537		54,079,537	53,375,636	
Anna DB	5.45	, c.	3,003	\$1,902,097		\$1,902,097	\$2,254,158	\$126,233		\$126,233					3.74%
2		2.7.5	2.72.75												

Notes: Inter County Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues. Revenues reported in Columns (4), (6), (7), (11), (13), and (14) are net of Green Power Revenues.

KIUC Request 5 Attachment Page 9 of 16

uosyper

(51)

ed/nom-S1

(11)

บอรหวยา

(13)

AssignO

(15)

noszast

(11)

Revenues reported in Columns (4), (6), (7), (11), (13), and (14) are net of Green Power Revenues. заскаол Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues.

On-peak

(g)

EKPC Net

(9)

EKPC

(4)

%08.E					\$262,431		2262,431	54,686,264	895,870,48		84,076,568	%09'S	%15'0	%11.9	80-1qA
	189,509,88	56,862,764		P94'Z98'9\$	\$280,111		\$280,111	751 789 7S	25,213,645		26,213,645	%86'S	%15.0	%6t g	80-15M
%01 <sup>-</sup> 7	EE8,658,82	108,637,72		108,687,72	EEZ'56ZS		EES,2852	54,642,023	999,888,82		699'988'95	%9£'9	%190	%Z8.8	80-d9 <sup>-1</sup>
%9E b		108 697 52		90S,768,88 109 685 52	758,09S2 755 2052		758,09S2	987,553,42 500,553,12	£10,881,82		£70,827,82	%6Z'9	%190	%08'9	80-nst
%EE 7	068,597,530						258 0052	959,112,42	112,880,82		112,880,88 550 835 82	%£6.7	%15.0	%\$0°8	70-39O
%11'9	991,817,82	140,810,82		140,010,88	83336,749			946,864,42	164,404,48		184,404,481	%EY.Y	%15.0	8.24%	70-voM
%9Z'9	100,888,88	876,888,78		876,883,78	AST, TAES		427,74E\$				969'989'88	%±2.2 8.54%	%190	%90.6	70-12O
%ZL'S	800,156,88	776'E1E'SS		446,616,88	\$36,645\$		996'628\$	862,644,42	969,888,68		24,364,445			%60'0L	70-q92
%0S'9	Se4,048,88	851,659,63		831,658,32	\$456,625		\$458,625	162,624,48	544,486,445			%BS 6	%15'0	%GO'LL	70-guA 50.202
%E1.7	720°199'9\$	846,616,7\$		848,818,72	2458,145		941,884\$	84,346,728	426,187,42		48E,187,42	%Þ9'01	%19'0		YO-lut Viland
%16'9	56,422,747	S8E,06E,72		\$85,055,78	2442,655		\$442,655	008,106,42	72,070,42		72,070,42	%6Z.01	%19'0	%08.01	70-UNC
%90'9	141,275,02	411,7£2,82		56,537 714	\$384,344	(280,12)	621,285\$	199,105,42	S46,077,52		249,077,52	%96'8	%190	%Z1 6	
%66°S	581,966,88	742,886,82		748,386,38	162,5752	(280,12)	978,4YE\$	867,89S,42	918,135,48		84,251,816	8.72%	%150	9.23%	YO-yeM
%Z9"t	26,236,265	580,315,6\$		580,315,083	\$28,7852	(280,12)	789,885\$	\$4,226,023	110,120,42		110,120,42	%£8'9	%150	% PE.7	70-1qA
%91.9	Z88,912,8\$	161,479,82		161,476,82	155,2862	(\$1,085)	\$386,636	84,148,458	S1£,807,42		24,708,312	%76.9	%15.0	%E8 6	TO-1sM
%26°9	876,482,88	592,4SE,72		57,324,563	YEY,OYE2	(380,12)	\$371,622	64,113,073	<b>179,188,28</b>		778,788,88	%+0′6	%190	%996	70-d9₹
%41.9	850,012,88	878,407,72		878,704,828	734,8YE2	(880,12)	2379,552	017,050,42	197,214,88		127,214,22	%45.6	%190	%88 6	70-net
%68'9	180,251,82	641,88Z,72		641,882,78	926'9EE\$		2336,975	074,040,42	\$4,902,465		24,902,465	%\$£.8	%190	%98.8	30-59C
%61'9	012,742,32	588,021,73		£86,031,72	\$360°184		491,0952	\$4,102,674	0£8,418,£2		53,814,830	% <b>1</b> 976	%15.0		90-voM
%/3.0	\$11,205,03	£87,748,88		567,748,88	2411,092		2411,092	Z06'6E1'7S	\$3,634,334		\$2'634'33¢	86.6	%16.0		80-15O
%E4.7	\$19,482,88	545,685,545		\$45,585,45	\$467,222		SSS, 7842	175,851,42	23 085,685		53,085,685	11.29%	%19.0		30-g92
%46.8	747,285,88	022,728,220		\$2,857,220	8526,075		2525,075	\$4,203,964	24.2.42.42		242,242,217	15 48%	%190		a0-guA
%59'8	56,272,374	811,627,88		811'697'98	994,4488		3544,458	190'10Z'#\$	24,074,592		265,470,42	15.66%	%12.0		80-lut
%\$8'9	£49,692,83	761,801,82		461,301,32	2432,203		2432,203	24,204,312	\$33,111,68		\$3,711,864	10.28%	%19'0		90-unr
%E+'9	691,416,88	58,161,653		688,181,88	2404'850		2404'950	861,871,48	352,604,62		53,403,235	%69'6	%150	10.20%	d0-ysM
%18'9	26,301,722	55,118,126		22,118,126	474,8852		\$368,474	54,140,156	\$52,021,52		53,120,235	%06.8	%190	%116	90-1qA
%96'9	E07,E1E,82	Z0Z'96£'9\$		404 966 9S	019'9465		019,8762	24,136,675	24,283,696		24,283,696	%80 6	%19'0	%69.6	80-15M
%17.8	098,205,88	181,287,38		191,287,38	109,1862		109,1362	84,5E1,42	029'616'7'S		029'616'4\$	%94.8	%150	%9Z'6	90-qa <u>-</u>
%02.8	148,682,88	591,808,88		56,805,465	2324,644		2324,644	178,690,42	998'Z6Z'9\$		29,292,865	%£6.7	%150	%****B	90-nst
%94'E	56,845,843	205,709,82		205,709,82	969'022\$		969'022\$	\$4,090,35¢	816,848,82		816,848,818	%+9'5	%190	%91.9	20-29Q
7.24%	560,881,88	175,808,72		175,808,12	78433,6672		788,EEA2	136,050,42	84,261,568		84,261,568	%9Z'01	%150	11.27%	20-voM
%16.3	912,S99,23	817,579,42		917,716,42	8376,258		832,8452	578,659,52	196'919'8\$		136,815,88	%99'6	%19'0	%90'0t	Oct-05
%99 <sup>'9</sup>	E18,769,82	251,680,88		ZE1,680,88	2392,920		026'2625	025,638,62	108,278,62		108,278,82	%41'01	%19'0	%89'01	gob-gg
%9Z'L	148,109,88	994'969'9S		55,696,25	662,5242		\$423,299	892,397,52	44,207,257		723,702,48	11.18%	%19'0	%6911	S0-guA
%97.£	164,858,83	327,014,338		37,014,336	118,1152		118,1152	086,217,52	EST, E11, 42		54,113,723	%07.8	%190	%17.9	50-IUL
%17.E	55,683,93	266,195,391,898		366,195,382	\$211,244		\$211,244	990,199,52	186,404,68		186,404,62	%44.8	%150	%92.9	20-nut
TOLL E	260 603 23	200 100 93		300 100 53	116 1163		************	330 133 63	100 101 03		700 707 25			,,,,,,	
(३०) (२० (४४)		Col. (11) · Col (12)			(6) 192) + (9) 192		Col (3) x Col (1)		C9 (4) · C9 (2)		F	Col (1) - Col (2)			
	······································	***************************************							1			MESE %	% 4S36	% ±SEO	rttnoM
notos=7	19N				l	-	!	Ласкьол	Jackson		Jackson	EKPC	EKbC	EKPC	95n9qx3
Mechanism	Revenues,	รอกบองอน	InomizujbA.	รอกนองอน	Requirement	Recovery		ot zelez mori	0)	j	of sals2				Tactor
Тһгоидћ	listofi.gvA	listoA	9unav9A	Monthly Retail	อนกองอภิ	19bnU/(19vO)	Requirement	Monthly Revenue	Sales		Revenues from				อถูงธหวาน2
5589	papua	Vet Monthly	listia A	[8] <u>0]</u>	Sunsvaß laM	10	Revenue	Snded Average	YirlinoM	Revenue	yirinoivi				
- 1						1	l	I		1	- n			1	

notesthomA

(6)

(01)

иоѕурег

(8)

EKPC 12-months

(<u>z</u>)

For the Month Ending April 2008

(1)

Pass Through Mechanism Report for Jackson Energy Cooperative East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. - Distribution Cooperatives

Moles: Licking Valley Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues. Revenues reported in Columns (4), (6), (7), (11), (13), and (14) are net of Green Power Revenues.

													0/ 1 0:0	D/ 1 1:25	80-1qA
%06'E				1	970,878	1			290'891'1\$		\$1,168,062	%09'9	%19'0	%11.9	80-16M 80-19A
	21'654'365	G60,0 <del>00</del> ,12	9	21'846'948	880,029	+			£00,884,12		\$1,456,003	%86'9	%19'0	%67'9	80-d94 80-cM
	799,809,12		Ġ	926'411'2\$	984,485				51,636,12		51,636,12	%96.3	%15.0	%48.8	
,	299'168'1\$				972,582		978,E82		41,894,824		41,894,824	%6Z`9	%19'0		80-nst
	722,109,12				184,762		184,762	798,46S,18	188,884,18		789,234,1\$	%EG.7	%150	%40.8	70-29G
	500,428,12				089'66\$			PZZ'88Z'1\$	99Z,07Z,12		31,270,266	%£7.7	%19'0	8.24%	<b>70-voM</b>
					827,8012 693,602				96Z'6Z0'1S		21,029,295	%+SB	%19.0	%90'6	70-15O
	067,928,13				8122,149				960'862'1\$		360,865,12	%85.6	%190	%60'01	70-gaS
	81,852,439				8131,248				858,075,12		868,076,12	%Þ9'01	%190	%S0.11	70-guA
	81,248,18				878,8212 816,1512				\$1,200,323		21'500'353	%6Z.01	%190	208.01	ፈፀ-Iቦቦ
	E11,418,12								157'401'15		124,701,12	%96.8	%150	%476	<b>70-ոս</b> ե
	81,797,936								486,952,12		\$1,239,984	%ZL'8	%15.0	9.23%	70-yeM
	9EE,797,12								196,081,18		186,081,18	%E8.8	%19'0	%⊅€ Z	70-1qA
	51,804,357								404,666,12		\$0\$,855,12	8'35%	%19'0	%£8 6	70-16M
	936,858,12								060,859,12		060,859,12	%40'6	%190	%556	70-d9-1
	51,845,863								900,284,12		900,284,12	%ZE'6	%15.0	%88.6	10-net
	31,876,556				962,8118				178,886,12		178,686,12	%tE.8	%15'0	%58'8	Dec-d6
	086'8£8'1\$				295,842			W	701,080,12		701,080,12	%19'6	%19'0	%Z0:01	90-voN
	116,478,12			0.00000	919,0112				\$82,230,12		\$82,280,18	%E6'6	%19'0	%pp 01	90-120
	186,448,12				5116,953				694,0882		695,0882	%6Z.11	%19'0	%08'11	gg-dəs
7.25%	198,458,18	£46'414'1S		• •	5132,744				1 NO ASS 12 00 A ORR2		140,455,12	12.49%	%19.0	13.00%	90-6n¥
	382,168,12	\$1,390,584			701,8412						110,155,344	%96°Z;	%19'0	%Z+ E1	90-lut
	917,478,18	\$1,800,653			6154,790			520	51,182,344		SE1,030,12	%82.01	%19.0	%67.01	ap-nut
%84.B	868,728,12	\$2,089,805			\$123,018				551,050,13		864,0762 251 020 12	%69'6	%1S.0	%0Z.01	90-yeM
		\$1,805,037			926,8118		3115,326	,	864,0762			%06'B	%19'0	%1+6	80-1qA
	100,811,12	100'006'15		100,008,12	\$104,857				S90,1092		280,1062	%80'6	%19'0	%69'6	80-15M
	\$1,736,314			77.847,12	727,8012				929,805,12		21,206,526	%94'8	%15.0	%9Z'6	30-d93
	81,744,898			\$2,281,876	908, S018				81,384,358		835,185,12			%******	90-nst
	Z60'vvZ'IS			\$2,012,884	745,Se2				698,624,12		698,634,12	%£6.7	%15'0	%91'9	20-020 20-021
	704,857,12			874,418,23	\$92,263		£97,882		51,594,243		545,468,12	%+9°9	%190		20-voM
	728,688,12			188,278,18	2153,555		999'EZ1\$	772,841 12	T8A,TS2,12		784,722,18	%91'01	%190	11.27%	Oct-05
	EY1,688,12				PS1'201S		#91,7012	51,122,036	471,820,12		471,820,12	%99'6	%19'0	%90.01	50-d9S
	624,848,12				2115,143		2115'143	S89,S01,12	Z£6'960'1\$		ZE6,890,12	%Z1.01	%16.0	%89 <sup>*</sup> 01	20-guA 20-ge2
,0000	161,148,12				859,0212		8150'0318	007,480,12	697,052,18		691,0ES,12	%81´11	%15.0	%69'll	
	888,108,12				\$60,464		290'494	497,080,18	\$1,210,031		160,015,12	%04.8	%15.0	%129	50-Inc
	0SS,888,12 808 109 12			409,S88,12	260,201		102'095	S46,640,12	748,1768		748,1762	%LL'S	%15.0	%82.3	c0-nut
3.82%	21 585 220	100 522 12		100 633 13	100 003		700 000	• • • • • •							<del></del>
Col (10) / Col (14)	·	COI (11) - COI (15) [		ĭ	C9 (8) • C9 (8)		COI (2) X COI (2)		Col. (4) - Col. (5)			(S) (N) - (N) (S)	% JS38	CEZE %	Month
					1					1		MESE %		EKPC	Expense
<b>स्टियल</b>	19N							YalleV gnixbiJ	Licking Valley		Licking Valley	EKPC	EKAC	בתפע	10256-1
meinertaeM	Revenues,	жечепиеs	Inomiau(bA	Revenues	Mequirement	Яесолец		of zalač moni	01		Sales to	1		}	Succinge
Through	HEIDH GWA	Retail	Revenue	kiciaA yirinoM	Revenue R	19bnUA(19vO)	Requirement	Monthly Revenue	sales	1	moni saunavaA	-			
5504	рариа	Met Monthly	iici9A	10107	Met Revenua	ìo	Revenue	aggiavA babna	Monthly	BunavaЯ	YlrlJnoM			1	
Licking Valley	smoom-21	LICKING Valley	On-Peak	Licking Valley	Licking Valley	noitesihomA	Licking Valley	EKPC 12-months	EKPC Net	Absq-nO	EKbC		1 m 1	<del></del>	-
(St)	(\$1)	(51)	(13)	(13)	(10)	(6)	(8)	( <i>D</i> )	(9)	(5)	(4)	(E)	(Z)	(1)	الكسيس
1 1937	1 (76)	1	1-1-7	1		C	-4								

8005 lindA gailbal dinoM etti 107

East Kentucky Power Cooperalive, Inc. - Distribution Cooperalives Pass Through Mechanism Report for Licking Valley RECC

East Kenlucky Power Cooperative, Inc. - Distribution Cooperatives Pass Through Mechanism Report for Nolin RECC

(13)	folin	
	-	:
(12)	On-Peak	
(11)	Nalin	
(10)	Nolin	
(6)	Amendization	
(8)	Anlin	-
(2)	10 mm	E STATE LESS COURS I
(9)	10000	いとしてい
		- C2-69X
	(4)	U Y
***************************************	(6)	
·	(2)	
	(1)	L

Notin	Pass	Through	Mechanism	Factor		Col (10) / Col (14)		4.27%	4.26%	8,37%	7.65%	7.21%	8.12%	4.24%	5.88%	6.54%	6.86%	6.75%	7,44%	7.88%	9.96%	9.52%	8.48%	7.56%	7.21%	6.29%	0.80% 8 87%	8.86%	6.95%	8.35%	8.49%	7.88%	8.14%	7,45%	6.62%	6.01%	5.83%	4.89%	4.84%	4.54%	4.23%
12-months	ended	Avg. Retail	Revenues.	2				\$3,643,636	\$3,704,608	\$3 783 324	\$3.854.737	53 041 045	52,541,545	\$4 107 879	\$4 112,669	\$4,098,997	\$4,112,861	\$4,108,598	\$4,141,234	\$4,136,986	\$4,190,069	\$4,191,466	\$4,145,941	\$4,142,014	\$4,135,080	\$4,028,198	54,022,524	S4.210.484	\$4,335,415	\$4,382,740	\$4,449,446	\$4,469,704	\$4,552,849	\$4,601,552	\$4,636,513	\$4,661,807	\$4,756,660	\$4,880,557	\$4,910,279	\$4,945,034	
Notion	Net Monthly	Rotal	Revenues			Cal (11) - Cal (12)		\$4,204,634	54.115,569	SA 258 323	54 015 556	62 000 110	53,502,112	54,217,565	54.521.142	53.628.800	\$4,055,175	\$3,097,375	53.841.464	\$4,153,659	\$4,752,568	\$4,275,089	53,469,257	\$3,934,980	\$4,473,886	\$3,934,980	54,453,054	55,085,858	\$4,596,537	\$4,409,367	\$4,954,128	\$4,995,664	\$5,272,830	\$4,053,694	\$4,354,519	\$4,777,408	\$5,073,221	\$5,939,813	\$5,952,629	\$4,960,602	
Jen Book	Retail	Spiration	Actividant																																						
Malia	Tolai	tenethy Detail	Powering	New Colon			***************************************	54 204 634	\$4.45.559 \$4.45.559	0,000,000	675,003,40	000'010'40	53,982,112	24,357,000	54,531,53	53 878 RDD	\$4 055 175	\$3,007,375	C2 841 464	\$4,153,659	\$4.752.568	\$4.275.089	53,469,257			\$3,934,980	\$4,453,054	55,595,959				\$4,995,664	\$5.272.830	\$4,053,694	\$4.354.519		55,073,221	-,	-		
(10)	Single of the	NEI KEVENUE	Kevenue	requirement		(6) (3)		5453 304	5133,334	00000000	2309,900	2209,301	5277,854	5320,133	000,0710	27.1.1.20	5202,515	3201,026	202, 1725	5305,020	\$412.214	5398.703	\$355,534	5313,376	\$298,605	\$259,998	\$361,566	\$356,731	5305,232 5207 615	526.1836 536.1836	5372.241	\$350.750	\$363,680	\$339,382	S304 637	5278,483	\$271,991	\$732,395	\$236,059	\$272,996	
(A)	Amortization	6	(Over)/Under	несомен	•••••																						\$67,973	\$67,973	507,973	547 673	567 073	0 (8' 100									
(a)	Noin	Кечепие	Requirement		***********	(7) NJ + (2) 100	1112	.00	5155,384	5154,420	\$309,980	\$289,381	\$277,854	5320,133	5376,853	967,1426	078,0026	167 1075	100' 170	5503,525	5320,134	5308 703	5355 534	S313 376	\$298,605	\$259,998	\$293,593	\$288,758	915,1056	240,4220	520,000	5304,400	6363 680	3303,000 3330 382	5304,532	S278 483	5271 991	5737 305	5232,353	\$222 dak	
(7)	EKPC 12-months	Ended Average	Monthly Revenue	from Sales to	Nain				\$2,658,474	\$2,709,120	\$2,780,619	\$2,845,434	\$2,909,467	\$2,975,215	53,029,480	53,042,351	53,074,002	53,097,982	53,118,727	53,152,995	23,172,505	55, 100,004	55, 192, 178	53,143,100	S3 139 908	\$3.117.486	\$3,133,334	53,194,226	53,233,037	53,289,053	74,404,900 04,004,000	23,382,848	92,400,034	0.400,470	010,340,00	53,507,184	52,002,024 62 612 400	23,012, 500	33,894,682	20,111,00	2000
(9)	EKPO Net	Monthly	Sales	9	cion		9	1	\$2,751,085	\$3,257,520	\$3,416,272	\$3,216,472	\$2,754,344	53,012,424	\$3,841,026	\$3,732,456	\$3,456,180	\$3,173,677	\$2,453,806	\$2,770,672	\$2,985,216	53,355,427	44,000,000	010,880,25	52,533,204 C2 R21 106	43 471 062	53,922,632	\$4,186,884	53,639,415	\$3,125,989	53,741,892	53,295,549	53,508,090	54,056,318	53,505,215	53,130,151	20,040,004	23,000,07	54,913,617	04,090,190	11:000
(2)	On-peak	Revenue	Adjustment																																						
(4)	EKPC	Monthly	Revenues from	Sales to	Notio		***************************************		\$2,751,085	\$3,257,520	\$3,416,272	\$3,216,472	\$2,754,344	53,012,424	53,841,026	53,732,456	\$3,456,180	53,173,677	\$2,453,806	\$2,770,672	\$2,985,216	53,355,427	53,554,448	52,699,616	52,835,264	52,021, 100	53,922,632	\$4,186,884	53,639,415	53, 125,989	53,741,892	53,295,549	\$3,509,090	\$4,056,318	\$3,805,216	\$3,130,151	53,245,354	53,685,679	\$4,913,617	24,390, 195	52 B.F. 17.5
(6)					EKPC	MESF %	Col (1) - Col (2)		5,77%	5.70%	11.18%	10 17%	9.55%	10.76%	5,64%	7.93%	8.75%	9,08%	8.90%	9.69%	10.28%	12.96%	12.49%	11.29%	9.93%	, co	0.34%	9.04%	9.32%	6.83%	8.72%	8.96%	10.29%	10.54%	9.58%	8.54%	7.73%	7.53%	6.29%	6.36%	7004
(2)					EKPC	BESF %			0.51%	151%	0.51%	20	200	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	5.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	
(3)					EKPC	CESF %			6.28%	6.21%	11 69%			_									13.00%				8.85%		9.83%				_		•						
			Surrhame	Fador	Expense	Month			3un-05	11.1-05	50.014	2000	oep de C	NoveOF	Dec-05	90-uel	Feb-06	Mar-06	Apr-06	May-06	Jun-06	Jul-06	Aug-06	Sep-06	Oct-06	Nov-06	Dec-06	Feb.07	Mar-07	Apr-07	May-07	Jun-07	Jul-07	Aug-07	Sep-07	Oct-07	Nov-07	Dec-07	Jan-08	Feb-08	1

Notes: Notin Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues. Revenues reported in Columns (4), (8), (7), (11), (13), and (14) are net of Green Power Revenues.

