COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

ALTERNATIVE RATE ADJUSTMENT FILING OF)

CASE NO.

MUHLENBERG COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

2012-00009

NOTICE OF FILING OF COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

Notice is hereby given that, in accordance with the Commission's Order of February 23, 2012, the attached report containing the findings and recommendations of Commission Staff regarding the Applicant's proposed rate adjustment has been filed in the record of the above-styled proceeding.

Jeff Derouen

Executive Director

Public Service Commission

P.O. Box 615

Frankfort, KY 40602

DATED: MAR 3 0 2012

cc: Parties of Record

STAFF REPORT

MUHLENBERG COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

CASE NO. 2012-00009

On January 10, 2012, Muhlenberg County Water District ("Muhlenberg") filed with the Commission an application to adjust its current rates for water service. Using its historical operations for the calendar year ended December 31, 2010 and adjusting for known and measureable changes, Muhlenberg proposes rates that will produce additional revenues from water sales of \$361,778, an increase of 12.23 percent over normalized revenues from water sales of \$2,959,438. For the average residential customer who purchases 3,817 gallons of water monthly, his or her monthly bill will increase from \$32.06 to \$36.07, or approximately 12.51 percent. Muhlenberg also proposes to assess a monthly surcharge of \$1.91 on all customers for 12 months to finance a meter replacement program.

Commission Staff members Mark Frost and Jason Green performed a limited financial review of Muhlenberg's test-year operations to determine whether test-period operating revenues and expenses are representative of normal operations and the proposed adjustments are reasonable. They did not pursue and have not addressed in this report insignificant or immaterial discrepancies. Where they have not expressly addressed a test-period expense, they found insufficient evidence to contest the reasonableness of that expense.

Mr. Frost and Mr. Green inspected Muhlenberg's records while assisting Muhlenberg in the preparation of its rate application.

This report summarizes Staff's review and recommendations. Mr. Green reviewed Muhlenberg's normalized revenue adjustment and proposed rate design. Mr. Frost addresses all pro forma expense adjustments and the revenue requirement determination. Commission Staff's recommended pro forma operating statement is set forth in Appendix A. At Appendix B, Commission Staff sets forth its findings and recommendations regarding Muhlenberg's test-period operations. Commission Staff's calculation of Muhlenberg's revenue requirements is shown at Appendix C. Commission Staff's recommended rates are found at Appendix D.

Muhlenberg proposes to use a 1.2x Debt Service Coverage ("DSC") to calculate its requested revenue requirement. The Commission has historically used the DSC methodology to determine the revenue requirement for water districts and water associations. This approach is used primarily because a bond ordinance or loan agreement requires the water district or association to maintain a predetermined DSC level. Muhlenberg currently has outstanding loans with Kentucky Rural Water Financing Corporation.² Commission Staff, therefore, agrees with the use a debt service coverage ratio of 1.2 to determine Muhlenberg's revenue requirements. Commission Staff notes that the Commission has previously found that this ratio is sufficient for a water

See Case No. 2004-00062, Application of Muhlenburg County Water District to Issue Securities In the Approximate Principal Amount of \$1,248,000 For the Purpose of Refunding Certain Outstanding Revenue Bonds of the District Pursuant to the Provisions of KRS 278.300 and 807 KAR 5:001 (Ky. PSC Mar. 24, 2004); Case No. 2004-000381, Application of Muhlenburg County Water District to Issue Securities In the Approximate Principal Amount of \$1,856,000 For the Purpose of Refunding Certain Outstanding Revenue Bonds of the District Pursuant to the Provisions of KRS 278.300 and 807 KAR 5:001 (Ky. PSC Oct. 20, 2004); Case No. 2007-00163, Application of the Muhlenburg County Water District to Issue Securities In the Approximate Amount of \$1,897,000 Pursuant to the Provisions of KRS 278.300 and 807 KAR 5:001 (Ky. PSC May 29, 2007). As of December 31, 2010, the outstanding amount on these loans was \$3,706,000. Report of Muhlenberg Water District to the Public Service Commission of Kentucky for the Calendar Year Ended December 31, 2010 ("Annual Report") at 22.

association or district to cover its reasonable operating expenses, meet its debt service requirements, and provide for reasonable equity growth.

