Meade County RECC

P.O. Box 489 Brandenburg, KY 40108-0489 (270) 422-2162 Fax: (270) 422-4705

RECEIVED

MAY **08** 2007

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Attorney General Gregory D. Stumbo 1024 Capital Center Drive, Suite 200 Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Dear Mr. Stumbo:

May 4, 2007

Attached is an original and five (5) copies of Meade County's response to the Attorney General's Initial Request for Information in Case No 2006-00500.

If you need further information, please contact me at 270-422-2162 ext. 3127.

Sincerely,

mg 3. W Jene

Burns E. Mercer President and CEO

Enclosures





MAY 08 2007 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

CASE NO. 2006-00500

RESPONSE TO

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S INITIAL REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Q. 1 Please state whether any MCRECC employees or members of MCRECC's Board of Directors are employees, board members, partners, or members of any other business entity or organization. Identify each such individual, the name of the business entity or organization, and the title of their position.

A. Employees:

Burns E. Mercer; The Farmers Bank, board member

Burns E. Mercer; NCSC, board member

Karen Brown; Rural Cooperatives Credit Union, board member

Board Members:

David T. Wilson; Kentucky Association of Electric Cooperatives, board member

Stephen Barr; Barr Realty, partner

Ann Marie Williams; Daviess County Board of Education, Asst. Principal

Robert Rhodes; Trent-Dowell Funeral Home, partner

James G. Sills; Big Rivers Electric Corp., board member

Paul E. Butler; Big Rivers Electric Corp., board member

- Q. 2 Please identify MCRECC's designated delegates for KAEC annual meetings, and NRECA annual meetings.
- A. KAEC delegate: David T. Wilson

NRECA delegate: Burns E. Mercer

- Q. 3 <u>Please reference proposed adjustment No. 9.</u> Is MCRECC aware of the long-standing PSC policy to disallow expenses associated with travel to, registration for, and attendance at legal seminars attended by utility company attorneys?
- A. MCRECC is aware of the PSC policy to disallow expenses associated with travel to, registration for, and attendance at legal seminars attended by the the attorney. However, it is our opinion that it is necessary for the attorney to attend NRECA and KAEC legal seminars on behalf of the Cooperative.
 These seminars provide cooperative attorneys the opportunity to become better educated on legal matters pertaining to cooperatives. Such opportunities do not exist except in and through the cooperative program. This is a win win situation for the cooperative and the attorney. It is Meade County's feelings that such expenditures provide a significantly positive benefit to cost ratio.

- Q. 4 With regard to proposed adjustment 9, why has the company included
 \$1896.13 for attorney expenses to attend the NRECA annual meeting?
 Explain the rationale for deviating from the PSC policy.
- A. It is our opinion that it is necessary for the attorney to attend NRECA and KAEC legal seminars on behalf of the Cooperative. Therefore, we feel this expense should be allowed.

WITNESS: Burns E. Mercer

.

- Q. 5: Please reference account no. 921, Office Supplies and Expenses.
 Explain in detail the increase from the prior year's expenses of \$107,171
 to the test year total of \$133,798. Please provide a schedule depicting
 these expense for each of the past five years.
- A. As reflected in the historical recap, our purchasing pattern reflects

irregular purchases. It is anticipated with increases in paper costs,

postage and an increase in customers and information delivered to

them, that office supplies and expenses will continue to increase.

Five year recap:

2006	\$ 133,798.00
2005	107,171.00
2004	116,734.00
2003	101,594.00
2002	106,162.00

- Q 6.Please reference account no. 926, Employee Pensions and Benefits.Explain the purpose for the test year costs of \$134.
- A. The disbursement to NRECA is for 24 hour accident insurance coverage for salaried employees.

Q 7. <u>Please reference account no. 593, Maintenance of Overhead Lines.</u> <u>Explain in detail the 67.5% increase in expenses for the test year</u> (prior year: \$282,749; test year \$473,645). Please provide a schedule <u>depicting these expenses for each of the past five years.</u>
A. Below is a recap of total operations and maintenance expenses for the past five years. As shown in the recap, total O&M expense for 2006 increased 2% over 2005 expenses. As work is performed,

it is expensed to the appropriate general ledger account resulting in some accounts showing a significant increase / decrease to the prior year. A large part of the increase is due to additional employees time recorded in this account, along with benefits and transportation costs. It is expected that this will be continued in future years.

