### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In	the	Μa	atter	of:

T-MOBILE'S PETI CONFIDENTIAL TR		COMMISSION
Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996	<i>)</i> -	SEP 0 8 2006
Pursuant to the Communications Act of 1934, as	)	RECEIVED
Cellular f/k/a ACC Kentucky License LLC,	)	RECEIPE
Interconnection Agreement With American	)	
Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed	)	
Cooperative Corporation, Inc. for Arbitration of	)	
Petition of West Kentucky Rural Telephone	)	Case No. 2006-00220

T-Mobile USA, Inc. Powertel/Memphis, Inc. and T-Mobile Central LLC ("T-Mobile"), by its undersigned counsel, and pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 7, hereby petitions the Kentucky Public Service Commission ("Commission") for an Order granting confidential treatment to Exhibit 1 to T-Mobile's Response to Petitioner's First Information Requests filed in the above dockets as follows:

1. Petitioner has requested, and T-Mobile will provide, certain information regarding minutes of usage ("MOU") exchanged between T-Mobile and Petitioner. The disclosure of such proprietary information would result in irreparable competitive harm to T-Mobile by providing its competitors with a non-reciprocal competitive advantage. No public purpose is served by the disclosure of such information, and the Regulations of the Commission contemplate the filing of such information under a Confidentiality Order in proceedings such as this. Additional grounds for this requested relief are stated in the attached affidavit of Dan Williams which is attached as Exhibit A hereto. The information for which confidential treatment is requested is attached to this filing. T-Mobile requests that the information be identified as "Confidential Data" and protected from disclosure by the Commission.

2. T-Mobile and Petitioner have executed a Confidentiality Agreement, and T-Mobile will produce the applicable Exhibit 1 to Petitioner subject to that Agreement.

WHEREFORE, T-Mobile respectfully requests that the Commission enter all necessary

Orders granting confidential treatment to the Confidential Data.

Philip R. Schenkenberg

**BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A.** 

2200 IDS Center

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

(612) 977-8400

(612) 977-8650 (fax)

pschenkenberg@briggs.com

Kendrick R. Riggs Douglas F. Brent

STOLL KEENON OGDEN PLLC

2000 PNC Plaza 500 West Jefferson Street Louisville, Kentucky 40202

(502) 333-6000

(502) 627-8722 (fax)

kendrick.riggs@skofirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR T-MOBILE USA, INC., POWERTEL/MEMPHIS, INC. AND T-MOBILE CENTRAL LLC

1942310v1 2

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of **T-MOBILE'S PETITION FOR CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION** was on this <u>1</u> th day of September, 2006 served via electronic and United States mail, postage prepaid to the following:

John E. Selent DINSMORE & SHOHL, LLP 1400 PNC Plaza 500 West Jefferson Street Louisville, Kentucky 40202

James Dean Liebman LIEBMAN & LIEBMAN 403 West Main Street P.O. Box 478 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

Bhogin M. Modi COMSCAPE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. 1926 10th Avenue, North Suite 305 West Palm Beach, Florida 33461 William G. Francis FRANCIS, KENDRICK AND FRANCIS First Commonwealth Bank Building 311 North Arnold Avenue, Suite 504 P.O. Box 268 Prestonburg, Kentucky 41653-0268

Thomas Sams NTCH, INC. 1600 Ute Avenue, Suite 10 Grand Junction, Colorado 81501

il Inly

NTCH-WEST, INC. 1970 N. Highland Avenue Suite E Jackson, Tennessee 38305

The undersigned also hereby certifies that a copy of the unredacted **CONFIDENTIAL EXHIBIT 1** was on this 1 th day of September, 2006 served via electronic and United States mail, postage prepaid to the following:

John E. Selent, Esq. Dinsmore & Shohl 1400 PNC Plaza 500 West Jefferson Street Louisville, KY 40202

1941249v3 3

## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### In the Matter of:

Petition of Ballard Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc. for Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With American Cellular f/k/a ACC Kentucky License LLC, Pursuant to the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996	) ) Case No. 2006-00215 ) ) ) )
Petition of Duo County Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc. for Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant to the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996	Case No. 2006-00217 ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )
Petition of Logan Telephone Cooperative Inc. for Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With American Cellular f/k/a ACC Kentucky License LLC, Pursuant to the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996	Case No. 2006-00218 ) ) ) ) ) )
Petition of West Kentucky Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc. for Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement with American Cellular f/k/a ACC Kentucky License LLC, Pursuant to the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996	Case No. 2006-00220 ) ) ) ) )

1941258v2

Petition of North Central Telephone Cooperative Corporation, For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement with American Cellular Corporation f/k/a ACC Kentucky License LLC, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Petition of South Central Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc., For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Petition of Foothills Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc., For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Petition of Brandenburg Telephone Company For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Case No. 2006-00252

Case No. 2006-00255

Case No. 2006-00292

Case No. 2006-00288

1941258v2 2

Petition of Gearheart Communications Inc. d/b/a Coalfields Telephone Company, For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Case No. 2006-00294

Petition of Mountain Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc., For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Case No. 2006-00296

Petition of Peoples Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc., For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Case No. 2006-00298

Petition of Thacker-Grigsby Telephone Company, Inc., For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Case No. 2006-00300

1941258v2 3

#### AFFIDAVIT OF DAN WILLIAMS IN SUPPORT OF T-MOBILE'S PETITION FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT

STATE OF WASHINGTON	)
	) ss
COUNTY OF KING )	

Dan Williams, being first duly sworn on oath, states as follows:

- 1. I am Corporate Counsel for T-Mobile USA, Inc., who with its subsidiaries ("T-Mobile") are the Respondents in the instant proceeding. In my capacity I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth in this affidavit and am authorized to make this affidavit on behalf of T-Mobile.
- 2. T-Mobile is requesting confidential treatment for Exhibit 1 to its Response to each Petitioner's First Information Request, which includes information regarding minutes of usage ("MOU") exchanged between T-Mobile and Petitioner. This information has been attached to the affidavit as Exhibit 1.
- 3. Exhibit 1 contains proprietary information that would aid competitors of T-Mobile, and such trade secret information is subject to protection from disclosure pursuant to Kentucky law. *See* KRS 61.870, et seq.
- 4. The specific Exhibit T-Mobile proposes to file would reveal proprietary information. This information constitutes a trade secret because it is commercial information related to market share and market penetration that, if disclosed, could cause substantial competitive harm to T-Mobile. This information is either not publicly available or not generally available in this format. It would be difficult (or impossible) for someone to discover this information from other sources. If this information were available to competitors in this format, they could use it to the competitive detriment of T-Mobile.

4

1941258v2

- 5. Unlike incumbent telephone companies who are typically subject to a high degree of regulation, wireless providers like T-Mobile operate in a highly competitive marketplace where such proprietary information is closely guarded to ensure it is not disclosed to competitors.
- 6. This information is not generally disclosed to non-management employees of T-Mobile, and is protected internally by T-Mobile as proprietary information.

FURTHER YOUR AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of September, 2006.

Notary Public

5

PUBLIC PUBLIC PUBLIC

# EXHIBIT 1 TO T-MOBILE'S RESPONSE TO PETITIONER'S INTERROGATORIES AND DOCUMENT REQUEST (WEST KY)

I	PETITIONER	TIME PERIOD	INDIRECT M-L MOU*	DIRECT M-L MOU**
	West Ky	Jul-06		

#### Legend.

Identifies information that unless deleted would disclose confidential information

\* Mobile to land MOU as determined based on BellSouth or Windstream transit reports

\* Mobile to land MOU based on bills issued by Petitioner to T-Mobile, where applicable

PUBLIC PUBLIC PUBLIC