KIUC Request 5 Attachment Page 12 of 16

Notes: Owen Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues. Revenues reported in Columns (4), (6), (7), (11), (13), and (14) are net of Green Power Revenues.

0/ t-0' h				ſ	070,S128		070,S128		217,840,92		S17,840,62	%09'S	%19'0		80-16M 80-1qA
%tg't	414,072,11 2 g	700'106'716			706,8E32		706,8522		££7,822,62		\$9,558,733	%86°9	%19'0		
	068,881,11 8 4				EO7,1728		E07,1788	850,689,88	7EE,8E0,012		755,850,012	%92.9	%150		Feb-08
	068 321 11 2 1				69E'099\$		696,0888	\$8,908,724	192,468,012		\$10,834,25	%62.9	%15.0		80-nst
,,,,,	\$ 10,925,250				159,7882		129,7838	1 4E, EE7, B2	172,643,82		172,648,82	%ES.7	%190		70-29Q
	025,218,01 2 1				006,6882		006,6882	174,888,8\$	894,688,72		894,688,7\$	%E7.7	%19'0	8.24%	TO-VOM
					921,8572		2728,125		58,386,808		808,386,88	%42.8	%19'D	%90'6	70-15O
	949'669'01 \$ 5				117,8672		117,8672	\$8,337,271	\$6,982,832		29'885'83S	%85.6	%19'0		70-qe2
,0,0					058'9585		028,8282	505,621,82	298,148,6\$		298,148,862	445.01	%190	%90.11	70-guA
	266'222'01 \$ 10'542'043				820,1582 020,028		820,1582	710,8Y0,8Z	867,524,88		867,SS4,82	%62°01	%19'0		70-luc
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							ZZ3,6ZZ2	YE1,870,82	152,806,72		152,80e,72	%96'8	%15.0	%44.6	TO-nut
	187,481,01 8						916,2072	\$9£'\$60'8\$	358,204,9\$		568,204,62	8.72%	%150	%EZ'6	TO-VEM
	\$08'\$40'01 \$	35£ 08C 012					501,4428	Z04,886,72	745,184,72		74S,184,7\$	%E8.9	%190	%\$E Z	Y0-1qA
		Z68'56E'6S					76Z'9£ZS	ES1,009,72	\$9,285,290		06S,28S,290	8'35%	%15.0	%£8.6	70-16M
,		178,811,112					8703,716	994,487,72	995,470,98		995,470,62	%+0'6	%15.0	%99.6	70-d9₹
75755		164'088'11\$					995,6172	ZZ9'6Z9'ZS	089,627,8\$		099'6Z <i>1</i> '8\$	%ZE 6	%190	%88.6	70-nst
%14'9		242,407,012			726,2488 750 3333		756,Sp3	886,807,72	Z0Z'194'4S		102,137,78	%\$£.8	%190	%58.8	90-c90
10130		Z0Z'6\$†'6\$			004,8578		007,8572	919,494,48	26,264,422		\$6,264,422	%15'6	%190	%Z0'01	80-voV
.02.		e80,873,8 <i>\$</i>			509,1872		209,1872	641,178,72	186,121,881		186,121,381	%26'6	%19'0	40,44%	90-12O
%94°4		060'966'4\$			698,6882 203 1972		698,6882	777,828,72	£19'687'4\$		£16,684,72	%6Z.t1	%15.0	11.80%	30-qa2
%Z1.8	204,070,01 Z				\$98 £885 \$99 £885		\$26,598 \$26,598	761,586,72	\$94,000,e2		\$9,000,48	%67.St	%15.0	%00.E1	ĝ0-gυA
	824,161,01 \$				\$1,032,034		51,032,034	SSS,689,78	094,624,82		28'453'460	15'69%	%190	13.47%	90-lut
%42.01	027,811,01 2				104,4182		104,4182	061,526,78	756,351,82		756,351,82	%8Z.01	%190	40.79%	90-nuL
%Þ1.8	17E,870,01 2				584,0372 284,0372		264,0972	Z4Z,848,72	892,888,78		862,888,72	%69'6	%150	10.20%	30-ysM
%09'4	3 10,002,226	58,502,230			784,1932 201,0352		784,1682	812,637,72	\$6,666,263		\$6,666,263	%06'9	%190	%116	30-1qA
%98 <sup>'</sup> 9	er7,Sr0,01 &				288,4072 785,1032		208,4072	928,287,72	£40,768,72		£40,788,72	%80'6	%15.0	%69'6	30-16M
%16.8	e8e,580,01 S				789,1892		788,1882	117,065,78	160,718,72		160,718,72	%97.8	%150	%92.6	ე0-d9∃
%49'9	828,811,01 \$				Z86'0Þ9\$		Z640,98Z	100,580,88	720,180,62		720,180,82	%E6.7	%19.0	%rr 8	30-nst
%16.8	\$ 10,214,939				609'697\$		609'69+\$	080'611'85	501,854,88		201,884,88	%+9'9	%15.0	%91.9	20-29C
%95`t	187,881,01 \$				400,6782 903,9342		106 EZ8S	187,121,82	\$2,506,824		\$Z8,808,72	%9L'01	%190	11.27%	SD-YOM
%ZL'9	180,170,01 \$				690,0772 600,6782		690,0778	585,680,88	S16,S18,82		216,518,82	%99'6	%150	%90.01	20-15O
%EL_T	174,650,01 2				600'928\$		600,6288	\$10,521,82	069'Z86'8\$		28'885'280	%Z1 <sup>*</sup> O1	%19.0	%89.0t	30-q9S
%0+.8		SE8,188,012			187,8882		194,888\$	47E,139,72	911,121,62		911,121,62	%81'11	%19.0	%69°11	<b>∂0-guA</b>
%1Z'6		180,125,112			678,6442 197 3882		678,E442	ESE, 787, 72	970,159,72		970,159,72	%0L'S	%15.0	%12.9	20-lut
%S9"#		488,864,012			188,8442		188,8448	21E,471,72	098,645,48		096,845,78	%11'9	%150	%82.9	50-nut
%89°#	697,888,8	346,747,82		S46'141,82	182 8552		183 8113	326 722 25	002 0.0 40						
C9 (10) \ C9 (14)		Cot (11) - Cot (12)	I	I	T (6) 100 + (9) TO		Col (3) x Col (7)		Col (4) + Col (5)	[		Col (1) - Col (2)		A 1070	ninoM
(F1) (U) / (U1) (U)		10171-0 10077-0				1						MESF %	% 4S38	CESE #	Expense
100567	19N							nawO	figwO	l	Owen	ЕКЪС	EKbC	EKbC	10D84
mainsrtooM Tobe 3	Kevenues,	SOUDANDH	mamisula	Revenues	Requirement	Recovery		वा डगुरड धावा।	Of	Ι.	of zaleč				agretana
figurnit?	Avg, Retall	Feb A	Revenue	Menthly Retail	Явуелие	19briU(19vO)	Яедшетен	Manihiy Revenue	SaleS	InsmizujbA	mont saunavaЯ	1			-men-15
,	bebne fictoria ava	Valinom 19M	lician	latoT	Net Revenue	10	มา เลืองอนาย	Ended Average	VidtnoM	BunavaR	ylrlinoM			}	j
5259	suivoui-Zi	DWCII	XE94-nQ	nowo	nswo.	noitesihomA	nawO	EKPC 12-montus	EKPC Net	Aseq-nO	EKbC			·····	
DWG	(41)	(13)	(21)	(11)	(01)	(6)	(8)	( <u>/</u> )	(9)	(5)	(4)	(E)	(2)	(1)	
(51)	[ (71)	1537	1 (6)	1 1111	<u> </u>										

For the Month Ending April 2008

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. - Distribution Cooperative Pass Through Mochanism Report for Owen Electric Cooperative

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. - Distribution Cooperatives Pass Through Mechanism Report for Salf River RECC

100		Ę,	12/	6	ě	ē	8	===	(2)		=	(5)
	, ( <del>4</del> )	Š	303	/11							1	L
	L LAND	20.00	COAN	et   EKPC 12-months   Salt River   Amortization   Sal	Salt River	Amortization	River	Salt River	OrPeak	Sall River	12-months	
	···						•••				7-7-1	
	Monthly	NO GOOD	Month	Ended Average	Revenue	ŏ	sevenue	Total	Retail	Net Monthly	endes	
		,		-			•					
	Poyoning from	firstma	Sales	Monthly Revenue   Requirement   (C	Requirement	Overlitinder	Revenue	Monthly Retail		Retail	AND REGES	
	Salos to		ç	from Sales to		Recovery	Recovery Requirement R	evenues	Adjustment		Revenues,	Mechanism
	3		!		-							
EKPC	Sall River		Sall River	Saft River							e z	rada
ABCE 90.												
ę						-	*				_	33.00
5			50 40-00		800	•	3			3 = 3		

Mechanism	Factor		(10) CO (14)	4.30%	4.28%	8.45%	7,73%	7.31%	8.30%	4.35%	5.98%	6,57%	6.82%	6.69%	7.35%	7.83%	9.85%	9.50%	8.40%	7.43%	7.05%	6.14%	5.65%	6.78%	6.94%	5.18%	6.78%	6.00%	7.93%	9,11%	7.44%	6.59%	5.89%	5.81%	4.92%	4.91%	4.64%	4.29%
Revenues.	Not		T	\$4,776,669	\$4,895,252	\$5,002,021	\$5,076,313	\$5,145,218	\$5,241,425	\$5,377,828	55,445,587	\$5,473,764	\$5,509,054	\$5,529,925	\$5,535,490	\$5,517,518	\$5,551,828	\$5,590,323	\$5,572,760	\$5,600,470	\$5,600,591	\$5,526,360	\$5,532,199	\$5,658,829	\$5,617,178	\$5,643,878	\$5,779,213	55,859,174	\$5,955,669	\$6,081,257	\$6,183,782	\$6,217,690	\$6,283,653	56,347,945	\$6,455,140	\$6,459,958	\$6,558,649	
Revenues			(2) 8 (2)	\$5,993,861	56,228,291	\$5,643,585	\$5,174,474	\$4,413,528	\$5,496,429	\$7,046,112	\$6,044,042	\$5,317,511	\$5,775,623	\$4,697,990	\$4,594,439	55,778,189	\$6,640,009	\$6,105,528	\$4,963,720	\$4,746,044	\$5,497,681	\$6,155,338	\$6,114,110	\$6,837,080	\$5,275,809	\$5,018,393	\$6,218,450	56,737,725	\$7,797,950	57,612,587	\$6,194,015	\$5,152,943	\$6,289,429	\$6,926,843	\$7,400,455	\$6,894,897	\$6,460,099	
Adjustiment	!		***************************************																																			
Sovenies				\$5,993,861	\$6,228,291	\$5,643,585	\$5,174,474	\$4,413,528	\$5,496,429	\$7,046,112	56,044,042	55,317,511	\$5,775,623	54,697,990	\$4,594,439	\$5,778,189	\$6,640,009	\$6,105,528	\$4,963,720	\$4,746,044	\$5,497,881	56, 155,338	\$6,114,110	56,837,080	\$5,275,809	\$5,018,393	\$6,218,450	56,737,725	\$7,797,950	\$7,612,587	\$6,194,015	55, 152,943	56,289,429	\$6,926,843	\$7,400,455	\$6,894,897	\$6,460,099	
Revesiue	- Madageneria		Col (8) + Col (9)	\$201,236	\$205,754	\$413,709	\$386,653	\$370,997	\$426,966	\$227,753	\$321,459	\$357,919	\$373,498	\$368,453	\$406,321	\$433,463	\$543,348	\$527,636	\$469,498	\$413,986	\$394,899	\$343,626	\$381,029	\$375,273	\$392,505	\$290,766	\$382,831	\$396,427	\$464,814	5482,731	\$452,349	\$407,569	\$372,715	\$364,937	5312,164	\$317,099	\$299,641	\$281,426
- Perhionder	*																						(57,106)	(57,106)	(\$7,106)	(57,106)	(\$7,106)	(\$7,106)										
Kequirement			Col (3) x Col (7)	\$201.236	\$205.754	\$413,709	\$386,653	\$370,997	\$426,966	\$227,753	\$321,459	\$357,919	\$373,498	\$368,453	\$406,321	\$433,463	\$543,348	\$527,636	\$469,498	\$413,986	\$394,899	\$343,626	\$388,135	\$382,379	5399,611	\$297,872	\$389,937	\$403,533	\$464,814	\$482,731	\$452,349	\$407,569	\$372,715	\$364,937	\$312,164	\$317,099	\$299,641	\$281,426
Monthly Revenue   Requirement (Over) Under	Saft River			53 487 634	53 609 724	53,711,716	\$3.801.899	\$3,884,786	\$3,968,084	\$4,038,177	\$4,053,708	\$4,090,498	\$4,113,418	\$4,139,921	\$4,193,204	\$4,216,565	\$4,192,502	\$4,224,466	\$4,158,534	\$4,169,040	54,152,457	\$4,120,210	54,142,314	\$4,229,851	\$4,287,674	\$4,361,228	\$4,471,754	\$4,503,717	\$4,517,147	\$4,579,994	\$4,721,806	\$4,772,473	\$4,821,665	\$4,846,439	\$4,962,867	\$4,985,829	\$5,010,717	\$5,025,469
Sales	Sall River		Col. (4) - Col. (5)	\$3 070 091	\$5 129 616	\$4 701.501	\$4,360,167	\$3,448,358	\$3,813,798	\$4,980,077	\$4,722,149	\$4,350,541	\$3,967,924	\$3,096,430	\$3,777,790	\$4,250,423	54,840,861	55.085,075	\$3,568,981	53,574,435	53,614,797	\$4,593,118	\$4,987,368	\$5,400,993	\$4,661,794	\$3,979,079	\$5,104,107	\$4,633,973	\$5,002,019	\$5,839,248	\$5,270,726	\$4,182,436	\$4,205,093	\$4,890,416	56,384,519	\$5,676,538	\$4,960,451	\$4,156,104
Adjustment																																						
Revenues from Adjustment	Sall River			¢3 070 001	CS 120 F16	\$4 701 501	\$4.360.167	53.448.358	53.813.798	54.980,077	\$4,722,149	54,350,541	53,967,924	53,096,430	\$3,777,790	\$4,250,423	S4 840 BB1	\$5,085,075	53,568,981	\$3,574,435	\$3,614,797	\$4,593,118	\$4,987,388	\$5,400,993	\$4,661,794	\$3,979,079	\$5,104,107	\$4,633,973	\$5,002,019	\$5,839,248	\$5,270,726	54,182,436	\$4,205,093	\$4,890,416	\$6,384,519	\$5,676,538	54,960,451	\$4,156,104
	EKPC	MESF %	Col (1) - Col (2)	776,	1000	11 18%	10.17%	822%	10.76%	5,64%	7.93%	8.75%	9.08%	8.90%	9.69%	10.28%	12 06%	12.49%	11.29%	9.63%	9.51%	8.34%	9.37%	9.04%	9.32%	6,83%	8.72%	8,96%	10.29%	10.54%	9.58%	8.54%	7 73%	7,53%	6.29%	6.35%	5.98%	5.60%
	EKPC	BESF %		0 5 40	200	0.51	5 5 5 6	553%	0.51%	25.0	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	53%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%
	EKPC	CESF %		yan u	0,250	11 60%	10.689/	10.05%	11 27%	6 15%	8.44%	9.36%	9.59%	9.41%	10.20%	10 79%	13 47%	13.00%	11 80%	10.44%	10.02%	8 85%	9.88%	255.0	9,83%	7.34%	9.23%	9.47%	10.80%	11.05%	10.09%	9.05%	8 24%	8.04%	6 80%	6.87%	6.49%	6 11%
Surchange	Factor	Month		1	CO-HOS	to de	Aug-05	Sep C	North	0000	Jan-06	Feb-06	Mar-06	Anr-06	May-06	lun-O6	11.06	A10.06	Second	95	90-vuN	Dec-05	Jan-07	Eah.07	Mar-07	Anr-07	May-07	Jun-07	ful.07	410-07	Sep-07	Oct-07	Nov-07	Dec-07	lan-AR	Feb.08	Mar-08	Apr-08

Notes: Sall River Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues. Revenues reported in Columns (4), (6), (7), (11), (13), and (14) are net of Green Power Revenues.