Commission Staff finds that Muhlenberg has accurately reported its test-period operations in its application and that its proposed pro forma adjustments meet the ratemaking criteria of known and measurable. Based upon its review, Commission Staff finds that Muhlenberg's pro forma operating expenses are \$2,920,148 and its debt service requirement is \$429,351.³ Applying a 1.2x DSC to Muhlenberg's average debt service produces an annual revenue requirement of \$3,435,369 and an annual revenue requirement from water sales of \$3,321,216, an increase of \$361,778, or 12.23 percent above normalized revenue from water sales of \$2,959,438. This level of revenue from water sales will allow Muhlenberg to cover its pro forma operating expenses, meet its debt service requirements, and provide for future equity growth.

Commission Staff reviewed the billing analysis of Muhlenberg's test-period sales, which is contained in Muhlenberg's application, and concurs with methodology and results of the analysis. Commission Staff finds that, based upon adjusted test-period sales, Muhlenberg's proposed rates, which are set forth in Appendix D, will produce revenues of \$3,321,216.

Neither Muhlenberg nor Commission Staff performed a cost-of-service study in this case. Commission Staff agrees with Muhlenberg's proposal that each rate block within the current rates be increased by an equal percentage derived from the percentage increase in revenue requirement over adjusted test-period revenues.

This amount represents the average of Muhlenberg's annual principal and interest payments for the three-year period from 2012 to 2014.

Accordingly, the rates set forth in Appendix D reflect an approximate 12.23 percent increase to each rate block of Muhlenberg's current rates.

In its application, Muhlenberg proposed that its purchased water expense not include the cost of purchased water for unaccounted-for water loss that exceeds 15 percent of total water purchases during the test period. During the test period, Muhlenberg experienced water line losses of 23.7 percent of purchased water and proposed to exclude \$87,534 of the cost of this lost water from rate recovery. 807 KAR 5:066, Section 6(3)⁴ requires this action.

Approximately 29.29 percent of Muhlenberg's water purchases⁵ in 2010 were non-revenue water.⁶ Muhlenberg attributes its level of non-revenue to the age of its 3/4 x 5/8-inch water meters. According to Muhlenberg, the majority of these water meters have been in service in excess of 30 years and have exceeded their useful lives. The cost to refurbish these meters would far exceed the benefit that would be derived.

Except for purchased water rate adjustments for water districts and water associations, and rate adjustments pursuant to KRS 278.023(4), for rate making purposes a utility's unaccounted-for water loss shall not exceed fifteen (15) percent of total water produced and purchased, excluding water used by a utility in its own operations. Upon application by a utility in a rate case filing or by separate filing, or upon motion by the commission, an alternative level of reasonable unaccounted-for water loss may be established by the commission. A utility proposing an alternative level shall have the burden of demonstrating that the alternative level is more reasonable than the level prescribed in this section.

⁵ 545,997,000 gallons (Total Produced and Purchased) – 386,079,000 (Total Water Sales) = 159,918,000 gallons (Total Non-Revenue Water). 159,918,000 gallons ÷ 545,997,000 gallons = 0.2928.

According to the Commission's annual financial and statistical report form, "line loss" is the total amount of water lost as a result of tank overflows, line breaks, line leaks, and other causes. "Non-revenue water is defined as "those components of system input volume that are not billed and produce no revenue; equal to unbilled authorized consumption plus apparent losses plus real losses." American Water Works Association, *Water Audits and Loss Control Programs* (3d ed. 2009) at 271. "Unaccounted-for water loss" equals the difference of the total amount of water produced and purchased and the sum of water sold, water used for fire protection purposes, and water used in treatment and distribution operations (e.g., backwashing filters, line flushing).

To address its non-revenue water problem, Muhlenberg proposes to implement a meter replacement program for its 3/4 x 5/8-inch water meters that it estimates will cost approximately \$1,332,255⁷ and will take ten years to complete. To fund its proposed meter replacement program, Muhlenberg has requested authorization to assess a monthly surcharge of \$1.91⁸ to each ratepayer receiving service through a 3/4 x 5/8 inch water meter for a period of ten years.