Five year recap of operations and maintenance expenses:

	Expense	<u>% Increase</u>
2006	\$ 4,137,906	2.0%
2005	4,054,924	3.9%
2004	3,901,269	17.4%
2003	3,321,738	12.9%
2002	2,942,775	

Five year recap of account no. 593:

2006 \$	473,645.00
2005	282,749.00
2004	296,358.00
2003	368,294.00
2002	306,077.00

- Q 7a. With regard to account no. 593.200 ("Maintenance Chemicals")
 please provide a description of the items of expense, and provide a
 schedule depicting these expenses for each of the past five years.
- A. 7a. Payment to various contractors for labor, materials and equipment to spray right-of-way are the only expenses included in this account.

Five year recap of account no. 593.200:

2006 \$	171,104
2005	111,941
2004	98,199
2003	49,207
2002	46801

Q 8. <u>Please reference account no. 583, Overhead Line Expenses. Please</u> provide a schedule depicting these expenses for each of the past five years.

A. A large part of the costs is due to employees time recorded in this

account, along with benefits and transportation costs. It is expected

that this will be continued in future years.

Five year recap:

2006	\$ 713,266.00
2005	696,645.00
2004	600,581.00
2003	603,249.00
2002	531,209.00

- Q 9 Please reference account no. 930.100, Misc. General Expense, Magazine.
 Please identify the reason for the test year expense of \$108,519. Please
 provide a schedule depicting expenses for this item for each of the past five years.
- A. This magazine is published by KAEC and is mailed to MCRECC's members on

a monthly basis.

Five year recap:

2006	\$ 108,519
2005	\$ 106,010
2004	\$ 92,105
2003	\$ 98,723
2002	\$ 96,245

- Q 10 Is MCRECC a summer or winter peaking utility?
- A. Four of the last five years, MCRECC has peaked in the winter.

Five year recap:

	KW Demand	Peak Month
2006	108,179	December
2005	102,051	January
2004	101,824	December
2003	100,461	January
2002	87,317	August

- Q. 11 <u>Please provide a schedule listing all CWIP projects included in the rate</u>
 <u>base.</u> For each project, provide the scheduled completion date, projected
 <u>cost at completion, and identify any and all PSC orders allowing</u>
 <u>MCRECC to include CWIP in the rate base.</u>
- A. A list of open work orders is attached. Meade County does not have a schedule of completion dates, or cost at completion for individual work orders. On a monthly basis, work orders are completed and recorded in plant accounts.

The PSC order allowing MCRECC to include CWIP in the rate base was Case No. 2002-00391.

Q. 11

7	<u>N.O.#</u>	<u>CWIP 10/06</u>
030272H		485.00
030942H		64.54
036167H		86.21
036537H		180.91
041373H		246.37
046088H		43.99
046180H		25.75
046242B		683.36
046595B		1,095.06
046623H		30.24
046630H		29.19
046704H		33.62
048003H		13,106.49
050103B		145.32
055004B		20,925.92
055005H		14,340.13 585.89
056077H		565.69
060302H		906.41
060485H 060695H		296.15
060895H		1,112.15
060827H		657.25
060901H		2,189.32
060939H		269.42
060951H		753.94
060952H		690.30
060962B		245.75
060963H		7,787.72
060973H		1,994.05
060990H		297.80
060993H		781.73
060994H	-	223.35
061021H		718.71
061022B		1,535.71
061025B		209.17
061027H		264.92
061031H		463.82 532.79
061035B 061037H		744.50
061037H 061039H		930.63
061039H		297.72
061040B		278.69
061045B		139.09
061046B		402.76
061049H		111.57

061052H	53.50
061055B	1,224.32
061057B	357.43
064018H	225.45
064022B	201.63
064089B	239.48
064254H	844.65
064265H	504.89
064266H	263.07
064267H	256.18
064273B	57.45
064276H	539.73
064277H	539.73
064278H	539.73
064279H	539.60
064280H	57.45
064281H	853.58
064283H	55.77
066075B	336.14
066099H	1,257.42
066158B	444.07
066177B	452.27
066325H	678.75
066436H	1,717.45
066458B	1,864.05
066514B	728.76
066531H	1,272.56
066551H	615.73
066555H	710.20
066565H	1,888.03
066568H	1,228.00
066569H	596.86
066570H	1,287.76
066581H	513.00
066582H	355.00
066584B	785.53
066592H	301.00
066606H	672.77
068001B	27,821.11
068002B	27,621.10
068003H	16,564.01
068004H	26,788.80
068005B	56,713.85
068006B	30,024.28
068007H	794.09
068008H	794.10
068009B	1,226.21
068010B	890.69
068011B	371.84
696010	235.64
107.200 CWIP	306,707.77

