COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

ALTERNATIVE RATE ADJUSTMENT FILING OF KIRKSVILLE WATER ASSOCIATION, INC.

) CASE NO. 2015-00097

NOTICE OF FILING OF COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

Notice is hereby given that, in accordance with the Commission's Order of July 27, 2015, the attached report containing the findings of Commission Staff ("Staff") regarding the Applicant's proposed rate adjustment has been filed in the record of the above-styled proceeding. Pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 5 of the Commission's July 27, 2015 Order, Kirksville Water Association, Inc. is required to file written comments regarding the findings of Staff no later than September 7, 2015.

Jeff Derouen Executive Director Public Service Commission P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, KY 40602

AUG 2 4 2015

cc: Parties of Record

STAFF REPORT ON

KIRKSVILLE WATER ASSOCIATION, INC.

CASE NO. 2015-00097

Kirksville Water Association, Inc. ("Kirksville") provides water service to approximately 1,789 customers residing in the Kentucky counties of Garrard and Madison.¹ On March 30, 2015, Kirksville tendered an application to the Commission pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 requesting to adjust its water service rates based on the test-year operations ended December 31, 2014. The application was considered filed on April 27, 2015, when all filing deficiencies were cured.

The requested rates would increase the monthly cost of 5,000 gallons of water purchased through a 5/8-inch x 3/4-inch meter from \$42.74 to \$48.63, an increase of \$5.89, or 13.8 percent. Kirksville stated that these rates would increase its annual revenues by \$110,211, or 13.8 percent.

Staff performed a limited financial review of Kirksville's test-year operations to determine the reasonableness of the requested water service rates. The scope of Staff's review was limited to determining whether operations reported for the test year were representative of normal operations. Known and measurable changes to test-year operations were identified and adjustments were made when their effects were deemed to be material. Insignificant or immaterial discrepancies were not pursued and were not addressed.

¹ Annual Report of Kirksville Water Association, Inc. to the Public Service Commission for the Calendar Year Ended December 31, 2014 ("2014 Annual Report") at 5 and 27.

Staff's findings are summarized in this report. Jack Scott Lawless reviewed the calculation of Kirksville's Overall Revenue Requirement. Sam Reid reviewed revenues and rate design.

Summary of Findings

1. <u>Overall Revenue Requirement and Required Revenue Increase</u>. Staff applied the Debt Service Coverage ("DSC") Method, as generally accepted by the Commission, to determine Kirksville's revenue requirement. Using this method, Staff found that Kirksville can justify a total Overall Revenue Requirement of \$934,902 and that a water sales revenue increase of \$79,035, or 9.58 percent, above pro forma present rate water revenues is necessary to generate the Overall Revenue Requirement.

2. <u>Water Service Rates</u>. Kirksville proposes an across-the-board increase in general water service rates of approximately 13.8 percent. The Commission has previously found that an across-the-board percentage increase is an appropriate and equitable method to increase rates in the absence of a cost-of-service study, and Staff has followed that method in this instance. The rates set forth in Attachment A to this report will produce sufficient revenues from water sales to meet the Overall Revenue Requirement of \$934,902 as calculated by Staff.

3. <u>Depreciation</u>. As discussed in detail in Attachment B, Staff found that Kirksville should change the depreciable lives assigned to certain water assets for ratemaking and accounting purposes. Staff further finds that no adjustment to accumulated depreciation or retained earnings should be made to account for the effect of this recommended change in accounting estimate.

-2-

Pro Forma Operating Statement

Kirksville's Pro Forma Operating Statement for the test year ended December

31, 2014, as determined by Staff, appears below.

	Test Year	Adjustments Ref.	Pro Forma
Operating Revenues Water Sales Other Operating Revenue	\$ 798,562 30,198	\$ 26,131 (A)	\$824,693 30,198
Total Operating Revenue	828,760	26,131	854,891
Operating Expenses Operation and Maintenance Expenses Salaries and Wages - Employees Salaries and Wages - Directors Purchased Water	116,516 18,600 361,817	8,924 (B) (4,060) (C)	116,516 18,600 366,682
Purchased Power Materials and Supplies Contractual Services - Engineering Contractual Services - Accounting Contractual Services - Management Fee Insurance - General Liability Insurance – Worker's Compensation Advertising Expense Miscellaneous Expenses	15,087 51,203 10,599 11,850 53,051 15,673 6,826 80 36,745	(165) (C)	14,922 51,203 10,599 11,850 53,051 15,673 6,826 80 36,745
Total Operation and Maintenance Expension Depreciation Expense Taxes Other Than Income	es 698,047 154,176 7,625	4,700 (21,882) (D)	702,747 132,294 7,625
Total Operating Expenses	859,848	(17,182)	842,666
Net Operating Income Plus: Interest Income	(31,088) <u>976</u>	43,313	12,225 <u>976</u>
Income Available to Service Debt	(30,112)	43,313	13,201

