

John E. Selent 502-540-2315 john.selent@dinslaw.com

September 27, 2006

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Hon. Beth O'Donnell Executive Director Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40601 RECEIVED SEP 2 8 2006 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Re: In the Matter of: Mountain Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc. v. Kentucky Alltel, Inc., before the Public Service Commission of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, Case No. 2006-00198

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

I have enclosed for filing in the above-styled case the original and eleven (11) copies of Mountain Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation, Inc.'s Responses to First Set of Data Requests of Windstream East, Inc. Please return a file-stamped copy in the self-addressed, postage prepaid envelope furnished herewith.

Thank you, and if you have any questions, please call me.

Very truly yours,

DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP

John E. Selent

JES/bmt Enclosure cc: Daniel Logsdon, Esq. Mark R. Overstreet, Esq.

COMMONWEALTH OF BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVI		REGEIVED	
In the Matter of:	RECEIVED	SEP 2 8 444	
MOUNTAIN RURAL TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE CORPORATION, INC. Complainant	SEP 2 8 2006 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
v.)) Case No. 200	Case No. 2006-00198	
KENTUCKY ALLTEL, INC. Defendant)))		

MOUNTAIN RURAL TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE CORPORATION, INC.'S RESPONSES TO FIRST SET OF DATA REQUESTS OF <u>WINDSTREAM KENTUCKY EAST, INC.</u>

Mountain Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation ("Mountain Rural"), by counsel, and in response to the Data Requests of Windstream Kentucky East, Inc. f/k/a Kentucky Alltel, Inc. ("Windstream"), states as follows.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

Mountain Rural objects to Windstream's data requests to the extent they seek information for time periods prior to June, 2004. Mountain Rural's present complaint seeks recovery for tariffed charges from June, 2004 through the present.

In addition, Mountain Rural objects to the data requests to the extent that they seek information regarding the calculation of Mountain Rural's tariffed rates. This is not a rate case. This is a simple collections case seeking recovery of tariffed charges. Pursuant to the filed rate doctrine and KRS 278.160, "[n]o utility shall charge, demand, collect, or receive from any person a greater <u>or</u> less compensation for any service rendered or to be rendered than that prescribed in its filed

schedules [emphasis supplied]" Windstream cannot challenge Mountain Rural's calculations of its tariffed rates in the course of this proceeding. See also, KRS 278.170:

<u>No utility shall, as to rates</u> or service, <u>give any unreasonable</u> <u>preference or advantage to any person</u> or subject any person to any unreasonable prejudice or disadvantage, or establish or maintain any unreasonable difference between localities or between classes of service for doing a like and contemporaneous service under the same or substantially the same conditions. [emphasis supplied]¹

Windstream's attempt to transform this simple collection case into a retroactive rate case is harassing

and in violation of the filed rate doctrine and the prohibition against retroactive ratemaking, as well

as the protection against "unreasonable preferences." Id.

DATA REQUEST NO. 1

Provide full 210 character usage EMI records for one current month's time period and include, at a minimum, the following fields with respect to each record.

- a. All carrier usage and not just that usage that is specific to Windstream;
- b. "From" telephone number (Positions 15 through 24 of an 11-01-01 EMI Record);
- c. "To" telephone number (Positions 30 through 39 of an 11-01-01 EMI Record);
- d. Date of call (Positions 7 through 12 of an 11-01-01 EMI Record);
- e. Minutes (Positions 61 through 67 of an 11-01-01 EMI Record);
- f. Carrier Identification Code ("CIC") (Positions 46 through 49 of an 11-01-01 EMI Record);
- g. "From" Local Routing Number ("LRN") (Positions 157 through 166 of an 11-01-01 EMI Record);
- h. "To" LRN (Positions 172 through 181 of an 11-01-01 EMI Record);
- i. Method of Recording Field (Positions 68 and 69 of an 11-01-01 EMI Record); and
- j. Connect Time (Positions 55 through 60 of an 11-01-01 EMI Record).

¹ And see, KRS 278.260:

No order affecting the rates or service complained of shall be entered by the commission without a formal public hearing [; as well as]

807 KAR 5:001 Section 12, entitled "Formal Complaints," and requiring the Commission to make a "prima facia" determination before any complaint against a utility may proceed.

RESPONSE: Mountain Rural objects on the grounds that the request is overbroad, unduly burdensome, not relevant to the subject matter involved in the present action, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Mountain Rural further objects on the grounds that the data request seeks confidential and proprietary information.

DATA REQUEST NO.2 With respect to usage records prior to June 2004, identify where and how you obtained all minutes for such usage and provide all supporting documentation showing, at a minimum, the source of the data, the time periods covered, and the type of minutes included (*e.g.*, area calling service minutes, toll minutes, etc.).

