COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:	
APPLICATION OF SOUTHEAST DAVIESS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT FOR THE APPROVAL OF A PROPOSED INCREASE IN RATES AND WATER SERVICE)) CASE NO. 2011-0048)

NOTICE OF FILLING OF COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

Pursuant to the Commission's Order of December 22, 2011, Commission Staff files the attached report containing its findings and recommendations regarding the Applicant's proposed rate adjustment.

Jeff Derouen

Executive Director

Public Service Commission

P.O. Box 615

Frankfort, KY 40602

DATED:	JAN	3	0	2012	
cc: Parties	of R	ec	or	ď	

REPORT ON THE PROPOSED RATE ADJUSTMENT OF SOUTHEAST DAVIESS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT CASE NO. 2011-00481

On December 12, 2011, Southeast Daviess County Water District ("Southeast Daviess") filed with the Commission an application to adjust its current rates for water service. Using its historical operations for the calendar year ended December 31, 2010 and adjusting for known and measureable changes, Southeast Daviess proposes rates that will reportedly produce additional revenues from water sales of \$186,286, an increase of 10.5 percent over pro forma revenues from water sales. For a customer who purchases 5,000 gallons of water monthly, his monthly bill will increase from \$17.71 to \$19.97, or approximately 12.2 percent.

Commission Staff members Darryl Parks and Eddie Beavers performed a limited financial review of Southeast Daviess's test year operations to determine whether test period operating revenues and expenses are representative of normal operations and the proposed adjustments are reasonable.¹ They did not pursue and have not addressed in this report insignificant or immaterial discrepancies. Where they have not expressly addressed a test period expense, they found insufficient evidence to contest the reasonableness of that expense.

This report summarizes Staff's review and recommendations. Mr. Beavers reviewed Southeast Daviess's pro forma revenue adjustment, its Cost-of-Service Study, and its calculation of the recommended rates. Mr. Parks addresses all pro forma expense adjustments and the revenue requirement determination.

¹ Mr. Parks and Mr. Beavers inspected Southeast Daviess's records while assisting Southeast Daviess in the preparation of its rate application.

Commission Staff finds that Southeast Daviess's application accurately reports its test-period operations and its proposed pro forma adjustments generally meet the rate-making criteria of known and measurable. Southeast Daviess's pro forma operating income statement is set forth in Appendix A. In Appendix B, Commission Staff explains each pro forma adjustment that it proposes.

The Commission has historically used the Debt Service Coverage ("DSC") methodology to determine the revenue requirement for water districts. This approach is used primarily because a bond ordinance or loan agreement requires the water district or association to maintain a predetermined DSC level. Commission Staff, however, does not recommend the use of this methodology in this case because Southeast Daviess has only one outstanding long-term debt and uses the proceeds of a surcharge to retire this debt.

Commission Staff instead recommends that the operating ratio methodology be used to calculate Southeast Daviess's revenue requirement.² This approach is used when there is no basis for a rate-of-return determination, the cost of the utility has fully or largely been funded through contributions, or there is little or no outstanding long-term debt. Commission Staff is of the opinion that an operating ratio of 88 percent will allow Southeast Daviess sufficient revenues to cover its reasonable operating expenses, and provide for reasonable equity growth.

Operating Ratio is defined as the ratio of expenses, including depreciation and taxes, to gross revenues. It is illustrated by the following equation:

As shown in Table 1, applying an 88 percent operating ratio to Commission Staff's proposed pro forma operations produces a revenue requirement from rates of \$1,950,070, an increase of \$175,435 or 9.89 percent above the normalized revenue from rates of \$1,774,635.³

Table I: Revenue Requirement Determination								
Net Pro Forma Operating Expenses	\$	1,828,810						
Divided by: Operating Ratio	÷	88%						
Subtotal	\$	2,078,193						
Add: Interest Expense	+	2,485						
Total Revenue Requirement	\$	2,080,678						
Less: Other Income & Deductions	_	34,607						
Revenue Requirement from Operations	\$	2,046,071						
Less: Other Operating Revenues	-	96,001						
Revenue Requirement - Water Sales	\$	1,950,070						
Less: Pro Forma Revenue - Water Sales	-	1,774,635						
Requested Increase	\$	175,435						
Percentage Increase		9.886%						

Based upon adjusted test-period water sales, Southeast Daviess's proposed rates will produce revenues of \$1,960,921. Southeast Daviess has submitted a cost-of-service study that supports these rates. The methodology that Southeast Daviess used in its cost-of-service study is consistent with methodologies that the Commission has previously accepted. As Southeast Daviess's proposed rates will generate revenues in excess of Commission Staff's recommended revenue requirement, Commission Staff recommends that the Commission deny the proposed rates and instead authorize the rates set forth in Appendix C.