(A) <u>Billing Analysis Adjustment</u>. In its application, Kirksville provided a billing analysis that stated total test-year gallons sold at 93,139,460. During its review, Staff determined that this amount did not account for leak adjustments awarded by Kirksville to customers during the test year that totaled 848,960 gallons. Subtracting the leak adjustments from the billing analysis reduced test-year gallons sold at the general service rates to 92,290,500. Applying Kirksville's current retail water service rates, which reflect Kirksville's recent purchased water adjustment increase,² to the adjusted test-year sales volume, Staff increased Kirksville's test-year revenue from Water Sales of \$798,562 by \$26,131 to \$824,693.

(B) Increase to Wholesale Water Costs. During the test year, Kirksville purchased 149,022 hundred cubic feet of wholesale water from Richmond Utilities. On May 15, 2015, Richmond Utilities notified Kirksville and the Kentucky Public Service Commission that it will increase the volumetric rate charged to Kirksville by 1.6 percent to \$2.35332 per hundred cubic feet for purchases made on and after July 1, 2015. As calculated below, Staff determined that this wholesale water rate increase will increase Kirksville's test-year purchased water costs by \$8,924. Accordingly, Staff increased Kirksville's test-year purchased water expense by \$8,924.

² Kirksville's current rates were approved by the Commission in Case No. 2015-00225, *Purchased Water Adjustment Filing of Kirksville Water Association* (Ky. PSC Aug. 3, 2015).

Test-Year Purchases, Hundred Cubic Feet Times: Rate Effective July 1, per 100 Cubic Feet	_\$	149,022 2.35332
Pro Forma Volumetric Purchased Water Charge Plus: Meter Charges, Annual Kentucky River Withdrawal Fee		350,696 76 19,969
Pro forma Purchased Water Less: Test Year		370,741 (361,817)
Increase	\$	8,924

(C) <u>Remove Cost of Excess Water Loss</u>. Using information provided by Kirksville, Staff calculated Kirkville's test-year water loss to be 16.09 percent, or 1.09 percent above the 15 percent allowed for ratemaking purposes by 807 KAR 5:066, Section 6(3).³ As calculated below, Staff removed from pro forma operations the cost incurred by Kirksville to purchase and pump water loss that is above the allowable limit.

З

Test-Year Purchases	111,468,456
Less: Test-Year Water Sales	(93,139,460)
System Flushing	(270,750)
Fire Department Use	(117,400)
Water Loss	17,940,846
Divide by: Test-Year Purchases	111,468,456
Water Loss Percentage	16.09%
Less: Allowable Percentage	15.00%
Excess Water Loss	1.09%

	Test Year	Increase Due to Wholesale Rate Change		Times: Excess Water Loss Percentage Decrease
Purchased Water Purchased Power for Pumping	\$361,817 15,087	\$ 8,924	\$370,741 15,087	1.09% \$ (4,060) 1.09% (165)
(D) <u>Depreciation</u> .	Kirksville	reported \$1	54,176 for	test-year depreciation
expense. Staff reviewed all	the lives as	ssigned to Kir	ksville's as	sets. A summary of this
review is found at Attachmer	nt B of this	report. Base	ed on this r	eview, Staff determined
that Kirksville's test-year de	preciation	should be re	educed by	\$21,882, as calculated
below.				