<u>RESPONSE</u>: Mountain Rural objects on the grounds that the request is overbroad,

unduly burdensome, not relevant to the subject matter involved in the present action, and not

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

DATA REQUEST NO.3 Provide the detail and all supporting documentation of your billing and collection with respect to carrier common line ("CCL") charges by month from 2000 to 2005. With respect to your billing detail, provide the following carrier:

- a. Rate charge;
- b. Billing minutes-of-use; and
- c. Revenue collected.

<u>RESPONSE</u>: Mountain Rural objects on the grounds that the request is overbroad,

unduly burdensome, not relevant to the subject matter involved in the present action, and not

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

DATA REQUEST NO.4 Provide the access line counts you used to calculate your CCL revenue requirement for each year from 2000 to 2005.

RESPONSE: Mountain Rural objects on the grounds that the request is overbroad, unduly burdensome, not relevant to the subject matter involved in the present action, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to these objections, and without waiving same, Mountain Rural states see attachment for access line counts in 2004, 2005 and 2006. **DATA REQUEST NO.5** Explain in detail and provide all supporting documents related to how you calculated your CCL per minute rate for each year from 2000 to 2005. Identify the type of minutes you included in your per minute CCL calculation and the sources thereof (*e.g.*, a BellSouth report, your CAB report, etc.), specifically whether the minutes were ACS, ITORP, or some other kind of minutes, and provide all supporting documents.

RESPONSE: Mountain Rural objects on the grounds that the request is overbroad, unduly burdensome, not relevant to the subject matter involved in the present action, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to these objections, and without waiving same, Mountain Rural states see attachment for CCL-related information for 2004, 2005 and 2006.

<u>REQUEST NO. 6</u> Explain in detail and provide all supporting documents related to the process you use to calculate your annual CCL true-up.

- a. If you perform the calculation monthly, provide supporting documents for twelve (12) months; or
- b. If you perform the calculation annually, provide supporting documents for three (3) years.

<u>RESPONSE</u>: Mountain Rural objects on the grounds that the request is overbroad,

unduly burdensome, not relevant to the subject matter involved in the present action, and not

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to these objections,

and without waiving same, Mountain Rural states see response to Data Request Number 5.

<u>REQUEST NO.7</u> Provide all data you supplied to the Kentucky Public Service Commission ("Commission") or otherwise relied upon to satisfy the Commission's 1990 Supplement to the Joint Motion of a Coalition of Local Exchange Companies and Interchange Carriers in Administrative Case 323 to establish your per line CCL rate. Indicate which of those data have changed since 1990 and identify what the current values of those data are:

<u>RESPONSE</u>: Mountain Rural objects on the grounds that the request is overbroad,

unduly burdensome, not relevant to the subject matter involved in the present action, and not

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

REQUEST NO.8 Provide all data indicated on Attachment A, including the following for years ending 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, and 2005:

- a. 32.5000 Basic Area Revenue;
- b. 32.5081 End User Revenue;
- c. 32.5082 Switched Access Revenue intrastate;
- d. 32.5082 Switched Access Revenue interstate;
- e. 32.5083 Special Access Revenue intrastate;
- f. 32.5083 Special Access Revenue interstate;
- g. 32.5100 Long Distance Message Revenue intrastate;
- h. 32.5100 Long Distance Message Revenue interstate;
- i. 32.5200 Miscellaneous Revenue intrastate;
- j. 32.5200 Miscellaneous Revenue interstate;
- k. 32.5230 Directory Revenue;
- 1. 32.5300 Uncollectible Revenue intrastate;
- m. 32.5300 uncollectible Revenue interstate;
- n. Plant specific Operations Expense;
- o. Plant non-specific Operations Expense;
- p. Customer Operations Expense;
- q. Corporate Operations Expense;
- r. Depreciation & Amortization;
- s. Other Operating Income/Expense;
- t. 36.631 Expense Adjustment;
- u. 32.2001 Telecom Plant in Service;
- v. 32.2002 Property Held for Future Use;
- w. 32.2003 Telecom Plant Under Construction;
- x. 32.2005 Telecommunications Plant Adjustment;
- y. 32.2001 TPIS Additions (per general ledger);
- z. 32.2001 TPIS Retirements (per general ledger);
- aa. 32.2001 Broadband Specific Property Additions;
- bb. 32.1120 Cash & Equivalents;
- cc. 32.1170 Account Receivables;
- dd. 32.1406 Nonregulated Investments;
- ee. Message toll intrastate;
- ff. Message toll interstate;
- gg. Private line intrastate;
- hh. Private line interstate; and
- ii. Exchange.