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. - Distribution Cooperatives Pass Through Mechanism Report for Shelby Energy Gooperative

(15)	Shellay	Pass Through Mechanism Factor	Col (10) / Col (14)		4.32% 4.33% 8.38%
(14)	13.months	ended Avg. Retail Revenues, Net		,	\$2,190,582 \$2,230,589 \$2,265,113
(13)	Cholbu	Net Monthly Rotal Revenues	Cot 1111. Cot (12)		\$2,288,657 \$2,632,082 \$2,397,613
2	7.000	Oir-rean Retail Revenue Adjustment			
Ξ		Snedy Total Monthly Retail Revenues			\$2,288,657 \$2,632,082 \$2,643
		Snelby Net Revenue Revenue Requirement	1000	(a) (a) (b) (b)	\$93,863 \$94,294
(6)	7.	Amortization of (Over)Under Recovery		}	
83	2	Sheby Revenue Requirement		(3) × (5) (3)	\$93,863 \$94,294
E	11	EKPC 12-months Ended Average Monthly Revenue from Sales to Shelby		~	\$1,626,735 \$1,654,278
137	(0)	EKPC Net Monthly Sales to to Shelby		(g) (g)	\$1,705,518
-	2	On-peak Revenue Adjustment			
	(+)	EKPC Monthly Revenues from A Sales to Shelby			\$1,705,518 \$1,941,167
	3	EKPC	MEST %	Col. (1) - Col. (2)	5.77% 5.70%
	<u></u>	EKPC	BESF %		0.51%
	Ξ	EKPC	CESF %		6.28%
		Surchargo Factor Expense	Manth		Jun-05 Jul-05

12111071101100	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	4.32%	A 18%	7.75%	7.29%	8.30%	4.35%	5.89%	647%	5.79%	6.65%	7.33%	7.81%	9.84%	9.60%	8.34%	7.41%	7.06%	6.08%	7.81%	7.74%	7.89%	6.14%	7.72%	7.84%	7.91%	8.04%	7.53%	6,68%	6.11%	6.09%	5.22%	5 22%	4 90%	4.50%	4.00.4
Č	5	\$2,190,582	52,255,303	52 300 865	\$2,320,886	\$2,351,122	\$2,448,391	57 473 584	\$2.450 B40	\$2,472,046	52 473,193	52 481 506	\$2,483,300	\$2,475,683	\$2,516,629	\$2,489,112	52,481,134	\$2,496,325	\$2,466,572	\$2,451,678	\$2,495,334	\$2,479,515	52,482,295	\$2,511,802	52,541,565	\$2,586,962	52,584,094	\$2,624,289	\$2,627,678	\$2,587,028	\$2,580,152	\$2,626,574	C2 641 876	52,741,010	24,1 00,000	
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	(1) · Ca (14)	\$2,288,657	52,032,002	52, 450, 921	52 088 680	\$2,206,670	53 399 779	C2 820 718	27,020,03	750 649 CS	52,309,618	\$2 157 30B	\$2,310,185	\$2,540,679	\$2,888,974	\$2,129,711	\$1,992,945	\$2,388,959	53,042,743	52,641,996	\$2,891,855	\$2,459,203	\$2,342,987	\$2,511,383	\$2,667,344	53,085,442	\$2,854,565	\$2,612,041	\$2,033,622	51,901,154	\$2,960,231	\$3,199,065	C2 075 475	53,070,475	72'CC	
		\$2,288,657	\$2,632,062 60,007,642	52,397,013	52,443,523	\$2,000,000 \$2,206,670	52,200,019	62 620 748	32,020,710	52,307,304	\$2,049,031	\$2,303,015 en 162 308	\$2,10,185	\$2 540 679	52 888 974	\$2,129,711	\$1 992 945	\$2,388,959	\$3.042,743	\$2,641,996	\$2,891,855	\$2,459,203	\$2,342,987	52,511,383	\$2,667,344	\$3,085,442	\$2,854,565	\$2,612,041	\$2,033,622	\$1,901,154	\$2,950,231	53 199 065	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	53,075,475	53,272,494	
	(a) • (b) (a) (b)	593,863	594,294	5187,027	2113,312	5101,1015	5192,023	204,221,0	25.44.0	5160,127	5100,004	20,101	5193 719	5244 454	\$237.782	\$209.840	\$184 393	\$175.074	\$151.742	5192,677	\$189.743	\$196,937	\$152,268	\$191,697	\$196,835	\$200,945	\$208,028	\$194,688	\$175,201	\$160.424	\$157.434	5124 750	00.1.000	513/,104	5129,546	\$121,915
																				522 361	\$22.361	\$22.361	\$22.361	\$22,361	\$22,361	į										
	Col (3) x Col (7)	\$93,863	594,294	5167,027	510,0116	5107,707	5192,523	\$102,457	5144,310	5160,127	5166,984	5304,330	5101,231	5344 454	5544,404	\$200 840	C184 103	5175,000	515,017	\$170.316	5167 382	\$174.576	\$129.007	5169 336	5174 474	\$200.945	\$208.028	C104 688	\$175.201	C+60.424	5157,434	101,101	10 to 10 to	\$137,104	\$129,546	\$121,915
		\$1,626,735	\$1,654,278	\$1,677,631	51,725,780	51,750,739	51,790,175	\$1,616,014	51,819,800	\$1,830,019	51,839,029	S1,845,410	51,070,499	21,000,12	51,000,123	C4 858 617	54 055 035	540,000,15	54,040,14 C4 B+0,44,4	C1 817 674	C1 851 574	51,001,004	54 002 002	51 941 922	51 947 253	\$1.952.815	\$1 973 696	52 050 63	52,051,538	5000,000	32,010,341	00,000,00	\$2,142,429	\$2,155,725	\$2,166,323	52,177,051
	Cot (4) - Col (5)	\$1,705,518	\$1,941,167	\$1,865,256	\$2,096,710	\$1,657,956	51,797,587	\$2,203,656	\$2,165,946	\$1,941,687	\$1,873,556	\$1,478,658	51,718,284	51,072,073	51,962,056	\$2,070,034	01,004,000	2021,035,403	51,045,600	51,345,04	32, 144,030	52,340,400	54, 136,474 8 4 875 875	57,023,15	C1 036 648	52,000,046	52,25,478	C2 767 442	54,237,734	20,000,00	51,697,450	32,130,030	52,764,721	52,508,044	\$2,259,447	\$1,953,811
		\$1,705,518	\$1,941,167	\$1,865,266	52,096,710	\$1,657,956	\$1,797,587	\$2,203,656	\$2,165,946	51,941,687	\$1,873,556	51,478,658	51,718,284	51,872,673	\$1,962,668	\$2,076,014	51,554,858	51,637,409	51,605,805	01,940,074	52,144,050	52,348,480	52,132,214	51,625,015	52,191,323	51,520,046	52,029,410	32,320,370	214,162,56	100,500,15	51,891,450	52,130,698	\$2,764,721	\$2,508,044	\$2,259,447	\$1.953.811
MESF %	Col. (1) - Col. (2)	5.77%	5.70%	11.18%	10.17%	9.55%	10.76%	5.64%	7.93%	8.75%	9.08%	8.90%	9.69%	10.28%	12.96%	12.49%	11.29%	9.93%	9.51%	# FE C	9.37%	9.04%	9.32%	0.83%	8.12%	8.96.9	6,23,01	2,542	2000 0000 0000	200	7 73%	7,53%	6.29%	6.36%	5.98%	5.60%
BESF %	╁╌┤	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	5 La 1	0.51%	0.51%	0.53%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%
CESF %		i		•	•								10.20%																							
Month		Jun-05	.hul-05	Aug-05	Sep-05	Oct-05	Nov-05	Dec-05	Jan-06	Feb-06	Mar-06	Apr-06	May-06	Jan-06	301-06	Aug-06	Sep-06	90-150	Nov-06	Dec-08	Jan-07	Feb-07	Mar-07	Apr-07	May-07	Jun-07	70-107	Aug-07	Sep-07	Oct-07	Nov-07	Dec-07	Jan-08	Feb-08	Mar-08	80-70-6

Notes: Shelby Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues. Revenues reported in Columns (4), (6), (7), (11), (13), and (14) are net of Green Power Revenues.

ntucky Power Cooperative, Inc Distribution Cooperatives	V RECC
c Dístribulio:	South Kentuck
coperative, in	em Sonnet for
East Kentucky Power C	bann Through Marhanism Bonort for South Kentucky RECC
East K	

_	_						_1																																					
(15)	South	Kentucky	Pass	Through	Mechanism	ractor	Cel (10) / Cel (14)	70EE V	4.35%	2 7 C C C	7 000	7.5695	2000	4 2787	8 O 3 8	0.00.0	0.04.74	0.00.0	0.0	7.35%	7.88%	9.85%	9.53%	8.42%	7.44%	7.09%	6,08%	6.81%	6.80%	6.88%	5.06%	6.60%	6.64%	7.60%	7.74%	7.15%	6.21%	5.64%	5.46%	4.67%	4 65%	4.00.4	4.4U50	4.06%
(14)	12-months	paped	Avg. Retail	Revenues.	Zet Fet		3	58 803 88X	56,033,358	50,020,000	220,001,05	56,202,100	30,274,937	50,390,030	59,332,743	20,039,000	50,054,205	30,090,94	56,715,289	56,696,259	\$6,750,958	\$6,735,921	56,784,710	\$6,761,246	\$6,753,224	\$6,792,365	\$6,788,109	\$6,668,868	\$6,854,532	\$6,931,822	\$6,925,885	\$7,089,120	\$7,193,456	\$7,324,192	\$7,388,868	\$7,587,454	57 621 388	57.674,128	C7 683 771	\$7,825,654	S7 841 906	07,041,900	57,930,109	
(13)	South	Kentucky	Net Monthly	Retail	Revenues	***************************************	Cel (11) - Cel (12)	327 466	53,357,100	1,20,102,15	250,402,00	700,870,05	55,47,455	50,241,023	080,228,78	56,656,273	167'806'15	5/,401,07.3	52,764,077	54,912,531	\$5,983,556	\$7,027,402	\$6,869,479	56,347,494	\$5,381,184	\$6,711,312	\$7,871,624	\$7,227,386	59,697,269	\$8,388,551	\$6,692,826	56,871,360	\$7,235,589	\$8,596,234	\$7,645,587	\$8,730,523	SC 788 308	57,344,187	57 087 330	080 000 82	50 802 202	33,032,633	59,447,950	
(12)	On-Peak	in to to	Revenue	Adjustment																																								
(11)	South	Konturky	Total	Monthly Retail	Revenues			000	35,327,100	109,102,16	20,284,037	\$6,629,052	55,477,453	56,241,623	57,922,696	58,658,275	57,469,297	57,461,073	\$6,764,077	\$4,912,531	\$5,983,556	\$7,027,402	\$6,869,479	56,347,494	\$5,381,184	\$6,711,312	\$7,871,624	\$7,227,386	\$9,697,269	58,388,551	\$6,692,826	\$6.871,360	\$7,235,589	\$8,596,234	\$7,645,587	\$8,730,523	26 789 308	52, 00, 35,	000 400 40	ECC., 108, 14	000,628,00	59,892,297	\$9,447,950	
(10)	South	Kontricky	Net	9	Ĕ		Cot (8) • Cot (9)	0	5254,580	2722,403	5511,100	\$475,709	\$456,295	\$525,913	\$279,875	\$393,650	\$439,535	\$456,745	\$449,048	\$493,840	\$527,547	\$665,250	\$642,093	5571,488	\$503,254	\$478,643	5413,289	5462514	\$453,300	\$471.681	5350.829	\$457.345	\$471.018	\$546,642	S566 849	S428 111	£ 27. 95.4	5470,03		200,8190	9550,000	5363,673	\$344,997	\$323,853
(6)	Amontization	J. J.	Overtil Inder	Recovery																								(53 942)	(\$3.942)	(53.942)	(\$3,942)	(\$3.942)	(\$3.942)											
(8)	South	Vantunder	Revenue	Requirement			Col (3) x Col (7)		\$254,580	5255,461	5511,100	\$475,709	\$456,295	\$525,913	\$279,875	\$393,650	\$439,535	\$456,745	\$449,048	\$493,840	\$527,547	\$665,250	\$642,093	5571 488	5503 754	5478 643	5413.289	5466.456	\$457.242	5475,673	C354 771	S461 2R7	\$474.960	\$546 647	0.0000	\$500,048	10000	6470,003	0000000	5419,352	2326,850	\$363,673	\$344,997	\$323,853
(6)	EKDO 12 months	ENFO (2-0) UNIONS	Ended Average	from Salve In	South	Kentucky			\$4,412,133	\$4,481,781	\$4,584,075	\$4,677,574	\$4,777,956	\$4,887,669	\$4,962,323	\$4,964,058	\$5,023,258	\$5,030,231	\$5,045,479	\$5,096,393	\$5,131,779	\$5 133 101	\$5 140 855	\$5.061.894	CS OBB 015	55,000,000	53,055,041	04,920,000	55,057,086	55 103 240	55,500,548	55, 184,300 55, 280, 988	SE 300 807	55 312 350	350 350 30	55,570,070	00071000	55,513,484	20000	55,569,215	55,705,338	\$5,718,124	55,769,173	\$5,783,083
(9)	Not		Monimy		South	Keraucky	Cel. (4) - Cel. (5)		\$4,291,337	\$5,106,444	\$5,198,830	\$4,848,888	\$4,392,753	\$5,038,772	\$6,772,568	\$6,332,611	55,985,592	\$5,125,616	\$3,815,953	\$4,247,351	\$4,715,959	\$5 122,304	54 291 R79	53.100.52	24.456.10P	54 610 160	SE 842 110	00,044,110	50,004,730	20,243,240	52,000,109	24,900,372	5.4 BAB 82.1	SE 350 866	20,600,00	50,000,47	50,010,103	54,476,345	22,233,900	\$5,896,092	58,238,181	\$7,096,656	\$6,281,346	\$5,075,500
(5)	1	On-peak	Revenue	Adjustican		********																																						
(4)	CUCA		Monthly	Revellues Hors	South	Kentocky			\$4,291,337	55, 106, 444	\$5,198,830	\$4,848,888	\$4,392,753	\$5,038,772	\$6,772,568	\$6,332,611	\$5,985,592	55,125,616	53 815 953	\$4 247 351	\$4.715.069	\$6,127,384	55, 122, 364	810'187'68	55,301,504	24,400,130	24,619,100	55,642,110	35,564,710	50,843,218	50,000,00	2) (('BOB' 4)	100,080,00	- 10'0#0'#0	000'607'66	56,080,471	55,516,103	\$4,476,345	25,233,950	\$5,896,092	58,238,181	\$7,096,656	\$6,281,346	\$5,075,500
138					FKPC	% H& H&	Cot (1) - Cot (2)		5.77%	5.70%	11,18%	10.17%	9.55%	10.76%	5.64%	7.93%	8.75%	9.88%	B GDe	0.00%	10.288	12.050	12.30.0	12.49%	0.287.11 0.000.0	9.33%	9.51%	N.34%	9.37%	9.04%	9.32%	6.83%	8.7.2%	8.90%	%67 ni	10.54%	9.58%	8.54%	7.73%	7.53%	6.29%	6.36%	5.98%	5.60%
163	1				FKPC	7 11 11	1		0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0 5105	0.55	5 4 4 5	0.0	200	0.150	6.01%	6,51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.53%	0.51%	21%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	0.51%	912.6	0.51%
187	1				FKPC	רווייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	5		6.28%	6.21%	11.69%	10.68%	10.06%	11 27%	6.15%	8.44%	9.56%	965.6	0 440	10.200	10.207	20.7878	13.47%	13.00%	11.80%	10.44%	10.02%	8,85%	9.88%	9.55%	9.83%	7.34%	9.23%	9.47%	10,80%	11.05%	10.09%	9.05%	8 24%	8.04%	6,80%	6.87%	6 49%	6.11%
			*******	Surchame	Factor	a cybridge	- Innow		Jun-05	319-05	A:10-05	Sep-05	04-05	Nov-05	Dec-05	190-06	SO Wast	Marille	2000	00-ide	DO-VENT	an-un	an-ing .	Aug-06	Sep-ub	Oct-08	Nov-06	Dec-06	Jan-07	Feb-07	Mar-07	Apr-07	May-07	Jun-07	Jul-07	Aug-07	Sep-07	Oct-07	Nov-07	Dec-07	Jan-08	Feb-08	Mar.08	Apr-08

Notes: South Kentucky Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues. Revenues reported in Columns (4), (6), (7), (11), (13), and (14) are net of Green Power Revenues.

Col (10) / Col (14)

10255

-5554

(51)

Cot (11) - Cot (12)

Taylor County Total Monthly Retail Revenues in Column (11) includes demand and energy charges, customer charges, and FAC revenues.

_												00-1-001-0	0/ 00/0	DE 4 O'O	0/ 1 1 10	80-1qA
•	4.30%					060,5412		\$142,090	826,758,S\$	\$108,171,52	\$516,894	\$5,388,695	%09'9	%190	%11.9	80-16M 80-10A
	%69°¢	048,006,62	E14,488,E2	5316,629	S4.201,042	217,1212		211,1212	\$2,538,047	300,608,52	629,8152	\$3,125,634	%86'S	%15.0	%619	
	%£6.4	118,752,62	867,810,42	160,6928	24,285,889	ZZ1 691S		\$129,422	\$5,506,642	016,010,68	\$569,091	104,672,62	%96.8	%1970	%48.9	80-d <del>9</del> 7
	%E6 v	S\$1,162,62	£12,033,£2	055,04S2	£18,009,E2	8157,318		816,7818	\$5,502,036	877,37£,E2	5240,330	901,510,52	%62'9	%190	550919	80-nst
	%78'9	930'981'68	\$3'164'674	\$137,902	878,505,6\$	5184,836		\$184,836	829,454,58	\$85,625,5\$	S137,902	784, 788, S2,	%ES.7	%150	%±0.8	70-59Q
	%66'9	788,471,68	872,845,5\$	750,8512	919'186'ZS	168,6812		169'681\$	\$5,452,660	155,60S,SS	\$136,037	82,345,668	%EZ Z	%19'0	8.24%	70-voM
	%59'9	53,164,639	ZS8'9E6'ZS	136,1512	83,071,206	3207,345		\$207,345	826,724,22	£67,876,12	\$134°324	2113,147	%+9 8	%190	%S0 6	70-15O
	%19 Z	376,811,62	937111'68	799'86S	\$3,210,120	\$17,1552		317,1ES2	047,814,S2	112,754,52	199'865	371,8£8,S\$	%89'6	%15'0	%60°01	70-q92
	%61.8	837,480,52	991,699,68	806'162\$	990'\$68'ES	\$248,704		\$248,704	819'696'2\$	381,387,52	\$231,909	\$3,018,094	%\$9.01	%190	%9011	<b>10-guA</b>
	%06'4	£98,7£0,EZ	63,679,220	8186,678	868'692'8\$	2238,942		Z\$6'86ZS	9Y0,SSE,S2	956'027'7\$	8186,678	4£8,708,52	%62,01	%18.0	40.80%	70-Iut.
	%Z6'9	629,650,629	<b>727,146,62</b>	2523,352	640,888,62	196'707\$	(\$1,902)	5206,863	\$2,308,740	\$2,228,658	S2S3,352	010,584,58	%96'B	%190	%Z\$ 6	70- <del>ոս</del> և
	%08'9	52,963,354	22,843,425	YYY,8922	23,112,202	E18,8912	(S1,902)	\$15,005\$	184,892,52	\$2,488,693	\$268,777	074,737,S\$	8.72%	%190	%EZ 6	TO-VEM
	%92'9	855,616,52	17S,880,62	8\$29,016	182,782,52	191,1812	(\$1,902)	£9£,£2f2	\$2,245,434	034,081,S2	310,6SS\$	974,904,S2	%E8.9	%19'0	% DE. 7	70-1qA
	%11'4	82,884,249	\$3,128,064	\$226,234	862,486,68	8502,969	(\$1,902)	178,405\$	191,881,52	7£1,5£4,5\$	\$226,234	175,858,371	9626.6	%12.0	%£8.6	TO-15M
	%89'9	969'9\$8'Z\$	£77,8£9,£2	969'0>Z\$	697,771,48	2184,435	(Z06'LS)	\$19B/331	82,171,870	\$5,955,046	2540,696	Z47,291,52	%50.6	%18.0	%55.6	70-d93
	%46'9	\$2,825,403	\$3,227,110	2511 204	\$19,854,62	588,7612	(206 12)	287,6612	\$2,132,181	82,808,238	\$211,504	\$3,019,742	%46.6	%16.0	%89.6	70-nst
	%SL9	169,658,52	72,919,057	\$121,830	788,040,62	Z86,8712		\$176,862	128,121,52	909'909'Z\$	\$121,830	\$2,627,436	%46.8	%150	%\$9 <sup>*</sup> 8	30-29C
	%01 Z	864,878,52	\$2,726,554	\$112,320	478,858,S2	\$503,535		2203,535	\$2,140,224	038,S19,12	\$112,320	071,820,S	8.51%	%15.0	10.02%	90-voV
	%66.7	\$2,868,652	22,364,886	891 +01\$	\$2,469,054	\$213,854		\$213,654	\$2,153,617	353,888,12	891,4012	507,579,12	%£6′6	%190	%********	80-12O
	%SE 8	8E9,E68,S2	\$5,725,093	012,882	52,813,603	2242,927		726,S428	\$2,151,698	\$1,728,062	012,882	572,818,12	11,29%	%15.0	11.80%	90-daS
	%176	52,910,165	181,001,52	650,0512	\$3,220,220	171,6752		171,6752	\$2,187,117	178,386,52	650,0212	0) 7,88A,S\$	%65 S1	%1970	#3.00%	90-guA
	%88.6	160,609,52	\$2,902,404	S42,788	649'696'Z\$	671,6852		5283,173	976,481,52	85,260,919	267,245	\$2,328,164	17,96%	%19'0	%24.E1	90-lut
	7.72%	111,088,52	22'819'ZS	668,8618	71E, T87, S2	\$223,700		8553,700	920,871,52	\$25,111,SS	\$138,693	22,256,452	%8Z.01	%19'0	%61.01	80-րո <b>ւ</b>
	%9Z <sup>-</sup> Z	147,898,52	22'317'877	889 901\$	\$35,424,565	5210,489		684,0152	\$2,172,225	\$1,840,122	\$106,688	018,846,12	%69.6	%19.0	%0Z.01	30-ysM
	%99'9	521,109,S2	85,644,559	020,6012	678,687,58	\$105,709		607,2912	175,881,52	133,513,12	\$109,020	172,5718	%08-8	%190	%116	90-1qA
	%94.9	100,468,S2	955,797,52	\$16,012	844,639,448	078,8818		078,89618	\$2,164,863	\$5,116,286	210,8812	862,282,28	%80'6	0.51%	%69'6	00-16M
	%99'9	129'616'2\$	\$3,561,252	2501'125	\$3,762,404	822,6812		8189,228	25,162,611	377,874,S\$	\$201,152	826'629'2\$	%91.8	%15.0	%92.6	90-d93
	%76'9	82,886,039	178,895,52	878,1558	83,620,449	5169,683		589,6912	297,9E1,S2	372,488,SS	878,1SS\$	\$2,906,154	%E6.7	%19.0	%778	30-nsL
	%6Z*Þ	\$2,667,312	147,486,83	292,5318	500,853,553	2120,357		43E,0S12	52, 133, 995	670,827,S	S153,262	146,878,52	%\$9'9	%1910	96919	20-29Q
	%81.8	717,708,S2	986,808,396	\$130,627	£20,0£7,5\$	2556,540		5526,540	\$5,105,394	S95,670,S\$	\$130,627	\$2,204,189	%9Z.01	%19'0	11.27%	20-voM
	7,25%	\$2,770,737	\$2,664,724	£96'9#1\$	52,811,687	024,7612		074,7618	6Þ1,780,52	\$16,848,12	596,9412	774,S98,12	%99.6	%19'0	%90'0t	Oct-05
	%0Z'Z	22,722,105	22,923,412	968'671\$	<b>70E, 760, E</b> \$	\$206,112		2112	078,850,52	\$2,153,084	368,6712	676,8SE,S\$	%41.01	%15.0	%89 Dt	50-daS
	%Zt 8	048,448,52	795,210,52	904 941\$	\$3,192,003	\$221,542		2221,542	21,986,875	886,906,52	8176,706	\$2,486,694	111826	%19.0	%6911	20-guA
	4.23%	52,631,453	85,626,648	010,812	817,448,528	2110,545		2110,545	685,659,18	92,154,040	010,812	\$2,172,110	%04.g	%1S.0	%1Z'9	SO-IUL
	%6Z*b	\$2,625,183	52,617,983	2247,426	604,880,6\$	601,1112		601'111\$	51,925,635	e14,170,S\$	924,7428	S2,318,845	%LL'S	%19.0	%8Z'9	<b>Հ</b> Օ-ոսէ

