

From: Cook, Larry (KYOAG) [mailto:larry.cook@ag.ky.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, January 17, 2007 1:02 PM
To: PSC - Electronic Filings Mailbox; O'Donnell, Beth (PSC)
Cc: Blackford, Betsy (KYOAG); randy@whplawfirm.com; douglas.walther@atmosenergy.com; gary.smith@atmosenergy.com; jnhughes@fewpb.net
Subject: Atmos Rate Case, 2006-00464

RECEIVED

JAN 17 2007

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

Dear Ms. O'Donnell,

This is to advise you of a discrepancy that occurred in Atmos' rate filing in the above-styled case. The discrepancy is found in Vol. 2, tab 8, where there were supposed to be 4 exhibits attached to the testimony of Donald S. Roff. A review of the documents Atmos filed with the PSC, as they appear on the PSC's website, appear to contain all the attachments the testimony purports that they contain.

However, the copies provided to the Attorney General have only 2 of the 4 exhibits (DSR # 1 and # 2), and do not have the depreciation studies filed under DSR # 3 and # 4, as they should have been. Atmos' attorney John Hughes advises he is forwarding hard copies of the correct information to the Attorney General. Our office wanted to bring this matter to your attention. Thank you.

Lawrence W. Cook
Assistant Attorney General
Office of Rate Intervention
1024 Capital Center Dr.
Ste. 200
Frankfort, KY 40601
(502) 696-5300
Fax: (502) 573-8315

CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT:

The information contained in this electronic transmission, including any attachments hereto, is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient(s), then you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, forwarding, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance upon the contents of this transmission is strictly prohibited and unlawful. If you have received this communication in error please return it to the sender and then delete the communication and destroy any copies, including any attachments hereto. This information is privileged and confidential. This information is protected and exempt from disclosure under the attorney-client privilege, KRE 503, and/or the work product doctrine. This electronic transmission is exempt from disclosure by subpoena or the Kentucky Open Records Act pursuant to Ky. R. Professional Conduct 1.6, KRS 61.878(1), and other applicable law.

1/17/2007