Ernie Fletcher Governor

LaJuana S. Wilcher, Secretary Environmental and Public Protection Cabinet

Christopher L. Lilly Commissioner Department of Public Protection

Ronald J. Barrow Assistant General Manager Northern Kentucky Water District 100 Aqua Drive P.O. Box 220 Cold Spring, KY 41076



Commonwealth of Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615 Telephone: (502) 564-3940 Fax: (502) 564-3460 psc.ky.gov

September 23, 2005

Mark David Goss Chairman

> Teresa J. Hill Vice Chairman

> Gregory Coker Commissioner

RE: Case No. 2005-00148

Please see enclosed data request from Commission Staff in the above case.

If you need further assistance, please contact my staff at (502) 564-3940.

Sincerely,

Beth O'Donnell Executive Director

BOD/jc Enclosure

Kentu

Ronald J. Barrow Assistant General Manager Northern Kentucky Water District 100 Aqua Drive P.O. Box 220 Cold Spring, KY 41076 Honorable John N. Hughes Attorney at Law 124 West Todd Street Frankfort, KY 40601 Honorable David Edward Spenard Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Utility & Rate Intervention Division 1024 Capital Center Drive Suite 200 Frankfort, KY 40601-8204

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

APPLICATION OF NORTHERN KENTUCKY WATER DISTRICT FOR (A) AN ADJUSTMENT OF RATES; (B) A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO WATER FACILITIES; AND (C) ISSUANCE OF BONDS

CASE NO. 2005-00148

)

)

COMMISSION STAFF'S THIRD INFORMATION REQUEST TO NORTHERN KENTUCKY WATER DISTRICT

Northern Kentucky Water District ("NKWD") is requested, pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, to file with the Commission no later than October 7, 2005, the original and 8 copies of the following information, with a copy to all parties of record. Each copy of the data requested should be placed in a bound volume with each item tabbed. When a number of sheets are required for an item, each sheet should be appropriately indexed, for example, Item 1(a), Sheet 2 of 6. Include with each response the name of the witness who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided. Careful attention should be given to copied material to ensure that it is legible. Where information requested herein has been provided, in the format requested herein, reference may be made to the specific location of said information in responding to this information request.

1. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Item 8. Commission Staff requested "an itemized estimate of the cost that NKWD will incur to complete the depreciation study by the end of 2005." NKWD provided its agreement with Black & Veatch Corporation ("Black & Veatch") for the depreciation study, but no estimate of the cost of the study. Provide NKWD's itemized cost estimate for the depreciation study as originally requested.

2. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Item 10. Describe the process that NKWD used to plan and approve its construction projects prior to the completion of its Asset Management Program.

3. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Item 11(a).

a. Between January 1, 2000 and December 31, 2004, NKWD completed only 16 of 41 construction projects (or 39 percent) in the same year in which it began the construction project. Explain why, In light of this experience, NKWD is of the opinion that the proposed multi-year plan's use of budgeted or forecasted construction to adjust rates is reasonable.

b. Provide, as the information becomes available, the total actual project cost, variance in dollars, and date of actual end, for the projects listed in the table below:

	Project No.	Project Title
(1)	184-0411.501	SCADA Upgrade Phase 2
(2)	184-0154	Madison Avenue Water Main Replacement
(3)	184-0142	Kettle Road/Rice Road

c. For the 6-year period between 1998 through 2003, NKWD's actual construction cost was approximately 91 percent of the budgeted amounts. Explain why, if NKWD's budgeting methodology is only 91 percent accurate, it is reasonable to use this methodology for the proposed multi-year rate adjustments.

4. Given that the majority of NKWD's construction projects are not completed in a calendar year, explain why it is fair, just and reasonable for NKWD's customers to pay rates in one year based upon the construction of utility plant that will not be completed or placed in service until the following year.

-2-

5. Compare the multi-year rate plan that NKWD proposes with the multi-year plans that are used by the utilities that Ms. Howe lists at pages 4 and 5 of her Direct Testimony. For each of the listed utilities, describe the similarities and differences of that utility's plan with NKWD's proposal.

6. a. List and describe all alternate proposals to the proposed multi-year rate that NKWD considered.

b. For each listed proposal, explain why NKWD declined to use that proposal.

c. Provide all studies and analyses that NKWD performed or commissioned on each of the alternate proposals listed in Item 6(a).

7. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Item 26(a). NKWD refers to a witness Lee. Identify this witness and state his or her qualifications to testify on the issue of the use of multi-year test periods.

8. In response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Item 27, NKWD states that no formal cost-benefit analysis of the use of a multi-year rate methodology has been performed. Explain why NKWD has not performed such an analysis.

9. At page 3 of his direct testimony, C. Ronald Lovan states that, "I believe that a multi-year rate adjustment is an alternative, that will make the rate setting process more cost-effective for our customers." State the basis of Mr. Lovan's opinion. Provide all studies and analyses upon which he relied to reach his opinion.

10. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Item 28(a) and 28(b). In these responses, Ms. Howe states that she reviewed NKWD's budgeting process to see if it is reliable and accurate, but Ms. Howe concludes

-3-

that NKWD's approaches to budgeting are appropriate and reasonable. Describe the nature of the review that Ms. Howe performed of NKWD's budgeting process.

11. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Item 29. State whether Ms. Howe believes that NKWD's budgeted and forecasted construction costs are a reliable and accurate basis for adjusting rates even if NKWD's actual construction costs are historically only 90 percent of its budgeted construction costs.

12. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Items 31 and 32.

a. In its responses NKWD only address the look back process. Describe the information that NKWD proposes to file to support its annual increase.

b. Describe the review process that NKWD proposes that the Commission use in reviewing the annual filling described in 12(a).

c. The filing requirements for forecasted or budgeted financial information to support an increase in rates are set forth in KRS 278.192 and 807 KAR 5:001, Section 8. Explain why NKWD should not follow these filing requirements when submitting its annual increase requests.

13. Compare NKWD's proposed multi-year rate plan with the multi-year plan approved by the California Public Utilities Commission in Decision 04-06-018 on June 9, 2004 in Proceeding R0309005. List and describe the similarities and differences between the two plans.

14. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Item 34(c).

-4-

a. Explain how, if the annual increases are based upon budgets or forecasts of construction, debt, operating revenues and operating expenses, the increases allow NKWD the opportunity to recover known increases in the operations quickly and efficiently.

b. Describe the nature of the review that NKWD believes that the Commission should undertake in annual review proceedings.

15. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Item 34(d).

a. Describe the assurances or guarantees that the Commission will have under NKWD's proposal that proposed or budgeted construction project will actually occur in the proposed or budgeted time period if NKWD does not apply for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for that project.

b. In its approval of the Accelerated Main Replacement Program Rider ("AMRP") for The Union Light Heat and Power ("ULH&P"), the Commission found that each main replacement project included in ULH&P's proposed AMRP is considered "construction" outside "ordinary course of business" because it involved a sufficient capital outlay to materially affect the existing condition of the utility and ultimately results in an increase in customer rates.¹ Explain why the same finding should not be made to any construction project included in NKWD's multi-year rate proposal.

16. The AMRP that the Commission approved for ULH&P allows for an annual adjustment based upon actual construction costs incurred in the preceding calendar year. Explain why NKWD did not propose a mechanism that bases an annual adjustment on

¹ Case No. 2001-00092, Adjustment of Gas Rates of The Union Light, Heat and Power Company (Ky. PSC January 31, 2002) at 81.

actual construction costs incurred in a previous calendar year rather than an adjustment based upon budgeted and forecasted construction costs.

17. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Item 35(b). NKWD's response was not responsive. Explain how this proposed adjustment differs from the adjustment that the Commission rejected in Case No. 2002-00105² as "a budgetary adjustment based upon projected customer growth."

18. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Items 36(a) and 36(c).

a. The invoices from Black & Veatch contain the amount of time billed and hourly billing rate, but do not include detailed descriptions of the services provided. For each amount that Black & Veatch billed, provide a detailed description of the service provided.

b. Provide an itemized analysis of the costs that NKWD has incurred to date for its multi-year rate proposal.

c. Several invoices from NKWD's Attorney John Hughes assessed a fee for multi-year research. Describe the nature of this research and provide all documents Mr. Hughes provided to NKWD relating to this research.

19. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Items 37(a) and 37(b).

a. NKWD refers to a witness Buhrlage. Identify this witness and provide his or her qualifications.

