


**Dinsmore & Shohl** LLP  
ATTORNEYS

John E. Selent  
502-540-2315  
john.selent@dinslaw.com



April 18, 2007

**VIA HAND DELIVERY**

Hon. Beth O'Donnell  
Executive Director  
Public Service Commission  
211 Sower Blvd.  
P. O. Box 615  
Frankfort, KY 40601

**Re: *Kentucky Public Service Commission Case Nos.***  
**1) 2006-00215; 2) 2006-00217; 3) 2006-00218; 4) 2006-00220;**  
**5) 2006-00252; 6) 2006-00255; 7) 2006-00288; 8) 2006-00292;**  
**9) 2006-00294; 10) 2006-00296; 11) 2006-00298; 12) 2006-00300**

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

I have enclosed for filing in the above-styled cases the original and eleven (11) copies of RLECs<sup>1</sup> conforming template interconnection agreement ("Agreement"). Please file-stamp one copy of this letter and the attached Agreement, and return it to our delivery person.

At the outset, the RLECs note that, despite the March 19, 2007 order on rehearing ("Rehearing Order") issued by the Public Service Commission of the Commonwealth of Kentucky (the "Commission"), the CMRS Providers<sup>2</sup> have continued to insist on Agreement language that is

---

<sup>1</sup> Ballard Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc.; Duo County Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc.; Logan Telephone Cooperative, Inc.; West Kentucky Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc.; North Central Telephone Cooperative Corporation; South Central Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc.; Brandenburg Telephone Company; Foothills Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc.; Gearheart Communications, Inc. d/b/a/ Coalfields Telephone Company; Mountain Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc.; Peoples Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc.; Peoples Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc.; and Thacker-Grigsby Telephone Company, Inc.

<sup>2</sup> Alltel Communications, Inc.; New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC, successor to BellSouth Mobility LLC, BellSouth Personal Communications LLC and Cincinnati SMSA Limited Partnership

inconsistent with or exceeds the scope of the Commission's rulings in this arbitration proceeding. And, while the CMRS Providers have agreed to some language that reduces the scope of remaining issues – solely for the claimed purpose of conforming the interconnection agreement to the Commission's December 22, 2007 order ("Order") and Rehearing Order<sup>3</sup> – they nevertheless continue to insist on language that would impose impermissible costs and obligations on the RLECs.

These differences are not merely inconsequential differences of language. They are more accurately characterized as CMRS Provider disregard for the intent of the Commission's Order and Rehearing Order. The few remaining disputed sections can be grouped into three conceptual issues, as identified and discussed below.<sup>4</sup>

- Sections 4.1.2 and 4.1.3 (relating to "Billing Records" in connection with indirect interconnection);
- Section 4.4 (relating to "Dialing Parity"); and
- Section 5.5 through 5.5.3, and paragraph 2 of Appendix A (relating to "Traffic Factors").

In order to facilitate review of the parties' respective language proposals for these few remaining disputes, the RLECs have identified their proposed language in *bold italics* and identified the CMRS Providers proposed language in double-underline in the attached Agreement.

---

d/b/a Cingular Wireless; Sprint Spectrum L.P., on behalf of itself and SprintCom, Inc., d/b/a Sprint PCS; T-Mobile USA, Inc., Powertel/Memphis, Inc., and T-Mobile Central LLC; and Celco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership.

<sup>3</sup> After waiting a week and a half to respond to the RLECs' proposed conforming draft, the CMRS Providers responded with a modified draft and the disclaimer that any proposals in that document were solely for purposes of attempting to comply with the Commission's Order and Rehearing Order and that none of the language proposals therein should be construed as any waiver of the CMRS Providers' apparent plan to challenge "any or all conformed language, as well as any or all rulings of the Commission." The CMRS Providers continue to maintain this position, and the RLECs have agreed to compromise on some language in the conformed Agreement in order to move forward with this filing. However, as a result of the CMRS Providers' disclaimer, the RLECs must also reserve their rights to contest any conforming language in this Agreement to the extent any related issues are ultimately challenged by the CMRS Providers.

<sup>4</sup>As a consequence of this remaining dispute, and in order to help conserve Commission resources, the RLECs are again filing only a "template" agreement at this time, rather than the forty-five separate agreements (and ten copies of each) that would ordinarily be filed. Upon resolution of the remaining disputes, the RLECs will file individual agreements between each RLEC and each CMRS Provider that was a party to the RLECs' arbitration petitions.

- **Billing Records**

The CMRS Providers' first remaining issue of dispute with the conforming Agreement relates to sections 4.1.2 and 4.1.3, wherein they refuse to recognize the Commission's finding that the RLECs must receive adequate, industry standard billing records if an indirect, nondedicated trunking, transit interconnection scenario is to be utilized.

In its December 2006 Order, the Commission addressed this issue of "Can the RLECs Use Industry Standard Records (e.g., EMI 11-01-01 Records Provided by Transiting Carriers) to Measure and Bill CMRS Providers for Terminating Mobile-Originated Telecommunications Traffic?" (Order at 11 (emphasis added).) The Commission found "that a terminating carrier should have the ability to adequately and independently verify traffic exchanged with an originating carrier." (*Id.* at 12 (emphasis added).) The Commission further found "that, in circumstances where the transit carrier (here BellSouth) cannot provide to the RLECs adequate verification of the jurisdictional nature and the rating of transited calls, then dedicated trunk groups should be utilized." (*Id.*)

The RLECs' proposed language for section 4.1.2 tracks the Commission's language on this issue. Specifically, the RLECs propose that – to the extent a CMRS Provider seeks indirect interconnection – then such arrangement would be contingent upon the RLEC receiving "industry standard call detail records (EMI 11-0101 records) that allow for LEC to independently and adequately measure and identify the type, volume, and originating carrier of such traffic so that LEC can bill appropriately pursuant to this Agreement." (*Id.* (emphasis added).) This is only fair, as those records provide the only means by which the RLECs can bill for the traffic that such CMRS Provider may be sending through an intermediary carrier. As proposed by the RLECs, then, and as expressly provided in the Commission's Order, to the extent that such records are not provided to the RLEC, "dedicated trunk groups should be utilized." (Order at 12.) The RLEC-proposed language, therefore, is consistent with the Commission's rulings in this matter.

The CMRS Providers, conversely, propose no language that would ensure the RLECs are provided with industry standard billing records that will enable the RLECs to bill for CMRS Provider traffic when the CMRS Provider unilaterally chooses to interconnect through a third-party intermediary. If this concept were upheld, the RLECs would be helpless to bill for such CMRS Provider traffic. Quite plainly, then, the CMRS Proposal is at odds with the Commission's arbitration orders, and the RLECs' proposed language for this issue (as identified in sections 4.1.2 and 4.1.3<sup>5</sup>) should be adopted.

---

<sup>5</sup> The CMRS Providers have indicated that their only dispute with respect to section 4.1.3 is that it references the terms of section 4.1.2. Accordingly, to the extent that the Commission adopts the

- **Dialing Parity**

The second remaining issue of dispute concerns the language that will be used in section 4.4 ("Dialing Parity") of the Agreement. The RLECs have proposed the use of language virtually identical to that used in the Commission's Order. The CMRS Providers, however, add their own gratuitous interpretation of the Commission's language and insist that their language is "better," without offering any explanation for their belief.

As explained in the RLECs' January 22, 2007 filing, the CMRS Provider's are incorrect that the RLEC-proposed "dialing parity" language somehow does not implement the Commission's order that the RLECs provide dialing and rating parity for CMRS Provider end-user numbers rated to NPA-NXX's that are local to the RLECs' calling areas.<sup>6</sup> The Commission's Order on this issue found that "for the purposes of calls originating from an RLEC subscriber to a CMRS subscriber, dialing and rating parity should exist for any CMRS subscriber number that is assigned (as recorded in the Local Exchange Routing Guide) to a ratecenter within the RLECs' non-optional local calling area." (Order at 20-21 (emphasis added).) The RLEC-proposed language on this issue virtually mirrors the Commission's order:

4.4 Dialing Parity. The Parties shall comply with Local Dialing Parity and Toll Dialing Parity as required by applicable law. When a CMRS Provider end-user has a telephone number that is assigned (as recorded in the Local Exchange Routing Guide) to a ratecenter within the non-optional local calling area of LEC's

---

RLECs' proposed language for section 4.1.2, it should also adopt the RLECs' proposed language for section 4.1.3.

