

EKPC IRP 2006-0047]

RECEIVED 0CT 20 2006 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Integrated Resource Plan

Case No. 2006-00017

TECHNICAL APPENDIX

October 21, 2006

A Touchstone Energy Cooperative



OCT 20 2006 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Integrated Resource Plan

Case No. 2006-00017

TECHNICAL APPENDIX

October 21, 2006

A Touchstone Energy Cooperative

DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS

÷

•

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	DSM-2
Major Enhancements since last IRP	DSM-4
Introduction	DSM-5
Comprehensive DSM Measure List	DSM-5
Qualitative Screening Process	DSM-6
Qualitative Screening Results	DSM-6
Quantitative Evaluation Process	DSM-7
Quantitative Screening Results	DSM-9
Recommendations	DSM-10
Estimated Impacts	DSM-13
Factoring Environmental Cost Considerations into DSM Evaluation	DSM-15

Executive Summary

East Kentucky Power Cooperative (EKPC) evaluated 93 Demand-Side Management (DSM) measures for the 2006 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP). A two-step process was used in the evaluation: (1) Qualitative Screening, and (2) Quantitative Evaluation.

Thirty-four (34) measures passed the Qualitative Screen and were passed on to Quantitative Evaluation. In several cases, measures were combined so that a total of 27 DSM Programs were prepared for the Quantitative Evaluation.

The results for the cost-effectiveness tests were generally favorable for the DSM programs. Of the 27 DSM Programs that were evaluated, 24 produced a Total Resource Cost test benefit-cost ratio of greater than 1.0. Of the 24 cost-effective programs, 18 are considered "new" programs that would produce load impacts that are not reflected in the load forecast.

EKPC presents the following DSM Program Portfolio for the 2006 Integrated Resource Plan:

	Existing Progi	y		
Program Name	Class	Coin.	Total	Participant
		Peak	Resource	Test
		Demand	Cost Test	Benefit/
		Impact in	Benefit/	Cost Ratio
		2006	Cost Ratio	
		(MW) ¹		
Electric Thermal Storage Propane	Residential	0.4	1.18	1.19
Electric Thermal Storage Furnace	Residential	-25.8	2.33	1.60
Electric Water Heater New				
Construction	Residential	-0.8	1.84	3.07
Electric Water Heater Retrofit	Residential	1.0	0.54	0.76
Geothermal Heating & Cooling	Residential	-23.4	4.64	1.85
Air Source Heat Pump New				
Construction	Residential	5.1	0.46	0.90
Air Source Heat Pump Retrofit	Residential	6.2	0.47	0.95
Tune-Up HVAC Maintenance	Residential	-5.0	4.20	3.22
Button-Up Weatherization	Residential	-16.4	6.80	2.95

Table DSM-1

¹Negative value means a reduction in load requirements

	New Program	ns		
Program Name	Class	Coin.	Total	Participant
		Peak	Resource	Test
		Demand	Cost Test	Benefit/
		Savings in	Benefit/	Cost Ratio
		2015	Cost Ratio	
		$(MW)^2$		
Compact Fluorescent Lighting	Residential	-4.1	21.47	Infinite
Touchstone Energy Geothermal				
Heat Pump Home	Residential	-3.4	4.68	1.36
Touchstone Energy Air Source				
Heat Pump Home	Residential	-1.4	1.65	0.84
Touchstone Energy Manufactured				
Home	Residential	-0.3	5.75	3.34
Direct Load Control for Air				
Conditioners and Water Heaters	Residential	-56.3	5.16	Infinite
ENERGY STAR Clothes Washer	Residential	-0.4	1.87	1.53
ENERGY STAR Room Air				
Conditioner	Residential	0.0	1.73	1.09
ENERGY STAR Refrigerator	Residential	-0.1	1.78	2.19
Programmable Thermostat with				
Electric Furnace Retrofit	Residential	0.0	4.77	5.34
Dual Fuel Air Source Heat Pump				
with Propane Retrofit	Residential	0.0	2.31	1.7
Commercial Lighting	Commercial	-3.0	2.55	2.25
C&I Demand Response	Commercial	-19.1	6.06	3.23
Commercial Efficient HVAC	Commercial	-0.2	2.37	1.81
Commercial Building				
Performance	Commercial	-0.9	1.48	1.69
Commercial New Construction	Commercial	-0.8	1.89	1.64
Commercial Efficient				
Refrigeration	Commercial	-0.5	4.31	4.04
Industrial Premium Motors	Industrial	-0.5	4.75	3.83
Industrial Variable Speed Drives	Industrial	-3.0	5.04	4.19

Table DSM-2 New Programs

These new programs are projected to produce over \$150 million of net benefits (2006 \$) on a total resource basis over the lifetime of the cost-effectiveness study (20 years). They will require an investment of just under \$50 million (2006 \$) by EKPC, its member cooperatives, and participating customers in order to produce these savings.

² Negative value means a reduction in load requirements

Major Enhancements since last IRP

EKPC has made several improvements to its DSM planning since the 2003 IRP. They include:

- (1) More comprehensive set of DSM measures evaluated, incorporating feedback from the Attorney General, Kentucky Division of Energy, and other parties.
- (2) More explicit factoring of environmental costs.
- (3) Updated avoided costs for capacity to match current plans for transmission, distribution, and generation investment (including environmental compliance costs).
- (4) Changing load impacts to account for changes in Federal appliance efficiency standards.
- (5) New DSM program savings projections are based on technical potential estimates that made use of updated electricity sales and market share data.
- (6) Recognition of enhancements made to existing EKPC and member cooperative DSM programs (such as the Touchstone Energy homes).
- (7) Factoring updated national and regional results for load impacts and costs into new DSM program models.
- (8) New end-use load profiles, based on end-use metering where available, to more accurately model new DSM programs in the package.
- (9) More detailed modeling of retail and wholesale rates, including the new environmental surcharge.

Introduction

East Kentucky Power Cooperative (EKPC) evaluates the future electric service requirements for its member cooperatives with balanced consideration of demand-side and supply-side resource options. The purpose of this section is to describe the evaluation of demand-side management (DSM) resources for inclusion in the integrated analysis portion of the 2006 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP).

DSM resources consist of customer energy programs that seek to change the power consumption of customer facilities in a way that meets planning objectives. They include conservation, load management, and other demand-side programs.

EKPC's DSM analysis is conducted on an aggregate basis, with all member cooperatives combined, rather than on an individual cooperative basis.

EKPC has used a two-step process to screen and evaluate DSM resources for inclusion in this plan. The first step is a qualitative assessment of a large number of potential DSM measures. In the Qualitative Screening step, each measure is scored against four criteria. Measures which pass the Qualitative Screening move on to the second step, which is a more rigorous Quantitative Evaluation. Measures are turned into DSM programs. In some cases, measures are combined into one program. The Quantitative Evaluation considers all quantifiable benefits and costs of the program, and scores each program according to standard cost-effectiveness tests. DSM programs which pass the Quantitative Evaluation are passed on to the integrated analysis for inclusion in the IRP.

Comprehensive DSM Measure List

EKPC first developed a comprehensive list of 93 DSM measures to consider (see Exhibit DSM-1). This set of DSM measures covers all classes and major end-uses, and includes a robust set of available technologies and strategies for producing energy and capacity savings. This list was produced after careful review of several sources, including (1) PSC staff recommendations from the 2003 IRP; (2) feedback from Kentucky Department of Energy, the Attorney General's office, and other relevant state agencies; (3) the current programs and IRPs of other Kentucky utilities; and (4) best practice DSM programs offered by utilities around the country.

Qualitative Screening Process

Next, EKPC developed the criteria it would use to screen these 93 measures. The four criteria chosen capture the major considerations as to whether a measure is suitable for robust quantitative analysis. The criteria consider the customer, the measure itself, the savings, and the economics. Each potential DSM measure was evaluated based on a scale of 1 to 5 against each of the four criteria.

The four criteria and a description of each are shown as Exhibit DSM-2.

Qualitative Screening Results

The results of the qualitative screening process are shown in Exhibit DSM-3. DSM measures which received a combined score of 15 or higher were passed on to the next phase, the Quantitative Evaluation Process.

The following table summarizes the results of the qualitative screening process:

	Results of Qualitative Screen	ing
Class	Original # of Measures	# that PASSED Qualitative Screen
Residential	41	20
Commercial/Industrial	52	14
TOTAL	93	34

Table DSM-3

As the table shows, 34 DSM measures passed qualitative screening. These 34 options were then evaluated in the quantitative evaluation process.

Quantitative Evaluation Process

The 34 measures that passed the qualitative screening process were next transformed into DSM programs. Some programs consist of more than one measure, with a result that 27 DSM programs were prepared for the Quantitative Evaluation.

EKPC uses the EPRI *DSManager* software package to conduct the more detailed quantitative evaluation. *DSManager* calculates the impact of DSM programs on utilities and their customers. The software tracks both the physical changes, such as the level of power demand, and the dollar flows. *DSManager* produces a quantitative estimate of the costs and benefits for each of the parties using simplified but powerful and flexible models of the electric system and its customers.

The relationships are straightforward. DSM programs change the way customers use energy. *DSManager* traces these changes through the energy system to determine, for example, how the amount of electricity generated changes over time. Using input values which describe how these changes impact costs and detailed descriptions of the costs and rates (prices) for energy, *DSManager* translates these physical measures into dollars, and ultimately into costs and benefits.

DSManager determines the cost-effectiveness of DSM programs by reporting results according to the cost-benefit tests established in the California <u>Standard Practice Manual</u> for Economic Analysis of Demand Side Programs³.

EKPC uses these tests to examine cost-effectiveness from three major perspectives: participant cost (PC), ratepayer impact measure (RIM), and total resource cost (TRC). A fourth perspective, the societal cost (SC), is treated as a variation on the TRC test. The results of each perspective can be expressed in a variety of ways, but in all cases, it is necessary to calculate the net present value of program impacts over the life cycle of those impacts. *DSManager* uses this information to calculate the benefit/cost (b/c) ratio for each of these four tests.

These tests are not intended to be used individually or in isolation. The results of tests that measure efficiency, such as the TRC and the SC, must be compared not only to each other, but also to the RIM test. This multi-perspective approach will require reviewers to consider tradeoffs between the various tests.

EKPC is a full requirements Generation and Transmission provider for its 16 member cooperatives. Each cooperative is an independent non-profit corporation and operates distinct from EKPC. As a result, it is necessary to examine the impacts of DSM programs separately for EKPC and for the typical distribution cooperative. *DSManager*

³ California Public Utilities Commission and California Energy Commission, "Standard Practice Manual for Economic Analysis of Demand-Side Management Programs," Document Number P400-87-006, December 1987.

has the functionality to enable the user to separately report the RIM test for EKPC and for the distribution cooperative.

Time is a critical element in DSM analysis. It is important to represent time within a year and over a period of many years. *DSManager* divides the year into seasons and representative days. These days are usually related to weather and to patterns of human activity. EKPC has selected 48 representative days to model the calendar year, four for each month. Each day is modeled using 24 hourly loads. This is true both for the utility system, individual end-uses, and DSM program impacts.

The daytypes are: High Weekday, Medium Weekday, Low Weekday, and Weekend. High, medium, and low refer to the EKPC system loads.

Each of the 27 DSM programs was modeled in detail with *DSManager*. The model includes for each DSM program:

- 48-daytype hourly load profiles for targeted end-uses with and without the program
- Lifetime of the measure savings
- Incremental measure costs (participant costs)
- EKPC and distribution cooperative administrative costs
- Rebates to customers, and from EKPC to the cooperative
- Detailed retail and wholesale rate schedules
- Customer participation levels

In addition to the detailed modeling of the DSM programs, *DSManager* also includes a detailed model of the supply side costs. Major categories of supply side costs that are accounted for by the model include:

- Marginal energy costs (by year, daytype, and hour)
- Marginal generation capacity costs (by category and year, including seasonal allocation)
- Marginal transmission & distribution capacity costs (by year, incl. seasonal allocation)
- Fossil fuel (natural gas & propane) costs (by year)
- Environmental externality costs (costs not internalized in energy or capacity costs; chiefly carbon related)

Exhibit DSM-4 provides assumptions sheets for each of the nine existing DSM programs that were evaluated, and Exhibit DSM-5 provides the assumptions sheets for each of the eighteen new DSM programs that were evaluated.

Quantitative Screening Results

DSManager calculates the net present value of the costs and benefits of each DSM program and presents the results in terms of the California Tests. Detailed results of the Quantitative Screening can be found in Exhibit DSM-6 and Exhibit DSM-7. Exhibit DSM-6 contains summary sheets for each of the nine existing DSM programs. Exhibit DSM-7 contains summary sheets for each of the eighteen new DSM programs.

The following table summarizes the results:

	Results	of Quantitati	ve Screening		
	Total	TRC > 1.0	PC>1.0	Coop	EKPC
				RIM>1.0	RIM > 1.0
Existing Residential	9	6	6	2	5
New Residential	10	10	9	2	9
New Commercial/					
Industrial	8	8	8	1	8
TOTAL	27	24	23	5	22

Table DSM-4Results of Quantitative Screening

As this table shows, the results for the cost-effectiveness tests were generally favorable for the DSM programs. Of the 27 DSM Programs that were evaluated, 24 produced a Total Resource Cost test benefit-cost ratio of greater than 1.0. Eighteen of these are new DSM programs.

Exhibit DSM-8 provides program descriptions for each of the existing programs, while Exhibit DSM-9 provides program descriptions for each of the new programs.

i....

Recommendations

As a result of the favorable cost-effectiveness results from the Quantitative Screening, all 18 new DSM programs were considered in the integrated analysis portion of the IRP. The integrated analysis determines the direction that EKPC should take in meeting the future needs of its member cooperatives and their customers.

EKPC presents the following DSM Program Portfolio for the 2006 Integrated Resource Plan:

	Existing Progr	ams		
Program Name	Class	Coin.	Total	Participant
		Peak	Resource	Test
		Demand	Cost Test	Benefit/
		Impact in	Benefit/	Cost Ratio
		2006	Cost Ratio	
		$(MW)^4$		
Electric Thermal Storage Propane	Residential	0.4	1.18	1.19
Electric Thermal Storage Furnace	Residential	-25.8	2.33	1.60
Electric Water Heater New				
Construction	Residential	-0.8	1.84	3.07
Electric Water Heater Retrofit	Residential	1.0	0.54	0.76
Geothermal Heating & Cooling	Residential	-23.4	4.64	1.85
Air Source Heat Pump New				
Construction	Residential	5.1	0.46	0.90
Air Source Heat Pump Retrofit	Residential	6.2	0.47	0.95
Tune-Up HVAC Maintenance	Residential	-5.0	4.20	3.22
Button-Up Weatherization	Residential	-16.4	6.80	2.95

Table DSM-5 Existing Programs

1

⁴ Negative value means a reduction in load requirements

	Table DSM-6
New Programs (not already in place at projected levels)

Program Name	Class	Coin.	Total	Participant
		Peak	Resource	Test
		Demand	Cost Test	Benefit/
		Savings in	Benefit/	Cost Ratio
		2015	Cost Ratio	
		$(MW)^5$		
Compact Fluorescent Lighting	Residential	-4.1	21.47	Infinite
Touchstone Energy Geothermal				
Heat Pump Home	Residential	-3.4	4.68	1.36
Touchstone Energy Air Source	-			
Heat Pump Home	Residential	-1.4	1.65	0.84
Touchstone Energy Manufactured				
Home	Residential	-0.3	5.75	3.34
Direct Load Control for Air				
Conditioners and Water Heaters	Residential	-56.3	5.16	Infinite
ENERGY STAR Clothes Washer	Residential	-0.4	1.87	1.53
ENERGY STAR Room Air				
Conditioner	Residential	0.0	1.73	1.09
ENERGY STAR Refrigerator	Residential	-0.1	1.78	2.19
Programmable Thermostat with				
Electric Furnace Retrofit	Residential	0.0	4.77	5.34
Dual Fuel Air Source Heat Pump				
with Propane Retrofit	Residential	0.0	2.31	1.7
Commercial Lighting	Commercial	-3.0	2.55	2.25
C&I Demand Response	Commercial	-19.1	6.06	3.23
Commercial Efficient HVAC	Commercial	-0.2	2.37	1.81
Commercial Building				
Performance	Commercial	-0.9	1.48	1.69
Commercial New Construction	Commercial	-0.8	1.89	1.64
Commercial Efficient				
Refrigeration	Commercial	-0.5	4.31	4.04
Industrial Premium Motors	Industrial	-0.5	4.75	3.83
Industrial Variable Speed Drives	Industrial	-3.0	5.04	4.19
1	<u> </u>			<u> </u>

These new programs are projected to produce over \$150 million of net benefits (2006 \$) on a total resource basis over the lifetime of the cost-effectiveness study (20 years). They will require an investment of just under \$50 million (2006 \$) by EKPC, its member cooperatives, and participating customers in order to produce these savings.

:__

⁵ Negative value means a reduction in load requirements

DSM program design and implementation are complex and dynamic undertakings. It is possible that DSM programs that are selected through this evaluation process may not be implemented as they have been described in this document. DSM programs that are ultimately launched will first be subjected to a much more rigorous program design effort. In certain cases, a demonstration or pilot project may precede full-scale implementation to test the validity of the program concept. This could mean that certain program concepts are modified, and some may not ultimately be implemented. i-

Estimated Impacts

This section provides the estimated impacts of both the Existing and New DSM programs in utility sales and coincident peak demands. Impacts for Existing DSM programs are accounted for in the load forecast. Impacts for New DSM programs are accounted for in the integrated resource plan.

The following table provides the historic and forecasted estimated impacts of the Existing DSM programs. Negative values denote reductions in load requirements while positive values denote increases in load requirements.

	Load Impacts of Exis	0 0	ue= reduction in load)
Year	Impact on Energy Requirements (MWh)	Impact on Winter Peak (MW)	Impact on Summer Peak (MW)
1998	7,545	-40.6	-7.3
1999	8,139	-44.3	-8.2
2000	9,393	-48.3	-9.2
2001	9,487	-51.2	-10.1
2002	9,131	-53.3	-11.0
2003	8,712	-54.8	-12.0
2004	7,765	-55.7	-13.0
2005	7,807	-57.2	-13.8
2006	7,671	-58.7	-14.7
2007	7,671	-58.7	-14.7
2008	7,671	-58.7	-14.7
2009	7,671	-58.7	-14.7
2010	7,671	-58.7	-14.7
2011	7,671	-58.7	-14.7
2012	7,671	-58.7	-14.7
2013	7,671	-58.7	-14.7
2014	7,671	-58.7	-14.7
2015	7,671	-58.7	-14.7
2016	7,671	-58.7	-14.7
2017	7,671	-58.7	-14.7
2018	7,671	-58.7	-14.7
2019	7,671	-58.7	-14.7
2020	7,671	-58.7	-14.7
2021	7,671	-58.7	-14.7

Table DSM-7Load Impacts of Existing Programs

:__

The following table provides the projected estimated impacts of the New DSM programs. Negative values denote reductions in load requirements while positive values denote increases in load requirements.

	Load impacts of	i new i rogianis	
		(negative valu	e = reduction in load)
Year	Impact on Energy Requirements (MWh)	Impact on Winter Peak (MW)	Impact on Summer Peak (MW)
2006	-15,911	-13.4	-15.5
2007	-32,396	-28.7	-32.8
2008	-48,307	-42.0	-48.3
2009	-62,502	-49.8	-58.0
2010	-76,698	-57.5	-67.8
2011	-90,893	-65.2	-77.5
2012	-105,088	-72.9	-87.3
2013	-114,917	-79.9	-96.5
2014	-124,740	-86.9	-105.7
2015	-134,571	-93.9	-114.8
2016	-126,958	-92.8	-113.8
2017	-118,813	-91.7	-112.7
2018	-110,478	-90.6	-111.5
2019	-102,145	-89.6	-110.4
2020	-93,808	-88.5	-109.3
2021	-80,662	-87.0	-107.5

Table DSM-8 Load Impacts of New Programs

Year by year impacts for each individual program are provided in Exhibit DSM-10.

j...

Factoring Environmental Cost Considerations into DSM Evaluation

EKPC has explicitly factored environmental costs into this evaluation of DSM resources. There are three major categories of environmental cost: (1) the cost of purchasing allowances; (2) the capital costs of compliance at power plants; and (3) externality costs.

EKPC has accounted for all three categories of environmental cost in its DSM evaluation. The following table describes how this was accomplished:

A	counting for Environmental	
Environmental Cost	Where accounted for	Specifics
Allowance purchases	Marginal energy costs	SOx and NOx
Capital investments for compliance	Marginal capacity costs	Primarily Scrubbers, SCRs, other controls
Externalities	Externality adder	Used in Societal Cost test; value is set to \$10/MWh. Value determined by examining allowance prices in markets (primarily Europe) with cap and trade policies for carbon.

 Table DSM-9

 Accounting for Environmental Costs

i...