Commission Staff recommends that the request to assess a surcharge whose proceeds will be solely devoted Muhlenberg's meter replacement program be granted. As shown in the table below, Muhlenberg is experiencing a significant non-revenue water problem that appears to be worsening. Replacement of its aging meter population is expected to reduce the level of non-revenue water and thus the cost of service. Moreover, with the imposition of conditions on the use of the surcharge proceeds, the proposed surcharge represents an effective means of ensuring that the collected funds are expended for their intended purpose.

Commission Staff, therefore, recommends that the Commission authorize Muhlenberg to collect a surcharge of \$1.91 per month that will be assessed to each ratepayer served through a $3/4 \times 5/8$ inch water meter. The proposed surcharge should

⁷ Refer to Application, C.I. Thornburg bid: \$118.55 (Meter Cost) + \$106 (Transceiver Unit Cost) = $$224.55 \times 5,933$ (Meters) = \$1,332,255.15 + \$25,000 (5 Radio Read Units) = \$1,357,255.

 $^{^8}$ \$1,357,255.15 (Cost of Meter Replacement Program) \div 120 Months = \$11,310.46 (Monthly Surcharge Collections) \div 5,933 (3/4 x 5/8 Inch Customers) = \$1.91.

allow for the assessment and collection of \$135,984⁹ annually for a period of 120 months or until \$1,357,255¹⁰ has been assessed.

| | MUHLEI | NBERG WATE | R DISTRICT'S NO 2005-2010 | N-REVENUE WATER |
|------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Year | Total Water Purchased | Total Water Sales | Non-Revenue Water | Non-Revenue Water As Percentage of Total Purchases |
| 2005 | 501,115,000 | 398,884,000 | 102,231,000 | 20.40 |
| 2006 | 507,688,000 | 370,111,000 | 137,577,000 | 27.10 |
| 2007 | 519,089,000 | 394,314,000 | 124,775,000 | 24.04 |
| 2008 | 521,925,000 | 393,335,000 | 128,590,000 | 24.64 |
| 2009 | 532,802,000 | 376,674,000 | 156,128,000 | 29.30 |
| 2010 | 545,997,000 | 386,079,000 | 159,918,000 | 29.29 |

Commission Staff further recommends that authorization to assess such a surcharge be subject to the following conditions:

- Muhlenberg must deposit surcharge collections in a separate interest-bearing account.
- Muhlenberg must file quarterly activity reports with the Commission that include a statement of monthly surcharge billings and collections, a monthly surcharge bank statement, a list of each payment from the account, its payee and a description of its purpose, and invoices supporting each payment.
- The Commission may revoke Muhlenberg's authority to assess the surcharge and require refund of all surcharge proceeds if Muhlenberg fails to comply with any condition.

If the Commission authorizes Muhlenberg to assess a meter replacement surcharge, those collections constitute contributions and should be accounted for in the manner prescribed by the Uniform System of Accounts for Class A and B Water

Staff Report Case No. 2012-00009

 $^{^9}$ \$1.91 (Monthly Meter Replacement Surcharge) x 5,933 (3/4 x 5/8 Inch Customers) x 12 (Months) = \$135,984.36.

In its Application, Muhlenberg has provided invoices and cost estimates that show that the total cost of its meter replacement program will be \$1,357,255.

-6
Staff Report

Districts and Associations. The monthly billing should be debited to customer accounts receivable and credited to the contribution account. When the meter replacement surcharge is actually collected from the customer, special funds would be debited and the customer account credited.

In summary, Commission Staff is of the opinion that Muhlenberg requires additional funds for its meter replacement program, but that such funds should be subject to strong controls to ensure their effective use, public acceptance of the surcharge, and public confidence in the water district's use of those funds.

Signatures

Prepared by: Mark C. Frost

Financial Analyst, Water and Sewer Revenue Requirements Branch Division of Financial Analysis