In addition, the RLECs note that T-Mobile (and no other CMRS Provider) has refused to agree to any resolution of section 4.1.3. Rather than agree that, for purposes of establishing that a DS-1 level of traffic shall be equated with a concrete monthly volume of traffic exceeding 300,000 minutes of use, T-Mobile would have section 4.1.3 provide that "The Parties will establish direct interconnection facilities when Subject Traffic between them exceeds a DS1 level." When asked for the rationale behind this diversion from the other CMRS Providers and the RLECs, T-Mobile declined to provide any reason whatsoever, thereby precluding further discussion. Not only is such a "negotiation" tactic counterproductive, the proposed T-Mobile language does nothing to avoid the eventual dispute that is sure to occur in the absence of some agreement as to what constitutes a "DS-1 level of traffic." Accordingly, the Commission should reject T-Mobile's position with respect to section 4.1.3 of the Agreement.

<sup>6</sup> This dispute was not subject to the CMRS Providers' motion for rehearing, and therefore the RLEC-proposed language should be adopted.

originating end-user, LEC shall provide local dialing and rating parity for calls originated by its end-user(s) to such telephone number(s) of CMRS Provider end-user(s).

(Agreement at RLEC-Proposed § 4.4 (emphasis added).)

Rather than adopt the Commission's own language, however, the CMRS Providers have continued to insist upon language that could unnecessarily broaden the intent of the Commission's order that dialing and rating parity apply for nonoptional local calls. This is most readily ascertained in the CMRS Provider's generic proposal that subject calls be permitted "without dialing extra digits or paying extra charges." (Agreement at CMRS Provider-Proposed § 4.4.) While, in most instances, it is possible that the CMRS Providers' competing language may accomplish the same end, the CMRS Providers have insisted merely that "they like their language better" than the Commission-derived language proposed by the RLECs.

However, the CMRS Providers' language goes beyond the commission's Order in that the CMRS Providers' language would require (absolutely) that there never be any charges for local calls, regardless of whether any charges are applied on a parity basis. Parity of dialing and rating are the mandates of the Commission's Order. A requirement of parity, however, does not lead to any absolute conclusion that rating must always be a "zero" charge, as the CMRS Providers seek to require. It is worth noting, in this respect, that the CMRS Providers' own rate structure for identical calls in the opposite direction (that is, mobile-to-land calls) are not provided a "zero" charge; instead, the rating of such calls is typically included in the traffic-sensitive minutes-of-use limitations typically included in the CMRS Providers' service plan rates.

Given the RLECs' apprehension with respect to whether the CMRS Providers have overbroadened the Commission's order (in attempting to paraphrase it), there is no good reason to deny the use of the RLEC-proposed (and Commission-derived ) language for section 4.4. The RLEC language is identical to the actual conclusions embodied in the Commission's Order. Accordingly, the Commission should adopt the RLEC's proposed language with respect to dialing parity.

- **Traffic Factors**

The final subject of dispute relates to sections 5.5 through 5.5.3 of the Agreement, along with paragraph 2 of Appendix A, which implements those sections. In short, the CMRS Providers continue to dispute that traffic factors may be adjusted during the course of the agreement to reflect actual traffic patterns. Their dispute holds no merit.

In its Order the Commission found that "the use of traffic factors is reasonable where carriers do not have equipment in place to measure their traffic." (Order at 18.) While the RLECs do not

dispute that "[t]he use of traffic factors appears to be standard practice," it is important to note that the Commission did not adopt specific intra-MTA traffic factors, but instead "the measurement methodology for developing traffic factors" proposed by the CMRS Providers. (*Id.* (emphasis added).) Despite this finding, the CMRS Providers have insisted that the proposed intraMTA traffic factors be inserted into the Agreement and blindly adhered to thereafter.

The RLECs, however, have continued in their attempts to impress upon the CMRS Providers that the Commission did not adopt actual traffic factors. Given the fact that the traffic factors proposed by the CMRS Providers at the hearing in this matter were based upon an assertion (now rejected) that toll traffic (that is, interexchange carrier traffic) was subject to reciprocal compensation, the factors they proposed could not remain accurate. This is obvious when viewed in the context of the indisputable fact that many of the RLECs are in a situation where there is no locally rated CMRS Provider traffic because the CMRS Providers have not yet deployed numbers local to many of the RLECs' service territories. Accordingly, in these cases, the blind adoption of a traffic factor other than even 100%-0% (CMRS Provider-originated to RLEC-originated) would be obviously incorrect. Yet, this is what the CMRS Providers ask the Commission to approve.

Conversely, the RLECs have proposed interconnection language that provides for the use of traffic factors when measurement is not possible, provided that the use of such traffic factors would be based on a realistic reflection of the actual traffic being exchanged. To this end, the RLEC proposal ensures that actual traffic measurement will be used when actual measurement is available. (*See Order at 18* ("the use of traffic factors is reasonable where carriers do not have equipment in place to measure traffic" (emphasis added).) And, when actual measurement is not available, the RLEC-proposed language establishes a process whereby traffic factors will be utilized. Granted, the RLEC-proposed language would not condone a result in which the parties would presume there was land-to-mobile traffic when it is indisputable that there is no such traffic subject to reciprocal compensation; nevertheless, the Commission's order did not condone such fiction.<sup>7</sup> Accordingly, the RLEC-proposed language for sections 5.5 through 5.5.3 and paragraph 2 of Appendix A should be adopted.

\*\*\*\*\*

In addition to the foregoing issues, Mountain Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc. ("Mountain Telephone") notes that, while the attached agreement does not reflect its settlement of the interMTA issue with Verizon Wireless and T-Mobile, the submission of this document does not waive or otherwise affect its settlement with those companies. For purposes of informing the Commission, Mountain Telephone notes that its settlement of the interMTA issue with Verizon

---

<sup>7</sup> From a broader perspective, the CMRS Providers' position on this issue espouses the implicit notion that they are entitled to a subsidy from those RLECs that do not originate local traffic to them.

Wireless and T-Mobile will result in the following language ultimately being included in its respective, final interconnection agreements with those companies.


The Parties recognize MOUNTAIN has customers in both the Cincinnati-Dayton and Louisville MTAs. [CMRS Provider] agrees not to terminate any traffic to the Sandy Hook Exchange over local trunk groups and shall instead route all mobile-to-land traffic to the Sandy Hook exchange via an IXC. Such traffic to Sandy Hook is excluded from this agreement. Should [CMRS Provider] deliver traffic to Sandy Hook over local trunks MOUNTAIN may charge [CMRS Provider] a 20% interMTA factor on all traffic terminated to MOUNTAIN to be split 50/50 between interstate and intrastate access. Otherwise the interMTA factor for all mobile-to-land traffic sent to MOUNTAIN by [CMRS Provider] shall be 3%.

In order to conserve Commission resources, Mountain Telephone is not (at this time) filing a separate template containing this language. The final, approved agreements, however, will contain the above-quoted language, changed only by specific reference to either Verizon Wireless or T-Mobile, as appropriate.

Thank you, and if you have any questions, please call me.

Very truly yours,

DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP



John E. Selent

JES/mbt  
Enclosures

cc: John N. Hughes, Esq.  
Mary Beth Naumann, Esq.  
Bhogan M. Modi  
Mark R. Overstreet, Esq.  
Tom Sams  
Philip R. Schenkenberg, Esq.

Hon. Beth O'Donnell  
April 18, 2007  
Page 8

---

Jeff Yost, Esq.  
Amy E. Dougherty, Esq.  
Edward T. Depp, Esq.



INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT FOR TRANSPORT  
AND  
TERMINATION OF TRAFFIC  
("CMRS-LEC AGREEMENT")

Between

ABC Telephone Company, Inc.

and

DEF CMRS Provider

***Bold italicized text is proposed by RLECs and disputed by CMRS Providers.***  
Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.