÷---

Complete List of DSM Measures

Residential

1 Button-Up 2 Tune-Up 3 Geothermal Touchstone Energy Home 4 Geothermal Heat Pump 5 Air Source Heat Pump Touchstone Energy Home 6 Air Source Heat Pump Retrofit 7 Air Source Heat Pump New construction 8 Water heater - new construction 9 Water heater - retrofit 10 Electric Thermal Storage - Furnace 11 Electric Thermal Storage - Propane 12 Touchstone Energy Manufactured Home Program 13 Compact Fluorescent Lighting 14 Direct Load Control - air conditioners 15 Direct Load Control - water heaters 16 Dual fuel heating 17 Cold climate heat pump 18 High efficiency furnace fan motors 19 Low income weatherization 20 Ceiling Fans 21 Programmable thermostats
 Geothermal Touchstone Energy Home Geothermal Heat Pump Air Source Heat Pump Touchstone Energy Home Air Source Heat Pump Retrofit Air Source Heat Pump New construction Water heater - new construction Water heater - new construction Water heater - retrofit Electric Thermal Storage – Furnace Electric Thermal Storage – Propane Touchstone Energy Manufactured Home Program Compact Fluorescent Lighting Direct Load Control - air conditioners Direct Load Control - water heaters Dual fuel heating Cold climate heat pump High efficiency furnace fan motors Low income weatherization Ceiling Fans
 Geothermal Heat Pump Air Source Heat Pump Touchstone Energy Home Air Source Heat Pump Retrofit Air Source Heat Pump New construction Water heater - new construction Water heater - retrofit Electric Thermal Storage - Furnace Electric Thermal Storage - Propane Touchstone Energy Manufactured Home Program Compact Fluorescent Lighting Direct Load Control - air conditioners Dual fuel heating Cold climate heat pump High efficiency furnace fan motors Low income weatherization Ceiling Fans
 5 Air Source Heat Pump Touchstone Energy Home 6 Air Source Heat Pump Retrofit 7 Air Source Heat Pump New construction 8 Water heater - new construction 9 Water heater - retrofit 10 Electric Thermal Storage – Furnace 11 Electric Thermal Storage – Propane 12 Touchstone Energy Manufactured Home Program 13 Compact Fluorescent Lighting 14 Direct Load Control - air conditioners 15 Direct Load Control - water heaters 16 Dual fuel heating 17 Cold climate heat pump 18 High efficiency furnace fan motors 19 Low income weatherization 20 Ceiling Fans
 Air Source Heat Pump Retrofit 7 Air Source Heat Pump New construction 8 Water heater - new construction 9 Water heater - retrofit 10 Electric Thermal Storage - Furnace 11 Electric Thermal Storage - Propane 12 Touchstone Energy Manufactured Home Program 13 Compact Fluorescent Lighting 14 Direct Load Control - air conditioners 15 Direct Load Control - water heaters 16 Dual fuel heating 17 Cold climate heat pump 18 High efficiency furnace fan motors 19 Low income weatherization 20 Ceiling Fans
 7 Air Source Heat Pump New construction 8 Water heater - new construction 9 Water heater - retrofit 10 Electric Thermal Storage - Furnace 11 Electric Thermal Storage - Propane 12 Touchstone Energy Manufactured Home Program 13 Compact Fluorescent Lighting 14 Direct Load Control - air conditioners 15 Direct Load Control - water heaters 16 Dual fuel heating 17 Cold climate heat pump 18 High efficiency furnace fan motors 19 Low income weatherization 20 Ceiling Fans
 8 Water heater - new construction 9 Water heater - retrofit 10 Electric Thermal Storage - Furnace 11 Electric Thermal Storage - Propane 12 Touchstone Energy Manufactured Home Program 13 Compact Fluorescent Lighting 14 Direct Load Control - air conditioners 15 Direct Load Control - water heaters 16 Dual fuel heating 17 Cold climate heat pump 18 High efficiency furnace fan motors 19 Low income weatherization 20 Ceiling Fans
 9 Water heater – retrofit 10 Electric Thermal Storage – Furnace 11 Electric Thermal Storage – Propane 12 Touchstone Energy Manufactured Home Program 13 Compact Fluorescent Lighting 14 Direct Load Control - air conditioners 15 Direct Load Control - water heaters 16 Dual fuel heating 17 Cold climate heat pump 18 High efficiency furnace fan motors 19 Low income weatherization 20 Ceiling Fans
10Electric Thermal Storage – Furnace11Electric Thermal Storage – Propane12Touchstone Energy Manufactured Home Program13Compact Fluorescent Lighting14Direct Load Control - air conditioners15Direct Load Control - water heaters16Dual fuel heating17Cold climate heat pump18High efficiency furnace fan motors19Low income weatherization20Ceiling Fans
11Electric Thermal Storage – Propane12Touchstone Energy Manufactured Home Program13Compact Fluorescent Lighting14Direct Load Control - air conditioners15Direct Load Control - water heaters16Dual fuel heating17Cold climate heat pump18High efficiency furnace fan motors19Low income weatherization20Ceiling Fans
12Touchstone Energy Manufactured Home Program13Compact Fluorescent Lighting14Direct Load Control - air conditioners15Direct Load Control - water heaters16Dual fuel heating17Cold climate heat pump18High efficiency furnace fan motors19Low income weatherization20Ceiling Fans
 13 Compact Fluorescent Lighting 14 Direct Load Control - air conditioners 15 Direct Load Control - water heaters 16 Dual fuel heating 17 Cold climate heat pump 18 High efficiency furnace fan motors 19 Low income weatherization 20 Ceiling Fans
14Direct Load Control - air conditioners15Direct Load Control - water heaters16Dual fuel heating17Cold climate heat pump18High efficiency furnace fan motors19Low income weatherization20Ceiling Fans
 15 Direct Load Control - water heaters 16 Dual fuel heating 17 Cold climate heat pump 18 High efficiency furnace fan motors 19 Low income weatherization 20 Ceiling Fans
16Dual fuel heating17Cold climate heat pump18High efficiency furnace fan motors19Low income weatherization20Ceiling Fans
 17 Cold climate heat pump 18 High efficiency furnace fan motors 19 Low income weatherization 20 Ceiling Fans
 18 High efficiency furnace fan motors 19 Low income weatherization 20 Ceiling Fans
19 Low income weatherization 20 Ceiling Fans
20 Ceiling Fans
21 Programmable thermostats
22 Polarized Refrigerant oxidant agent
23 ENERGY STAR Refrigerator
24 ENERGY STAR Room Air Conditioner
25 ENERGY STAR Clothes Washers
26 ENERGY STAR Central Air Conditioner
27 ENERGY STAR Dishwashers
28 Refrigerator/Freezer Recycling
29 Efficient pool pump
30 Well water pump
31 High efficiency outdoor lighting
32 Direct load control - pool pump
33 Direct load control - smart thermostat
34 Multi-family program
35 Mobile home program
36 Time of use rates
37 Inclining block rates
38 Passive Solar (new construction)
39 Solar water heater
40 Photovoltaics
41 Wind turbine

i-

Commercial

1	Commercial Lighting
2	Demand Response
3	Commercial HVAC
4	Geothermal heat pump
5	Cool roof program
6	High performance glazings
7	Heat pump & A.C. Tune-up
8	Duct sealing
9	Polarized Refrigerant Oxidant Agent
10	Efficient refrigeration equipment
11	Efficient cooking equipment
12	Efficient clothes washers
13	ENERGY STAR Vending machines
14	LED exit signs
15	Energy Management Systems
16	DLC of irrigation pumps
17	DLC of central air conditioners
18	Thermal energy storage
19	Commercial New Construction
20	Energy efficient schools
21	Retro-commissioning
22	Farms program: fans, pumps, irrigation
23	Time of use rates
24	Combined heat & power
25	Stand-by generation program
26	Daylighting
27	Solar hot water
28	Photovoltaics
29	Wind turbine

<u>.</u>...

Industrial/Other

1	Demand Response
2	Motors
3	Variable speed drives
4	Compressed air
5	Industrial process
6	Process cooling
7	Refrigerated Warehouse
8	High efficiency transformers
9	Automotive and transportation sector equipment
10	Livestock, equine, poultry and meat processing sector
11	Chemicals sector
12	Machinery/machine tools sector
13	Aluminum sector
14	Plastics sector
15	Computer and electronics sector
16	Interruptible Rates
17	Combined heat and power
18	Other onsite generation (conventional)
19	Photovoltaics
20	Wind turbine
21	LED Traffic signals
22	Water/Wastewater Treatment facilities
23	Conservation Voltage Reduction

Exhibit DSM-2 Page 1 of 1	
------------------------------	--

Qualitative Screening criteria

Scoring system: 1-5, where 1 means POOR and 5 means EXCELLENT

Austomer Cceptance feasure pplicability avings otential Oost Oost		Comments/Framnles
less litty c	Ullella	
ility is the second sec	1. Customer	What will the response of customers be to the offer to participate in the program or to mistan une
ility	Acceptance	measure(s) in their facilities? POOR = measures that reduce the quality of the energy service
ility inters	•	equipment, are excessively difficult to install, or might interfere with vital activities in the
allity		establishment (home, business, industrial plant). Example: Retailer would be unlikely to put in
aility and a second sec		window shades to reduce cooling loads because they want the product displays to be visible.
ility	2. Measure	Have the efficiency gains been superseded by standards or code requirements? Example: SEER 12
eness	Applicability	central air conditioners are no longer an efficiency measure because Federal appliance efficiency
eness	• •	standards require a minimum SEER of 13. Is the measure commercially available today? Measures
Will the measure save erIs the measure a good fitIs there a better measureIs there a better measurewindows versus low e deHow substantial are the sHow measurable or quarIs the measure technicallIs the marketplace captuPOOR = Savings are smGiven typical savings, tyenesscost test?POOR = clearly below 1EXCELLENT = clearly		that are still in the R&D stage or that are no longer manufactured would score low on this criteria.
Is the measure a good fitIs there a better measurewindows versus low e dowindows versus low e doHow substantial are the sHow measurable or quarIs the measure technicalIs the marketplace captuPOOR = Savings are smGiven typical savings, tyenessenergy and capacity costCost test?POOR = clearly below 1EXCELLENT = clearly		Will the measure save energy or demand in the EKPC climate?
Is there a better measurewindows versus low e dcHow substantial are the sHow measurable or quarIs the measure technicallIs the marketplace captuPOOR = Savings are smGiven typical savings, tyenergy and capacity costCost test?POOR = clearly below 1EXCELLENT = clearly		
windows versus low e doHow substantial are the sHow measurable or quarIs the measure technicallIs the marketplace captuPOOR = Savings are smGiven typical savings, tyenesscenesy and capacity costCost test?POOR = clearly below 1EXCELLENT = clearly)n?
Image: All of the substantial are the substantial are the substantial are the substantial are the substant are the market place of the substant and the market place captures are supported and capacity cost cost cast substant are supported and capacity cost cost cast substant are supported and poor and capacity below 1Image: All of the substant are the substant are supported and capacity cost cost cast substant are supported and below 1Image: All of the substant are supported and capacity cost cost cast supported and capacity below 1Image: All of the support of		windows versus low e double pane window.
ntialHow measurable or quarIs the measure technicallIs the marketplace captuIs the marketplace captuPOOR = Savings are smGiven typical savings, tychergy and capacity costcost test?POOR = clearly below 1FXCELLENT = clearly	3. Savings	How substantial are the savings likely to be?
Is the measure technicall Is the marketplace captu POOR = Savings are sm Given typical savings, ty etiveness energy and capacity cost Cost test? POOR = clearly below 1 EXCELLENT = clearly	Potential	How measurable or quantifiable are the savings?
Is the marketplace captulePOOR = Savings are smGiven typical savings, tyctivenessenergy and capacity costCost test?POOR = clearly below 1EXCELLENT = clearly		Is the measure technically reliable such that savings are assured?
POOR = Savings are smGiven typical savings, tycivenessenergy and capacity costCost test?POOR = clearly below 1EXCELLENT = clearly		Is the marketplace capturing the savings already without a utility program?
Given typical savings, ty etiveness energy and capacity cost Cost test? POOR = clearly below 1 EXCELLENT = clearly		POOR = Savings are small or not easily quantified
ctiveness energy and capacity cost Cost test? POOR = clearly below 1 EXCELLENT = clearly	4. Cost	Given typical savings, typical measure costs, and a conservative (high) estimate of future avoided
learly below 1 ENT = clearly a	Effectiveness	energy and capacity costs, how cost effective is this program likely to be using the Total Resource
Q		
EXCELLENT = clearly above 1 (say 3-5 or higher on the TRC)		
		EXCELLENT = clearly above 1 (say 3-5 or higher on the TRC)

÷--

Exhibit DSM-3 Page 1 of 3 ;__.

Results of Qualitative Screen Measures with a score of 15 or better PASS and are IN BOLD

Residential

Kes	idential	Customer	Measure	Savings	Cost	Total
		Acceptance	Applicability	Potential	Effectiveness	Score
1	Button-Up	5	5	5	5	20
2	Tune-Up	5	5	5	5	20
3	Geothermal Touchstone Energy	4	5	5	4	18
4	Geothermal Heat Pump	4	5	5	4	18
5	ASHP Touchstone Energy	5	5	5	4	19
6	Air Source Heat Pump Retrofit	5	5	3	2	15
7	Air Source Heat Pump New Const.	5	5	3	2	15
8	Water heater - new construction	4	4	3	4	15
9	Water heater – retrofit	5	5	3	2	15
10	ETS- Furnace	5	3	4	4	16
11	ETS - Propane	5	3	4	4	16
12	Touchstone Energy Manuf. Home	3	4	5	5	17
13	Compact Fluorescent Lighting	3	5	5	5	18
14	DLC - air conditioners	3	4	5	4	16
15	DLC - water heaters	4	4	5	4	17
16	Dual fuel heating	3	5	4	4	16
17	Cold climate heat pump	3	2	4	3	12
18	High efficiency furnace fan motors	4	4	2	3	13
19	Low income weatherization	5	5	2	2	14
20	Ceiling Fans	3	4	2	3	12
21	Programmable thermostats	5	5	5	5	20
22	Polarized Refrigerant oxidant agent	3	2	3	4	12
23	ENERGY STAR Refrigerator	5	5	4	3	17
24	ENERGY STAR Room A. C.	5	5	3	3	16
25	ENERGY STAR Clothes Washers	4	5	3	4	16
26	ENERGY STAR Central A.C.	4	4	3	3	14
27	ENERGY STAR Dishwashers	4	4	3	3	14
28	Refrigerator/Freezer Recycling	4	1	4	3	12
29	Efficient pool pump	4	3	3	3	13
30	Well water pump	4	3	3	3	13
31	High efficiency outdoor lighting	5	4	2	3	14
32	Direct load control - pool pump	3	4	3	3	13
33	Direct load control - smart thermostat	4	3	4	3	14
34	Multi-family program	5	4	3	2	14
35	Mobile home program	4	2	4	3	13
36	Time of use rates	3	4	2	4	13
37	Inclining block rates	2	3	2	4	11
38	Passive Solar (new construction)	3	3	3	3	12
39	Solar water heater	3	3	5	3	14
40	Photovoltaics	4	3	4	1	12
41	Wind turbine	2	3	3	2	10

į.,,

Results of Qualitative Screen Measures with a score of 15 or better PASS and are IN BOLD

Commercial

		Customer	Measure	Savings	Cost	Total
		Acceptance	Applicability	Potential	Effectiveness	Score
1	Commercial Lighting	5	5	5	5	20
2	Demand Response	3	4	5	5	17
3	Commercial HVAC	5	5	5	5	20
4	Geothermal heat pump	3	3	3	3	12
5	Cool roof program	4	3	3	3	13
6	High performance glazings	2	3	2	3	10
7	Heat pump & A.C. Tune-up'	5	5	3	3	16
8	Duct sealing ²	5	5	4	3	17
9	Polarized Refrig. Oxidant Agent	3	2	3	3	11
10	Efficient refrigeration equipment	4	4	4	5	17
11	Efficient cooking equipment	3	3	3	3	12
12	Efficient clothes washers	4	4	3	3	14
13	E. STAR Vending machines ³	4	4	4	4	16
14	LED exit signs ⁴	4	5	5	5	19
15	Energy Management Systems	3	4	3	4	14
16	DLC of irrigation pumps	1	1	1	3	6
17	DLC of central air conditioners	2	3	4	4	13
18	Thermal energy storage	3	3	3	4	13
19	Commercial New Construction	5	5	5	5	20
20	Energy efficient schools ⁵	4	5	3	4	16
21	Retro-commissioning ⁶	4	5	5	4	18
22	Farms program	4	3	3	3	13
23	Time of use rates	3	3	3	4	13
24	Combined heat & power	4	3	3	3	13
25	Stand-by generation program	4	3	3	3 -	13
26	Daylighting	3	3	2	2	10
27	Solar hot water	3	3	3	3	12
28	Photovoltaics	4	3	3	1	11
29	Wind turbine	3	3	2	3	11

 ¹ Included in Commercial Building Performance
 ² Included in Commercial Building Performance
 ³ Included in Commercial Refrigeration
 ⁴ Included in Commercial Lighting
 ⁵ Included in Commercial New Construction
 ⁶ Included in Commercial Building Performance

;__.

Industrial/Other

		Customer	Measure	Savings	Cost	Total
		Acceptance	Applicability	Potential	Effectiveness	Score
1	Demand Response	4	4	4	4	16
2	Motors	5	5	5	5	20
3	Variable speed drives	4	4	4	5	17
4	Compressed air	4	3	3	4	14
5	Industrial process	3	4	3	3	13
6	Process cooling	4	3	3	3	13
7	Refrigerated Warehouse	4	4	3	3	14
8	High efficiency transformers	5	3	3	3	14
9	Automotive & transportation	3	4	2	4	13
10	Livestock & meat processing	3	4	2	4	13
11	Chemicals sector	3	4	2	4	13
12	Machinery/machine tools sector	3	4	2	4	13
13	Aluminum sector	3	4	2	4	13
14	Plastics sector	3	4	2	4	13
15	Computer and electronics sector	3	4	2	4	13
16	Interruptible Rates	3	3	3	4	13
17	Combined heat and power	4	3	3	3	13
18	Other onsite generation	4	3	3	3	13
19	Photovoltaics	3	3	3	1	10
20	Wind turbine	3	3	2	2	10
21	LED Traffic signals	3	4	2	4	13
22	Water/Wastewater facilities	4	4	2	3	13
23	Conservation Voltage Reduction	3	4	3	4	14

·

Exhibit DSM-4 Existing DSM Programs Assumption Sheets

. , . . . •

,--,

2006 IRP

14.3.54

ETS Propane Program

Assumption	Source
Load Impacts Before Participant 0 kWh, 0.00 kW (coincident with winter 1 system peak), 533 gallons	Typical Propane furnace in 1625 square foot home. Derived from electric furnace loads in the 1996-98 metering study, using 85% combustion efficiency and 91,600 BTU per gallon
After Participant 11,159 kWh, 0.11 kW (coincident with Winter system peak), 73 gallons	ETS unit in 1625 square foot home adjusted for larger ETS unit size (10 kW) in propane group. Propane furnace with ETS derived from electric furnace loads in metering study, adjusted to 10 kW/1625 square feet.
I Hetime of savinds	20 Years
y Cost - Peak	Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.
Participant Costs \$ 1,899	Includes installation, ETS unit cost, and new TOU meter.
Administrative Cost EK \$15,214 fixed annual (2006-2015), \$65 per new participant	All cost estimates provided by EKPC Marketing/Communications, June 2005.
Co-op \$214 per new participant	Cost information provided by 2 Coops (InterCo. and South KY) 2003.
Rate Schedule - Retail BEFORE: South Kentucky A rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, ES \$0.00471 AFTER: South Kentucky ETS rate	Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Propane rate is \$ 1.84 per gallon Rate Schedule - Wholesale East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, ES \$0.00446	Midrange of retail prices, July 2006 (Armstrong). Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Participation - 130 per year, 10 years (2006-2015)	2005 participation was 127 where primary heating system fuel was fossil.
Rebates	\$60 per kW times 10 kW. EKPC Marketing Summary of Coop Rebates dated April 2006: Rehates rance from \$25 - \$100 per kW. Avg EKPC rebate to coops in 2005 was \$283 per
Co-op to Participant \$600 EK to Co-op \$300	participant. Partners Plus Reimbursement

i-

2006 IRP	ETS Furnace Program
<u>Assumption</u> Load Impacts Before Participant 12,675 kWh, 9.62 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 0 therms	<i>Source</i> Typical electric furnace (metered study) adjusted for avg square footage of participants (1708 square feet)
After Participant 13,307 kWh, 2.83 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 0 therms	ETS unit, 1708 square foot home. Electric furnace with ETS in the home, 1708 square foot home. Both loads come from the EKPC end use metering study (1996-1998).
Lifetime of savings	20 Years
Generation Capacity Cost - Peak	Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.
Participant Costs \$ 1,899	Billy Abner 3/02. Typical system size 9 kW. Includes \$500 installation cost, \$1,184 for the unit, \$40 for the meter base, and \$175 for the TOU meter.
Administrative Cost EK \$15,214 fixed annual (2006-2015), \$65 per new participant	All cost estimates provided by EKPC Marketing/Communications, June 2005.
Co-op \$214 per new participant	Cost information provided by 2 Coops (InterCo. and South KY) 2003.
Rate Schedule - Retail	
BEFORE = South Kentucky A rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, ES \$0.00471 AFTER = South Kentucky ETS rate	Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006. same as above.
Rate Schedule - Wholesale East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, ES \$0.00446	Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Participation - 120 per year, 10 years (2006- 2015)	2005 participation was 117 where primary heating system source was electricity
Rebates Co-op to Participant \$540	\$60 per kW of installed capacity times 9 kW. EKPC Marketing Summary of Coop Rebates dated April 2006: Rebates range from \$25 - \$100 per kW. Avg EKPC rebate to coops in 2005 was \$283 per participant.
EK to Co-op \$2/0	