MEZE % BEZŁ % CESF % Кечепися 19N Taylor County EKPC EKbC EKEC Expense ajgeojjddy se Esylor County Taylor County ารอกเบองอน #EBR 1023GF or sale? mort ol sales เกอเกลาในคอหิ Recovery memisulbA Кечепиея Mechanism Yithnota aಯೀಭವಾಗ್ರ InamieulbA Mevenues from Manthly Revenue Sales ពីស្វាយជាផ្ទ **Average** ЮN Monthly Retail Net Revenue (Over)/Under Requirement Revenues ylrtinoM County listaß County Total County jo Нечепие agetovA bebrid Monthly papua EKPC (4) EKBC NGI (e) Оп-реак EKPC 12-months tolysT Ой-Реак Taylor τοίγεΤ noitszihomA Taylor County Taylor County 12-months (2) (5) (3) (2) {t} (01) (6) (14) (E1) (12) म (e)

Col (4) - Col (5)

(1) x Col (1)

Col (8) + Col (9)

For the Month Ending April 2008

RinolA

Pass Through Mechanism Report for Taylor County RECC East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. - Distribution Cooperatives

Cot (1) - Cot (2)

	,	

### EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. PSC CASE NO. 2008-00115 RESPONSES TO KIUC SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS

KIUC'S SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS DATED 05/29/08

**REQUEST 6** 

RESPONSIBLE PERSON: James C. Lamb, Jr.

**COMPANY:** East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

Request 6. Please provide an excel spreadsheet showing the development of the allocation of the EKPC environmental surcharge among Coop members, reflecting EKPC's requested increase in the surcharge to recover the cost of new projects at issue in the current proceeding.

Response 6. Please see page 2 of this response for an excel spreadsheet showing the development of the allocation of the EKPC environmental surcharge among Coop members, reflecting EKPC's requested increase in the surcharge to recover the cost of new projects at issue in the current proceeding.

	·		
		Member	Total 2010
		System	Estimated
		Historic %	Annual
		of Total	Cost
		Surcharge	Recovery =
		Revenues	\$64.0 M*
	Member System	(1)	(2)
1	Big Sandy	2 29%	\$1,463,580
2	Blue Grass	10.43%	\$6,677,846
3	Clark	3.86%	\$2,471,431
4	Cumberland Valley	4.41%	\$2,824,590
5	Farmers	4.31%	\$2,761,324
6	Fleming-Mason	8.81%	\$5,637,354
7	Grayson	2.33%	\$1,488,830
8	Inter-County	3.96%	\$2,534,633
9	Jackson	8 25%	\$5,280,896
10	Licking Valley	2.35%	\$1,507,102
11	Nolin	6.43%	\$4,116,579
12	Owen	15.76%	\$10,088,858
13	Salt River	8.54%	\$5,462,520
14	Shelby	3.75%	\$2,398,644
15	South Kentucky	10.13%	\$6,485,675
16	Taylor County	4.38%	\$2,800,139
	Totals	100.00%	\$64,000,000

<sup>\*</sup>Source: Application, Exhibit WAB-3, Page 2 of 2

Page 1 of 2

## EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. PSC CASE NO. 2008-00115 RESPONSES TO KIUC SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS

KIUC'S SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS DATED 05/29/08 REQUEST 7

**RESPONSIBLE PERSON:** 

James C. Lamb, Jr.

**COMPANY:** 

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

Request 7. Please provide a breakdown of EKPC's environmental surcharge revenue requirements, including all new projects, between 1) fixed, demand related costs and 2) variable, energy related costs. Please show detailed itemization for the costs included in each category

Response 7. A detailed breakdown of EKPC's environmental surcharge revenue requirements, including all new projects, between fixed and variable costs is included on page 2 of this response.

Percent	VARIABLE	29.19%	
Total	VARIABLE	\$33,542,245	
Percent	FIXED	70.81%	
Total	FIXED	SR1 356 856	-1
Allowances	VAR	C16 G17 523	0.00,000,000
O&M	VAR	C15 ENA 722 C	410,001,010
õ	FIXED	242 254 009	1
Tax/Insur.	FIXED	200 040 03	92,048,036
Depreciation	FIXED	000 000	320,888,026
RORB	FIXED	000 100	\$42,353,300
Gross Rev	Rumut.		\$114,899,101
Frances	Year		2010

Gross Revenue Requirements includes returns and costs associated with all assets in the current Environmental Compliance Plan and
the Application for an Amendment to the Compliance Plan.
 Gross Revenue Requirements excludes adjustments for off-system sales and over/under recovery.

## EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. PSC CASE NO. 2008-00115 RESPONSES TO KIUC SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS

KIUC'S SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS DATED 05/29/08

**REQUEST 8** 

RESPONSIBLE PERSON: James C. Lamb, Jr.

COMPANY: East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

Request 8. Please provide a copy of EKPC's most recently completed cost

allocation study.

Response 8. EKPC's most recent cost of service study was filed in the Application to PSC Case No. 2006-00472 as Exhibit S, a copy of which is attached.

### EXHIBIT S Page 2 of 10

14.

#### Cost of Service - Sept 2006

**BAAE BASE** 

		%0S'8	%05.81	%05.02	%16.1Z			%6 <b>5</b> '0	400,001	4	% 11억 [타라마əට
0 %00°0	0 %00.0	889,772,5 %02.0	127,757,25r %23.7	240,222,808 14,62%	886,017,812,1 %40,47	36,132,139	<b>979,12</b> 4	%EZ"   S0'562'02	1,643,365,193 1,643,365,193	វិប	atri & 9 0 lw 32A8 3TAA
0 (5>4,104,1)	(28,555,185)	882,854,S 0	5,302,504 888,701	404,474,41 SET,932	480,185,8 875,888			167,928 25,539	0	% 억 neට 11억 1ට n	Seneral PII Alloc A szal 1an (noc (net less A
1,401,443	381,533,85	007,r48	126,726,021	£78,874,85 <u>S</u>	849,044,602,1	981,S81,88	P21,876	159,101,0 <u>S</u>	1,645,365,193 1,645,385,193		32A8 3TA9
0	021,82 \$05,08	0 007,148	TT1,414,21 198,T01 ST3,803	021,026 817,685 864,087,6	008,008,1S 7SS,860 570,00A,81	951,351,35	978,124	282,27£ 7£2,2 <u>\$</u> 768,44 <u>\$</u>	e32,111,7e 202,234,4e 772,134,1 182,427,15	TJ9-10 DA&MO	WORKING CAPITAL: FUEL STOCK MATERIALS & SUPPLIES PREPAYMENTS CWC CWC
(448,614) E44,104,1	28,576,85	0	117,862,701	965,890,15S	828,027,071,1			19,456,211	084,572,848,1	<u>-</u>	TNAJ9 TBM
<u>ABHTO</u> Ini T86,0S8,f	6ENERAL 67,245,405 78,787 788,150,1	MIP SERVICES	NOITUBISTZIQ 124,012,021 820,250,8 670,576,8 R (748,612,82)	NOISZIMZMÁRT 662,882,108 025,878,8 718,287,26 (015,862,451)	PRODUCTION ELECTRIC 1,114,985,897 403,487,949 254,153,906 (601,886,895)	೯ ರಾರವಿಗೆ ಕಾಣಕ್ಕಾಗಿ	Steam Energy	PRODUCTION STEAM 28,524,425 0 979,514 (10,047,727)	DATOT 607,636,458,1 617,285,814 615,168,006 (231,839,408)	8T Sr-9 Sr-9	TOTAL UTILITY PLANT Completed N/C 106.00 CWD GWP & RAMP

### EXPENSE Functionalization

<u></u>	320,620,7	878,301,8	47S,S00,eg	678,S47,7	919,820,1	709,838,0 <del>11</del>	819,099,09	002,818,468	(យាវា	Operating Expenses (before Re
0	5,718 ()	(156,35) 0 205,4 0	(S3r,43) 0 848,72 0 88	0 0 0 8	(365,2) 0 141,5 0	6Z† 0 0 0	(£40,882) 0 083,781 0	(768,288) 0 078,702 0 678	Gr Plant  Tot Exp Labor Labor Tot Exp  Tot Exp	Payroll Tax
EXHIBIT  Page 3 of 1	578,0SE 501 501 5001)	811,678,8 Se6,7e8 e8e,8	rer,s40,7 781,80e,r 882,7 0	15	008,232 001,22 148	141,1	242,380.32 487,328 804,88	123,437,35 803,277,5 378,12	AG 21- 119 neb 21- 8\A 21-	General Pit Depr
Þ	-89,46	810,811	988, <b>Þ</b> SE	0	69 <i>1</i> ,£		140,982	866,648	114 net 266	Maint of Gen Plant
8 (0 6 8 9 0 4 8 9 2 8 8	40,155,4 87,5 14,591 88,91 81,91 81,91 88,55 88,55 88,05 881,05 881,05 881,05	746,866,1 %50.2 406,341 406,344 806,38 116,45 0 471,334 116,45 0 438,63 116,45 638,63	150,866,65 %94,61 347,846 347,846 628,771,1 648,782 0 110,121,1 128,831 618,741 618,741 618,81)	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 (fot,a)	7.03% 60.1 64.67 60.09 874,64 876,64 606,64	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 (198,748)	%27.08 891,073,8 681,185,8 684,185,8 678,185,8 678,185,8 698,299 0 640,388 656,448,5 (947,92)	FS0,366,ES	2-12 Lbr % 920 50% labor 920 50% plant 921 Labor 923 Gr Plant 923 Gr Plant 924 Pl-Lines 926 Labor 926 Labor 926 Labor 926 Labor 926 Labor 930.1 DA	I M&O NOITURIATZIO
				£63,0£	61 <b>5</b> ,86	078,S72,E01 0 778,861,S Pees fees	164,817,4 026,458,4	007,721,801 0 084,886,8	F-1 5-13	PURCHASED POWER - 1
	ZEKNICEZ	різтвівитіои	TRANSMISSION	STEAM Energy 6,556,987 1,161,363	STEAM Demand Demand	ENERGY 265.679,089   59.892,892	PRODUCTION DEMAND 28.509.965		<u>3EF</u> Alloc 5-12 5-12	PRODUCTION O&M FUEL I

EXH	H	3IT	1 5
D	A	- C	41

		_		_	, II	-	_	~	_	<b>]</b>										, D	Pa	ge	4 (
SERVICES		7,059,028		285,859	7,344,887	8,927	7,353,814	(53,181)	(2,827)	7,297,806							7,054,372	1.19%	0.28%	0.39%		0.84%	
DISTRIBUTION		8,105,878		10,965,050	19,070,928	10,285	19,081,214	(2,039,932)	(108,428)	16,932,853							8,127,596	7.03%	6.78%	9.17%		0.37%	2, 10.5
TRANSMISSION D		39,002,274		20,948,801	59,951,075	49,402	60,000,478	(3,897,304)	(207,153) (1,553,591)	54,342,429	:						39,038,450	6.56%	16.77%	10.37%		4 64%	
	STEAM	7,742,879	:	85,385	7,828,265	9,798	7,838,063	(15,885)	(844)	7,821,334							7,742,872	1.30%				1 50%	
	STEAM Demand	16		1,769,875	2,825,490	1,340	2,826,830	(329,267)	(17,501)	2,480,062		•	81.76%	13.46%	2.75%	100.00%	1,058,869	0.18%	1.41%	2.00%		 	
	ENERGY	440,858,907		3,150,929	444,009,836	557,899	444,567,735	(586,197)	(5,274,835) (31,158)	438,675,543			26,542,033	4,371,161	893.936	32,464,847	440,858,478	74.10%				286 28%	00.52
PRODUCTION		90,990,618		106,104,933	197,095,551	115,297	197,210,848	(19,739,708)	(1,049,222)	176,421,916		salaries:	Production	Transm	Livin Vran		91,109,011	15.31% 80.72%	74.76%	78.06%		7 30%	8/00:1
TOTAL		594,815,200		143,310,832	738,126,032	752,949	738,878,981	(26,661,475)	(5,274,836) (1,417,134) (1,553,591)	703,971,944 703,971,944				, · · ·	-	<u></u>	594,989,648	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	1,449,501,566	116,414,402	517,377,529
				R/B		Tot Exp		R B	A & A														
		Operating Expenses (before Return)		RETURN (Interest) incl Tier F-12	Net Operating Expenses	OTHER DEDUCTIONS & In F-12	TOTAL COST	_	Off-sys Sales (447.11 & .20) F-12 Other Oper Rev (451,454,456,447,13Gl Transmission Rev - KU 456	TOTAL COST	Allocators:						Total Expense before Taxes	Tot Exp Allocator	Labor Allocator	Gross Plant Alocatol Gross Plant (exc.) inesi	GP-Ines	Trans Sta	P, T, D, & Cust Exp

			SS	

0	012,821,01	16,932,853	255,311,75 <del>4</del>	346,437,06S 446,176,60T	l <b>ವ</b> 0∓	į
0						OTHER OTHER
		16,932,853	908,792,7			иоплаятга
	411,728,8		(411,728,8)	027,488,22	<b>49</b> T	NOISSIMENAST
	965,105,01 0			673,73A,1	MAETE 	
	305 105 01		643,878,864	and an take	ENERGY	
<u>Orher</u>	Direct Assign	Сопзитет	<u>YRJen3</u>	<u>bnsme0</u> 319,124,371	DEMAND	иопроистои

Eal Cost	<del></del>	080,066,533	341,877,04	626,1 <u>55,</u> 15	117,878,01	740,834,01	196,290,94	12,053,665	7,342,406	₽₽6,176,60 <u>7</u>
idbulion Mess 1et	<del>-</del>	6,932,855 6,932,846 0	912,394 0	756,332 0	810,151 0	188,481 0	753,418 0	868, <u>\$</u> 6	0 986'98	\\8,828,326,344 828,256,31 308,795,7
осистіол Demand pouction Demand pergy		819,858,841 819,850,74 842,070,828	255,033,7 352,852,5 372,595,05	422,771,4 868,472,1 S19,658,21	114,678,1 886,732 862,860,7	0 7,880,062 7,821,334	FS8,TF0,E T02,8EE,F 800,SS2,TE	521,436,5 568,957 411,738,8	1,489,163 696,234 5,310,292	979,109,871 924,246,48 778,394,344
		3			toel3 braini	maet& bnaini AG	Gallatin	491	SeA	
Vgren3 Vgren3 95T o/w Vgren3 breini \w	Kሎџ % Kሎџ % Kሎџ %	%64.87 %64.77 %87.47	%96.8 %20.7 %08.8	%19.6 %18.6 %18.6	%48.1 %98.1 %08.1	%00.0 %00.0 %82.2	%28.8 %ET.8 %SA.8	%06.1 %00,0 %7S.1	%52,1 %42,1 %21,1	%00.001 %00.001
Exc Production Tænsmission	KM %	%56,58 %56,88	%04.4 %04.4	%15. <u>C</u> %14. <u>C</u>	%10.1 %10.1	%00.0 %00.0	%16°0 %11°S	%04.1 %04.1	%98°0	%00.001 %00.001
γgran∃ γgran∃ 95T o\w γgran∃ bnaini \w	Kwµ = Kwµ = Kwµ =	840,853,181,6 840,853,181,6 840,853,181,6	682,880,858 682,880,858 683,880,858	797,869,064 737,869,064 737,869,064	202,885,0SS 20S,885,0SS 20S,885,0SS	0 0 277,090,529	228,235,450,1 238,235,450,1 238,235,450,1	272,827,28t	146,386,911 146,386,911	854,375,400,21 331,023,848,11 739,334,185,21
nolboubon9 noizzimznaiT	KM =	582,227,02 582,227,02	276,335,1 576,335,1	⊅69,287 ⊅62,587	867,326 867,326		1,942,343 1,942,343	278,034 278,034	206,002 226,002	781,818,2 <u>S</u> 781,818,2S
llocation:		а	8	၁	Inland Elect	mset2 brieful	nitelle	<b>491</b>	SĐ∀	lsto T

EXHIBIT S
Page 6 of 10

ardin
ard
늚
101
=
-

		t	æ	c	Inland Elect	Inland Steam	Gallatin	TGP	AGC	
	1	נו				FAC & ESC Incl 456			:	
		X40 040 COC	30 044 555	15 987,564	7.391.355	8,554,161	30,870,772	9,663,647	4,959,800	500,015,766
Revenue from rates	,	- 1 h 10 to 17 h 10	יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי		C	0	0	0	٥	0
Olesvatemisalovavemenska kasta	0		207 300 3	2 225 808	1 FS4 414	2.025.897	7,774,073		1,090,920	91,013,648
FAC Rev		001,110,88	004,622,0	(4E 4AE)	(7.753)	(9,743)	(36.371)	0	(5,147)	(426,380)
FAC Adjustment	(426,380)	(327,855)	(40,45)	4 855 230	877.398	1.010.404	3,731,222	538,537	594,834	57,492,725
ESC Rev		45,374,578	5,010,415	070'0'	805.7	8 781	32.073	8,028	5,022	490,633
ESC Adjustment	490,633	383,168	401,05	n + 6.01	200	<u> </u>				10,548,494
Load Center Rev		10,548,494								112,059
Load Center Rev. adj	!	112,059	003 30	40 301	25.238	0	118,399	0	16,756	1,356,273
Buy-through Rev 447.1	1,356,273	הפת'חבח'ו	n C		0	0	0	0	0	0
all an sunsalange values (56 KP) to	0 1	<b>&gt;</b> (	<b>.</b>	) C	0	0	0	0	0	0
Office has maked to the state of the state o	0	518,710,444	39,873,733	21,118,816	9,948,161	11,589,499	42,490,168	10,210,212	6,662,185	660,603,218
Total Cost		552,330,080	40,778,145	21,331,929	10,576,711	10,466,047	49,092,961	12,053,665	7,342,406	703,971,944
	1									
Total Margins Return on Rate Rev	e۸	(33,619,636) -8.56%	(904,412)	(213,113)	(628,550) -8,50%	1,123,452 13,13%	(6,602,793) -21,39%	(1,843,454) -19.08%	(680,221) -13,71%	(43,368,726) -8,57%