## Table of Contents

### INTRODUCTION

### RECITALS

- 1.0 Definitions
- 2.0 Interpretation and Construction
- 3.0 Scope
- 4.0 Service Agreement
  - 4.1 Methods of Interconnection
  - 4.2 Service Arrangement
  - 4.3 Signaling
  - 4.4 Dialing Parity
- 5.0 Compensation Arrangements
  - 5.1 Subject Traffic
  - 5.2 Rate Structure
  - 5.3 Non-Recurring Charges
  - 5.4 Inter-MTA Traffic
  - 5.5 Billing
- 6.0 Notice of Changes
- 7.0 General Responsibilities of the Parties
- 8.0 Effective Date, Term, and Termination
- 9.0 [LEFT BLANK]
- 10.0 Indemnification
- 11.0 Limitation of Liability
- 12.0 Compliance with Laws and Regulations
- 13.0 Disclaimer of Representations and Warranties
- 14.0 Miscellaneous
  - 14.1 Authorization
  - 14.2 Disclaimer of Agency; No Third Party Beneficiaries; Independent Contractor
  - 14.3 Force Majeure
  - 14.4 Treatment of Proprietary and Confidential Information
  - 14.5 Choice of Law
  - 14.6 Taxes
  - 14.7 Assignability
  - 14.8 Billing and Payment; Disputed Amounts
  - 14.9 Dispute Resolutions
  - 14.10 Notices
  - 14.11 Joint Work Product
  - 14.12 No License
  - 14.13 Survival
  - 14.14 Entire Agreement
  - 14.15 Non-Waiver
  - 14.16 Publicity and Use of Trademarks or Service Marks
  - 14.17 Severability
  - 14.18 Counterparts
  - 14.19 Modification, Amendment, Supplement, or Waiver
  - 14.20 Change in Law

### APPENDIX A

### APPENDIX B

INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT FOR TRANSPORT AND TERMINATION OF TRAFFIC  
("CMRS-LEC AGREEMENT")

Pursuant to this CMRS-LEC Agreement, ABC Telephone Company, Inc. ("LEC") and DEF CMRS Provider ("CMRS Provider") will extend certain network arrangements to one another as specified below.

Recitals

WHEREAS, CMRS Provider is a Commercial Mobile Radio Services ("CMRS") provider licensed by the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") to provide CMRS; and

WHEREAS, LEC is a Local Exchange Carrier ("LEC") providing telecommunications services in the Commonwealth of Kentucky; and

WHEREAS, the Parties desire to interconnect their respective CMRS and LEC network facilities pursuant to Sections 251/252 of the Communications Act of 1934 as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 for the purpose of delivery of specific traffic for transport and termination on the other Party's network; and

WHEREAS, the Parties are entering into this Agreement to set forth the respective obligations and the terms and conditions under which they will interconnect their networks and provide services as set forth herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual provisions contained herein and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, LEC and CMRS Provider hereby agree as follows:

1.0 DEFINITIONS

As used in this Agreement, the following terms shall have the meanings specified below in this Section 1.0. Any term used in this Agreement that is not specifically defined shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. If no specific meaning exists for a specific term used in this Agreement, then normal usage in the telecommunications industry shall apply.

1.1 "Act" means the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

1.2 "Affiliate" is As Defined in the Act.

1.3 "Agreement" means this Interconnection Agreement for Transport and Termination of Traffic ("CMRS-LEC Agreement"), together with all appendices, exhibits, schedules, and other attachments hereto.

1.4 "Central Office Switch" means a switch used by LECs to provide Telecommunications Services, including, but not limited to:

(a) "End Office Switches" which are used to terminate lines from individual stations for the purpose of interconnection to each other and to trunks; and

***Bold italicized text is proposed by RLECs and disputed by CMRS Providers.***  
Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.

(b) "Tandem Office Switches" which are used to connect and switch trunk circuits between and among other Central Office Switches.

A Central Office Switch may also be employed as a combination End Office/Tandem Office Switch.

1.5 "Commercial Mobile Radio Service" or "CMRS" means Commercial Mobile Radio Service as defined in Part 20 of the FCC's Rules.

1.6 "Commission" means the Kentucky Public Service Commission.

1.7 "Common Channel Interoffice Signaling" or "CCIS" means the signaling system, developed for use between switching systems with stored-program control, in which all of the signaling information for one or more groups of trunks is transmitted over a dedicated high-speed data link rather than on a per-trunk basis and, unless otherwise agreed by the Parties, the CCIS used by the Parties shall be Signaling System Seven ("SS7").

1.8 "DS1" is a digital signal rate of 1.544 Mbps (MEGA Bits Per Second).

1.9 "DS3" is a digital signal rate of 44.736 Mbps.

1.10 "FCC" means the Federal Communications Commission.

1.11 "Information Service" is as defined in the Act.

1.12 "Interconnection" for purposes of this Agreement refers to the direct or indirect linking of the CMRS Provider and LEC networks at the Interconnection Point for the delivery of traffic pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

1.13 "Interconnection Point" or "IP" is a demarcation point on the incumbent network of LEC.

1.14 "Interexchange Carrier" or "IXC" means a carrier that provides, directly or indirectly, interLATA or intraLATA Telephone Toll Services.

1.15 "Inter-MTA Traffic" is traffic, that at the beginning of the call, is originated by an end user of one Party in one MTA and is terminated to an end user of the other Party in another MTA.

1.16 "Local Exchange Carrier" or "LEC" is as defined in the Act.

1.17 "Major Trading Area" or "MTA" means Major Trading Area as defined in Section 24.202(a) of the FCC's rules.

1.18 [LEFT BLANK]

1.19 "NXX" means a three-digit code valid within an area code which appears as the first three digits of a seven-digit telephone number with the exception of the special 500, 600, 700, 800, and 900 codes and other similar special codes that may come into common usage in the future.

***Bold italicized text is proposed by RLECs and disputed by CMRS Providers.***  
**Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.**

1.20 "Party" means either LEC or CMRS Provider, and "Parties" means LEC and CMRS Provider.

1.21 "Rate Center" means the specific geographic point ("Vertical and Horizontal" or "V & H" coordinates) and corresponding geographic area which are associated with one or more particular NPA-NXX codes which have been assigned to a LEC for its provision of basic exchange telecommunications services. The "rate center point" is the finite geographic point identified by a specific V & H coordinate which is used to measure distance-sensitive end user traffic to/from the particular NPA-NXX designations associated with the specific Rate Center. The "rate center area" is the exclusive geographic area identified as the area within which the LEC provides basic exchange telecommunications service bearing the particular NPA-NXX designations associated with the specific Rate Center. The use by a CMRS provider of a Rate Center V & H for mobile CMRS services does not necessarily indicate the location of the CMRS mobile user.

1.22 "Subject Traffic," as defined in 47 C.F.R. § 51.701(b)(2), is traffic exchanged between LEC and CMRS Provider that, at the beginning of the call, originates and terminates within the same Major Trading Area. The definition and use of the term "Subject Traffic" for purposes of calculating reciprocal compensation that may be due under this Agreement has no effect on the definition of local traffic or the geographic area associated with local calling under either Party's respective end user service offerings.

1.23 "Telecommunications" is as defined in the Act.

1.24 "Telecommunications Carrier" is as defined in the Act.

1.25 "Termination" is as defined by FCC Regulations.

1.26 "Transport" is as defined by FCC Regulations.

## 2.0 INTERPRETATION AND CONSTRUCTION

2.1 All references to Sections, Exhibits, Appendices, and Schedules shall be deemed to be references to Sections of, and Exhibits, Appendices, and Schedules to, this Agreement unless the context shall otherwise require. The headings of the Sections and the terms are inserted for convenience of references only and are not intended to be a part of or to affect the meaning of this Agreement. Unless the context shall otherwise require, any reference to any agreement, other instrument (including CMRS Provider's, LEC's or other third party offerings, guides or practices), statute, regulation, rule or tariff is for convenience of reference only and is not intended to be a part of or to affect the meaning of rule or tariff as amended and supplemented from time to time (and, in the case of a statute, regulation, rule or tariff, to any successor provision).

2.2 The Parties acknowledge that some of the services, facilities, or arrangements described herein reference the terms of federal or state tariffs of the Parties. If any provision contained in this main body of the Agreement and any Appendix hereto cannot be reasonably construed or interpreted to avoid conflict, the provision contained in this main body of this

***Bold italicized text is proposed by RLECs and disputed by CMRS Providers.***  
Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.