•

; ;----

ş

	Load Impacts Lefore Participant <i>4,821 kWh, 1.12 kW (coincident with standard electric hot water heater (TVA metering study).</i> <i>winter system peak), 0 therms</i>	Atter Participant 4,433 kWh, 1.03 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 0 therms High efficiency electric hot water heater (8% savings per Abner 3/02).	of savinds	Generation Capacity Cost - Blend Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.	bant Costs \$ 115 Difference between cost of a typical electric water heater and a high efficient water heater. Per Miller, Blue Grass Energy 3/02.	nual (2006-2015), \$0	Co-op \$ 65 per new participant Grass) 2002.		South Kentucky A rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2009), updated ES \$0.00471	Rate Schedule - Wholesale Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. ES \$0.00446 Retail Rates Workbook, updated from financial forecast as of June 2006.	Participation - 640 per year, 10 years (2006- 2015) Average participation for 2004,2005 is 638.	tebates Co-op to Participant \$150 Bard EKPC Marketing Summary of Coop Rebates dated April 2006. Range is \$100 - \$200. In 2005 avg EKPC rebate to coop was \$75 per participant.2 Partners Plus Reimbursement
2006 IRP	Ass Load Impacts Before Participant <i>4,821 kWh, 1.12</i> <i>winter system peak</i>)	Atter Participant 4,433 kWh, 1.03 winter system <u>peak</u>	l itetime of savinds	Generation Capac	Participant Costs \$ 115	Administrative Cost EK \$2,449 fixed an per new participant	Co-op \$ 65 per n	Rate Schedule - Retail	South Kentucky A ES \$0.00471	Rate Schedule - ¹ East Kentucky E ⁻⁵ ES \$0.00446	Participation - 64 2015)	Rebates Co-op to Particip: EK to Co-op \$75

;-

2006 IRP

Electric Water Heater Retrofit Program

EKPC Marketing Summary of Coop Rebates dated April 2006; confirmed by 2005 Tracking data Partners Plus Reimbursement Cost premium associated with the installed cost of the geothermal system over and above what the installed costs of the default system(s) would be. Default system cost used is weighted cost Cost information provided by 7 Coops (Shelby, Clark, InterCo., Salt River, South KY, and Blue Grass) 2002. Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006. HVAC end use technology choices in new construction market in absence of program. Natural gas furnace (25%) , central air conditioning (25%), and standard efficiency new air source heat Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: standards. Includes water heater consumption modified by desuperheater of the geothermal Standard Geothermal heat pump in 2500 square foot homeconstructed to all seasons comfort home FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006. pump - SEER 13, HSPF 7.7 (75%). Shares were consensus of coop staff (5/02). All cost estimates provided by EKPC Marketing/Communications, June 2005. typical square footage for participants - 2,500 square feet. Source Columbia Gas rate tariff effective May 31, 2006., Average participation for 2004,2005 is 151. of various technologies otherwise installed. Geothermal Heat Pump program electric hot water heater heat pump. 20 Years or fewer. East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, South Kentucky A rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, Columbia Gas rate GSR \$11.88/MMBTU EK \$10,426 fixed per year (2006 - 2015); 10,796 kWh, 4.66 kW (coincident with 13,458 kWh, 9.38 kW (coincident with Participation - 150 per year, 10 years Generation Capacity Cost - Blend Co-op \$254 per new participant winter system peak), 206 therms Rate Schedule - Wholesale winter system peak), 0 therms Co-op to Participant \$450 Assumption Participant Costs \$ 2,038 Rate Schedule - Retail Administrative Cost EK to Co-op \$225 Lifetime of savings Before Participant After Participant ES \$0.00446 (2006-2015) ES \$0.00471 \$34 variable Load Impacts Rebates 2006 IRP

2006 IRP	Air Source Heat Pump New Construction Program
Assumption	Source
ncident with ms	HVAC end use technology choices in new construction market in absence of program. Natural gas furnace (25%) , central air conditioning (25%), and standard efficiency new air source heat pump - SEER 13, HSPF 7.7 (75%). Shares were consensus of coop staff (5/02).
After Participant 6,865 kWh, 8.12 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 0 therms	High efficiency heat pump: SEER 15, HSPF 8.5
	20 Years
ty Cost - Blend	Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.
Participant Costs \$ 1,400	Difference between installed cost of SEER 15 heat pump -new construction (\$7,300) and the weighted installed cost of the default set of HVAC technologies (\$5,900).
Administrative Cost EK \$2,449	All cost estimates provided by EKPC Marketing/Communications, June 2005.
Co-op \$182 per new participant	Cost information provided by 7 Coops (Shelby, Clark, InterCo., Salt River, South KY, and Blue Grass) 2002.
Rate Schedule - Retail	
South Kentucky A rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, ES \$0.00471 Columbia Gas rate GSR \$11.88/MMBTU	Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006. Columbia Gas rate tariff effective May 31, 2006.
Rate Schedule - Wholesale East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, ES \$0.00446	Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Participation - 320 per year, 10 years (2006 2015)	- Average participation for 2004,2005 is 322.
Rebates Co-op to Participant \$300 EK to Co-op \$150	EKPC Marketing Summary of Coop Rebates dated December 2004 Partners Plus Reimbursement

2006 IRP

Air Source Heat Pump Retrofit Program

2006 IRP	
Assumption	Source
Load Impacts Before Participant 5,996 kWh, 5.69 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 180 therms	HVAC end use technology choices in this retrofit market in absence of program. Natural gas furnace (30%) , central air conditioning (30%), and standard efficiency new air source heat pump - SEER 13, HSPF 7.7 (70%). Shares were derived from 2005 tracking data.
After Participant 6,865 kWh, 8.12 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 0 therms	High efficiency heat pump: SEER 15, HSPF 8.5
l itetime of savings	20 Years
y Cost - Blend	Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.
Participant Costs \$ 1,400	Difference between installed cost of SEER 15 heat pump and weighted average installed cost of set of HVAC technology choices in absence of the program.
Administrative Cost EK \$916 fixed annual (2006-2015)	All cost estimates provided by EKPC Marketing/Communications, June 2005.
Co-op \$182 per new participant	Cost information provided by 7 Coops (Shelpy, Clark, InterCo., San upor, Source, Source, Grass) 2002.
Rate Schedule - Retail	
South Kentucky A rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, ES \$0.00471 Columbia Gas rate GSR \$11.88/MMBTU	Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006. Columbia Gas rate tariff effective May 31, 2006.
Rate Schedule - Wholesale East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, ES \$0.00446	Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Participation - 340 per year, 10 years (2006 2015)	Average participation for 2004,2005 is 344.
Rebates Co-op to Participant \$300 EK to Co-op \$150	EKPC Marketing Summary of Coop Rebates dated December 2004 Partners Plus Reimbursement

2006 IRP

Tune-Up HVAC Maintenance Program

	Source
Load Impacts Load Impacts Before Participant 11,286 kWh, 8.96 kW (coincident with winter system peak)	HVAC loads for a typical heat pump in typical residence
Atter Participant 9,932 kWh, 7.89 kW (coincident with winter system peak)	HVAC loads for a typical heat pump home reduced by 12% savings. 12 % savings derived from ACEEE report and site specific blower door results.
	12 Years
ty Cost - Blend	Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.
Participant Costs \$ 300.00	Average payment to contractors for performing the measures in the program. Source: EKPC Marketing Department - based on Jackson program
Administrative Cost EK \$3,409	All cost estimates provided by EKPC Marketing/Communications, June 2005.
Co-op \$260 per customer	Cost information provided by 4 Coops (InterCo., Nolin, South KY, and Blue Grass) 2003.
Rate Schedule - Retail	
South Kentucky A rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, ES \$0.00471	Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Rate Schedule - Wholesale East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, ES \$0.00446	Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Participation - 350 per year, 10 years (2006 2015)	Average participation over 4 years (2002 - 2005) is 338 per year
Rebates Co-op to Participant \$260 EK to Co-op \$130	Average payment to contractors is \$300; participating member pays \$40. Partners Plus Reimbursement (50% of coop rebate)

Button-Up Weatherization Program	<u>Assumption</u>		ter Participant 21.3% savings applied to typical heat pump. Savings derived from site specific engineering estimates and impact.		Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.	Costs \$ 563 Farmers RECC 4/02.		per new participant Cost information provided by 4 Coops (InterCo., Nolin, South KY, and Blue Grass) 2000.		South Kentucky A rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (may zuop), updated ES \$0.00471	Rate Schedule - Wholesale Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, Retail Rates Workbook, updated from financial forecast as of June 2006. ES \$0.00446 Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.	Participation - 500 per year, 10 years (2006- 2015) Average participation over 8 years (1998 - 2005) is 509 per year	articipant \$300 EKPC Marketing Summary of Coop Rebates dated December 2004
2006 IRP	Assump	Load Impacts Before Participant 11286 kWh, 8.96 kW winter system peak)	After Participant 8882 kWh, 7.05 kW winter system peak)	l itatime of savinds	Generation Capacity Cost - Blend	Darticinant Costs \$ 563	Administrative Cost EK \$4,038	Co-op \$140 per new participant	Rate Schedule - Retail	South Kentucky A rate. ES \$0.00471	Rate Schedule - Wholesale East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ F/ ES \$0.00446	Participation - 500 pe 2015)	Rebates Co-op to Participant \$300

í–

. .

Exhibit DSM-5 New DSM Programs Assumption Sheets

Compact Fluorescent Lighting Program	2 @ 65 kWh each. 60 watts times 3 hours a day times 365 days per year	2 compact fluorescent light bulbs at 15 kWh each (14 watts). 7 Years. 9,000 hour rated life, 20% attrition (removals)	Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.	Two pack of bulbs are given out to each member at the annual meetings.	All cost estimates provided by EKPC Marketing/Communications, Jeff H, May 2006. At 2006 annual meeting, EK subsidized the KAEC cost by \$1.10 per bulb.	Co-ops would otherwise be providing standard bulbs, so incremental admin costs are zero. Coops cover the remaining \$0.70 per bulb, which represents the cost of a standard light bulb. Thus, incremental product costs for the coop are also zero (covered by EKPC).	Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.	Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.	Based on # of bulbs purchased for 2006 annual meetings. Free rider estimate is from California PUC Energy Efficiency Policy Manual. Free rider is defined as a program participant who would have installed the measure anyway even without the program.	Rebates are not a feature of this program Rebates are not a feature of this program
2006 IRP	Assumption Load Impacts Before Participant 130 kWh, 0.02 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 0 therms 2	N (coincident with 0 therms	Generation Capacity Cost - Blend	Participant Costs \$ 0	Administrative Cost EK \$850 fixed annual (2006-2015), \$ 2.20 per new participant	Co-op \$0 per new participant	Rate Schedule - Retail South Kentucky A rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, ES \$0.00471	Rate Schedule - Wholesale East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, E & \$0.00446	Participation - 37,700 per year, 10 years (2006-2015). Units are two-pack bulbs. 10% free riders.	Rebates Co-op to Participant \$0 EK to Co-op \$)

•

2006 IRP	Touchstone Energy Geothermal Home
<u>Assumption</u> Load Impacts Before Participant	Source
15,378 kWh, 12.17 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 0 therms	Baseline efficiency heat pump: SEER 13, HSPF 7.7. Scaled for a 2,500 square foot home. All Seasons Comfort Home standards. Standard electric hot water heater.
After Participant 9,772 kWh, 4.05 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 0 therms	Geothermal heat pump for 2,500 square foot home built to Touchstone Energy Home standards, Electric water heater with desuperheater from geothermal.
Lifetime of savings	20 Years
Generation Capacity Cost - Blend	Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.
Participant Costs \$ 2,950	Participant cost includes (1) Cost premium associated with the installed cost of the geothermal system over and above the installed cost of the default system; and (2) Incremental costs of going from ASCH to Touchstone Energy standards.
Administrative Cost EK \$2,111 fixed annual (2006 - 2015); \$99 per new participant	All cost estimates provided by EKPC Marketing/Communications, June 2005.
Co-op \$182 per new participant	Cost information provided by 7 Coops (Shelby, Clark, InterCo., Salt River, South KY, and Blue Grass) 2002.
Rate Schedule - Retail	
South Kentucky A rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, ES \$0.00471	Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Rate Schedule - Wholesale East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, ES \$0.00446	Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Participation - 40 per year, 10 years (2006- 2015)	Actual participation in 2005.
Rebates Co-op to Participant \$700 EK to Co-op \$350	EKPC Marketing Summary of Coop Rebates dated April 2006; confirmed by 2005 tracking data Partners Plus Reimbursement

Touchstone Energy Home with Air Source Heat Pump

,

2006 IRP	Touchstone Energy Home with Air Source Heat Pump
Assumption	2011.05
Load Impacts Before Participant 12,490 kWh, 9.11 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 0 therms	Baseline efficiency heat pump: SEER 13, HSPF 7.7, 1700 square foot home, built to All Seasons Comfort Home standards. Standard electric hot water heater.
After Participant 10,308 kWh, 7.82 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 0 therms	High efficiency air source heat pump: SEER 15, HSPF 8.5 , 1700 square foot home, built to Touchstone Energy Home standards. Efficient electric hot water heater.
Lifetime of savings	20 Years
Generation Capacity Cost - Blend	Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.
Participant Costs \$ 2,125	Includes (1) incremental cost of SEER 15 heat pump compared to SEER 13 one; (2) costs associated with bringing ASCH to Touchstone Energy standards; and (3) incremental cost of an efficient water heater. Cost estimates from DOE analysis, marketing dept sources, and Blue Grass tracking data (water heater).
Administrative Cost EK \$13,535 fixed annual (2006-2015), \$ 99 per new participant	All cost estimates provided by EKPC Marketing/Communications, June 2005.
Co-op \$182 per new participant	Cost information provided by 7 Coops (Shelby, Clark, InterCo., Salt River, South KY, and Blue Grass) 2002.
Rate Schedule - Retail	
South Kentucky A rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, ES \$0.00471	Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Rate Schedule - Wholesale East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, ES \$0.00446	Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Participation - 100 per year, 10 years (2006-2015)	Actual participation for 2005 was 92. Program is in third year, still ramping up its participation.
Rebates Co-op to Participant \$500 EK to Co-op \$250	EKPC Marketing Summary of Coop Rebates dated April 2006 Partners Plus Reimbursement

Assumes 20% penetration rate for 50% of the eligible population (8 participating coops). Total Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006. CAC load control is 50% cycling on peak days June through Sept. Water heater load control is Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year participate, added in 1 per year from 2006 through 2013). Modelled as 50% cost sharing with Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Based on DLC demonstration program as filed with PSC in January 2006. Assume 8 coops coops (EKPC pays 50% and the coops pay 50% of these fixed costs). Uses existing AMR Note: all admin costs are escalated at 3% per year. Values here are for 2006. Typical residential central air conditioner & efficient electric water heater Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006. As filed; this is for two appliances (CAC and water heater). Partners Plus Reimbursement Participant does not bear any direct costs in this program. 3 hour curtailment on peak days every month of the year. Source of 50,000 ultimate participates by 2015. DLC Program for AC and DHW combined 50% cost sharing with EKPC. system at each coop. 20 Years. or fewer. coop, \$5,150 fixed annual per coop through EK: \$80,000 one time for each new coop, \$5,150 fixed annual per coop through 2025, \$150 per new participant (one time) and \$1 East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, South Kentucky A rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, 2025, \$150 per new participant (one time) participation - 5,000 new per year, 10 6,688 kWh, 0.00 kW (coincident with Coop: \$80,000 one time for each new 6,702 kWh, 1.03 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 1.30 kw (summer) winter system peak),2.70 kW (summer) Generation Capacity Cost - Peaking Co-op to Participant \$30 per year and \$1 per participant (each year) Rate Schedule - Wholesale EK to Co-op \$15 per year Assumption per participant (each year) Rate Schedule - Retail Participant Costs \$ 0 Administrative Cost years (2006-2015) Lifetime of savings Before Participant After Participant ES \$0.00446 ES \$0.00471 Load Impacts Rebates 2006 IRP

2006 IRP	ENERGY STAR Clothes Washer Rebate Program
Assumption	Source
Load Impacts Before Participant 5,450 kWh, 1.29 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 0.47 kW (summer)	Typical electric water heater with typical electric dryer. Electricity savings from ENERGY STAR Clothes washers come from lower water heating and clothes drying energy.
After Participant 5,100 kWh, 1.20 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 0.44 kW (summer)	ENERGY STAR clothes washers save on average 250 kWh on water heating and 100 kWh on clothes drying each year.
Lifetime of savings 12 years	Source: Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnership (NEEP) planning document (Sept 2004).
Generation Capacity Cost - Blend	Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.
Participant Costs \$240 one time; \$ -20 per year O&M cost	Difference between retail price of an ENERGY STAR clothes washer and a new standard efficiency washer. Source: NEEP (2004). The negative \$20 per year O&M cost represents <u>savings</u> in water and sewer costs by using less water
Administrative Cost EK \$2000 fixed annual (2006-2015), \$0 per new participant	Marketing with Trade Allies. Rebate program with mail in form.
Co-op \$10 per new participant	Form processing time.
Rate Schedule - Retail	
South Kentucky A rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, ES \$0.00471	Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Rate Schedule - Wholesale East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, ES \$0.00446	Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
ı - 500 per year, 1	0 years (2006- Share increase of 7% in target market assuming multiplier effect of 3:1 (although free drivers not modelled).
Rebates Co-op to Participant \$50 EK to Co-op \$25	Based on survey of current utility programs in US Partners Plus Reimbursement

2006 IRP

ENERGY STAR Room AC Program

•

č

Assumption	Source
ncident with N (summer)	Standard efficiency new Room Air Conditioner
After Participant 1,000 kWh, 0.00 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 1.64 kW (summer)	ENERGY STAR Room Air Conditioner
Lifetime of savings	15 Years
y Cost - Peak	Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.
Participant Costs \$ 75	Difference between cost of ENERGY STAR Room AC and standard new Room AC. Source: ENERGY STAR, DEEM
Administrative Cost EK \$2000 fixed annual (2006-2015), \$0 per new participant	Marketing with Trade Allies. Rebate program with mail in form.
Co-op \$10 per new participant	Form processing time.
Rate Schedule - Retail South Kentucky A rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, ES \$0.00471	Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Rate Schedule - Wholesale East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, ES \$0.00446	Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Participation - 600 per year, 10 years (2006) 2015)	Targetting 10% of the new Room AC purchase market each year.
Rebates Co-op to Participant \$25 EK to Co-op \$12.50	Survey of current REC programs in US Partners Plus Reimbursement

j...

<u>2006 IRP</u>	ENERGY STAR Refrigerator Rebate Program
Assumption Load Impacts Before Participant 600 kWh, 0.057 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 0.087 kW (summer)	Source New refrigerator meeting current Federal standards for efficiency
After Participant 500 kWh, 0.047 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 0.072 kW (summer)	New ENERGY STAR Refrigerator. Source: USDOE Technical Analysis of Amended Standards for Residential Refrigerator-Freezers, October 2005.
Lifetime of savings	15 Years
Generation Capacity Cost - Blend	Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.
Participant Costs \$ 35	Incremental cost for the more efficient ENERGY STAR model. Source: USDOE Technical Analysis of Amended Standards for Residential Refrigerator-Freezers, October 2005.
Administrative Cost EK \$2000 fixed annual (2006-2015), \$0 per new participant	Marketing with Trade Allies. Rebate program with mail in form.
Co-op \$10 per new participant	Form processing time.
Rate Schedule - Retail	
South Kentucky A rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, ES \$0.00471	Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Rate Schedule - Wholesale East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, ES \$0.00446	Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Participation - 900 per year, 10 years (2006 2015), 10% tree riders (90 per year)	4% penetration of annual purchase market for new refrigerators. Free rider estimate is from year, 10 years (2006 California PUC Energy Efficiency Policy Manual. Free rider is defined as a program participant (90 per year) who would have installed the measure anyway even without the program.
Rebates Co-op to Participant \$20 EK to Co-op \$10	Based on survey of current utility programs in US Partners Plus Reimbursement

2006 IRP Assumption Load Impacts Before Participant 14, 936 kWh, 9.62 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 2.05 kW (summer) After Participant After Participant 14 187 kWh, 9.62 kW (coincident with	Programmable Thermostat with Electric Furnace Retrofit Program Source Typical electric furnace with standard efficiency central air conditioner in existing 1700 square foot home
	5 % savings on annual kWh from operation of programmable thermostat. 11 Years
y Cost - Blend	Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.
Participant Costs \$ 75	Installed cost of a programmable thermostat.
Administrative Cost EK \$1000 fixed annual (2006-2015), \$0 per new participant	Rebate program with mail in form.
Co-op \$10 per new participant	Form processing time.
Rate Schedule - Retail	2005), updated
South Kentucky A rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, ES \$0.00471	Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 704, upuated of an exposite and of a sof June 2006. FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Rate Schedule - Wholesale East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, ES \$0.00446	Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Participation - 650 per year, 10 years (2006 2015)	Participation - 650 per year, 10 years (2006 Achieves 10% increase in penetration of programmable thermostats among existing homes with 2015)
Rebates Co-op to Participant \$25 EK to Co-op \$12.50	Based on survey of current utility programs in US Partners Plus Reimbursement

EKPC Marketing Summary of Coop Rebates dated April 2006. Based on heat pump retrofit program. Partners Plus Reimbursement thermostat switch set to 25 degrees F. At or above 25 degrees, the heat pump heats the home. Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year Cost information provided by 7 Coops (Shelby, Clark, InterCo., Salt River, South KY, and Blue Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Includes add-on heat pump components, new thermostat, and installation costs. Provided by Roy Honican October 2005. All cost estimates provided by EKPC Marketing/Communications, June 2005. Based on heat Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Heating component of air source heat pump load with the propane furnace, 1700 square feet, Propane furnace in typical existing 1700 square foot home. Sources: EIA Regional data FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006. Based on planning estimates used by coops considering the program. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006. Dual Fuel Air Source Heat Pump with Propane Retrofit Program Below 25 degrees, the propane furnace heats the home. Source Midrange of retail prices, July 2006 (Armstrong). &Lexington Kentucky weather data. pump retrofit program Grass) 2002. 20 Years or fewer. Participation - 100 per year, 10 years (2006-EK \$916 fixed annual (2006-2015), \$0 per East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, South Kentucky A rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, 0 kWh, 0.00 kW (coincident with winter 4,003 kWh, 0.00 kW (coincident with Generation Capacity Cost - Blend Propane rate is \$ 1.84 per gallon Co-op \$182 per new participant winter system peak), 104 gallons Rate Schedule - Wholesale Co-op to Participant \$300 Assumption Participant Costs \$ 3,500 system peak), 775 gallons Rate Schedule - Retail Administrative Cost EK to Co-op \$150 Lifetime of savings Before Participant After Participant new participant ES \$0.00446 ES \$0.00471 Load Impacts Rebates 2006 IRP 2015)

2006 IRP	Commercial Lighting Progam
<u>Assumption</u> Load Impacts	Source
Before Participant 21,000 kWh, 2.24 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 4.19 kW (summer)	Lighting load for typical 3,500 square foot commercial building. EUI of 6 kWh per square foot (sources: EPRI Market Profiles, Duke Power end use metering study).
After Participant 16,275 kWh, 1.73 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 3.25 kW (summer)	Lighting load for 3,500 square foot building with 22.5% savings applied. Based on achievable potential reported by several sources: EPA, utility impact evaluations.
Lifetime of savings	10 Years (source: DEEM database)
Generation Capacity Cost - Blend	Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.
Participant Costs \$ 1,200 per customer	Midrange of reported values from several programs in NY, CA, MA, Northeast, and national. Used \$0.255 per annual saved kWh (NEEP 2004).
Administrative Cost EK \$ 20,000 fixed annual (2006-2015), \$150 per new participant	Survey of utility programs - includes setup, marketing, contractor relations, monitoring & eval, customer field work
Co-op \$ 0 per new participant	EKPC manages rebates, QC and marketing
Dote Schedule - Refail	
South Kentucky B rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, ES \$0.00471	Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Rate Schedule - Wholesale East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, ES \$0.00446	Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
i - 570 per year, ie riders	This rate brings cumulative participation to 20% of commercial customers over the 10 years. 10 years (2006-Free rider based on studies done by CA PUC and National Grid. Free rider is a participant who would have installed the measure anyway in the absence of the program.
Rebates Co-op to Participant \$266 EK to Co-op \$665	C&I Energy Services offers \$213 per kW of reduced lighting load. Used 90% coincidence factor 100% of the rebate to participant plus transfer payment of \$320 per kW (revenue loss).

