

STOLL·KEENON·OGDEN

PLLC

2000 PNC PLAZA 500 WEST JEFFERSON STREET LOUISVILLE, KY 40202-2828 MAIN: (502) 333-6000 FAX: (502) 333-6099 www.skofirm.com

DOUGLAS F. BRENT DIRECT DIAL: 502-568-5734 douglas.brent@skofirm.com

September 20, 2010

RECEIVED

SEP 20 2010

PUBLIC SERVICE

COMMISSION

Jeff Derouen
Executive Director
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard
P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40601

RE: Brandenburg Telephone Company, et al v. Windstream Kentucky East LLC Case No. 2007-00004

Dear Mr. Derouen:

We represent tw telecom of ky llc ("tw telecom"), one of the intervenors in the referenced complaint case. tw telecom supports the September 17, 2010 responses filed by intervenors T-Mobile and Sprint, and agrees that Windstream's motion for rehearing should be denied for all of the reasons stated in those responses.

In addition, tw telecom writes to emphasize that this case illustrates the problems inherent in allowing incumbent local exchange carriers to file any type of wholesale tariff offering on short notice. Windstream had filed the challenged rate within its General Customer Services Tariff and claimed it was effective on the same day it was filed. The rural carriers that challenged the Windstream tariff argued that Windstream violated a Commission regulation requiring 20 days notice to the agency and the public. However, the Commission concluded Windstream's tariff could be filed on shorter notice under the reasoning of an order in *BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.*, Case No. 2002-00276 (the "*BellSouth Order*").

Careful review of that case, however, shows that BellSouth sought presumptive validity and shortened notice only for retail service tariffs. Yet, the Commission's August 16, 2010 Order that properly voided the Windstream tariff and criticizes Windstream for including transit rates in "a tariff devoted to rates and terms for retail telephone customers" refers to an "established Commission policy" whereby the *BellSouth Order* applies to tariffs affecting carrier-to-carrier services. August 16 Order, n. 8.

Jeff DeRouen September 20, 2010 Page 2

Respectfully, tw telecom disagrees. If the "policy" of the *BellSouth Order* is to be extended to carriers that were not parties to that case, it should be done so in the form of a rule, after a generic proceeding in which the entire telecommunications industry can participate. In the meantime, the Commission could take steps to make sure that Windstream and other ILECs provide actual notice of proposed tariff changes that affect other carriers.

Please acknowledge receipt by returning a stamped copy of this filing via our runner.

Sincerely yours,

Douglas F. Brent

DFB: jms Enclosures



STOLL·KEENON·OGDEN

PLLC CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing Motion for Intervention has been served by U.S. mail on those persons whose names appear below this 20th day of September, 2010.

Douglas F. Brent

Mark R. Overstreet STITES & HARBISON, PLLC 421 West Main Street Frankfort, KY 40602-0634

Holly Wallace Dinsmore & Shohl, LLP 1400 PNC Plaza 500 West Jefferson Street Louisville, Kentucky 40202

John N. Hughes 124 West Todd Street Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Susan Berlin Nuvox Communications Inc. Two North Main Street Greenville, SC 29601 Jeanne Shearer Vice President External Affairs Windstream Kentucky East, LLC 130 West New Circle Road P.O. Box 170 Lexington, Kentucky 40505

Dennis G. Howard II Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Utility & Rate Intervention Division 1024 Capital Center Drive Suite 200 Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-8204

Ms. Carolyn Ridley tw telecom of kentucky, llc 233 Bramerton Court Franklin, TN 37069