² Case No. 2002-00105, Application of Northern Kentucky Water District for (A) an Adjustment Of Rates; (B) a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity for Improvements to Water Facilities; and (C) Issuance of Bonds (Ky.PSC Apr. 30, 2003) at 11-12.

b. Identify any employee positions that are currently vacant. Include the annual pro forma salary, the pro forma benefits and the expected date the positions will be filed.

20. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Item 42. For each item listed in Schedule 1 of this Request, provide a description of the expenditure that was originally requested.

21. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Item 43. For each item listed in Schedule 2 of this Request, provide a description of the engineering service that was originally requested.

22. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Item 4. For each item listed in Schedule 3 of this Request, provide a description of the legal service that was originally requested.

23. Provide a comparison of NKWD's rate case expenses (include internal staff, consultant and legal expenses) for its most recently completed rate case and NKWD's estimated rate case expenses in annual rate adjustment proceedings under the proposed multi-year rate mechanism.

24. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Item 52. State whether the leak <u>adjustment</u> is one and one-half times the customer's average bill.

25. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Item 68.

a. State whether all fire connections (excluding fire connections that are separately metered) have a detector meter setting as described.

Case No. 2005-00148

-7-

b. State whether this detector meter provides a volume measurement of water that flows through the 5/8 inch x 3/4 inch meter. If no, state whether the detector meter merely detects the flow of water without any measurement.

c. Explain why, if detector meters measure the volume of water that flows through the by-pass line, water usage must be estimated.

26. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Item 50(b). NKWD states that "a service charge applies only when service is disconnected." Refer to NKWD's Petition, Exhibit M, Proposed Tariff, Sheet No. 5, Section I - General Provisions, Paragraphs 12 – 14 each of which references a service charge but makes no mention of service being disconnected.

a. State whether NKWD will disconnect service in each of the instances set forth in Paragraphs 12 through 14.

b. If NKWD will not disconnect service, explain the purpose of the service charge.

27. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Item 50(c). List each cost component that comprises the service charge fee of \$25.00 and describe how this component was determined. Provide all workpapers, state all assumptions, and show all calculations used to ascertain each component.

28. Refer to NKWD's Petition, Exhibit M, Proposed Tariff, Sheet No. 16, Section XVII, fourth paragraph.

a. State the amount of the reconnection fee.

b. List each cost component that comprises the reconnection fee and describe how this component was determined. Provide all workpapers, state all

Case No. 2005-00148

-8-

assumptions, and show all calculations used to ascertain each component and provide cost justification for that amount.

c. State where the amount and definition of the charge are stated in NKWD's Proposed Tariff.

29. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Item 59.

a. Explain why NKWD proposes to waive the deposit for "governmental type groups."

b. Define "governmental type groups."

c. Explain why NKWD does not list the types of entities that are eligible for a waiver of the deposit.

d. State whether any other entities aside from "governmental type groups" will be eligible for a waiver of the deposit.

30. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's Second Information Request, Item 61(b). This response refers to a meter investigation charge that is based upon NKWD's Invoice Billing Policy. Explain why a "meter investigation charge" is not listed as a miscellaneous service fee in NKWD's proposed tariff.

31. Refer to NKWD's Application, Exhibit N, Revenue Requirements, Schedule 5; NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's First Information Request, Item 4.

a. State the account number to which the Surcharge Revenues in the amount of \$481,467 are charged in the General Ledger.

b. State whether the amount of \$481,467 is the total surcharge revenues collected from all sub-district customers.

-9-

32. Refer to NKWD's Application, Exhibit N, Revenue Requirements, Schedule 3. State whether the annual debt service requirement in the amount of \$12,541,807 represents the average annual principal and interest payments on all outstanding long-term debt of the District and is totally inclusive of the annual principal and interest payments retired with sub-district surcharge collections.

33. Refer to NKWD's Response to Commission Staff's First Information Request,Item 4.

a. Describe the nature of each amount charged to Account No. 635-3003-022, TMTP Discharge to Sanitary

b. Describe the nature of each amount charged to Account No. 635-3004-002, Safety Training – MPTP. State whether these charges recur on an annual basis.

c. Describe the nature of each amount charged to Accounts No. 635-4000-029, Contract Serv. Painting and No. 635-6001-025, Contract Serv. Painting. State whether these charges recur on an annual basis. Explain how these charges differ from those that are deferred and amortized to Account No. 635-6001-027, Water Tower Painting Write Off.

d. Provide all workpapers showing the determination of the amount charged to Account No. 635-6001-027, Water Tower Painting Write Off, \$390,746.98.

e. (1) Describe the nature of each amount charged to Account No. 635-6012-031, Contract Serv. Mainline Cleaning & Lining.