046282H	28.09
055003B	4,221.18
065000B	260,591.70
065001H	251,787.84
065002B	34,389.39
065003B	41,515.43
065004H	4,396.86
065005H	118,956.47
065006B	2,325.83
065007H	761.35
107.210 CWIP	718,974.14
107.12 Accrued Payroll	20,252.00
107.300 CWIP Contributions	(45,597.00)
Total CWIP	1,000,336.91

 Q. 12 <u>Please reference account no. 426.40, Civil Political Contributions. Is it</u> <u>MCRECC's intent, per proposed adjustment no. 8, to exclude this entire</u> <u>sum for ratemaking purposes? If not, explain why not.</u>
 A. As reflected in Exhibit 8, page 2 of 2 of the Application, the "Remove for rate making" and exhibit S, page 3 of 4, both reflect that the entire amount of \$22,919, was removed for rate making

WITNESS: Burns E. Mercer

purposes.

- Q. 13 Please state the test year customer deposit balance.
- A. Account 235.000 in the amount of \$628,955 represents

MCRECC's customer deposits.

- Q. 14
 Is interest on customer deposits used as a cost of service (i.e., has

 customer deposit interest been used to reduce test year net income?).
- A. Yes, interest paid to customers or credited to their account has been expensed to account 431.000, Other Interest Expense.

WITNESS: Karen Brown

ł

- Q. 15 Please state whether any relative, by blood or marriage, of MCRECC's board of directors or executive management team holds, or will hold any type or sort of position, whether as employee, office, board member, contractor or consultant, with MCRECC.
- A. No relative of the above mentioned persons holds nor will they hold any position with MCRECC.

- Q. 16Does MCRECC anticipate any changes in any existing contracts as a result of any
potential rate increase (e.g., engineering, information technology, maintenance, etc.)?
- A. No, MCRECC does not anticipate any changes in any existing contracts as a result of the requested rate increase.

- Q. 17 Does MCRECC maintain any contracts with vendors whose principals are in any manner related, by blood or marriage, to MCRECC's officers, members of its Board, its employees, its independent contractors or consultants? If yes:

 a) Please provide copies of any such contract, and a breakdown of how much money was spent per contract per year for the last ten (10) calendar years; and
 b) Please state whether the contracts were awarded pursuant to a bid process, and if so, provide specifics of that bid process.
- MCRECC does not maintain any contracts with vendors whose principals are in any manner related, by blood or marriage, to MCRECC's officers, members of its Board, its employees, its independent contractors or consultants.

.

- Q. 18
 Does MCRECC have any anti-nepotism policies in place? If so, provide

 copies of any and all such policies, and / or memoranda referring to such

 policies.
- A. Attached as page 2 of this item is a copy of MCRECC's anti-nepotism policy.

MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION

POLICY NO. 108

SUBJECT: Nepotism

Effective Date: September 22, 1994

Ι. PURPOSE

To set forth a policy with regard to the employment of close relatives of the Board of Directors, employees, attorney, consultants and accountants of Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation.

11. POLICY

- A close relative of a Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative A. Corporation Director, employee, attorney, consultant or accountant shall not be employed by the Cooperative either on a temporary or a permanent basis. The term "close relative" means a person who is related to the principal person to the third degree or less - that is a person who is either a spouse, child, step-child, grandchild, great-grandchild, parent, step-parent, grandparent, great-grandparent, brother, sister, aunt, uncle, nephew, or niece, by blood of the principal.
- Β. If employees of Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation marry, every reasonable effort will be made not to have them work in the same organizational unit or in a supervisory relationship.

HI. RESPONSIBILITY

- The Board of Directors are responsible for any change in or revision of Α. this policy.
- The Manager shall be responsible for the administration of this policy. Β.

Approved:

phili. Name In-

Q. 19 Does MCRECC employ the relatives of:

a) any MCRECC board member;
b) any MCRECC officer;
c) any MCRECC consultant;
d) any other MCRECC employee?

A. a) No

b) No

- c) No
- d) An Account Rep.- Collections Coordinator is a sister-in-law to an Apprentice Lineman An Account Representative-Cashier, Branch is a cousin to a Second Class Lineman

Q. 20 <u>Has any member of MCRECC's Board ever served on the Board of any</u> other business entity? If so, please state.
a) the name and address of each such entity; and
b) the length of time they served on the other entity's board.
A. The following directors have served on various boards as stated: James G. Sills, The Farmers Bank, Hardinsburg, KY, from 1971 to 2004 (32 years) James G. Sills, Big Rivers, Henderson, KY, March 1995 to present
David Wilson, KAEC, Louisville, KY, January 1991 to present
Paul E. Butler, Big Rivers, Henderson, KY, July 2002 to present