COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

The Application of Kentucky Power Company for:) (1) A General Adjustment of Its Rates for Electric) Service; (2) An Order Approving Its 2014) Environmental Compliance Plan; (3) An Order) Approving Its Tariffs and Riders; and (4) An Order) Granting All Other Required Approvals and Relief)

Case No. 2014-00396

POST-HEARING BRIEF EXHIBIT 3

KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Case No. 2014-00372

LOUISVILLE GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

COST OF CAPITAL

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

J. RANDALL WOOLRIDGE, PH.D.

ON BEHALF OF KENTUCKY OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL March 6, 2015

LOUISVILLE GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY Case No. 2014-00372

Summary of Direct Testimony of J. Randall Woolridge, Ph. D.

Dr. Woolridge is testifying as to the appropriate cost of capital for Louisville Gas & Electric ("LGE") Company. He has also evaluated the testimony and rate of return recommendation, and testimony of LGE witnesses Mr. Kent W. Blake, Dr. William E. Avera and Mr. Adrien McKenzie.

KU has proposed a capital structure that includes 4.46% short-term debt, 42.97% long-term debt and 52.75% common equity. Their cost of capital recommendation also includes short-term and long-term debt cost rates of 0.89% and 4.16% and a common equity cost rate or return on equity ("ROE") of 10.50%. Dr. Woolridge has adjusted the capital structure ratios of LGE to be more reflective of the capital structures of electric utility and gas distribution companies and LGE's company, PPL Corporation ("PPL"). This capital structure includes 50.0% debt and 50.0% common equity. He has used the Company's proposed debt cost rates. Dr. Woolridge has applied the Discounted Cash Flow Model ("DCF") and the Capital Asset Pricing Model ("CAPM") to a proxy group of publicly-held electric utility ("Electric Proxy Group") and gas distribution companies ("Gas Proxy Group") as well as the group developed by the Dr. Avera and Mr. McKenzie ("Avera/McKenzie Proxy Group"). Based primarily on his DCF equity cost rate results, he recommends an equity cost rate of 8.75% for LGE's electric utility operations and 8.60% for LGE's gas distribution operations. Using his capital structure and senior capital cost rates, he recommends an overall fair rate of return or cost of capital of 6.31% for electric operations and 6.23% for gas distribution operations..

Dr. Woolridge also provides a critique of the ROE testimony of Dr. Avera and Mr. McKenzie. One major point of difference is the opposing views about the state of capital markets and capital costs. Dr. Avera and Mr. McKenzie note that while interest rates and capital costs are at historically low levels due to the financial crisis and the monetary stimulus, they point to forecasts of higher interest rates to indicate that capital costs are about to increase. Dr. Woolridge notes that (1) the economy has been growing for over four years and unemployment is down to 5.6%; (2) inflationary expectations and interest rates remain at historically low levels and are likely to stay there for some time; (3) reflective of the improved economic conditions, corporate earnings growth, and low interest rates, the stock market is at an all-time high; and (4) economists' forecasts of higher interest rates cited by Dr. Avera and Mr. McKenzie have consistently been incorrect in the past.

Dr. Woolridge also highlights several issues with Dr. Avera and Mr. McKenzie's equity cost rate studies. In particular, he notes that (1) they have ignored their low-end DCF results, (2) they have used inflated base interest rates and risk premiums in their CAPM and Utility Risk Premium studies; and (3) they have included adjustments for size and flotation costs.

Dr. Woolridge concludes whereas his 8.75% and 8.60% ROE recommendations are below the average authorized ROEs for electric utilities and gas companies, he notes that state-level authorized ROEs tend to lag behind interest rates and capital costs, and that the trend is lower ROEs and the norm is below 10.0%.

1		then estimate the equity cost rate for the Company. Finally, I critique LGE's rate of		
2		return analysis and testimony. A table of contents is provided just after the title page.		
3				
4	Q.	PLEASE REVIEW THE ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATIONS		
5		REGARDING THE APPROPRIATE RATE OF RETURN FOR THE		
6		COMPANY.		
7	A.	The Company's proposed capital structure and senior capital cost rates are provided		
8		by Mr. Kent W. Blake. I have adjusted the capital structure ratios of LGE to be more		
9		reflective of the capital structures of electric utility companies and LGE's parent		
10		company, PPL Corporation ("PPL"). This capital structure includes 50.0% debt and		
11		50.0% common equity. I have employed the Company's proposed debt cost rates.		
12		Dr. William E. Avera and Mr. Adrien M. McKenzie have recommended a common		
13		equity cost rate of 10.64% for the Company. I have applied the Discounted Cash Flow		
14		Model ("DCF") and the Capital Asset Pricing Model ("CAPM") to a proxy group of		
15		publicly-held electric utility companies ("Electric Proxy Group"), gas distribution		
16		companies ("Gas Proxy Group"), as well as the group developed by Dr. Avera and		
17		Mr. McKenzie ("Avera/McKenzie Proxy Group"). My analysis indicates an equity		
18		cost rate of 8.75% is appropriate for the LGE's electric utility operations and 8.60%		
19		for LGE's gas distribution operations. These figures represent the upper end of my		
20		equity cost rate range for the proxy groups. With my proposed capital structure and		
21		senior capital cost rates, I am recommending an overall fair rate of return or cost of		
22		capital of 6.30% for the electric utility operations and 6.23% for the gas distribution		
23		operations. These are summarized in Exhibit IRW-1		

1

D. EQUITY COST RATE SUMMARY

2

3 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EQUITY COST RATE STUDY.