Rrepared by: Jason Green

Rate Analyst, Communications, Water

and Sewer Rate Design Branch Division of Financial Analysis

APPENDIX A STAFF REPORT, CASE NO. 2012-00009 PRO FORMA OPERATIONS

| | | 2010 | Р | ro Forma | Adj. | F | Pro Forma |
|---------------------------------------|----------|-------------|----|------------|------|---|-----------|
| | An | nual Report | Ac | djustments | Ref. | C | perations |
| Operating Revenues: | | | | | | | |
| Revenue - Metered Water Sales | \$ | 2,931,857 | \$ | 27,581 | (a) | \$ | 2,959,438 |
| Other Operating Revenues: | - | | | | | | |
| Forfeited Discounts | | 49,970 | | 0 | | | 49,970 |
| Misc. Service revenues | | 51,859 | | 0 | | | 51,859 |
| Total Other Operating Rev | \$ | 101,829 | \$ | 0 | | \$ | 101,829 |
| Total Operating Rev. | \$ | 3,033,686 | \$ | 27,581 | | \$ | 3,061,267 |
| Operating Expenses: | <u>-</u> | | | | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance: | | | | | | | |
| Salaries & Wages – Emp | \$ | 799,698 | \$ | (75,491) | (b) | \$ | 724,207 |
| Salaries & Wages – Com. | | 13,000 | | 0 | | | 13,000 |
| Employee Pension & Benefits | | 541,575 | | (16,849) | (c) | | 524,726 |
| Purchased Water | | 854,129 | | (87,534) | (d) | | 766,595 |
| Purchased Power | | 80,378 | | 0 | | | 80,378 |
| Chemicals | | 11,632 | | 0 | | | 11,632 |
| Materials & Supplies | | 68,377 | | 0 | | | 68,377 |
| Contractual Services – Eng. | | 13,146 | | (12,800) | (e) | | 346 |
| Contractual Services – Acc. | | 15,382 | | 0 | | | 15,382 |
| Contractual Services - Legal | | 5,288 | | 0 | | | 5,288 |
| Contractual Services - Water Testing | | 4,448 | | 0 | | | 4,448 |
| Rental – Equipment | | 592 | | 0 | | | 592 |
| Transportation | | 54,725 | | 0 | | | 54,725 |
| Insurance - Gen. Liability | | 39,584 | | (8,616) | (f) | | 30,968 |
| Insurance - Workers Comp. | | 31,129 | | 445 | (g) | | 31,574 |
| Advertising | | 1,221 | | 0 | | | 1,221 |
| Bad Debt Expense | | 12,085 | | 0 | | | 12,085 |
| Miscellaneous | | 111,670 | | (16,298) | (h) | | 95,372 |
| Total Operation & Maint | \$ | 2,658,059 | \$ | (217,143) | | \$ | 2,440,916 |
| Depreciation | | 402,541 | | 16,549 | (i) | | 419,090 |
| Taxes Other Than Income: | | | | | | | |
| Payroll Taxes | | 62,874 | | (7,474) | (j) | | 55,400 |
| PSC Assessment | | 4,742 | | 0 | | *************************************** | 4,742 |
| Utility Operating Exp. | \$ | 3,128,216 | \$ | (208,068) | | \$ | 2,920,148 |
| Net Utility Operating Income | \$ | (94,530) | \$ | 235,649 | | \$ | 141,119 |
| Other Income & Deductions: | | | | | | | |
| Interest Income | | 12,324 | | 00 | | | 12,324 |
| Net Income Available for Debt Service | \$ | (82,206) | \$ | 235,649 | | \$ | 153,443 |

APPENDIX B STAFF REPORT, CASE NO. 2012-00009 PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS

(a) Revenue from Water Sales: This adjustment reflects the results of Commission Staff's billing analysis and the current tariffed rate.

| ners Gallons Revenue | | 6,022 386,079,000 2,931,857 | (1,386,100) |
|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| Customers | | | |
| | Billing Analysis | Annual Report | Difference |

(b) Salaries & Wages: This adjustment applies the 2011 wages to the hours worked during the 2010 test period.

