

Edward T. Depp 502-540-2347 tip.depp@dinslaw.com

March 5, 2007

RECEIVED

MAR 052007 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Hon. Beth O'Donnell Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40601

> Re: In the matter of: Petition of Mountain Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc., for Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement with Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant to the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Case No. 2006-00296

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

I have enclosed for filing in the above-styled case the original and eleven (11) copies of Mountain Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc.'s Evidentiary Filing re InterMTA Factor. Please file-stamp one copy and return it to me in the enclosed self-addressed stamped envelope.

Thank you, and if you have any questions, please call me.

Sincerely,

ETD/lb Enclosures cc: Philip R. Schenkenberg, Esq.

Charlestion

Hon. Beth O'Donnell March 5, 2007 Page 2

bcc: Eileen M. Bodamer (via e-mail) W. A. Gillum (via e-mail) Shane Ison (via e-mail)

118444v1 35594-1

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

Petition of Mountain Rural Telephone Cooperative) Corporation, Inc., for Arbitration of Certain Terms) and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection) Agreement with Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon) Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest) Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky) RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless,) Pursuant to the Communications Act of 1934,) as Amended by the Telecommunications) Act of 1996) RECEIVED MAR 0 5 2007

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

Case No.2006-00296

EVIDENTIARY FILING REGARDING INTER-MTA FACTO

Petitioner Mountain Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc. ("Mour to the February 6 and February 28, 2007 orders of the Kentucky Public Service Commission (the "Commission") hereby submits its evidence in support of an appropriate interMTA factor for its interconnection agreement with T-Mobile USA, Inc. ("T-Mobile")¹ and states as follows.

Mountain has a tandem located in the Louisville MTA. (*See* Affidavit of Shayne Ison at para. 3, attached hereto as Exhibit 1.) All traffic exchanged between T-Mobile and Mountain has previously been (and will continue to be) exchanged through that tandem. (*See id.* at para. 4.) Notwithstanding these facts, Mountain's service territory spans portions of both the Cincinnati-Dayton and Louisville MTA's. (*See id.* at para. 5.) Specifically, Mountain customers located in its Sandy Hook exchange (NPA-NXX: 606-738) reside in the Cincinnati-Dayton MTA, while all other Mountain customers reside in the Louisville MTA. (*See id.* at para. 6.) Thus, any T-Mobile traffic

¹ Mountain and Verizon Wireless have successfully negotiated a resolution to the issue of an appropriate interMTA factor for their respective interconnection agreement. Accordingly, this filing does not address any issues specific to Verizon Wireless, and the Commission need not decide the interMTA factor issue with respect to Verizon Wireless.

delivered to Mountain and destined for Mountain customers residing in the Sandy Hook exchange will necessarily cross an MTA boundary. (*See id.* at para. 7.)

As of February 15, 2007, Mountain has a total of 15,805 customer lines in its service territory, and 2,981 of those lines are located within the Sandy Hook exchange lying outside of the Louisville MTA. (*See id.* at para. 8.) Thus, for 18.86% of Mountain's customer lines, Mountain must transport traffic across the MTA boundary in order to complete calls from T-Mobile customers. (*See id.* at para. 9.) Consistent with this fact, Mountain and Cingular previously recognized that 20% of the expected Cingular-to-Mountain traffic was interMTA in nature. (*See id.* at para. 10; *see also* Interconnection Agreement between Mountain and Cingular, filed with the Commission on September 24, 2003.) Of course, considering that CMRS traffic appears to be increasing with time (rather than decreasing), (*see id.* at para. 11), even the 18.86% figure probably suggests only the bottom end of an appropriate interMTA factor for the coming two years of the parties' interconnection agreement.

A 20% interMTA factor is appropriate for the T-Mobile interconnection agreement. The Mountain-Cingular interconnection agreement contains that factor. Mountain has 18.86% of all customer lines in the Sandy Hook exchange. And, CMRS traffic is only increasing with time. Therefore, it is crucial that the Commission increase the 3% interMTA factor it previously approved for Mountain to a 20% interMTA traffic factor in order to ensure that: (i) Mountain does not lose substantial amounts of legitimate access charges; and (ii) other carriers may not adopt the resulting

Mountain - T-Mobile interconnection agreement in order to take advantage of an unrealistically low interMTA traffic factor.