A. My DCF analyses for the Electric, Avera/McKenzie and Gas Proxy Groups indicate
equity cost rates of 8.6%, 8.8%, and 8.6%, respectively. My CAPM analyses for the
three proxy groups indicate equity cost rates of 7.9%, 8.0%, and 8.4%

	DCF	CAPM
Electric Proxy Group	8.6%	7.9%
Avera/McKenzie	8.8%	8.0%
Proxy Group		
Gas Proxy Group	8.6%	8.4%

7 Q. GIVEN THESE RESULTS, WHAT IS YOUR ESTIMATED EQUITY COST 8 RATE FOR THE GROUPS?

9 Given these results, I conclude that the appropriate equity cost rate for companies in A. my Electric Group and the Avera/McKenzie Proxy Group is in the 7.8% to 8.8% 10 range. However, since I rely primarily on the DCF model, I am using the upper end 11 of the range as the equity cost rate. Therefore, I conclude that the appropriate equity 12 cost rate for the Company's electric utility operations is 8.75%. For the Gas Proxy 13 Group, these results indicate an equity cost rate of 8.6% and 8.4%. Since my 14 15 previous analysis suggests that the gas group is less risky than the other two groups, 16 and again relying primarily on the DCF results, I conclude that an equity cost rate of 17 8.6% is appropriate for LGE's gas distribution operations.

18

PLEASE INDICATE WHY RETURNS OF 8.75% AND 8.6% ARE 1 Q. 2 APPROPRIATE FOR THE COMPANY AT THIS TIME. 3 A. There are a number of reasons these ROEs are appropriate and fair for the Company 4 in this case: 5 1. As shown in Exhibit JRW-8, the electric utility and gas distribution 6 industries are among the lowest risk industries in the U.S. as measured by beta. As such, the cost of equity capital for these industries is amongst the lowest in the U.S., 7 8 according to the CAPM. 9 2. As shown in Exhibits JRW-2 and JRW-3, capital costs for utilities, as indicated by long-term bond yields, are still at historically low levels. In addition, 10 given the low inflationary expectations and the slow global economic growth, interest 11 12 rates are likely to remain at low levels for some time. 3. As highlighted by Mr. McKenzie and Dr. Avera, LGE has a number of rate 13 adjustment mechanisms for environmental costs and demand side management that 14 serve to reduce the riskiness of LGE. 15 4. As previously indicated, the authorized ROEs for electric utilities have 16 17 gradually decreased in recent years. These authorized ROEs have declined from 10.01% in 2012, to 9.8% in 2013, to 9.76% in 2014, according to Regulatory 18 Research Associates. In my opinion, these authorized ROEs have lagged behind 19 capital market cost rates. This has been especially true in recent years as some state 20 commissions have been reluctant to authorize ROEs below 10%. However, the trend 21 has been towards lower ROEs, and the norm now is below ten percent. Hence, I 22 believe that my recommended ROEs reflect our present historically low capital cost 23

1 2 rates, and these low capital cost rates are finally being recognized by state utility commissions.

DO YOU BELIEVE THAT YOUR ROE RECOMMENDATIONS MEET

3

5

4

Q.

HOPE AND BLUEFIELD STANDARDS?

6 Α. Yes. As previously noted, according to the Hope and Bluefield decisions, returns on 7 capital should be: (1) comparable to returns investors expect to earn on other investments of similar risk; (2) sufficient to assure confidence in the company's 8 9 financial integrity; and (3) adequate to maintain and support the company's credit and to attract capital. LGE's average earned ROE over the past three years (2011-2013) 10 is 9.49%.³² LGE has been able to raise capital on attractive terms and its credit rating 11 has been upgraded. The Company issued \$250 million in first mortgage, 30-year 12 bonds in November of 2013 at 4.65%. In addition, on January 31, 2014, Moody's 13 upgraded LGE to an issuer rating of A3 and in July of 2014 S&P put LGE on 14 CreditWatch with positive implications. Therefore, I do believe that my ROE 15 recommendation meets the criteria established in the *Hope* and *Bluefield* decisions. 16

17

VI. <u>CRITIQUE OF LGE'S RATE OF RETURN TESTIMONY</u>

19

18

20 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE COMPANY'S COST OF CAPITAL 21 RECOMMENDATION.

A. LGE witness Mr. Kent W. Blake provides the recommended capital structure and
 debt cost rates, and Dr. Avera and Mr. McKenzie recommend a common equity cost
 ³² Attachment to LGE AG 1-185 - 1 (1).