(2) State whether these charges recur on an annual basis.

(3) Explain why these amounts should be expensed rather than capitalized and depreciated.

Case No. 2005-00148

-10-

Provide a comparison, listed by account number and title, of the amounts charged to expenses for Mainline Cleaning and Lining for the previous 10 years.
This comparison should not be limited to Account 635-6012-031, but should include all amounts expensed for cleaning and lining regardless of the account charged.

f. (1) Describe the nature of each amount charged to Account No.635-7005-052, Contractural (sic) Service-Temps

(2) Identify any amounts incurred for temporary services charged to other accounts.

(3) State whether any of the temporary services would be required if NKWD were fully staffed. If yes, explain.

(4) Does the pro forma salary and wage included in the revenue requirements of this case represent full staffing of the District? If no, explain.

 g. Describe the nature of each amount charged to Account No. 659-8000-076, Insurance Expense – Public Officials.

h. Describe the nature of each amount charged to Account No. 659-8000-079, Insurance – Officials.

i. Describe the nature of each amount charged to Account No. 70-7000-054, Bad Debt Expense.

34. Describe the process that NKWD uses to identify, accrue and write-off bad debts.

35. State the range of percentage that NKWD considers reasonable for Bad Debt Expense to Water Sales Revenue.

36. Describe all actions that NKWD has taken to control its bad debt expense.

Case No. 2005-00148

-11-

37. a. State the number of customers, as of December 31, 2004, that NKWD required to make a deposit to continue water service.

b. State whether NKWD requires a customer to make a deposit in any instance other than when the customer has been delinquent in the payment of his or her bill for water service.

c. State whether, in NKWD's opinion, its current customer deposit policy minimizes bad debt expense. Explain.

d. Identify any revisions in NKWD's current customer deposit policy that would further minimize bad debt expense. For each revision listed, state when NKWD expects to implement such revision. If NKWD does not intend to implement such revision, explain why not.

38. Refer to NKWD's Application, Exhibit N, Revenue Requirements, Schedule 3 and NKWD's response to Commission Staff's First Information Request, Item 4.

a. Identify the account number to which the Reserve in the amount of \$375,101 is recorded in the General Ledger.

b. Identify the account number to which the Early Termination amount of \$68,584 is recorded in the General Ledger.

39. Provide the age and material of the pipe that will be replaced as part of the Grand Avenue Water Main Replacement Project.

40. List and describe each complaint that NKWD has received since January 1, 1995 from customers who are currently through facilities that will be replaced as part of Grand Avenue Water Main Replacement Project. Provide copies of all written complaints or written summaries of such complaints.

Case No. 2005-00148

-12-

41. Provide all flow analyses that NKWD has conducted or commissioned on current NKWD facilities that will be replaced as part of Grand Avenue Water Main Replacement Project.

42. At page 8 of NKWD's Application, Exhibit O (Corrected), NKWD refers to "deteriorating water quality" in the area currently served by facilities that will be replaced as part of Grand Avenue Water Main Replacement Project. Define "deteriorating water qualify" and provide all reports and analyses regarding the quality of water received from these facilities.

43. State whether NKWD has requested and received bid proposals for the Grand Avenue Water Main Replacement Project. If yes, provide the bid tabulations and the project engineer's estimate of total constructed project cost. If no, provide the engineer's estimate of total constructed project cost and the date on which NKWD plans to request bid proposals.