## Sept 2006

## FIXED & VARIABLE O&M

Page 7 of 10											IDIODIA	1
à À	605,04r,7S		pa.	Total \$\$ lecover				%20.87	33,030,62 113,232,7 220,208,32		Fig-015 latoT Labor 511-514 laheteM	
Steam сизтотнег	\$08,845,r		00.0 er.4	404,615,8 752,762	Vet Equiv							
Electr customers	70≯,498,8S		06.4	, 791,SS0,8	Net Gen	***************************************		(yino leu4) %37,15 %32.88	049,979,940 190,751,01 082,887,12	171,328, <u>2</u>	Fuel-labor Labor 501-507 Matental	
769,860,r		divided by	848,633	Electric related hwm\2				(4,144,161,7)	016,209,272	O11,258,34S	Total 500-507 Fuel (501)	
986,102,01	Wet Equiv	qjyiqeq by	S85,884,8S 91,4	Steam related hwm\\$					ō	FB & 002 100A 10	of noissollA vodeJ	
05.51 92.8	18.59	Net Gen Net Equiv Net Gen Net Equiv	99.ES rē.4	re.7		23.50 7.94	7e.£f	48.82 18.41	58.31		Fuel / mwh Fixed / kw Vat O&M / mwh	
589,009,11	962,734,3		27,140,209	556,277,8		15,834,663	898,184,4	16,352,583	900,864,8		MAO	
36,204,638 36,503,81	96Z,73Þ,8		878,088,871 684,042,641	836,277,8	•	277,683,23 601,838,34	838,184,4	131,881,84 873,358,15	300,864,8	<del></del>	M&O JATOT leu¬	
SE0,121,8 SE0,830,1	2,183		530,647,8 475,863	996,4	•	838,295,5 808,697	982,88	506,437,2 \$38,686,\$	Z'822	-	Maint-structures Maint-electric Maint-misc	512 513
9>6'292	er2,200,7		16 <u>2,640,</u> 21 668,707	850,991 861,440,1		875,972,8 875,915	64,683 526,602	204,989,4 418,45	9,732 249,063	dsl	Misc Steam Environmental Maint Sup&Engr Meint Sup&Engr	60S 01S
819,237 819,237 081,422	824,124 822,124 822,220,4		149,540,469 2,402,825 748,895	530,452,1 481,848 102,820,£		601,338,34 237,453 353,095	629,117 828,027 078,817,1	872,268,16 182,147 8 <u>24,4</u> 8£	983,259 088,359 599,359		Fuel Steam Electric	202 202
478,020,r	996,474		878,168	414,950		046,454,1	<b>T16,818</b>	108,754,1	£ <del>1</del> 6,888		Oper Sup&Engr	
PT BARANAV	Gilb Gilb	ואפנ בלחוג	VARIABLE LOCK	SPUR EIXED		<b>OPER</b> VARIABLE	EIXED CO	/LE	EIXED <b>D</b>	<del>.</del>		TOC
1,399,044	762,592	Net Gen Net Equiv	791,220,8 404,815,8	926,042		999,699,1	320,850	729,501,1	ST8,T0S	=	Nameplate = Generation (net) :	

EXHIBIT S Page 8 of 10

Net Gen Net Equiv				1,331 5,077 5,255 2,773 28.99 Net Gen 7,56 Net Gen 7,56 Net Gen 7,33 Net Equiv 3,849 5,049
9,390,695 1	RIABLE	4,805,494 272,236,077 4,541,776 1,788,029 0 29,052,514 1,315,830 0	5,413,763	343,211,331 272,236,077 70,975,255 99,762,773 28,99 7.56 7.56 7.33 371,998,849 388,430,049 (16,431,200)
2,097,364	TOTAL	2,691,445 0 5,477,314 3,799,083 9,722,002 0 605,660 1,889,118	4,480,682	28,787,518 28,787,518 13.73 Gr Total Total Books Diff
79,649	<b>VARIABLE</b>	307641.88		307,642 307,642 0 3.86 0.00
12,000	Landfill Gas	124250.03 256471.88 109596.95 79965.3	615566.84	1,187,561
0	<b>L</b> VARIABLE	1221,48		1,221 1,221 0 ERR ERR
4,800	DIESEL FIXED VA	5333.88	11790.96	17,125 17,125 3.57
191,286	C T VARIABLE	25092102.82		25,139,219 25,092,103 47,116 131.18 0.25
626,000	Acct FIXED	1869871,24 1058002.33 155722.5 61843.79	3853323.98 44525.22	7,374,707
	Acct	546 547 548 549 550 Allowa 551 551	553	

22 ...

······································	3.59% of Total Spur Pil Incl Gilbert	000,844,817,7	
	% Alloc Spur 1&2 steam only with the steam onl	6,319,403,707 8,319,403,707	TS GNAJNI gn∃ tuq8 toT
Vadiro ious	11 /2		КМР ЕИЕВ
of Total Spur Pit incl Gilbert		000,028	Lot Spur cap
20llA % 20.50%	% capacity Capacity % avg capa: 4,99% 4,10% of Spur 1&2 steam only	42,387 42,387	TS QNAJNI
%ZZ.ZS	Boilet Pit only	石	KW Capaci

среск йдите TUANG MASTE QUAJVI 28,524,425 28,524,425 %09°Z 7 S&fq2 to % %81.S8 119 toT to % (S&I ruq2) final designation (Spur 182) 82,22% 1,096,435,55,777 627,230,363 572,003,742 JATOT 185,563 CB 31600 £95,581 182,563 CB 31200 011,656,8 077,626,6 795,195,85 785,192,82 CB 31400 CB 31500 912,221,84 46,125,516 915,251,84 Z31,662,62 CB 34400 Z81,852,52 231,952,52 1,485,521 CB 31000 125,364,1 125'567'1 811,881 31643 811,881 811,881 31640 086'989'Z 086,286,2 086,888,2 5,655,832 31243 199 189 71 EET, 250,8 21,208,896 3124S 21,208,896 31241 ZY8, TYY, E **278,777,**6 25'366'663 31445 999'690'66 765'699'0> 785,287,4 31441 782,287,4 31243 TTS, TES, ET 402,143,610 TTE, TES, ET 00£,806,82£ 833,633,558 833,639,652 833,533,552 31242 926,111,621 926,111,921 926,111,92F 31241 168,021,6 168,021,6 168,021,9 31240 054,267,01 574, taz, se 024,297,01 31143 £20,697,12 34,571,845 34,571,845 34,571,845 31145 Z62,191,1 ZES,191,1 1,191,532 31141 792,797,2 792,797,2 792,797,2 31140 858,191,6 3,161,858 828,191,6 31043 952,844 448,256 31040 448,256 DOA MASTR Jusiq Clibert

£ IlnU

Vino S&r ruge

SIE'DIE ON

Total Spur

Spuriock 1&2

TNAJA MABTE

300Z 1qe2

# Average & Excess Allocation Factors:

ALLOC	88.94% 4.40% 2.41% 1.07% 0.91% 1.40% 1.40%	ALLOC PERCENT 84.93% 4.34% 2.37% 1.07% 0.00% 5.11% 1.34% 0.84%
ALLOC DEMAND	2311610 114425 62651 27913 0 23630 36362 22408 2,599,000	ALLOC DEMAND 2207313 112848 61538 27687 0 132848 34828 21938 2,599,000
ADJUSTED EXCESS	1,263,479 19,095 13,485 2,744 0 7,700 18,585 5,697 1,330,784 2,599,000	ADJUSTED EXCESS 1,159,181 17,519 12,372 2,517 0 14,771 17,051 5,227 1,228,637
EXCESS	1,177,154 17,790 12,563 2,556 0 7,174 17,315 5,308 1,239,861 Dec =	EXCESS 1,177,154 17,790 12,563 2,556 0 15,000 17,315 5,308
AVG DEMAND	1,048,132 95,330 49,167 25,170 0 15,930 17,777 16,711	KWH AVG DEMAND  ,048 1,048,132 583 95,330 ,767 205 25,170 0 0 118,077 ,272 17,777 ,272 16,711 ,911 16,711
KWH	9,181,636,048 835,086,583 430,698,767 220,486,205 0 139,548,160 155,726,272 146,386,911 11,109,568,946	KWH 9,181,636,048 835,086,583 430,698,767 220,486,205 0 1,034,355,652 155,726,272 146,386,911
NCP PEAK KW	2,225,286 113,120 61,730 27,726 23,104 35,092 22,019 2,508,077	NCP PEAK KW 2,225,286 113,120 61,730 27,726 171,246 35,092 22,019 22,019 22,019
AVERAGE & EXCESS: Transmission	E B C Inland Elect Inland Steam Gallatin TGP AGC	AVERAGE & EXCESS: Production  E B C Inland Elect inland Steam Gallatin TGP AGC

KIUC'S SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS DATED 05/29/08 REQUEST 9

**RESPONSIBLE PERSON:** David G. Eames

**COMPANY:** East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

Request 9. Please provide a copy of all computations of TIER and DSC used in the tests under the Company's RUS loan covenant and all other credit agreements for each calendar year 2006 and 2007 and for the 12 months ending each month January 2008 through April 2008.

Response 9. All computations of TIER and DSC used in the tests under East Kentucky's RUS loan covenant and all other credit agreements for each calendar year 2006 and 2007 and for the 12 months ending each month January 2008 through April 2008 are provided on page 2 of this response.

DSC	r 2006				
DSC					
DSC					
Depreciation   S39,384,187     Interest on L-T Debt   S40,541,173,989     Interest on Long Term Debt   S102,943,597     DSC		Interest on Long Term Debt		\$84.634.106 =	1.13
Depreciation   S39,384,187   S14,173,989   Interest on L. T Debt   S84,634,106   Net Margins   S11,173,989   Interest on Long Term Debt   S102,943,597   S144,864,082   S102,943,597   Interest on Long Term Debt   S102,943,597   S144,864,082   S102,943,597   Interest on L. T Debt   S102,943,597   S144,864,082   S102,943,597   Interest on L. T Debt   S102,943,597   S144,864,082   S102,943,597   Interest on L. T Debt   S102,943,597   S11,735,756   S102,943,597   Interest on L. T Debt   S102,943,597   S11,735,756   S102,943,597   Interest on Long Term Debt   S103,712,008   Interest on L-T Debt   S103,712,008   Interest on L-T Debt   S103,712,008   Interest on L-T Debt   S103,712,008   Interest on L-T Debt   S103,712,008   Interest on L-T Debt   S103,712,008   Interest on L-T Debt   S103,712,008   Interest on L-T Debt   S103,712,008   Interest on L-T Debt   S103,712,008   Interest on L-T Debt   S103,712,008   Interest on L-T Debt   S103,592,641   S103,593,638   S104,592,641   S103,593,638   S104,592,641   S103,593,638   S104,592,641   S103,593,638   S104,592,641   S103,508,304   S104,592,641   S104,592,641   S104,592,641   S104,592,641   S104,592,641   S104,592,641   S104,592,641   S104,592,641   S1	nsc				
Net Margins   S11,173,989     Interest + Principal   S138,141,727     DSC   D.98     Net Margins   S11,920,486     Interest on Long Term Debt   S102,943,597     Net Margins   S11,920,486     Interest on Long Term Debt   S102,943,597     Net Margins   S11,920,486     Interest on L.7 Debt   S102,943,597     Net Margins   S11,920,486     Interest on L.7 Debt   S102,943,597     Net Margins   S11,920,486     Interest on L.7 Debt   S102,943,597     Net Margins   S8,792,160     Interest on Long Term Debt   S102,943,597     Net Margins   S8,792,160     Interest on L.7 Debt   S102,943,597     Net Margins   S8,792,160     Interest on L.7 Debt   S102,943,597     DSC   Depreciation   S40,562,780     Interest on L.7 Debt   S103,712,008     DSC   Depreciation   S40,643,730     Interest on L.7 Debt   S103,712,008     DSC   Depreciation   S40,643,730     Interest on L.7 Debt   S103,712,008     Net Margins   S46,849,545     Interest + Principal   S162,012,720     Interest + Principal   S162,012,720     Interest on L.7 Debt   S103,712,008     DSC   Depreciation   S40,643,730     Interest on L.7 Debt   S103,712,008     Net Margins   S46,849,545     Interest + Principal   S103,592,641     S103,712,008   S103,712,008     DSC   Depreciation   S40,643,730     Interest + Principal   S103,592,641     S103,373,568   S104,592,641     S103,373,568   S104,592,641     S103,306,330   S104,592,641     S103,306,330   S104,592,641     Net Margins   S42,339,779     Interest on L.7 Debt   S105,089,043     S104,592,641   S105,089,043     S104,	200.	Depreciation	\$39,384,187		
Signature   Principal   Signature   Sign			\$84.634.106		
DSC   Depreciation   S40,562,780   Interest on Long Term Debt   S102,943,597   S144,864,082   S102,943,597   S144,864,082   S102,943,597   S144,864,082   S102,943,597   S144,864,082   S102,943,597   S102,943,597   S102,943,597   S102,943,597   S102,943,597   S102,943,597   S111,735,756   S102,943,597   S103,712,008		Net Margins			
Desc					
Net Margins	or 2007—				
DSC			*** *** ***		
DSC					
DSC		Interest on Long Term Debt		\$102.943.597	1.41
Depreciation   S40.562.780     Interest on L.7 Debt   S102.943.597     Net Margins   S41.920.486     Interest and L.7 Debt   S102.943.802     DSC			•		
Interest on LT Debt   S102.943.597   S41.020,486   S160.863.802   DSC	DSC		E 10 CE 2 700		
Net Margins   1.15   1.15   1.15					
Interest + Principal   S160,863,802   DSC   1.15					
DSC					
Net Margins   S8.792.160     Interest on Long Term Debt   S102.943.597     S111.735.756   S102.943.597     S111.735.756   S102.943.597     S111.735.756   S102.943.597     S102.943.597     Net Margins   S40.562.780     Interest on L-T Debt   S102.943.597     Net Margins   S8.792.160     Interest on Long Term Debt   S103.802     DSC   0.95     Objectation   S40.643.730     Interest on L-T Debt   S103.712.008     S103.712.008   S103.712.008     DSC   Depreciation   S40.643.730     Interest on L-T Debt   S103.712.008     Net Margins   S46.849.545     Interest + Principal   S162.012.720     Interest - Principal   S104.592.641     S103.792.641     S104.592.641					
Net Margins	or 2007	Credit Facility			
DSC	Tler	,	49 TO 140		
DSC					
DSC		Interest on Long Term Debt		\$100 D t3 507	1.09
Depreciation			\$111.735.730 /	2107/242/281	"L1.02j
Interest on L-T Debt   S102.943.597   S8.792.160   DSC   D	DSC				
Net Margins   S8.792,160     Interest + Principal   DSC   DSC     Or 12 months ending January 2008					
Interest + Principal DSC   0.95					
DSC   0.95					
Net Margins					
Net Margins	or 12 mo				
Net Margins	<del>~~~~</del>	ming chaing variatily 2020			
DSC		Net Margins	\$46.849.545		
DSC		Interest on Long Term Debt	\$103,712,008		
Depreciation			\$150,561,553 /	\$103.712.008	1,45
Depreciation	DSC				
Net Margins	227424	Depreciation	\$40,643,730		
Interest + Principal   S162,012,720   1,18		Interest on L-T Debt			
1.18					
Net Margins   S58 782 997   S104.592.641   S163.375.638   S104.592.641   S163.375.638   S104.592.641   S163.375.638   S104.592.641   S163.375.638   S104.592.641   S104.5		Interest + Principal	<del>}</del>		
Net Margins   S58 782.997   S104.592.641   S163.375.638					
Net Margins   S58 782.997		onths ending February 200	8		
Interest on Long Term Debt   \$104,592,641   \$163,375,638   \$104.592.641	lier	Not Margine	558 782 007		
S163.375,63B					
Depreciation		THE TAIL ON A PARTY OF THE TAIL OF THE TAI		\$104.592.641	1.56
Depreciation					
Interest on L-T Debt   S104.592.641   S58.782.997   S163.268.536	DSC	Dancaciation	5.10.716.659		
Net Margins   \$558,782,997					
Interest + Principal   \$163,268,536   1,25					
1,25			***************************************		
Net Margins		•	1,25		
Net Margins	r 19				
Net Margins   S42.339.779		mins enaing March 2008			
Interest on Long Term Debt   \$105,089,043   \$147,428.822   \$105.089.043	rier	Net Margins	\$42,339,779		
S147,428,822					
Depreciation   \$40.794.011     Interest on L-T Debt   \$105.089.043     Net Margins   \$42,339,779     Interest + Principal   \$164,362,830				\$105.089.043	1,40
Depreciation   \$40.794.011     Interest on L-T Debt   \$105.089.043     Net Margins   \$42,339,779     Interest + Principal   \$164,362,830					
Interest on L-T Debt         \$105.089.043           Net Margins         \$42,339,779           Interest + Principal         \$164,362,830	DSC	Characteristics	\$40.701.011		
Net Margins         \$42,339,779           Interest + Principal         \$164,362,830					
Interest + Principal \$164,362,830					
		,			
for 12 months ending April 2008	for 12 m	ouths ending April 2008			
Tier		Ser a			
Net Margins \$46.241.418					
Interest on Long Term Debt \$105,316,905		Interest on Long Term Debt			
\$151,558,323 / \$105.316.905			\$151,558,323	\$105.316.905	1.44
DSC	DEC		***************************************		
DSC Depreciation \$40.911.536	Dat	Depreciation	\$40.911.536		
Interest on L-T Debt \$105.316.905					
Net Margins \$46,241,418					
Interest + Principal \$164,986,847	ı				
1.17		•	1.17		

-		

KIUC'S SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS DATED 05/29/08

**REQUEST 10** 

RESPONSIBLE PERSON: James C. Lamb, Jr.

COMPANY: East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

Refer to page 5 of the Company's response to KIUC 1-5 and the \$2.473 million for fixed O&M and \$2.683 million for variable O&M for the Spurlock 1 scrubber.

**Request 10a.** Please provide all support for these projected amounts.

Response 10a. The support for Spurlock 1 scrubber fixed and variable O&M costs is provided on pages 2 through 4. Note that this analysis is for 2010, the first full year of operation for the Spurlock 1 scrubber.

Request 10b. Please confirm that these amounts are incremental and that other costs are not reduced. If this is not the case, then please describe all costs that are reduced and provide a quantification of the amounts included base rates for the twelve months ending September 30, 2006.

**Response 10b.** These are incremental costs that are not included in base rates.

#### EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE SPURLOCK #1 SCRUBBER FIXED AND VARIABLE O & M YEAR 2010

#### I. Derivation of Fixed O & M (\$2.473 Million)

(1) Spurlock 1 Capacity (kW)	-	(2) burlock 1 Scrubber fixed O & M Rate (\$/kW)		(3) Spurlock 1 Scrubber Fixed O & M(\$)
(1)		(2)		(Col. 1 * Col. 2)
325,000	(a)	7.61		\$2,473,250
(a) Spurlock 1 Scrub Labor - \$55,000 *		O & M Rate (\$/kV penefits * 8 employ	•	\$682,000
Maintenance - (S	ee page 3	of 4)		<u>1,687,000</u>
Total Fixed Dol	lars			\$2,369,000
\$2,369,000 / 325,0	000 kW =		7.28	\$/kW (2007\$ - See page 4 of 4)

II. Derivation of Variable O & M (\$2.683 Million)
(Based on generation projections from the production costing model)

(1)		(2)	(3)
Spurlock 1	Sp	urlock 1 Scrubber	Spurlock 1 Scrubber
Generation	Va	riable O & M Rate	Variable O & M
(MWh)		(\$/MWh)	(\$)
(1)		(2)	(Col. 1 * Col. 2)
2,293,446	(b)	1.17	\$2,683,332

(b) Spurlock 1 Scrubber Variable O & M Rate (\$/MWh) - See pages 3 and 4.