Program
Response f
Demand
0 8 1 8 0

communications: receiving curtailment notices, responding, accounting. Onsite generation is not assumed, and so costs for operating on-site generation (fuel or O&M) are not included. Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006. This is the curtailable load, consiting of a 35 kW load during the 300 highest priced hours using marginal energy costs. 35 kW represents 15% of the average peak demand for the EKPC customer base with peak demands above 50 kW. Source: load research and billing data. Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: EK \$150,000 fixed one time; \$25,000 fixed One time cost is to design program, purchase & install curtailment infrastructure (software, annual (2006-2025), \$0 per new participant | hardware, training). Annual cost is for administering the program each year. One time cost is the metering cost; annual cost is for program administration and After ramp up. 10% of the eligible customers, or 500 customers, participate. One time rebate for meter cost; annual is payment of \$25 per kW-year Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006. Source Zero load, since the curtailable load is curtailed. Co-op \$300 annual per participant per year Marketing, customer assistance, coordination EK to Co-op \$500 one-time; \$875 per year 100% reimbursement 20 Years or fewer. Participation - add 150 in 2006, add 200 in annual (2006-2025), \$0 per new participant Co-op to Participant \$500 one-time; \$875 East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, Participant Costs \$ 500 one time per new South Kentucky B rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879. participant; \$500 per participant per year 0 kWh, 0.0 kW (coincident with winter 10,500 kWh, 35.0 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 35.0 kW (summer) Generation Capacity Cost - Peaker system peak), 0.0 kW (summer) Rate Schedule - Wholesale Assumption Rate Schedule - Retail 2007, add 150 in 2008 Administrative Cost Lifetime of savings Before Participant After Participant ES \$0.00446 ES \$0.00471 Load Impacts Rebates per year 2006 IRP

2006 IRP

Commercial Efficient HVAC Program

Marketing, Trade Allies, Tracking, Processing, Eval, Cust Svc. Rebate program with mail in form. Typical 2,500 square foot commercial building, 50% unitary AC, 50% heat pump, high efficiency HVAC = SEER 15, HSPF 8.3. Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006. Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006. 50% reimbursement of customer rebate, plus \$60 per SEER increase, \$60 per 0.3 HSPF increase to compensate for lost revenues (EKPC C&I Energy Services program) Typical 2,500 square foot commercial building, 50% unitary AC, 50% heat pump, standard 15 Years (Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnership, Minn. Municipal Utilities, CA PUC) Targeting 10% market share of HVAC replacement market. Source Columbia Gas rate tariff effective May 31, 2006., Industry practice is 50% of incremental cost efficiency HVAC = SEER 13, HSPF 7.7 Form processing time. or fewer. NEEP Participation - 150 per year, 10 years (2006-EK \$4000 fixed annual (2006-2015), \$0 per East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, South Kentucky B rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, Columbia Gas rate GSR \$11.88/MMBTU 10,482 kWh, 1.73 kW (caincident with 11,875 kWh, 1.87 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 3.05 kW (summer) winter system peak), 3.52 kW (summer) Generation Capacity Cost - Blend Co-op \$10 per new participant Lifetime of savings 15 years Rate Schedule - Wholesale Co-op to Participant \$325 Assumption Participant Costs \$ 650 Rate Schedule - Retail EK to Co-op \$402.50 Administrative Cost Before Participant After Participant new participant ES \$0.00446 Load Impacts ES \$0.00471 Rebates 2015)

<u>2006 IRP</u> Assumption	Commercial Building Performance Program {Tune Up for small buildings; Retro-Commissioning for large buildings - combined } <u>Source</u>
Load Impacts Before Participant 13,875 kWh, 2.77 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 3.07 kW (summer)	Typical 2,500 square foot commercial building, 50% unitary AC, 50% heat pump: heating, cooling and ventilation loads
After Participant 10,800 kWh, 2.16 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 2.39 kW (summer)	Same building after building performance measures are done: savings are 1.23 kWH per square foot.
Lifetime of savings 7 years	ACEEE, NEEP
Generation Capacity Cost - Blend	Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.
Participant Costs \$ 1,075	\$0.43 per square foot; based on Dorthwest Energy Efficiency Alliance study.
Administrative Cost EK \$4000 fixed annual (2006-2015), \$0 per new participant	Marketing, Trade Allies, Tracking, Onsite, Eval, Cust Svc.
Co-op \$260 per new participant	Based on Residential Tune-Up program
Rate Schedule - Retail	
South Kentucky B rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, ES \$0.00471	Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Rate Schedule - Wholesale East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, ES \$0.00446	Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Participation - 200 per year, 10 years (2006- 2015)	Achieves 10% penetration of applicable market in 10 years
Rebates Co-op to Participant \$538 EK to Co-op \$509	50% of measure costs Tune-Up 5 ton rebate to coop for lost revenues (\$240) plus 50% of participant rebate

•

<u>}--</u>

2006 IRP

Commercial New Construction Program

2000 101	
Assumption	Source
coincident with W (summer)	New construction 5,000 square foot facility, 20% heat pump heat
After Participant 90,000 kWh, 12.21 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 24.30 kW (summer)	New construction 5,000 square foot facility with 10% savings in lighting, cooling, and heating
Lifetime of savings 20 years	Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnership, Sept 2004 (NEEP).
Generation Capacity Cost - Blend	Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.
Participant Costs \$ 5,600	Based on \$0.56 per annual kWh reported costs (NEEP)
Administrative Cost EK \$4000 fixed annual (2006-2015), \$ 100 per new participant	Fixed annual: marketing, trade ally, tracking & processing, customer support. The per participant cost is based on the Touchstone Energy Geothermal program.
Co-op \$200 per new participant	Based on Touchstone Energy Geothermal program (residential new construction).
Rate Schedule - Retail	
South Kentucky B rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, ES \$0.00471	Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Rate Schedule - Wholesale East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, ES \$0.00446	Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Participation - 80 per year, 10 years (2006- 2015)	Annual commercial new construction floorspace from employment forecast. Targeting 20% penetration each year.
Rebates Co-op to Participant \$2,800	Industry practice is 50% of incremental cost 50% reimbursement of customer rebate, plus reimbursement to compensate for lost revenues
EK to Co-op \$3,400	(EKPC C&I Energy Services program)

2006 IRP	Commercial Efficient Refrigeration
Assumption Load Impacts Before Participant 40,000 kWh, 4.02 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 5.98 kW (summer)	Typical 2,500 square foot commercial building, with standard efficiency refrigeration equivalent to energy intensity of grocery store refrigeration (kWH per square foot)
After Participant 28,000 kWh, 2.81 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 4.18 kW (summer)	2,500 square foot commercial building with Energy Star level efficiency refrigeration
Lifetime of savings 10 years	ACEEE 2002 report
Generation Capacity Cost - Blend	Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.
Participant Costs \$ 1,750	Based on cost per annual kWh in AD Little 1996 report adjusted to 2006 \$
Administrative Cost EK \$4000 fixed annual (2006-2015), \$0 per new participant	Marketing, Trade Ally, Tracking & Processing, Customer support
Co-op \$10 per new participant	Rebate processing
Rate Schedule - Retail	
South Kentucky B rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, ES \$0.00471	Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Rate Schedule - Wholesale East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, ES \$0.00446	Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Participation - 35 per year, 10 years (2006- 2015)	Achieves 20% penetration in 10 years
Rebates Co-op to Participant \$875 EK to Co-op \$ 2,838	Industry practice is 50% of incremental cost 50% reimbursement of customer rebate, plus reimbursement to compensate for lost revenues (EKPC C&I Energy Services program)

2006 IRP

Industrial Premium Motors Rebate Program

2006 IRP	
Assumption	Source
oincident with V (summer)	Motor load for a typical 200 HP facility with inventory matching market size shares, and standard efficiency(EPAct)
After Participant 487,600 kWh, 53.0 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 96.3 kW (summer)	Motor load for 200 HP facility with premium efficiency motors. Savings weighted across market shares by size.
	Source: Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnership (NEEP), Strategic Review, Sept 2004
Generation Capacity Cost - Blend	Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.
Participant Costs \$ 2,238.	Incremental cost for the premium efficiency motors compared to standard efficiency motors, weighted by market distribution. Source: NEEP
Administrative Cost EK \$2000 fixed annual (2006-2015), \$0 per new participant	Idministrative Cost EK \$2000 fixed annual (2006-2015), \$0 per Marketing,Trade Allies, Tracking, Processing, Eval, Cust Svc. Rebate program with mail in new participant
Co-op \$10 per new participant	Form processing time.
Rate Schedule - Retail South Kentucky B rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, ES \$0.00471	Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Rate Schedule - Wholesale East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, Ex son 00446	Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Participation - 50 per year, 10 years (2006- 2015)	- Achieves 25% share in the non-OEM motor purchase market
Rebates Co-op to Participant \$1,000 EK to Co-op \$3,000	\$5 rebate per HP - based on review of other utility program 50% reimbursement of customer rebate, plus compensation for lost revenues (EKPC C&I Energy Services program schedule)

 \dot{r}

2006 IRP	Industrial Variable Speed Drives Program
<u>Assumption</u> pacts Participant 0 KWh, 26.1 kW (coincident with stem peak), 47.4 KW (summer)	Source Motor load for a typical 100 HP set of motors where variable speed drives apply, with inventory matching market size shares, and high efficiency.
After Participant 141,600 kWh, 15.4 kW (coincident with winter system peak), 28.0 kW (summer)	Motor load for a typical 100 HP set of motors with variable speed drives (VSDs). 41% savings compared to motor load without VSDs. Source: Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnership (NEEP), Strategic Review, Sept 2004.
Lifetime of savings 15 years	Source: Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnership (NEEP), Strategic Review, Sept 2004
Generation Capacity Cost - Blend	Hours split and cost values from BIP analysis approved 8/25/2006. There are two categories: Peak and Blend. Peak is assigned to programs that provide savings in 2,887 hours of the year or fewer.
Participatit COSIS 4 COLES	Cost of the variable speed drive measure, \$0.17 per annual KWn saved. Source. NELLY, 2004.
Administrative Cost EK \$10000 fixed annual (2006-2015), \$0 per new participant	Marketing,Trade Allies,Tracking, Processing, Eval, Cust Svc. Includes efforts to promote wider application of VSDs. Rebate program with mail in form.
Co-op \$10 per new participant	Form processing time.
Rate Schedule - Retail	
South Kentucky B rate, w/ FAC \$0.00879, ES \$0.00471	Retail Rates Workbook, Pricing Group, 7/04, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC, ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Rate Schedule - Wholesale East Kentucky E-2 rate, w/ FAC \$0.00833, ES \$0.00446	Retail Rates Workbook, updated for fuel cost roll-in (May 2005), updated FAC , ES for 2006. Escalation factors derived from financial forecast as of June 2006.
Participation - 35 per year, 10 years (2006- 2015)	- Achieves 25% share of the applicable non-OEM annual motor purchase market.
Rebates Co-op to Participant \$ 9,840 EK to Co-op \$25,000	\$0.10 per annual saved kWh - based on review of other utility programs 50% reimbursement of customer rebate, plus compensation for lost revenues (EKPC C&I Energy Services program schedule)

Exhibit DSM-6 Existing DSM Programs Summary Sheets

i.

	ETS Proj	pane Program		
Distribution System Ben	efits	Distribution System Cos	sts	
Revenue Increase	\$5,835,361	Power Bill Increases	(\$4,817,210)	
Rebates From EK	\$298,588	Administrative Costs	(\$212,993)	
		Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$597,176)	
Total Benefits	\$6,133,949	Total Costs	(\$5,627,379)	
Fotal Deficitio	φ0,100,0 4 0	1041 00010	(40,021,010)	
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 1.09		
Participant Benefits	;	Participant Costs		
Gas Bill Decreases	\$5,266,858	Electric Bill Increases	(\$3,331,995)	
Rebates From Distribution System	\$478,269	Up Front Investment	(\$1,513,722)	
Total Benefits	\$5,745,127	Total Costs	(\$4,845,717)	
Total Defients	φ0,740,127	10(4) 005(5	(\$4,040,717)	
	Benefit / Cost	t Ratio: 1.19	: 	
Total Resource Benet	iits	Total Resource Costs	;	
Gas Bill Decreases	\$9,336,043	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$1,890,062)	
Gas bill Decleases	ф 9,330,043	Distribution System Admin. Costs	(\$212,993)	
		EK Administrative Costs	(\$181,174)	
		Increased Cost of Production	(\$5,477,673)	
		Increased Cost of Capacity	(\$89,682)	
		T&D Cost Increases	(\$80,637)	
Total Benefits	\$9,336,043	Total Costs	(\$7,932,221)	
	Benefit / Cos	t Ratio: 1.18		
El/ Denefita				
EK Benefits		EK Costs		
Rate E Revenue Increases	\$4,817,210	T&D Cost Increases	(\$80,637)	
		Rebates Paid	(\$298,588)	
		Administrative Costs Increased Cost of Production	(\$116,480) (\$5,477,673)	
		Increased Cost of Capacity	(\$89,682)	
		EK Administrative Costs	(\$64,694)	
	• • • • • • • • •	-		
Total Benefits	\$4,817,210	Total Costs	(\$6,127,754)	
	Benefit / Cos	st Ratio: 0.79		
Societal Benefits		Societal Costs		
Gas Bill Decreases	\$10,850,175	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$2,001,578)	
	4.0,000,770	Distribution System Admin. Costs	(\$225,560)	
		EK Administrative Costs	(\$191,863)	
		T&D Cost Increases	(\$93,823)	
		Increased Cost of Production	(\$6,332,450)	
		Increased Cost of Capacity	(\$104,563)	
Total Benefits	\$10,850,175	External Environmental Costs Total Costs	(\$1,440,524) (\$10,390,361)	
	ų. 0,000,110		(+, 0 ,000,000,7	
	Benefit / Cos	st Ratio: 1.04		

i-

	ETS Furr	nace Program	
Distribution System Ber	nefits	Distribution System Co	sts
Revenue Increase	\$1,888,539	Power Bill Increases	(\$3,221,745)
Rebates From EK	\$248,058	Administrative Costs	(\$196,609)
		Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$496,116)
Total Benefits	\$2,136,597	Total Costs	(\$3,914,470)
ſ	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 0.55	
Participant Benefits		Participant Costs	(#1.007.090)
Electric Bill Decreases Rebates From Distribution System	\$1,835,912 \$397,331	Up Front Investment	(\$1,397,282)
			(\$1,007,000)
Total Benefits	\$2,233,243	Total Costs	(\$1,397,282)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 1.60	
Total Resource Bene	fits	Total Resource Cost	S
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$1,449,404	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$1,744,673)
Avoided Energy Costs	\$471,916	Distribution System Admin. Costs	(\$196,609)
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$2,351,649	EK Administrative Costs	(\$176,198)
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$664,665		
Total Benefits	\$4,937,634	Total Costs	(\$2,117,480)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 2.33	
EK Benefits		EK Costs	- <u>(</u>
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$1,449,404	Decrease in Revenue	(\$1,888,548)
Avoided Energy Costs	\$471,916	Rebates Paid	(\$248,058)
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$2,351,649	Administrative Costs	(\$176,198)
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$664,665		
Rate E Revenue Increases	\$5,239		
Total Benefits	\$4,942,873	Total Costs	(\$2,312,804)
	Benefit / Cosi	t Ratio: 2.14]
Societal Benefits		Societal Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$1,687,180	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$1,847,610)
Avoided Energy Costs	\$553,279	Distribution System Admin. Costs	(\$208,209)
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$2,743,021	EK Administrative Costs	(\$186,593)
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$773,704	External Environmental Costs	(\$75,401)
Total Benefits	\$5,757,184	Total Costs	(\$2,317,813
	Benefit / Cos	t Ratio: 2.48	

.

Electric	Water Heater	New Construction Program	
Distribution System Ben	efits	Distribution System Cos	ts
Power Bill Declines Rebates From EK	\$964,361 \$367,493	Revenue Declines Administrative Costs Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$1,301,521) (\$318,494) (\$734,986)
Total Benefits	\$1,331,854	Total Costs	(\$2,355,001)
	Benefit / Cos	Ratio: 0.57	
Participant Benefits	5	Participant Costs	
Electric Bill Declines Rebates From Distribution System	\$795,011 \$588,639	Up Front Investment	(\$451,290)
Total Benefits	\$1,383,650	Total Costs	(\$451,290)
	Benefit / Cos	t Ratio: 3.07	
Total Resource Bene	fits	Total Resource Costs	•
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$133,649 \$899,070 \$559,353 \$61,289	Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$563,489) (\$318,494) (\$18,750)
Total Benefits	\$1,653,361	Total Costs	(\$900,733)
	Benefit / Cos	it Ratio: 1.84	
EK Benefits		EK Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$133,649 \$899,070 \$559,353 \$61,289	Decrease In Revenue Rebates Paid Administrative Costs	(\$964,361) (\$367,493) (\$18,750)
Total Benefits	\$1,653,361	Total Costs	(\$1,350,604) •
	Benefit / Cos	st Ratio: 1.22	
Societal Benefits		Societal Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense External Environmental Benefits Total Benefits	\$152,566 \$1,019,221 \$639,608 \$69,963 \$203,091 \$2,084,449	Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs Total Costs	(\$596,736) (\$337,285) (\$19,856) (\$953,877
		st Ratio: 2.19	

. ...

i.

