COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

Petition of Ballard Rural Telephone Cooperative)	Case No. 2006-00215
Corporation, Inc. for Arbitration of Certain Terms)	
and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection)	žione.
Agreement With American Cellular f/k/a ACC)	RECEIVE
Kentucky License LLC, Pursuant to the)	The state of the s
Communications Act of 1934, as Amended by the)	SEP 0 8 2006
Telecommunications Act of 1996)	
	*****	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VERIZON WIRELESS'S PETITION FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT

Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership ("Verizon Wireless"), by its undersigned counsel, and pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 7, hereby petitions the Kentucky Public Service Commission ("Commission") for an Order granting confidential treatment to Exhibit 1 to Verizon Wireless' Response to Petitioner's First Information Requests filed in the above dockets as follows:

1. Petitioner has requested, and Verizon Wireless will provide, certain information regarding minutes of usage ("MOU") exchanged between Verizon Wireless and Petitioner. The disclosure of such proprietary information would result in irreparable competitive harm to Verizon Wireless by providing its competitors with a non-reciprocal competitive advantage. No public purpose is served by the disclosure of such information, and the Regulations of the Commission contemplate the filing of such information under a Confidentiality Order in proceedings such as this. Additional grounds for this requested relief are stated in the attached affidavit of Elaine Critides which is attached as Exhibit A hereto. The information for which confidential treatment is requested is attached to this filing. Verizon Wireless requests that the

affidavit of Elaine Critides which is attached as Exhibit A hereto. The information for which confidential treatment is requested is attached to this filing. Verizon Wireless requests that the

information be identified as "Confidential Data" and protected from disclosure by the Commission.

2. Verizon Wireless and Petitioner agreed to the contents of the Confidentiality Agreement in mid-August. On August 16, 2006 and August 17, 2006, Verizon Wireless provided Petitioner the Confidentiality Agreement with executed signature pages from all interested CMRS Providers. Despite additional follow-ups by Verizon Wireless, Petitioner has not executed the Confidentiality Agreement. Verizon Wireless has not served this Petition and will not produce the applicable Exhibit 1 to Petitioner until Petitioner signs the Confidentiality Agreement and returns an executed copy to Verizon Wireless.

WHEREFORE, Verizon Wireless respectfully requests that the Commission enter all necessary Orders granting confidential treatment to the Confidential Data.

1942575v1 2



Dated: September ______, 2006

Philip R. Schenkenberg
BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A.

2200 IDS Center

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

(612) 977-8400

(612) 977-8650 (fax)

pschenkenberg@briggs.com

Kendrick R. Riggs

Douglas F. Brent

STOLL KEENON OGDEN PLLC

2000 PNC Plaza

500 West Jefferson Street

Louisville, Kentucky 40202

(502) 333-6000

(502) 627-8722 (fax)

kendrick.riggs@skofirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS, GTE WIRELESS OF THE MIDWEST INCORPORATED, AND KENTUCKY RSA NO. 1 PARTNERSHIP (VERIZON WIRELESS")

1942575v1

3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of VERIZON WIRELESS'S PETITION FOR CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION was on this 1 th day of September, 2006 served via electronic and United States mail, postage prepaid to the following:

John E. Selent

DINSMORE & SHOHL, LLP

1400 PNC Plaza

500 West Jefferson Street

Louisville, Kentucky 40202

James Dean Liebman

LIEBMAN & LIEBMAN

403 West Main Street

P.O. Box 478

Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

Bhogin M. Modi

COMSCAPE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

1926 10th Avenue, North

Suite 305

West Palm Beach, Florida 33461

William G. Francis

FRANCIS, KENDRICK AND FRANCIS

First Commonwealth Bank Building 311 North Arnold Avenue, Suite 504

P.O. Box 268

Prestonburg, Kentucky 41653-0268

Thomas Sams

NTCH, INC.

1600 Ute Avenue, Suite 10

Grand Junction, Colorado 81501

NTCH-WEST, INC.