KIUC Request 10	Page 3 of 4									noits	for escal	- වලිස් මෙලි	(\$100Z)	Spurdock 1 3. O aldainaV M Rate (\$MWh)		Est. Load Factor	09L'8	MW me	\$\$8,427,52 \$\$9,258 \$\$0,258	Limestone Landfill Cost Total Est Cost
Record   R	\$20,12 520,12 168,02 741,12 678,02 110,12 678,02 681,12 667,02 688,02 667,02	Energy + Boller Maint	202 (YA2)  OS  OS  OS  OS  OS  OS  OS  OS  OS  O	1200 lillbins J (YZ) 02 02 02 02 03 03 04 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	(%2) 178,554 178,554 178,554 178,554 178,554 178,585 178,114 178,114 189,875	0 (MT) 0 (MT) 0 (MT) 0 0 0 (MT) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	anoleamiJ 52,5076,18 52,5076,18 51,1961,18 102,28 108,18	0 627,72 0 0 686,721 686,721 524,891 018,99 624,681 624,681	cs, 262, 263, 264, 265, 265, 265, 265, 265, 265, 265, 265	(77) 15,845 20,984 26,906 36,828 1,186 681,1	202 5 208 5 48,21 (VT) 5 486,02 5 48,21 6 60,03 6 60,03 6 77 6 77,03 6 861,53 6 90,03 6 90,03 7 77,03 7 77,03 8 7 7 7 7 7 7 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	1910B 1910B 202,800,52 202,800,52 202,800,52 202,800,52 203,800,52	0.11 00.11 00.05 00.00 00.01 00.00 00.01 00.00 00.01 00.00 00.01 00.01 00.01 00.01 00.01	19'E  60'E  61'S  65'C  98'C  42'C  98'C  42'C  98'O  50'1  42'O  (%)	000,21 000,51 000,52 000,52 000,62 000,62 000,61 0000,61 0000,61 0000,61 0000,61 0000,61 0000,61 0000,61 0000,61 0	8E6,351,1 8E6,351,1	Coal Coal	feoO feo	20% Pelcoke 70% Pelcoke	CAPP CAPP CAPP CAPP CAPP CAPP CAPP CAPP
		## ### ###############################										no of Coal	hours per yest per ton per ton per ton per yest yest 2009 yest 2009 wax yest 2009 yest 2009	Z91 OS 721 11 000 061 S 000 000 S11 S 000 000 S11 S 000 000 C95 1 S 00 000 C95 1 S 00 000 C95 1 S 00 C1 S 00 000 C25 S 00 00 C21 S 00 000 C25 S 00 000 C35 S			) (30 year)	on the frest or relits 1.55) (9) (9) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (5) (4) (5) (6) (6) (6) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (8) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9	Consumption Jours per year Jouis 255,000, Ber LimeLimeston Lical Costs Locats Locats Locats Journal Locats Journal Locats Journal Locats Locat	11. Cosl Burned 12. FGD Energy 13. Pign It Factor 14. Operations in 15. PGD Energy 15. Labor (16 per 16. Process Line 19. Scholber Lan 19. Scholber Lan 20. Maintenance 21. Capacity Cos 22. Capacity Cos 23. Capacity Cos 24. Scholber Cap 25. Capacity Cos 26. Capacity Cos 27. Ash Capacity Rep 26. Carring Cospital 27. Ash Percally It Cospity Cos 28. Capacity Cos 29. Capacity Cos 29. Capacity Cos 20.
						ηλ	per Or	Scrub			duced / lb, C	502 ,5d7 502 Pro = 155. SO2 Pro \$ Lime Used \ 10 \$2	001 / (5%) <b>.</b>	3 0 2				4bscrber	d 1 Lime Consumpli ps Required per a	mu9 alayaan .a A Number of Al
			jst	Forec	nancial	5008 Fi	ock 1 -	Spuri					Hvolut@	000,01 00.89						I. Average Hea

## EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE SPURLOCK 1 SCRUBBER 0 & M

(a) O & M Escalation 1.5%

	Fi	<u>xed O &amp; M</u>	<u>Varia</u>	ibleO & M
		(\$/kW)	(\$	/MWh)
2007	\$	7.28	\$	1.12
2008	\$	7.39	\$	1.14
2009	\$	7.50	\$	1.15
2010	\$	7.61	\$	1.17
2011	\$	773	\$	1.19
2012	\$	7.84	\$	1.21
2013	\$	7 96	\$	1.22
2014	\$	8.08	\$	1.24
2015	\$	8.20	\$	1.26
2016	\$	8.32	\$	1.28
2017	\$	8.45	\$	1.30
2018	\$	8.58	\$	1.32
2019	\$	870	\$	1.34
2020	\$	8.83	\$	1.36
2021	\$	8 97	\$	1.38
2022	\$	9.10	\$	1.40
2023	\$	9.24	\$	1.42
2024	\$	9.38	\$	1 44
2025	\$	9 52	\$	1.46
2026	\$	9.66	\$	1.49
2027	\$	9.81	\$	1.51

<sup>(</sup>a) The 1.5% O & M escalation rate is based on estimates for 2007 - 2018 from Global Insight - The Power Planner



KIUC'S SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS DATED 05/29/08

**REQUEST 11** 

**RESPONSIBLE PERSON:** 

James C. Lamb, Jr.

**COMPANY:** 

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

Refer to page 5 of the Company's response to KIUC 1-5 and the \$4.006 million for fixed O&M and \$3.805 million for the variable O&M for the Spurlock 2 scrubber. Please provide all support for these amounts.

Response 11. The support for Spurlock 2 scrubber fixed and variable O&M costs is provided on pages 2 through 4. As indicated in Response 10, 2010 was used in this analysis as this is the first full year of operation for both scrubbers.

#### EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE SPURLOCK #2 SCRUBBER FIXED AND VARIABLE O & M YEAR 2010

#### I. Derivation of Fixed O & M (\$4.006 Million)

(1) Spurlock 2 Capacity (kW)	-	(2) urlock 2 Scrubber ixed O & M Rate (\$/kW)	(3) Spurlock 2 Scrubber Fixed O & M (\$)
(1)		(2)	(Col. 1 * Col. 2)
525,000	(a)	7.63	\$4,005,750
(a) Spurlock 2 Scrub Labor - \$55,000 Maintenance - (S Total Fixed Do	*1.55 for b See page 3	enefits * 8 employees =	\$682,000 <u>3,167,815</u> \$3,849,815

\$3,849,815 / 525,000 kW =

7.30 \$/kW (2007\$ - See page 4 of 4)

#### II. Derivation of Variable O & M (\$3.805 Million) (Based on generation projections from the production costing model)

(1)		(2)	(3)
Spurlock 2	Sp	urlock 2 Scrubber	Spurlock 2 Scrubber
Generation	Va	riable O & M Rate	Variable O & M
(MWh)		(\$/MWh)	(\$)
(1)		(2)	(Col. 1 * Col. 2)
3,805,021	(b)	1.00	\$3,805,021

(b) Spurlock 2 Scrubber Variable O & M Rate (\$/MWh) - See pages 3 and 4.

Total Cost Fuel + FGD ξ

## EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE SPURLOCK 2 SCRUBBER O & M

(a) O & M Escalation 1.5%

	<u>Fi</u>	<u>xed O &amp; M</u>	<u>Varia</u>	bleO & M
		(\$/kW)	(\$.	/MWh)
2007	\$	730	\$	0.96
2008	\$	7.41	\$	0.97
2009	\$	7.52	\$	0.99
2010	\$	7.63	\$	1.00
2011	\$	7.75	\$	1.02
2012	\$	7.86	\$	1.03
2013	\$	7.98	\$	1.05
2014	\$	8.10	\$	1.07
2015	\$	8.22	\$	1.08
2016	\$	8.35	\$	1.10
2017	\$	8.47	\$	111
2018	\$	8.60	\$	1.13
2019	\$	8.73	\$	1.15
2020	\$	886	\$	1.17
2021	\$	8.99	\$	1.18
2022	\$	9.13	\$	120
2023	\$	9.26	\$	1.22
2024	\$	9.40	\$	1.24
2025	\$	9.54	\$	1.26
2026	\$	9.69	\$	1.27
2027	\$	9.83	\$	1.29

<sup>(</sup>a) The 1.5% O & M escalation rate is based on estimates for 2007 - 2018 from Global Insight - The Power Planner

KIUC'S SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS DATED 05/29/08

**REQUEST 12** 

RESPONSIBLE PERSON: Ann F. Wood

**COMPANY:** East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

Refer to the Company's response to KIUC 1-7. Please respond to the question that was asked.

Response 12. Please see Response 13 for clarification.

KIUC'S SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS DATED 05/29/08 REQUEST 13

RESPONSIBLE PERSON: Ann F. Wood

COMPANY: East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

Request 13. Please refer to page 4 lines 12 -18 of Ms. Wood's Direct Testimony. Assume that \$1,000 of CWIP, net of AFUDC, is included in the environmental surcharge and the interest rate is 0.5 percent per month for both return on rate base in the environmental surcharge and for AFUDC purposes.

Request 13a. Confirm that it is the Company's position that the environmental surcharge should include a return on the CWIP of \$5.00 for the month.

Response 13a. Based on the assumption that \$1,000 is the monthly charge to CWIP net of AFUDC, it is East Kentucky's position that the environmental surcharge would include a return on CWIP of \$5.00 for that month.

Request 13b. Confirm that it is the Company's position that it also will record \$5 in AFUDC for the month. If this is not the Company's position, then please describe the Company's position in detail and all reasons in support of the Company's position.

Response 13b Based on the assumption that this is the first month of construction, meaning no AFUDC is included in the previous month's balance, EKPC would record \$5 in AFUDC for the month for accounting purposes.

Request 13c. If it is the Company's position that it also will record \$5 in AFUDC for the month, please explain why this does not provide the Company double recovery of the same carrying cost on the same CWIP, one through a current recovery pursuant to the environmental surcharge and one through a deferred recovery by adding the AFUDC to the cost of the plant and subsequently recovering it through depreciation, interest and TIER margin over the life of the asset?

Request 13d. Please explain specifically how the Company proposes to compute AFUDC on CWIP that is included in the environmental surcharge given Ms. Wood's statement that "This change will allow EKPC to apply the rate of return to the proper CWIP balance during the period of construction." What is the proper CWIP balance? Is it only the AFUDC that is not included in the environmental surcharge or something else? Please explain and provide an illustration of the proposed methodology.

Response 13c,d. EKPC proposes to exclude all AFUDC from plant in service for environmental surcharge purposes. The proper CWIP balance is defined as CWIP net of AFUDC. An illustration follows.

Pollution Control Project A will be capitalized on October 1, 2008. The total CWIP balance is \$1,000,000. The total AFUDC is \$50,000, leaving a net CWIP of \$950,000. Plant in service, for environmental surcharge purposes, will be \$950,000. Depreciation expense and return will be based on the \$950,000 capital cost. This will eliminate any potential double-recovery. For accounting purposes, Pollution Control Project A will be recorded in plant in service at \$1,000,000. Also for accounting purposes, \$50,000 in AFUDC has been recorded on the income statement during the construction period.

KIUC'S SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS DATED 05/29/08 REQUEST 14

RESPONSIBLE PERSON: Craig M. Johnson/Ann F. Wood/James C. Lamb, Jr.

COMPANY: East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

Refer to the Company's response to KIUC 1-8, which requested the support for the \$0.008 million amount of Spurlock 2 O&M expense presently included in base rates, and page 5 of the Company's response to KIUC 1-5, which provides the projected fixed and variable O&M expense for the new Spurlock 2 scrubber.

Request 14a. The Company's quantification of the Spurlock 2 scrubber O&M expense presently included in base rates at \$0.008 million for the existing scrubber appears to be substantially understated. The sum of the projected fixed plus variable O&M expense for the new Spurlock 2 scrubber is \$7.8 million (see response to KIUC 1-5). Please explain this difference.

Response 14a. The Spurlock 2 scrubber that is included in base rates was built in 1982. It was operational for approximately two years. After that time, burning compliance coal was more economical than burning non-compliance coal and running the scrubber. The existing scrubber has been maintained with minimal effort and no upgrades for over 20 years. The fixed and variable O&M expense for the new scrubber as outlined in KIUC 1-5 assumes full operations; therefore, these expenses will be substantially higher.

Request 14b. If the Company's previous quantification of \$0.008 million was in error, then please provide the correct quantification for the twelve months ending September 30, 2006 by FERC account and subaccount.

Response 14b. East Kentucky's previous quantification of the \$8,000 is correct. Please see the response to 14a.

**Request 14c.** Please provide the number of employees and the related labor and payroll tax expenses associated with the existing Spurlock 2 scrubber for the twelve months ending September 30, 2006 and each month thereafter for which actual information is available. Provide the expense information by account and subaccount.

Response 14c. There are no employees specifically designated for operations and maintenance of the existing Spurlock 2 scrubber. As discussed in response to 14a, maintaining the existing Spurlock 2 scrubber has been minimal. Labor and payroll tax expense associated with the existing Spurlock 2 scrubber for the twelve months ending September 30, 2006 through April 2008, the last month data is available, is outlined on page 6 of this response.

Request 14d. Please confirm that the Company presently uses calcium oxide (lime) as the reagent feed material for the existing Spurlock 2 scrubber. Please provide the lime expense for the twelve months ending September 30, 2006 and each month thereafter for which actual information is available.

Response 14d. Please see the response to 14a. Lime expense for the twelve months ending September 30, 2006 through April 30, 2008, the last month data is available, is zero. The scrubber has not been operational since 1984.

Request 14e. Please confirm that the Company incurs O&M expenses in addition to labor and lime to operate and maintain the existing Spurlock 2 scrubber. Please provide the amounts for each of these O&M expenses for the twelve months ending September 30,2006 by account and subaccount.

**Response 14e.** Please see page 7 of this response.

Request 14f. Please confirm that the new Spurlock 2 scrubber will reduce or eliminate the need to purchase SO2 allowances for the emissions from that unit.

Response 14f. EKPC confirms that the new Spurlock 2 scrubber will reduce the need to purchase SO2 allowances for the emissions from that unit. Please see Response 14g.

Request 14g. If the new Spurlock 2 scrubber will reduce or eliminate the need to purchase SO2 allowances, please provide the annual reduction in the number of SO2 allowances compared to the twelve months ending September 30, 2006. Provide all assumptions, including the number of SO2 allowances used for the unit during the twelve months ending September 30, 2006.

Response 14g. Please see pages 8 and 9 of this response. Page 8 reflects an excerpt from EKPC's production costing model used in EKPC's 2008 Twenty-Year Financial Forecast. This model shows that Spurlock 2 will emit approximately 2,485 Tons of SO2 in 2010. As indicated in Response 10, 2010 was used in this analysis as this is the first full year of operation of both scrubbers. Page 9 of this response shows that Spurlock 2 emitted 22,374 tons of SO2 during the twelve months ending September 30, 2006. This reduces the Spurlock 2 SO2 emissions by 19,889 Tons, or approximately 88.9 percent.

Request 14h. Please provide the dollar amount of the SO2 allowance expense for Spurlock 2 for the twelve months ending September 30, 2006 by account and subaccount. In addition, please provide the weighted average cost of those allowances per allowance, starting with the beginning balance, the allowances granted by the US EPA, purchases and ending balance for each month during that twelve-month period.

**Response 14h.** The requested SO2 information is provided on page 9 of this response.

Request 14i. Please provide the projected savings in SO2 allowances compared to the twelve months ending September 30, 2006. Provide both the number of allowances and the dollar amount of savings. Provide and use the twelve months ending September 30, 2006 as the base amount for computing the savings in the number of allowances and the dollar amount.

Response 14i. Based on EKPC's 2010 projected SO2 emissions for Spurlock 2 as shown in Response 14g, we show an estimated reduction of 19,889 Tons of SO2. Assuming the average cost of SO2 in 2010 is equal to the test year ended September 30, 2006, the average price per Ton would be \$402.52 as shown on page 9 of this response. This would convert to a savings of approximately \$8 million.

A long-term forecast provided by Energy Venture Analysis, Inc., dated April 2008 and shown on page 10 of this response, shows SO2 prices projected to be \$613 per Ton in 2010. Based on this projection, savings would convert to approximately \$12.2 million.

Request 14j. Please confirm that the Company includes no O&M expenses associated with the existing Spurlock 2 scrubber in the environmental surcharge. If this is not the case, then please provide the amount of O&M expenses included by the

Company in its environmental surcharge filings for the existing Spurlock 2 scrubber for the twelve months ending September 30,2006 by account and subaccount.

Response 14j. East Kentucky includes neither O&M expenses nor any other expenses associated with the existing Spurlock 2 scrubber in the environmental surcharge.

£9\$

59 Maint of Boilet Plant Scrubber

\$4 Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber

Total Payroll and Payroll Taxes for the Test Year Ending September 30, 2006

	£8\$-	Total August 2007						
Naint of Boiler Plant Scrubber		Payroll	PR00014324	16-80-7002	00980	1100	400	21243
	<b>797\$</b>	TOOS VIUL ISIOT						
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	1 722	Payroll	PR00014242	16-70-7002	03500	1400	400	51243
Vaint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	1611	Payroll	PR00014242	16-Y0-Y00S	03200	1000	400	51243
Vaint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	1 68	Payroll	PR00014242	16-70-7005	03200	1100	400	£1213
Vaint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	\$50	BENEFITS ALLOCATION - TAXES	AL00000001	16-70-7002	03200	1800	700	51243
	509,1\$	Total February 2007						
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber		Payroll	PR00013550	82-20-7002	00920	1000	400	21243
Naint of Boiler Plant Scrubber		Payroll	PR00013550			1400		21243
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber		BENEFITS ALLOCATION - TAXES	AL00000001A			1800	200	51243
	<b>/9\$</b> -	Total November 2006						
Naint of Boiler Plant Scrubber		Poyse 2006	PR00013060	00-11-0002	nncen	1100	no+	21543
radding 2 jacia railed to truck	1 295	towe-	DD00013060	3006 11 30	UUSCU	UUFF	067	67673
	271\$	Total October 2006						
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	1 29	Payroll	72621000워占	16-01-9002	03200	1100	400	51243
Vaint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	1 99	Payroli	786S1000A9	16-01-3005	00360	1000	400	51243
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	45 [	Payroll	72621000A9	16-01-6002	00920	1400	d00	51243
sodding to foot soling to torok				10-01-0007	OBCCO	1000	100	51243
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	l LS	BENEFITS ALLOCATION - TAXES	100000001A	2006-10-31	ບບສະບ	1800	2.00	6,6,5
	JnuomA 1 T2	SENEFITS ALLOCATION - TAXES	GL Journal ID	ets.01-a000		Prod		tooA 51013
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber								
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	јпиошА	noitqnoseG eniJ lennuof	GL Journal ID	lsmuot etsQ	िगन	Prod	Department	tээА
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	јпиошА		GL Journal ID	lsmuot etsQ	िगन	Prod	Department	tээА
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	Monetary Amount	8005 firqA Aguorh 3009	GL Journal ID	lsmuot etsQ	िगन	Prod	Department	tээА
Account Description Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	eas- vrstenoM innomA	Total February 2006 2006 through April 2008 Journal Line Description	r October S	of eexsT Ismuol Pisd	llony Proj	e9 br	ns llonys9 l	IstoT <sub>Joo</sub> A
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	eas- vrstenoM innomA	8005 firqA Aguorh 3009	GL Journal ID	of eexsT Ismuol Pisd	llony Proj	Prod	ns llonys9 l	IstoT <sub>Joo</sub> A
Account Description Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	eas- vrstenoM innomA	Total February 2006 2006 through April 2008 Journal Line Description	r October S	of eexsT Ismuol Pisd	llony Proj	e9 br	ns llonys9 l	IstoT <sub>155</sub> A
Account Description Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	S15\$ 1 68\$- 68\$- YistenoM funomA	Payroll Total February 2006 2006 through April 2008 Journal Line Description	PRO0011480  PRO0017711  CL Journal ID	8S-SO-900S 8S-SO-900S Of SexsT Ismuol Ismuol	03500 03500 yroll	e9 br	400 Payroll an	51243
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Account Description Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	1 035 215\$ 1 625- 65\$- YrstenoM InnomA	Total January 2006 Payroll Total February 2006 3006 through April 2008 Journal Line Description	PRO001771	8S-SO-900S 8S-SO-900S Of SexsT Ismuol Ismuol	03500 03500 yroll	oori	400 Payroll an Department	51243 51243 FioT
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Account Description Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	1 48 1 036 1 632- 1 635- 7 1 635- 1 635- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Payroll Payroll Payroll Total February 2006 Total February 2006 Journal Line Description	PRO0011480  PRO0017711  CL Journal ID	16-10-300S 16-10-300S 8S-50-300S 01 Saxes 18muol 18muol 18muol	03500 03500 03500 03500	1400 1100 14 Pa	400 400   Payroll ar   Department	51243 51243 Total
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Account Description Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	1 62 1 48 1 036 218\$ 1 625- 68\$- VistenoM JinuomA	Payroll Total January 2006 Payroll Total February 2006 Total February 2006 Journal Line Description	PR00011605 PR00011480 PR00011711  T October 2	76-10-3005 76-10-3005 76-10-3005 82-50-3005 As-50-3005 1871001 1871001	03500 03500 03500 03500	1000 1400 1100 1100 1100	400 400 400 400   Payroll an   Department	51243 51243 51243 51243
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Account Description Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Account Description	1 05- 1 68- 1 48- 1 035- 1 625- 1 625- 7 1 25- 7 1 21- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Payroll Payroll Tolal January 2006 Tolal January 2006 Tolal February 2006 Tolal February 2006	PR00011605 PR00011480 PR00011711  T October 2	76-10-3005 76-10-3005 76-10-3005 76-10-3005 76-10-3005 82-50-3005 82-50-3005 83-50-30-3005 83-50-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30	03500 03500 03500 03500	1100 1000 1400 1100 1100	400 400 400 400 400 1 Payroll an Department	51243 51243 51243 51243 51243
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Account Description Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Account Description	1 05- 1 68- 1 48- 1 035- 1 625- 1 625- 7 1 25- 7 1 21- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Payroll Accrual True Up Payroll Payroll Total January 2006 Total February 2006 Total February 2006 Total February 2006	PR00011605 PR00011605 PR00011480 PR00011711  T October 2	76-10-3005 76-10-3005 76-10-3005 76-10-3005 76-10-3005 82-50-3005 82-50-3005 83-50-30-3005 83-50-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30	03500 03500 03500 03500	0011 0001 0001 1400 1100 69 br	400 400 400 400 400 1 Payroll an Department	51243 51243 51243 51243 51243
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	862\$ 1 0h2 1 0h2 1 0c- 1 08 1 08 1 08 2 1 28 2 1 28 2 1 28 2 1 28 2 1 28 3 1 28	BENEFITS ALLOCATION - TAXES Psyroll Psyroll Total January 2006 Total February 2006 Total February 2006 Total February 2006	AL000000001 PRO0011605 PR00011605 PR00011605 PR00011711 PR00011711	2006-01-31 2006-01-31 2006-01-31 2006-01-31 8S-S0-8005 8S-S0-	03500 03500 03500 03500	0011 0001 0001 1400 1100 69 br	007 400 400 400 400 1 <b>Payroll an</b> Department	51243 51243 51243 51243 51243
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	852\$ 862\$ 1 062- 1 085 1 085 2 1 085 2 1 685 2 1 685 2 1 685 2 1 685 2 1 685 2 1 685 3	Total December 2005 BENEFITS ALLOCATION - TAXES Payroll Accrual True Up Payroll Total January 2006 Total February 2006 Total February 2006 Total February 2006	PR00011265 PR00011605 PR00011605 PR00011605 PR00011605 PR00011480 PR00011711 PR00011711	2005-12-31 2006-01-31 2006-01-31 2006-01-31 2006-01-31 2006-02-22 82-20-3002 82-20-3002	03500 03500 03500 03500	0011 0011 0011 0001 0001 0011	400 400 400 400 400 400	51243 51243 51243 51243 51243
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	1 05 882\$ 1 625 1 045 1 05 1 085 1 685- 2 1 685- 2 1 685- 3 1 685-	Payroll Total December 2005 Total December 2005 Payroll Accrual True Up Payroll Payroll Total January 2006 Total January 2006 Total February 2006 Total February 2006	PR00011265 PR00011605 PR00011605 PR00011605 PR00011605 PR00011480 PR00011711 PR00011711	76-51-2005 76-51-2005 76-51-2005 76-51-2005 76-51-20	03500 03500 03500 03500 03500	0001 0011 0011 0001 0001 0001 0001 0011	400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400	51243 51243 51243 51243 51243 51243 51243
Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	1 05 882\$ 1 625 1 045 1 05 1 085 1 685- 2 1 685- 2 1 685- 3 1 685-	Payroll Accrusl True Up Payroll Total December 2005 Payroll Accrual True Up Payroll Payroll Payroll Total January 2006 Total January 2006 Total February 2006 Total February 2006	PRO0011265 PRO0011605 PRO0011605 PRO0011605 PRO0011605 PRO00011605 PRO00011605 PRO00011605 PRO00011605 PRO00011605 PRO00011605 PRO00011711 PRO00011711 PRO00011711 PRO00011711 PRO00011711 PRO00011711 PRO00011711 PRO00011711 PRO00011711 PRO00011711 PRO00011711 PRO00011711 PRO00011711 PRO00011711 PRO00011711 PRO00011711	76-51-2005 76-51-2005 76-51-2005 76-51-2005 76-51-20	03500 03500 03500 03500 03500	1000 1000 1100 1100 1100 1000 1000 100	400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400	51243 51243 51243 51243 51243 51243