Ele	ctric Water He	ater Retrofit Program	
Distribution System Ber	nefits	Distribution System Co	sts
Revenue Increase Rebates From EK	\$1,162,880 \$28,710	Power Bill Increases Administrative Costs Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$861,634) (\$24,882) (\$57,421)
Total Benefits	\$1,191,590	Total Costs	(\$943,937)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 1.26	
Participant Benefits	S	Participant Costs	
Gas Bill Decreases Rebates From Distribution System	\$524,528 \$45,987	Electric Bill Increases Up Front Investment	(\$710,324) (\$38,323)
Total Benefits	\$570,515	Total Costs	(\$748,647)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 0.76	
Total Resource Bene	fits	Total Resource Cost	S
Gas Bill Decreases	\$844,866	Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs Increased Cost of Production Increased Cost of Capacity T&D Cost Increases Total Costs	(\$47,851) (\$24,882) (\$7,013) (\$803,298) (\$499,769) (\$174,173)
Total Benefits	\$844,866 Benefit / Cost		(\$1,556,986) I
	Desient 7 Cost		
EK Benefits		EK Costs	
Rate E Revenue Increases	\$861,635 \$861,635	T&D Cost Increases Rebates Paid Administrative Costs Increased Cost of Production Increased Cost of Capacity Total Costs	(\$174,173) (\$28,710) (\$7,013) (\$803,298) (\$499,769) (\$1,512,963)
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 0.57	
Societal Benefits		Societal Costs	
Gas Bill Decreases	(\$957,504)	Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs T&D Cost Increases Increased Cost of Production Increased Cost of Capacity External Environmental Costs	(\$50,674) (\$26,350) (\$7,427) (\$198,824) (\$910,650) (\$571,475) (\$181,457)
Total Benefits	(\$957,504)	Total Costs	(\$1,946,857)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 0.49	

Geothei	rmal Heat Pump	New	Construction Program	
Distribution System Ber	nefits		Distribution System Co	sts
Revenue Increase Rebates From EK	\$2,713,630 \$258,394		Power Bill Increases Administrative Costs Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$2,531,394) (\$291,698) (\$516,787)
Total Benefits	\$2,972,024		Total Costs	(\$3,339,879)
	Benefit / Cost	t Hatio:	0.89	
Participant Benefits			Participant Costs	
Gas Bill Decreases Electric Bill Decreases Rebates From Distribution System	\$1,610,077 \$1,445,239 \$413,887		Up Front Investment	(\$1,874,447)
Total Benefits	\$3,469,203		Total Costs	(\$1,874,447)
	Benefit / Cos	t Ratio:	1.85	
Total Resource Bene			Total Resource Cost	
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense Gas Costs Decrease Total Benefits	\$1,438,184 \$1,923,207 \$5,955,382 \$659,520 \$2,784,009 \$12,760,302		Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs Total Costs	(\$2,340,471) (\$291,698) (\$118,869) (\$2,751,038)
	Benefit / Cos	st Ratio	4.64	I
EK Benefits			EK Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$1,438,184 \$1,923,207 \$5,955,382 \$659,520		Decrease in Revenue Rebates Paid Administrative Costs	(\$2,713,630) (\$258,394) (\$118,869)
Total Benefits	\$9,976,293		Total Costs	(\$3,090,893)
	Benefit / Cos	st Ratio	: 3.23	1
	B			
Societal Benefits			Societal Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense External Environmental Benefits Gas Costs Decrease	\$1,673,322 \$2,227,311 \$6,942,933 \$767,350 \$396,592 \$3,219,453		Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$2,478,561 (\$308,908) (\$125,882)
Total Benefits	\$15,226,961		Total Costs	(\$2,913,351
	Benefit / Cos	st Ratio	: 5.23]

;.__

Air-Source Heat Pump New Construction Program				
Distribution System Ben	efits	Distribution System Co	sts	
Revenue Increase	\$1,196,004	Power Bill Increases	(\$1,407,301)	
Rebates From EK	\$367,493	Administrative Costs	(\$445,892)	
		Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$734,986)	
Total Benefits	\$1,563,497	Total Costs	(\$2,588,179)	
	Benefit / Co	st Ratio: 0.60		
Participant Benefits	;	Participant Costs		
Gas Bill Decreases	\$2,495,517	Electric Bill Increases	(\$682,830)	
Rebates From Distribution System	\$588,639	Up Front Investment	(\$2,746,981)	
	<i>i</i> .			
Total Benefits	\$3,084,156	Total Costs	(\$3,429,811)	
	Benefit / Co	st Ratio: 0.90		
Total Resource Benefits Total Resource Costs				
	\$4,315,039	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Gas Bill Decreases	\$4,315,039	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$3,429,935)	
		Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$445,892) (\$18,750)	
		Increased Cost of Production	(\$863,115)	
1			(\$3,307,800)	
		Increased Cost of Capacity T&D Cost Increases		
Total Benefits	\$4,315,039	Total Costs	(\$1,270,153) (\$9,335,645)	
l r		at Datia: A 40		
Benefit / Cost Ratio: 0.46				
EK Benefits		EK Costs		
Rate E Revenue Increases	\$1,407,301	T&D Cost Increases	(\$1,270,153)	
		Rebates Paid	(\$367,493)	
		Administrative Costs	(\$18,750)	
		Increased Cost of Production	(\$863,115)	
		Increased Cost of Capacity	(\$3,307,800)	
Total Benefits	\$1,407,301	Total Costs	(\$5,827,311)	
Benefit / Cost Ratio: 0.24				
Societal Benefits	<u></u>	Societal Costs		
Gas Bill Decreases	\$4,989,950	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$3,632,304)	
	÷.,000,000	Distribution System Admin. Costs	(\$472,200)	
		EK Administrative Costs	(\$19,856)	
[T&D Cost Increases	(\$1,478,468)	
		Increased Cost of Production	(\$1,000,314)	
1		Increased Cost of Capacity	(\$3,858,182)	
]		External Environmental Costs	(\$187,377)	
Total Benefits	\$4,989,950	Total Costs	(\$10,648,701)	
[Benefit / Co	st Ratio: 0.47		

i-

Air	-Source Heat	Pump Retrofit Program	
Distribution System Ben	efits	Distribution System Cos	sts
Revenue Increase Rebates From EK	\$1,871,681 \$390,461	Power Bill Increases Administrative Costs Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$2,080,037) (\$473,760) (\$780,923)
Total Benefits	\$2,262,142 Bénefit / Cos	Total Costs	(\$3,334,720)
Participant Benefits) 	Participant Costs	
Gas Bill Decreases Rebates From Distribution System	\$3,181,785 \$625,429	Electric Bill Increases Up Front Investment	(\$1,068,591) (\$2,918,668)
Total Benefits	\$3,807,214	Total Costs	(\$3,987,259)
	Benefit / Cos	t Ratio: 0.95	
Total Resource Benef	its	Total Resource Costs	3
Gas Bill Decreases Total Benefits	\$5,501,675 \$5,501,675	Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs Increased Cost of Production Increased Cost of Capacity T&D Cost Increases Total Costs	(\$3,644,306) (\$473,760) (\$7,013) (\$1,352,900) (\$4,513,439) (\$1,715,121) (\$11,706,539)
rotar benents			(¢11,700,000)
	Benefit / Cos	st Ratio: 0.47	
EK Benefits		EK Costs	
Rate E Revenue Increases	\$2,080,037	T&D Cost Increases Rebates Paid Administrative Costs Increased Cost of Production Increased Cost of Capacity	(\$1,715,121) (\$390,461) (\$7,013) (\$1,352,900) (\$4,513,439)
Total Benefits	\$2,080,037	Total Costs	(\$7,978,934)
	Benefit / Cos	st Ratio: 0.26	
Societal Benefits Gas Bill Decreases	\$6,362,186	Societal Costs Up Front Customer Investment	(\$3,859,323)
		Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs T&D Cost Increases Increased Cost of Production Increased Cost of Capacity External Environmental Costs	(\$5,055,023) (\$501,712) (\$7,427) (\$1,996,407) (\$1,567,377) (\$5,264,403) (\$293,235) (\$13,489,884)
Total Benefits	\$6,362,186	Total Costs st Ratio: 0.47	(ক। ১,469,884)]
L	201101127 000		6

	Tune-U	p Program	
Distribution System Ben	efits	Distribution System Co	sts
Power Bill Declines Rebates From EK	\$2,339,752 \$348,353	Revenue Declines Administrative Costs Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$2,485,419) (\$696,705) (\$696,705)
Total Benefits	\$2,688,105	Total Costs	(\$3,878,829
ſ	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 0.69	
Participant Benefits		Participant Costs	
Electric Bill Declines Rebates From Distribution System	\$1,518,174 \$557,981	Up Front Investment	(\$643,824)
Total Benefits	\$2,076,155	Total Costs	(\$643,824
l	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 3.22	
Total Resource Benef	ïts	Total Resource Cost	S
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$807,745 \$1,822,623 \$3,413,371 \$370,414	Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$803,891 (\$696,705 (\$26,100
Total Benefits	\$6,414,153	Total Costs	(\$1,526,696
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 4.20	
EK Benefits		EK Costs	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$807,745	Decrease In Revenue	(\$2,339,753
Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$1,822,623 \$3,413,371 \$370,414	Rebates Paid Administrative Costs	(\$348,353 (\$26,100
Total Benefits	\$6,414,153	Total Costs	(\$2,714,206
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 2.36]
Societal Benefits		Societal Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$921,536	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$851,321
Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense External Environmental Benefits	\$2,066,482 \$3,900,443 \$422,595 \$387,827	Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$737,812 (\$27,640
Total Benefits	\$7,698,883	Total Costs	(\$1,616,77
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 4.76]

÷.

	Buttor	n-Up Program	
Distribution System Ben	efits	Distribution System Cos	sts
Power Bill Declines Rebates From EK	\$6,683,812 \$574,208	Revenue Declines Administrative Costs Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$7,098,344) (\$535,927) (\$1,148,416)
Total Benefits	\$7,258,020	Total Costs	(\$8,782,687)
	Benefit / Co	st Ratio: 0.83	
Participant Benefits	3	Participant Costs	
Electric Bill Declines Rebates From Distribution System	\$4,165,545 \$919,748	Up Front Investment	(\$1,726,061)
Total Benefits	\$5,085,293	Total Costs	(\$1,726,061)
	Benefit / Co	ost Ratio: 2.95	
Total Resource Bene	fits	Total Resource Cost	S
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$2,329,716 \$5,213,459 \$9,891,072 \$1,068,355	Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$2,155,193) (\$535,927) (\$30,915)
Total Benefits	\$18,502,602	Total Costs	(\$2,722,035)
	Benefit / Co	ost Ratio: 6.80	
EK Benefits		_ EK Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$2,329,716	Decrease In Revenue	(\$6,683,813)
Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$5,213,459 \$9,891,072 \$1,068,355	Rebates Paid Administrative Costs	(\$574,208) (\$30,915)
Total Benefits	\$18,502,602	Total Costs	(\$7,288,936
	Benefit / Co	ost Ratio: 2.54	
Societal Benefits Avoided Distribution Expense	\$2,689,024	Societal Costs Up Front Customer Investment	(\$2,282,352
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense External Environmental Benefits	\$2,089,024 \$5,980,968 \$11,437,349 \$1,233,125 \$1,110,381	Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$567,548 (\$32,739
Total Benefits	\$22,450,847	Total Costs	(\$2,882,639
	Benefit / C	ost Ratio: 7.79	

.

;_

•

i-

Exhibit DSM-7 New DSM Programs Summary Sheets

. :

r

.

Co Co	ompact Fluore	escent Lighting Program		
Distribution System Benefits		Distribution System Cos	Distribution System Costs	
Power Bill Declines	\$8,338,286		(\$11,781,855)	
	ψ0,000,200		(#11,701,000)	
Total Benefits	\$8,338,286		(\$11,781,855)	
	Benefit / Co	ost Ratio: 0.71		
Participant Benefits		Participant Costs	Participant Costs	
Electric Bill Declines	\$8,894,132			
Total Benefits	\$8,894,132	Total Costs	\$0	
			,	
	Benefit / C	ost Ratio: #DIV/0!		
Total Resource Benefits		····	Total Resource Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$1,007,358	EK Administrative Costs	(\$641,505)	
Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs	\$8,027,199 \$4,078,170			
Avoided Capacity Cosis Avoided Transmission Expense	\$4,278,170 \$461,955			
Avoided Transmission Expense	φ 4 01,900			
Total Benefits	\$13,774,682	Total Costs	(\$641,505)	
	Benefit / C	ost Ratio: 21.47		
_ EK Benefits		EK Costs		
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$1,007,358	Decrease In Revenue	(\$8,311,260)	
Avoided Energy Costs	\$8,027,199	Administrative Costs	(\$641,505)	
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$4,278,170			
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$461,955			
Total Benefits	\$13,774,682	Total Costs	(\$8,952,765)	
	Benefit / C	cost Ratio: 1.54		
Societal Benefits		Societal Costs		
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$1,114,546	EK Administrative Costs	(\$679,355)	
Avoided Energy Costs	\$8,822,673	LIC Administrative Costs	(4070,000)	
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$4,738,438			
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$511,109			
External Environmental Benefits	\$1,821,465			
Total Benefits	\$17,008,231	Total Costs	(\$679,355)	
	Benefit / C	Cost Ratio: 25.04		

;__

Touchstone Ener	gy Geothermal H	leat Pump New Construction Progra	1 m
Distribution System Be	enefits	Distribution System Co	sts
Revenue Increase	\$1,425,323	Power Bill Increases	(\$1,421,034)
Rebates From EK	\$107,185	Administrative Costs	(\$55,736)
		Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$214,371)
Total Benefits	\$1,532,508	Total Costs	(\$1,691,141)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 0.91	
Participant Benefi	is	Participant Costs	
Electric Bill Decreases			(\$700 505)
Rebates From Distribution System	\$811,305 \$171,686	Up Front Investment	(\$723,535)
	φ., 1,000		
Total Benefits	\$982,991	Total Costs	(\$723,535)
			(<i>\\\</i> 20,000)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 1.36	
Total Resource Bene	efits	Total Resource Cost	S
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$655,391	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$903,420)
Avoided Energy Costs	\$1,053,656	Distribution System Admin. Costs	(\$55,736)
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$2,692,743	EK Administrative Costs	(\$46,480)
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$300,548		
Total Benefits	\$4,702,338	Total Costs	(\$1,005,636)
·	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 4.68	
EK Benefits		EK Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$655,391	Decrease in Revenue	(\$1,425,323)
Avoided Energy Costs	\$1,053,656	Rebates Paid	(\$107,185)
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$2,692,743	Administrative Costs	(\$46,480)
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$300,548		(+ , ,
Total Benefits	\$4,702,338	Total Costs	(\$1,578,988)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 2.98	1
Societal Benefits		Societal Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$762,700	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$956,723)
Avoided Energy Costs	\$1,219,830	Distribution System Admin. Costs	(\$59,025)
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$3,139,962	EK Administrative Costs	(\$49,223)
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$349,758		(; -,)
External Environmental Benefits	\$222,633		
Total Benefits	\$5,694,883	Total Costs	(\$1,064,971)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 5.35	1
	le contraction de la contracti		

Touchstone Er	nergy Home wit	th Air-Source Heat Pump Program	
Distribution System Ber	efits	Distribution System Cos	ts
Revenue Increase Rebates From EK	\$1,226,948 \$191,403	Power Bill Increases Administrative Costs Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$1,383,201) (\$139,341) (\$382,805)
Total Benefits	\$1,418,351	Total Costs	(\$1,905,347)
	Benefit / Cos	t Ratio: 0.74	
Participant Benefits	3	Participant Costs	
Electric Bill Decreases Rebates From Distribution System	\$789,705 \$306,583	Up Front Investment	(\$1,302,977)
Total Benefits	\$1,096,288	Total Costs	(\$1,302,977)
	Benefit / Cos	t Ratio: 0.84	
Total Resource Bene	fits	Total Resource Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$385,534 \$1,002,702 \$1,642,618 \$176,797	Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$1,626,922) (\$139,341) (\$179,420)
Total Benefits	\$3,207,651	Total Costs	(\$1,945,683)
	Benefit / Cos	st Ratio: 1.65	
EK Benefits		EK Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$385,534 \$1,002,702 \$1,642,618 \$176,797	Decrease in Revenue Rebates Paid Administrative Costs	(\$1,226,948) (\$191,403) (\$179,420)
Total Benefits	\$3,207,651	Total Costs	(\$1,597,771)
	Benefit / Cos	st Ratio: 2.01	
		Secietal Casta	
Societal Benefits Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense External Environmental Benefits	\$448,759 \$1,160,027 \$1,915,915 \$205,791 \$216,705	Societal Costs Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$1,722,912 (\$147,562 (\$190,006
Total Benefits	\$3,947,197 Benefit / Co	Total Costs st Ratio: 1.92	(\$2,060,480

Touchst	one Energy Mar	nufactured Home Program	
Distribution System Ben	efíts	Distribution System Co	sts
Power Bill Decrease	\$272,281	Revenue Decrease	(\$326,916
Rebates From EK	\$11,484	Administrative Costs	(\$13,934
		Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$22,968
Total Benefits	\$283,765	Total Costs	(\$363,818
	Benefit / Cost f	Ratio: 0.78	
Participant Benefits	<u> </u>	Participant Costs	
Electric Bill Decreases	\$186,645	Up Front Investment	(\$61,317
Rebates From Distribution System	\$18,395		(+
Total Benefits	\$205,040	Total Costs	(\$61,317
	· · ·		(401,517
	Benefit / Cost I	Ratio: 3.34	
Total Resource Benefi	its	Total Resource Cost	3
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$75,406	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$76,561
Avoided Energy Costs	\$233,362	Distribution System Admin. Costs	(\$13,934
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$317,495	EK Administrative Costs	(\$24,369
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$34,580		
Total Benefits	\$660,843	Total Costs	(\$114,864
<u> </u>	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 5.75	
EK Benefits		EK Costs	
Avaided Distribution Expanse	\$75,406	Decrease in Revenue	(\$272,281
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs	\$233,362	Rebates Paid	(\$272,201) (\$11,484
Avoided Energy Costs	\$317,495	Administrative Costs	(\$24,369
Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$34,580	Administrative Costs	(\$\$\$,000
Avoided Hanamission Expense	ψ0 1 ,000		
Total Benefits	\$660,843	Total Costs	(\$308,134
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 2.14	
Societal Benefits		Societal Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$87,772	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$81,078
Avoided Energy Costs	\$270,002	Distribution System Admin. Costs	(\$14,756
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$370,313	EK Administrative Costs	(\$25,807
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$40,250		
External Environmental Benefits	\$51,218		
Total Benefits	\$819,555	Total Costs	(\$121,64
г	Benefit / Cost	Patio: 6.74	

j....

DL	C Program for A	AC and DHW Combined	
Distribution System Be	nefits	Distribution System Co	osts
Power Bill Decrease Rebates From EK	\$32,552,887 \$5,920,745	Revenue Decrease Administrative Costs Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$443,552) (\$8,066,519) (\$11,841,491)
Total Benefits	\$38,473,632	Total Costs	(\$20,351,562)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 1.89	
Participant Benefit	S	Participant Costs	
Electric Bill Decreases Rebates From Distribution System	\$253,235 \$6,863,227		
Total Benefits	\$7,116,462	Total Costs	\$0
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: #DIV/0!]
Total Resource Benefits Total Resource Co		Total Resource Cos	ts
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$25,121,327 \$631,761 \$45,964,603 \$11,520,098	Distribution System Admín. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$8,066,519) (\$8,066,519)
Total Benefits	\$83,237,789	Total Costs	(\$16,133,038)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 5.16	
EK Benefits		EK Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$25,121,327 \$631,761 \$45,964,603 \$11,520,098	Decrease in Revenue Rebates Paid Administrative Costs	(\$32,552,888) (\$5,920,745) (\$8,066,519)
Total Benefits	\$83,237,789	Total Costs	(\$46,540,152)
	Benefit / Cost	t Ratio: 1.79	
Societal Benefits		Societal Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense External Environmental Benefits	\$29,239,587 \$729,600 \$53,613,203 \$13,408,642 \$69,491	Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$8,665,213) (\$8,665,213)
Total Benefits	\$97,060,523	Total Costs	(\$17,330,426)
	Benefit / Cos	t Ratio: 5.60	

.

;....