1970 N. Highland Avenue

Suite E

Jackson, Tennessee 38305

The undersigned also hereby certifies that a copy of the unredacted **CONFIDENTIAL EXHIBIT 1** was on this 1th day of September, 2006 served via electronic and United States mail, postage prepaid to the following:

John E. Selent, Esq. Dinsmore & Shohl 1400 PNC Plaza 500 West Jefferson Street Louisville, KY 40202

Hal Schlyg

09/06/2006 15:18 2025893750 VERIZON WIRELESS PAGE 02

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

Petition of Ballard Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc. for Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With American Cellular f/k/a ACC Kentucky License LLC, Pursuant to the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Case No. 2006-00215

Petition of Duo County Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc. for Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant to the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Case No. 2006-00217

Petition of Logan Telephone Cooperative Inc. for Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With American Cellular f/k/a ACC Kentucky License LLC, Pursuant to the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 Case No. 2006-00218

Petition of West Kentucky Rural Telephone
Cooperative Corporation, Inc. for Arbitration of
Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed
Interconnection Agreement with American
Cellular f/k/a ACC Kentucky License LLC,
Pursuant to the Communications Act of 1934, as
Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Case No. 2006-00220



Petition of North Central Telephone Cooperative Corporation, For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement with American Cellular Corporation f/k/a ACC Kentucky License LLC, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Case No. 2006-00252

Petition of South Central Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc., For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 Case No. 2006-00255

Petition of Foothills Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc., For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Case No. 2006-00292

Petition of Brandenburg Telephone Company For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

2

Case No. 2006-00288

1941252v2

Act of 1996

Petition of Gearheart Communications Inc. d/b/a
Coalfields Telephone Company, For Arbitration of
Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed
Interconnection Agreement With Cellco
Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless
of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon
Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership
d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant To the
Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the
Telecommunications Act of 1996

Petition of Mountain Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc., For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications

Petition of Peoples Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc., For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Petition of Thacker-Grigsby Telephone Company, Inc., For Arbitration of Certain Terms and Conditions of Proposed Interconnection Agreement With Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, Pursuant To the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Case No. 2006-00294

Case No. 2006-00296

Case No. 2006-00298

Case No. 2006-00300

1941252v2 3

09/06/2006 15:18 2025893750

VERIZON WIRELESS

AFFIDAVIT OF ELAINE CRITIDES IN SUPPORT OF T-MOBILE'S PETITION FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT

Elaine Critides, being first duly sworn on oath, states as follows:

- 1. I am a Senior Attorney for Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, GTE Wireless of the Midwest Incorporated, and Kentucky RSA No. 1 Partnership ("Verizon Wireless"), Respondents in the instant proceeding. In my capacity I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth in this affidavit and am authorized to make this affidavit on behalf of Verizon Wireless.
- 2. Verizon Wireless is requesting confidential treatment for Exhibit 1 to its Response to each of Petitioner's First Information Request, which includes information regarding minutes of usage ("MOU") exchanged between Verizon Wireless and each Petitioner. This information has been attached to the affidavit as Exhibit 1.
- 3. Exhibit 1 contains proprietary information that would aid competitors of Verizon Wireless, and such trade secret information is subject to protection from disclosure pursuant to Kentucky law. See KRS 61.870, et seq.
- 4. The specific Exhibit Verizon Wireless proposes to file would reveal proprietary information. This information constitutes a trade secret because it is commercial information related to market share and market penetration that, if disclosed, could cause substantial competitive harm to Verizon Wireless. This information is either not publicly available or not generally available in this format. It would be difficult (or impossible) for someone to discover this information from other sources. If this information were available to competitors in this format, they could use it to the competitive detriment of Verizon Wireless.

1941252v2

09/06/2006 15:18 2025893750

VERIZON WIRELESS

PAGE 06

- Unlike incumbent telephone companies who are typically subject to a high degree of regulation, wireless marketplace where such competitors.
- This info 6. Verizon Wireless, and

5

FURTHER YOU

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 67 day of September, 2006.

Notary Public

ALITA FOWLKES

NOTARY PUBLIC

PRINCE GEORGE COUNTY

MARYLAND

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES JULY 1, 2008

1941252v2

composit totobuoue combanes are ablicary employs to a metric defice
s providers like Verizon Wireless operate in a highly competitive
proprietary information is closely guarded to ensure it is not disclosed to
ormation is not generally disclosed to non-management employees of
s protected internally by Verizon Wireless as proprietary information.
UR AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.
Elpi.

EXHIBIT 1 TO VERIZON WIRELESS' RESPONSE TO PETITIONERS' INTERROGATORIES AND DOCUMENT REQUESTS (BALLARD)

PETITIONER TIME PERIOD M-L TRAFFIC L-M TRAFFIC Ballard

Verizon Wireless does not have software that would allow it to measure and bill traffic for intercarrier compensation purposes. However, Verizon Wireless has pulled raw switch data for Verizon Wireless switches that serve the applicable areas. Verizon Wireless has endeavored to exclude mobile-to-land traffic that is delivered via wholesale interexchange carrier subject to applicable access tariffs, but that is done by looking at call translations information rather than call records, so it is possible that there is some such traffic included in the "M-L Traffic" Column. Verizon Wireless has provided the most recently available month for which data was complete and appeared to be accurate.