Total October 2007

1400 03500 2007-10-31 PR00014591 Payroll

1800 03500 2007-10-31 AL00000001 BENEFITS ALLOCATION - TAXES

21243 400

21243 007

Test Year Ending September 30, 2006 Scrubber Expenses Excluding Payroll and Payroll Taxes

8£7,9\$	Total Maintenance Expenses			
3,424 Maint of Electric Plant Scrubb	Accounts Payable Accruais	8205-12-31 AP00011428	3000 03703	21343 400
3,186 Maint of Electric Plant Scrubb	Accounts Payable Accruals	876110009A 16-S1-800S		21343 400
41 Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	Material Country Asservate	2006-04-30 MR00012054		21543 400
32 Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	Material	) 2005-12-31 MR00011280		21543 400
23 Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	Matenai Material	2006-06-30 MR00012334		21243 400 21243 400
SY Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	BENEFITS ALLOCATION - LABOR \$	2006-01-31 AL00000002		21243 010
13 Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber Scrubber Scrubber	BENEFITS ALLOCATION - LABOR \$	2005-12-31 AL00000002		51243 010 21243 010
17 Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	BENEFITS ALLOCATION - LAR HRS	2006-01-31 AL00000003		21243 010
-\$28 Maint of Boiler Plant Scrubber	BENEFITS ALLOCATION - LBR HRS	7 2005 01 31 AL00000003		21243 010 21243 010
redding 2 trefq religg to trieM 802.	294 991 MOITADO LIA 2TIBBIADO	1 200E 12 21 VI 00000003	1000 03500	010 61213
r74,08£\$	Total Operations Expenses			
7,743 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Prepaid Property Insurance	2006-09-30 PROPPINS	7403	20543 009
7,439 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Prepaid Property Insurance	2005-12-31 PROPPINS	7403	20543 000
7,390 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Prepaid Property Insurance	2006-11-31 PROPONS	2403	20243 009
7,373 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Prepaid Property Insurance	2005-11-30 PROPPINS	7403	20543 009
7,332 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Prepaid Property Insurance	2005-10-31 PROPPINS	7403	20543 000 20543 000
7,308 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Prepaid Property Insurance	2006-02-28 PROPPINS	7403	20243 009
6,417 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Prepaid Property Insurance	2006-08-31 PROPPINS	5047	20243 009
5,411 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Prepaid Property Insurance	2006-07-31 PROPPINS	7403	20243 009
4,415 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Prepaid Property Insurance	2006-06-30 PROPPINS	7403	20243 009
3,570 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Prepaid Property Insurance	2006-05-31 PROPPINS	7403	20243 009
2,779 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Prepaid Property Insurance	2006-04-30 PROPPINS	7403	20243 009
2,089 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Prepaid Property Insurance	2006-03-31 PROPPINS	7403	20243 009
35,863 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Property Tax Allocation	2005-11-30 RC05		20243 007
35,863 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Property Tax Allocation	2005-10-31 RC05		20243 007
33,818 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Ргорелу Тах Allocation	2006-09-30 RC05		50243 007
33,818 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Property Tax Allocation	2006-06-30 RC05		20243 007
33,818 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Property Tax Allocation	2006-07-31 RC05		20243 007
33,818 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Property Tax Allocation	2006-05-31 RC05		50243 007
33,818 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Property Tax Allocation	2006-01-31 RC05		20243 007
33,818 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Property Tax Allocation	2006-08-31 RC05		20243 007
33,818 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Property Tax Allocation	2006-02-28 RC05		20243 007
33,818 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Property Tax Allocation	2006-03-31 RC05		20243 007
33,818 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	Property Tax Allocation	2006-04-30 RC05		20243 007
-\$64,884 Steam Expenses Scrubbers	YE adjust for remain bills	2002-12-31 0000011475		200 643 007
Amount Account Description			Prod Proj	Acct Department
Monetary		Journal		• - •

## 2008 Twenty-Year Financial Forecast and Equity Development Plan

\$62,01 862,01 842,6 \$61,6 \$78,8 \$04,6 818,8 \$40,6 482,8 841,8 878,7 477,8 \$18,8 \$87,8 \$18,8 \$18,8 \$18,8 \$18,8 \$ 875,9 460,9 \$88,8 188,8 \$208,8 \$78,8 \$48,8 \$69,8 \$14,8 882,8 \$61,8 834,8 602,8 864,8 \$262,8 882,21 \$001,82 \$77,02	723,1 624,2 601,8 0 0 <u>2</u> 249,85	812,1 2,443 6,084 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	812,1 664,2 120,2 0 0 <u>6</u> 664,72	803,1 764,2 0 040,8 0 0 <u>8</u> 108,82	1,506 2,428 0 0 3 26,159	1,52,1 2,456 0 0 <u>3</u> 2646	1,531 2,455 0 0 <u>2</u> 2 2 2 2 3 3	1,520 2,458 4,144 0 <u>3</u> 25,861	1,506 2,437 0 0 2 2 2 2 5 0 0 5 0 5 0	202,1 064,2 780,4 0 0 <u>S</u> 24,436	24,425 0 0 0 5,40,43 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	213,1 234,2 0 0 <u>S</u> 479,42	128,1 54,2 60 0 <u>S</u> <u>S</u>	713,1 666 0 0 0 24,899	803,1 644,2 0 0 5 5 178,42	828,1 174,2 0 0 0 <u>S</u> 879,15	742,1 153,2 0 0 0 0 <u>S</u> 2	212,1 284,5 870,6 0 0 0 £S37,36	iuli Gas Jock S Jock 1	Spuri Spuri s,81	
<u>2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2016 2016 2018 2018 2016 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2026 2020 2021</u>	S82,01 87S,6	862,01 490,9	948,8 688,8	S£1,9 188,8	278,8 208,8	704,9 278,8	9,516 148,8	440,6 693,8	482,8 614,8	841,8 832,8	878,7 591,8	<b>ቅ</b> ፕፕ,8 8 <b>ኗ</b> ቅ,8	S18,8 902,8	697,8 894,8	713,8 S35,8	896,21	\$08,8 001,8\$	178,8 STT,0S	Jec	Dale	

Page 9 of 10

(081,800,8)	<del></del>	(8.478,52)				(28,994,053)	\$	(8.078,27)					
(852,676)	89.164	(1,734.2)	28,951,580	89.164	58,883	(270,8S4,S)	89.164	(£.856,4)	00.032,734,8	£7.249	0.000,01	2008	dəs
(925,011)	90'697	(8.766,1)	24,922,406	463.06	F3,821	(3,070,512)	463.06	(6,059,9)	-	-	0.0	900Z	₿nĄ
(904,312)	463.06	(4,952.9)	816,596,72	463.06	Z24,03	(2,951,969)	463.06	(6,476,9)	-		0.0	2008	iut
(825,314)	463,06	(1,782.3)	30,944,887	463.06	728,88	(2,612,962)	90.694	(5,642.8)	4,266,250.00	58.833	0.003,7	2008	սոր
(667,878)	420.82	(2.949,1)	865,162,65	420.82	076,48	(£72,734,S)	58.024	(5,450.3)	86.996,408,8	76.62 <del>8</del>	13,500.0	2008	May
(986,385)	7£.804	(6.878,1)	23,244,305	<b>76.804</b>	926,93	(2,395,696)	TE.804	(5,866.5)	**	-	0.0	2008	τqА
(762,078)	7E.804	(4.148,1)	22'640'000	408.37	987,29	(2,452,745)	7E.804	(S.800,8)	•	•	0.0	2002	Mar
(722,404)	7£,80 <del>1</del>	(0.697,1)	247,260,82	7£.804	£67,83	(809,762,2)	408.37	(5,626.3)	14,631,250.00	02.071,1	12,500.0	2008	də긕
(967,103)	254.51	(8.179,1)	101,687,81	18.485	616,16	(681,146,1)	754.51	(1,758,7)	15,141,250.00	302,44	0.480,03	2008	net
(A87,882)	35.151	(8.050,S)	2,559,039	131.35	284,er	(844,992)	131,35	(6,432,9)	72,500	£9.£	0.736,61	2002	Dec
(168,080,1)	81,633	(0.666,1)	3,331,532	81.688	826,2	(3,185,636)	81.623	(0.768,8)	3,238,750	05.262,1	0.002,\$	2002	voN
(543,562)	\$ 01.886\$	(T.856,1)	3,278,418	328'10	991,6	(2,355,399)	\$ 01.835\$	(4,778,8)	\$ 2,404,100	\$ 320.55	0.008,7	2002	35O
			3,229,717	\$ 362.32 \$	8,232			BALANCE	BECINNING			2002	Seb
Cost	Price	Quantity	<u>izo2</u>	Price	Quantity	1203	92i79	Quantity	Cost	Price	Quantity		
(05605 Juno:	ops ni babuloni)	Spuriock 2		Total Balance	•		Total Used		or Sold	I Acquired	stoT	-	

\* January 2006 Total Acquired includes 40,064 Tons of allowances granted by the USEPA. 402.518 Avg Price Per Ton YE 9/30/06

			SO2 Fare	SO2 Farecast (S/Ton emitted)	milled)	Long Tem	Emission	Long Tem Emission Allowance Forecast		eason NOx Fe	Ozone Season NOx Forecast (5/Ton)					Annual NOx Forecast (\$/Ten	scast (\$/Ten)		
		Constant 2008	1		Nominal 5	25.52		8	Constant 20085		Nom	Nominal S			Constant 2008\$			Nominal S	
Year	Low	Probable	High	Low	Probable	ble High	1	Low Pro	Probable High	Low	ow Probable	able High		Low	Probable	High   L	Low P		High
2000	\$ 160	s	s	175   \$	134 \$	141 \$	147   \$		801 \$	885 \$		672 \$	751						
2001	\$ 203	3 \$ 216	v	228 \$	175 \$	186 \$	196	-	1,357 \$	1,581 \$	1,019 \$	1.166 \$	1,342						
2002	\$ 168	•	۰,	184 5	147 5	152 \$	161		\$ 068	964 \$	726 \$	\$ 877	æ						
2003	\$ 184	•^	s,	204 \$	\$ 531	176 \$	182 \$	4,464 5	5,151 \$	5,749 \$	3,988 \$	4,602 \$	5,135						
2004	٧)	42	s	533 \$	406 \$	442 5	490 \$		2,434 \$	2,730   \$	2,096 \$	2,236 \$	2,508						
2005	r,	w	S	028 \$	826 \$	\$ 906	973 \$		2.940 \$	3,175 \$	2,507 \$	2,783 \$	3,005						
2006	s	s	s	364 \$	5 299	733 \$	841 5	1,632 \$	1,905 \$	2,086 \$	1,590 \$	1,856 \$	2:032			***********			
2007	s,	v	'n	584 5	466 \$	521 \$	584 S		790 \$	918 5	703 \$	\$ 067	918						
2008	\$ 386	۰,	w	544 \$	395 \$	478 \$	357		614 \$	855 \$	491 \$	628 \$	874			***************************************			
2003		s,	vı	680 \$		522 \$	709 \$		433 \$	2,232 5	315 \$	452 \$	2,084   \$	1,612	\$ 2,480	\$ 3,100 5	1,683 \$	2,589 \$	3,236
2010		s,	s	70t 20t	316 5	613 S	749 \$		340 \$	2,331 5	220 \$	361 \$	1,978 \$	1,684	\$ 2,590	\$ 2,991 \$	1,790 \$	2,754 \$	3,181
2011	896 \$	vi	s	2884 55	398 \$	577.5	528		3000	2,371 \$	265 \$	331 \$	2,570 \$		\$ 2,635	5 3,074 5	1,658 \$	2,855 \$	3,331
2012		s	N	324 \$	407 \$	\$ 902	1,019 5		275 \$	2,493 \$	243 \$	304 \$	_	•	5 2,770	5 3.264 5	1,987 \$	3,057 \$	3,602
2013		٠,	s,	5 756	416 \$	736 \$	- OFF		248 \$	2,468 5	\$ 522	278 \$	2,774 \$		\$ 2,743	\$ 3,264 \$	1,849 \$	3,082 \$	3,668
2014	\$ 326	6 \$ 590	v	316 \$	373 \$	674 \$	1,048 \$		223 \$	2,542 \$	229 \$	255 \$	2,908 \$	1,553	\$ 2,824	5 3,394 5	1,777 \$	3,231 \$	3.884
2015		s	s	156 5	477 S	880 \$	1,347 \$		201 \$	2,545 \$	S 233 S	234 \$	2,965 \$		\$ 2,628	5 3,433 S	1,647 \$	3,285 \$	4.000
2016		\$	v,	398 <b>\$</b>	428 \$	806 \$	1,303 \$		\$ 002	2,486 5	\$ 1EZ	\$ 162	2,949 \$	•	\$ 2,763	\$ 3,387 \$	1,475 \$	3,277 \$	4,018
2017		•>	s	203	475 \$	\$ 216	1,453 5		200 \$	2,459 \$	242 \$	242 \$	2,982		\$ 2,744	\$ 3,398 \$	1,325 \$	3,313 \$	4,103
2018		v	s	143 \$	426 \$	835 \$	1,405		200 \$	2,472 5	234 S	246 \$	3,039 5	1,099	\$ 2,747	\$ 3,438 \$	1,351 \$	3,376 \$	4,223
2019 \$	\$ 408	8 \$ 815	v)	1,351 \$	510 \$	1,020 \$	1,691	171 \$	200 \$	2,435 \$	214 \$	250 \$	3,049 \$	1,082	\$ 2,706	\$ 3,418 \$	1,355 \$	3,387 \$	4.279
2020		S	s	395 \$	516 \$	1,052 \$	1 779 \$		200 S	2,418 5	204 S	255 \$	3.084   \$		\$ 2,687	\$ 3,428 \$	1,370 \$	3,426 \$	4,371
2021		s	v,	509 \$	548 \$	1,137 \$	1,961		\$ 002	2,382 \$	208 \$	260 \$	3,094 \$	1,059	\$ 2,646	5 3,545 \$	1,375 \$	3,438 \$	4,606
2022		w	s	510 5	570 \$	1,211 \$	2,130 \$	160 \$	200 \$	2,365 \$	212 \$	205 \$	3,129 5	1,051	\$ 2,628	\$ 3,625 \$	(,3B1 S	3,477 \$	4,798
2023		4 5 940	S	1,687   \$	585 \$	1,267 \$	2,273 5		200 \$	2,311 \$	216 \$	270 \$	3,114 5	1,027	\$ 2,568	\$ 3,649 \$	1,384 \$	3.461 \$	4,918
2024	\$ 432	₩.	w	1,748 \$	583 \$	1,311 \$	2,400 \$		200 \$	2,276 \$	\$ 022	275 \$	3,125 \$	1,012	\$ 2,529	\$ 3,702 \$	1,389 \$	3,472 \$	5,083
2025		s	ŝ	783 \$	592 \$	1,335 \$	2,493		200 \$	2,332 \$	224 \$	280 \$	3,260 5	1,036	\$ 2,591	\$ 3,830 \$	1,449 \$	3,623 \$	5,356
2026		3 \$ 975	W	1,857 \$	603 \$	1,388 \$	2,644 \$	160 \$	200 \$	2,314 \$	228 \$	2E5 \$	3,295 5	1,029	\$ 2,572	\$ 3,840 \$	1,465 \$	3,662 \$	5,468
2027	s	s	45	1,991	632 \$	1,485 \$	2,886 \$		200 \$	2,652 \$	232 \$	280 \$	3,844	1,178	5 2,946	\$ 4,444 \$	1.708 \$	4,271	6,442
2028	v,	w	'n	2,130 \$	\$ 299	1,586 \$	3,143 \$		200 \$	2,297 \$	236 \$	295 \$	3,390	1,014	\$ 2,552	\$ 3,889 \$	1,496 \$	3,766 \$	5,902
2029	\$ 435	5 \$ 1,065	Ŋ	2,152 \$	654 \$	1,600 \$			200 \$	2,229 \$	240 \$	300 \$	3,348 \$	934	\$ 2,476	\$ 3,810 \$	1,404 \$	3,720 \$	5,724
2030	\$ 427	\$	۰,	2,195 \$	652 \$	1,628 \$	3,357 \$		200 \$	2,262 \$	245 \$	308 \$	3,458 \$	90	\$ 2,513	\$ 3,905 \$	1,378 \$	3,843 \$	5,971

e forecasis assumes future climate channe ledislation of a cas & trade program with a \$100pn saftey valve escalating at a 5% mal r

•			
		,	

KIUC'S SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS DATED 05/29/08

**REQUEST 15** 

**RESPONSIBLE PERSON:** 

Craig M. Johnson

**COMPANY:** 

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

Refer to the Company's response to KIUC 1-9. Please provide a copy of all studies, e-mails, or other documents that address in any respect savings, particularly in O&M expenses, resulting from the new Spurlock 2 scrubber compared to the continued operation of the existing Spurlock 2 scrubber.

