PAGE / OF 2 **DATE: 21 SEP 2004** 

## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

## SEP 22 W. BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

## IN THE MATTER OF:

JOINT APPLICATION OF LOUISVILLE GAS	)
AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, METRO HUMAN	)
NEEDS ALLIANCE, PEOPLÉ ORGANIZED AND	)
WORKING FOR ENERGY REFORM AND	) CASE 2004 - 00304
KENTUCKY ASSOCIATION FOR COMMUNITY	) <sup>'</sup>
ACTION FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A	)
HOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	j

## RESPONSE OF ROBERT L. MADISON TO LG&E REQUEST FOR **RECONSIDERATION DATED 17 SEP 2004**

THIS IS THE RESPONSE OF ROBERT L. MADISON TO THE LG&E REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION AND THE LG&E RESPONSE TO MY REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION, THE LG&E LETTER WAS DATED 17 SEP 2004, MY LETTER WAS DATED 15 SEP 2004.

ROBERT L. MADISON MOVES TO PSC TO DENY THE LG&E MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, WHICH WOULD REVOKE MY CURRENT LIMITED INTERVENTION STATUS, AND TO DENY THE LG&E OPPOSITION TO AND RESPONSE TO MY REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR FULL INTERVENTION AND THE ADDITION OF A BRIEFING SCHEDULE.

IN SUPPORT OF MY MOTION AND OPPOSED TO THE LG&E MOTION. I STATE THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. THE LG&E RESPONSE ARGUES THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, WHO HAS NOW BEEN GRANTED INTERVENTION IN THIS CASE. IS ADEQUATELY REPRESENTING MY POSITION(S) AND THEREFORE I HAVE NO SPECIAL INTEREST UNDER THE REGULATIONS.
  - 2. THE AG HAS MADE STATEMENTS THAT REFUTE THE LG&E ARGUMENT.

THE AG IN CASE 2004 - 00103 (AN ADJUSTMENT OF THE RATES OF KENTUCKY AMERICAN WATER COMPANY), IN A LETTER DATED 24 JUNE 2004 ADDRESSED THE ISSUE WHERE THE UTILITY HAS MADE A CLAIM THAT FULL INTERVENTION OF A PARTY SHOULD BE DENIED BECAUSE THE ATTORNEY GENERAL HAS RECEIVED FULL INTERVENTION AND THEREFORE THE REQUESTER HAS NO SPECIAL INTEREST UNDER THE REGULATION (807 KAR 5: 001 (3) (8)). THE AG LETTER HAS THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS:

`KENTUCKY - AMERICAN WATER COMPANY OPPOSES FLOW'S MOTION ARGUING ... THAT FLOW'S " MOTION MUST BE DENIED BECAUSE KENTUCKY AMERICAN WATER'S CUSTOMERS' INTERESTS ARE ALREADY FULLY

PAGE2OF 2 DATE: 21 SEP 2004

REPRESENTED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL " ... ' (PAGE 1)

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL ... DOES NOT BELIEVE THAT HIS PARTICIPATION IS A PROPER BASIS FOR DENYING ALL REQUESTS FOR INTERVENTION MADE UNDER A "SPECIAL INTEREST "CLAIM. (PAGE 3)

`IT DOES NOT PROVIDE KENTUCKY - AMERICAN WITH A SWORD FOR USE AGAINST A PARTY WHOSE VIEWS OR ADVOCACY MAY DIFFER FROM THOSE OF KENTUCKY - AMERICAN. ' (PAGE 3)

`TAKEN TO ITS LOGICAL CONCLUSION, KENTUCKY - AMERICAN SUGGESTS THAT NO PARTY COULD SATISFY THE "SPECIAL INTEREST" GROUNDS FOR INTERVENTION IN ANY CASE IN WHICH THE ATTORNEY GENERAL IS A PARTY. THAT PRODUCES AN ABSURD RESULT.' (PAGE 4)

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AGREES THAT THE INTERVENTION RULES SHOULD BE LIBERALLY CONSTRUED IN FAVOR OF ALLOWING THE INTERVENTION OF INDIVIDUAL CUSTOMERS OR CUSTOMER GROUPS THAT HAVE A DIRECT INTEREST IN THE DECISION THAT THE COMMISSION WILL RENDER. (PAGE 5)

. THE COMMISSION SHOULD CONTINUE TO KEEP OPEN THE DOOR TO CUSTOMERS AND CUSTOMER GROUPS WHO HAVE A DIRECT INTEREST IN THE PROCEEDING AND WHO SEEK TO PARTICIPATE AND CONTRIBUTE TO THE REGULATORY PROCESS. ' (PAGE 5)

I CERTIFY THAT ON 21 SEP 2004, COPIES OF THIS RESPONSE OF ROBERT L. MADISON WERE MAILED, REGULAR MAIL, TO ALL PARTIES OF RECORD.

SINCERELY.

ROBERT L. MADISON 5407 BAYWOOD DRIVE LOUISVILLE KY 40241-1318

Robert L. M. alisa

HOME PHONE: (502) 241-5079