ENERG	Y STAR Cloth	nes Washer Rebate Program	
Distribution System Be	nefits	Distribution System Co	sts
Power Bill Declines	\$673,770	Revenue Declines	(\$917,590)
Rebates From EK	\$95,701	Administrative Costs	(\$38,281)
		Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$191,403)
Total Benefits	\$769,471	Total Costs	(\$1,147,274)
	Benefit / Co	st Ratio: 0.67	
Participant Benefit	S	Participant Costs	
Electric Bill Declines	\$560,493	Up Front Investment	(\$735,799)
		Op From investment	(\$735,735)
Rebates From Distribution System	\$153,291		
Non-Energy Benefits	\$409,152		
Total Benefits	\$1,122,936	Total Costs	(\$735,799)
	Benefit / Co	st Ratio: 1.53	
Total Resource Bene	fits	Total Resource Cost	5
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$90,227	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$918,732)
Avoided Energy Costs	\$642,098	Distribution System Admin. Costs	(\$38,281)
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$381,953	EK Administrative Costs	(\$15,312)
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$41,376		
Non-Energy Benefits	\$662,404		
Total Benefits	\$1,818,058	Total Costs	(\$972,325)
	Benefit / Co	st Ratio: 1.87	
EK Benefits		EK Costs	
Avaided Distribution Expanse	\$90,227	Decrease In Revenue	(\$673,770)
Avoided Distribution Expense			
Avoided Energy Costs	\$642,098	Rebates Paid	(\$95,701)
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$381,953	Administrative Costs	(\$15,312)
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$41,376		
Total Benefits	\$1,155,654	Total Costs	(\$784,783)
	Benefit / Co	ost Ratio: 1.47	
Societal Benefits		Societal Costs	
	<u></u>		(\$972,939)
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$102,943	Up Front Customer Investment	
Avoided Energy Costs	\$727,756	Distribution System Admin. Costs	(\$40,539)
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$436,499	EK Administrative Costs	(\$16,216)
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$47,207		
External Environmental Benefits	\$143,182		
Total Benefits	\$1,457,587	Total Costs	(\$1,029,694) -
	Benefit / Co	ost Ratio: 1.42	

E	NERGY STAR	Room AC Program	
Distribution System Bene	fits	Distribution System Cos	sts
Power Bill Declines Rebates From EK	\$354,250 \$57,421	Revenue Declines Administrative Costs Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$354,339) (\$45,937) (\$114,842)
Total Benefits	\$411,671	Total Costs	(\$515,118)
	Benefit / Cost I	Patio: 0.80	
Participant Benefits		Participant Costs	
Electric Bill Declines Rebates From Distribution System	\$207,938 \$91,975	Up Front Investment	(\$275,924)
Total Benefits	\$299,913	Total Costs	(\$275,924)
	Benefit / Cost I	Ratio: 1.09	
Total Resource Benefit	S	Total Resource Costs	5
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$124,058	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$344,525
Avoided Energy Costs	\$275,417	Distribution System Admin. Costs	(\$45,937
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$247,134	EK Administrative Costs	(\$15,312)
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$56,890		
Total Benefits	\$703,499	Total Costs	(\$405,774
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 1.73	
EK Benefits		EK Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$124,058	Decrease In Revenue	(\$354,250
Avoided Energy Costs	\$275,417	Rebates Paid	(\$57,421
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$247,134	Administrative Costs	(\$15,312
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$56,890		
Total Benefits	\$703,499	Total Costs	(\$426,983
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 1.65	
Societal Benefits		Societal Costs	······································
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$143,025	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$364,852
Avoided Energy Costs	\$315,576	Distribution System Admin. Costs	(\$48,647
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$285,439	EK Administrative Costs	(\$16,216
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$65,588		
External Environmental Benefits	\$55,429		
Total Benefits	\$865,057	Total Costs	(\$429,715
Г	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 2.01	

÷

	y SIAR RETH	gerator Rebate Program	
Distribution System Bene	fits	Distribution System Cos	its
Power Bill Declines	\$329,157	Revenue Declines	(\$478,357)
Rebates From EK	\$68,905	Administrative Costs	(\$68,905)
		Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$137,810)
Total Benefits	\$398,062	Total Costs	(\$685,072)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 0.58	
Participant Benefits		Participant Costs	
Electric Bill Declines	\$311,907	Up Front Investment	(\$193,147)
Rebates From Distribution System	\$110,370	Op From investment	(\$190,147)
Tebales From Distribution System	φετ0,070		
Total Benefits	\$422,277	Total Costs	(\$193,147)
<u> </u>	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 2.19	
Total Resource Benefit		Total Resource Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$37,693	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$217,051)
Avoided Energy Costs	\$310,421	Distribution System Admin. Costs	(\$68,905) (\$15,210)
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$169,765	EK Administrative Costs	(\$15,312)
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$17,285		
Total Benefits	\$535,164	Total Costs	(\$301,268)
Г	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 1.78	
EK Benefits		EK Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$37,693	Decrease In Revenue	(\$329,157) (\$22,005)
Avoided Energy Costs	\$310,421	Rebates Paid	(\$68,905)
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$169,765	Administrative Costs	(\$15,312)
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$17,285		
Total Benefits	\$535,164	Total Costs	(\$413,374)
			(\$ 7.0,01.1)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 1.29	
Societal Benefits		Societal Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$43,516	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$229,857)
Avoided Energy Costs	\$356,024	Distribution System Admin. Costs	(\$72,970)
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$196,358	EK Administrative Costs	(\$16,216)
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$19,955		
External Environmental Benefits	\$74,829		
Total Benefits	\$690,682	Total Costs	(\$319,043)
Г	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 2.16	

i-

Programmable Thermostat with Electric Furnace Retrofit Program **Distribution System Benefits Distribution System Costs** Power Bill Declines \$1,487,653 (\$2,406,005) **Revenue Declines** Administrative Costs Rebates From EK (\$49,765) \$62.206 (\$124,412) **Rebates Paid To Consumers Total Benefits** \$1,549,859 **Total Costs** (\$2,580,182) Benefit / Cost Ratio: 0.60 **Participant Benefits Participant Costs** Electric Bill Declines \$1,496,820 Up Front Investment (\$298,918)Rebates From Distribution System \$99.639 **Total Benefits Total Costs** (\$298,918) \$1,596,459 Benefit / Cost Ratio: 5.34 **Total Resource Costs** Total Resource Benefits \$103.046 Up Front Customer Investment (\$373,235) Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Distribution System Admin. Costs (\$49,765) \$1,406,636 Avoided Capacity Costs \$497,978 **EK Administrative Costs** (\$7,656) Avoided Transmission Expense \$47,255 **Total Costs** (\$430,656) Total Benefits \$2,054,915 Benefit / Cost Ratio: 4.77 **EK Benefits EK Costs** \$103,046 (\$1,487,653) Avoided Distribution Expense Decrease In Revenue Avoided Energy Costs \$1,406,636 **Rebates Paid** (\$62,206) (\$7,656) Avoided Capacity Costs \$497,978 Administrative Costs Avoided Transmission Expense \$47.255 **Total Costs** (\$1,557,515) **Total Benefits** \$2,054,915 Benefit / Cost Ratio: 1.32 Societal Benefits Societal Costs (\$395,256) Up Front Customer Investment Avoided Distribution Expense \$116,936 Avoided Energy Costs \$1,588,159 Distribution System Admin. Costs (\$52,701)**EK Administrative Costs** (\$8,108) Avoided Capacity Costs \$565,950 Avoided Transmission Expense \$53.624 External Environmental Benefits \$375,043 **Total Costs** (\$456,065) **Total Benefits** \$2,699,712 Benefit / Cost Ratio: 5.92

Dual Fuel Air	Source Heat Pur	np with Propane Retrofit Program	
Distribution System Be	nefits	Distribution System Co	sts
Revenue Increase Rebates From EK	\$2,537,112 \$114,842	Power Bill Increases Administrative Costs Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$1,709,105) (\$139,341) (\$229,683)
Total Benefits	\$2,651,954	Total Costs	(\$2,078,129)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 1.28	
Participant Benefit	S	Participant Costs	
Gas Bill Decreases Rebates From Distribution System	\$5,917,089 \$183,950	Electric Bill Increases Up Front Investment	(\$1,448,503) (\$2,146,079)
Total Benefits	\$6,101,039	Total Costs	(\$3,594,582)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 1.70	<u> </u>
Total Resource Bene	fits	Total Resource Cost	S
Gas Bill Decreases	\$10,488,645	Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs Increased Cost of Production	(\$2,679,636) (\$139,341) (\$7,013) (\$1,704,751)
Total Benefits	\$10,488,645	Total Costs	(\$4,530,741)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 2.31	
EK Benefits		EK Costs	
Rate E Revenue Increases	\$1,709,104	Rebates Paid EK Administrative Costs Increased Cost of Production	(\$114,842) (\$7,013) (\$1,704,751)
Total Benefits	\$1,709,104	Total Costs	(\$1,826,606
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 0.94	
Societal Benefits		Societal Costs	
Gas Bill Decreases	\$12,189,708	Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs Increased Cost of Production External Environmental Costs	(\$2,837,738 (\$147,562 (\$7,427 (\$1,971,707 (\$397,488
Total Benefits	\$12,189,708	Total Costs	(\$5,361,922
1	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 2.27	

	Commercial L	ighting Program	
Distribution System Ber	nefits	Distribution System	Costs
Power Bill Declines Rebates From EK	\$8,251,095 \$2,902,046	Revenue Declines Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$12,745,360) (\$1,160,819)
Total Benefits	\$11,153,141	Total Costs	(\$13,906,179)
	Benefit / Cost		
Participant Benefit	S	Participant Cost	s
Electric Bill Declines Rebates From Distribution System	\$8,522,922 \$929,682	Up Front Investment	(\$4,194,052)
Total Benefits	\$9,452,604	Total Costs	(\$4,194,052)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 2.25	
Total Resource Bene	fits	Total Resource Co	osts
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$1,088,605 \$8,269,425 \$4,878,544 \$499,212	Up Front Customer Investment EK Administrative Costs	(\$4,974,937) (\$807,719)
Total Benefits	\$14,735,786	Total Costs	(\$5,782,656)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 2.55	
EK Benefits		EK Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$1,088,605 \$8,269,425 \$4,878,544 \$499,212	Decrease In Revenue Rebates Paid Administrative Costs	(\$8,247,569) (\$2,902,046) (\$807,719)
Total Benefits	\$14,735,786	Total Costs	(\$11,957,334)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 1,23	
Societal Benefits Avoided Distribution Expense	\$1,224,011	Societal Costs Up Front Customer Investment	(\$5,268,463)
Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense External Environmental Benefits	\$9,258,983 \$5,491,814 \$561,306 \$1,832,930	EK Administrative Costs	(\$855,375)
Total Benefits	\$18,369,044	Total Costs	(\$6,123,838)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 3.00	

i-

	C & I Demai	nd Response Program	
Distribution System Ber	nefits	Distribution System Co	sts
Power Bill Decrease Rebates From EK	\$8,821,913 \$4,939,467	Revenue Decrease Administrative Costs Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$4,818,663) (\$1,612,953) (\$4,939,467)
Total Benefits	\$13,761,380	Total Costs	(\$11,371,083)
	Benefit / C	ost Ratio: 1.21	
Participant Benefit	S	Participant Costs	
Electric Bill Decreases Rebates From Distribution System	\$3,076,157 \$3,272,693	Up Front Investment	(\$1,965,353)
Total Benefits	\$6,348,850	Total Costs	(\$1,965,353)
	Benefit / C	Cost Ratio: 3.23	
Total Resource Bene	fits	Total Resource Cost	S
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$7,941,752 \$4,484,717 \$14,126,678 \$3,641,906	Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$2,923,276) (\$1,612,953) (\$443,368)
Total Benefits	\$30,195,053	Total Costs	(\$4,979,597)
	Benefit / C	Cost Ratio: 6.06	
EK Benefits		EK Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$7,941,752 \$4,484,717 \$14,126,678 \$3,641,906	Decrease in Revenue Rebates Paid Administrative Costs	(\$8,821,913) (\$4,939,467) (\$443,368)
Total Benefits	\$30,195,053	Total Costs	(\$14,204,748)
	Benefit / C	Cost Ratio: 2.13	
On statut Days (1)		Societal Costs	
Societal Benefits	\$9,029,969	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$238,265)
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense External Environmental Benefits	\$9,029,989 \$5,036,462 \$16,101,148 \$4,140,938 \$692,258	Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$230,283) (\$1,814,941) (\$477,133)
Total Benefits	\$35,000,775	Total Costs Cost Ratio: 13.83	(\$2,530,339) 1

C.	ommercial Effic	ient HVAC Program	
Distribution System Ben	efits	Distribution System Co	sts
Power Bill Decrease Rebates From EK	\$928,614 \$462,237	Revenue Decrease Administrative Costs Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$1,335,164) (\$11,484) (\$373,235)
Total Benefits	\$1,390,851	Total Costs	(\$1,719,883)
_	Benefit / Cost I	Ratio: 0.81	
Participant Benefits		Participant Costs	
Electric Bill Decreases Rebates From Distribution System	\$783,436 \$298,918	Up Front Investment	(\$597,836)
Total Benefits	\$1,082,354	Total Costs	(\$597,836)
<u>г. </u>	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 1.81	
Total Resource Benef	its	Total Resource Cost	S
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$167,895 \$839,986 \$782,867 \$76,993	Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$746,470 (\$11,484 (\$30,624
Total Benefits	\$1,867,741	Total Costs	(\$788,578
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 2.37	
EK Benefits		EK Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$167,895 \$839,986 \$782,867 \$76,993	Decrease in Revenue Rebates Paid Administrative Costs	(\$928,614 (\$462,237 (\$30,624
Total Benefits	\$1,867,741	Total Costs	(\$1,421,475
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 1.31	
Societal Benefits		Societal Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense External Environmental Benefits	\$193,682 \$963,130 \$904,729 \$88,818 \$193,030	Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$790,513 (\$12,162 (\$32,431
Total Benefits	\$2,343,389	Total Costs	(\$835,106 7
	Benefit / Cost	Ηατιο: 2.81	

i~

Com	mercial Buildin	g Performance Program	
Distribution System Ben	efits	Distribution System Cos	ts
Power Bill Decrease	\$1,582,177	Revenue Decrease	(\$2,310,780)
Rebates From EK	\$779,391	Administrative Costs	(\$398,117)
		Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$823,797)
Total Deposito	40 001 ECO	Total Costs	(10 500 604)
Total Benefits	\$2,361,568	Total Costs	(\$3,532,694)
<u> </u>	Benefit / Cost	t Ratio: 0.67	
Participant Benefits		Participant Costs	
		Up Front Investment	/#1 219 206\
Electric Bill Decreases	\$1,569,653 \$659,766	Up Front investment	(\$1,318,306)
Rebates From Distribution System	9009,100		
			1
Total Benefits	\$2,229,419	Total Costs	(\$1,318,306)
l _	Dec. 24 / Octo		
	Benefit / Cos	t Hatio: 1.69	
Total Resource Benef	its	Total Resource Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$272,895	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$1,646,062)
Avoided Energy Costs	\$1,479,336	Distribution System Admin. Costs	(\$398,117)
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$1,191,502	EK Administrative Costs	(\$30,624)
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$125,145		1
Total Benefits	\$3,068,878	Total Costs	(\$2,074,803)
l r	Benefit / Cos	t Ratio: 1.48	
EK Benefits		EK Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$272,895	Decrease in Revenue	(\$1,577,278)
Avoided Energy Costs	\$1,479,336	Rebates Paid	(\$779,391)
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$1,191,502	Administrative Costs	(\$30,624)
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$125,145		
Total Benefits	\$3,068,878	Total Costs	(\$2,387,293)
,			
	Benefit / Cos	t Ratio: 1.29	
Consistel Repolito		Societal Costs	
Societal Benefits	\$301,830	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$1,743,182)
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs	\$1,625,717	Distribution System Admin. Costs	(\$421,607)
Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs	\$1,319,112	EK Administrative Costs	(\$32,431)
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$138,413		(+,,
External Environmental Benefits	\$330,151		
	• •		
Total Benefits	\$3,715,223	Total Costs	(\$2,197,220)
l r	Panofit / Coc		
	Benefit / Cos	L Hatto: 1.69	

÷-

Co	mmercial New	Construction Program	
Distribution System Ben	efits	Distribution System Co	sts
Power Bill Decrease Rebates From EK	\$3,662,740 \$2,082,460	Revenue Decrease Administrative Costs Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$5,485,036) (\$122,498) (\$1,714,967)
Total Benefits	\$5,745,200	Total Costs	(\$7,322,501)
	Benefit / Cos	t Ratio: 0.78	
Participant Benefits		Participant Costs	
Electric Bill Decreases Rebates From Distribution System	\$3,131,496 \$1,373,491	Up Front Investment	(\$2,746,981)
Total Benefits	\$4,504,987	Total Costs	(\$2,746,981)
	Benefit / Cos	t Ratio: 1.64	
Total Resource Benef	its	Total Resource Cost	s
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$555,131 \$3,515,603 \$2,565,954 \$254,571	Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$3,429,935) (\$122,498) (\$91,873)
Total Benefits	\$6,891,259	Total Costs	(\$3,644,306)
	Benefit / Cos	st Ratio: 1.89	l
EK Benefits		EK Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$555,131 \$3,515,603 \$2,565,954 \$254,571	Decrease in Revenue Rebates Paid Administrative Costs	(\$3,662,740) (\$2,082,460) (\$91,873)
Total Benefits	\$6,891,259	Total Costs	(\$5,837,073)
	Benefit / Cos	st Ratio: 1.18	1
	·····	Secietal Costa	
Societal Benefits Avoided Distribution Expense	\$644,470	Societal Costs Up Front Customer Investment	(\$3,632,304)
Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense External Environmental Benefits	\$4,063,754 \$2,984,623 \$295,540 \$794,381	Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$129,725 (\$97,294
Total Benefits	\$8,782,768 Benefit / Co:	Total Costs st Ratio: 2.28	(\$3,859,323]

÷

Comr	nercial Efficie	nt Refrigeration Program	
Distribution System Ber	nefits	Distribution System Co	sts
Power Bill Decrease Rebates From EK	\$1,326,542 \$760,481	Revenue Decrease Administrative Costs Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$2,092,190) (\$2,680) (\$234,468)
Total Benefits	\$2,087,023	Total Costs	(\$2,329,338)
	Benefit / Cos	t Ratio: 0.90	•
Participant Benefits	3	Participant Costs	
Electric Bill Decreases Rebates From Distribution System	\$1,329,111 \$187,782	Up Front Investment	(\$375,564)
Total Benefits	\$1,516,893	Total Costs	(\$375,564)
	Benefit / Cos	it Ratio: 4.04	
Total Resource Bene	lits	Total Resource Cost	s
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$154,078 \$1,252,357 \$686,395 \$70,657	Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$468,936) (\$2,680) (\$30,624)
Total Benefits	\$2,163,487	Total Costs	(\$502,240)
	Benefit / Cos	t Ratio: 4.31	
EK Benefits		EK Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$154,078 \$1,252,357 \$686,395 \$70,657	Decrease in Revenue Rebates Paid Administrative Costs	(\$1,325,963) (\$760,481) (\$30,624)
Total Benefits	\$2,163,487	Total Costs	(\$2,117,068)
	Benefit / Cos	t Ratio: 1.02	
Societal Benefits		Societal Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense External Environmental Benefits	\$173,738 \$1,403,050 \$775,030 \$79,673 \$300,881	Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$496,604) (\$2,838) (\$32,431)
Total Benefits	\$2,732,372 Benefit / Cos	Total Costs	(\$531,873)

è-

Indu	strial Premium /	Notors Rebate Program	
Distribution System Ben	efits	Distribution System Co	sts
Power Bill Decrease Rebates From EK	\$2,432,522 \$1,148,416	Revenue Decrease Administrative Costs Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$3,961,721) (\$3,828) (\$382,805)
Total Benefits	\$3,580,938	Total Costs	(\$4,348,354)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 0.82	
Participant Benefits	;	Participant Costs	
Electric Bill Decreases Rebates From Distribution System	\$2,324,626 \$306,583	Up Front Investment	(\$686,132)
Total Benefits	\$2,631,209	Total Costs	(\$686,132)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 3.83	
Total Resource Benef	ïts	Total Resource Cost	S
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$280,655 \$2,478,645 \$1,275,544 \$128,702	Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$856,718) (\$3,828) (\$15,312)
Total Benefits	\$4,163,546	Total Costs	(\$875,858)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 4.75	
EK Benefits		EK Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense	\$280,655 \$2,478,645 \$1,275,544 \$128,702	Decrease in Revenue Rebates Paid Administrative Costs	(\$2,432,522) (\$1,148,416) (\$15,312)
Total Benefits	\$4,163,546	Total Costs	(\$3,596,250)
	Benefit / Cost	Ratio: 1.16	l
Queristal Dans film		Operate Conte	
Societal Benefits Avoided Distribution Expense Avoided Energy Costs Avoided Capacity Costs Avoided Transmission Expense External Environmental Benefits	\$321,215 \$2,841,219 \$1,461,780 \$147,302 \$572,762	Societal Costs Up Front Customer Investment Distribution System Admin. Costs EK Administrative Costs	(\$907,265 (\$4,054 (\$16,216
Total Benefits	\$5,344,278 Benefit / Cost	Total Costs Ratio: 5.76	(\$927,535]

100 M

;--

Distribution System Be	nefits	Distribution System Cos	sts
Power Bill Decrease	\$13,512,265	Revenue Decrease	(\$22,006,723)
Rebates From EK	\$6,699,091	Administrative Costs	(\$2,680)
		Rebates Paid To Consumers	(\$2,636,762)
Total Benefits	\$20,211,356	Total Costs	(\$24,646,165)
Total Deficitio	φ20,211,000		(φ24,040,100)
	Benefit / C	ost Ratio: 0.82	
Participant Benefit	s	Participant Costs	
Electric Bill Decreases	\$12,912,922	Up Front Investment	(\$3,589,961)
Rebates From Distribution System			(40,000,001)
······································	····		1
Total Benefits	\$15,024,664	Total Costs	(\$3,589,961)
rotal Bollonto	\$10,024,004		(40,000,001)
	Benefit / C	ost Ratio: 4.19	
Total Resource Bene	fits	Total Resource Costs	}
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$1,534,359	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$4,482,496)
Avoided Energy Costs	\$13,768,475	Distribution System Admin. Costs	(\$2,680)
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$6,966,825	EK Administrative Costs	(\$76,561)
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$703,622		(****,****)
	•••••		
Total Benefits	\$22,973,281	Total Costs	(\$4,561,737)
	Benefit / C	ost Ratio: 5.04	
EK Benefits		EK Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$1,534,359	Decrease in Revenue	(\$13,512,265)
Avoided Energy Costs	\$13,768,475	Rebates Paid	(\$6,699,091)
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$6,966,825	Administrative Costs	(\$76,561)
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$703,622		
Total Benefits	\$22,973,281	Total Costs	(\$20,287,917)
	Benefit / C	ost Ratio: 1.13	
Societal Benefits	······································	Societal Costs	
Avoided Distribution Expense	\$1,755,447	Up Front Customer Investment	(\$4,746,967)
Avoided Energy Costs	\$15,782,512	Distribution System Admin. Costs	(\$2,838)
Avoided Capacity Costs	\$7,980,832	EK Administrative Costs	(\$81,078)
Avoided Transmission Expense	\$805,008		
External Environmental Benefits	\$3,181,599		
Total Benefits	\$29,505,398	Total Costs	(\$4,830,883)
	Benefit / C	ost Ratio: 6.11	

Program Descriptions for Existing DSM Programs

Introduction

For over 20 years, EKPC and its 16 member systems have promoted the cost-effective use of energy by offering conservation and other marketing programs to the retail customer. These programs were designed to meet the needs of the customer, and to delay the need for additional generating capacity.

This section of the IRP describes the existing DSM programs. These programs are implemented and administered by the member distribution systems. EKPC supports the member systems with analysis, promotional material, incentives, and other support services. EKPC considers the programs as part of its overall supply portfolio, with the understanding that the programs impact EKPC indirectly, through its member systems.