Agreement shall prevail. If any provision of this Agreement and an applicable tariff cannot be reasonably construed or interpreted to avoid conflict, the Parties agree that the provision contained in this Agreement shall prevail. This agreement supersedes any prior agreement between the Parties.

2.3 The Parties acknowledge that this Agreement represents their good faith efforts to implement the arbitration order(s) of the Commission in Case No. 2006-[insert specific case #]. Accordingly, the Parties acknowledge that certain portions of this Agreement, including but not limited to the reciprocal compensation rates to be set forth in Appendix B, may be subject to modification at a later date, consistent with applicable Commission or court orders.

### 3.0 SCOPE

3.1 This Agreement sets forth the terms, conditions and prices under which the Parties agree to exchange Subject Traffic and Inter-MTA Traffic.

3.2 Subject Traffic does not include land-to-mobile toll calls that are dialed as 1+ calls and are carried by an IXC or any other toll provider. CMRS Provider will not designate, in industry routing databases, any of its NPA-NXX codes used for its services to CMRS end-users as subtending any LEC tandem for terminating interexchange carrier traffic purposes unless and until LEC has agreed to such arrangement and the Parties have mutually agreed, in writing, upon terms and conditions for such arrangements for terminating interexchange carrier traffic. Regardless, in no event shall LEC have any compensation responsibility to CMRS Provider for any interexchange carrier traffic that may terminate to CMRS Provider. All traffic that LEC originates to, or terminates from, an interexchange carrier will be subject to access charges to be retained by LEC. There will be no sharing of access charge revenue that LEC bills either interexchange carriers or any other carriers that obtain access services from LEC. There will be no access services provided jointly between the Parties pursuant to this Agreement.

3.3 Compensation for the Transport and Termination of Subject Traffic does not apply to land-to-mobile traffic toll calls that are dialed as 1+ calls and are carried by an IXC or any other toll provider, or for non-CMRS traffic. Neither Party shall provide an intermediary or transit traffic function for the other Party's connection of its end users to the end users of a third party telecommunications carrier without the consent of all parties and without the establishment of mutually agreeable terms and conditions governing the provision of the intermediary functions. This Agreement does not obligate either Party to utilize any intermediary or transit traffic function of the other Party or of any third party.

3.4 This Agreement shall not be used by either Party to deliver any other traffic not specifically allowed under this Agreement in this Section 3.0. It will constitute a default of this Agreement for a Party to deliver any traffic other than the traffic that is within the scope of this Agreement as specifically identified in this Section 3.0.

### 4.0 SERVICE AGREEMENT

4.1 Methods of Interconnection.

---

***Bold italicized text is proposed by RLECs and disputed by CMRS Providers.***  
Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.

4.1.1 The Parties agree to interconnect within the incumbent LEC network of LEC at one or more suitable and technically feasible Interconnection Points consistent with the options set forth in this Section 4.1. Interconnection will be provided for switching through an appropriate LEC switching office. The IP(s) will be set forth in Appendix A.

**4.1.2 Indirect Interconnection. Subject to the conditions set forth in this section 4.1,** CMRS Provider shall be permitted to use a third party carrier's facilities for purposes of establishing interconnection indirectly with LEC at the IP(s) and the exchange of traffic that is within the scope of this Agreement between the Parties. Traffic exchanged indirectly will be subject to the compensation stated in Appendix B. **The use of a third party carrier by CMRS Provider for such purposes is expressly conditioned on CMRS Provider ensuring that the third party carrier delivers CMRS Provider's traffic to LEC at no charge to LEC, and in such a manner that includes complete and accurate industry standard call detail records (EMI 11-0101 records) that allow for LEC to independently and adequately measure and identify the type, volume, and originating carrier of such traffic so that LEC can bill appropriately pursuant to this Agreement. If CMRS Provider's traffic is not delivered to LEC in such a manner and with such records, CMRS Provider shall be required to establish dedicated trunks with LEC pursuant to the notice and implementation process described in Section 4.1.3 (just as though the threshold established in Section 4.1.3 had been met), and CMRS Provider shall (consistent with the terms of Section 4.1.5) discontinue delivering any traffic via such third-party carrier.** CMRS Provider shall be responsible for the payment to any third party carrier for any charges associated with the Indirect Interconnection scenario contemplated herein and with any functions provided by the third party that allows for the exchange of traffic between the Parties as contemplated herein.

4.1.3 The Indirect Interconnection arrangement described in section 4.1.2, above, shall only be available to CMRS Provider so long as: (i) the total volume of traffic exchanged (pursuant to the terms of this Agreement) between CMRS Provider and LEC does not exceed the reasonable operating capacity of a DS1; and (ii) **the intermediary third-party carrier provides LEC with adequate verification, as described in Section 4.1.2.** For purposes of establishing the reasonable operating capacity threshold, if the total monthly volume of traffic exchanged between the Parties exceeds 300,000 minutes of usage for three (3) consecutive months a dedicated trunk group shall be required for the exchange of traffic pursuant to this Agreement, and such trunk group shall be established pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth in Section 4.1.4, below.

[NOTE: T-Mobile – in a diversion from the other CMRS Providers – has indicated that it believes section 4.1.3 should provide only that "The Parties will establish direct interconnection facilities when Subject Traffic between them exceeds a DS1 level." When asked to explain the rationale behind this split from the other CMRS Providers, T-Mobile declined to discuss the matter further.]

4.1.4 Direct Interconnection. When the total monthly volume of traffic being exchanged meets the threshold set forth in section 4.1.3, above, either Party may provide written notification to the other Party that a dedicated trunk group(s) is required, and the Parties agree to establish such a dedicated trunk group(s) for connection at the IP(s) as follows: (i) within thirty (30) days of either Party receiving notification, CMRS Provider shall either (a) order dedicated interconnection trunks from any carrier that may connect with LEC at the IP(s), or (b)

***Bold italicized text is proposed by RLECs and disputed by CMRS Providers.***  
Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.

establish physical network interconnection directly at the IP(s); (ii) where two-way trunk groups are established to exchange traffic that is the subject of this Agreement, the Parties will coordinate the provisioning and quantity of trunks; and (iii) both Parties shall provide commercially reasonable resources to support normal installation intervals for the dedicated interconnection trunks. The Parties may interconnect the dedicated facilities on either a one-way or two-way trunk basis. At the request of CMRS provider, LEC will provide for two-way trunking for the dedicated facilities. In no case shall LEC be responsible for any facilities outside of its incumbent LEC service area. Consistent with Section 5.2, for two-way facilities, LEC shall provide the two-way trunking within its service area and shall charge CMRS Provider at intrastate special access rates for such facilities connecting from the IP(s) to the LEC tandem, and such charges shall be reduced to reflect the portion of Subject Traffic originated by LEC to total two-way usage. For one-way facilities, LEC shall be responsible for the one-way facilities to meet CMRS Provider at the IP(s) within the incumbent service area of LEC for one-way trunks used for originating LEC traffic, and CMRS Provider shall be responsible for the one-way facilities to the LEC tandem for the one-way trunks used for originating CMRS Provider traffic.

4.1.5 Neither Party shall deliver third-party traffic over a dedicated facility established pursuant to this section.

4.2 Service Arrangement. This Agreement provides for the following arrangements between the Parties for the purpose of delivery by one Party of specific traffic for Transport and Termination on the other Party's network.

4.2.1 The service arrangement involves trunk side connection for switching through a LEC switching office. This Agreement does not apply to, and the trunk service arrangement cannot be used for traffic originated or terminated on third party networks.

4.2.1.1 For traffic terminating on LEC, the trunk service arrangement may be used by CMRS Provider to deliver traffic only for termination to valid NXX codes associated with LEC end offices that subtend the specific tandem office to which the trunk interconnection is made.

4.2.1.2 Based on the specific LEC local service area of the originating LEC end user, the trunk service arrangement may be used by LEC to deliver traffic only to designated NPA-NXXs of CMRS Provider for which the associated rate center (as determined by V&H coordinates) is within the specific LEC local service area of the originating LEC end user.

4.2.1.3 The delivery of traffic pursuant to Subsections 4.2.1.1 and 4.2.1.2 does not create legal or regulatory obligations for either Party that do not otherwise apply pursuant to applicable law.

