

| Attention. | Electric Generation Box | arq | Date. | 10 | /29/03 | |
|------------------|---|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Company: | | Numbe | lumber of Pages: 7 | | | |
| Fax Number: | 1-502-564-3460 | | | (日本) (日本) (日本) (日本) (日本) (日本) (日本) (日本) | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
| Voice Number: | | 77 - <u>1</u> 77 - | | | RECENTUCKY STAT | /ED |
| From: Tor | n FitzGerald | | | B. T. Marine W. Marine | 001 2 9 | 2003 |
| Company: | Kentucky Resources Cou | ncil, Inc. | | · · | LECTRIC GENER TRANSMISSION | ATION AND SITING |
| Fax Number: | 1-502-456-0510 | | a i jobi yar Aligin ka isa | | A BOLLAN | |
| Voice Number: | 1-002-101-2772 | 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | y ye | π. 1.3 1.3. | |
| Subject: | | | | | e d The | |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| Goodman. | nis in Case No. 2002-0 All parties have been s es are in the mail today | erved by m | | | | / |
| Thanks very | much! | | | ż | . * | |
| Tom FitzGe | rald | | m | | . १ कुम | |
| | | | The Market Affects The Market Affects | | | |

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE KENTUCKY STATE BOARD ON ELECTRIC GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION SITING

RECEIVED
KENTUCKY STATE BOARD ON

OCT 2 9 2003

TING

ELECTRIC GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION SITING

In the Matter of:

| THE APPLICATION OF THOROUGHBREE |) | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| GENERATING COMPANY, LLC. FOR A |) | | | |
| MERCHANT POWER PLANT |) | CASE NO. | 2002-0 | 0150 |
| CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE IN |) | | - Fac ia | 9] 2 |
| MUHLENBERG COUNTY, KENTUCKY |) | gir. San yan yan Tuga | | -10- |

RESPONSE OF INTERVENOR GARY WATROUS TO THOROUGHBRED GENERATING C OMPANY, LLC'S MOTION TO STRIKE TESTIMONY

Comes Intervenor Gary Watrous ("Watrous") by counsel, and responds to the motion of Thoroughbred Generating Company, LLC, ("Thoroughbred") to strike his pre-filed direct testimony. For the reasons presented below, Watrous respectfully requests that the Motion To Strike be overruled.

1. THE RULES OF PROCEDURE FOR THE ELECTRIC GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION SITING BOARD DO NOT PROVIDE FOR FILING MOTIONS TO STRIKE

The motion to strike the Intervenor Watrous' testimony fails to cite the statute or regulation granting the right to file a motion to strike. There is, in fact, no statutory or regulatory basis to support the filing of a preemptive motion to strike testimony, and for this reason alone, the motion should be overruled.

While the Kentucky Rules of Civil Procedure provide a mechanism for seeking to strike a defense as insufficient, or for striking other matter from the record, the files of procedure are inapplicable since the rules "govern procedure and practice in all actions o a civil nature in the Court of Justice except special statutory proceedings[.]" The Electri Generation and Transmission Siting Board is not a Court of Justice under the Kentucky

Constitution, but instead is an administrative agency and as such is not bound by the rules of procedure. Department of Human Resources v. Redmon, 599 S.W.2d 474 (Ky. App. 1980).

The motion to strike should be overruled as unauthorized under Board procedural rules.

2. TO THE EXTENT THAT A MOTION TO STRIKE IS PERMISSIBLE THOROUGHBRED HAS FAILED TO DEMONSTRATE ENTITLEMENT TO THE RELIEF REQUESTED

Motions to strike are authorized, in courts of justice, under Kentucky Civil Rule 12.06 which provides that:

Upon motion made by a party before responding to a pleading or if no responsive pleading is permitted by these rules, upon motion made by a party within 20 days after the service of the pleading upon him, or upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any sham, redundant, immaterial, impertinent or scandalous matter.

Assuming, for the sake of argument, that a motion to strike were authorized,

Thoroughbred has failed to demonstrate entitlement to such drastic remedy for several independent and sufficient reasons.

First, a motion to strike is a mechanism for challenging the sufficiency of a defense or other pleading, and is *not* a tool for peremptorily discarding witness testimony. While the Electric Generation and Transmission Siting Board has adopted the mechanism from Public Service Commission practice of pre-filing testimony, the testimony is *not* a "pleading" within the meaning of the civil rule and a motion to strike is not available to remove from the record the testimony of an intervening party that has been granted full participation rights.