| | Pay | 2011 | 2010 Weeks/Hours | ks/Hours | | Pro F | Pro Forma Salaries & Wages | & Wages | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------|------------------|----------|----|---------|----------------------------|-------------------|---|---------|
| Position Title | Method | Wages | Regular | Overtime | Re | Regular | Misc./on Call | Jall ¹ | | Total |
| General Manager | Weekly | \$ 956.34 | 52 | N/A | ь | 49,730 | 69 | 0 | ь | 49,730 |
| Superintendent | Weekly | \$ 824.28 | 52 | A/A | | 42,863 | | 4,050 | | 46,913 |
| Asst. Superintendent | Weekly | \$ 824.28 | 52 | A/A | | 42,863 | | 4,875 | | 47,738 |
| Maintenance | Hourly | \$ 15.39 | 2,110 | 19.00 | | 32,912 | | 150 | | 33,062 |
| Meter Reader | Hourly | \$ 15.39 | 2,060 | 143.00 | | 35,005 | | 5,848 | | 40,853 |
| Meter Reader | Hourly | \$ 15.39 | 2,120 | 226.25 | | 37,851 | | 500 | | 38,351 |
| Maintenance | Hourly | \$ 15.39 | 1,356 | 11.00 | | 21,115 | | 0 | | 21,115 |
| Maintenance | Hourly | \$ 15.39 | 1,988 | 55.00 | | 31,865 | | 0 | | 31,865 |
| Maintenance | Hourly | \$ 15.39 | 2,120 | 205.50 | | 37,372 | | 900 | | 37,972 |
| Maintenance | Hourly | \$ 15.89 | 2,168 | 129.50 | | 37,537 | | 900 | | 38,137 |
| Meter Reader | Hourly | \$ 15.39 | 2,120 | 188.50 | | 36,979 | | 006 | | 37,879 |
| Meter Reader | Hourly | \$ 15.39 | 2,120 | 351.00 | | 40,732 | | 800 | | 41,532 |
| Maint. Foreman | Hourly | \$ 16.53 | 2,120 | 283.00 | | 42,062 | | 700 | | 42,762 |
| Maintenance | Hourly | \$ 17.03 | 2,120 | 533.00 | | 49,722 | | 1,200 | | 50,922 |
| Mechanic | Hourly | \$ 17.03 | 2,128 | 140.50 | | 39,830 | | 200 | | 40,330 |
| Office Manager | Hourly | \$ 16.53 | 2,160 | 31.25 | | 36,480 | | 400 | | 36,880 |
| Asst. Office Mgr. | Hourly | \$ 15.39 | 2,096 | 19.75 | | 32,713 | | 20 | | 32,763 |
| Clerical | Hourly | \$ 13.10 | 2,120 | 19.50 | | 28,155 | | a | | 28,155 |
| Clerical | Hourly | \$ 13.10 | 2,080 | 00.0 | | 27,248 | | 0 | | 27,248 |
| Pro Forma Salaries & Wages - Employees | - Employees | | | | | | | | ₩ | 724,207 |
| Less: Reported Salaries & Wages - Employees | /ages - Employees | | | | | | | | , | 799,698 |

Pro Forma Adjustment

(75,491)

¹ Miscellaneous includes payments for cleaning, attending board meetings, and testing.

Appendix B Case No. 2012-00009

| (c) Employee Pension and Benefits: This adjustment is ba and the current employee insurance premiums. | is adjustment is l ums. | based upon | the curre | ant employer | retirement c | ised upon the current employer retirement contribution rate, the pro forma salaries and wages, | te, the pro | o forma sala | ries and | wages, |
|---|----------------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|--|-------------|--------------|----------|---------|
| Position Title | Health | Dental | | Life | Anr | Annual | Reti | Retirement | | Totals |
| General Manager | \$ 2,389 | € | 58 \$ | 64 | \$ | 30,132 | ₩ | 668'6 | ક્ક | 39,531 |
| Superintendent | 0 | | 0 | 55 | | 099 | | 8,867 | | 9,527 |
| Asst. Superintendent | 2,579 | - | 6 | 63 | | 31,932 | | 9,022 | | 40,954 |
| Maintenance | 1,877 | (*) | 37 | 55 | | 23,628 | | 6,249 | | 29,877 |
| Meter Reader | 1,289 | (1) | 25 | 55 | | 16,572 | | 7,721 | | 24,293 |
| Meter Reader | 2,120 | Φ | 58 | 55 | | 26,796 | | 7,248 | | 34,044 |
| Maintenance | 0 | | 19 | 0 | | 228 | | 3,991 | | 4,219 |
| Maintenance | 0 | * | 5 | 45 | | 768 | | 6,022 | | 6,790 |
| Maintenance | 2,210 | (1) | 17 | 55 | | 27,624 | | 7,177 | | 34,801 |
| Maintenance | 1,245 | (J) | 58 | 55 | | 16,296 | | 7,208 | | 23,504 |
| Meter Reader | 1,105 | | 0 | 55 | | 13,920 | | 7,159 | | 21,079 |
| Meter Reader | 2,124 | | 6 | 55 | | 26,376 | | 7,850 | | 34,226 |
| Maint, Foreman | 2,292 | ~ | <u>ත</u> | 22 | | 28,416 | | 8,082 | | 36,498 |
| Maintenance | 2,250 | _ | თ | 22 | | 27,912 | | 9,624 | | 37,536 |
| Mechanic | 2,661 | ~ | <u>6</u> | 22 | | 32,844 | | 7,622 | | 40,466 |
| Office Manager | 1,125 | • | 6 | 54 | | 14,376 | | 6,970 | | 21,346 |
| Asst. Office Mgr. | 2,210 | *** | <u>6</u> | 55 | | 27,408 | | 6,192 | | 33,600 |
| Clerical | 1,105 | τ | <u>6</u> | 52 | | 14,112 | | 5,321 | | 19,433 |
| Clerical | 2,250 | | 19 | 52 | | 27,852 | | 5,150 | | 33,002 |
| Pro Forma Employee Pension and Benefits | | | | | | | | | ↔ | 524,726 |