4.3 Signaling.

4.3.1 When direct interconnection trunks are established, SS7 connectivity will be provided, and all SS7 signaling parameters shall be delivered, in accordance with prevailing industry standards. The Parties agree to cooperate on the exchange of all appropriate SS7 messages for originating carrier identification, local call set-up, including ISDN User Part ("ISUP") and Transaction Capability User Part ("TCAP") messages to facilitate full

***Bold italicized text is proposed by RLECs and disputed by CMRS Providers.***  
Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.



interoperability of all CLASS features and functions between their respective networks. Any other SS7 message services to be provided using TCAP messages (such as database queries) will be jointly negotiated and agreed upon. Each Party will honor all Privacy Indicators as required under applicable law. CMRS Provider must interconnect, directly or indirectly, with the LEC's Signal Transfer Points ("STPs") serving the area in which Subject Traffic and Inter-MTA Traffic will be exchanged. CMRS Provider may choose a third-party SS7 signaling provider to transport signaling messages to and from LEC's SS7 network.

4.3.2 For indirect interconnection, each party shall populate all SS7 message fields in accordance with industry standards.

4.3.3 For purposes of exchanging SS7 messages with respect to the traffic that is within the scope of this Agreement, neither Party shall assess SS7 message charges or tariffed SS7 charges on the other Party. Any use by either Party of the other Party's SS7 network or SS7 service functionality, beyond the simple sending of SS7 messages as set forth in this Section 4.3, is outside the scope of this interconnection agreement.

4.4 Dialing Parity. ***The Parties shall comply with Local Dialing Parity and Toll Dialing Parity as required by applicable law. When a CMRS Provider end-user has a telephone number that is assigned (as recorded in the Local Exchange Routing Guide) to a ratecenter within the non-optional local calling area of LEC's originating end-user, LEC shall provide local dialing and rating parity for calls originated by its end-user(s) to such telephone number(s) of CMRS Provider end-user(s).*** LEC will ensure that its customers can make calls to CMRS Providers' customers' numbers in local and EAS exchanges without dialing extra digits or paying extra charges.

## 5.0 COMPENSATION ARRANGEMENTS

5.1 Subject Traffic. Each Party shall pay the other Party for Transport and Termination of Subject Traffic that either Party delivers to the other Party's network pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement. The Parties agree that LEC will not provide any compensation to CMRS Provider for traffic associated with one-way CMRS, including paging services, provided by CMRS Provider.

5.2 Rate Structure. An IP(s) will be established between the Parties' networks as specified in Appendix A for the delivery of traffic described in Section 3.1. When the Parties establish dedicated two-way trunking facilities pursuant to Section 4.1, CMRS Provider shall obtain special access from LEC for the purpose of connection between the IP(s) and LEC's applicable tandem office. These connecting facilities are set forth in Appendix A. LEC will charge special access from the applicable LEC intrastate access tariff for the tandem connecting facilities within the incumbent LEC service area of LEC. Special access charges for the connecting facilities will be reduced, as specified in Appendix B, to reflect the proportionate share of the total usage of the facilities that is related to Subject Traffic originated by LEC. For any specific IP, a single, combined, per-minute rate, as specified in Appendix B, will apply which encompasses total compensation for Transport, call Termination and any other facilities utilized to terminate Subject Traffic on the other Party's respective network.

5.3 Non-Recurring Charges. CMRS Provider agrees to the non-recurring fees as set forth in Appendix B for the establishment of or- any additions to, or added capacity for, special

---

***Bold italicized text is proposed by RLECs and disputed by CMRS Providers.***  
Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.

access connecting facilities. Any such non-recurring charges for the connecting facilities will be reduced, as specified in Appendix B, to reflect the proportionate share of the total usage of the facilities that is related to Subject Traffic originated by LEC.

5.4 **Inter-MTA Traffic.** The specific compensation arrangements set forth in this Agreement for Subject Traffic are not applicable to Inter-MTA Traffic. CMRS Provider will provide compensation to LEC for terminating Inter-MTA Traffic according to the terms and conditions of LEC's applicable federal and state access tariffs. The Parties will apply the percent usage factors for the relative amounts of Inter-MTA Traffic (compared to Subject Traffic) as set forth in sections 3 and 4 of Appendix A. The Parties recognize that the Inter-MTA traffic may be both Interstate and Intrastate in nature. For the Inter-MTA traffic, the Parties will use mutually accepted Interstate and Intrastate factors as specified in Appendix A. Interstate access charges will apply to the percentage of Inter-MTA Traffic that is interstate in nature; intrastate access charges will apply to the percentage of Inter-MTA Traffic that is intrastate in nature.

5.5 **Billing.** ***Subject to Section 4, above, and consistent with the terms of this section 5.5, either Party may measure or utilize industry standard records (EMI 11-0101 records) to determine the amount of traffic within the scope of this Agreement that either Party terminates on its network. The Parties intend to utilize actual terminating measurement of usage, where available, for purposes of billing pursuant to this Agreement.*** To the extent a Party has the ability to adequately measure, bill and verify terminating traffic, the Party may utilize its own actual terminating measurement of usage for purposes of billing pursuant to this Agreement. In addition, either party may obtain industry-standard records (e.g. EMI 11-01-01 records). However, in the event that CMRS Provider may not be capable of measuring traffic, then the Parties agree to use the default percentages set forth in Section 2 of Appendix A for the application of charges pursuant to this Agreement.

#### **5.5.1 Dedicated Interconnection.**

**5.5.1.1** *When the Parties have established dedicated trunk group(s) for the exchange of traffic this is within the scope of this Agreement, and either one Party or both Parties have actual measurement of such traffic either in one direction or both directions, then such actual available measurement, subject to the audit process set forth in this Agreement, shall be used for billing purposes for that portion of the traffic exchanged in one direction or in both directions for which there is actual measurement available.*

**5.5.1.2** *When the Parties have established dedicated trunk group(s) for the exchange of traffic that is within the scope of this Agreement, and neither Party has actual measurement of such traffic either in one direction or in both directions, then the Parties will develop mutually acceptable and representative percent usage factors for the amount of Mobile-to-Land relative to Land-to-Mobile traffic that will be used for billing purposes for traffic exchanged over the dedicated facilities in conjunction with any actual measurement of traffic that may be available to the Parties. Such usage factors shall be set forth in Appendix A.*

---

***Bold italicized text is proposed by RLECs and disputed by CMRS Providers.***  
Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.

***5.5.1.3 To the extent that the Parties cannot mutually agree on representative factors and/or the application of those factors to available actual measured minutes of use and the resulting billing based on those factors, any dispute regarding representative factors and the resulting billing process shall be resolved pursuant to the dispute resolution process described in this Agreement.***

***5.5.1.4 In those situations where the total amount of mobile-to-land traffic terminated on the network of ABCx is measured, but the amount of land-to-mobile traffic terminated on the network of DEFx is not measured, then ABCx shall bill DEFx based on measured terminating usage, and the amount to be billed by DEFx to ABCx shall be based on the relative percentage factors set forth in Appendix A. Under this arrangement where the factors are applied to determine the amount that DEFx is to bill ABCx, the Parties shall mutually agree as to whether separate bills shall be prepared and sent by both Parties or whether ABCx shall prepare a bill which nets the charges between the Parties.***

#### **5.5.2 Indirect Interconnection.**

***5.5.2.1 When the Parties utilize an indirect arrangement without the use of a dedicated trunk group, the Parties shall, for billing purposes, utilize: (i) the industry standard usage records (EMI 11-0101 records) of the intermediary third-party carrier for either traffic terminating to ABCx, traffic terminating to DEFx, or both; or (ii) actual measurement of terminating usage, when available for either traffic terminating to ABCx, traffic terminating to DEFx, or both.***

***5.5.2.2 Where the Parties utilize an indirect arrangement for the exchange of traffic that is within the scope of this Agreement, and neither Party has actual measurement of such traffic either in one direction or in both directions, then the Parties will develop mutually acceptable and representative percent usage factors for the amount of Mobile-to-Land relative to Land-to-Mobile traffic exchanged via the indirect arrangement that will be used for billing purposes in conjunction with any actual measurement of traffic that may be available to the Parties. Such usage factors shall be set forth in Appendix A.***