³ In determining Southeast Daviess's revenue requirement, Commission Staff did not consider any proceeds from a surcharge of \$0.39 per 1,000 gallons that the water district assesses on all water sales. The proceeds of this surcharge may only be used to repay a 2008 loan from the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority. Expenses related to this loan have been excluded from Commission Staff's revenue calculation.

Signatures

Prepared by: Daryl Parks

Financial Analyst, Water and Sewer Revenue Requirements Branch

Division of Financial Analysis

Prepared by: Eddie Beavers

Rate Analyst, Communications, Water

and Sewer Rate Design Branch

Division of Financial Analysis

APPENDIX A STAFF REPORT CASE NO. 2011-00481 PRO FORMA OPERATIONS

	2010		•	Proforma	•		ro Forma
	<u>An</u>	nual Report		Adjustment	Ref.		perations
Operating Revenues:							
Revenue - Metered Water Sales	\$	1,850,304	\$	(75,669)	(a)	\$	1,774,635
Revenue - Fire Protection		9,116		0			9,116
Revenue - Irrigation Customers		2,598		00			2,598
Total Sales of Water	\$	1,862,018	\$	(75,669)		\$	1,786,349
Other Operating Revenues:							
Forfeited Discounts	\$	22,791	\$	0		\$	22,791
Miscellaneous Service Revenues		24,790		0			24,790
Miscellaneous Service Revenues		36,706		0			36,706
Total Other Operating Revenues	\$	84,287	\$	0		\$	84,287
Total Operating Revenues	\$	1,946,305	\$	(75,669)		\$	1,870,636
Operating Expenses:	•	.,	•	(,)		Τ	.,,
Operation & Maintenance:							
Salaries & Wages - Employees	\$	297,238	\$	11,399	(b)	\$	308,637
Salaries & Wages - Commissioners	~	9,000	*	0	(~)	4	9,000
Employee Pension & Benefits		122,708		10,209	(c)		132,917
Purchased Water		978,788		(72,617)	(d)		906,171
Purchased Power		42,341		(72,317)	(α)		42,341
Materials & Supplies		112,920		(12,300)	(e)		100,620
Contractual Services - Eng		757		(12,000)	(0)		757
Contractual Services -		, , ,		· ·			, , ,
Accounting		6,600		0			6,600
Contractual Services - Testing		6,390		0			6,390
Rental Real Building/Real. Prop		5,315		0			5,315
Transportation		26,481		0			26,481
Insurance - Gen. Liability		19,105		2,525	(f)		21,630
Insurance - Workers				,	(-7		
Compensation		5,382		(107)	(g)		5,275
Advertising		295		0			295
Bad Debt Expense		7,281		0			7,281
Miscellaneous		13,490		0			13,490
Total Operation & Maintenance	\$	1,654,091	\$	(60,891)		\$	1,593,200
Depreciation	·	208,778	•	1,510	(h)	•	210,288
Taxes Other Than Income:				.,	()		,
Payroll Taxes		22,567		(62)	(i)		22,505
PSC Assessment		2,817		0	(1)		2,817
Utility Operating Expenses	\$	1,888,253	\$	(59,443)		\$	1,828,810
						<u>Ψ</u> \$	
Net Utility Operating Income Other Income & Deductions:	\$	58,052	. \$	(16,226)		Ф	41,826
Interest Income		24 607		0			24.607
		34,607		(40.954)	/:>		34,607
Interest Expense		13,336		(10,851)	(j)		2,485
Net Income Available for Debt Service	\$	79,323		(5,375)			73,948

APPENDIX B STAFF REPORT CASE NO. 2011-00481 PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS

- (a) Revenue from Water Sales: This adjustment reflects the results of Commission Staff's billing analysis and the current rate schedule. On June 1, 2011, Southeast Daviess adjusted its rates downward pursuant to 807 KAR 5:068 to reflect a reduction in the wholesale rate of Owensboro Municipal Utilities from \$1.62 per 1,000 gallons to \$1.50 per gallons of water. Normalizing for this rate reduction results in a reduction of revenue of \$75,669.
- (b) <u>Salaries & Wages</u>: As a cost savings measure, West Daviess WD and Southeast Daviess WD share staff. Therefore, all payroll related costs are allocated to each District using a 45/55 ratio. The Districts' allocation factors are based upon the ratio of Utility Plant In Service and the ratio of revenues. When the Districts' performed their calculations, both ratios produced similar results. Commission Staff reviewed the 2010 ratios, which support the 45/55 allocation used in the test period. Staff is applying the 2011 wages to the hours worked during the 2010 test period and applying the 45/55 allocation.