Pro Forma Employee Pension and Benefits Less: Reported 2010 Salaries & Wages - Employees

Pro Forma Adjustment

541,575 (16,849)

| current wholesale water rates are being applied to the allowable water purchases. | | |
|---|---|--|
| | current wholesale water rates are being applied to the allowable water purchases. | |

| Test Period Water Purchases - Central City | Test Period Water Purchases – Taylor County Water District | 1 |
|--|--|---|

543,339,900

384,692,900 29,233,000 522,000

274,200

79,000

414,526,900 128,813,000 23.708%

Fotal Water Purchased

Water Sales - Staff's Billing Analysis

System Flushing

Fire Department

Other

Total Water Sold and Used

Non-Revenue Water - Gallons

Percentage of Non-Revenue Water

Pro Forma Water Sales

Add: System Flushing, Fire Department, and Other

Subtotal

Divided by: 15% Line Loss Reciprocal

Allowable Purchases

Divided by: Water Produced/Purchased Fest-Period Purchases

Percentage of Purchases

Allowable Purchases/Production

Multiplied by: Percentage of Purchases

Allowable Purchases

Multiplied by: Wholesale Water Rate per 1,000 Gallons

Pro Forma Purchased Water Expense

Allowable Purchases - Central City

Allowable Purchases - Taylor County WD

Total Allowable Water Purchases

Less: Actual Test-period Expense

Pro Forma Adjustment

| | × | | × | မှာ | ↔ | + | ₩ | 1 | မှာ | | |
|---------|-------------|----|----------|---------------|---|---|---|---|-----|--|--|
| 99.950% | 487,678,706 | 8/ | \$ 1.570 | 765,273 | | | | | | | |
| | × | | × | ss | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

1,322 766,595

854,129

(87,534)

765,273

5.420 1,322

243,839

0.050%

487,678,706

543,339,900

543,339,900 543,065,700

0.050%

274,200

Taylor County

Water Dist.

Central City

414,526,900

487,678,706 85.00%

384,692,900 29,834,000

| e incorrectly expensed in the test period. |
|---|
| :- Engineering: This adjustment removes capital items that were incorrectly expensed in the test period. |
| (e) Contractual Services - Engineering: |

| | (3,477) | (9,323) | \$ (12,800) |
|---|-------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | ₩ | + | မာ |
| • | | | |
| Contractual Services - Engineering: This adjustment removes adjustment of the contractual Services and | Check. # | 23714 | 23902 |
| ninenít | | Tie-in | Tie-in |
| s - Engineering: This at | Description | GRW Engineers | GRW Engineers Tie-in |
| (contractual Services | Date | 6/30/2010 | 12/31/2010 |

Total Capital Expenditures

(f) <u>Insurance</u>: This expense is being adjusted to reflect the current premiums for various coverages listed below. Premium Total - Annual

30,968 39,584 (8.616)

Less: Reported Expense

Pro Forma Adjustment

. Workers Compensation: Workers Compensation insurance expense is being adjusted to reflect the current premium.