***5.5.2.3 To the extent that the Parties cannot mutually agree on representative factors and/or the application of those factors to available actual measured minutes of use and the resulting billing based on those factors, any dispute regarding representative factors and the resulting billing process shall be resolved pursuant to the dispute resolution process described in this Agreement.***

***5.5.2.4 In those situations where the total amount of mobile-to-land traffic terminated on the network of ABCx is measured, but the amount of land-to-mobile traffic terminated on the network of DEFx is not measured, then ABCx shall bill DEFx based on measured terminating usage, and the amount to be billed by DEFx to ABCx shall be based on the relative percentage factors set forth in Appendix A. Under this arrangement where the factors are applied to determine the amount that DEFx is to bill ABCx, the Parties shall mutually agree as to whether separate bills shall be prepared and sent by both Parties or whether ABCx shall prepare a bill which nets the charges between the Parties.***

---

***Double-underline text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.***  
***Single-underline text is proposed by RLECs and disputed by CMRS Providers.***

***5.5.3 Development of Traffic Factors. The Parties will work together to develop measurement and usage information which shows, for the traffic exchanged between the Parties pursuant to this Agreement, the relative amounts of Mobile-to-Land and Land-to-Mobile traffic representative of the actual amounts of traffic exchanged between the Parties pursuant to this Agreement either via the indirect interconnection arrangement or the dedicated trunking arrangement. To the extent that measurement and usage information available on an ongoing basis indicates that a change in the Mobile-to-Land and Land-to-Mobile factors is necessary such that the factors are representative of the actual amounts of traffic exchanged between the Parties, such change shall be made consistent with this information, and Appendix A shall be amended to reflect these new percentages. In the event of a dispute regarding any adjustment to the factors, the dispute shall be resolved by the Commission.***

5.5.4 Audits. Except as may be otherwise specifically provided in this Agreement, either Party ("Auditing Party") may audit the other Party's ("Audited Party") records for the purposes of evaluating the accuracy of the Audited Party's bills and compliance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement. Such audits may be performed once in each Calendar Year; provided, however, that audits may be conducted more frequently (but no more frequently than once in each Calendar Quarter) if an immediately preceding audit found net inaccuracies having an aggregate value of at least \$50,000 in favor of the Auditing Party. Each Party shall cooperate fully in any such audit, providing reasonable access to any and all records reasonably necessary to assess the accuracy of the Audited Party's bills and compliance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

## 6.0 NOTICE OF CHANGES

If a Party makes a change in its network which it believes will materially affect the interoperability of its network with the other Party, the Party making the change shall provide at least ninety (90) days advance written notice of such change to the other Party. In the event that the provision of ninety (90) days notice is not possible, the Party making the change shall provide notification within ten (10) business days after the determination to make the network change.

## 7.0 GENERAL RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PARTIES

7.1 The parties will exchange traffic and volume forecasts once per year as necessary for the Parties' planning of interconnection facilities and trunking capacity. The form of such forecasts will be mutually determined by the Parties.

7.2 Each Party is individually responsible to provide facilities within its network which are necessary for routing, transporting, measuring, and billing traffic from the other Party's network and for delivering of such traffic it receives in that mutually acceptable format and to terminate the traffic it receives in that mutually acceptable format to the proper address on its network. Such facility shall be designed based upon the description provided under Section 4.0 above. The Parties are each solely responsible for participation in and compliance with national network plans, including the National Network Security Plan and the Emergency Preparedness Plan.

---

***Bold italicized text is proposed by RLECs and disputed by CMRS Providers.***  
Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.

7.3 Neither Party shall use any service related to or use any of the services provided in this Agreement in any manner that prevents other persons from using their service or destroys the normal quality of service to other carriers or to either Party's Customers, and subject to notice and a reasonable opportunity of the offending Party to cure any violation, either Party may discontinue or refuse service if the other Party violates this provision.

7.4 The characteristics and methods of operation of any circuits, facilities or equipment of one Party connected with the services, facilities or equipment of the other Party shall not interfere with or impair service over any facilities of the other Party, its affiliated companies, or its connecting and concurring carriers involved in its services; or cause damage to the other Party's plant, impair the privacy of any communications carried over the facilities or create hazards to the employees of the other Party, its affiliated companies, or its connecting and concurring carriers or the public.

7.5 If such characteristics or methods of operation are not in accordance with the preceding paragraph, either Party will notify the other Party that temporary discontinuance of the circuit, facility or equipment may be required; however, when prior notice is not practicable, either Party may forthwith temporarily discontinue the use of a circuit, facility or equipment if such action is reasonable under the circumstances. In such case of temporary discontinuance, either Party will notify the other Party immediately by telephone and provide the other Party with the opportunity to correct the condition that gave rise to the temporary discontinuance. No allowance for interruption will be applicable.

7.6 Each Party is solely responsible for the services it provides to its customers and to other telecommunications carriers.

7.7 Each Party is responsible for administering NXX codes assigned to it.

7.8 At all times during the term of this Agreement, each Party shall keep and maintain in force at each Party's expense all insurance required by law (e.g., workers' compensation insurance) as well as general liability insurance for personal injury or death to any one person, property damage resulting from any one incident, automobile liability with coverage of bodily injury for property damage. Upon request from the other Party, each Party shall provide to the other Party evidence of such insurance (which may be provided through a program of self-insurance).

7.9 [LEFT BLANK]

## 8.0 EFFECTIVE DATE, TERM, AND TERMINATION

8.1 This Agreement shall become effective on January 1, 2007 and shall terminate on December 31, 2008 (the "Initial Term"). When the Agreement becomes effective, the provisions contained in Section 2.0 of this Agreement shall apply with respect to the interpretation and construction of this Agreement and its ongoing relation to other references.

8.2 After the Initial Term, this Agreement shall then automatically renew on a year-to-year basis. Upon expiration of the initial term or any subsequent term, either Party may terminate this Agreement by providing written notice of termination to the other Party, with such written notice to be provided at least sixty (60) days in advance of the date of termination of the

---

***Bold italicized text is proposed by RLECs and disputed by CMRS Providers.***  
Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.

then-existing term.

8.2.1 Post-Termination Arrangements. Upon the termination or expiration of this Agreement pursuant to Section 8.2 above, and upon the written request of either Party, this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect until a replacement agreement has been executed by the Parties either (a) under an agreement voluntarily executed by the Parties; (b) under a new agreement arrived at pursuant to the provisions of the Act; or (c) under an agreement available to and requested by CMRS Provider according to the provisions of Section 252(i) of the Act, but in no case will the existing service arrangements continue for longer than twelve (12) months following the date on which notice of termination is provided, except that the Agreement will remain in place beyond the twelve (12) month period to the extent, and for the period, that the Parties are engaged in lawful arbitration pursuant to the Act.

8.3 Upon termination or expiration of this Agreement in accordance with this Section:

- (a) each Party shall comply immediately with its obligations set forth above;
- (b) each Party shall promptly pay all undisputed amounts (including any late payment charges) owed under this Agreement;
- (c) each Party's indemnification obligations shall survive termination or expiration of this Agreement.

8.4 The arrangements pursuant to this Agreement including the provision of services or facilities shall immediately terminate upon the suspension, revocation or termination by other means of either Party's authority to provide services. For LEC, authority involves the provision of local exchange or exchange access services. For CMRS Provider, authority involves the provision of CMRS services under license from the Federal Communications Commission.

8.5 [LEFT BLANK]

8.6 Default

8.6.1 Either Party may terminate this Agreement in whole or in part in the event of a default by the other Party provided, however, that the non-defaulting Party notifies the defaulting Party in writing of the alleged default and that the defaulting Party does not cure the alleged default within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of written notice thereof. Such default notice shall be posted by overnight mail, return receipt requested.

8.6.2 If the defaulting Party disputes the aggrieved Party's default notice, the Parties may, by mutual agreement, resolve the disagreement pursuant to the processes set forth in Section 14.9 ("Dispute Resolution"). Notwithstanding the foregoing, the aggrieved Party retains the right to, without delay and without participating in the dispute resolution process pursuant to Section 14.9, immediately pursue any available legal or regulatory remedy to resolve any question regarding the alleged default or the aggrieved Party's announced termination of the Agreement.

8.6.3 Default is defined to include:

- (a) A Party's insolvency or the initiation of bankruptcy or receivership proceedings by or against the Party; or

***Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.***  
***Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.***

(b) A Party's refusal or failure in any material respect properly to perform its obligations under this Agreement, or the violation of any of the material terms and conditions of this Agreement.