Current DSM programs offered by EKPC's member systems which are being treated as Existing programs in this IRP are listed below and described in this exhibit:

- Tune-Up HVAC Maintenance Program
- Geothermal Heating & Cooling Incentive Program
- Electric Thermal Storage Incentive Program
- Electric Water Heater Incentive Program
- Air-Source Heat Pump Incentive Program
- Button-up Weatherization Program

Detailed assumptions sheets for each of these programs are found in Exhibit DSM-4.

New DSM programs are discussed in Exhibit DSM-9.

Tune-Up HVAC Maintenance Program

Program Description

This program offers the follow measures:

- Cleaning indoor and outdoor heat exchanger coils
- Changing filters
- Measuring the temperature differential across the indoor coil to determine proper compressor operation
- Checking the thermostat to verify operation and proper staging
- Measuring air flows to ensure proper conditioned air distribution
- Sealing the ductwork, either through traditional mastic sealers or with the *Aeroseal* duct sealing program.

Duct losses are to be reduced to 10% or less. Duct loss measurement requires the use of a blower door test and the blower door subtraction method, or the approved duct loss measurement test associated with the *Aeroseal* duct sealing program. Only contractors trained and certified by EKPC may be used.

Target Markets

The program is targeted to single-family homes using electric furnaces or electric heat pumps that have exhibited high energy use. It is also available to multi-family residences, churches, and commercial facilities heated by electric furnaces, electric heat pumps, and geothermal units. All facilities must have duct systems that are at least two years old to qualify for incentive payments.

Geothermal Heating & Cooling Incentive Program

Program Description

The program is designed to encourage homeowners to choose efficient geothermal heating and cooling systems rather than less efficient forms of heating and cooling.

Target Markets

The incentives are available to any residential retail member of participating EKPC cooperatives. The primary market consists of retail members who are constructing new stick-built homes, as well as retail member homeowners who currently heat with electric furnaces, ceiling cables, baseboard heat or fossil fuels.

Electric Thermal Storage Incentive Program

Program Description

Electric Thermal Storage provides retail members with a cost-efficient means of using electricity for space heating. A discounted rate for ETS energy encourages retail members to use electricity for heating during off peak hours. This improves the utility's load factor, reduces energy costs for the retail member, and delays the need for new peak load capacity expenses.

Target Market

The incentives are available to any retail member, but are primarily designed for retail members who currently use electricity (including heat pumps, baseboard, ceiling cable, or electric furnace heating systems) as their primary source for space heating. The secondary market includes retail members who use wood, coal, propane, or kerosene as primary or secondary sources for space heating.

Electric Water Heater Incentive Program

Program Description

The electric water heater incentive is designed to encourage residential retail members to choose a high efficiency electric water heater over other available options. It is also designed to encourage retail members using a fossil-fuel water heater to convert to a high-efficiency electric water heater. By reducing the cost of purchasing a high efficiency water heater, cooperatives contribute to lower long-term energy costs and improved satisfaction among residential retail members.

Target Market

The incentive is available to any residential retail member of a participating EKPC cooperative who is building a new home and installing that home's initial water heater. The incentive is also available to any residential retail member who replaces an existing gas or propane water heater with an electric water heater that meets the defined program standards.

Air-Source Heat Pump Incentive Program

Program Description

This program provides incentives for residential customers to install a high efficiency air source heat pump instead of a less efficient alternative on the market. For the 2006 plan, the program impacts reflect the higher baseline efficiencies brought about by the new Federal standards for heat pumps and air conditioners.

Target Markets

The primary targets for this program are retail members who are building new homes in areas where natural gas heat is an option. An important secondary market is the HVAC retrofit market, where the objective is to have retail members replace electric furnaces, natural gas heat, or propane heat with high-efficiency electric heat pumps.

Button-Up Weatherization Program

Program Description

The program requires the installation of insulation materials or the use of other weatherization techniques to reduce heat loss in the home. Any retail member who resides in a stick-built or manufactured home that is at least two years old and uses electricity as the primary source for space heat is eligible.

Target Markets

The primary program targets are older homes exhibiting unusually high electricity usage.

Program Descriptions for New DSM Programs

Introduction

This section of the IRP describes the new DSM programs. These programs are in the planning stage, and appear cost-effective as designed to this point.

DSM program design and implementation are complex and dynamic undertakings. It is possible that DSM programs that are selected through this evaluation process may not be implemented as they have been described in this document. DSM programs that are ultimately launched will first be subjected to a much more rigorous program design effort. In certain cases, a demonstration or pilot project may precede full-scale implementation to test the validity of the program concept. This could mean that certain program concepts are modified, and some may not ultimately be implemented.

DSM programs that are included as <u>New</u> programs for this IRP are listed below and are also described in this exhibit:

- Compact Fluorescent Lighting (Residential) *
- Touchstone Energy Geothermal Home (Residential) *
- Touchstone Energy Heat Pump Home (Residential) *
- Touchstone Energy Manufactured Home (Residential) *
- Direct Load Control for Air Conditioners and Water Heaters (Residential)
- ENERGY STAR Clothes Washer (Residential)
- ENERGY STAR Room Air Conditioner (Residential)
- ENERGY STAR Refrigerator (Residential)
- Programmable Thermostat with Electric Furnace Retrofit (Residential)
- Dual Fuel Air Source Heat Pump Retrofit (Residential)
- Commercial Lighting *
- Commercial & Industrial Demand Response
- Commercial Efficient HVAC *
- Commercial Building Performance *
- Commercial New Construction
- Commercial Efficient Refrigeration
- Industrial Premium Motors *
- Industrial Variable Speed Drives

Programs with an asterisk (*) next to them are currently being offered in some form by EKPC member systems to retail customers. They are treated as New programs in this IRP for one of three reasons:

- 1. They have been in the field for less than three years.
- 2. Projected participation rates are much higher than historic participation.
- 3. The planned program is significantly different in design than the historic program.

Detailed assumptions sheets for each of these programs are provided as Exhibit DSM-5.

Compact Fluorescent Lighting Program

Program Description

This program provides compact fluorescent bulbs to retail members at the annual meetings held by the distribution cooperatives every year. Each registered member receives a two-pack of 14-watt compact fluorescent bulbs that replace 2 60-watt incandescent light bulbs. EKPC pays the price premium for the compact fluorescent bulbs over and above the cost of the incandescent bulbs.

Target Markets

The program is targeted to all residential members.

Touchstone Energy Geothermal Home

Program Description

The program is designed to encourage new homes to be built to higher standards for thermal integrity and equipment efficiency, as well as to choose efficient geothermal heating and cooling systems rather than less efficient forms of heating and cooling. Homes built to Touchstone Energy Home Standards typically use 30% less energy than the same home built to typical construction standards. Plans are submitted and heat loss/heat gain calculations are made before the home is built, and a blower door test is administered after the home is built to verify that the home meets the standard.

Target Markets

This program is designed to serve the residential new construction market. The incentives are available to any residential retail member of participating EKPC cooperatives. The primary market consists of retail members who are constructing new stick-built homes.

Touchstone Energy Heat Pump Home

Program Description

The program is designed to encourage new homes to be built to higher standards for thermal integrity and equipment efficiency, as well as to choose a high efficiency air source heat pump (SEER 15, HSPF 8.5) rather than less efficient forms of heating and cooling. Homes built to Touchstone Energy Home Standards typically use 30% less energy than the same home built to typical construction standards. Plans are submitted and heat loss/heat gain calculations are made before the home is built, and a blower door test is administered after the home is built to verify that the home meets the standard.

Target Markets

This program is designed to serve the residential new construction market. The incentives are available to any residential retail member of participating EKPC cooperatives. The primary market consists of retail members who are constructing new stick-built homes.

Touchstone Energy Manufactured Home

Program Description

The Touchstone Energy Manufactured Home is an all-electric manufactured home that is built to Energy Star® specifications. A manufactured home that is built to these standards typically uses 30% less energy. The Touchstone Energy Home includes a sealed duct system, energy efficient double-pane windows, added insulation in the roof and wall, and an improved gasket that seals the halves of the home together. Buyers of qualified manufactured homes receive a rebate from their local cooperative.

Target Markets

This program is designed to serve the new manufactured home market. The incentives are available to any residential retail member of participating EKPC cooperatives.

Direct Load Control for Air Conditioners and Water Heaters

Program Description

This program installs switches to control residential air conditioning and water heating loads during peak demand periods in order to reduce peak load requirements. This program design deploys switches that are engineered for Automated Meter Reading (AMR) systems already in use at the member cooperatives. Central air conditioning and heat pump units are cycled on and off, while water heater loads are curtailed. The typical control duration is four hours. Participating customers receive an annual bill credit incentive.

Target Market

The incentive is available to any residential retail member of a participating EKPC cooperative who has a qualifying central air conditioner. Qualifying water heaters must have a minimum capacity of 40 gallons in order to ensure that the interruption does not affect customer comfort.

Energy Star® Clothes Washers

Program Description

This program is designed to provide incentives to residential retail members to purchase ENERGY STAR qualified clothes washers. Through superior design and system features, ENERGY STAR qualified clothes washers clean clothes using 50% less energy than standard washers. ENERGY STAR clothes washers use less water per load, saving energy needed to heat the hot water. In addition, ENERGY STAR clothes washers extract more water from clothes during the spin cycle. This reduces drying time, thereby saving energy needed to dry clothes.

Target Market

The program is designed to reach residential customers who are purchasing new clothes dryers.

Energy Star® Room Air Conditioners

Program Description

This program is designed to provide incentives to residential retail members to purchase ENERGY STAR qualified room air conditioners.

Target Market

The program is designed to reach residential customers who are purchasing new room air conditioners.

Energy Star® Refrigerators

Program Description

This program is designed to provide incentives to residential retail members to purchase ENERGY STAR qualified new refrigerators. ENERGY STAR qualified refrigerators use an estimated 15% less energy than similar capacity refrigerators that meet DOE standards.

Target Market

The program is designed to reach residential customers who are purchasing new refrigerators.

Programmable Thermostat with Electric Furnace Retrofit

Program Description

This program is designed to provide incentives to residential retail members to install programmable thermostats. Properly installed programmable thermostats save 5-10% of heating and cooling energy. This program is designed for residential customers who heat their homes with electricity but do not have a heat pump. Some studies have shown that programmable thermostats can significantly increase morning peak loads when used with heat pumps.

Target Market

The program is designed to reach residential customers who heat their homes with electricity using a primary heat system that is not a heat pump.

Dual Fuel Air Source Heat Pump Retrofit

Program Description

This program is designed to procure the energy efficiency benefits of high efficiency air source heat pumps for customers who currently heat with fossil fuels, and at the same time not increase the winter peak load requirements on the EKPC system. The package consists of the add-on heat pump components plus a thermostat control set to a pre-determined temperature (typically 25 degrees Fahrenheit). When the outside air temperature dips below the set point, the thermostat shuts the heat pump off and turns the fossil heating system on.

Target Market

The program is designed to reach residential customers who have central air conditioning and heat with propane or natural gas.

Commercial Lighting Program

Program Description

This program offers incentives to commercial and industrial customers to install high efficiency lamps and ballasts in their facilities. LED exit signs and T-5 fluorescent fixtures are examples of eligible technologies.

Target Market

The incentive is available to any existing commercial or industrial facility in the service territory of a participating EKPC cooperative. The facility and its lighting system must have been in service for at least two years.

Commercial & Industrial Demand Response

Program Description

This demand response program is designed to provide incentives to large customers to reduce their electricity demands on the grid, with short notice (less than 24 hours), for short periods of time, in response to short term conditions external to the customer facility. Typically, those conditions will be either an excessively high price or a shortage of available power. Participants are reimbursed for the cost of the smart meter needed, and receive an annual incentive of \$25 per kW offered.

Target Market

The program is designed for customers with peak demands above 50 kW.

Commercial Efficient HVAC Program

Program Description

This program promotes high efficiency packaged HVAC equipment. It provides incentives for unitary commercial air conditioners and heat pumps that exceed the 2006 Federal Guidelines of 13 SEER and 7.7 HSPF.

Target Market

The incentive is available to any existing commercial or industrial facility that uses packaged single or split air conditioning or heat pump units, usually rooftop units.

Commercial Building Performance Program

Program Description

This program addresses the need to boost the energy performance of existing equipment and systems by offering building owners and managers proper tuning, operation and maintenance services for HVAC and other equipment in existing buildings. This program combines features of duct sealing with heat pump/air conditioning tune-up (for smaller buildings) and retro-commissioning (for larger buildings).

The heat pump/air conditioning tune-up package includes:

- All accessible ductwork sealed
- Filters changed/cleaned
- Thermostat checked/adjusted for proper function
- Indoor and outdoor coils cleaned
- Refrigerant charge checked and corrected if needed
- Airflow checked and corrected if needed

Retro-commissioning is the systematic process of ensuring that an existing building's energy systems operate in an optimal manner by examining actual performances against design performance. The majority of savings tend to come from adjusting the energy management systems and controls.

Target Market

The program is designed to serve any existing commercial or industrial facility that uses electricity for space cooling and/or space heating.

Commercial New Construction Program

Program Description

This program promotes integrated design, commissioning, and more advanced technologies in commercial new construction. Electricity savings are realized across a number of end-uses, with the majority occurring from lighting, cooling, and heating. It is anticipated that new K-12 schools would be served by this program.

Target Market

This program is designed to serve the commercial new construction and major renovation market, including the K-12 schools market. The incentives are available to any residential retail member of participating EKPC cooperatives. The primary market consists of retail members who are constructing new stick-built homes.

Commercial Efficient Refrigeration Program

Program Description

This program promotes high efficiency refrigeration equipment. Key technologies include reach-in refrigerators and freezers, walk-in coolers and freezers, refrigerated vending machines, ice-makers, beverage merchandizers, and central refrigeration systems for grocery stores. The program is designed to promote ENERGY STAR equipment, Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP) recommendations, and the Consortium for Energy Efficiency (CEE) specifications as applicable.

Target Market

The incentive is available to any existing commercial or industrial facility that uses refrigeration equipment. The primary markets include grocery stores, convenience stores, and restaurants.

Industrial Premium Motors Program

Program Description

The premium motor incentive is designed to encourage commercial and industrial customers to upgrade in service motor stock to premium efficiency motors. Premium efficiency motors have efficiencies which are higher than Federal Standards, meeting or exceeding the National Electrical Manufacturers Association's NEMA Premium[™] efficiency ratings.

Target Market

This program is designed to improve motor efficiency for the non-OEM motor purchase market. The facility must have been in service for two years. In service motors at all commercial, industrial, and institutional facilities are eligible. Spare motors are not eligible. Efficiencies for 1-200 horsepower motors are specified. Motors greater than 200 horsepower are eligible but must be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.

Industrial Variable Speed Drives Program

Program Description

This program is designed to promote variable speed drives and drive systems.

Target Market

This program is designed to improve motor efficiency for the non-OEM motor purchase market. The facility must have been in service for two years. In service motors at all commercial, industrial, and institutional facilities are eligible.

i-

Load Impacts of DSM Programs

Existing:

Electric Thermal Storage Program

	rmai Storage Program		(negative value =	reduction in load
		Impact on Total	Impact on	Impact on
		Requirements	Winter Peak	Summer Peak
Year	Participants	(MWh)	(MW)	(MW)
1995	1,885	12,131	-6.9	0.0
1996	2,950	18,981	-10.8	0.0
1997	4,032	25,933	-14.7	0.0
1998	4,602	29,595	-16.8	0.0
1999	5,038	32,396	-18.4	0.0
2000	5,579	35,879	-20.3	0.0
2001	5,908	38,000	-21.5	0.0
2002	6,142	39,503	-22.4	0.0
2003	6,347	40,827	-23.1	0.0
2004	6,479	41,675	-23.6	0.0
2005	6,723	43,242	-24.5	0.0
······································				
2006	6,973	44,906	-25.4	0.0
2007	6,973	44,906	-25.4	0.0
2008	6,973	44,906	-25.4	0.0
2009	6,973	44,906	-25.4	0.0
2010	6,973	44,906	-25.4	0.0
2011	6,973	44,906	-25.4	0.0
2012	6,973	44,906	-25.4	0.0
2013	6,973	44,906	-25.4	0.0
2014	6,973	44,906	-25.4	0.0
2015	6,973	44,906	-25.4	0.0
2016	6,973	44,906	-25.4	0.0
2017	6,973	44,906	-25.4	0.0
2018	6,973	44,906	-25.4	0.0
2019	6,973	44,906	-25.4	0.0
2020	6,973	44,906	-25.4	0.0
2021	6,973	44,906	-25.4	0.0

i-

Electric Water Heater Program

LACCURE WAL	er Heater Program		(negative value =	reduction in load)
		Impact on Total	Impact on	Impact on
		Requirements	Winter Peak	Summer Peak
Year	Participants	(MWh)	(MW)	(MW)
1995	1,003	101	0.0	0.0
1996	1,622	166	0.0	0.0
1997	2,596	264	0.1	0.0
1998	3,479	353	0.1	0.0
1999	4,428	452	0.1	0.0
2000	5,216	534	0.1	0.0
2001	5,972	614	0.1	0.1
2002	6,855	703	0.2	0.1
2003	7,731	796	0.2	0.1
2004	8,417	861	0.2	0.1
2005	9,095	927	0.2	0.1
2006	9,785	854	0.2	0.1
2007	9,785	854	0.2	0.1
2008	9,785	854	0.2	0.1
2009	9,785	854	0.2	0.1
2010	9,785	854	0.2	0.1
2011	9,785	854	0.2	0.1
2012	9,785	854	0.2	0.1
2013	9,785	854	0.2	0.1
2014	9,785	854	0.2	0.1
2015	9,785	854	0.2	0.1
2016	9,785	854	0.2	0.1
2017	9,785	854	0.2	0.1
2018	9,785	854	0.2	0.1
2019	9,785	854	0.2	0.1
2020	9,785	854	0.2	0.1
2021	9,785	854	0.2	0.1

i.

Geodelia	nearing & Cooling I W	8	(negative value =	reduction in load)
		Impact on Total	Impact on	Impact on
		Requirements	Winter Peak	Summer Peak
Year	Participants	(MWh)	(MW)	(MW)
1995	1,544	-4,480	-7.4	-1.6
1996	1,941	-5,632	-9.3	-2.0
1997	2,416	-7,010	-11.5	-2.4
1998	2,824	-8,194	-13.5	-2.9
1999	3,221	-9,346	-15.4	-3.3
2000	3,582	-10,394	-17.1	-3.6
2001	3,954	-11,473	-18.9	-4.0
2002	4,261	-12,364	-20.4	-4.3
2003	4,451	-12,915	-21.3	-4.5
2004	4,608	-13,371	-22.0	-4.7
2005	4,752	-13,789	-22.7	-4.8
2006	4,902	-14,224	-23.4	-5.0
2007	4,902	-14,224	-23.4	-5.0
2008	4,902	-14,224	-23.4	-5.0
2009	4,902	-14,224	-23.4	-5.0
2010	4,902	-14,224	-23.4	-5.0
2011	4,902	-14,224	-23.4	-5.0
2012	4,902	-14,224	-23.4	-5.0
2013	4,902	-14,224	-23.4	-5.0
2014	4,902	-14,224	-23.4	-5.0
2015	4,902	-14,224	-23.4	-5.0
2016	4,902	-14,224	-23.4	-5.0
2017	4,902	-14,224	-23.4	-5.0
2018	4,902	-14,224	-23.4	-5.0
2019	4,902	-14,224	-23.4	-5.0
2020	4,902	-14,224	-23.4	-5.0
2021	4,902	-14,224	-23.4	-5.0

Geothermal Heating & Cooling Program

i-

Air Source Heat Pump Program

	(negative value = reduction i			reduction in load)
5		Impact on Total	Impact on	Impact on
*7		Requirements	Winter Peak	Summer Peak
Year	Participants	(MWh)	(MW)	(MW)
1995	161	129	0.4	-0.1
1996	204	163	0.5	-0.1
1997	260	208	0.6	-0.1
1998	344	275	0.8	-0.1
1999	688	549	1.6	-0.2
2000	1,077	858	2.6	-0.3
2001	1,547	1,232	3.7	-0.5
2002	2,117	1,684	5.0	-0.7
2003	2,763	2,198	6.6	-0.9
2004	3,579	2,846	8.5	-1.1
2005	4,094	3,256	9.7	-1.3
2006	4,754	3,783	11.3	-1.5
2007	4,754	3,783	11.3	-1.5
2008	4,754	3,783	11.3	-1.5
2009	4,754	3,783	11.3	-1.5
2010	4,754	3,783	11.3	-1.5
2011	4,754	3,783	11.3	-1.5
2012	4,754	3,783	11.3	-1.5
2013	4,754	3,783	11.3	-1.5
2014	4,754	3,783	11.3	-1.5
2015	4,754	3,783	11.3	-1.5
2016	4,754	3,783	11.3	-1.5
2017	4,754	3,783	11.3	-1.5
2018	4,754	3,783	11.3	-1.5
2019	4,754	3,783	11.3	-1.5
2020	4,754	3,783	11.3	-1.5
2021	4,754	3,783	11.3	-1.5

;--

Tune-Up HVAC Maintenance Program

rune-op ir v	AC Maintenance Prog	1 4111	(negative value =	reduction in load)
		Impact on Total	Impact on	Impact on
		Requirements	Winter Peak	Summer Peak
Year	Participants	(MWh)	(MW)	(MW)
1995	494	-729	-0.6	-0.2
1996	1,428	-2,108	-1.6	-0.6
1997	2,068	-3,052	-2.4	-0.9
1998	2,341	-3,455	-2.7	-1.0
1999	2,455	-3,623	-2.8	-1.1
2000	2,584	-3,814	-2.9	-1.1
2001	2,686	-3,964	-3.1	-1.2
2002	2,860	-4,221	-3.3	-1.3
2003	3,198	-4,720	-3.6	-1.4
2004	3,706	-5,470	-4.2	-1.6
2005	4,037	-5,958	-4.6	-1.8
2006	4,387	-6,467	-5.0	-1.9
2007	4,387	-6,467	-5.0	-1.9
2008	4,387	-6,467	-5.0	-1.9
2009	4,387	-6,467	-5.0	-1.9
2010	4,387	-6,467	-5.0	-1.9
2011	4,387	-6,467	-5.0	-1.9
2012	4,387	-6,467	-5.0	-1.9
2013	4,387	-6,467	-5.0	-1.9
2014	4,387	-6,467	-5.0	-1.9
2015	4,387	-6,467	-5.0	-1.9
2016	4,387	-6,467	-5.0	-1.9
2017	4,387	-6,467	-5.0	-1.9
2018	4,387	-6,467	-5.0	-1.9
2019	4,387	-6,467	-5.0	-1.9
2020	4,387	-6,467	-5.0	-1.9
2021	4,387	-6,467	-5.0	-1.9

j.