Response 15. A cost analysis is included on page 2 of this response. The Board Agenda and Resolution relating to the new Spurlock 2 scrubber are included on pages 3 through 10.

						1
SPECIFIC CA	SE INPUTS	Unils		Case 1 Refurbished	Case 2 Refurbished	Case 3 Naw
				Thlosorbic	Limestone	Limestone
				Disposable	Disposable	Disposable
				Nole 1 N/A	No Acid	No Acid
Capital Costs	(2004 dollars)					
	emolition	\$1.000 \$1.000		400 58.608		
	ımkey Scrubber Proposal engent Unloading and Handling	\$1.000		500		
G	ypsum Handling, Storage and Loading	\$1,000		500		
	iditional Water Treatment Facilities	\$1.000 \$1.000		400 2.550		
	ectrical Upgrades - WFGD Support DP Engineering - WFGD Support	\$1.000		ind.	incl.	incl.
	let ESP (SO3 reduction)	\$1.000		33,600		
	wner's Costs	\$1,000		1.931 7,590		
	onlingency andfill Development Costs (Note 2)	\$1,000 \$1,000		698		
	Total Capital Costs			106,777	149,925	163.604
	Maintenance Inputs			1,01	1,08	1,08
	eagent Stoichiometric Rallo ulfale Conversion	%		100.00%		
	y-Product Moisture Content	%		15.00%		
	&M Personel/shift	1144.5		7.75		
	GD Power Consumption coster Fan Power Consumption	kWh/hr kWh/hr		7.350 4,78		
	eactant Molecular Weights	tb/lbmole		51		100
	rganic Acid Consumption	lb/hr		n (33 c)		
R Notes:	epair/Maintenance	\$1.000		2.577.6	2.577.50	1 331.60
1) Sulfate by	product resulting from forced oxidation process falue for anticipated \$1 325M expenditure expected	in 2015 discounted	1 hu 1856			
		in Lu to discounted				
Projected Co	1815	1	2			4 5 elc
		2007	2008	200	9 201	0 2011
	efurbished; Thiosorbic Lime; Forced Oxidation (ex- reraling Costs (\$1.000)	silu); Disposable G	ypsum			
	D&M Labor	\$1.306	\$1,358	\$1.412	\$1,469	
F	GD Powar	\$1.548	\$1.594	\$1.642		
	looster Fan Power	\$1,007 \$7,607	\$1,037 \$7,911	\$1.058 \$8.228		
	leagent Organic Acid	\$0	\$0	\$6		
8	ly-product	\$1,224	\$1.272	\$1.323		
	Repair/Maintenance	2.899 850	\$3,015 \$667	\$3,136 \$884		
	axes and insurance otal Annual O&M Costs	\$16,440		\$17.694		
F	Present Worth O&M Costs		\$13,509	\$13.222	\$12.941	\$12.666
	otal Present Worth O&M Costs = i/T SO2 Removed	\$309,205 0.142	0 147	0 15	3 0.15	8 0 164
	Jebt Financed					
	Debt Service Payment	\$8.735 \$7.334	\$8,735 \$5.919	\$8,73! \$5,521		
	Present Worth Debt Service Fotal Present Worth Debt Service =	\$107.007	#U.B19	40.02	<b>30.13</b>	
ŀ	nitiel Equity Investment =	\$0				
	Total Present Worth Costs =	\$416.212				
	Refurbished; Limestone; Forced Oxidation; Disposa	ble Gypsum; Withou	ul Organi	ic Acid		
	perating Costs (\$1,000) D&M Labor	\$1.306	\$1.358	\$1.41	2 \$1.46	9 \$1.527
	FGD Power	\$1.848	\$1.903	\$1.95		
	Booster Fan Power	\$1,007 \$2,389	\$1,037 \$2,485			
	Reagent Ornanic Acid	\$2,389	\$2,465			
	By-product	\$1,307	\$1.360			
	Repair/Maintenance	2.899 1.193				
	Faxes and Insurance Total Annual O&M Costs	\$11 950				
	Present Worth O&M Costs	\$10.033	\$9,802			
	Total Present Worth O&M Costs # \$77 SO2 Removed	\$220,133 0.103	0.10	7 01	11 0.1	14 0.119
	5/1 SOZ Removed Debt Financed	0.103	0.10	,	14 0.1	
1	Debt Service Payment	\$12.264	\$12.264			
	Present Worth Debt Service Total Present Worth Debt Service =	\$10.297 \$150.248	\$9.715	\$9 16	5 <b>5</b> 8.64	6 \$8 157
	Initial Equity Investment = Total Present Worth Costs =	\$0 \$370.381				
	New, Limestone; Forced Oxidation; Disposable Gyp	osum; Without Orga	nic Acid			
	Operating Costs (\$1.000)  O&M Labor	\$1 045	\$1.08	5 \$1.13	10 \$1.17	
	FGD Power	\$2,059	\$2,120			
	Booster Fan Power Reagent	\$604 \$2,380	\$522 \$2,48			
	Reagent Organic Acid	\$0	\$6	)	io :	so \$0
	By-product	\$1,307	\$1.36			
	Repair/Maintenance Taxes and insurance	1.498 1,302				
	Taxes and insurance Total Annual O&M Costs	\$10.204				
	Present Worth O&M Costs	\$8.567	\$8.36			
	Total Present Worth O&M Costs =	\$186,294 0 088	0.09	0.0	94 0.0	198 0.101
100.00%	\$/T SO2 Removed Debt Financed	0 000	. 0.02			
	Debt Service Payment	\$13 384				
	Present Worth Debt Service Total Present Worth Debt Service ≈	\$11.237 \$163,957	\$10,60	\$10.0	31 \$9.4	35 \$8.901
	Initial Equity Investment = Total Present Worth Costs =	\$0 \$350.250				
	10101 ( 1020)11 (1010) (004)2 "	********				

**TO:** Fuel and Power Supply Committee and Board of Directors

FROM: Roy M. Palk

DATE: September 2, 2005

SUBJECT: Approval to Engineer, Purchase, and Construct a Limestone

Scrubber at Spurlock Power Station Unit No. 2 and Permission to Request a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity from the Kentucky Public Service Commission for this Project and The Award of a Contract to Alstom Power, Inc. (Executive Summary)

**KEY MEASURES:** This action supports reliable and competitive energy.

### **Background**

The Spurlock Power Station ("Spurlock") Unit 2 is equipped with a scrubber. This scrubber was built in 1982. It was operational for approximately two years. At that time a decision was made that burning compliance coal was more economical than burning non-compliance and operating the scrubber.

This equipment has been maintained with minimal effort and no upgrades made for over 20 years. Therefore, an extensive upgrade would be necessary to operate the existing scrubber.

### Justification and Strategic Analysis

The economic evaluation of the viability of the Spurlock Unit 2 scrubber focused on a comparison of the all-in cost of operating a scrubber burning high-sulfur coal versus burning low-sulfur compliance coal (CAPP-Pike 1.2) in the non-scrubbed unit. Factors considered included projected fuel costs, scrubber capital costs, SO<sub>2</sub> allowance costs, maintenance costs, limestone costs, ash landfill costs, and other operating costs. Three scrubber options were analyzed: (1) a refurbished lime scrubber (2) conversion of lime tolimestone scrubber (3) a new limestone scrubber.

All three options included a wet electrostatic precipitator ("WESP"), for SO<sub>3</sub> reduction. The WESP is recommended for installation. Firing of high sulfur coal in boilers equipped with SCR Systems will result in the conversion of small amounts of sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) to sulfur trioxide (SO<sub>3</sub>). Sulfur trioxide is not removed in the scrubber. The result can be the emission from the chimney of a blue haze as has occurred at other utilities. Alstom predicts the formation of 70 ppm of sulfur trioxide in the Unit 2 boiler and SCR. Levels in excess of 8 ppm can be visible from the chimney. The installation of the WESP is

required to meet opacity emission regulations.

Primarily due to reduced capital costs and lower annual maintenance costs, the new limestone scrubber option was preferred over the refurbished limestone scrubber.

The evaluation was run for a 30-year timeframe. Production's scrubber cost model was used and expanded to a multi-year analysis by the Finance Division.

In this analysis, compliance fuel without a scrubber was compared to two non-compliance fuels, ILB-WK-Green R 6.0 coal and NAP-WV-Pitts 6.0 coal. The NAP-WV-Pitts 6.0 coal is considered the baseline non-compliance fuel. A basefuel forecast was conducted through the year 2036 by Energy Ventures Analysis ("EVA").

As the data was evaluated, it became apparent that the results of the study were influenced greatly by two variables: (1) the price spread between compliance coal and non-compliance coal and (2) the cost of SO<sub>2</sub> emission allowances.

A new EVA forecast of SO<sub>2</sub> emission allowance prices was provided in February 2005. These SO<sub>2</sub> emission allowance prices average between \$600 and \$700 per ton per year. In today's market, a vintage 2005 SO<sub>2</sub> allowance is worth \$800.

Operating a scrubber on Spurlock Unit 2 is the best option when analyzed over the 30-year study period. If it is assumed that the compliance coal versus non-compliance coal price spread moderates to the levels assumed by EVA and SO<sub>2</sub> emission allowance prices will be more than \$600 per ton, the expected NPV savings from operating the scrubber over 30 years would be about \$368 million.

#### **Estimated Project Cost**

Scrubber: \$114,497,060

Wet Precipitator: 25,209,000

Electrical Upgrade: 3,500,000

Foundations: 5,000,000

Transformers: 2,000,000

Owner's Costs: 5,000,000

Subtotal: \$155,206,060

5% Contingency:

\$7,600,000

Total Estimated Project Cost:

\$162,806,060

To obtain bids for both a refurbished and a new scrubber, two sets of bid documents were issued to each of two bidders. Base Bid 1 included the replacement of the existing scrubber and auxiliary systems for Spurlock Unit 2 with a complete new sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) scrubber, limestone preparation, storage, and pumping systems, and wet electrostatic precipitator (WESP). Base Bid 2 required that the existing scrubber system be refurbished and returned to operating condition, converted to use limestone reagent, meet new, more stringent emission guarantees, and updated to current industry design standards and operating practices.

Bids were received from Babcock & Wilcox (B&W), Barberton, Ohio and Alstom Power, Inc. (Alstom), Knoxville, Tennessee.

Alstom's Base Bid 2 to refurbish the existing scrubber was \$143,516,000. This amount was approximately \$16 million higher than their Base Bid 1 amount for a new flue gas cleaning system. Likewise, B&W's Base Bid 2 was over \$23 million higher than their Base Bid 1. Reasons were requested from the bidders to explain the differences in costs.

Under Base Bid 1, one new absorber module would be installed to treat the total flue gas flow and replace the four existing absorbers. More equipment is required to operate the four existing absorber modules than a single new absorber. For example, the four existing absorbers would require 16 slurry recirculation pumps instead of four larger pumps for a new single absorber system. The cost of four larger capacity pumps is less than 16 smaller pumps.

Another consideration is the increased financial risk to the successful bidder. The performance of a new scrubber system can be predicted and established by design to a high degree of accuracy. Risks of not meeting emission and performance guarantees are minimal. There is significant risk involved in attempting to refurbish and upgrade the existing scrubber system, such as:

- Repair costs or need to replace equipment are difficult to evaluate
- Higher sulfur dioxide removal efficiency (98%). Height and diameter of refurbished existing absorber modules would be less than optimum to meet current design methods.
- Performance of existing equipment, if used, is questionable.

The maintenance and operating costs would be expected to be higher with refurbished and rebuilt equipment. Maintenance costs and the potential for unit outages would be lower with new equipment.

Alstom's lump sum Base Bid 1 price was \$127,673,000. The Base Bid 1 amount from B&W was \$135,892,794. Commercial and technical exceptions and clarifications were negotiated successfully. Estimates were provided for the maximum escalation applicable to materials and labor subject to escalation.

The engineer's estimate for Base Bid 1 was \$148 million. The Alstom evaluated price is the lowest at \$135,882,910. B&W's evaluated price is \$142,635,194. The evaluated price includes the alternates recommended for acceptance.

Several alternates were specified in the bid documents. The following alternates are recommended for acceptance:

- Produce wallboard quality gypsum: Additional expenditures for dewatering equipment, cake washing system, larger mills, larger reaction tank, and other items totaling \$4,746,000 will produce a gypsum product that is suitable for sale to wallboard manufacturers. Otherwise, the waste material will need to be landfilled.
- Stebbins tile lined reagent feed tank: The use of tile to line the reagent (limestone) feed tank will result in a tank impervious to corrosion and wear for this severe service. The tile will have a significantly longer life than the trowel apply vinyl ester coating specified in the base bid. The cost of this option is \$380,000.
- Owner provided storage warehouse: The bidder will give a credit of \$133,000, if EKPC provides the storage building for critical components during construction

This project supports EKPC's key measure of supplying reliable and competitive energy.

### Recommendation

EKPC management recommends the approval of a new limestone scrubber at a capital cost of \$\$162,806,060. It is further recommended that approval be given to make application to the Kentucky Public Service Commission for the Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for this project. General funds should be used to fund this project, to be reimbursed from loan funds, should they become available.

EKPC management also recommends the award of a contract to Alstom Power, Inc. to engineer, provide, and construct a limestone scrubber at Spurlock Power Station for Unit 2 at a cost of \$139,706,060, which includes an estimated labor and material escalation of \$6

B	oa	rd	Ag	en	da	Item
---	----	----	----	----	----	------

million during the project.

JB:dp

#### FROM THE MINUTE BOOK OF PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC.

At a regular meeting of the Board of Directors of East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. held at the Headquarters Building, 4775 Lexington Road, located in Winchester, Kentucky, on Tuesday, September 13, 2005, at 1:55 p. m., EDT, the following business was transacted:

#### Spurlock No. 2 Limestone Scrubber and Wet Precipitator

After review and discussion of the applicable information, a motion was made by Jimmy Longmire, seconded by E. A. Gilbert, and, there being no further discussion, passed to approved the following:

Whereas, The Spurlock Power Station ("Spurlock") Unit 2 is equipped with a scrubber built in 1982;

Whereas, In 1984, an economic decision was made to burn compliance fuel and not operate the scrubber;

Whereas, This equipment has been maintained with minimal effort and no upgrades made for over twenty years, therefore, an extensive upgrade would be necessary to operate the existing scrubber;

Whereas, An economic evaluation of the viability of the Spurlock Unit 2 scrubber focused on a comparison of the all-in cost of operating a scrubber burning high-sulfur coal versus burning low-sulfur compliance coal in the non-scrubbed unit;

Whereas, Factors included were projected fuel costs, scrubber capital costs, SO<sub>2</sub> allowance costs, maintenance costs, limestone costs, ash landfill costs, and other operating costs;

Whereas, Three scrubber options were analyzed: (1) a refurbished lime scrubber (2) conversion of lime to limestone scrubber, (3) a new limestone scrubber;

Whereas, All three options included a wet electrostatic precipitator for SO<sub>2</sub> reduction and primarily due to reduced estimated annual operation and maintenance costs, the new limestone scrubber option is preferred over the refurbished limestone scrubber;

Whereas, To obtain bids for both a refurbished and a new scrubber, two sets of bid documents were issued to each of two bidders;

Whereas, Bids were received from Babcock & Wilcox (B&W), Barberton, Ohio and Alstom Power, Inc. (Alstom), Knoxville, Tennessee;

Whereas, Both bids for a refurbished scrubber were significantly higher than for a new scrubber and the bidders were asked to explain this;

Whereas, A primary reason for a higher cost for providing a refurbished scrubber is that the existing scrubber has significantly more pieces of equipment than a new scrubber and this would mean more supporting equipment as well;

Whereas, Evaluating existing equipment and the ability for this equipment to be capable of meeting the performance guarantees is extremely difficult;

Whereas, The operating and maintenance (O&M) costs would be expected to be higher with refurbished and rebuilt equipment, with O&M costs and potential for outages lower with the new equipment;

Whereas, As the new scrubber proposals were significantly lower in cost and risk than the refurbished, it was decided to only evaluate the bids for the new scrubber;

Whereas, Alstom's bid was evaluated the lowest at \$135,882,910, with B&W's bid evaluated at \$142,635,194, and the engineer's estimate was \$148 million;

Whereas, The evaluated bids include the following recommended alternates:

- Produce wallboard quality gypsum: \$4,746,000
- Stebbins tile lined reagent feed tank: \$380,000
- Owner provided storage warehouse: (\$133,000)

Whereas, The Fuel and Power Supply Committee and EKPC management recommend the award of a contract to Alstom to engineer, provide, and construct a new limestone scrubber, with a wet precipitator, at a cost of \$139,706,060;

Whereas, This project is included in the 2005 –2007 Budget and Work Plan and should be funded with general funds, to be reimbursed with loan funds, should they become available;

Whereas, This project supports EKPC's key measure of supplying reliable and competitive energy; and

Whereas, The Fuel and Power Supply Committee and EKPC management recommend the approval to engineer, provide, and construct a new limestone scrubber at a cost of \$ \$162,806,060 (excluding interest during construction) and the approval to request a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity from the Kentucky Public Service Commission; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the EKPC Board hereby approves a new limestone scrubber, with a wet precipitator, at a cost of \$162,806,060, and approves the request to the Kentucky Public Service Commission for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity, and

authorizes the EKPC President and Chief Executive Officer or his designee to execute all documents required to submit the application for the certificate;

**Resolved,** That approval is hereby given for the use of general funds for this project, subject to reimbursement from loan funds, when and if such funds become available; and

Resolved. That the EKPC Board also approves the award of a contract to Alstom Power, Inc. to engineer, provide, and construct a new limestone scrubber, with a wet precipitator, on Unit 2 at Spurlock Power Station for \$139,706,060, and authorizes the EKPC President and Chief Executive Officer or his designee to execute all documents required to award this contract.

·		

# EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. PSC CASE NO. 2008-00115 RESPONSES TO KIUC SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS

KIUC'S SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS DATED 05/29/08

**REQUEST 16** 

RESPONSIBLE PERSON: Craig M. Johnson

COMPANY: East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

Request 16. Please identify, describe, and quantify each O&M expense savings as the result of each new environmental project for which the Company seeks approval. Provide and use the twelve months ending September 30, 2006 as the base amount for computing savings. Provide all assumptions, data, and computations, including electronic spreadsheets with cell formulas intact.

Response 16. EKPC will not have any O&M expense savings as a result of each new environmental project, as these are all new projects. As indicated in Responses 1 and 2 of Commission Staff's First Data Request, project Nos. 5, 7, 8, and 10 are new projects required by the terms of the Consent Decrees. As indicated in Mr. Johnson's testimony, project Nos. 3, 4, and 6 are new projects that, although not required by the Consent Decrees, will enable EKPC to comply with the terms of the Consent Decrees. Project No. 9 is also a new project.



# EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. PSC CASE NO. 2008-00115 RESPONSES TO KIUC SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS

KIUC'S SECOND SET OF DATA REQUESTS DATED 05/29/08 REQUEST 17

RESPONSIBLE PERSON: Craig M. Johnson

COMPANY: East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

Refer to NOX reduction projects 5 (Dale) and 6 (Spurlock 1) on Exhibit DGE-1. For these two NOX reduction projects, please provide the projected savings in NOX allowances compared to the twelve months ending September 30, 2006. Provide both the number of allowances and the dollar amount of savings. Provide and use the twelve months ending September 30, 2006 as the base amount for computing the savings in the number of allowances and the dollar amount.

Response 17. As indicated in Response 1a of Commission Staff's First Data Request, the NOX reduction project (Project 5) at Dale Station was required by the Consent Decree. The decision to install low NOX burners at Dale Station was driven by the Consent Decree, not by projected NOX allowance savings.

As indicated in the Responses 1b and 2a of Commission Staff's First Data Request, the new low NOX burners (Project 6) at Spurlock Station are estimated to reduce emissions out of the boiler by 20 percent. For the twelve months ending September 30, 2006, the quantity and dollars relating to NOX emissions on Spurlock 1 are estimated to be 507.5 tons and \$393,490, respectively. A 20 percent emissions savings would equate to dollar savings of approximately \$75,000.