<u>RESPONSE</u>: Mountain Rural objects on the grounds that the request is overbroad,

unduly burdensome, harassing, not relevant to the subject matter involved in the present action, and

not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

<u>REQUEST NO.9</u> Provide a copy of all agreements, releases, exhibits, memoranda, records, or other documents between you and BellSouth or prepared internally by you that relate to the dispute referenced in Paragraphs 14 and 15 of your Formal Complaint received by the

Commission on May 12, 2006 and also identify all payments or other compensation received by you from BellSouth related to such agreements or documents.

RESPONSE: Mountain Rural objects on the grounds that the request is overbroad, unduly burdensome, not relevant to the subject matter involved in the present action, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Mountain Rural further objects on the grounds that the data request seeks confidential information. Subject to these objections and without waiving same, Mountain Rural states it will comply with any Commission order requiring it to produce relevant, confidential information.

Respectfully submitted, John E. Selent Holly C Wallace DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP 1400 PNC Plaza 500 W. Jefferson Street Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 540-2300 (502) 585-2207 (fax) Counsel to Mountain Rural **Telephone Cooperative Corporation**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify a copy of the foregoing was served on the following this \mathcal{T} day of September, 2006:

Via U.S. First Class Mail Postage Prepaid and via Electronic Mail

Mark R. Overstreet STITES & HARBISON, PLLC 421 West Main Street P.O. Box 634 Frankfort, KY 40602-0634 moverstreet@stites.com Counsel to Windstream Kentucky East, Inc. Via U.S. First Class Mail Postage Prepaid

Daniel Logsdon, Esq. Alltel Kentucky, Inc. 229 Lees Valley Road Shepherdsville, KY 40165

Counsel to Mountain Rural Telephone Cooperative Corporation

114001v1

I.T.G. NTSR RATE DEVELOPMENT PER MINUTE RATE METHOD PSC KY NO. 2A ACCESS TARIFF SECTION 3.9.3(B) 2004

COMPANY: MOUNTAIN TEL	EPHONE	AMOUNT
NTS REVENUE REQUIREMEN	IT:	
Line 1 NTSR PER ACCESS LINE	PER MONTH	\$10.88
2 ACCESS LINES (AS OF 12	2/31/03)	16,061
3 MONTHLY NTS REVENUE	EREQUIREMENT	\$174,744
4 ANNUAL NTS REVENUE	REQUIREMENT	\$2,096,924
TERMINATING RATED MOU:		
5 2003 TERMINATED RATE	D MOU PER TRUE-UP CALCULATION	21,578,548
6 GROWTH FACTOR		0.00%
7 FORECASTED 2004 TERM	/INATED RATED MOU	21,578,548
NTSR FOR CABS:		
8 2004 NTSR PER TERMIN	ATING RATED MOU	\$0.097176

I.T.G. NTSR RATE DEVELOPMENT PER MINUTE RATE METHOD PSC KY NO. 2A ACCESS TARIFF SECTION 3.9.3(B)

2005

COMPANY: MOUNTAIN TELEPHONE	AMOUNT
NTS REVENUE REQUIREMENT:	
Line 1 NTSR PER ACCESS LINE PER MONTH	\$10.88
2 ACCESS LINES (AS OF 12/31/04)	16,111
3 MONTHLY NTS REVENUE REQUIREMENT	\$175,287.68
4 ANNUAL NTS REVENUE REQUIREMENT	\$2,103,452
TERMINATING RATED MOU:	
5 2005 TERMINATED RATED MOU PER TRUE-UP CALCULATION	34,153,542
6 GROWTH FACTOR	0.00%
7 FORECASTED 2006 TERMINATING MINUTES	34,153,542
NTSR FOR CABS: Jan - Jun	\$0.061588
Actual NTSR Jan - Jun Annual Requirement NTSR Requirement Aug - Dec	\$1,431,865.57 \$2,103,452.16 \$671,586.59
Forecasted Minutes Aug - Dec	19,505,850
NTSR FOR CABS: Aug - Dec	\$0.034430

I.T.G. NTSR RATE DEVELOPMENT PER MINUTE RATE METHOD PSC KY NO. 2A ACCESS TARIFF SECTION 3.9.3(B)

2006

COMI	PANY: MOUNTAIN TELEPHONE	AMOUNT
	S REVENUE REQUIREMENT:	
Line 1	NTSR PER ACCESS LINE PER MONTH	\$10.88
2	ACCESS LINES (AS OF 12/31/05)	15,881
3	MONTHLY NTS REVENUE REQUIREMENT	\$172,785.28
4	ANNUAL NTS REVENUE REQUIREMENT	\$2,073,423.36
TE	RMINATING RATED MOU:	
5	2005 TERMINATED RATED MOU PER TRUE-UP CALCULATION	38,911,813
6	GROWTH FACTOR	-7.00%
7	FORECASTED 2006 TERMINATING MINUTES	<u>36,187,986</u>
NTSR	FOR CABS:	0.0573