*	eatherization Program		(negative value =	reduction in load)
		Impact on Total	Impact on	Impact on
		Requirements	Winter Peak	Summer Peak
Year	Participants	(MWh)	(MW)	(MW)
1995	1,559	-4,084	-3.2	-1.2
1996	2,640	-6,916	-5.3	-2.1
1997	3,515	-9,208	-7.1	-2.8
1998	4,210	-11,029	-8.5	-3.3
1999	4,691	-12,289	-9.5	-3.7
2000	5,218	-13,670	-10.6	-4.1
2001	5,696	-14,922	-11.5	-4.5
2002	6,174	-16,174	-12.5	-4.9
2003	6,670	-17,474	-13.5	-5.2
2004	7,167	-18,776	-14.5	-5.6
2005	7,585	-19,871	-15.4	-6.0
2006	8,085	-21,181	-16.4	-6.4
2007	8,085	-21,181	-16.4	-6.4
2008	8,085	-21,181	-16.4	-6.4
2009	8,085	-21,181	-16.4	-6.4
2010	8,085	-21,181	-16.4	-6.4
2011	8,085	-21,181	-16.4	-6.4
2012	8,085	-21,181	-16.4	-6.4
2013	8,085	-21,181	-16.4	-6.4
2014	8,085	-21,181	-16.4	-6.4
2015	8,085	-21,181	-16.4	-6.4
2016	8,085	-21,181	-16.4	-6.4
2017	8,085	-21,181	-16.4	-6.4
2018	8,085	-21,181	-16.4	-6.4
2019	8,085	-21,181	-16.4	-6.4
2020	8,085	-21,181	-16.4	-6.4
2021	8,085	-21,181	-16.4	-6.4

Button-Up Weatherization Program

i-

New:

Compact Fluorescent Lighting

oomputt i ni	orescent Lighting		(negative value =	reduction in load)
Year	Participants	Impact on Total Requirements (MWh)	Impact on Winter Peak (MW)	Impact on Summer Peak (MW)
2006	37,700	-3,698	-0.6	-0.4
2007	75,400	-7,395	-1.2	-0.8
2008	113,100	-11,093	-1.9	-1.2
2009	150,800	-14,790	-2.3	-1.7
2010	188,500	-18,488	-2.9	-2.1
2011	226,200	-22,186	-3.5	-2.5
2012	263,900	-25,883	-4.1	-2.9
2013	301,600	-25,883	-4.1	-2.9
2014	339,300	-25,883	-4.1	-2.9
2015	377,000	-25,883	-4.1	-2.9
2016	377,000	-22,186	-3.5	-2.5
2017	377,000	-18,488	-2.9	-2.1
2018	377,000	-14,790	-2.3	-1.7
2019	377,000	-11,093	-1.7	-1.2
2020	377,000	-7,395	-1.2	-0.8
2021	377,000	-3,698	-0.6	-0.4

Touchstone Energy Geothermal Home

			(negative value =	reduction in load)
Year	Participants	Impact on Total Requirements (MWh)	Impact on Winter Peak (MW)	Impact on Summer Peak (MW)
2006	. 40	-244	-0.3	-0.1
2007	80	-489	-0.7	-0.1
2008	120	-733	-0.7	-0.2
2009	160	-977	-1.3	-0.2
2010	200	-1,222	-1.7	-0.3
2011	240	-1,466	-2.0	-0.3
2012	280	-1,710	-2.4	-0.4
2013	320	-1,955	-2.7	-0.4
2014	360	-2,199	-3.0	-0.5
2015	400	-2,443	-3.4	-0.5
2016	400	-2,443	-3.4	-0.5
2017	400	-2,443	-3.4	-0.5
2018	400	-2,443	-3.4	-0.5
2019	400	-2,443	-3.4	-0.5
2020	400	-2,443	-3.4	-0.5
2021	400	-2,443	-3.4	-0.5

.. · 1 71 , . .

(negative value = reduction in				
\$7	Dentisianata	Impact on Total Requirements	Impact on Winter Peak	Impact on Summer Peak (MW)
Year	Participants	(MWh)	(MW)	
2006	100	-238	-0.2	-0.1
2007	200	-476	-0.3	-0.1
2008	300	-713	-0.5	-0.2
2009	400	-951	-0.6	-0.3
2010	500	-1,189	-0.7	-0.3
2011	600	-1,427	-0.8	-0.4
2012	700	-1,665	-1.0	-0.5
2013	800	-1,903	-1.1	-0.5
2014	900	-2,140	-1.2	-0.6
2015	1,000	-2,378	-1.4	-0.6
2016	1,000	-2,378	-1.4	-0.6
2017	1,000	-2,378	-1.4	-0.6
2018	1,000	-2,378	-1.4	-0.6
2019	1,000	-2,378	-1.4	-0.6
2020	1,000	-2,378	-1.4	-0.6
2021	1,000	-2,378	-1.4	-0.6

Touchstone Energy Heat Pump Home

Touchstone Energy Manufactured Home

			(negative value = reduction in load)			
		Impact on Total	Impact on	Impact on		
		Requirements	Winter Peak	Summer Peak		
Year	Participants	(MWh)	(MW)	(MW)		
2006	10	-56	0.0	0.0		
2007	20	-112	-0.1 ·	0.0		
2008	30	-169	-0.1	0.0		
2009	40	-225	-0.1	0.0		
2010	50	-281	-0.2	-0.1		
2011	60	-337	-0.2	-0.1		
2012	70	-393	-0.2	-0.1		
2013	80	-450	-0.3	-0.1		
2014	90	-506	-0.3	-0.1		
2015	100	-562	-0.3	-0.1		
2016	100	-562	-0.3	-0.1		
2017	100	-562	-0.3	-0.1		
2018	100	-562	-0.3	-0.1		
2019	100	-562	-0.3	-0.1		
2020	100	-562	-0.3	-0.1		
2021	100	-562	-0.3	-0.1		

(negative value = reduction in load)

i-

Differ Doug	(negative value = reduction in load)			
Year	Participants	Impact on Total Requirements (MWh)	Impact on Winter Peak (MW)	Impact on Summer Peak (MW)
2006	5,000	-76	-5.6	-7.6
2007	10,000	-153	-11.3	-15.3
2008	15,000	-229	-16.9	-22.9
2009	20,000	-305	-22.5	-30.5
2010	25,000	-381	-28.1	-38.2
2011	30,000	-458	-33.8	-45.8
2012	35,000	-534	-39,4	-53,4
2013	40,000	-610	-45.0	-61.0
2014	45,000	-686	-50.7	-68.7
2015	50,000	-763	-56.3	-76.3
2016	50,000	-763	-56.3	-76.3
2017	50,000	-763	-56.3	-76.3
2018	50,000	-763	-56.3	-76.3
2019	50,000	-763	-56.3	-76.3
2020	50,000	-763	-56.3	-76.3
2021	50,000	-763	-56.3	-76.3

Direct Load Control for Air Conditioners and Water Heaters

ENERGY STAR Clothes Washer

	(negative value = reduction			
Year	Participants	Impact on Total Requirements (MWh)	Impact on Winter Peak (MW)	Impact on Summer Peak (MW)
2006	500	-191	0.0	0.0
2007	1,000	-381	-0.1	0.0
2008	1,500	-572	-0.1	-0,1
2009	2,000	-763	-0.2	-0.1
2010	2,500	-954	-0.2	-0.1
2011	3,000	-1,144	-0.2	-0.1
2012	3,500	-1,335	-0.3	-0.1
2013	4,000	-1,526	-0.3	-0.2
2014	4,500	-1,716	-0.4	-0,2
2015	5,000	-1,907	-0.4	-0,2
2016	5,000	-1,907	-0.4	-0.2
2017	5,000	-1,907	-0.4	-0.2
2018	5,000	-1,716	-0.4	-0.2
2019	5,000	-1,526	-0.3	-0.2
2020	5,000	-1,335	-0.3	-0.1
2021	5,000	-1,144	-0.2	-0.1

'n

			(negative value = reduction in load)	
Vaar	Dontisinanta	Impact on Total Requirements	Impact on Winter Peak (MW)	Impact on Summer Peak (MW)
Year	Participants	(MWh)		
2006	600	-65	0.0	-0.1
2007	1,200	-131	0.0	-0.2
2008	1,800	-196	0.0	-0.3
2009	2,400	-262	0.0	-0.3
2010	3,000	-327	0.0	-0.4
2011	3,600	-392	0.0	-0.5
2012	4,200	-458	0.0	-0.6
2013	4,800	-523	0.0	-0.7
2014	5,400	-588	0.0	-0.8
2015	6,000	-654	0.0	-0.9
2016	6,000	-654	0.0	-0.9
2017	6,000	-654	0.0	-0.9
2018	6,000	-654	0.0	-0.9
2019	6,000	-654	0.0	-0.9
2020	6,000	-654	0.0	-0.9
2021	6,000	-588	0.0	-0.8

ENERGY STAR Room Air Conditioner

ENERGY STAR Refrigerator

(negative value = reduction in load)

······································		Impact on Total	Impact on	Impact on
		Requirements	Winter Peak	Summer Peak
¥ 7	D (1) 1	•		
Year	Participants	(MWh)	(MW)	(MW)
2006	900	-88	0.0	0.0
2007	1,800	• -177	0.0	0.0
2008	2,700	-265	0.0	0.0
2009	3,600	-353	0.0	-0.1
2010	4,500	-441	0.0	-0.1
2011	5,400	-530	-0.1	-0.1
2012	6,300	-618	-0.1	-0.1
2013	7,200	-706	-0.1	-0.1
2014	8,100	-794	-0.1	-0.1
2015	9,000	-883	-0.1	-0.1
2016	9,000	-883	-0.1	-0.1
2017	9,000	-883	-0.1	-0.1
2018	9,000	-883	-0.1	-0.1
2019	9,000	-883	-0.1	-0.1
2020	9,000	-883	-0.1	-0.1
2021	9,000	-794	0.1	-0.1

. r~

			<u>(negative value =</u>	<pre>= reduction in load) Impact on Summer Peak (MW) -0.1 -0.1 -0.2 -0.3 0.4</pre>			
Year	Participants	Impact on Total Requirements (MWh)	Impact on Winter Peak (MW)	Summer Peak			
2006	650	-530	0.0	-0.1			
2007	1,300	-1,061	0.0	0.1			
2008	1,950	-1,591	0.0	-0.2			
2009	2,600	-2,121	0.0	0.3			
2010	3,250	-2,652	0.0	-0.4			
2011	3,900	-3,182	0.0	-0.4			
2012	4,550	-3,713	0.0	-0.5			
2013	5,200	-4,243	0.0	-0.6			
2014	5,850	-4,773	0.0	-0.7			
2015	6,500	-5,304	0.0	-0.7			
2016	6,500	-5,304	0.0	-0.7			
2017	6,500	-4,773	0.0	-0.7			
2018	6,500	-4,243	0.0	-0.6			
2019	6,500	-3,713	0.0	-0.5			
2020	6,500	-3,182	0.0	-0.4			
2021	6,500	-2,652	0.0	-0.4			

Programmable Thermostat with Electric Furnace Retrofit

Dual Fuel Air Source Heat Pump Retrofit

Duai ruei Ai	r Source Heat Pump R	errom	(negative value = reduction in load)			
Year	Participants	Impact on Total Requirements (MWh)	Impact on Winter Peak (MW)	Impact on Summer Peak (MW)		
2006	100	436	0.0	0.0		
2007	200	872	0.0	0.0		
2008	300	1,309	0.0	0.0		
2009	400	1,745	0.0	0.0		
2010	500	2,181	0.0	0.0		
2011	600	2,617	0.0	0.0		
2012	700	3,054	0.0	0.0		
2013	800	3,490	0.0	0.0		
2014	900	3,926	0.0	0.0		
2015	1,000	4,362	0.0	0.0		
2016	1,000	4,362	0.0	0.0		
2017	1,000	4,362	0.0	0.0		
2018	1,000	4,362	0.0	0.0		
2019	1,000	4,362	0.0	0.0		
2020	1,000	4,362	0.0	0.0		
2021	1,000	4,362	0.0	0.0		

'n

Commercial Lighting

	(negative value = red			reduction in load)
		Impact on Total	Impact on	Impact on
		Requirements	Winter Peak	Summer Peak
Year	Participants	(MWh)	(MW)	(MW)
2006	570	-2,788	-0.3	-0.4
2007	1,140	-5,577	-0.6	-0.8
2008	1,710	-8,365	-0.9	-1.3
2009	2,280	-11,153	-1.2	-1.7
2010	2,850	-13,941	-1.5	-2.1
2011	3,420	-16,730	-1.8	-2.5
2012	3,990	-19,518	-2.1	-2.9
2013	4,560	-22,306	-2.4	-3.4
2014	5,130	-25,095	-2.7	-3.8
2015	5,700	-27,883	-3.0	-4.2
2016	5,700	-25,095	-2.7	-3.8
2017	5,700	-22,306	-2.4	-3.4
2018	5,700	-19,518	-2.1	-2.9
2019	5,700	-16,730	-1.8	-2.5
2020	5,700	-13,941	-1.5	-2.1
2021	5,700	-11,153	-1.2	-1.7

Commercial & Industrial Demand Response

(negative value = reduction in load)				
Year	Participants	Impact on Total Requirements (MWh)	Impact on Winter Peak (MW)	Impact on Summer Peak (MW)
2006	150	-1,716	-5.7	-5.7
2007 ·	350	-4,005	-13.4	-13.4
2008	500	-5,721	-19.1	-19.1
2009	500	-5,721	-19.1	-19.1
2010	500	-5,721	-19.1	-19.1
2011	500	-5,721	-19.1	-19.1
2012	500	-5,721	-19.1	-19.1
2013	500	-5,721	-19.1	-19.1
2014	500	-5,721	-19.1	-19.1
2015	500	-5,721	-19.1	-19.1
2016	500	-5,721	-19.1	-19.1
2017	500	-5,721	-19.1	-19.1
2018	500	-5,721	-19.1	-19.1
2019	500	-5,721	-19.1	-19.1
2020	500	-5,721	-19.1	-19.1
2021	500	-5,721	-19.1	-19.1

(negative value = reduction in load)

í-

Commercial Efficient HVAC

		···	(negative value = reduction in load)			
		Impact on Total	Impact on	Impact on		
¥7	D	Requirements	Winter Peak	Summer Peak		
Year	Participants	(MWh)	(MW)	(MW)		
2006	150	-228	0.0	-0.1		
2007	300	-455	0.0	-0.1		
2008	450	-683	-0.1	-0.2		
2009	600	-911	-0.1	-0.3		
2010	750	-1,139	-0.1	-0.4		
2011	900	-1,366	-0.1	-0.4		
2012	1,050	-1,594	-0.2	-0.5		
2013	1,200	-1,822	-0.2	-0.6		
2014	1,350	-2,049	-0.2	-0.7		
2015	1,500	-2,277	-0.2	-0.7		
2016	1,500	-2,277	-0.2	-0.7		
2017	1,500	-2,277	-0.2	-0.7		
2018	1,500	-2,277	-0.2	-0.7		
2019	1,500	-2,277	-0.2	-0.7		
2020	1,500	-2,277	-0.2	-0.7		
2021	1,500	-2,049	-0.2	-0.7		

(negative value = reduction in load)

Commercial Building Performance

			(negative value =	reduction in load)
- -		Impact on Total	Impact on	Impact on
		Requirements	Winter Peak	Summer Peak
Year	Participants	(MWh)	(MW)	(MW)
2006	200	-670	-0.1	-0.1
2007	400	-1,340	-0.3	-0.3
2008	600	-2,011	-0.4	-0.4
2009	800	-2,681	-0.5	-0.6
2010	1,000	-3,351	-0.7	-0.7
2011	1,200	-4,021	-0.8	-0.8
2012	1,400	-4,691	-0.9	-1.0
2013	1,600	-4,691	-0.9	-1.0
2014	1,800	-4,691	-0.9	-1.0
2015	2,000	-4,691	-0.9	-1.0
2016	2,000	-4,021	-0.8	-0.8
2017	2,000	-3,351	-0.7	-0.7
2018	2,000	-2,681	-0.5	-0.6
2019	2,000	-2,011	-0.4	-0.4
2020	2,000	-1,340	-0.3	-0.3
2021	2,000	-670	-0.1	-0.1

i-

(negative value = reduction in load)				
		Impact on Total	Impact on	Impact on
		Requirements	Winter Peak	Summer Peak
Year	Participants	(MWh)	(MW)	(MW)
2006	80	-872	-0.1	-0.2
2007	160	-1,744	-0.2	-0.4
2008	240	-2,615	-0.2	-0.6
2009	320	-3,487	-0.3	-0.8
2010	. 400	-4,359	-0.4	-1.0
2011	480	-5,231	-0.5	-1.2
2012	560	-6,103	-0.6	-1.4
2013	640	-6,975	-0.7	-1.6
2014	720	-7,846	-0.7	-1.8
2015	800	-8,718	-0.8	-2.0
2016	800	-8,718	-0.8	-2.0
2017	800	-8,718	-0.8	-2.0
2018	800	-8,718	-0.8	-2.0
2019	800	-8,718	-0.8	-2.0
2020	800	-8,718	-0.8	-2.0
2021	800	-8,718	-0.8	-2.0

Commercial New Construction

Commercial Efficient Refrigeration

Commercial Efficient Kerrigeration				
	······································	·····	(negative value =	reduction in load)
		Impact on Total	Impact on	Impact on
		Requirements	Winter Peak	Summer Peak
Year	Participants	(MWh)	(MW)	(MW)
2006	35	-458	0.0	-0.1
2007	70	-915	-0.1	-0.1
2008	105	-1,373	-0.1	-0.2
2009	140	-1,831	-0.2	-0.3
2010	175	-2,289	-0.2	-0.3
2011	210	-2,746	-0.3	-0.4
2012	245	-3,204	-0.3	-0.5
2013	280	-3,662	-0.4	-0.5
2014	315	-4,119	-0.4	-0.6
2015	350	-4,577	-0.5	-0.7
2016	350	-4,119	-0.4	-0.6
2017	350	-3,662	-0.4	-0.5
2018	350	-3,204	-0.3	-0.5
2019	350	-2,746	-0.3	-0.4
2020	350	-2,289	-0.2	-0.3
2021	350	-1,831	-0.2	-0.3

Industrial Premium Motors

	(negative value = reduction in load)			
Year	Participants	Impact on Total Requirements (MWh)	Impact on Winter Peak (MW)	Impact on Summer Peak (MW)
2006	50	-676	-0.1	-0.1
2007	100	-1,351	-0.1	-0.1
2008	150	-2,027	-0.2	-0.2
2009	200	-2,703	-0.2	-0.3
2010	250	-3,378	-0.3	-0.4
2011	300	-4,054	-0.3	-0.4
2012	350	-4,730	-0.4	-0.5
2013	400	-5,405	-0.4	-0.6
2014	450	-6,081	-0.5	-0.7
2015	500	-6,757	-0.5	-0.7
2016	500	-6,757	-0.5	-0.7
2017	500	-6,757	-0.5	-0.7
2018	500	-6,757	-0.5	-0.7
2019	500	-6,757	-0.5	-0.7
2020	500	-6,757	-0.5	-0.7
2021	500	-6,081	-0.5	-0.7

Industrial Variable Speed Drives

	(negative value = reduction			reduction in load)
Year	Participants	Impact on Total Requirements (MWh)	Impact on Winter Peak (MW)	Impact on Summer Peak (MW)
2006	35	-3,753	-0.3	-0.4
2007	70	-7,506	-0.6	-0.8
2008	105	-11,260	-0.9	-1.2
2009	140	-15,013	-1.2	-1.6
2010	175	-18,766	-1.5	-2.0
2011	210	-22,519	-1.8	-2.4
2012	245	-26,272	-2.1	-2.9
2013	280	-30,026	-2.4	-3.3
2014	315	-33,779	-2.7	-3.7
2015	350	-37,532	-3.0	-4.1
2016	350	-37,532	-3.0	-4.1
2017	350	-37,532	-3.0	-4.1
2018	350	-37,532	-3.0	-4.1
2019	350	-37,532	-3.0	-4.1
2020	350	-37,532	-3.0	-4.1
2021	350	-33,779	-2.7	-3.7