	Original Cost	Depreciable Life Assigned By Staff	Pro Forma Depreciation Expense	Less: Test-Year Depreciation Expense	Adjustment
Transmission and Distribution M	ains \$ 486,470	50	\$ 9,729	\$ (12,162)	\$ (2,432)
Meter Sets	573,920	45	12,754	(23,248)	
Office Equipment, Telemetry	37,093	10	3,709	(1,855)	· · /
Phase V	482,240	50	9,645	(19,290)	
Pumping Equipment:					(-,,
Item 24	57,575	35	1,645	(1,919)	(274)
Items 38, 45, and 46	272,003	50	5,440	(8,700)	(3,260)
_ Item 50	24,054	20	1,203	(802)	401
Telemetry	32,818	10	3,282	(1,313)	1,969

Decrease to Test-Year Depreciation Expense

\$ (21,882)

Overall Revenue Requirement and Required Revenue Increase

Kirksville and Staff calculated Kirksville's overall revenue requirement and required revenue increase using the DSC Method that is generally accepted by the Commission. This method allows for recovery of: 1) cash-related pro forma operating expenses; 2) recovery of depreciation expense, a non-cash item, to provide working capital;⁴ 3) the average annual principal and interest payments on all long-term debts, and 4) working capital that is in addition to depreciation expense. A comparison of Kirksville's and Staff's calculation of Kirksville's Overall Revenue Requirement and Required Revenue Increase is shown below.

⁴ The Kentucky Supreme Court has held that the Commission must permit a water district to recover its depreciation expense through its rates for service to provide internal funds to be used for renewing and replacing assets. *See Public Serv. Comm'n of Kentucky v. Dewitt Water Dist.*, 720 S.W.2d 725, 728 (Ky.1986). Although a water district's lenders require that a small portion of the depreciation funds be deposited annually into a debt reserve/depreciation fund until the account's balance accumulates to a required threshold, neither the Commission nor the Court requires that revenues collected for depreciation be accounted for separately from the water district's general funds or that depreciation funds be used only for asset renewal and replacement. The Commission has recognized that the working capital provided through recovery of depreciation expense may be used for purposes other than renewal and replacement of assets. *See* Case No. 2012-00309, *Application of Southern Water and Sewer District for an Adjustment in Rates Pursuant to the Alternative Rate Filing Procedure for Small Utilities* (Ky. PSC Dec. 21, 2012).

	Kirksville	Staff
Pro Forma Operating Expenses Plus: Average Annual Debt Principal and Interest Payments Additional Working Capital	\$ 850,450 73,873 14,775	\$ 842,666 76,863 15,373
Overall Revenue Requirement Less: Other Revenue Interest Income	 939,098 (30,325)	 934,902 (30,198) (976)
Revenue Required from Rates Less: Pro Forma Present Rate Revenue	 908,773 (798,562)	 903,728 (824,693)
Revenue Increase Necessary to Meet Overall Requirement Percentage Increase	\$ <u>110,211</u> 13.80%	\$ 79,035 9.58%

1. <u>Average Principal and Interest Payments</u>. Kirksville currently has four loans payable to the United States Department of Agriculture Rural Development ("RD") and one loan payable to the Kentucky Rural Water Financing Corporation ("KRWFC"). In its application, Kirksville requested recovery of \$73,873 for principal and interest payments on these loans, but did not provide the calculation of this amount or explain how the amount was determined.

To calculate Kirksville's revenue requirement, Staff included the five-year average debt principal and interest payments to be made by Kirksville in the years 2015 through 2019 to match the average debt payment with the anticipated five-year life of the water service rates that will be approved by the Commission in this proceeding. Staff's calculation of the five-year average is shown below.

.

	ĸ	RWFC	91-03	RD Loa 91-05	an No. 91-10	91-11	Total Annual Payment	
2015 2016 2017 2018 2019	\$	68,660 52,610 51,770 55,860 54,880	\$ 5,181 5,181 5,181 5,181 5,181 5,181	\$ 5,669 5,669 5,669 5,669 5,669	\$3,812 3,812 3,812 3,812 3,812 3,812	\$ 5,445 5,445 5,445 5,445 5,445 5,445	\$ 88,767 72,717 71,877 75,967 74,987	
Five-Yea Five-Yea							\$ 384,315 \$ 76,863	_

2. Additional Working Capital. The DSC method, as historically applied by the Commission, includes an allowance for additional working capital that is in addition to the amount provided through recovery of Depreciation Expense. The additional working capital is set equal to the minimum net revenues required by Kirksville's lenders that are above its average annual debt payments. RD requires a DSC ratio of 120 percent of the utility's average annual debt payments on RD's loans as well as all other debts that are on par with its loans, such as Kirksville's KRWFC loan. As shown below,

ATTACHMENT A STAFF REPORT, CASE NO. 2015-00097

RATES CALCULATED BY STAFF

Monthly Rates

.