Beth O'Donnell Executive Director Public Service Commission P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

Dated: September 23, 2005

cc: Parties of Record

NKWD Case No. 2005-00148 General Expenditures

Schedule 1 Witness Responsible:

	Account	Transaction Date	Journal No.	Vendor	Amount	Descriptions
1.	620-3000-003	12/28/04	137,848	Fischer Scientific	\$ 5,013.03	
2.	620-3000-003	12/29/04	137,851	Hach Company	\$ 2,910.75	Management of the second s
3.	620-3000-022	02/25/04	121,354	Andritz-Ruthner, Inc.	\$ 2,182.36	
4.	620-3000-022	03/09/04	121,997	Enviroquip Inc	\$ 2,611.50	
5.	620-3000-022	05/07/04	124,866	Rawdon Myers Inc.	\$ 3,200.00	
6.	620-3000-022	06/01/04	126,788	Mueller Inc., R.A.	\$ 2,122.04	
7.	620-3000-022	10/08/04	133,021	Industrial Fabrics Corp	\$ 1,449.59	
8.	620-3000-022	10/08/04	133,076	Industrial Fabrics Corp	\$ 1,449.59	
9.	620-3000-022	10/20/04	134,074	Industrial Fabrics Corp	\$ 2,066.00	
10.	620-3000-022	12/13/04	136,661	Mueller Inc., R.A.	\$ 5,404.10	
11.	620-3001-003	11/01/04	135,099	Analytical Services, Inc.	\$ 3,420.00	
12.	620-4000-001	03/24/04	122,673	Rawdon Myers Inc.	\$ 3,950.00	
13.	620-4000-001	04/16/04	123,718	Harrington Industrial Plastics	\$ 2,726.15	
14.	620-4000-001	07/23/04	128,841	Buckeye Pumps, Inc. Cini	\$ 4,350.00	
15.	620-4000-001	11/17/04	135,435	Harrington Industrial Plastics	\$ 2,033.47	
16.	620-4000-002	01/20/04	119,358	Viking Supply, Inc.	\$ 2,798.00	
17.	620-4000-002	06/01/04	126,410	Buckeye Pumps, Inc. Cini	\$ 4,520.00	
18.	620-4000-002	12/07/04	135,969	Rawdon Myers Inc.	\$ 6,400.00	
19.	620-4000-002	12/28/04	137,345	Process Pump and Seal Inc.	\$ 4,892.00	
20.	620-4000-029	10/22/04	133,161	Guthrie Sales & Service Inc.	\$ 9,523.00	
21.	620-4000-029	12/15/04	136,586	Rubachem Systems, Inc.	\$ 2,755.95	
22.	620-5002-031	02/02/04	120,391	Drillco National Group	\$ 2,628.00	
23.	620-5002-031	02/28/04	121,350	Viking Supply, Inc.	\$ 2,105.39	

	Account	Date	Journal No.	Vendor		Amount
24.	620-5002-031	04/01/04	123,668	Flaig Welding Co Inc	\$	2,685.00
25.	620-6000-025	08/01/04	129,385	Viking Supply, Inc.	\$	3,990.00
26.	620-6002-031	06/07/04	126,422	Viking Supply, Inc.	\$	3,004.30
27.	620-6002-031	09/01/04	130,936	Viking Supply, Inc.	\$	3,192.90
28.	620-6002-031	09/28/04	132,267	Viking Supply, Inc.	\$	3,903.92
29.	620-6002-032	01/21/04	119,353	McDonald, Mfg. Co., A.Y.	\$	3,162.00
30.	620-6002-032	03/16/04	122,791	McDonald, Mfg. Co., A.Y.	\$	6,180.00
31.	620-6004-031	01/13/04	118,820	Neptune Equipment Co.	\$	2,953.00
32.	620-7002-054	03/17/04	122,499	Neptune Equipment Co.	\$	3,624.18
33.	620-7002-054	11/01/04	134,375	Neptune Equipment Co.	\$	3,352.08
34.	620-8001-079	04/01/04	123,476	Metropolitan Club	\$	1,364.91
35.	635-2000-015	05/18/04	125,684	Decker Crane Service	\$	1,757.50
36.	635-2000-015	09/01/04	131,456	A1 Electric Motor Service	\$	9,212.60
37.	635-2000-026	09/01/04	130,911	M & E Pumop & Equipment	\$	3,600.00
38.	635-4000-001	06/01/04	126,072	CH2M Hill, Inc	\$	7,669.80
39.	635-4000-002	02/04/04	120,607	C A Eckstein Inc	\$	9,100.00
40.	635-4000-002	02/06/04	120,393	CH2M Hill, Inc	\$	3,208.65
41.	635-4000-002	07/01/04	127,922	Lithco Contracting Inc	\$	9,883.00
42.	635-4006-001	02/05/04	120,303	CH2M Hill, Inc	\$	8,007.77
43.	635-5004-025	09/01/04	130,789	Neltner's Services, LLC	\$	3,315.00
44.	635-6004-031	09/01/04	131,585	Eaton Asphalt	\$	36,000.00
45.	635-6012-031	06/01/04	126,332	Aqua Rehab	\$	160,538.40
46.	635-6012-031	06/24/04	127,581	Generation 2 Construction	\$	25,364.36
47.	635-6012-031	06/24/04	127,863	Aqua Rehab		184,644.00
48.	635-6012-031	07/29/04	129,316	Aqua Rehab	\$:	342,730.80
49.	635-6012-031	09/16/04	131,619	Aqua Rehab	\$	76,434.80
50.	635-7002-052	04/01/04	124,459	Convergys IMG	\$	7,470.29
51.	635-7006-052	12/01/04	135,946	Advanced Utility Systems	\$	37,500.00
52.	635-8001-078	10/01/04	132,780	No Ky Area Planning Commission	\$	50,796.54
53.	635-8001-078	10/01/04	132,819	GBA Master Series, Inc	\$	17,620.00
54.	635-8001-078	10/16/04	133,255	No Ky Area Planning Commission	\$	25,000.00
55.	635-8003-025	11/01/04	134,641	SERVPRO Campbell County	\$	10,855.32