| (g) Insurance - Workers Compensation. | Δ. | Javroll | per \$100 | | 7 | remium |
|---------------------------------------|----------|---------|----------------|------|---|--------|
| Description | · · · · | 599.161 | φ. | 3.81 | ↔ | 22,828 |
| Waterworks Operation | · | 125,046 | · 6 | 0.27 | + | 338 |
| Clerical | G | 724,207 | | | ઝ | 23,166 |
| Total Manual Premium |) | | | | + | 394 |
| Inc. Limits | | | | | છ | 23,560 |
| Subject Premium | | | 1.37% | | + | 32,277 |
| Experience Modifier | | | | | | 2,982 |

Experience Modifier

Premium Discount

Normai Premium

29,295 210 142

29,647

1,927

31,574

Expense Constant

Foreign/Domestic Terrorism

Kentucky Special Fund Assessment Estimated Premium

Total Pro Forma Annual Cost

Less: Reported Premium Pro Forma Adjustment

Amount (h) Miscellaneous: This adjustment removes capital items that were incorrectly expensed in the test period and expenses that the Commission has historically held should not be borne by the rate payer.

(1,674) (2,390) (4,085)

ᡐ

(16,298)(8,149)

Description Flowers

Employee Christmas

GRW Capital

Miscellaneous

Pro Forma Adjustment

flects a full-year of depreciation for items capitalized in 2010.

| Depreciation | |
|------------------------------------|------|
| | |
| | |
| | otto |
| This adjustment reflects a full-ye | Ë |
| (i) Depreciation: | |

| Adjustment | φ φ |
|------------|---|
| Expense | ж ж ж ж ж ж ж |
| | 29 \$ 10,737 10 42 \$ 1,615 5 50 \$ 2,487 5 59 \$ 31,374 10 70 \$ 169,680 10 15 \$ 19,800 10 53 \$ 98,000 10 |
| Date | Acquired Dep. Exp. 12/31/10 \$ 89 04/23/10 \$ 242 06/02/10 \$ 290 07/01/10 \$ 1,569 08/31/10 \$ 7,070 02/16/10 \$ 1,815 05/18/10 \$ 7,672 |
| | Meter Installation United Printer 3 Laptops 2008 White Dodge Ram Telemetry Used Generator Tractor |

Pro Forma Adjustment

| wages expense. |
|--------------------|
| nd |
| a |
| <u>e</u> |
| sala |
| ormalized salari |
| e no |
| £ |
| 5 |
| rate |
| taxr |
| <u>ē</u> |
| bayr |
| 9 |
| s t |
| olies |
| ab |
| Ħ |
| шe |
| ıst |
| ğ |
| hise |
| - |
| (j) Payroll Taxes: |
| 5 |
| a |
| 민 |
| := |

| oll Taxes: This adjustment applies the payroll tax rate to the normalized salaries and w | <u>-</u> | S | adj | usti | nen | t app | lies | the | payro | <u>=</u> | k rate | <u>و</u> | the | norma | lized | sala | ries | and \ | > |
|--|-------------|---|-----|------|-----|-------|------|-----|-------|----------|--------|----------|-----|-------|-------|------|------|-------|---|
| Ď | osition Tit | Ë | æ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

FICA

| General Manager | \$ |
|---------------------------------|----|
| Superintendent | |
| Asst. Superintendent | |
| Maintenance | |
| Meter Reader | |
| Meter Reader | |
| Maintenance | |
| | |
| Maintenance | |
| Maintenance | |
| Meter Reader | |
| Meter Reader | |
| Maint. Foreman | |
| Maintenance | |
| Mechanic | |
| Office Manager | |
| Asst, Office Mgr. | |
| Clerical | |
| Clerical | |
| Pro Forma Payroll Taxes | \$ |
| Less: Test Period Payroll Taxes | |
| Pro Forma Adjustment | မ |

3,804 3,589 3,652 2,529 1,615 2,934 1,615 2,905 2,905 2,907 2,905 3,177 3,271 3,271 3,271 3,271 2,898 3,177 2,898 3,177 2,905 2,174 2,084