(c) A Party's failure to pay undisputed amounts on the dates or at times specified for the facilities and services furnished pursuant to this Agreement.

8.6.4 In any event, no Party shall terminate the services and facilities arrangements or discontinue the termination of traffic under this Agreement without express authorization from an appropriate government agency authorizing such discontinuation or without a decision from a court of competent jurisdiction granting the right to discontinue the services under this Agreement.

9.0 [LEFT BLANK]

## 10.0 INDEMNIFICATION

10.1 Each Party agrees to release, indemnify, defend and hold harmless the other Party from and against all losses, claims, demands, damages, expenses, suits or other actions, or any liability whatsoever related to the subject matter of this Agreement, including, but not limited to, costs and attorneys' fees (collectively, a "Loss"), (a) whether suffered, made, instituted, or asserted by any other party or person, relating to personal injury to or death of any person, defamation, or for loss, damage to, or destruction of real and/or personal property, whether or not owned by others, arising during the term of this Agreement and to the extent proximately caused by the acts or omissions of the indemnifying Party, regardless of the form of action, or (b) suffered, made, instituted, or asserted by its own customer(s) against the other Party arising out of the other Party's provision of services to the indemnifying Party under this Agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing indemnification, nothing in this Section 10.0 shall affect or limit any claims, remedies, or other actions the indemnifying Party may have against the indemnified Party under this Agreement, any other contract, regulations or laws for the indemnified Party's provision of said services.

10.2 The indemnification provided herein shall be conditioned upon:

(a) The indemnified Party shall promptly notify the indemnifying Party of any action taken against the indemnified Party relating to the indemnification.

(b) The indemnifying Party shall have sole responsibility to defend any such action with counsel reasonably acceptable to the indemnified Party, provided that the indemnified Party may engage separate legal counsel at its sole cost and expense.

(c) In no event shall the indemnifying Party settle or consent to any judgment pertaining to any such action without the prior written consent of the indemnified Party, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld.

(d) The indemnified Party shall, in all cases, assert any and all provisions in its Tariffs or customer contracts that limit liability to third parties as a bar to any recovery by the third party claimant in excess of such limitation of liability.

(e) The indemnified Party shall offer the indemnifying Party all reasonable cooperation and assistance in the defense of any such action.

10.3 A Party may, in its sole discretion, provide, in its Tariffs and contracts with its End Users and third parties that relate to any service, product or function provided or contemplated under this Agreement, that to the maximum extent permitted by Applicable Law, such Party

---

***Bold italicized text is proposed by RLECs and disputed by CMRS Providers.***  
Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.

shall not be liable to the End User or third party for (i) any Loss relating to or arising out of this Agreement, whether in contract, tort or otherwise, that exceeds the amount such Party would have charged that applicable person for the service, product or function that gave rise to such loss and (ii) consequential damages. To the extent that a Party (First Party) elects not to place in its tariffs or contracts such limitations of liability, and the other Party (Second Party) incurs a loss as a result thereof, the First Party shall, except to the extent caused by the Second Party's gross negligence or willful misconduct, indemnify and reimburse the Second party for that portion of the loss that would have been limited had the First Party included in its tariffs and contracts the limitations of liability that the Second party included in its own tariffs at the time of such loss.

## 11.0 LIMITATION OF LIABILITY

11.1 Except in the instance of harm resulting from an intentional or grossly negligent action of one Party, the Parties agree to limit liability in accordance with this Section 11. The liability of either Party to the other Party for damages arising out of failure to comply with a direction to install, restore or terminate facilities; or out of failures, mistakes, omissions, interruptions, delays, errors or defects occurring in the course of furnishing any services, arrangements or facilities hereunder shall be determined in accordance with the terms of the applicable tariff(s) of the providing Party. In the event no tariff(s) apply, the providing Party's liability shall not exceed an amount equal to the pro rata monthly charge for the affected facility or service for the period in which such failures, mistakes, omissions, interruptions, delays, errors or defects occur. Recovery of said amount shall be the injured Party's sole and exclusive remedy against the providing Party for such failures, mistakes, omissions, interruptions, delays, errors or defects.

11.2 Neither Party shall be liable to the other in connection with the provision or use of services offered under this Agreement for punitive, exemplary, indirect, incidental, consequential, reliance or special damages, including (without limitation) damages for lost profits (collectively, "Consequential Damages"), regardless of the form of action, whether in contract, warranty, strict liability, or tort, including, without limitation, negligence of any kind, even if the other Party has been advised of the possibility of such damages; provided, that the foregoing shall not limit a Party's obligation under Section 10.

11.3 The Parties agree that the liability to each other's customers shall be governed by the provisions of Section 10.3. Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed to create a third party beneficiary relationship between the Party providing the service and the customers of the Party purchasing the service. In the event of a dispute involving both Parties with a customer of one Party, both Parties shall assert the applicability of any limitation on liability to customers that may be contained in either Party's applicable tariff(s) or customer contracts.

## 12.0 COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS

12.1 Each Party shall comply with all federal, state, and local statutes, regulations, rules, ordinances, judicial decisions, and administrative rulings applicable to its performance under this Agreement. Each Party shall promptly notify the other Party in writing of any governmental action that suspends, cancels, withdraws, limits, or otherwise materially affects its ability to perform its obligations hereunder.

***Bold italicized text is proposed by RLECs and disputed by CMRS Providers.***  
Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.







Proprietary Information of the other party that remains in its possession; and (ii) certify the completion of such activity in writing to the other Party, within thirty (30) calendar days.

14.5 Choice of Law. The construction, interpretation, enforcement and performance of this Agreement shall be in accordance with the laws of the Commonwealth of Kentucky without regard to its conflict of laws principles.

#### 14.6 Taxes

Any Federal, state or local excise, license, sales, use, or other taxes or tax-like charges (excluding any taxes levied on income) resulting from the performance of this Agreement shall be borne by the Party upon which the obligation for payment is imposed under applicable law, even if the obligation to collect and remit such taxes is placed upon the other Party. Any such taxes shall be shown as separate items on applicable billing documents between the Parties. The Party obligated to collect and remit taxes shall do so unless the other Party provides such Party with the required evidence of exemption. The Party so obligated to pay any such taxes may contest the same in good faith, at its own expense, and shall be entitled to the benefit of any refund or recovery, provided that such Party shall not permit any lien to exist on any asset of the other Party by reason of the contest. The Party obligated to collect and remit taxes shall cooperate fully in any such contest by the other Party by providing records, testimony and such additional information or assistance as may reasonably be necessary to pursue the contest.

#### 14.7 Assignability

Upon prior written notice, either Party may assign this Agreement to an entity with which it is under common ownership and/or control. Either Party may assign this Agreement to a third party upon at least sixty (60) days prior written notice and with the other Party's prior written consent, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld. The non-assigning Party may withhold consent if the proposed non-affiliate third party assignee does not provide the non-assigning Party with sufficient evidence that it has the resources, ability, and authority to satisfactorily perform pursuant to the terms of this Agreement. In either case, this Agreement shall be binding on and inure to the benefit of the Parties and their respective legal successors and permitted assigns.

#### 14.8 Billing and Payment; Disputed Amounts

14.8.1 The Parties shall invoice one another on a monthly basis. The billed Party shall pay any invoice, which is not the subject of a valid dispute, in immediately available U.S. funds, within (30) days from the date of the invoice. Billing will be based on traffic measurements or traffic factors as provided in Section 5. If traffic factors are used, LEC shall issue net bills upon CMRS Provider's request.

14.8.2 All charges under this agreement shall be billed within one year from the time the charge was incurred: previously unbilled charges more than one year old shall not be billed by either Party, and shall not be payable by either Party.

14.8.3 If any portion of an amount due to a Party (the "Billing Party") under this Agreement is subject to a bona fide dispute between the Parties, the Party billed (the "Non-Paying Party") shall within thirty (30) days of its receipt of the invoice containing such disputed amount give notice to the Billing Party of the amount it disputes ("Disputed Amount") and include in such notice the specific details and reasons for disputing each item. The Non-Paying

***Bold italicized text is proposed by RLECs and disputed by CMRS Providers.***  
**Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.**



deemed given as of the earlier of (i) the date of actual receipt, or (ii) the next business day when notice is sent via express delivery.