		Utility Plant	Rev	enues	Averages
Southeast Daviess	· \$	9,242,335	\$	1,862,018	
West Daviess	+	9,034,978	+	1,321,803	
Totals		18,277,313	\$	3,183,821	
Southeast Daviess - Ratio		50.567%		58.484%	54.526%
West Daviess – Ratio	_	49.433%		41.516%	45.475%
Totals – Ratio	_	100.000%		100.000%	100.000%

•	Payment Employee Method		Wage Rates	2010 .	Pro	Forma
En			Regular	Overtime Hrs.	Sa	laries
Perry	Higdon	Hourly	\$ 25.72	225.50	\$	62,403
Patty	Anderson	Hourly	24.91	0.00		52,012
Nick	Horsman	Hourly	16.89	121.00		38,332
Billy	Higdon	Salary	3,796.08	0.00		91,106
Jeff	Johnson	Hourly	20.18	37.50		43,271
Adam	Mils	Hourly	12.56	0.00		26,225
Beth	Frey	Hourly	13.21	0.50		27,592
Keith	Krampe	Salary	2,912.67	0.00		69,904
Tommy	Cecil	Hourly	20.18	72.00		44,315
Teresa	Doyle	Hourly	12.81	0.00		26,747
Gail	Carlock	Hourly	12.86	0.00		26,852
Sabrina	Baker	Hourly	13.20	0.50		27,572
Laura	Broakley	Hourly	11.89	0.00		24,826
			_	457.00	\$	61,157

Pro Forma Salaries & Wages - Employees
Multiplied by: Allocation Factors
Allocated Pro Forma Salaries & Wages - Emp.
Less: Reported Salaries & Wages - Employee

Pro Forma Adjustments

We	est	Sou	Southeast				
Davies	ss WD	Daviess WD					
\$	561,157	\$	561,157				
	45%		55%				
\$	252,521	\$	308,636				
	243,906		297,238				
\$	8,615	\$	11,398				

⁽c) <u>Employee Pension and Benefits</u>: Commission Staff's adjustment is based upon the current employer retirement contribution rate, the pro forma salaries and wages, the current employee insurance premiums, and the 45/55 allocation between the two districts.

		Employee Insurance Benefits					Empl	oyee		
		Health			Life &		Retire	ment		
En	Employee		Insurance Dental MO		Dis	ability	11.0	00%	Totals	
Patty	Anderson	\$ 8,552		\$ 444	\$	359	\$	6,864	\$	16,219
Sabrina	Baker	14,004		231		217		5,721		20,173
Laura	Broakley	19,913		231		215		4,217		24,576
Gail	Carlock	7,947		231		175		10,022		18,375
Tommy	Cecil	20,226		695		303	1	4,760		25,984
Teresa	Doyle	16,796		444		200		2,885		20,325
Beth	Frey	8,398		231		185		3,035		11,849
Billy	Higdon	17,879		695		576	÷	7,689		26,839
Perry	Higdon	14,004		695		386		4,875		19,960
Nick	Horsman	15,482		444		204		2,942		19,072
Jeff	Johnson	4,826		231		232		2,954		8,243
Keith	Krampe	20,226		695		321		3,033		24,275
Adam	Mils	2,649		231		168		2,731		5,779
		\$ 170,902	\$	5,498	\$	3,541	\$	61,728	\$	241,669

	 Vest ess WD	Southeast Daviess WD		
Pro Forma Salaries & Wages - Employees Less: Reported Salaries & Wages - Employees	\$ 241,669 45%	\$	241,669 55%	
Allocated Pro Forma Salaries & Wages - Emp.	\$ 108,751 100.224	\$	132,918	
Less: Reported Salaries & Wages - Employees Pro Forma Adjustments	\$ 8,527	\$.	122,708 10,210	

(d) <u>Purchased Water</u>: Commission Staff applied the current water rate being charged by the Owensboro Municipal system to the test-period water purchases.