Second, motions to strike matters from pleadings "are disfavored and are infrequently granted", Phillips, 6 Kentucky Practice p. 241 (West, 1995), and should not be granted if there are disputed factual issues that should be determined at a hearing on the merits. Id. In considering a motion to strike, the truth of the statements averred must be admitted. Id In this instance, the Direct Testimony of Gary Watrous is directly relevant to the statutor requirements to which Thoroughbred is bound, including KRS 278,706(2)(i), which requires the "analysis of the proposed facility's economic impact on the affected region an the state." Additionally, one of the approval criteria for the construction certificate requires the consideration of the "economic impact of the facility upon the affected region and the state." Jefferson County is a part of the state, and the affected region, and the testimony of Mr. Watrous speaks directly to his concerns that the applicant failed to fully assess the potential economic impacts of the facility and its consumption of air quality through air emissions, on the economic health of the region and state. Mr. Watrous' testimony focuses on the economic health of the community, the link between air quality and the local economy, and the potential impact of additional emissions of ozone precursors, fine particulates and mercury from the proposed plant on the Mammoth Cave and Jefferson County regions. Concerning the failure of the applicant to include information concerning transmission lines, the testimony is directly relevant, since the statutory definition of "merchant electric generating facility" requires that associated facilities be considered as part of the facility. KRS 278,700(2).

That Thoroughbred may disagree with Mr. Watrous is not a sufficient basis for summarily striking his testimony. Mr. Watrous was granted intervention status as a lay witness, and the weight to be accorded his testimony will be determined by the Board aft

testimony can be tested by any adverse party and intervenor on cross-examination. There is sufficient factual disagreement concerning relevant matters to demonstrate that is not appropriate for summary rejection of the testimony through a motion to strike.

Finally, the motion to strike was untimely. A motion to strike should be brought before responding to a pleading or within twenty days after service of the pleading. The rebuttal testimony filed by Thoroughbred, in particular that of Mr. DeBusschere, responded to the Direct Testimony of Mr. Watrous, and any motion to strike that direct testimony should have been brought *prior* to the rebuttal testimony, and yet was not served until after 4:30 on the afternoon before the scheduled hearing.

Conclusion :

The motion to strike the testimony of Intervenor Gary Watrous should be rejected as unauthorized by the Board's rules of practice, as untimely, and as failing to meet the standards for such a drastic and disfavored remedy. The Direct Testimony of Mr. Watrous speaks to statutory criteria for review and approval of a construction certificate, is relevant and is well within the bounds of the Order granting him full intervention status. For each and all of these reasons, Mr. Watrous respectfully requests that the Motion to Strike be overruled.

Respectfully submitted.

Tom FitzGerald

Kentucky Resources Council, Inc.

P.O. Box 1070

Frankfort, Kentucky 40602

(502) 875-2428

Counsel for Movant Gary Watrous

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served upon the following individuals, by first-class mail, postage prepaid:

Honorable Carl W. Breeding Greenebaum Doll & McDonald PLLC 229 West Main Street, Suite 101 Frankfort, KY 40601-1847

Nick Schmitt
Milo Eldridge
Mactec Engineering & Consulting, Inc
13425 Eastpoint Centre Drive, Suite 122
Louisville, KY 40223

Honorable Rodney Kirtley
Judge/Executive
Muhlenberg County Courthouse
P.O. Box 137
Greenville, KY 42345

Hank List, Secretary
Natural Resources and Env. Prot. Cabinet
5th Floor, Capital Plaza Tower
500 Mero Street
Frankfort, KY 40601

Honorable James M. Miller Sullivan, Mountjoy, Stainback & Miller, PSC 100 St. Ann Street, P.O. Box 727 Owensboro, KY 42302-0727

David G. Rhoades, Chairman Muhlenberg Joint City County Planning Commission 203 North 2nd Street Central City, KY 42330

Dianna Tickner, President Thoroughbred Generating Company, LLC 701 Market Street, 6th Floor, Suite 781 St. Louis, MO 63101 J. R. Wilhite
Commissioner - Community Development
Economic Development Cabinet
2300 Capital Plaza Tower
500 Mero Street
Frankfort, KY 40601

J. Scott Yaeger Peabody Energy Company 701 Market Street, Suite 700 St. Louis, MO 63101-0000

Linda Portasik, Esq. LG&E Energy Corp. 220 West Main Street Louisville, KY 40202

Kendrick Riggs, Esq.
Ogden Newell and Welch, PLLC
500 West Jefferson Street Suite 1700
Louisville KY 40202

David Spainhoward, Vice President Big Rivers Electric Corporation 201 Third Street, P.O. Box 24 Henderson, Kentucky 42420

and that a copy of the Reply was sent by telefax and that the original and ten (10) copies of this motion were mailed to the Public Service Commission, this 29th day of October, 2003.

Tom FitzGerald