First	2,000 gallons	\$ 19.49	Mi
	=jeee ganono	φ 13.43	IAU

.

Next 5,000 gallons

All over 10,000 gallons

- \$ 19.49 Minimum bill
 - 9.39 per 1,000 Gallons
 - 7.92 per 1,000 Gallons
 - 6.70 per 1,000 Gallons

Staff calculated Kirksville's allowance for additional working capital to be \$15,373. Staff

included this amount in the calculation of Kirksville's revenue requirement.⁵

Average Annual Debt Payment Times: 120 Percent	\$ 76,863 120%
Required Net Revenues Less: Average Annual Debt Payment	 92,236 (76,863)
Allowance for Additional Working Capital	\$ 15,373

Signatures Prepared by: Jac

Prepared by: Jack Scott Lawless, CPA Branch Manager, Water and Sewer **Revenue Requirements Branch Division of Financial Analysis**

Prepared by: Sam Reid Branch Manager, Water and Sewer Rate Design Branch Division of Financial Analysis

⁵ RD and KRWFC require that Kirksville assess rates for water service that produce net revenues that are equal to at least 120 percent of the average annual principal and interest payments. The DSC ratio measures an entity's ability to pay its cash-related operating expenses and to pay debt principal and interest. RD and KRWFC calculate the ratio by dividing net revenues by the entity's average annual debt principal and interest payments. Net revenues are equal to total gross revenues less cash-related expenses. Depreciation expense, a noncash operating expense, is excluded from the determination of net revenues. As shown below, the required DSC Ratio is met with and without including the additional allowance for working capital in Kirksville's Overall Revenue Requirement.

Overal	I Revenue Requirement	\$ 934,902	\$ 919,529
Less:	Operation and Maintenance Expenses	(702,747)	(702,747)
	Taxes Other Than Income	 (7,625)	 (7,625)
Net Re	evenues	224,530	209,157
Divide	by: Average Annual Debt Payments	 76,863	 76,863
DSC F	Ratio	292%	 272%

Staff Report Case No. 2015-00097

ATTACHMENT B STAFF REPORT, CASE NO. 2015-00097 KIRKSVILLE WATER ASSOCIATION ENGINEERING DIVISION'S ANALYSIS OF ASSET SERVICE LIVES

Historically, the Commission has relied on the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners Study of Depreciation Practices for Small Water Utilities ("NARUC Study"), dated August 15, 1979, to evaluate the reasonableness of a utility's depreciation practices. This study outlines expected service life ranges for various asset groups designed, installed, and maintained in accordance with good water works practices. Typically, an adjustment is made when the Commission finds that a utility is proposing to use a service life that falls outside of this range, while service lives falling within these ranges are generally accepted.

In the following table, Engineering Staff has identified the account classifications for which the utility's current service lives are not consistent with the service lives contained in the NARUC Study. The table shows the utility's current and Engineering Staff's recommended reasonable and appropriate service lives based on a review of information contained in the record of this case.

Asset Classification	Current	Staff Recommended	NARUC Study
DISTRIB. & TRANS.			
MAINS:102, 103, 104,105, 108,			
109, 113, and 114	40-50	50	50-75
METER SETS	7-25	45	40-50
OFFICE EQUIPMENT: 87, EIC			
SYSTEMS TELEMENTARY	20	10	10
PHASE V	25	50	50-75
PUMPING EQUIPMENT: 24,			
BOOSTER PUMP STATION	30	35	35-40
PUMPING EQUIPMENT:38,			0040
45, and 46	30-35	50	50-75
PUMPING EQUIPMENT: 50,			
PUMPING EQUIPMENT	30	20	20
TELEMETRY	25	10	10

Absent any specific and verifiable evidence supporting alternative service lives, Engineering Staff finds that service lives based on the NARUC Study, as shown in the above table, should be considered reasonable and appropriate.

Prepared June 16, 2015

George W. Wakim, P.E.

George W. Wakim, P.E. Manager, Water and Sewer Branch

*Kirksville Water Association, Inc. 297 Michelle Drive P. O. Box 670 Richmond, KY 40476-0670

*Honorable Jud Patterson Attorney at Law Luxon & Patterson 507 Hampton Way P. O. Box 825 Richmond, KENTUCKY 40476-0825