



Ernie Fletcher
Governor

Teresa J. Hill, Secretary
Environmental and Public
Protection Cabinet

Christopher L. Lilly
Commissioner
Department of Public Protection

Ernest Lee Upchurch
3417 Boxelder Road, Apt. #1
Louisville, KY 40216

Commonwealth of Kentucky
Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615
Telephone: (502) 564-3940
Fax: (502) 564-3460
psc.ky.gov

Mark David Goss
Chairman

John W. Clay
Commissioner

October 12, 2006

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

RE: Case No. 2006-00364
Insight Phone of Kentucky, LLC

I, Beth O'Donnell, Executive Director of the Public Service Commission, hereby certify that the enclosed attested copy of the Commission's Order in the above case was served upon the addressee by U.S. Mail on October 12, 2006.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Beth O'Donnell", written over a horizontal line.

Executive Director

BOD/jc
Enclosure



Ernie Fletcher
Governor

Teresa J. Hill, Secretary
Environmental and Public
Protection Cabinet

Christopher L. Lilly
Commissioner
Department of Public Protection

Marian Wright
Billing Operations Manager
Insight Phone of Kentucky, LLC
810 7th. Avenue
New York, NY 10019

Commonwealth of Kentucky
Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615
Telephone: (502) 564-3940
Fax: (502) 564-3460
psc.ky.gov

Mark David Goss
Chairman

John W. Clay
Commissioner

October 12, 2006

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

RE: Case No. 2006-00364
Insight Phone of Kentucky, LLC

I, Beth O'Donnell, Executive Director of the Public Service Commission, hereby certify that the enclosed attested copy of the Commission's Order in the above case was served upon the addressee by U.S. Mail on October 12, 2006.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Beth O'Donnell", written over a horizontal line.

Executive Director

BOD/jc
Enclosure

Ernest Lee Upchurch
3417 Boxelder Road, Apt. #1
Louisville, KY 40216

Marian Wright
Billing Operations Manager
Insight Phone of Kentucky,
LLC
810 7th. Avenue
New York, NY 10019

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

ERNEST LEE UPCHURCH)	
)	
COMPLAINANT)	
)	
V.)	CASE NO. 2006-00364
)	
INSIGHT PHONE OF KENTUCKY, LLC)	
)	
DEFENDANT)	

O R D E R

On July 24, 2006, Ernest Lee Upchurch ("Complainant") filed a complaint against Insight Phone of Kentucky, LLC ("Insight") disputing a bill containing charges for long-distance service. Complainant claimed that Insight offered him a calling package for a monthly fee of \$30 that also included 3 hours of free long-distance service per month. Complainant also claimed that the Insight employee installing his cable service stated that for an additional \$10 a month, Complainant could receive unlimited long-distance service. Complainant stated that he accepted this offer. Subsequently, Complainant received a bill from Insight that contained charges for long-distance usage. Complainant alleges that he should not be billed for these charges as he is on an unlimited rate plan.

On August 4, 2006, the Commission entered an Order directing Insight to answer or to satisfy the complaint. On August 15, 2006, Insight filed with the Commission its response. Insight asserts that its internal sales documents indicate that Complainant was receiving service under a calling plan that provided for 3 hours of free long-

distance. Insight, however, claims that it “strives to provide customer satisfaction”¹ and has added the unlimited calling plan to Complainant’s calling plan and has issued a full credit of \$207.20 (the long distance charges exceeding the 3-hour limitation) to Complainant’s account.

On August 21, 2006, the Commission issued an Order directing Complainant to inform the Commission, within 10 days of the date of the Order, whether he accepted or rejected Insight’s offer of satisfaction. The Order further provided that, if no such response was received timely, the complaint would be considered satisfied and would be dismissed. Complainant’s response was due no later than September 4, 2006. As of the date of this Order, Complainant has submitted no response to the Commission. Accordingly, pursuant to the Commission’s August 21, 2006 and 807 KAR 5:001, Section 12(5), the Commission finds that Insight has satisfied the complaint and that this case should be dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the complaint is dismissed with prejudice as satisfied.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 12th day of October, 2006.

By the Commission

ATTEST:



Executive Director

¹ Answer of Insight at 1.