Descriptions

NKWD Case No. 2005-00148 Engineering Fees

Schedule 2 Witness Responsible:

		Transaction		., .		Descriptions
	Account	Date	Journal No.	Vendor	Amount	Descriptions
1.	631-5000-030	01/04/04	119,327	Thelen & Associates GJ	\$ 6,983.27	
2.	631-5000-030	02/01/04	120,639	Thelen & Associates GJ	\$ 990.84	
3.	631-5000-030	02/25/04	121,446	Viox & Viox Inc.	\$ 1,473.50	
4.	631-5000-030	03/01/04	122,819	Viox & Viox Inc.	\$ 2,236.00	
5.	631-5000-030	03/04/04	122,364	Black & Veatch	\$ 6,895.32	
6.	631-5000-030	04/01/04	123,240	Erpenbeck Consulting	\$ 1,114.00	
7.	631-5000-030	04/01/04	123,474	Black & Veatch	\$ 12,904.68	
8.	631-5000-030	07/22/04	129,255	Viox & Viox Inc.	\$ 1,721.00	
9.	631-5000-030	12/01/04	137,344	Viox & Viox Inc.	\$ 5,778.50	
10.	631-5000-030	12/29/04	139,047	Malcom Pirnie, Inc.	\$ 2,100.00	
11.	631-6000-030	06/08/04	126,755	Erpenbeck Consulting	\$ 2,659.00	
12.	631-6000-031	03/01/04	122,092	Thelen & Associates GJ	\$ 4,800.00	
13.	631-6000-031	08/15/04	130,968	Thelen & Associates GJ	\$ 1,128.24	
14.	631-6000-031	11/01/04	135,117	Thelen & Associates GJ	\$ 437.90	
15.	635-2000-026	08/04/04	129,884	Black & Veatch	\$ 1,993.00	

NKWD Case No. 2005-00148 Legal Fees

.

Schedule 3 Witness Responsible:

		Transaction				
	Account	Date	Journal No.	Vendor	Amount	Descriptions
1.	633-3000-001	01/09/04	118,737	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	<u>\$ 1,155.85</u>	
2.	633-3000-001	02/05/04	120,067	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 1,880.10	
3.	633-3000-001	03/05/04	121,583	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 619.55	
4.	633-3000-001	04/09/04	123,863	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 1,002.00	
5.	633-3000-001	05/06/04	125,208	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 552.15	
6.	633-3000-001	07/22/04	129,230	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 210.15	
7.	633-3000-001	11/05/04	134,481	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 123.75	
8.	633-3000-001	12/03/04	136,097	Hemmer, Pangburn, Defrank PLLC	\$ 577.50	
9.	633-5000-030	01/09/04	118,736	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 1,984.75	
10.	633-5000-030	02/05/04	120,063	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 6,518.35	
11.	633-5000-030	03/05/04	121,584	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 4,781.80	
12.	633-5000-030	04/09/04	123,859	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 1,728.75	
13.	633-5000-030	05/06/04	125,207	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 2,073.75	
14.	633-5000-030	07/01/04	128,818	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 1,908.75	
15.	633-5000-030	07/22/04	129,229	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 641.25	
16.	633-5000-030	08/17/04	130,145	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 318.75	
17.	633-5000-030	09/03/04	131,285	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 241.49	
18.	633-5000-030	10/11/04	133,187	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 1,286.25	
19.	633-5000-030	11/05/04	134,477	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 909.67	
20.	633-5000-030	12/03/04	136,093	Hemmer, Pangburn, Defrank PLLC	\$ 371.25	
21.	633-7000-054	01/09/04	118,735	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 2,331.00	
22.	633-7000-054	02/05/04	120,062	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 4,403.00	
23.	633-7000-054	04/09/04	123,858	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 165.00	
24.	633-7000-054	05/06/04	125,206	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 82.50	

		Transaction		Vender	Amount	Descriptions
05	Account	Date 10/11/04	Journal No.	Vendor Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	Amount \$ 907.50	
25.	633-7000-054		133,186		\$ <u>907.50</u> \$ 41.25	
26.	633-7000-054	12/03/04	136,092	Hemmer, Pangburn, Defrank PLLC	\$ 465.00	
27.	633-8000-072	10/11/04	133,184	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
28.	633-8000-072	11/05/04	134,475	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 1,241.25	
29.	633-8000-072	12/03/04	136,090	Hemmer, Pangburn, Defrank PLLC	\$ 2,475.00	
30.	633-8000-079	01/09/04	118,738	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 2,557.90	
31.	633-8000-079	02/05/04	120,064	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 2,524.00	
32.	633-8000-079	03/05/04	121,585	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 2,534.80	
33.	633-8000-079	04/01/04	122,650	Huges John N Attorney	\$ 6,988.00	
34.	633-8000-079	04/01/04	124,306	Graydon Head & Ritchey LLP	\$ 1,413.00	
35.	633-8000-079	04/08/04	123,812	Frost Brown Todd LLC	\$ 1,557.50	
36.	633-8000-079	04`/09/04	123,586	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ <u>5,274.25</u>	
37.	633-8000-079	04/09/04	123,857	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 1,878.00	
38.	633-8000-079	04/09/04	123,860	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 2,502.40	
39.	633-8000-079	04/09/04	123,861	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 1,046.50	
40.	633-8000-079	04/09/04	123,862	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 2,357.25	
41.	633-8000-079	05/12/04	125,204	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 2,211.00	
42.	633-8000-079	06/04/04	126,375	Huges John N Attorney	\$ 2,247.50	
43.	633-8000-079	07/01/04	128,817	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 2,334.75	
44.	633-8000-079	07/01/04	128,820	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 2,501.35	
45.	633-8000-079	07/12/04	129,219	Frost Brown Todd LLC	\$ 1,355.44	
46.	633-8000-079	07/22/04	129,228	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 3,498.75	
47.	633-8000-079	07/22/04	129,231	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 2,500.00	
48.	633-8000-079	08/03/04	129,756	Huges John N Attorney	\$ 5,855.25	
49.	633-8000-079	08/18/04	130,148	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 2,501.05	
50.	633-8000-079	08/18/04	130,336	Frost Brown Todd LLC	\$ 1,512.94	
51.	633-8000-079	09/03/04	131,284	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 1,755.00	
52.	633-8000-079	09/03/04	131,286	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 2,500.00	
53.	633-8000-079	10/04/04	132,528	Huges John N Attorney	\$ 1,954.95	
54.	633-8000-079	10/11/04	133,185	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 2,525.20	
55.	633-8000-079	10/11/04	133,190	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 1,520.50	
56.	633-8000-079	10/11/04	134,476	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 1,194.75	

		Transaction					
	Account	Date	Journal No.	Vendor	Amount	Descriptions	
57.	633-8000-079	11/05/04	134,478	Hemmer, Spoor, Pangburn	\$ 2,508.76		
58.	633-8000-079	12/03/04	136,094	Hemmer, Pangburn, Defrank PLLC \$ 2,438.70	\$ 2,438.70		

Case No. 2005-00148 Schedule 3