		Owensb	oro Municipal Wat	er & Se	ewer Syst	em	
		Meter	Meter	Me	eter		
Service		# 31963782	# 31963928	# 70084591		•	Total
From	То	Gallons	Gallons	Ga	llons	G	allons
12/30/09	01/29/10	35,143,000	9,722,800	2,0	90,000	46	3,955,800
01/29/10	02/26/10	28,128,000	10,524,500	1,8	90,000	40),542,500
02/26/10	03/31/10	28,178,000	8,537,900	1,8	89,000	38	3,604,900
03/31/10	04/30/10	30,349,000	7,921,000	1,9	42,000	40	0,212,000
04/30/10	05/28/10	30,489,000	11,104,100	1,0	005,000	42	2,598,10
05/28/10	06/30/10	28,489,000	14,143,200		304,000		1,436,20
06/30/10	07/30/10	35,201,000	24,601,500	,	387,000		3,689,50
07/30/10	08/31/10	34,193,000	19,593,800		280,000		2,066,80
08/31/10	09/30/10	36,348,000	22,465,900		117,000		3,930,90
09/30/10	10/29/10	33,917,000	17,620,000		396,000		9,433,00
10/29/10	11/30/10	32,426,000	14,592,300		187,000		2,505,30
11/30/10	12/30/10	34,514,000	6,248,100	5,3	377,000	4	6,139,10
Totals		387,375,000	167,075,100	49,6	64,000	60	4 <u>,114,10</u>
Total Gallons Purchase	1					60	4,114,10
Multiplied by: Current R						\$	1.5
Pro Forma Purchased V	•				•	\$	906,17
Less: Reported Purcha	<u>.</u>					*	978,78
Pro Forma Adjustment	sed Water Expense				•	\$	(72,61
Vendor	es: This adjustment removes o	apital trail were the	Description		- tool poin		mount
ITM Inc.		Meter Change Out	- 344 Meters at \$1	0		\$	(3,440
ITM Inc.		Meter Change Out	 133 Meters at \$1 	0			(1,330
ITM Inc.		Meter Change Out	 245 Meters at \$1 	0			(2,45
ITM Inc.		Meter Change Out	 261 Meters at \$1 	0			(2,61
ITM Inc.		Meter Change Out	 247 Meters at \$1 	0			(2,47)
Total Capital Expenditu	res		•				
			•		;	\$	(12,30
(f) Insurance: Commis	sion Staff is adjusting general li	ability insurance expens	se to reflect the cui	rent pr	emium.	\$	(12,30
	sion Staff is adjusting general li 07/01/11 - 07/01/12	ability insurance expens	se to reflect the cu	rent pr	emium.	\$	(12,30 21,63
KACo All Lines Fund	07/01/11 - 07/01/12	ability insurance expens	se to reflect the cu	rent pr	emium.	\$	
	07/01/11 - 07/01/12 ense	ability insurance expens	se to reflect the cu	rent pr	emium.	\$	21,63 19,10
KACo All Lines Fund Less: Reported Expe Pro Forma Adjustment	07/01/11 - 07/01/12 ense · General Liab.					\$	21,63 19,10 2,53
KACo All Lines Fund Less: Reported Expe Pro Forma Adjustment (g) Workers' Compens	07/01/11 - 07/01/12 ense					\$	21,63 19,10 2,52
KACo All Lines Fund Less: Reported Expe Pro Forma Adjustment (g) Workers' Compen- the current premium.	07/01/11 - 07/01/12 ense · General Liab.	on Staff is adjusting wor				\$	21,63 19,10 2,53
KACo All Lines Fund Less: Reported Expe Pro Forma Adjustment (g) Workers' Compen- the current premium.	07/01/11 - 07/01/12 ense General Liab. sation Insurance: Commission ensation Fund 07/01/11 - 07/01	on Staff is adjusting wor		on insu	rance exp	\$	21,63 19,10 2,53
KACo All Lines Fund Less: Reported Expe Pro Forma Adjustment (g) Workers' Compen- the current premium. KACo Workers Comp Multiplied by: Allocat	07/01/11 - 07/01/12 ense General Liab. sation Insurance: Commission ensation Fund 07/01/11 - 07/01	on Staff is adjusting wor		on insu	rance ex _l 9,590	\$	21,63 19,10 2,52
KACo All Lines Fund Less: Reported Experience Forma Adjustment (g) Workers' Compension (G) Workers' Compension (G) Workers Compension (G) Workers Compension (G) Workers Compension (G) Allocated Workers Compension (G) Workers (G) Worker	07/01/11 - 07/01/12 ense General Liab. sation Insurance: Commission ensation Fund 07/01/11 - 07/01 ion Factors	on Staff is adjusting wor		on insu	rance exp 9,590 55%	\$	21,63 19,10 2,52
KACo All Lines Fund Less: Reported Experience Forma Adjustment (g) Workers' Compension (G) Workers' Compension (G) Workers Compension (G) Workers Compension (G) Workers Compension (G) Allocated Workers Compension (G) Workers (G) Worker	07/01/11 - 07/01/12 ense General Liab. sation Insurance: Commission ensation Fund 07/01/11 - 07/01 ion Factors empensation Premium kers Compensation Premium	on Staff is adjusting wor		on insu	rance exp 9,590 55% 5,275	\$	21,63 19,10 2,52

(h) <u>Depreciation</u>: This adjustment reflects a full-year of depreciation for items capitalized in 2010; the removal of two Chevy trucks that are fully depreciated and depreciating items that were incorrectly expensed.