Respectfully submitted, John E. Selent Holly C. Wallace Edward T. Depp **DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP** 1400 PNC Plaza 500 West Jefferson Street Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 540-2300 (telephone) (502) 585-2207 (fax)

COUNSEL TO MOUNTAIN RURAL TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE CORPORATION, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was served by first-class United States mail and electronic mail on this 5th day of March, 2007, to the following individual(s):

Jeff Yost, Esq. Mary Beth Naumann, Esq. Jackson Kelly PLLC 175 East Main Street Lexington, KY 40507 jyost@jacksonkelly.com mnaumann@jacksonkelly.com

Counsel to Cingular

Mark R. Overstreet, Esq. Stites & Harbison PLLC 421 West Main Street P.O. Box 634 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0634 moverstreet@stites.com

Counsel to AllTel

Phillip R. Schenkenberg, Esq. Briggs & Morgan, P.A. 2200 IDS Center Minneapolis, MN 55402 pschenkenberg@briggs.com

Counsel to T-Mobile and Verizon Wireless

John N. Hughes, Esq. Attorney at Law 124 West Todd Street Frankfort, Kentucky 40601 jnhughes@fewpb.net

Counsel to Sprint PCS

Bhogin M. Modi ComScape Telecommunications, Inc. 1926 10th Avenue North Suite 305 West Palm Beach, FL 33461

Tom Sams NTCH-West, Inc. 1600 Ute Avenue, Suite 10 Grand Junction, Colorado 81501

COUNSEL TO MOUNTAIN RURAL TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE CORPORATION, INC.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

Petition of Mountain Rural Telephone Cooperative) Corporation, Inc., for Arbitration of Certain Terms) and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection) Agreement with Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon) Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest) Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky) RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless,) Pursuant to the Communications Act of 1934,) as Amended by the Telecommunications) Act of 1996)

Case No.2006-00296

AFFIDAVIT OF SHAYNE ISON

Affiant, Shayne Ison, having been duly sworn, hereby states as follows.

1. I am Director of Finance/Regulatory for Mountain Rural Telephone Cooperative

Corporation, Inc. ("Mountain").

2. As Director of Finance/Regulatory, I have personal knowledge of the matters stated

herein.

3. Mountain has a tandem located in the Louisville MTA.

4. All traffic exchanged between T-Mobile USA, Inc. ("T-Mobile") and Mountain has

previously been (and will continue to be) exchanged through that tandem.

5. Notwithstanding these facts, Mountain's service territory spans portions of both the

Cincinnati-Dayton and Louisville MTA's.

6. Mountain customers located in its Sandy Hook exchange (NPA-NXX: 606-738) reside in the Cincinnati-Dayton MTA, while all other Mountain customers reside in the Louisville MTA.

3 /3

7. Thus, any T-Mobile traffic delivered to Mountain and destined for Mountain customers residing in the Sandy Hook exchange will necessarily cross an MTA boundary.

8. As of February 15, 2007, Mountain has a total of 15,805 customer lines in its service territory, and 2,981 of those lines are located within the Sandy Hook exchange lying outside of the Louisville MTA.

9. Thus, for 18.86% of Mountain's customer lines, Mountain must transport traffic across the MTA boundary in order to complete calls from T-Mobile customers.

10. Consistent with this fact, Mountain and Cingular previously recognized that 20% of the expected Cingular-to-Mountain traffic was interMTA in nature.

11. The volume of CMRS traffic appears to be increasing with time (rather than decreasing).

12. The Commission should, therefore, order that Mountain's interconnection agreement with T-Mobile contain an interMTA traffic factor of 20%.

FURTHER the Affiant saith naught.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) SS: COUNTY OF orsan

10/27/08

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Shayne Ison, to me known, on this the 5 day of March, 2007.

State at Large

My commission expires:

104113vl