APPENDIX C STAFF REPORT, CASE NO. 2012-00009 REVENUE REQUIREMENT DETERMINATION

| | Th | ree Year Ave | erage | Debt Service | 9 | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-------|--------------|----|-------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | Annual | | |
| Bonds | Bonds Principal Interest | | | | D | ebt Service | | |
| 2012 | \$ | 284,000 | \$ | 142,803 | \$ | 426,803 | | |
| 2013 | \$ | 297,000 | \$ | 132,433 | | 429,433 | | |
| 2014 | \$ | 311,000 | \$ | 120,818 | | 431,818 | | |
| Total \$ 1,288,054 | | | | | | | | |
| Divided by: | Three | Years | | | ÷ | 3 | | |
| Average De | bt Serv | /ice | | | \$ | 429,351 | | |

| Revenue Requi | rement from | Wate | r Sales | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|------|---------|---|-----------------|
| Debt Service Coverage | \$ 429,351 | Х | 1.2 | = | \$ 515,221 |
| Add: Pro Forma Operating Exp. | | | | | 2,440,916 |
| Depreciation | | | | | 419,090 |
| Taxes Other Than Income | | | | | 60,142 |
| Total Revenue Requirement | | | | | \$ 3,435,369 |
| Less: Other Income & Deductions | | | | | 12,324 |
| Revenue Requirement - Operations | | | | | \$ 3,423,045 |
| Less: Other Operating Revenues | | | | | 101,829 |
| Revenue Requirement – Water | | | | | \$ 3,321,216 |
| Less: Normalized Revenue – Water | | | | | 2,959,438 |
| Requested Increase | | | | | \$ 361,778 |
| Percentage Increase | | | | | 12.23% |

APPENDIX D STAFF REPORT, CASE NO. 2012-00009 STAFF'S RECOMMENDED RATES

| Usage Bra | ıckets | Rates | | | | | |
|------------------|---------|----------------|--------|---------------|--|--|--|
| 5/8 x 3/4 Inch M | /leter: | | | | | | |
| First | 2,000 | \$ | 22.53 | Min. Bill | | | |
| Next | 8,000 | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 7.45 | Per 1,000 Gal | | | |
| Next | 10,000 | \$ | 6.79 | Per 1,000 Gal | | | |
| Next | 30,000 | \$ | 6.14 | Per 1,000 Gal | | | |
| Over | 50,000 | \$ | 5.48 | Per 1,000 Gal | | | |
| 1 Inch Meter: | , | | | | | | |
| First | 5,000 | \$ | 44.88 | Min. Bill | | | |
| Next | 5,000 | \$ | 7.45 | Per 1,000 Gal | | | |
| Next | 10,000 | \$ | 6.79 | Per 1,000 Gal | | | |
| Next | 30,000 | \$ \$ \$ | 6.14 | Per 1,000 Gal | | | |
| Over | 50,000 | \$ | 5.48 | Per 1,000 Gal | | | |
| 1 1/2 Inch Mete | er: | | | | | | |
| First | 11,000 | \$ | 88.89 | Min. Bill | | | |
| Next | 9,000 | \$ \$ \$ | 6.79 | Per 1,000 Gal | | | |
| Next | 30,000 | \$ | 6.14 | Per 1,000 Gal | | | |
| Over | 50,000 | \$ | 5.48 | Per 1,000 Gal | | | |
| 2 Inch Meter: | | | | | | | |
| First | 16,000 | \$ | 122.82 | Min. Bill | | | |
| Next | 4,000 | \$ | 6.79 | Per 1,000 Gal | | | |
| Next | 30,000 | \$ \$ \$ | 6.14 | Per 1,000 Gal | | | |
| Over | 50,000 | \$ | 5.48 | Per 1,000 Gal | | | |
| 3 Inch Meter: | | | | | | | |
| First | 26,000 | \$ | 186.77 | Min. Bill | | | |
| Next | 24,000 | \$ \$ | 6.14 | Per 1,000 Gal | | | |
| Over | 50,000 | \$ | 5.48 | Per 1,000 Gal | | | |
| 4 Inch Meter: | | | | | | | |
| First | 36,000 | \$ | 248.15 | Min. Bill | | | |
| Next | 14,000 | \$ \$ | 6.14 | Per 1,000 Gal | | | |
| Over | 50,000 | \$ | 5.48 | Per 1,000 Gal | | | |
| Wholesale | | \$ | 4.35 | Per 1,000 Gal | | | |

Davey Douglas Superintendent 301 Dean Road P.O. Box 348 Greenville, KENTUCKY 42345