	Test Period			Depreciation					
	De	ер Ехр	Cost		Life	Expense		Adju	stment
(1) Normalized Depreciation (Full Year)):								
Ice Maker	\$	87	\$	1,458	7	\$	208	\$. 121
Improvements	\$	3	\$	1,325	40	\$	33		30
Bypass Ext	\$	800	\$	63,976	40	\$	1,599		799
Line Additions	\$	2,656	\$	212,458	. 40	\$	5,311		2,655
Meters	\$	1,836	\$	73,428	40	\$	1,836		0
Touch Read	\$	3,098	\$	123,915	20	\$	6,196		3,098
Touch Read	\$	26	\$	1,053	20	\$	53		27
Touch Read	\$	19	\$	752	20	\$	38		19
Hydrants	\$	272	\$	21,750	40	\$	544		272
Touch Software	\$	113	\$.	750	5	\$	150		38
Touch Hardware	\$	535	\$	4,013	5	\$	803		268
Trailer	\$	27	\$	248	7	\$	35		8
Lawn Mower	\$	380	\$	3,544	7	\$	506		126
(2) Items Fully Depreciated in Test-Per	iod								
Chevy Truck	\$	3,880	\$	19,400	5				(3,880)
Chevy Truck	\$	2,689	. \$	13,447	5				(2,689)
(3) Items Expensed in Test-Period:									
Change Out - 344 Meters			\$	3,440	20				172
Change Out - 133 Meters			\$	1,330	20				67
Change Out - 245 Meters			\$	2,450	20				123
Change Out - 261 Meters			\$	2,610	20				131
Change Out - 247 Meters			\$	2,470	20				124
Pro Forma Adjustment								\$	1,510
•								***************************************	

(i) <u>Payroll Taxes</u>: Commission Staff is applying the payroll tax rate to the normalized salaries and wages expense, and the 45/55 allocation between the two districts.

			FICA	
		Pro Forma	\$ 76,000	
Employee		Payroll	 7.65%	
Perry	Higdon	\$ 62,403	\$ 4,774	
Patty	Anderson	52,012	3,979	
Nick	Horsman	38,332	2,932	
Billy	Higdon	91,106	5,814	
Jeff	Johnson	43,271	3,310	
Adam	Mils	26,225	2,006	
Beth	Frey	27,592	2,111	
Keith	Krampe	69,904	5,348	
Tommy	Cecil	44,315	3,390	
Teresa	Doyle	26,747	2,046	
Gail	Carlock	26,852	2,054	
Sabrina	Baker	27,572	2,109	
Laura	Broakley	24,826	1,899	
Pro Forma Totals	-	\$ 561,157	\$ 41,772	

	West Daviess WD		Southeast Daviess WD	
Pro Forma Payroll Taxes	\$	41,772	\$	41,772
Multiplied by: Allocation Factors		45%		55%
Allocated Pro Forma Payroll Taxes	\$	18,797	\$	22,975
Less: Reported Payroll Taxes	-	18,957		23,037
Pro Forma Adjustments	\$	(160)	\$	(62)

⁽j) <u>Interest Expense</u>: Of total interest expense during the test period, \$10,851 was incurred as a result of the KIA loan. As Southeast Daviess finances the debt service on this loan through a surcharge on all customers, this interest expense should not be considered in determining revenue requirements from water sales.

APPENDIX C STAFF REPORT CASE NO. 2011-00481 STAFF'S RECOMMENDED RATES

Customer Charge:	
5/8"x3/4" Meter	\$2.53
1" Meter	\$3.54
1 1/2" Meter	\$4.55
2" Meter	\$7.34
3" Meter	\$27.83
4" Meter	\$35.42
6" Meter	\$53.13
8" Meter	\$73.37
Volumetric Rate:	
First 20,000	\$3.44
Over 20,000	\$2.59
Wholesale Rate	\$1.85

Keith Krampe Southeast Daviess County Water District 3400 Bittel Road Owensboro, KY 42301