#### 14.11 Joint Work Product.

This Agreement is the joint work product of the Parties and has been negotiated by the Parties and shall be fairly interpreted in accordance with its terms and, in the event of any ambiguities, no inferences shall be drawn against either Party.

#### 14.12 No License.

14.12.1 Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as the grant of a license, either express or implied, with respect to any patent, copyright, trademark, trade name, trade secret or any other proprietary or intellectual property now or hereafter owned, controlled or licensable by either Party. Neither Party may use any patent, copyrightable materials, trademark, trade name, trade secret or other intellectual property right of the other Party except in accordance with the terms of a separate license agreement between the Parties granting such rights.

14.12.2 Neither Party shall have any obligation to defend, indemnify or hold harmless, or acquire any license or right for the benefit of, or owe any other obligation or have any liability to, the other Party or its customers based on or arising from any claim, demand, or proceeding by any third party alleging or asserting that the use of any circuit, apparatus, or system, or the use of any software, or the performance of any service or method, or the provision of any facilities by either Party under this Agreement, alone or in combination with that of the other Party, constitutes direct, vicarious or contributory infringement or inducement to infringe, misuse or misappropriation of any patent, copyright, trademark, trade secret, or any other proprietary or intellectual property right of any Party or third party. Each Party, however, shall offer to the other reasonable cooperation and assistance in the defense of any such claim.

14.12.3 NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER PROVISION OF THIS AGREEMENT, THE PARTIES AGREE THAT NEITHER PARTY HAS MADE, AND THAT THERE DOES NOT EXIST, ANY WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, THAT THE USE BY THE PARTIES OF THE OTHER'S FACILITIES, ARRANGEMENTS, OR SERVICES PROVIDED UNDER THIS AGREEMENT SHALL NOT GIVE RISE TO A CLAIM BY ANY THIRD PARTY OF INFRINGEMENT, MISUSE, OR MISAPPROPRIATION OF ANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHT OF SUCH THIRD PARTY.

#### 14.13 Survival

The Parties' obligations under this Agreement, which by their nature are intended to continue beyond the termination or expiration of this Agreement, shall survive the termination or expiration of this Agreement.

#### 14.14 Entire Agreement.

This Agreement and any Exhibits, Appendices, or Schedules which are incorporated herein by this reference, sets forth the entire understanding and supersedes prior agreements between the Parties relating to the subject matter contained herein and merges all prior discussions between them, and neither Party shall be bound by any definition, condition, provision, representation, warranty, covenant or promise other than as expressly stated in this Agreement or as is contemporaneously or subsequently set forth in writing and executed by a

***Bold italicized text is proposed by RLECs and disputed by CMRS Providers.***  
Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.

duly authorized officer or representative of the Party to be bound thereby.

14.15 Non-Waiver. Failure of either Party to insist on performance of any term or condition of this Agreement or to exercise any right or privilege hereunder shall not be construed as a continuing or future waiver of such term, condition, right or privilege.

14.16 Publicity and Use of Trademarks or Service Marks.

Neither Party nor its subcontractors or agents shall use the other Party's trademarks, service marks, logos or other proprietary trade dress in any advertising, press releases, publicity matters or other promotional materials without such Party's prior written consent.

14.17 Severability

If any provision of this Agreement is held by a court or regulatory agency of competent jurisdiction to be unenforceable, the rest of the Agreement shall remain in full force and effect and shall not be affected unless removal of that provision results, in the opinion of either Party, in a material change to this Agreement. If a material change as described in this paragraph occurs as a result of action by a court or regulatory agency, the Parties shall negotiate in good faith for replacement language that does not materially alter the economic effect of this Agreement on either Party. If replacement language cannot be agreed upon within a reasonable period, either Party may proceed pursuant to the Dispute Resolution provisions of 14.9.

14.18 Counterparts

This Agreement may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original and all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

14.19 Modification, Amendment, Supplement, or Waiver

No modification, amendment, supplement to, or waiver of the Agreement or any of its provisions shall be effective and binding upon the Parties unless it is made in writing and duly signed by the Parties. A failure or delay of either Party to enforce any of the provisions hereof, to exercise any option which is herein provided, or to require performance of any of the provisions hereof shall in no way be construed to be a waiver of such provisions or options.

14.20 Change of Law. If any legislative, regulatory, judicial or other government decision, order, determination or action, or any change in law applicable to this Agreement materially affects any material provision of this Agreement, the rights or obligations of either Party herein, or the ability of a Party to perform any material provision of this Agreement, the Parties shall promptly renegotiate in good faith and amend this Agreement in writing in order to make such mutually acceptable revisions to this Agreement as may be required in order to conform the Agreement to applicable law.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed as of this \_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2007.

"CMRS Provider"

"LEC"

By: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_

***Double-underline text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.***  
***Single-underline text is proposed by RLECs and disputed by CMRS Providers.***

Printed: \_\_\_\_\_

Printed: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

***\_\_\_\_\_***  
Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.

DESIGNATION OF INTERCONNECTION POINT(S)  
AND  
TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION  
CMRS-LEC AGREEMENT

This Appendix specifies the IPs pursuant to the Agreement and the relative directionality and distribution of traffic with respect to the connecting facilities associated with each IP as follows:

1. Service Arrangement and Interconnection Point(s) Interconnection.

a. When the Parties are interconnected by means other than dedicated trunks, the IP shall be located at the existing meet-point between LEC and BellSouth or any other third-party who may be delivering CMRS Provider's traffic to LEC.

b. When the Parties are interconnected by means of dedicated trunks, the default IP shall be located at the existing meet-point between LEC and BellSouth or any other third-party who may be delivering CMRS Provider's traffic to LEC. Upon mutual agreement, the Parties may change the location of this default IP.

**2. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of Section 5.5.1 and 5.5.2, and to the extent applicable**, the Parties agree that the relative directionality of the total amount of two-way traffic exchanged between the Parties for traffic within the scope of this Agreement is:

% Mobile-to-Land traffic terminating on LEC's network = **To be determined, if applicable**  
[applicable % per CMRS Proposals]

% Land-to-Mobile traffic terminating on CMRS Provider's network = **To be determined, if applicable**  
[applicable % per CMRS Proposals]

**Usage Calculation: To be added, if applicable.**

3. For the total traffic terminating on LEC's network, the Parties agree to the following distribution of traffic:

% Subject Traffic	= 97 %
% Intrastate Inter-MTA Traffic	= 1.5 %
% Interstate Inter-MTA Traffic	= 1.5 %

4. For the total traffic terminating on CMRS Provider's network, the Parties agree to the following distribution of traffic:

% Subject Traffic	= 100 %
% Intrastate Inter-MTA Traffic	= 0 %
% Interstate Inter-MTA Traffic	= 0 %

Approved and executed this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2007.

**Bold italicized text is proposed by RLECs and disputed by CMRS Providers.**  
**Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.**



"CMRS Provider"

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Printed: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

"LEC"

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Printed: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

***Bold italicized text is proposed by RLECs and disputed by CMRS Providers.***  
Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.

Pursuant to the Interconnection Agreement for Transport and Termination of Telecommunications Traffic (CMRS-LEC Agreement)

This Appendix specifies the rates for the Transport and Termination of traffic delivered by one Party to the network of the other Party pursuant to the Agreement as follows:

**1. CHARGES FOR TRANSPORT, TERMINATION AND TANDEM SWITCHING for Subject Traffic: \$0.xxxx / MOU**

**2. Charges for Access Transport, Access Termination and Access Tandem Switching for Inter-MTA Traffic:**

Current LEC access tariffs in the proper jurisdiction apply.

**3. Special Access Connecting Facilities:**

Pursuant to sections 5.2-5.3 of the Agreement, LEC will charge CMRS Provider special access rates pursuant to LEC's effective intrastate access tariff for the connecting facilities.

LEC will credit CMRS Provider for its portion of special access transport as provided in this Agreement.

Approved and executed this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2007.

"CMRS Provider"

"LEC"

By: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Printed: \_\_\_\_\_

Printed: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

***\_\_\_\_\_***  
**Double-underlined text is proposed by CMRS Providers and disputed by RLECs.**